



CONFERENCE OF THE PARTIES SERVING AS THE
MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE KYOTO PROTOCOL

**Report of the Conference of the Parties serving as the
meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol
on its fifth session, held in Copenhagen
from 7 to 19 December 2009**

Addendum

**Part Two: Action taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the
meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its fifth session**

CONTENTS

**Decisions adopted by the Conference of the Parties serving as
the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol**

<i>Decision</i>		<i>Page</i>
1/CMP.5	Outcome of the work of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol	3
2/CMP.5	Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism	4
3/CMP.5	Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol	12
4/CMP.5	Report of the Adaptation Fund Board	16
5/CMP.5	Review of the Adaptation Fund.....	19
6/CMP.5	Compliance Committee	20
7/CMP.5	Capacity-building under the Kyoto Protocol.....	21

<i>Decision</i>	<i>Page</i>
8/CMP.5 Updated training programme for members of expert review teams participating in annual reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol	22
9/CMP.5 Administrative, financial and institutional matters.....	25
10/CMP.5 Programme budget for the biennium 2010–2011	26
<i>Resolution</i>	
1/CMP.5 Expression of gratitude to the Government of the Kingdom of Denmark and the people of the city of Copenhagen	34

Decision 1/CMP.5

Outcome of the work of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling its decision 1/CMP.1,

Determined to ensure that there is no gap between the first and second commitment periods of the Kyoto Protocol,

Recognizing that Annex I Parties should continue to take the lead in combating climate change,

1. *Welcomes* the progress achieved by the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol on its work pursuant to decision 1/CMP.1;
2. *Requests* the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol to deliver the results of its work pursuant to decision 1/CMP.1 for adoption by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;
3. *Requests* the Ad Hoc Working Group on Further Commitments for Annex I Parties under the Kyoto Protocol to continue its work drawing on the draft text forwarded as part of its report on its tenth session¹ to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its fifth session;
4. *Mandates* the host country of the next session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to make the necessary arrangements in order to facilitate the work towards the success of that session.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*

¹ FCCC/KP/AWG/2009/17, annex I.

Decision 2/CMP.5

Further guidance relating to the clean development mechanism

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling the provisions of Articles 3 and 12 of the Kyoto Protocol,

Cognizant of decisions 7/CMP.1, 1/CMP.2, 2/CMP.3 and 2/CMP.4,

I. General

1. *Takes note* of the annual report for 2008–2009 of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism;¹
2. *Designates* as operational entities those entities that have been accredited, and provisionally designated, as operational entities by the Executive Board to carry out sector-specific validation functions and/or sector-specific verification functions as listed in the annex to this decision;
3. *Urges* the Executive Board to take effective action to ensure compliance with established timelines for each of its procedures as well as with decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and, where possible, to reduce the established timelines;
4. *Welcomes* the measures adopted by the Executive Board to improve the efficiency of the operation of the clean development mechanism, as outlined in annex IV to its annual report;
5. *Requests* the Executive Board to continue its efforts to improve the efficiency and impartiality of the operation of the clean development mechanism and strengthen its executive and supervisory role by, inter alia, ensuring effective use of its support structure, including its panels, other outside expertise and the secretariat, taking into consideration the increasing caseload, and to make recommendations on further improving and reforming the system and its efficiency and impartiality to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for consideration at its sixth and subsequent sessions;

II. Governance

6. *Encourages* Parties to give active consideration to the nomination of women as members and alternate members of the Executive Board, in accordance with decision 36/CP.7;
7. *Requests* the Executive Board, as its highest priority, to continue to significantly improve transparency, consistency and impartiality in its work by, inter alia:
 - (a) Continuing its efforts to improve consistency in its decision-making;
 - (b) Publishing detailed explanations of and the rationale for decisions taken, including sources of information used, without compromising the confidentiality of the opinion of any individual Executive Board member or alternate member;
 - (c) Taking into account input from relevant international organizations and Parties involved in addition to project participants and the designated operational entities in its decision-making process;

¹ FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/16.

8. *Requests* the Executive Board to enhance its communications with project participants and stakeholders, including through the establishment of modalities and procedures for direct communication between the Executive Board and project participants in relation to individual projects, and to report on actions taken to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;

9. *Requests* the Executive Board to take fully into account, in its work and in the work of its support structure, the laws, regulations, policies, standards and guidelines that apply in the host countries, and in case of need seek inputs from designated national authorities of the host countries;

10. *Affirms* that it is the prerogative of the host country to decide on the design and implementation of policies to promote or give competitive advantage to low greenhouse gas emitting fuels or technologies;

11. *Requests* the Executive Board to ensure that its rules and guidelines related to the introduction or implementation of the policies referred to in paragraph 10 above promote the achievement of the ultimate objective of the Convention and do not create perverse incentives for emission reduction efforts;

12. *Requests* the Executive Board to consolidate, clarify and revise, as appropriate, its guidance on the treatment of national policies;

13. *Acknowledges* that the Executive Board has adopted a code of conduct for its members;

14. *Requests* the Executive Board to recommend terms of reference for membership of the Executive Board that clarify the desired set of skills and expertise as well as the expected time commitment required of members and alternate members, for consideration by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;

15. *Requests* that the curricula vitae of Executive Board members, statements on conflicts of interest and details of any past and current professional affiliations of members be published on the UNFCCC CDM website;

III. Accreditation

16. *Encourages* the Executive Board to continue to develop measures to enhance the impartiality, independence and technical competence of designated operational entities and to develop arrangements to protect from undue prejudice proposed clean development mechanism project activities that are undergoing validation and verification by a designated operational entity that has lost its accreditation status or had this status suspended;

17. *Reiterates* its request to the Executive Board to develop and apply, as a priority, a system for continuous monitoring of the performance of designated operational entities and a system to improve the performance of these entities and to report on the implementation of these systems to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;

18. *Requests* the Executive Board to improve access to information on the performance of designated operational entities, including through improved reporting on performance, capacity and accessibility of services of these entities;

19. *Requests* the Executive Board to adopt measures to increase capacity and improve performance of designated operational entities, including systems to promote improved levels of training for auditors involved in validating and verifying activities;

20. *Requests* the Executive Board to adopt a procedure for designated operational entities to provide the secretariat with information on the number of project activities under validation or verification per qualified auditor, and the time frames and average fees for the validation and verification of clean development mechanism project activities hosted in developing countries, divided by region;

21. *Requests* the secretariat to prepare a compilation of this information without attribution for publication on the UNFCCC CDM website;

22. *Requests* the Executive Board to continue to update the *Clean Development Mechanism Validation and Verification Manual*, including by further exploring the possible introduction of the concepts of materiality and the level of assurance, and to report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;

IV. Baseline and monitoring methodologies and additionality

23. *Authorizes* the Executive Board to prioritize, informed by an analysis of the potential use of methodologies and potential for emission reductions, the consideration and development of baseline and monitoring methodologies that are applicable to under-represented project activity types or regions, in order to improve the efficiency of operation of the methodologies processes;

24. *Requests* the Executive Board, starting at its next meeting, to further work and report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol on the enhancement of objectivity and transparency in the approaches for demonstration and assessment of additionality and selection of the baseline scenario by means of the following activities:

- (a) Further development of guidelines for demonstration and assessment of barriers and of standardized methods to calculate financial parameters;
- (b) Development of guidance for project participants on the use of a first-of-its-kind barrier and the assessment of common practice, including the definition of the applicable region, similar technologies and thresholds for penetration rates;
- (c) Establishment of simplified modalities for demonstrating additionality for project activities up to 5 megawatts that employ renewable energy as their primary technology and for energy efficiency project activities that aim to achieve energy savings at a scale of no more than 20 gigawatt hours per year;
- (d) Development of guidance for the treatment of feed-in tariffs in the additionality analysis for renewable energy project activities;

25. *Requests* the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice to recommend modalities and procedures for the development of standardized baselines that are broadly applicable, while providing for a high level of environmental integrity and taking into account specific national circumstances, and to forward a draft decision on this matter to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;

26. *Invites* Parties, intergovernmental organizations and admitted observer organizations to make submissions to the secretariat, by 22 March 2010, on their views on the matter referred to in paragraph 25 above;

27. *Requests* the secretariat to compile the views submitted by Parties and intergovernmental organizations in accordance with paragraph 26 above into a miscellaneous document for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice at its thirty-second session;

28. *Also requests* the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice to assess the implications of the recommendation regarding “forests in exhaustion”, as contained in annex I to the annual report of the Executive Board;

29. *Recognizes* the importance of carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations as a possible mitigation technology, bearing in mind the concerns related to the following outstanding issues, inter alia:

- (a) Non-permanence, including long-term permanence;
- (b) Measuring, reporting and verification;
- (c) Environmental impacts;
- (d) Project activity boundaries;
- (e) International law;
- (f) Liability;
- (g) The potential for perverse outcomes;
- (h) Safety;
- (i) Insurance coverage and compensation for damages caused due to seepage or leakage;

30. *Further requests* the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice to continue to work on the possible inclusion of carbon dioxide capture and storage in geological formations in the clean development mechanism by working on the issues listed in paragraph 29 above, with a view to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol adopting a decision on this matter at its sixth session;

31. *Invites* Parties to make submissions to the secretariat, by 22 March 2010, on their views on the issues listed in paragraph 29 above;

32. *Requests* the secretariat to compile the views submitted by Parties in accordance with paragraph 31 above into a miscellaneous document for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice at its thirty-second session;

33. *Invites* interested entities to submit methodologies, considering the current work of the Executive Board and the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, on new technologies that have the potential to reduce in net terms the concentration of carbon or carbon dioxide already in the atmosphere;

34. *Requests* the Executive Board to further improve the “Tool to calculate the emission factor for an electricity system” for project activities hosted in countries with a paucity of relevant data, including by providing flexibility for the calculation of grid emission factors;

35. *Encourages* the Executive Board to further explore the possibility of including in baseline and monitoring methodologies, as appropriate, a scenario where future anthropogenic emissions by sources are projected to rise above current levels due to specific circumstances of the host Party;

V. Registration of clean development mechanism project activities and issuance of certified emission reductions

36. *Requests* the Executive Board to continue to revise the relevant procedures and guidance on programmes of activities, including by defining more clearly the situations in which designated operational entities could be held liable for erroneous inclusion of a component project activity, in order to reduce barriers to the development of programmes of activities under the clean development mechanism;

37. *Also requests* the Executive Board to adopt as soon as possible, and subsequently apply on an interim basis, revised procedures for registration, issuance and review, under which alternative timelines to those defined in decision 3/CMP.1, annex, paragraphs 41 and 65, and decision 4/CMP.1, annex II, paragraph 24, can be applied;

38. *Revokes* annexes III and IV to decision 4/CMP.1 containing the existing procedures for review;

39. *Requests* the Executive Board to ensure that the revised procedures for review:

- (a) Provide designated operational entities and project participants with adequate opportunity to address issues raised in reviews;
- (b) Include an independent technical assessment of the analysis conducted by the secretariat;
- (c) Include a process for the Executive Board to consider objections raised by members of the Executive Board to outcomes of assessments;
- (d) Ensure efficient and timely consideration of registration and issuance requests;

40. *Also requests* the Executive Board to continue applying its existing procedures for registration, issuance and review until the revised procedures referred to in paragraph 39 above are adopted by the Executive Board;

41. *Further requests* the Executive Board to report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session on the revised procedures and the impact of their interim implementation, with a view to adoption of the revised procedures by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at that session;

42. *Requests* the Executive Board to establish, following consultation with stakeholders, procedures for considering appeals that are brought by stakeholders directly involved, defined in a conservative manner, in the design, approval or implementation of clean development mechanism project activities or proposed clean development mechanism project activities, in relation to:

- (a) Situations where a designated operational entity may not have performed its duties in accordance with the rules or requirements of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and/or the Executive Board;
- (b) Rulings taken by or under the authority of the Executive Board in accordance with the procedures referred to in paragraph 39 above regarding the rejection or alteration of requests for registration or issuance;

43. *Requests* the Executive Board to design the procedures referred to in paragraph 42 above focusing on, but not limited to, ensuring due process and to report on implementation to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;

VI. Regional and subregional distribution and capacity-building

44. *Welcomes* the work of the Designated National Authorities Forum, which could contribute to broader participation in the clean development mechanism through, inter alia, the sharing of information and experience;

45. *Encourages* the Executive Board to follow up on issues raised by the Designated National Authorities Forum between meetings of the Forum;

46. *Also encourages* designated national authorities to publish the criteria they use in assessing the contribution of project activities to sustainable development;

47. *Decides* to defer the payment of the registration fee until after the first issuance for countries with fewer than 10 registered clean development mechanism project activities;

48. *Requests* the Executive Board to undertake the following measures for countries hosting fewer than 10 registered clean development mechanism project activities without compromising environmental integrity:

- (a) Developing top-down methodologies that are particularly suited for application in these countries in accordance with principles and guidelines to be established by the Executive Board;
- (b) Introducing a requirement that designated operational entities indicate the work they are undertaking on projects originated in these countries as part of their annual activity reports and ensure that this item be included in the subsequent synthesis report presented by the secretariat to the Executive Board for appropriate follow-up;

49. *Requests* the Executive Board to allocate financial resources from the interest accrued on the principal of the Trust Fund for the Clean Development Mechanism, as well as any voluntary contributions from donors, in order to provide loans to support the following activities in countries with fewer than 10 registered clean development mechanism project activities:

- (a) To cover the costs of the development of project design documents;
- (b) To cover the costs of validation and the first verification for these project activities;

50. *Decides* that the loans referred to in paragraph 49 above are to be repaid starting from the first issuance of certified emission reductions;

51. *Requests* the Executive Board to recommend guidelines and modalities for operationalizing the activities outlined in paragraphs 49 and 50 above for consideration by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;

52. *Also requests* the secretariat to continue its work in facilitating coordination among partner agencies in the implementation of the Nairobi Framework;²

² <http://cdm.unfccc.int/Nairobi_Framework/index.html>.

53. *Encourages* all Parties to continue cooperating bilaterally to develop and implement clean development mechanism project activities, and in particular to facilitate South–South cooperation and capacity transfer;

54. *Encourages* designated operational entities to establish offices in developing countries in line with the provisions of the clean development mechanism accreditation standard in order to reduce the transaction costs for these countries and to contribute to a more equitable distribution of clean development mechanism project activities;

55. *Requests* the secretariat to enhance its support to designated national authorities and the Designated National Authorities Forum by, inter alia:

- (a) Providing training opportunities for clean development mechanism stakeholders on a continuous basis on the different elements of the clean development mechanism project cycle;
- (b) Facilitating information exchange and awareness-raising at the regional and subregional levels;
- (c) Developing and making publicly available studies on the potential of the clean development mechanism in the countries identified in paragraph 47 above, working in close cooperation with local authorities;
- (d) Organizing subregional meetings of the Designated National Authorities Forum;

VII. Resources for work on the clean development mechanism

56. *Requests* the secretariat to implement the staffing requirements in the management plan in an expeditious manner in order to support the work of the Executive Board;

57. *Requests* the secretariat to improve its reporting on financial resources, including by providing a detailed breakdown of both income and expenditure, and by explaining the status of and rationale for financial reserves;

58. *Requests* the secretariat to apply a flexible recruitment process to fill vacant positions established under the management plan of the Executive Board while ensuring that the principles of a fair and transparent process are upheld;

59. *Expresses its appreciation* to the Government of Belgium and the European Commission for having provided financial resources in support of meetings of the Designated National Authorities Forum and to the Government of Sweden for having allowed additional participation by the least developed countries and small island developing States in the practitioners workshop held in Bonn, Germany, on 26 October 2009;

60. *Also expresses its appreciation* to the Government of Grenada for hosting the forty-eighth meeting of the Executive Board from 15 to 17 July 2009 and to the Government of Singapore for hosting the eighth meeting of the Designated National Authorities Forum from 26 to 28 October 2009.

ANNEX

Entities accredited and provisionally designated by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism and recommended for designation by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for validation and verification/certification for specific sectoral scopes

Name of entity	Designated and recommended for designation for sectoral scopes	
	Project validation	Emission reduction verification
Japan Quality Assurance Organization (JQA)	1-15	1-15
JACO CDM Ltd	1-15	1-15
Det Norske Veritas Certification AS (DNV)	1-15	1-15
TÜV SÜD Industrie Service GmbH (TÜV-SÜD)	1-15	1-15
Deloitte Tohmatsu Evaluation and Certification Organisation (Deloitte-TECO)		1
SGS United Kingdom Ltd. (SGS)	1-15	1-15
Korea Energy Management Corporation (KEMCO)	1-15	1-15
TÜV Rheinland Japan Ltd. (TÜV Rheinland)	1-15	1-15
ERM Certification and Verification Services Ltd.	1-5, 8-10, 13	1-5, 8-10, 13
TÜV NORD Cert GmbH		4-7, 10-12
Lloyd's Register Quality Assurance Ltd (LRQA)	1-13	1-13
Colombian Institute for Technical Standards and Certification (ICONTEC)	1-5, 8, 13-15	1-5, 8, 13-15
Korean Foundation For Quality (KFQ)	1-5, 9-11, 13	1-5, 9-11, 13
Swiss Association for Quality and Management Systems	1-15	1-15
China Environmental United Certification Center Co., Ltd. (CEC)	1-3, 8, 10	1-3, 8, 10
RINA S.p.A (RINA)	1-8, 10, 11, 13-15	1-8, 10, 11, 13-15
SIRIM QAS International SDN.BHD	1-4, 13	1-4, 13
Korean Standards Association (KSA)	1-5, 13	1-5, 13
Environmental Management Corp. (EMC)	1-8, 13-15	1-8, 13-15
Japan Management Association (JMA)	1-4, 6, 8, 9, 14	1-4, 6, 8, 9, 14
Germanischer Lloyd Certification GmbH (GLC)	1-3, 7, 10, 13	1-3, 7, 10, 13
China Quality Certification Center (CQC)	1-13	1-13
Ernst & Young Associes (France)	14	14

Note: The numbers 1 to 15 indicate sectoral scopes as determined by the Executive Board. For details, see <<http://cdm.unfccc.int/DOE/scopelst.pdf>>.

*12th plenary meeting
18-19 December 2009*

Decision 3/CMP.5

Guidance on the implementation of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Mindful of the objective of the Convention as set out in its Article 2,

Recalling the provisions of Articles 3 and 6 of the Kyoto Protocol,

Cognizant of decisions 2/CMP.1, 9/CMP.1 and its annex (joint implementation guidelines), 10/CMP.1, 2/CMP.2, 3/CMP.2, 3/CMP.3 and 5/CMP.4,

Recognizing that the work on joint implementation can be accomplished when sufficient expertise and financial and human resources are available to support the work of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee,

Expressing its appreciation to Parties that have contributed to funding the work on joint implementation,

Recalling decision 9/CMP.1, paragraph 7, which states that any administrative costs arising from procedures contained in the joint implementation guidelines relating to the functions of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee shall be borne by both the Parties included in Annex I to the Convention and the project participants,

Welcoming the provision of information to the secretariat, in accordance with paragraph 20 of the joint implementation guidelines, by 35 Parties on their designated focal points and by 29 Parties on their national guidelines and procedures for approving joint implementation projects,

Recognizing the potential increase in the number of joint implementation projects,

Reiterating that a Party hosting a joint implementation project shall make publicly available information on the project in accordance with paragraph 28 of the joint implementation guidelines,

Also reiterating the importance of ensuring the efficient, cost-effective and transparent functioning of joint implementation and the executive and supervisory role of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee,

Stressing the importance of constituencies nominating members and alternate members to the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee who have the required qualifications, sufficient time and the commitment to serve on the Committee and perform their functions, in order to ensure that the Committee has the necessary expertise in, inter alia, financial, environmental and joint implementation regulatory matters and executive decision-making, and works in an effective manner,

I. General

1. *Adopts the revised rules of procedure of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, as contained in annex I to document FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/18 (Part I) and Corr.1;*

2. *Invites* Parties wishing to be involved in joint implementation projects to provide to the secretariat information in accordance with paragraph 20 of the joint implementation guidelines contained in the annex to decision 9/CMP.1 if this information has not been previously provided;

3. *Takes note with appreciation* of the annual report of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee,¹ including information on the work programme and budget of the Committee, and on the actions taken;

4. *Notes with appreciation* that 209 project design documents, 17 determinations regarding project design documents, 10 monitoring reports and seven verifications of reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources or enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks have been made publicly available in accordance with paragraphs 32, 34, 36 and 38 of the joint implementation guidelines, and that 15 independent entities have applied for accreditation;

5. *Commends* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee for the efficient implementation and operation of the verification procedure under the Committee;

6. *Encourages* further efforts to facilitate the process of accrediting independent entities;

7. *Also encourages* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to continue enhancing the implementation of the verification procedure under the Committee, taking into account the distinct characteristics of joint implementation under Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, to continue to promote transparency and to emphasize in its interaction with designated focal points, independent entities, project participants and stakeholders that approaches specific to joint implementation are available;

8. *Acknowledges with appreciation* that the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee has developed definitions, forms, guidelines and procedures for programmes of activities implemented under the verification procedure under the Committee in accordance with decision 5/CMP.4;

9. *Also acknowledges with appreciation* that the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee has developed a determination and verification manual to assist accredited independent entities in undertaking determinations as referred to in paragraphs 33 and 37 of the joint implementation guidelines;

10. *Requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to conduct an assessment of the implications of possible inclusion of the concepts of materiality and level of assurance in joint implementation for the process of determinations referred to in paragraphs 33 and 37 of the joint implementation guidelines;

11. *Also requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session on its experiences with the joint implementation verification procedure under the Committee, with a view to making improvements for the future operation of joint implementation, taking into account relevant decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its fifth session;

12. *Further requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to continue to keep its guidance under review with regard to appendix B to the joint implementation guidelines and to revise it as appropriate in order to improve the clarity of the provisions of the guidelines;

¹ FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/18 (Part I) and Corr.1.

13. *Encourages* Parties to ensure that information that they provide on joint implementation Track 1 projects² through the web-based interface³ developed by the secretariat is sufficiently detailed to allow the UNFCCC joint implementation website to provide an overview of these projects in a transparent way;

14. *Requests* the secretariat, in consultation with designated focal points, to further improve the web-based interface referred to in paragraph 13 above by, for example, standardizing the information to be provided and agreeing on the minimum amount of information required;

II. Governance

15. *Commends* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee for maintaining a joint implementation management plan, pursuant to provisions in decision 10/CMP.1, paragraph 2 (g), decision 3/CMP.2, paragraph 5, decision 3/CMP.3, paragraph 6 (a), and decision 5/CMP.4, paragraph 10 (a); for implementing measures aimed at strengthening the joint implementation process, taking into account the experience of the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism, as appropriate; and for its responsiveness to the needs of Parties, independent entities, project participants, stakeholders and the general public, given the limited resources available;

16. *Encourages* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee:

- (a) To continue to keep the joint implementation management plan⁴ under review and make adjustments to it as necessary to ensure the efficient, cost-effective and transparent functioning of joint implementation;
- (b) To enhance its interaction with designated focal points and project participants;
- (c) To further enhance its interaction with independent entities;

17. *Requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and the secretariat to enhance their outreach activities to improve overall understanding of joint implementation;

18. *Notes with appreciation* the information on decisions of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee and on the status of work undertaken by the Committee, as presented on the UNFCCC joint implementation website;

19. *Encourages* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, designated focal points, independent entities, project participants and stakeholders to make every effort to contribute towards a more transparent, consistent, predictable and efficient verification procedure under the Committee;

20. *Also encourages* independent entities to continue to build and improve their capacity to appropriately perform their functions under the verification procedure under the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee;

III. Resources for the work on joint implementation

21. *Notes* the information provided by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee as contained in annex II to document FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/18 (Part I) and Corr.1 regarding: the recommended revision to the provisions for the charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to

² Projects with emission reductions or enhancements of removals that are verified in accordance with paragraph 23 of the joint implementation guidelines.

³ <http://ji.unfccc.int/JI_Projects/ProjectInfo.html>.

⁴ FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/18 (Part II).

the activities of the Committee; introducing fee provisions for projects under programmes of activities; and reducing the maximum advance payments for the fee for processing of verification reports;

22. *Endorses* the revision to the fee structure as recommended by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee;

23. *Notes* that income from the charging of fees to cover administrative costs relating to the activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee will continue to accrue during the biennium 2010–2011 and that income from fees may cover the administrative expenses only as of 2012;

24. *Notes with concern* that the income from the charging of fees referred to in paragraph 23 above that has accrued to date is significantly lower than the level required to cover the estimated administrative costs relating to the activities of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee;

25. *Requests* the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee to report to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session on a financial and budget projection up to 2012, including an analysis of when and under which conditions the committee will become self-financing;

26. *Urges* Parties included in Annex I to the Convention to make contributions to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities for funding the work on joint implementation in the biennium 2010–2011 at a level that would ensure the thorough and timely implementation of the joint implementation management plan;

27. *Expresses its appreciation* to the Government of Ukraine for hosting the seventeenth meeting of the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, held from 10 to 11 September 2009, and the sixth UNFCCC technical workshop on joint implementation, held from 8 to 9 September 2009.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*

Decision 4/CMP.5

Report of the Adaptation Fund Board

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 12, paragraph 8, of the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling decision 10/CP.7,

Reaffirming decisions 3/CMP.1, 28/CMP.1, 5/CMP.2, 1/CMP.3 and 1/CMP.4,

Takes note with appreciation of the report of the Adaptation Fund Board¹ and the significant progress the Board has made in the operationalization of the Adaptation Fund,

Expressing its appreciation to the Governments of Barbados and Germany for their generous offers to confer legal capacity on the Adaptation Fund Board,

1. *Endorses* the decision of the Adaptation Fund Board to accept the offer of Germany to confer legal capacity on the Adaptation Fund Board;²
2. *Invites* the Government of Germany to take the necessary measures to confer legal capacity on the Adaptation Fund Board;
3. *Requests* the Adaptation Fund Board to consult further with the Government of Germany to conclude the necessary legal arrangements to confer legal capacity on the Adaptation Fund Board, and to report back on progress made to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;
4. *Decides* that the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Adaptation Fund Board shall jointly serve as legal representatives of the Board;
5. *Adopts* the amendments to the rules of procedure of the Adaptation Fund Board, as contained in the annex to this decision, in accordance with the provisions in decision 1/CMP.4, annex I, paragraph 64;
6. *Takes note with appreciation of the work carried out by the Adaptation Fund Board concerning:*
 - (a) The adoption of the operational policies and guidelines for Parties to access resources from the Adaptation Fund, in accordance with decisions 1/CMP.3 and 1/CMP.4;
 - (b) The monetization of certified emission reductions in accordance with decision 1/CMP.3;
7. *Takes note of the approval by the Council of the Global Environment Facility of the memorandum of understanding between the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and the Council of the Global Environment Facility regarding secretariat services to the Adaptation Fund Board;*

¹ FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/14.

² See decision B.7-8/1 of the Adaptation Fund Board, available at <<http://afboard.org/index.html>>.

8. *Also takes note* of the approval by the Board of Directors of the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the World Bank) of the terms and conditions of services to be provided by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development as trustee for the Adaptation Fund;

9. *Encourages* Parties included in Annex I to the Convention and international organizations to provide funding to the Adaptation Fund, which will be additional to the share of the proceeds from clean development mechanism project activities.

ANNEX

Amendments to the rules of procedure of the Adaptation Fund Board

1. Paragraph 2 (h) should be revised as follows:

“Secretariat” is a body appointed by the CMP to provide secretariat services to the Board ~~and to the Fund~~, consistent with decision 1/CMP.3, paragraphs 3, 18, 19 and 31;

2. Paragraph 2 (j) should be revised as follows:

“Implementing entities” means the national legal entities and multilateral organizations that have been identified ex ante by the Board as meeting the criteria adopted by the Board, in accordance with decision 1/CMP.3, paragraph 5 (c), to access funding to implement concrete adaptation projects and programmes supported by the Fund;

3. Paragraph 2 (k) should be revised as follows:

“Executing entities” are organizations that ~~meet the criteria set by the Board to access funding to implement concrete adaptation projects and programmes supported by the Fund, subject to such audit mechanisms and due diligence criteria as established by the Board~~ execute adaptation projects and programmes supported by the Fund under the oversight of implementing entities.

4. Paragraph 5 should be revised as follows:

The member and alternate shall each serve for a term of two ~~calendar~~ years and shall be eligible to serve a maximum of two consecutive terms. The term of office of a member, or an alternate, shall start at the first meeting of the Board in the calendar year following his or her election and shall end immediately before the first meeting of the Board in the calendar year in which the term ends;

5. Paragraph 10 should be revised as follows:

The Board shall elect the Chair and Vice-Chair from among its members, with one being from an Annex I Party and the other being from a non-Annex I Party. The term of office of the Chair and Vice-Chair shall be one ~~calendar~~ year, starting at the first meeting of the Board in each year. The office of Chair and Vice-Chair shall alternate annually between a member from an Annex I Party and a member from a non-Annex I Party.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*

Decision 5/CMP.5

Review of the Adaptation Fund

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling decision 1/CMP.3,

Requests the Subsidiary Body for Implementation, at its thirty-second session, to initiate the review of the Adaptation Fund and to agree on the terms of reference for the review and report back to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session so that the review can be undertaken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*

Decision 6/CMP.5

Compliance Committee

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 18 of the Kyoto Protocol,

Also recalling decisions 27/CMP.1, 4/CMP.2, 5/CMP.3 and 4/CMP.4,

Having considered the annual report of the Compliance Committee to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,¹

Noting the request of the Compliance Committee regarding funding for the costs of travel to and participation in meetings of the Committee,² the information provided by the secretariat on the budgetary implications of this request³ and the draft decision on the programme budget for the biennium 2010–2011 recommended by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its thirtieth session,⁴

Cognizant of decision 10/CMP.5 on the programme budget for the biennium 2010–2011,

1. *Notes with appreciation* the work carried out by the Compliance Committee during the reporting period;
2. *Urges* Parties included in Annex I to the Convention that have not yet submitted their fourth national communications and the supplementary information required under Article 7, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol to do so as a matter of priority;
3. *Notes* the interest of the Compliance Committee in the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol concluding adequate legal arrangements on privileges and immunities for individuals serving on constituted bodies as early as possible;
4. *Also notes* the continuing concerns of the Compliance Committee regarding funding for the costs of travel to and participation in meetings of the Committee;
5. *Invites* Parties to make voluntary contributions to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities in support of the work of the Compliance Committee in the biennium 2010–2011.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*

¹ FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/17.

² FCCC/KP/CMP/2009/17, paragraph 36.

³ FCCC/SBI/2009/2, paragraph 43.

⁴ FCCC/SBI/2009/8/Add.1.

Decision 7/CMP.5

Capacity-building under the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling decision 6/CMP.4,

1. *Requests* the Subsidiary Body for Implementation to continue its consideration of the second comprehensive review of the implementation of the framework for capacity-building in developing countries at its thirty-second session, with a view to preparing a draft decision on the outcome of this review for adoption by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its sixth session;
2. *Decides* to complete the consideration of the second comprehensive review at its sixth session.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*

Decision 8/CMP.5

Updated training programme for members of expert review teams participating in annual reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Having considered decisions 23/CP.7, 23/CP.8, 21/CP.9 and 24/CMP.1,

Having also considered the relevant recommendations of the Subsidiary Body for Implementation,

Recognizing the importance of the training programme for members of expert review teams participating in annual reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, which builds on the training programme for greenhouse gas inventory review experts under the Convention,

1. *Requests* the secretariat to develop and implement the updated training programme for members of expert review teams participating in annual reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol, as outlined in the annex to this decision, including the examination of experts;
2. *Encourages* Parties included in Annex I to the Convention that are also Parties to the Kyoto Protocol which are in a position to do so to provide financial support for enhancing the training programme;
3. *Requests* the secretariat to include, in its annual report on inventory review activities to the Subsidiary Body for Scientific and Technological Advice, information on the training programme, in particular information on examination procedures and the selection of trainees and instructors, in order for Parties to assess the effectiveness of the programme.

ANNEX

Updated training programme for members of expert review teams participating in annual reviews under Article 8 of the Kyoto Protocol

A. Details of the training programme

1. The courses are intended to train members of expert review teams (ERTs) for the review of information submitted under Article 7 of the Kyoto Protocol. All training courses will be available online. For trainees without easy access to the Internet, courses will be distributed through electronic means; for courses that are facilitated by an instructor, trainees will communicate with the instructor by electronic means. At the request of a Party, the courses will also be made available for others interested in the review process, provided that this does not require additional resources. All courses will be available, without instructor, to trainees all year round.
2. All training courses will include an examination. Examination procedures will be standardized, objective and transparent.
3. New greenhouse gas (GHG) inventory review experts who successfully complete the relevant requirements of the training programme will be invited to participate in a centralized or in-country review, working alongside experienced GHG inventory review experts.
4. Experts who do not pass an examination for a course at the first attempt may retake the examination one additional time, provided that the expert has fulfilled all of the tasks assigned during the course in a timely manner, and that the retake does not require the secretariat to incur additional costs.
5. Experts with relevant expertise will be invited to act as instructors for courses of the training programme, ensuring that their skills cover the subjects addressed in each course. They will provide advice and support by e-mail or other electronic means. The secretariat will seek to achieve a geographical balance among the instructors participating in the training programme.

B. Courses of the training programme

1. National systems

Description: This course covers guidelines for review of national systems under Article 5, paragraph 1, of the Kyoto Protocol and related parts of guidelines under Articles 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol

Preparation: 2006

Implementation: 2006–2014

Target audience: Lead reviewers, generalists and GHG inventory review experts who have successfully completed the basic course for the technical review of GHG inventories of Parties included in Annex I to the Convention (Annex I Parties)

Type of course: E-learning, without instructor

Examination requirements and format: GHG inventory review experts and lead reviewers must pass the examination before participating in ERTs. Online examination.

2. Application of adjustments

Description: This course covers decisions of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol and technical guidance on methodologies for adjustments under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol and related parts of guidelines under Articles 7 and 8 of the Kyoto Protocol

Preparation: 2006

Implementation: 2006–2014

Target audience: Lead reviewers, experienced GHG inventory review experts and GHG inventory review experts who have successfully completed the basic course for the technical review of GHG inventories of Annex I Parties

Type of course: E-learning, facilitated by an instructor

Examination requirements and format: GHG inventory review experts and lead reviewers must pass the examination before participating in ERTs. Online examination.

3. Modalities for the accounting of assigned amounts under Article 7, paragraph 4

Description: This course provides guidance for members of ERTs reviewing information provided in the initial report, the calculation of the assigned amount pursuant to Article 3, paragraphs 7 and 8, of the Kyoto Protocol, the commitment period reserve, and the national registries for conformity with the modalities for the accounting of assigned amounts under Article 7, paragraph 4

Preparation: 2006

Implementation: 2006–2014

Target audience: Members of ERTs reviewing national registries and assigned amount information, generalists and lead reviewers

Type of course: E-learning, without instructor

Examination requirements and format: Optional. Online examination.

4. Review of national registries and information on assigned amounts

Description: This course provides guidance for members of ERTs reviewing annual information on assigned amounts pursuant to Article 3, paragraphs 7 and 8, of the Kyoto Protocol, information on Kyoto Protocol units and the standard electronic format for conformity with Article 7, paragraph 4, of the Kyoto Protocol. In addition, the course provides guidance on the review of national registries, including changes in the national registries reported by the Parties in accordance with decision 15/CMP.1 and conformity with the technical standards for data exchange between registry systems

Preparation: 2009

Implementation: 2009–2014

Target audience: Members of ERTs reviewing national registries and assigned amount information, generalists and lead reviewers

Type of course: E-learning, facilitated by an instructor, subject to the availability of resources

Examination requirements and format: Generalists, lead reviewers and any members of ERTs who will review national registries and annual information on assigned amounts must pass the examination before participating in ERTs. Online examination.

5. Review of activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol

Description: This course provides guidance for members of ERTs reviewing information provided during the commitment period for land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) activities under Article 3, paragraphs 3 and 4, of the Kyoto Protocol for conformity with the requirements of decision 15/CMP.1, including procedures for adjustments under Article 5, paragraph 2, of the Kyoto Protocol

Preparation: 2009

Implementation: 2009–2014

Target audience: LULUCF inventory review experts and lead reviewers

Type of course: E-learning, facilitated by an instructor, subject to the availability of resources

Examination requirements and format: LULUCF inventory review experts must pass the examination before participating in ERTs. Online examination.

Decision 9/CMP.5

Administrative, financial and institutional matters

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Having considered the information in documents prepared by the secretariat on administrative, financial and institutional matters,¹

Recalling paragraphs 11 and 19 of the financial procedures for the Conference of the Parties adopted by decision 15/CP.1, which also apply under the Kyoto Protocol,²

1. *Takes note* of the interim financial statements for the biennium 2008–2009 as at 31 December 2008, the report on budget performance for the period 1 January 2008 to 30 June 2009 and the status of contributions as at 15 May 2009 and 15 November 2009 to the Trust Fund for the Core Budget of the UNFCCC, the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities, the Trust Fund for Participation in the UNFCCC Process, the Trust Fund for the Clean Development Mechanism and the Trust Fund for the International Transaction Log;

2. *Expresses appreciation* to Parties that have paid their contributions to the core budget and the international transaction log³ in a timely manner;

3. *Calls upon* Parties that have not paid their contributions to the core budget and the international transaction log⁴ to do so without delay, bearing in mind that contributions are due on 1 January of each year in accordance with the financial procedures;

4. *Expresses appreciation* for the contributions received from Parties to the Trust Fund for Participation in the UNFCCC Process and to the Trust Fund for Supplementary Activities;

5. *Reiterates* its appreciation to the Government of Germany for its annual voluntary contribution to the core budget of EUR 766,938 and its special contribution of EUR 1,789,522 as Host Government to the secretariat.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*

¹ FCCC/SBI/2009/11, FCCC/SBI/2009/INF.3, FCCC/SBI/2009/INF.7 and FCCC/SBI/2009/INF.10 and Corr.1.

² In accordance with Article 13, paragraph 5, of the Kyoto Protocol.

³ FCCC/SBI/2009/INF.10 and Corr.1, table 6.

⁴ As footnote 3 above.

Decision 10/CMP.5

Programme budget for the biennium 2010–2011

The Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Recalling Article 13, paragraph 5, of the Kyoto Protocol,

Taking note of decision 12/CP.15, in particular its paragraphs 2 and 3,

Having considered the proposed programme budget for the biennium 2010–2011 submitted by the Executive Secretary,¹

1. *Endorses* decision 12/CP.15 on the programme budget for the biennium 2010–2011 adopted by the Conference of the Parties at its fifteenth session, as it applies to the Kyoto Protocol;
2. *Adopts* the indicative scale of contributions for 2010 and 2011 contained in the annex to this decision covering 36.8 per cent of the indicative contributions specified in table 1 of decision 12/CP.15;
3. *Invites* all Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to note that contributions to the core budget are due on 1 January of each year in accordance with paragraph 8 (b) of the financial procedures and to pay promptly and in full, for each of the years 2010 and 2011, the contributions required to finance the approved expenditures set out in decision 12/CP.15;
4. *Expresses appreciation* for the establishment of the Trust Fund for the Clean Development Mechanism and the Trust Fund for the International Transaction Log;
5. *Takes note* of the financing requirements² for the clean development mechanism and joint implementation proposed by the Executive Board of the clean development mechanism and the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee, respectively;
6. *Approves* the budget for the international transaction log for the biennium 2010–2011, amounting to EUR 6,150,617, for the purposes specified in the proposed budget for the international transaction log;³
7. *Decides* to establish the level of the working capital reserve at 8.3 per cent of the estimated expenditure for the Trust Fund for the International Transaction Log;
8. *Adopts* the fees and the scale of fees for the international transaction log for the biennium 2010–2011, as contained in annex II to this decision;
9. *Authorizes* the Executive Secretary to advise the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol planning to use the international transaction log in the biennium 2010–2011, as listed in annex II to this decision, of the annual fees for each of the years 2010 and 2011;
10. *Decides* that, in the event that a Party not listed in annex II to this decision decides to use the international transaction log in the biennium 2010–2011, the Party shall be added to the list in annex II to this decision and that the fees paid⁴ shall be deducted from the resource requirements of activities relating to the international transaction log administrator in the next biennium;

¹ FCCC/SBI/2009/2 and Add.1–3.

² FCCC/SBI/2007/8.

³ FCCC/SBI/2009/2/Add.3.

⁴ The user fee to be included in the list for the Party shall be calculated on the basis of the Party's equal share of 40 per cent of the resource requirements relating to the international transaction log administrator for 2010–2011

11. *Requests* the Executive Secretary to notify Parties planning to use the international transaction log of the annual fees to cover the budget for the international transaction log referred to in paragraph 6 above, subject to paragraph 12 below, as early as possible and at least four months in advance of the relevant calendar year;
12. *Authorizes* the international transaction log administrator to deny or suspend registry system operations with the international transaction log in the event of non-payment of the fee by the Party, although not earlier than four months after the beginning of the relevant calendar year, subject to at least two reminders having been given to the Party and consultation having taken place with the Party concerned prior to the final reminder;
13. *Invites* Parties to the Convention that are also Parties to the Kyoto Protocol wishing to do so to submit to the secretariat, by 22 March 2010, their additional views on the approach to be taken by the Executive Secretary with regard to the collection of international transaction log fees;
14. *Requests* the secretariat to prepare a technical paper, by 30 April 2010, on options for methodologies for the collection of international transaction log fees, including proposals contained in submissions by Parties⁵ and based on the information provided by the international transaction log administrator in its annual report for 2009 for consideration by the Subsidiary Body for Implementation at its thirty-second session;
15. *Requests* the Subsidiary Body for Implementation, at its thirty-second session, to consider the submissions from Parties regarding the collection of international transaction log fees, the technical paper referred to in paragraph 14 above and the information on transactions of Kyoto Protocol units provided by the international transaction log administrator in its annual report for 2009, and to propose to the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to consider, at its sixth session, a methodology for the collection of international transaction log fees for the biennium 2012–2013 that would ensure sufficient and reliable funding for the international transaction log;
16. *Takes note* of the resource requirements for the international transaction log contained in document FCCC/SBI/2009/2/Add.3 and invites Parties in a position to do so to make voluntary contributions to cover activities resulting from decisions taken by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol at its fifth session.

and an additional amount equivalent to the Party's share, in accordance with annex I to this decision, adjusted to include only Parties included in annex II to this decision, of the remaining 60 per cent of these resource requirements, with the overall user fee of the Party being made proportional to the period between the date of connection of the national registry and the end of the biennium.

⁵ This refers to submissions contained in document FCCC/SBI/2009/MISC.3 and Add.1 and those mentioned in paragraph 13 above.

ANNEX I

**Indicative scale of contributions from Parties to the Kyoto Protocol
for the biennium 2010–2011^a**

Party	United Nations scale of assessments for 2009	Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2010	Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2011
Albania	0.006	0.008	0.008
Algeria	0.085	0.107	0.107
Angola	0.003	0.004	0.004
Antigua and Barbuda	0.002	0.003	0.003
Argentina	0.325	0.409	0.409
Armenia	0.002	0.003	0.003
Australia	1.787	2.247	2.247
Austria	0.887	1.115	1.115
Azerbaijan	0.005	0.006	0.006
Bahamas	0.016	0.020	0.020
Bahrain	0.033	0.042	0.042
Bangladesh	0.010	0.013	0.013
Barbados	0.009	0.011	0.011
Belarus	0.020	0.025	0.025
Belgium	1.102	1.386	1.386
Belize	0.001	0.001	0.001
Benin	0.001	0.001	0.001
Bhutan	0.001	0.001	0.001
Bolivia	0.006	0.008	0.008
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.006	0.008	0.008
Botswana	0.014	0.018	0.018
Brazil	0.876	1.102	1.102
Bulgaria	0.020	0.025	0.025
Burkina Faso	0.002	0.003	0.003
Burundi	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cambodia	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cameroon	0.009	0.011	0.011
Canada	2.977	3.744	3.744
Cape Verde	0.001	0.001	0.001
Central African Republic	0.001	0.001	0.001
Chile	0.161	0.202	0.202
China	2.667	3.354	3.354
Colombia	0.105	0.132	0.132
Comoros	0.001	0.001	0.001
Congo	0.001	0.001	0.001
Cook Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
Costa Rica	0.032	0.040	0.040
Côte d'Ivoire	0.009	0.011	0.011
Croatia	0.050	0.063	0.063
Cuba	0.054	0.068	0.068
Cyprus	0.044	0.055	0.055
Czech Republic	0.281	0.353	0.353
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	0.007	0.009	0.009

Party	United Nations scale of assessments for 2009	Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2010	Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2011
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.003	0.004	0.004
Denmark	0.739	0.929	0.929
Djibouti	0.001	0.001	0.001
Dominica	0.001	0.001	0.001
Dominican Republic	0.024	0.030	0.030
Ecuador	0.021	0.026	0.026
Egypt	0.088	0.111	0.111
El Salvador	0.020	0.025	0.025
Equatorial Guinea	0.002	0.003	0.003
Eritrea	0.001	0.001	0.001
Estonia	0.016	0.020	0.020
Ethiopia	0.003	0.004	0.004
European Union	2.500	2.500	2.500
Fiji	0.003	0.004	0.004
Finland	0.564	0.709	0.709
France	6.301	7.924	7.924
Gabon	0.008	0.010	0.010
Gambia	0.001	0.001	0.001
Georgia	0.003	0.004	0.004
Germany	8.577	10.786	10.786
Ghana	0.004	0.005	0.005
Greece	0.596	0.750	0.750
Grenada	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guatemala	0.032	0.040	0.040
Guinea	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guinea-Bissau	0.001	0.001	0.001
Guyana	0.001	0.001	0.001
Haiti	0.002	0.003	0.003
Honduras	0.005	0.006	0.006
Hungary	0.244	0.307	0.307
Iceland	0.037	0.047	0.047
India	0.450	0.566	0.566
Indonesia	0.161	0.202	0.202
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.180	0.226	0.226
Ireland	0.445	0.560	0.560
Israel	0.419	0.527	0.527
Italy	5.079	6.387	6.387
Jamaica	0.010	0.013	0.013
Japan	16.624	20.906	20.906
Jordan	0.012	0.015	0.015
Kenya	0.010	0.013	0.013
Kiribati	0.001	0.001	0.001
Kuwait	0.182	0.229	0.229
Kyrgyzstan	0.001	0.001	0.001
Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.001	0.001	0.001
Latvia	0.018	0.023	0.023
Lebanon	0.034	0.043	0.043
Lesotho	0.001	0.001	0.001
Liberia	0.001	0.001	0.001

Party	United Nations scale of assessments for 2009	Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2010	Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2011
Libyan Arab Jamahiriya	0.062	0.078	0.078
Liechtenstein	0.010	0.013	0.013
Lithuania	0.031	0.039	0.039
Luxembourg	0.085	0.107	0.107
Madagascar	0.002	0.003	0.003
Malawi	0.001	0.001	0.001
Malaysia	0.190	0.239	0.239
Maldives	0.001	0.001	0.001
Mali	0.001	0.001	0.001
Malta	0.017	0.021	0.021
Marshall Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
Mauritania	0.001	0.001	0.001
Mauritius	0.011	0.014	0.014
Mexico	2.257	2.838	2.838
Micronesia (Federated States of)	0.001	0.001	0.001
Monaco	0.003	0.004	0.004
Mongolia	0.001	0.001	0.001
Montenegro	0.001	0.001	0.001
Morocco	0.042	0.053	0.053
Mozambique	0.001	0.001	0.001
Myanmar	0.005	0.006	0.006
Namibia	0.006	0.008	0.008
Nauru	0.001	0.001	0.001
Nepal	0.003	0.004	0.004
Netherlands	1.873	2.355	2.355
New Zealand	0.256	0.322	0.322
Nicaragua	0.002	0.003	0.003
Niger	0.001	0.001	0.001
Nigeria	0.048	0.060	0.060
Niue	0.001	0.001	0.001
Norway	0.782	0.983	0.983
Oman	0.073	0.092	0.092
Pakistan	0.059	0.074	0.074
Palau	0.001	0.001	0.001
Panama	0.023	0.029	0.029
Papua New Guinea	0.002	0.003	0.003
Paraguay	0.005	0.006	0.006
Peru	0.078	0.098	0.098
Philippines	0.078	0.098	0.098
Poland	0.501	0.630	0.630
Portugal	0.527	0.663	0.663
Qatar	0.085	0.107	0.107
Republic of Korea	2.173	2.733	2.733
Republic of Moldova	0.001	0.001	0.001
Romania	0.070	0.088	0.088
Russian Federation	1.200	1.509	1.509
Rwanda	0.001	0.001	0.001
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.001	0.001	0.001
Saint Lucia	0.001	0.001	0.001

Party	United Nations scale of assessments for 2009	Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2010	Kyoto Protocol adjusted scale for 2011
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.001	0.001	0.001
Samoa	0.001	0.001	0.001
Sao Tome and Principe	0.001	0.001	0.001
Saudi Arabia	0.748	0.941	0.941
Senegal	0.004	0.005	0.005
Serbia	0.021	0.026	0.026
Seychelles	0.002	0.003	0.003
Sierra Leone	0.001	0.001	0.001
Singapore	0.347	0.436	0.436
Slovakia	0.063	0.079	0.079
Slovenia	0.096	0.121	0.121
Solomon Islands	0.001	0.001	0.001
South Africa	0.290	0.365	0.365
Spain	2.968	3.733	3.733
Sri Lanka	0.016	0.020	0.020
Sudan	0.010	0.013	0.013
Suriname	0.001	0.001	0.001
Swaziland	0.002	0.003	0.003
Sweden	1.071	1.347	1.347
Switzerland	1.216	1.529	1.529
Syrian Arab Republic	0.016	0.020	0.020
Tajikistan	0.001	0.001	0.001
Thailand	0.186	0.234	0.234
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.005	0.006	0.006
Timor-Leste	0.001	0.001	0.001
Togo	0.001	0.001	0.001
Tonga	0.001	0.001	0.001
Trinidad and Tobago	0.027	0.034	0.034
Tunisia	0.031	0.039	0.039
Turkmenistan	0.006	0.008	0.008
Tuvalu	0.001	0.001	0.001
Uganda	0.003	0.004	0.004
Ukraine	0.045	0.057	0.057
United Arab Emirates	0.302	0.380	0.380
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	6.642	8.353	8.353
United Republic of Tanzania	0.006	0.008	0.008
Uruguay	0.027	0.034	0.034
Uzbekistan	0.008	0.010	0.010
Vanuatu	0.001	0.001	0.001
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	0.200	0.252	0.252
Viet Nam	0.024	0.030	0.030
Yemen	0.007	0.009	0.009
Zambia	0.001	0.001	0.001
TOTAL	78.163	100.000	100.000

^a Scale may be adjusted following a review by the United Nations General Assembly in December 2009.

ANNEX II

**Fees and scale of fees for the international transaction log
for the biennium 2010–2011**

Party	Fees for 2010 (EUR)	Fees for 2011 (EUR)	Scale of fees for 2010–2011 (per cent)
Australia	70 609	70 609	2.342
Austria	45 482	45 482	1.509
Belgium	56 517	56 517	1.875
Bulgaria	1 019	1 019	0.034
Canada	130 330	130 330	4.324
Croatia	32 062	32 062	1.064
Czech Republic	14 413	14 413	0.478
Denmark	37 882	37 882	1.257
Estonia	815	815	0.027
European Union	76 928	76 928	2.552
Finland	28 914	28 914	0.959
France	305 647	305 647	10.139
Germany	439 762	439 762	14.589
Greece	30 544	30 544	1.013
Hungary	12 521	12 521	0.415
Iceland	21 139	21 139	0.701
Ireland	22 828	22 828	0.757
Italy	260 427	260 427	8.639
Japan	428 028	428 028	14.199
Latvia	932	932	0.031
Liechtenstein	5 387	5 387	0.179
Lithuania	1 601	1 601	0.053
Luxembourg	4 368	4 368	0.145
Monaco	5 183	5 183	0.172
Netherlands	96 029	96 029	3.186
New Zealand	27 516	27 516	0.913
Norway	66 446	66 446	2.204
Poland	25 682	25 682	0.852
Portugal	27 021	27 021	0.896
Romania	3 581	3 581	0.119

Russian Federation	78 588	78 588	2.607
Slovakia	3 232	3 232	0.107
Slovenia	4 921	4 921	0.163
Spain	152 168	152 168	5.048
Sweden	54 916	54 916	1.822
Switzerland	79 054	79 054	2.623
Ukraine	21 372	21 372	0.709
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	340 559	340 559	11.298
Total	3 014 423^a	3 014 423^a	100.000

^a Total includes deduction of fees paid by Parties not listed in annex II to decision 11/CMP.3, which amounts to EUR 121,771, from the budget for the international transaction log referred to in paragraph 6 of this decision.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*

Resolution 1/CMP.5

Expression of gratitude to the Government of the Kingdom of Denmark and the people of the city of Copenhagen

The Conference of the Parties and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol,

Having met in Copenhagen from 7 to 18 December 2009 at the invitation of the Government of the Kingdom of Denmark,

1. *Express their profound gratitude* to the Government of the Kingdom of Denmark for having made it possible for the fifteenth session of the Conference of the Parties and the fifth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol to be held in Copenhagen;

2. *Request* the Government of the Kingdom of Denmark to convey to the people of Copenhagen the gratitude of the Conference of the Parties and the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for the hospitality and warmth extended to the participants.

*12th plenary meeting
18–19 December 2009*
