

## Civil Society Dialogue- Agenda

1. Introduction by the Chair of the AF
2. Policies suggestions on agenda item of the AFB meeting (Alpha Kaloga) 15 minutes
3. Implementing the Cancun Adaptation Principles (Soenke Kreft) 15 minutes
4. Update from Partner countries (Lisa Junghans)
5. Complaint mechanisms in Jamaica (Indi Mc Lymont Lafayette) 40 minutes
6. Update on the project implementation in Tanzania (Fazal Issa)
7. Monitoring and Evaluation: Project assessment score cards (Bettina Koelle) 20 minutes



# Adaptation Fund NGO Network

*Policy Suggestions AFB26*

CSO Dialogue  
Bonn, 8 October 2015  
Alpha Kaloga, Germanwatch



# Evaluation: Phase 1

**Cluster 1:** Review; Recommendations 1, 6

**Cluster 2:** Development Policies and Procedures;  
Recommendations 5,3,11

**Cluster 3:** Delegation of Decision; Remainder of  
recommendations



## Cluster 1: Review

- Strengthening the vulnerability and formulating clear guidance to the proponent
  - Not only look at other funds, but also IPCC, AC, NWP, LEG and work done in other fora such as the United Population Fund

## Cluster 2: Development of policies and procedures

- Resource mobilization is of critical importance to this task
  - Facilitate strategic discussion in the AF on its role, nature and linkages in the post 2020 regime
  - Welcome the accreditation process to support governance strengthening of NIEs
  - Recommend further capacity building for NIEs to effectively implement fiduciary, environmental and social safeguard policies

## Cluster 3: Delegation of decision to the AF Secretariat

- A division of labor and smart use of the expertise of the Accreditation Panel
  - could simplify the process
    - Explore pros and cons of bridging the "accreditation gap" between the AF and the GCF
- Evaluation proposes "AF to adopt a more consistent and more open approach to closed meetings"
  - **Public** call of proposal to interested stakeholders, to allow views of all stakeholders being taken into account also those that are not part of the AFN
  - Need for AF committee to become more transparent in **permitting observer participation in Committee meetings and disclosing public interest information regarding accreditation** as well as reaccreditation of applicant entities
  - In line with recommendation 4 of the evaluation report, **CSO and stakeholder engagement in supporting and monitoring of AF projects should be sustained throughout the project cycle** as a part of formal procedures including in project interim and final evaluations



- The role of the AF in the post 2020 landscape; explore, as deemed as necessary;
  - Having discussions on how further "AF champions" can help raise the profile of AF towards different relevant processes and to create momentum among different constituencies
  - Explore ways to bridge the accreditation gap between the AF and the GCF
- The options are well elaborated and touched upon all the implications of accrediting the AF by the GCF
- However, the technical option needs to be linked with the political dimension of the issue
  - The second option (MoU between the AF and GCF), despite similarities with option 1 (accreditation of the AF as Intermediaries), it

- Welcome the submission of regional projects to the AF
- Even though the projects submitted are at concept level, it is important in our view to pay due attention to:
  - Consultation and involvement of local actors in all participating countries and projects area
  - Country-driven: Alignment of the projects with countries policies and procedures

# Gender Policies and Procedures

- Welcome the document on gender related policies and procedures
- Looking forward to the launch publication call for comments the launch of the providing inputs into the Fund Policies and Procedures

