

AFB/PPRC.16/9 19 March 2015

Adaptation Fund Board Project and Programme Review Committee Sixteenth Meeting Bonn, Germany, 7-8 April 2015

Agenda Item 6 e)

PROPOSAL FOR INDIA (2)

## Background

1. The Operational Policies and Guidelines (OPG) for Parties to Access Resources from the Adaptation Fund (the Fund), adopted by the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), state in paragraph 45 that regular adaptation project and programme proposals, i.e. those that request funding exceeding US\$ 1 million, would undergo either a one-step, or a two-step approval process. In case of the one-step process, the proponent would directly submit a fully-developed project proposal. In the two-step process, the proponent would first submit a brief project concept, which would be reviewed by the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) and would have to receive the endorsement of the Board. In the second step, the fully-developed project/programme document would be reviewed by the PPRC, and would ultimately require the Board's approval.

2. The Templates approved by the Board (OPG, Annex 4) do not include a separate template for project and programme concepts but provide that these are to be submitted using the project and programme proposal template. The section on Adaptation Fund Project Review Criteria states:

For regular projects using the two-step approval process, only the first four criteria will be applied when reviewing the 1st step for regular project concept. In addition, the information provided in the 1st step approval process with respect to the review criteria for the regular project concept could be less detailed than the information in the request for approval template submitted at the 2nd step approval process. Furthermore, a final project document is required for regular projects for the 2nd step approval, in addition to the approval template.

- 3. The first four criteria mentioned above are:
  - 1. Country Eligibility,
  - 2. Project Eligibility,
  - 3. Resource Availability, and
  - 4. Eligibility of NIE/MIE.
- The fifth criterion, applied when reviewing a fully-developed project document, is:
   5. Implementation Arrangements.

5. It is worth noting that since the twenty-second Board meeting, the Environmental and Social (E&S) Policy of the Fund was approved and consequently compliance with the Policy has been included in the review criteria both for concept documents and fully-developed project documents. The proposals template was revised as well, to include sections requesting demonstration of compliance of the project/programme with the E&S Policy.

6. In its seventeenth meeting, the Board decided (Decision B.17/7) to approve "Instructions for preparing a request for project or programme funding from the Adaptation Fund", contained in the Annex to document AFB/PPRC.8/4, which further outlines applicable review criteria for both concepts and fully-developed proposals. The latest version of this document was launched in conjunction with the revision of the Operational Policies and Guidelines in November 2013.

7. Based on the Board Decision B.9/2, the first call for project and programme proposals was issued and an invitation letter to eligible Parties to submit project and programme proposals to the Fund was sent out on April 8, 2010.

8. According to the Board Decision B.12/10, a project or programme proposal needs to be received by the secretariat no less than nine weeks before a Board meeting, in order to be considered by the Board in that meeting.

9. The following fully-developed project document titled ""Building Adaptive Capacities of Small Inland Fishermen Community for Climate Resilience and Livelihood Security, Madhya Pradesh, India" was submitted by the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD), which is the National Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund for India. This is the third submission of the project. It was first submitted as a project concept, using the two-step approval process, for the twenty-third Board meeting, along with a request for Project Formulation Grant (PFG) and the Board decided to:

- (a) Endorse the project concept, as supplemented by the clarification response provided by the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) Request the secretariat to transmit to NABARD the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues;
  - (i) The fully-developed proposal should elaborate how it will support the enhancement of market and institutional linkages under activity 3.2;
  - (ii) At the fully-developed proposal stage, and in accordance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund, and in the particular context of inland fishing, the role of women groups in managing inland fisheries should be taken into account and the type of support they will receive should be described. Specific activities targeted towards inclusion in the actual management of fish tanks or their ownership should be clearly outlined;
  - (iii) The fully-developed proposal should clarify how vulnerable communities including fisher folks from the "scheduled caste and other backward classes" would benefit from the project, providing detailed activities and the size of the target population. It should also demonstrate that these groups would not be negatively affected by the project's activities. In addition, when developing the insurance product under activity 1.2, the proposal should ensure that the decision of subscription to the policy by the targeted communities will be made on an informed basis;
  - (iv) The fully-developed proposal should consider exploring the possibility of study tours for stakeholders, especially fish farmers, across the pilot sites to allow them to share experiences;
  - (v) The proponent should take into account the number of other national projects that are currently addressing inland fisheries, to ensure that there is no duplication and that there will be synergies and collaboration systems in place;

- (vi) The fully-developed proposal should describe a clear strategy on how the farmers will maintain the investments especially as the cost of the fish tanks is rather high (as identified by the Implementing Entity) for enabling any significant increase in local living standards;
- (vii) The fully-developed proposal should describe how NABARD will ensure that executing entities are fully aware of their responsibilities with regards to the provisions of the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund, including the promotion of human rights, where applicable, and how the executing entities and direct beneficiaries will be made aware of the grievance mechanism available in the country and of the complaint handling mechanism of the Fund, in case of non-compliance;
- (c) Approve the Project Formulation Grant of US\$ 30,000;
- (d) Request NABARD to transmit the observations under item (b) to the Government of India; and
- (e) Encourage the Government of India to submit through NABARD a fullydeveloped project proposal that would address the observations under item (b) above.

(Decision B.23/7)

10. A fully-developed project document was submitted to the Board at its twenty-fourth meeting and the Board decided to:

- (a) Not approve the project document, as supplemented by the clarification response provided by the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) Suggest that NABARD reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
  - (i) The conceptual changes and clarifications provided in the Response Sheet should be applied consistently to the entire project document;
  - (ii) The feasibility of the aquaculture activities with small marginalized farmers should be demonstrated, in particular with respect to farmers' ability to form effective associations and the availability of credit;
  - (iii) The proposal should consider elaborating on the required association of beneficiary farmers and clarify the arrangements within these groups as well as strengthen their capacity to effectively culture fish as a group;
  - (iv) The proposal should assess the project risks against the Environmental and Social Policy principles, in particular with respect to Access and Equity, Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups, Gender Equity and Women's Empowerment, Core Labour Rights, Conservation of Biological Diversity and Public Health. An assessment and an Environmental and Social

Management Plan (ESMP) should be prepared, commensurate with the identified risks; and

(c) Request NABARD to transmit the observations under item (b) to the Government of India.

(Decision B.24/9)

11. The present submission was received by the secretariat in time to be considered in the twenty-fifth Board meeting. The secretariat carried out a technical review of the project proposal, assigned it the diary number IND/NIE/Food/2013/1, and completed a review sheet.

12. In accordance with a request to the secretariat made by the Board in its 10th meeting, the secretariat shared this review sheet with NABARD, and offered it the opportunity of providing responses before the review sheet was sent to the PPRC.

13. The secretariat is submitting to the PPRC the summary and, pursuant to decision B.17/15, the final technical review of the project, both prepared by the secretariat, along with the final submission of the proposal in the following section.

#### **Project Summary**

India (2) – Building Adaptive Capacities of Small Inland Fishermen Community for Climate Resilience and Livelihood Security, Madhya Pradesh, India

Implementing Entity: NABARD

Project/Programme Execution Cost: USD 143,192 Total Project/Programme Cost: USD 1,650,700 Implementing Fee: USD 139,800 Financing Requested: USD 1,790,500

<u>Project Background and Context:</u> Small farmers in Madhya Pradesh's Dhar district traditionally depend on rain-fed agriculture for their livelihood. Some areas are irrigated with ground water. However, groundwater extraction has reached a critical stage, since recharge rates are low. Lately, farmers have also been affected by changes in rainfall patterns, such as decreases in pre- and post-monsoon rainfall and a shift in the onset of the monsoon. Rising temperatures are another challenge.

The objective of the project is to showcase climate-resilient pond designs, institutional arrangements between farmers and traditional fishermen, and insurance schemes which will provide farmers with options for adapting more effectively with climatic variability. The project aims at implementing and testing adaptive strategies that aim at preventing risk (e.g. modification of pond design for larger and longer water retention); transferring risk (e.g. weather based insurance that absorbs losses from climate change) and by terminating risk (e.g. changing fish species or by introducing alternative technological options). The proposed project aims to develop and field test the adaptive strategies to create models that could be replicated and up scaled through government policies and programmes. The project targets the agroclimatic zone of Jhabua hills comprising the districts of Jhabua, Alirajpur and Dhar.

The project presents four specific components:

- <u>Component 1</u>: Adaptive measures to address rainfall variability;
- <u>Component 2</u>: Adaptive measures to address warmer climatic regime;
- <u>Component 3</u>: Building resilience for climate adaptation;
- <u>Component 4</u>: Knowledge generation and management.

<u>Component 1</u>: Adaptive measures to address rainfall variability (USD 1,145,500)

The project will support the development of a Protocol for prioritising rural ponds (less than 10 ha) for inland fisheries, and support technical modification of pond design to address the current climate stresses namely the increased variability of precipitation, delayed monsoon, extreme weather events leading to high intensity rainfall, and lengthening of summer months. In addition, the project envisages construction of new ponds/repair/modification of existing ponds on private lands and on common property land (of Gram Panchayats). The construction will be based on the technical review of existing and recommended sites. To deal with the projected climatic stresses, an existing weather based fisherman insurance scheme will be further developed and repackaged for the individual fishermen. The project will also facilitate fishers to be members of existing fishermen cooperatives. Finally, the targeted catchment would be treated by plantation / soil conservation measures (vegetative and/or mechanical) and run-off check.

#### Component 2: Adaptive measures to address warmer climatic regime (USD 157,675)

The project will take two measures to regulate the tank water temperature in peak summer i.e. (1) Provision of shade (cover) over a part of the pond and (2) Greening the pond surrounding. Greening, suitable to the local geographical and environmental condition, will be undertaken to regulate the pond water temperature. In addition, four different species of fish would be promoted in the tanks, namely *catla, rohu, mrigal* and common carp. The logic of adapting these four categories of fish is based on their adaptive characteristic, feeding practices and the fact that they are native and endemic to the region. Finally, the tanks water quality and nutritional management activities will be undertaken and seed hatcheries and nurseries established.

#### <u>Component 3:</u> Building resilience for climate adaptation (USD 85,313)

Capacity building of fish farmers on scientific and adaptive means of fish farming will be taken up in the three project districts. The women members of the households of fisher community and the private pond owners will be included as participants. The capacity building areas will include improved practices for productivity enhancement, adaptive practices in fish farming and economics and commercial fish farming practices. The project will also ensure that institutional linkages of the farmer to institutions in market and with institutions that provide credit and insurance, to address value change related issues as well as to ensure sustainability of the project benefits.

#### <u>Component 4</u>: Knowledge generation and management (USD 119,020)

The project will establish District Steering Committees (DSC) for the three districts, a Technical Advisory Group (TAG), a State Steering Committee and a Climate Change Observatory. These will constitute the institutional settings that will provide opportunity to key stakeholders to participate in the implementation of the project as well as involve them in the identification of learning areas and issues on which evidence needs to be generated. The Steering Committees at the State and District levels aim at providing inputs on the relevance of adaptation strategies and the documentation that will be required for their up-scaling and their contribution to policy development for small fishers in the state and for development of adaptation strategies for natural resource management with reference to fisheries. The Technical Advisory Group and the Climate Change Observatory include stakeholders that comprise the community of practitioners and as experts in the field of fisheries and climate change and are critical in identifying impacts and in assessing the effectiveness of different project components and strategies.



# ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL REVIEW OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY: Regular-sized Project

| Country/Region:     | India  |   |
|---------------------|--|---|
| Project Title:      | Building adaptive capacities of small inland fishers for climate resilience and livelihood security, |   |
|                     | Madhya Pradesh, India  |   |
| AF Project ID:      | IND/NIE/Food/2013/1  |   |
| IE Project ID:      |  | Requested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars):1,790,500 |
| Reviewer and contac | t person: <b>Daouda Ndiaye</b>   | Co-reviewer(s): Dr Dirk Lamberts                                |
| IE ContactPerson:   | P. Radhakrishan  |   |

| Review Criteria Questions |   | Comments on 20 February 2015  | Comments on 15<br>March 2015 |
|---------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|
|                           | <ol> <li>Is the country party to the Kyoto<br/>Protocol?</li> </ol>   | Yes, India is party to the Kyoto Protocol   |                              |
| Country<br>Eligibility    | 2. Is the country a developing<br>country particularly vulnerable to<br>the adverse effects of climate<br>change?   | Yes, India is a developing country predominantly with<br>a monsoon climate which determines much of the<br>agriculture sector. Changes in the characteristics of<br>the monsoon may have adverse effects on the<br>predominantly rural population and their livelihoods.  |                              |
|                           | <ol> <li>Has the designated government<br/>authority for the Adaptation Fund<br/>endorsed the<br/>project/programme?</li> </ol>   | No. please submit the letter of endorsement as soon as possible. <b>CAR1.</b>   | CAR1: Addressed.             |
| Project Eligibility       | 2. Does the project / programme<br>support concrete adaptation<br>actions to assist the country in<br>addressing adaptive capacity to<br>the adverse effects of climate<br>change and build in climate<br>resilience? | Yes. The project will build physical, human and<br>institutional capacity to adapt to the consequences of<br>climate change in 3 western districts of Madhya<br>Pradesh. It addresses a constraint to agricultural<br>production that is forecast to become worse with<br>climate change. Outside of the 5 months of the<br>monsoon season, there is virtually no rainfall in the |                              |

| <ol> <li>Does the project / programme</li> </ol>   | project area. Improving water storage capacity and<br>optimising the water's agricultural productivity and<br>supporting livelihoods of disadvantaged farmer<br>groups are envisaged by the project.<br>In the previous submission, shading of parts of the<br>ponds was going to be achieved by introducing water<br>hyacinth in the ponds. The comment was made to the<br>proponent that this is an invasive pest species and<br>hence the activity cannot be funded by the AF. The<br>current proposal still maintains providing shade as a<br>temperature control measure but does no longer<br>specify how this will be provided. <b>CR1:</b> Given the<br>sizes of the ponds, please explain how this will be<br>done other than by using water hyacinth. Please<br>clarify if other measures to reduce water temperatures<br>have been explored, including creating deeper<br>portions in the pond.<br>Yes. The primary beneficiaries are the men because | CR1: Addressed. |
|--|--|-----------------|
| provide economic, social and<br>environmental benefits,<br>particularly to vulnerable<br>communities, including gender<br>considerations, while avoiding or<br>mitigating negative impacts, in<br>compliance with the<br>Environmental and Social Policy<br>of the Fund? | of their traditional role in aquaculture activities.<br>Women will benefit through their (family) association<br>with the primary beneficiaries.   |                 |
| 4. Is the project / programme cost effective?  | Yes.   |                 |
| 5. Is the project / programme<br>consistent with national or sub-<br>national sustainable development<br>strategies, national or sub-<br>national development plans,<br>poverty reduction strategies,<br>national communications and                                     | Yes.   |                 |

| adaptation programs of action and other relevant instruments?   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| 6. Does the project / programme<br>meet the relevant national<br>technical standards, where<br>applicable, in compliance with the<br>Environmental and Social Policy<br>of the Fund?? | Yes.   |  |
| <ol> <li>Is there duplication of project /<br/>programme with other funding<br/>sources?</li> </ol>   | No.  |  |
| 8. Does the project / programme<br>have a learning and knowledge<br>management component to<br>capture and feedback lessons?  | Yes, the learning and knowledge management component is fully developed.   |  |
| 9. Has a consultative process taken<br>place, and has it involved all key<br>stakeholders, and vulnerable<br>groups, including gender<br>considerations?                              | Yes. A stakeholder analysis is included in the funding request. Stakeholder consultation is extensively documented.  |  |
| 10. Is the requested financing<br>justified on the basis of full cost of<br>adaptation reasoning?   | Yes.   |  |
| 11. Is the project / program aligned with AF's results framework?   | Yes.   |  |
| 12. Has the sustainability of the<br>project/programme outcomes<br>been taken into account when<br>designing the project?   | Yes.   |  |
| 13. Does the project / programme<br>provide an overview of<br>environmental and social impacts<br>/ risks identified?   | <ul> <li>Yes. However, there are a number of environmental and social risks that have been identified, including:</li> <li>a. child labour. India has not ratified the ILO conventions on child labour. The clarification on p. 84 on child labour is limited to employment in fisheries activities, while indirect and secondary</li> </ul> |  |

|              |  | <ul> <li>impacts are not considered. Children may be in other work.</li> <li>b. access and equity. Selection of beneficiaries. p. 37: of the 99 priority ponds 20 will be selected based on clustering for management and monitoring convenience.</li> <li>c. involuntary resettlement. Given the proximity of the ponds to the hamlets, necessary relocation of individual households is conceivable. p. 84.</li> <li>d. marginalised groups. Caste-based fishers, and tribal fishers (p. 2-3 and 7).</li> <li>e. public health. Water-borne vectors.</li> <li>f. marginalised and vulnerable groups. p. 51-2, and p. 84.</li> <li>Risks that have not been identified in any way in the proposal include: <ul> <li>a. impacts during and from <i>construction activities</i> for the ponds and for catchment infrastructure.</li> <li>b. impact from disposal of large volumes of soil excavated from the ponds. These volumes of soil will largely exceed the volumes needed for dyke construction and will need to be landfilled or otherwise used.</li> </ul> </li> <li>CR2: Please revise the checklist table to take into account the potential risks identified above.</li> </ul> | CR2: Addressed. |
|--------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Resource     | 1 le the requested project /   | Voc   |                 |
| Availability | programme funding within the<br>cap of the country?                                      | 165.  |                 |
|              | 2. Is the Implementing Entity<br>Management Fee at or below 8.5<br>per cent of the total | The IE fee is at 8.44% of the total project budget.   |                 |

|                   | project/programme budget before the fee?  |   |                  |
|-------------------|---|---|------------------|
|                   | 3. Are the Project/Programme<br>Execution Costs at or below 9.5<br>per cent of the total<br>project/programme budget<br>(including the fee)?  | The Project Execution Costs are at 8.67% of the total project budget.   |                  |
| Eligibility of IE | 4. Is the project/programme<br>submitted through an eligible<br>Implementing Entity that has<br>been accredited by the Board?   | Yes, the project is submitted through the Board-<br>accredited NIE National Bank for Agriculture and<br>Rural Development (NABARD)  |                  |
|                   | <ol> <li>Is there adequate arrangement<br/>for project / programme<br/>management?</li> </ol>   | Yes.  |                  |
|                   | <ol> <li>Are there measures for financial<br/>and project/programme risk<br/>management?</li> </ol>   | Yes.  |                  |
| Implementation    | 3. Are there measures in place for<br>the management of for<br>environmental and social risks, in<br>line with the Environmental and<br>Social Policy of the Fund?<br>Proponents are encouraged to<br>refer to the draft Guidance | There are a number of environmental and social risks<br>that have been identified and for which mitigation<br>measures are included, but there are also identified<br>risks for which no or inadequate mitigation is<br>proposed, and lastly there are a number of risks that<br>have not been identified at all (see above).   |                  |
| Arrangements      | document for Implementing<br>Entities on compliance with the<br>Adaptation Fund Environmental<br>and Social Policy, for details.  | Therefore, based on the environmental and social<br>risks that are identified in the project proposal - be it<br>explicitly or implicitly through the inclusion of<br>mitigation measures and modalities - there is no<br>justification to categorize the project as C. Category B<br>seems appropriate and it is recommended that an<br>ESMP be prepared for the project. <b>CAR2.</b> | CAR2: Addressed. |
|                   |   | Also, some of the project activities are partially specified at this stage. In particular the <i>catchment treatment plans</i> that will be prepared for each of the 60 ponds for a total area of over 2,800 ha will be   |                  |

|    |   | prepared during project implementation. It is the 2 <sup>nd</sup><br>largest project activity in terms of budget. The<br>proposal has currently (p. 40-41) a mechanism for<br>approval of catchment treatment plans by submission<br>to the Project Steering Committee, as well as for<br>evaluation to ensure that it does not generate some of<br>the risks specified in the ESP principles. This<br>amounts to a sub-project review process that should<br>be formalised as part of a project ESMP. <b>CR3:</b> In the<br>ESMP, please describe the sub-project review<br>process that will take place to ensure that there is<br>adequate screening of the relevant risks specified in<br>the ESP principles during the catchment treatment | CR3: Addressed.<br>CR4: Addressed. |
|----|---|---|------------------------------------|
|    |   | The implementation mechanism is said to take care of<br>the risks as per the ESP. Information on how that will<br>work specifically for ESP compliance would be useful.<br><b>CR4:</b> Please clarify in the ESMP the arrangements for<br>monitoring the implementation of E&S risks<br>management during the project implementation.   | CR5: Addressed.                    |
|    |   | Lastly, although a grievance mechanism which is<br>accessible by employees and affected communities is<br>described p. 85, section K, please elaborate on such<br>mechanism and clarify how it is designed to receive<br>and facilitate grievances in a transparent manner and<br>scaled to the severity of the risks. <b>CR5</b>   |                                    |
| 4. | Is a budget on the Implementing<br>Entity Management Fee use<br>included? | Yes.  |                                    |
| 5. | Is an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs included?        | Yes.  |                                    |
| 6. | Is a detailed budget including  | Yes.  |                                    |

| budget notes included?   |  |                  |
|--|--|------------------|
| 7. Are arrangements for monitoring<br>and evaluation clearly defined,<br>including budgeted M&E plans<br>and sex-disaggregated data,<br>targets and indicators?                                | The project includes a description of monitoring and evaluation arrangements, as well as a simple budgeted M&E plan. |                  |
| 8. Does the M&E Framework<br>include a break-down of how<br>implementing entity IE fees will<br>be utilized in the supervision of<br>the M&E function?   | No.  |                  |
| 9. Does the project/programme's<br>results framework align with the<br>AF's results framework? Does it<br>include at least one core outcome<br>indicator from the Fund's results<br>framework? | Yes. The results framework aligns with the AF's results framework.   |                  |
| 10. Is a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones included?  | Yes. <b>CAR2:</b> Please revise the disbursement schedule table using the official template.                         | CAR2: Addressed. |

**Technical** The overall objective of the proposed project is to make the inland fisheries sector of Madhya Pradesh more climate resilient and to enhance the adaptive capacity of fish farmers and their livelihoods. It aimed to achieve this by increasing Summary the water storage capacity in fish ponds in the three project districts, by improving the capacity of poor and marginalized farmers to engage in climate-smart aquaculture in these ponds, by further raising awareness of climate change and its impacts, and by preparing and disseminating adaptation strategies for small pond aquaculture farmers. The project presents four components: 1. Adaptive measures to address rainfall variability 2. Building resilience through adaptation of climate resilient technology 3. Building climate resilience through enhancement of adaptive capacity 4. Knowledge generation and management. This is the second submission of the fully-developed proposal. It was first submitted to the Board at its 24<sup>th</sup> meeting and the Board decided not to approve it, and made a few observations, including clarification requests on the proposed technical specifications and management system of the ponds, and issues related to compliance with the E&S Principles of the Fund.

|       | The initial technical review found that the resubmitted project had been substantially improved, including on the technical aspects, thus reducing the uncertainty regarding some environmental and social risks. However, there remained a few issues, mostly related to the environmental and social risks associated with the project, which needed to be addressed. A few clarification requests (CRs) and corrective action requests (CARs) were made and the proponent had subsequently submitted a revised document, which adequately addressed all the requests. |
|-------|--|
| Date: | 15 March 2015  |

Г



# REQUEST FOR PROJECT/PROGRAMME FUNDING FROM THE ADAPTATION FUND

The annexed form should be completed and transmitted to the Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat by email or fax.

Please type in the responses using the template provided. The instructions attached to the form provide guidance to filling out the template.

Please note that a project/programme must be fully prepared (i.e., fully appraised for feasibility) when the request is submitted. The final project/programme document resulting from the appraisal process should be attached to this request for funding.

Complete documentation should be sent to:

The Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat 1818 H Street NW MSN P4-400 Washington, D.C., 20433 U.S.A Fax: +1 (202) 522-3240/5 Email: afbsec@adaptation-fund.org

|  | Content   | Page No      |
|--|---|--------------|
| PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION        |   |              |
| Iroj   | ect / Programme Background and Context  | 03           |
| 1.1 I  | Problem Context   | 03           |
| 1.2 I  | Project Area  | 09           |
| 1.3 (  | Climate Change  | 19           |
| 1.4 (  | Climate Change and its Impact on Inland Fisheries                                   | 25           |
| 1.5 (  | Climate Change Adaptation in Inland Fisheries                                       | 27           |
|  | Project / Programme Objectives  | 28           |
| Project / Programme Components and Financing |   | 29           |
| I F  | Projected Calendar  | 31           |
| PAF  | RT II: PROJECT / PROGRAMME JUSTIFICATION  | 32-89        |
| <b>A</b> .                                   | Describe the project / programme components   | 32           |
| В.   | Describe how the project/programme provides economic, social and                    | 52           |
|  | environmental benefits  |              |
| C.   | Describe or provide an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the proposed project / | 57           |
| n  | programme   | ( <b>2</b> ) |
| D.   | Describe now the project / programme is consistent with national or sub-national    | 05           |
| Б  | Describe how the project / programme meets relevant national technical standards    | 64           |
| E.<br>F                                      | Describe if there is duplication of project / programme                             | 04           |
| 1.   | sources   | 65           |
| G.   | If applicable, describe the learning and knowledge management component to          | 05           |
| 0.   | capture and disseminate lessons learned   | 69           |
| H.   | Describe the consultative process, including the list of stakeholders               |              |
|  | consulted   | 70           |
| I.   | Provide justification for funding requested, focusing on the full cost of           |              |
|  | adaptation reasoning  | 80           |
| J.   | Describe the Sustainability of the project  | 83           |
| К.   | Provide an overview of the environmental and social impacts and risks identified as |              |
|  | being relevant to the project / programme   | 84           |
| PAF  | RT III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS   | 90-127       |
| A.   | Describe the arrangements for project / programme implementation.                   | 90           |
| B.   | Describe the measures for financial and project / programme risk management.        | 94           |
| С.<br>р                                      | Describe the measures for environmental and social risk management                  | 9/           |
| D.   | budgeted Me-E plan  | 101          |
| Б  | Basults framework for the project proposal including milestones targets and         | 104          |
| г.   | indicators  | 104          |
| F.   | Demonstrate how the project / programme aligns with the Results Framework of        | 112          |
| <b>.</b> .                                   | the Adaptation Fund   |              |
| G.   | Detailed budget with budget notes   | 118          |
| H.   | Disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones.                                   | 126          |
| PAF  | <b>RT IV: ENDORESEMENT BY GOVERNMENT AND CERTIFICATION</b>                          | 128          |
| BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY                   |   |              |
| AN   | NEXURES   | 129-208      |
| API  | PENDIX  | 209-230      |



# **PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL TO THE ADAPTATION FUND**

# **PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION**

| Project/Programme Category:    | Regular  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Country/ies:                   | INDIA  |
| Title of Project/Programme:    | BUILDING ADAPTIVE CAPACITIES OF SMALL INLAND<br>FISHERS FOR CLIMATE RESILIENCE AND<br>LIVELIHOOD SECURITY, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA |
| Type of Implementing Entity:   | NIE  |
| Implementing Entity:           | NATIONAL BANK FOR AGRICULTURE AND RURAL<br>DEVELOPMENT (NABARD)  |
| Executing Entity/ies:          | TOWARDS ACTION AND LEARNING(TAAL)  |
| Amount of Financing Requested: | US\$1,790,500 (in U.S Dollars Equivalent)  |

# Project / Programme Background and Context:

Provide brief information on the problem the proposed project/programme is aiming to solve. Outline the economic social, development and environmental context in which the project would operate.

# **1.1 Problem Context<sup>1</sup>**

# 1.1.1 Fisheries in the Global Context<sup>2</sup>

Global fish production has increased at an average annual rate of 3.2% during the last five decades and has been estimated at 91.2 million tons in 2012. Within the global production of fisheries inland water capture fisheries was 11.6 million tons, which was 13% of total capture production.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>In the document the term *Fishers* includes the entire inland community of fisherfolk whether they are engaged in fish hunting, capture fisheries or rearing of fish on fish farms etc. The term also includes traditional fishers who have been practicing fisheries as part of their caste based occupations. *Aquaculture* refers to the practice of farming of aquatic organisms that include fish as well. In this proposal it refers to the practice of fish farming that cultures fish in controlled water bodies under controlled conditions and management. The term *fish farmer* has also been used to refer to persons practicing aquaculture. *Inland fisheries* provide livelihood activities for a significant proportion of poor tribal communities in rural India.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Global data has been taken from reports: 2014 State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture, FAO; Fish 2030 Prospects for Fisheries and Aquaculture, World Bank Report no 83177-GLB

The increased production has been accompanied with an increase in per capita fish consumption from an average 9.9 kg per capita in the 1960s to 19.2 kg per capita in 2012. Fish represents 16% of all animal protein consumed globally.

Fisheries and aquaculture provide livelihoods for 10-12% of the world's population. There are 58.3 million persons engaged in (primary sector) of capture fisheries in 2012 of which 37% were in full time employment, 23% part time and the remaining as occasional fishers. Employment in the sector has grown faster than the world's population and growth in the traditional agriculture sector. Women account for 15% of the persons engaged in fisheries primary sector and up to 90% in secondary activities.

Globally, the contribution of small-scale fisheries to poverty alleviation and towards food and nutritional security are being increasingly recognized<sup>3</sup>. Small-scale fisheries contribute about half of global fish catch. If we take into account fish catch for human consumption then the share of small-scale fisheries increases to two thirds. Further, small-scale fisheries employ more than 90% of the world's capture fishers and fish workers of which 50% are women. Most small-scale fishers are self-employed directly engaged in providing food for their households.

# 1.1.2 Fisheries in India<sup>4</sup>

India is the third largest producer of inland fish and second largest producer of farmed fish. Marine and inland fisheries including aquaculture are the two constituents of the fisheries sector in India. Marine fisheries are carried out in the 2.02 million sq. km. of oceanic resources. The declaration of the Exclusive Economic Zone (EEZ) has enabled India to have absolute rights to conserve, develop, and optimally exploit the marine resources. Inland fisheries resource include 1.96 million km stretch of rivers and canals, 29.07 million hectares (mha) reservoirs, 24.4 mha ponds and tanks, 7.98 mha of beels/derelict water bodies and 12.4 mha of brackish water areas.



Figure 1 Proportion of Inland and Marine Fish Production in Total Fish Production

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The Future We Want, Rio+ Outcome Document; Voluntary Guidelines on Responsible Governance of Tenure of land, fisheries an forest in the context of National Food Security, FAO; International Guidelines on securing sustainable Small Scale Fisheries, FAO Feb 2014.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Data has been taken from *Handbook of Fisheries Statistics, 2012*, Department of Animal Husbandry, Dairying and Fisheries, Government of India and *Report of Working Group on Fisheries for 12<sup>th</sup> Five Year Plan, 2012*, Planning Commission Government of India.

The proportion of marine and inland fisheries within the total fish production has changed significantly between 1950-51 and 2010-11. The proportion of marine production decreased by 32 percent in the past seven decades that was replaced by an equal increase incontribution of inland fisheries/aquacultureduring the same period. The two sectors reveal different growth rates during the 60-year period. The production of marine fisheries increased significantly in the first decade at 64% but the rate of increase has decreased gradually in subsequent decades. The growth rate of marine fisheries was 15% during 2000-01 and 2010-11 though the production was 6 times the level that was in 1950-51. The production of inland fisheries in the country was slow to grow in the initial decade at 11% but made a quantum jump in the very next decade when it recorded a decadal growth of 175%. Since then the decadal growth rate of inland fisheries in the last fifty years with the growth rate of inland fisheries in the last decade 2000-01 to 2010-11 recorded at 75%, with the production in 2010-11 being 22 times the level of production that was recorded in 1950-51.

The fish production in the country has shown an increasing trend in the past five decades at an

annual average growth rate of 6%. The growth in inland fisheries reveals a low and steady rate in the first thirty years that underwent a quantum jump and increased at a high rate from 1980-81 onwards.

A significant characteristic of inland fisheries is its small-scale nature. It is mostly a traditional economic activity undertaken by diverse fisher communitiesinvolving scheduled





castes and tribes that rely on fish as a protein source, and are some of the poorest people in rural India. Smaller water bodies in the form of ponds and tanks with a water spread area of 2.4 mha are the major aquatic eco systems for fresh water fish culture in the country. The sector is largely "unorganised" due to scattered and diffused activity in different regions of the country, lack of technology to increase productivity, and lack of awareness of how to guard against the impacts of future climate change:namely, the increased variability of precipitation, delayed monsoon, extreme weather events leading to high intensity rainfall, and lengthening of summer months.

India's aquaculture includes both freshwater and brackish water production. The brackish water aquaculture is primarily the culture of shrimp varieties namely: giant tiger prawn (*Penaeusmonodon*) and white leg shrimp (*Penaeusvannamei*). The freshwater aquaculture comprises of the culture of carp fishes, culture of catfishes, culture of freshwater prawns, culture of pangasius, and culture of tilapia. The three Indian major carps, namely catla (*Catlacatla*), rohu (*Labeorohita*) and mrigal (*Cirrhinusmrigala*) contribute the bulk of production to the extent of 70 to 75 percent of the total

fresh water fish production, followed by silver carp, grass carp, common carp, catfishes forming a second important group contributing the balance of 25 to 30 percent.<sup>5</sup>

Fresh water aquaculture has evolved as a viable commercial farming practice from the level of traditionally backyard activity over last three decades with considerable diversification in terms of species and systems. Induced breeding of carps and catfishes, hatcheries for mass-scale spawning, seed rearing and carp poly culture are increasingly being used in commercial freshwater aquaculture. The sector has also shown considerable diversification in recent years with the adoption of other species such as catfishes and freshwater prawns, due to their higher market demand and economic values<sup>6</sup>.

Integrated fish farming with livestock and horticulture has not only been able to utilize the byproducts/wastes as principal inputs, but also made the farming practice highly remunerative and farmer friendly. Availability of balanced supplementary feed for different life stages for diversified cultivable species and appropriate disease management measures are some of the important other developments. Almost five-fold growth in mean national pond productivity in last four decades is proof of the sector's vibrancy<sup>7</sup>.

The water spread area under 'tanks and ponds' of about 24.14 million ha nationwide, offer immense potential for fish production. However, the gap between present and potential productivity is almost 5 to 7 times that, and indicates the potential for fisheries in small water bodies of which many are located in the rainfed areas.

| Productivity gap in different water bodies (kg/ha/year)  |                      |                        |  |
|--|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| Water bodies   | Present productivity | Potential yield status |  |
| Small reservoirs   | 50-100               | 250-300                |  |
| Floodplain wetlands  | 250                  | 1,500-2,000            |  |
| Tanks  | 300-500              | 2,000-4,000            |  |
| Ponds  | 400-600              | 3,000-5,000            |  |
| Source: Sub-Group-II Report anchored by Central Research Institute for DrylandAgriculturefor the Agriculture production system (12 V year plan). |                      |                        |  |

The fisheries sector is an important source of livelihoods for over 14.49 million people engaged fully (27%), partially (32%) or as occasional or in subsidiary activities (41%) pertaining to the sector. An equal number are engaged in ancillary activities in fisheries and aquaculture as well. The sector contributes to about 1.04% of the Gross Domestic Product of the country amounting to Rs.356.5 billion during 2007-08 (Govt. of India, 2008).

Currently India has no specific government guidelines that prioritise he location of sites for ponds for inland fisheries. The government manual for design of ponds does not specify the factors that need to be taken into account if fisheries are to be conducted. At present the rural ponds for fisheries are selected on the basis of their ability to retain water for different periods of time. These

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>http://www.fao.org/fishery/countrysector/naso\_india/en

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> ibid

<sup>7</sup> ibid

ponds originally were designed and constructed for different purposes, e.g. irrigation, percolation tanks, *nistari* tanks (to be used for washing, bathing and for providing water for animals) and so on. Selection of such ponds for fisheries is not by design but is incidental to their existence. The proposed project will seek to develop a protocol that will prioritise selection of sites where ponds for fisheries will be most suitable, as well as an integrated production system, as the primary adaptive capacity strategy for small-scale inland fisheries. A combined community based and ecosystem based approach is needed to reflect the context-specific priorities of local populations and the realities of ecosystem capacities.

# 1.1.3 Fisheries in Madhya Pradesh<sup>8</sup>

Madhya Pradesh is situated in the central region of India, and is the second largest state in the nation. The state is mainly plateau land with the exception of valleys of the Narmada and Tapti rivers and interspersed with mountains of the Vindhyas and Satpura ranges. The state gives birth to the Narmada, Tapti and Mahi rivers and contains sub catchments of the Ganga, and Yamuna rivers. The total river length of 17,088 km and 3.98mha of water spread area in reservoirs represent



the available resources of fisheries

Figure 3 Fish Production in MP

in the state. Of the available reservoir area, 98% has been brought under fisheries, including 0.64mha of rural ponds.

Fish production in the state was 56.45 thousand tonnes in 2010-11 that represented 1.13% of the total inland fish production in the country. The total production of fish in the state has shown a variegated trend though during the decade, and total production has increased by 16% between 2000-01 to 2010-11.

Fisheries are a major source of employment for the rural population. It has generated 154.67 m person days of employment in 2010-11 and was identified as a critical source of secondary employment for families. The cooperative sector has 65,377 members in 1,911 cooperatives. Amongst these 2% were cooperatives comprising of women members only.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Source: Department of Fisheries, Government of Madhya Pradesh

#### Fish Culture in Madhya Pradesh

Fisheries in Madhya Pradesh incorporate a range of techniques in rivers, reservoir and ponds. The riverine fishery is undertaken with capture fish techniques and by persons that have been granted license for the same. Fisheries in reservoirs and ponds include a range of culture-cum-capture techniques. Some reservoirs have also introduced cage culture practices under highly intensive fish cultivation arrangements.

Socially, fisheries have been the traditional occupation of persons belonging to caste groups such as the Bhoi, Dheemar, Kevat, Raikwar, Kahar, Mallah, and Nishad castes. These groups are classified as scheduled castes and are spread in different districts of the state. Caste based fishers have been practicing fisheries for commercial purposes for many generations, and have developed their own caste based linkages in the entire value chain related to fisheries, namely, raw material (net, seed, etc) to production-harvesting and marketing of fish. The caste based fisher community is well organized and have informal agreements amongst themselves where they divide the area with potential for fisheries so that their control over the value chain of fisheries is maintained. However, caste based fishers have lost out to the contractors who have taken fishing lease on large reservoirs and are employing the traditional fishing community as contract labour.

Tribal fishers have traditionally been fish hunters that practice capture fisheries. These fishers use bows and arrows for fish hunting, laying down fish traps and using cast nets for capture fisheries. Fisheries by tribal fishers used to be mostly practiced in shallow waters. However with government intervention these fishers have been organized and trained in practices related to fish culture. Mostly unorganized, tribal fishers are being gradually brought in to the ambit of organized fishing by mobilising them in to fish production cooperatives. Tribal fishers are taking leasing rights over ponds and have started employing aquaculture techniques to systematically employ fish culture in the state.

In areas that have been listed in Schedule V of the constitution the right and priority over use of natural resources vests with the tribal communities. Madhya Pradesh has sizeable tribal population and the geographical areas where there is predominance of the tribal communities such areas have been notified as Schedule V areas. In such areas the tribal fish farmer have the first right to seek lease of the pond and engage in fish farming. The traditional fishermen in these areas operate at the supply side of the value chain and as processers and traders in the fish market. The traditional fisher folk also seek employment as labour during the harvesting of fish and forge linkages with tribal fish farmer for regular employment as labour on the fish farms.

Poverty among fishers in Madhya Pradesh is mainly on account of their geographic marginalisation that places them low on human development indicators, namely, education, health and food security. Barriers such as lack of information and knowledge and devoid of representative institutions to provide them with market and institutional linkages further restricts their ability to proportionately gain from technological developments and access to freshwater sources (especially large reservoirs). Within the fisher community the scheduled tribe fishers are at various stages of transition (from capture to culture aquaculture practices) and it is their access to technology, information and skills that will be critical to enable them to contribute to, as well as take advantage of the growing fisheries sector in the state. Developing their ability to adapt to climate change will equip them to emerge as long-term player in the fisheries sector and provide them with viable alternative sources of income to complement their efforts to overcome the structural causes of their poverty and vulnerability.

## 1.2 Project Area

#### 1.2.1 Geographical Coverage

### Madhya Pradesh

The State of Madhya Pradesh lies between latitude 21°04'N-26.87°N and longitude 74°02' and 82°49'E, and is centrally located. The State physio-graphically has a varied land form with large plateau and numerous mountain ranges. Madhya Pradesh is the second largest state with an area of 0.3 m sq.km which constitutes 9.38% of the land area of the country.

The state is divided in to 11 agro-climatic zones which are the basic units that define micro climatic trends. The agroclimatic zones include the Chattisgarh Plains; Northern MADHYA PRADESH



Figure 4 Map of State of Madhya Pradesh

Hill Region of Chattisgarh; Kaimur plateau and Satpura hills; Vindhyan Plateau (hills); Central Narmada valley; Grid (Gwalior) region; Bundelkhand region; Satpura Plateau (hills); Malwa plateau; Nimar Plains; and Jhabua hills.

The climate risks identified in the State Action Plan for Climate Change (SAPCC, 2014) with respect to temperature and precipitation indicate warming of the climate and an increase in intensity and frequency of precipitation along with the delay in the onset of the monsoon. The projected changes till the end of the 21<sup>st</sup> century have been forecast as follows:

| Parameter                | 2021-2050                              | 2071-2100                              | Spread  |
|--------------------------|--|--|---|
| Daily Max<br>Temp        | Increase by 1.8-<br>2°C                | Increase by 2.4-<br>4.4 <sup>o</sup> C | Across the state  |
| Daily Min<br>Temp        | Increase by 2.0-<br>2.4 <sup>o</sup> C | Increase by $> 4.4^{\circ}C$           | Across the state  |
| Monsoon<br>Precipitation | Increase by 1.25<br>times              | Increase by 1.35<br>times              | No change in northern districts<br>(2021-50)<br>Excess rainfall in central, eastern<br>and western part (up to 1.45<br>times) |
| Winter precipitation     | Decrease                               | Increase between 1.45 to 1.85 times    | Increase is in central, south and western regions   |

The vulnerability analysis of the state in SAPCC has mapped the districts and the agro-climatic zones on the socio-economic and biophysical indicators. Based on the vulnerability map three agro climatic zones of the state were identified as highly vulnerable on both the parameters: the Jhabua Hills, the Bundelkhand and the Vindhyan region.

The vulnerability rank of districts compiled at the national level ranked Jhabua district (including Alirajpur district that was carved out of Jhabua) as very high i.e. rank 48 out of 50 districts (hence highly vulnerable). The agro-climatic zone of Jhabua hill covers the entire districts of Jhabua,

Alirajpur and extends up to southern part of Dhar district (including tehsils of Manawar, Gandhwani, and Kukshi).

## **Project Districts**

The project is proposed in the agro-climatic zone of Dhar, Jhabua and Alirajpur districts. Amongst these districts Alirajpur was carved out of Jhabua as an autonomous district in 2008. As a result the data before 2011 the data for Alirajpur is included in Jhabua, though after 2011 data for Alirajpur is available separately.

**Dhar:** Dhar district is located in the south-western part of the state. The district extends over three physiographic divisions: Malwa plateau in the north, Vindhyachal range in the central zone and the Narmada valley along the southern boundary. The district is generally dry except during monsoon months. The summer season sets in March and lasts till June when the monsoon sets in lasting up to September. With October and November as transitionary months, the winter months are from December to February.

The average rainfall is 875 mm with 91% of the rainfall being received in the monsoon months. May is the hottest month with mean daily maximum temperature remaining above 40°C. January is the coldest month with mean daily minimum at about 10°C. The district is dry with relative humidity less than 20% during summer months. Winds are generally light though they gain in strength in the summer and monsoon season.

**Jhabua (including Alirajpur):** Jhabua district is located in the south-western extremity of the state. It occupies the hilly tract along the western margins of Malwa plateau and north of Narmada river. Most of the area is covered by Archaeans and the Deccan Trap. As a result of differential erosion by major streams in the plateau region undulating valleys have been carved out in the district.

The district is generally dry with summer and winter months recording relative humidity less than 20% in the afternoon. There are four distinct seasons in the district with summer months being March to May. June is when the monsoon sets

in that lasts till September. The average rainfall of the district is 828 mm and 93% of it is received in monsoon months. May is the hottest month when the mean daily maximum temperature is 39.5°C though the district has recorded a maximum temperature of 45°C as well. January is the coldest month when the mean daily minimum temperature is 11.3°C though in the wake of cold wave temperatures have gone down to 2 or 3°C. Wind speeds are high during May and about middle of September.



# har

MADHYA PRADESH

Figure 5 Location of Dhar District in MP

# 1.2.2 Social Context

The three districts comprising the project area, namely Dhar, Jhabua and Alirajpur are predominantly rural in composition with more than 80% of the population residing in the countryside. The districts are densely populated with density being higher than the state average, except for Alirajpur district. The villages are of smaller size with most of the population residing in villages with less than 2,000 persons.

The districts are predominantly inhabited by persons belonging to scheduled tribes, and the Bhils and Bhilalasarethe major tribal groups. The average size of households is bigger than the state average indicating a larger family size.

| District Fact Sheet- 1                                 |            |           |           |           |
|--|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Parameter  | State      | Dhar      | Jhabua    | Alirajpur |
| Population   |            |           |           |           |
| Persons  | 72,626,809 | 2,185,793 | 1,025,048 | 728,999   |
| Density (persons per sqkms)                            | 236        | 268       | 285       | 229       |
| % Rural Population                                     | 72         | 81        | 91        | 92        |
| % Villages with Population                             |            |           |           |           |
| Less than 500  | 33         | 27        | 14        | 18        |
| 500-999  | 31         | 30        | 29        | 28        |
| 1000-1999  | 24         | 28        | 33        | 38        |
| 2000+  | 11         | 15        | 14        | 15        |
| Households – Rural                                     |            |           |           |           |
| No of Households                                       | 11,080,278 | 339,173   | 175,934   | 113,129   |
| % Female headed household                              | 7          | 6         | 4         | 4         |
| Average Size of Household                              | 4.7        | 5.2       | 5.3       | 5.9       |
| Sex Ratio (Rural)                                      | 931        | 964       | 990       | 1,011     |
| Rural Population                                       |            |           |           |           |
| % Scheduled Caste                                      | 16         | 6         | 1         | 3         |
| % Scheduled Tribe                                      | 27         | 64        | 92        | 93        |
| Literacy   |            |           |           |           |
| Total  | 54         | 45        | 31        | 25        |
| Female   | 44         | 36        | 23        | 21        |
| Human Development                                      |            | 0.596     | 0.398     | Incl in   |
| Index  |            |           |           | Jhabua    |
| Source: Census 2011; and Human Development Report 2007 |            |           |           |           |

The situation of women seems to better than the state average with a higher sex ratio and lower proportion of female-headed households than the

state. However if the levels of literacy are taken as an indicator for status of women, then all the three districts perform poorly in case of female literacy. Alirajpur has the poorest literacy figures in the state.

AmongstthetwodistrictsDharfaresbetterinHumanDevelopmentIndex(13<sup>th</sup>)withJhabua

coming last amongst all the districts of the state. Dhar and Jhabua have been identified as amongst the most backward districts in the country (by the Planning Commission of India), and these have been included in Backward Region Grant Fund programme and as districts with high outmigration under the MGNREGS programme.

# 1.2.3 Economic Context

More than half of the rural population of the project districts is working. Amongst the working population more than 70% are working for a large part of the year and have been identified as Main Workers. The work participation rates of women is higher than the state average and in Jhabua and Alirajpur more than half the women have been reported as main workers.

| Parameter         State         Dhar         Jhabua         Alirajput           Working Population         47         50         52         52           % Rural Population         47         50         52         52           % Main Worker         68         74         71         71           % Marginal Workers         32         26         29         29           Work Participation         41         46         54         54           Rates- Rural         -         -         -         -           % Cultivators         47         51         78         84           % Agriculture Labour         38         39         14         10           % Household Industry         2         1         1         1           Others         13         9         8         5           Land holding         2.2         2.8         2.0         -           % Category of farmers         31         38         28           Less than 1 ha         31         38         28           1 to 2 ha         27         31         30  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| Working Population47505252 $\%$ Rural Population47505252 $\%$ Main Worker68747171 $\%$ Marginal Workers32262929Work Participation41465454Rates- RuralMain Workers47517884 $\%$ Cultivators47517884 $\%$ Agriculture Labour38391410 $\%$ Housebold Industry2111Others13985Land holding2.22.82.0- $\%$ Category of farmers3138281 to 2 ha273130  |  |  |  |
| % Rural Population       47       50       52       52         % Main Worker       68       74       71       71         % Marginal Workers       32       26       29       29         Work Participation       41       46       54       54         Rates- Rural       40       54       54       54         Main Workers       41       46       54       54         % Cultivators       47       51       78       84         % Agriculture Labour       38       39       14       10         % Household Industry       2       1       1       1         Others       13       9       8       5         Land holding       2.2       2.8       2.0       1       1         % Category of farmers       31       38       28       30         Less than 1 ha       27       31       30       30   |  |  |  |
| % Main Worker       68       74       71       71         % Marginal Workers?       32       26       29       29         Work Participation       41       46       54       54         Rates- Rural  |  |  |  |
| % Marginal Workers9       32       26       29       29         Work Participation       41       46       54       54         Rates- Rural       -       -       -       -         Main Workers       -       -       -       -         % Cultivators       47       51       78       84         % Agriculture Labour       38       39       14       10         % Household Industry       2       1       1       1         Others       13       9       8       5         Land holding       2.2       2.8       2.0       -       -         % Category of farmers       31       38       28       -         Less than 1 ha       31       38       28       -         1 to 2 ha       27       31       30  |  |  |  |
| Work Participation<br>Rates- Rural41465454Rates- Rural41465454Main Workers $47$ 517884 $\%$ Cultivators47517884 $\%$ Agriculture Labour38391410 $\%$ Household Industry2111Others13985Land holding<br>$\%$ Category of farmers2.22.82.0 $\%$ Category of farmers3138281 to 2 ha273130  |  |  |  |
| Rates- Rural         Image: Main Workers         Image: Main Workers |  |  |  |
| Main Workers         Image: Main Workers         Image: Main Workers         Image: Main Workers         Image: Main Workers   |  |  |  |
| % Cultivators       47       51       78       84         % Agriculture Labour       38       39       14       10         % Household Industry       2       1       1       1         Others       13       9       8       5         Land holding       2.2       2.8       2.0       2         % Category of farmers       31       38       28         1 to 2 ha       27       31       30   |  |  |  |
| % Agriculture Labour       38       39       14       10         % Household Industry       2       1       1       1         Others       13       9       8       5         Land holding       2.2       2.8       2.0       5         % Category of farmers       31       38       28         1 to 2 ha       27       31       30   |  |  |  |
| % Household Industry       2       1       1       1         Others       13       9       8       5         Land holding       2.2       2.8       2.0       4         % Category of farmers       31       38       28         1 to 2 ha       27       31       30  |  |  |  |
| Others13985Land holding2.22.82.07Average landholding2.22.82.07% Category of farmers313828Less than 1 ha273130  |  |  |  |
| Land holding2.22.82.0Average landholding2.22.82.0% Category of farmers3138281 to 2 ha273130  |  |  |  |
| Average landholding2.22.82.0% Category of farmers3138281 to 2 ha273130   |  |  |  |
| % Category of farmers         31         38         28           Less than 1 ha         31         38         28           1 to 2 ha         27         31         30  |  |  |  |
| Less than 1 ha3138281 to 2 ha273130  |  |  |  |
| 1 to 2 ha 27 31 30   |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Moe than 2 ha 42 30 42   |  |  |  |
| Gini Coefficient 0.5 0.596 0.398 InclJhabu   |  |  |  |
| Poverty  |  |  |  |
| 54 39 68 InclJhab  |  |  |  |
| % Below Poverty Line a   |  |  |  |
| Households (2004-05)   |  |  |  |
| Source: Consus 2011: Dovorty Estimates, State Diagona Commission Medburg Dredoch   |  |  |  |

Agriculture is the main occupation of the inhabitants with 90% and more of the population in rural areas of the district is earning their livelihood farm related activities, from as cultivators or either as labourers. agriculture А significant fact is the presence of large proportion of cultivators who include farmers working on their own land. It is more than 50% in Dhar and much higher in Jhabua and Alirajpur.

The presence of cultivators gains greater significance if their average holding is taken in to account which is higher than the state average in the case of Dhar and lower in the case of Jhabua and Alirajpur. This is again reflected in the proportion of

farmers owning less than 1 ha of land and the percentage of farmers owning between 1 and 2 ha of land. The distribution of land among farmers is much more equal in Jhabua and Alirajpur than in Dhar given the value of Gini Coefficient in these districts. Despite inequalities being higher in Dhar the proportion of households below the poverty line islower than the state average, compared with Jhabua where more than two thirds of the population is estimated to be below the poverty line.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Marginal workers are those workers who had not worked for the major part of the reference period (i.e. less than 6 months)..

#### Bhils Tribe: Fish Hunters to Fish Farmers

Numerically Bhils are the third largest tribal group in the country and are mainly concentrated in the four states of Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra, Gujarat and Rajasthan. In MP, Bhils are the second largest tribal group located mostly in the districts of Jhabua, Dhar and Alirajpur. The predominant sub groups of Bhils include the Bhilalas, Patelias and Barelas. Amongst these Bhilala outnumber Bhils in Dhardistrictthough they do carry most of the customs and traditions of Bhils.

Amongst Bhils the descent is patrilineal and residence is patrilocal with succession being petripotestal. Neolocal residence is common where a new hut for the newly married couple is built immediately after marriage. This has given rise to nuclear families and a settlement pattern that is based on hamlets. Each hamlet comprises of 5 to 20 houses and is located near the agriculture fields and houses persons from the same kin. Administratively, a group of hamlets comprise a village. The size of the village varies from 3-8 kms depending on the topography of the region. The Panchayat Extension to Schedule Area Act, which applies to the entire districts of Jhabua and Alirajpur and most of Dhar district, provides for recognition of a hamlet as a separate Gram Sabha if the residents of any particular hamlet want to establish their separate identity. In such cases all the rights and privileges of a Gram Sabha will be applicable to the *newly (hamlet based) Gram Sabha* as it is applicable to all the Gram Sabhas in the state.

Socially, a traditional Panchayat of Bhils has strong roots and is the major source of dispute resolution in the community. The strength of the Panchayat lies in its ability to provide justice to both the parties and despite presence of the formal systems of justice and conflict resolution mechanisms, Bhils still resort to traditional Panchayat for resolving most of their disputes related to division of property, marriage, conduct of livelihood activities, settlement of debts and even acts that fall within the ambit of criminal justice system of the modern Indian state.

Bhils by custom and tradition are non vegetarians. They had previously been hunters and wild life was a major source of nutrition for them. Undulating topography and the presence of numerous seasonal and perennial rivulets provided them a rich source of fish that was available locally and which was widely eaten as accompaniment to liquor locally brewed from mahua and toddy trees. In agriculture maize is the major crop and forms part of the staple diet. Amongst pulses *urad*(black gram) is cultivated and consumed on a wide scale. Millets, especially jowar, bajra, kodon and kutki, were part of the nutritional basket of tribals in the region and these were taken along with range of local vegetables that were grown seasonally. However, with increasingly restricted access to forest resources in the modern era, a major source of protein from the diet of the tribal group has been eliminated. Rapid changes in cropping patterns saw food crops being replaced with commercial crops, like soybean and cotton, and has resulted in making the nutritional basket of tribal people poorer. *The net impact of these changes has been a high proportion of malnutrition among children as reported by the National Institute of Nutrition in 2011:under weight U5 children in Alirajpur, Jhabua and Dhardistrictsare 60.8%; 57% and 54.4% respectively.* 

Traditionally, fisheries amongst the Bhil tribal group comprised of capture fisheries. Fish were captured from rivers and rivulets in the region. Pond fishery was practiced mostly in perennial ponds. The tribal fishers had the knowledge and skill of using fish traps to catch fish in rivers and rivulets and use of nets for catching fish from ponds. Traditionally, fishery was mostly for self-consumption and for occasional commercial purposes. With the construction of ponds and large reservoirs in the region the tribal fishers have learned the basics of aquaculture and there is an increasing trend to undertake systematic aquaculture especially in ponds and small reservoirs. Fishery that was initially a complementary income generating activity (mostly seasonal) is gaining ground as a major secondary livelihood option. *In agriculture households practicing aquaculture throughout the year, the contribution of fisheries is 40% of the total income of the household.* The market demand too is promoting aquaculture as there is a huge demand and supply gap for fish in the retail market. A study of 21 fish markets in the three districts has revealed that out of 54 tonnes of daily fish consumption 23 tonnes is imported from other states (TAAL \_MART, Market Study of Fish Markets in Dhar, Jhabua and Alirajpur, 2014 Annexure 6).

Government promoted fisheries in the districts has helped to reform the previously unorganised activity to become organised fish production. There are 6 Fishers Cooperative in Jhabua and Alirajpur districts and 62 in Dhar comprising of scheduled tribe members.

# **1.2.4 Fisheries in Project Districts**

## Target Group

There are five different types of fishers that operate in the project districts. The characteristics of these fishers are as follows:

| Type of Fisher        | Practice of fishing    | Objective of         | Proposed projects      |
|-----------------------|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
|                       |                        | fisheries            | intervention           |
| Capture Fishery       |                        |                      |                        |
| (a) Tribal Community  | Catching fish from     | Consumption and      | Project will not work  |
|                       | rivers and rivulets    | commercial is        | with this group        |
|                       |                        | incidental           |                        |
| (b) Traditional       | Catching fish from     | Commercial gain      | Project will not work  |
| Fishing community     | rivers and rivulets    |                      | with this group        |
| (c) Tribal Community  | Catching fish from     | Semi commercial (as  | Project will not work  |
|                       | ponds and water        | an alternative short | with this group        |
|                       | bodies. They do not    | term gain)           |                        |
|                       | practice fish culture. |                      |                        |
| Fish Farmers          |                        | ·                    | ·                      |
| (a) Tribal Community  | Practice fish culture  | Commercial gain      | Project will work      |
| – Small and Marginal  |                        |                      | with this group        |
| Farmers who take      |                        |                      |                        |
| fishing lease rights  |                        |                      |                        |
| over ponds            |                        |                      |                        |
| (b) Non tribal        | Practice fish culture  | Commercial gains     | Project will not work  |
| community including   |                        |                      | with this group as the |
| traditional fishermen |                        |                      | leasing rights are in  |
| practicing benami     |                        |                      | some other person's    |
| fisheries             |                        |                      | name (often a large    |
|                       |                        |                      | farmer)                |

The project does **not** propose intervening in capture or wild fishery practices. The aquaculture proposed in the project is inland fresh water fish rearing in rural villages that will lead to production of table size fish.

The control factors for proposed inland fresh water fish rearing will be based on the following:

- (a) Working on ponds situated on private lands or common land that has been leased out to the targeted beneficiaries. The lease conditions give absolute control to the lessee on the use of water and fish;
- (b) The fish production factors such as choice of species, introduction of quantity and size of fish seeds in the pond, provisioning of fish feed, protection of fish from poachers and selective harvesting practices will be undertaken by the targeted small pond aqua culturists;
- (c) Proposed project will develop hatchery, nursery and seed rearing units to enable poly-culture practices by small scale aqua culturists;
- (d) Water quality measures to control silt load and regular water quality monitoring will be undertaken by the project with the targeted aqua culturists. This includes catchment

rehabilitation activities adjacent to target pondsand will bring environmental benefits to a wider village population.

The project will work with members of scheduled tribe community fish farmers belonging to small and marginal farmer category. The main reasons for targeting this group are:

- the proposed project area in the three districts has been declared as schedule V area<sup>10</sup>. In addition to the general provisions of the Madhya Pradesh Panchayat Raj and Gram Swaraj Act, the provisions of Panchayat Extension to Scheduled Areas Act will also be applicable. The latter empowers the Gram Sabha to determine the manner in which natural resources will be used. In this area the first priority is for persons belonging to a scheduled tribe community who are residents of the village<sup>11</sup>.
- the traditional fisher community in the three districts resides in block or district headquarters. They are not residents of the village, which is a major factor that excludes them from taking fishing rights over ponds. This community has moved towards trading in fish and they conduct regular shops in small towns and weekly markets. The members of the community sometime take leasing rights through *benami* transactions (where the right is in the name of a tribal but actual fishing is done by members of a traditional fisher community). It is for this reason that the project will **not** work with traditional fishers.
- Farmers with large landholding seek and procure fishing rights over ponds. These farmers do not undertake fish culture themselves but either sub contract the pond (a violation of lease agreement) or make minimal investments and employ fishers (traditional or other tribals) to harvest fish on a royalty basis. The project will **not** target this group as it aims to work with fishers who are directly involved in and participate in activities related to fish culture.

Thus, the target group of the project will comprise of the small and marginal farmers belonging to the scheduled tribe households. The ownership and control of the target group over different aspects of small pond aquaculture will be as follows:

| Ownership of    | Control over Resources and Activities |                    |                 |                |                |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Pond            | Pond and Fish                         | Land around        | Management      | Quality of     | Aquaculture    |
|                 |                                       | Pond               | of Water        | Water          | activities     |
| Pvt land of SF& | SF & MF                               | SF & MF            | Owner SF& MF    | Owner SF -     | Owner SF&      |
| MF              |                                       |                    |                 | MF             | MF             |
| Common land     | SF&MF after                           | SF&MFwho had       | SF& MFafter     | SF& MFafter    | SF& MFafter    |
| belonging to    | they have                             | secured lease over | they have       | they have      | they have      |
| Gram            | secured the                           | pond during the    | secured leasing | secured        | secured        |
| Panchayat       | leasing rights                        | period of lease    | rights from GP* | leasing rights | leasing rights |
|                 | from GP                               | _                  |                 |                |                |

\*SF& MF= Small Farmer and Marginal Farmer; GP- Gram Panchayat

\* In case of drought the lease conditions can be suspended temporarily by the Gram Panchayat and the control over use of water will be that of Gram Panchayat. In such cases the lease holders are paid compensation by the state Government through the respective Panchayat for the loss of income that they suffer on account of foregoing fisheries for the period the fishing is suspended.

<sup>10</sup>Tribes in India are collectively identified under Article 342 of the constitution as Scheduled Tribes. The constitution protects tribal interests through Schedule V and Schedule VI of the constitution. The Schedule V is applicable to the tribal regions of the country except the North Eastern states where Schedule VI is applicable. The Schedule V guarantees tribal autonomy and tribal rights over land through Tribal Advisory Council in each state. Panchayat Extension Scheduled Areas Act extends panchayat rule to tribal areas such that the power is devolved to Gram Sabha (village assembly) which command control over natural resources, resolves disputes and control institutions.

<sup>11</sup> Refer **Annexure 3***Mapping Legal Provisions that are applicable for Fish Culture in Madhya Pradesh* and Annexure Policy *Guidelines and Subsequent Orders of the Government of Madhya Pradesh applicable for Fish Culture on Ponds less than 10 hectares* 

#### Gildar Singh: Reality of Fish Culture in a Tribal Village

Gildar Singh resides in Sur Baidi hamlet of Badala village in Alirajpur district. The hamlet has a pond (2.67 ha) with perennial water. Observing the nearby fish market and after discussion with other fishers, he realized that fish rearing can be a lucrative business and decided to pursue fisheries in the pond constructed near his house at Sur Baidi.

Gildar, in the first year, bought fish seeds from one of the neighbouring fishers and introduced them in the pond. As the fish grew, other people entered the pond and caught fish. On his protest, he was told that this is a common pond and everybody has a right to access fish from the pond. Not willing to give up,Gildar inquired and found out that there is provision of taking pond on lease from Gram Panchayat that will give him exclusive rights over fish. This brought him in to contact with Assistant Fisheries Office (AFO) at Alirajpur. Mentored by him, Gildar obtained a 5-year lease for the pond and subsequently brought fish seeds and started harvesting and selling fish in the nearby markets.

Gildar soon realized that it is not possible for him to manage the fish culture from the pond, all on his own, if he were to harvest larger quantities of fish. To overcome this he entered in to partnership with four more persons who lived around the pond so that they can secure the pond from potential poachers. Partnership was based on equal contribution in terms of labour and money and equal sharing in profits. All expenses and income are settled every 10-15 days when the partners sit down and settle their accounts.

On the demand of the fisher group, the pond at Sur Baidi has been de-silted once in 2008 but the work was of poor quality and there were charges of corruption levied on the implementation agency. At present the group is engaging with the Gram Panchayat to undertake deepening of the pond under MGNREGS (Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Gurantee Scheme).

Fish culture practiced by Gildar and his partners include:

- Fish seeds are purchased from the government farm at Alirajpur. It is by experience that an estimate of fish seed is undertaken and the order is placed accordingly for the seeds ofrohu, catla and naren species. The proportion in which seeds of different fish species are to be purchased is not clear to the group. The order is placed on the recommendation of the AFO. The fish seeds is of fry size that is transported from Gujarat. According to Gildar, the mortality of fish seeds is high.
- Fish feed is purchased from the AFO. Cow dung that is collected from the animals at home is also used in the pond. Fish feed is used after 2 months of introduction of the fish seeds and is provided as long as the fishes are harvested during the fishing cycle.
- Labour is normally provided by partners. However, if they have to employ labour, the wages are high as there is competing demand for labour in the village. Only men are hired as labour to provide security to the pond or for harvesting of fish.
- Pre harvesting activity includes throwing the net at random to assess the growth. Harvesting is done at different times of the day: in the forenoon, if the aim is to sell in the local market; and in the afternoon if the fish is to be sold in the villages. These time periods ensure that there will less chances of encountering the water snake and the fish harvested can be sold *fresh*.
- Quantity of fish to be harvested is based on the assessment of how much of it can be sold during the day. Normally, Gildar has never harvested less than 20 kgs at a time and the maximum that he has been able to sell in one day has been 40 kgs. There is preference for purchase of whole fish by the consumers. So the fish that is harvested are not too big- 1 to 2 kgs so that selling is easier.
- Motorcycle and jute bags are used for carrying fish to the local market and to the villages. The group has a weighing scale to enable them to sell fish in the market.
- Group uses drag net and throw net for harvesting of fish. The drag net is brought from the market at Alirajpur and the throw net is made by traditional fishers living around the Narmada river. A boat was purchased from the Fisheries Department for which Gildar was given subsidy.

The constraints and risks encountered by the group includes threat to life (getting entangled in net); loss of fish due to flooding; supply of poor quality of fish; high mortality among fish due to high temperature; poor institutional support from financial institutions; and lack of information about government schemes. Gildar is not solely dependent on income from fish culture alone. About 40% of his income is from fisheries. This is significant and substantial enough to motivate him to continue practicing fish culture as well as not being wholly dependent on fisheries he is able to optimize his income from agriculture.

# Scope for Fisheries

Dhar and Jhabua/Alirajpur have 899 and 629 rural ponds respectively, where fisheries can be taken up. This accounts for 8,403 and 1,734 ha of water spread area in the districts. The tribal groups residing in the districts are fish eating communities and there is regular demand for fish in the local markets. The local weekly markets and market places have shops for selling fish throughout the year though the demand during winter is much higher as fish with *tadi* (local liquor) is a popular combination during this period. The three districts are net importers of fish, mostly from Andhra Pradesh and Gujarat. This indicates that the present level of fish production in the project area is not able to cater to the local demand for fish in the districts.

The rights to give ponds and reservoirs for fishing are based on the size of the pond. According to the Policy guidelines of the Madhya Pradesh State Government the right for management of water bodies in the state for the purpose of giving rights for fisheries is as follows<sup>12</sup>:

| Average Water Area (ha) | Institution Responsible to give Fishing |  |
|-------------------------|---|--|
|                         | rights                                  |  |
| less than 10            | Gram Panchayat                          |  |
| between 10 to 100       | Janpad Panchayat                        |  |
| between 100 to 1000     | Zila Panchayat                          |  |
| between 1,000 to 2,000  | Department of Fisheries/ Madhya Pradesh |  |
|                         | Fisheries Federation                    |  |
| more than 2,000         | Madhya Pradesh Fisheries Federation     |  |

The project will focus on ponds less than 10 hectares because:

- the impact of climate change will be more on small ponds as they do not have enough buffer to absorb the losses likely to take place in fisheries. Hence developing adaptive capacities for fisheries in small ponds will have greater significance and contribution toward practice of inland fisheries in the state and the region.
- the leasing rights for ponds of less than 10 ha are determined by the Gram Sabha and Gram Panchayat that work at the local level and the lease applicant is a member of the Gram Sabha and the resident of the Gram Panchayat<sup>13</sup>;
- the potential lessee for the small pond will be the small and marginal farmer who is also the target beneficiary of the project. For such farmer a productive and commercially viable fishery is a critical factor that affects their decision whether or not to migrate elsewhere to look for work
- the management capacity of the small and marginal farmers and the time that s/he is willing to give is much better suited for small ponds than for large ponds or reservoirs; and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Refer **Annexure 2**Policy Guidelines and Subsequent Orders of the Government of Madhya Pradesh applicable for Fish Culture on Ponds less than 10 hectares

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Leasing rights for fishing can be given to a fish farmer or to a collective of fish farmers. The *fish farmer's collective* can have a non formal format like that of a livelihood group or a self help group, or it can be in the form of a formal organisation like that of a cooperative. The project will work with the lease whether he is an individual fish farmer or it has any of the existing organizational forms.

small and marginal farmers tend to work as a family unit where women also contribute equally
in conduct of livelihood activities. Targeting small and marginal farmers will facilitate increased
involvement and participation of women in the project activities and their sharing in the
benefits from project's interventions. Targeting this group will directly impact the poorest and
most vulnerable members of the community. Developing cost-effective models for
modernizing approaches to inland fisheries is highly scalable for MP and other states in India.

The existing practice and level of technical knowledge among the target group and the proposed intervention by the project has been summarised below:

| Ex  | isting Practices of Target Group  | Pre | oposed inputs in the project   |  |
|---|---|-----|--|--|
| Ab  | ility of small and marginal farmer to undertak  | e M | ix of Farming and Fish rearing practices   |  |
| •   | Adult members of small and marginal farmers<br>work as a kinship unit.<br>Small and marginal farmers have come together<br>to form small group that adopt commercial fish<br>rearing practices that divides responsibilities<br>among themselves and will accomplish all the<br>necessary activities related to fisheries.<br>Households adopting commercial fish rearing<br>have overcome the need for distress migration<br>from the area | •   | Project will treat the households as a unit in<br>providing all its inputs- capacity building,<br>information sharing, exposure visits, etc. The<br>men and women from the households will have<br>equal access to project activities and resources.   |  |
| Ta  | rget Group's access to financing and credit   |     |  |  |
| •   | Access of small and marginal famers to formal<br>sources of credit is low, especially for fisheries<br>The input market for fisheries is <i>cash</i> market<br>where the terms of trade do not provide credit<br>purchases<br>Small and marginal farmers access credit from<br>non formal sources where the rates of interest<br>are high   | •   | The credit need of the fisher is for (a) purchase<br>of capital items; (b) operating costs; and (c) pond<br>maintenance.<br>The proposed project has developed a detailed<br>Financial Service Plan for each of these items<br>that is given in Annexure 9 of the proposal.<br>In addition in Part III point B on Programme<br>Risk Management, the second point on<br>Institutional Risk has recognised the risk of<br>leveraging funds for pond maintenance. The<br>proposed response is to bring the issue of Pond<br>Maintenance to the District Steering Committee<br>so that a broad policy frame for the district in<br>this regard can be developed.<br>The proposal has <b>added</b> Lead Bank Manager as<br>a member of the District Steering Committee. |  |
| Target Group's technical knowledge of polyculture |   |     |  |  |
| •   | Small pond aqua culturist practise two layered<br>fisheries<br>Technical knowledge of polyculture is low  | •   | Developing capacity of aquaculturistsin poly<br>culture fisheries. Output 2.2 and Activity 2.3 in<br>E of Part III titled Results Framework has listed<br>the corresponding training activities.<br>Establishment of seed hatchery and nursery so<br>that fish seed for poly culture is available to the<br>small fishers locally. Activity 2.4 specifically<br>details this activity.   |  |
| Technical knowledge of water quality management   |   |     |  |  |
| •   | The technical knowledge about water quality is<br>low with the target group of small and marginal<br>farmers  | •   | The project has defined activities that will<br>introduce both water quality monitoring and  |  |

|   | <ul><li>water quality management practices in the selected pond sites.</li><li>The details of water quality management and</li></ul>  |
|---|---|
|   | monitoring are given in detail under Activity 2.2.  |
| Ability to secure fish stock for the pond   |   |
| <ul> <li>Small farmers buy fish stock from government<br/>hatcheries and private hatchery in the region.<br/>Their 90% of the demand for fish stock is met<br/>from these sources.</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>3 hatcheries, 3 nurseries and 3 seed rearing units<br/>in each district will be constructed to make the<br/>fish stock available to the small aquaculturist to<br/>enable them to undertake poly culture,</li> <li>Development of private small hatcheries and<br/>nurseries will also develop capacities among<br/>scheduled tribe aquaculturist to operate these<br/>profitably.</li> </ul>  |
| Securing investment from poachers and wildlife  |   |
| • Small farmers who are resident of the village and<br>undertaking aqua culture develop partnerships<br>with households living near the ponds to<br>provide security to the fish.   | <ul> <li>Approval process of the Gram Sabha will reduce<br/>the risk of poaching</li> <li>Lease conditions provide for sharing 10% of the<br/>produce with local villagers. The lease holder<br/>will be encouraged to comply with the provision<br/>and negotiate security of the fish stock from the<br/>poachers.</li> <li>Aquaculturist will be encouraged to develop<br/>partnerships with households living near the<br/>pond to provide security.</li> </ul> |
| Pond catchment rehabilitation and management  |   |
| <ul> <li>Catchment treatment plans for ponds not prepared as part of the estimate and technical plan of the pond</li> <li>There are no guidelines that mandate pond rehabilitation after a particular period. Repair and/or rehabilitation of ponds are based on demand raised by the Gram Sabha and approved so by the block and district Panchavats.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Catchment treatment with the aim of decreasing the silt load on the pond, greening the pond surrounds to regulate temperature</li> <li>Rehabilitation of pond through deepening, minor repair and modification in the design from the point of view of enhancing fisheries</li> <li>Catchment treatment will be a negotiated plan with the farmers whose land will be in the catchment area and with the aim of enhancing</li> </ul>                       |
| • Catchment treatment does not form part of the pond rehabilitation plans   | the productivity of commons to provide<br>improved ecological services to the community,<br>especially women  |

# **1.3 Climate Change**

# 1.3.1 Global Climate Change<sup>14</sup>

Global instrumental records of the past one and a half centuries reveal that earth has warmed by 0.74°C during the last hundred years. Temperature of extreme hot nights, cold nights and cold days have increased with increased risk of heat waves. Further the long-term drying trends have been observed in precipitation over many large regions that include Sahel, Mediterranean, southern Africa and parts of South Asia. The records also show that intense and longer droughts over wider areas since the 1970s in the tropics and sub tropics have increased in frequency.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup>Data from *Climate Change and India A 4x4 assessment, A Sectoral and Regional Analysis for 2030s*, INCCA, Nov 2010, Ministry of Environment and Forest, Government of India.

The global changes in climate have led to changes in atmospheric circulation, the intensity and variability patterns, and changes in hydrological cycles and seasonal patterns. The impact of changes in these physical forcing has a direct impact on biological processes supporting fish and fisheries production.<sup>15</sup>.

# 1.3.2 Climate Change in India<sup>16</sup>

India's mean temperature showed warming trends of 0.51°C per hundred years during 1901-2007 (Kothawale et al, 2010). The INCCA 2010 has observed accelerated warming during 1979-2007 that is contributed by winter and post monsoon seasons that have increased by 0.80°C and 0.82°C respectively in the last century. The mean temperatures have increased by 0.20°C per decade during 1971-2007 with much steeper increase in minimum temperature than maximum temperature.

All India maximum temperature has shown an increase by 0.71°C per hundred years and the mean minimum temperature has significantly increased by 0.27°C per hundred years. The frequency of hot days show a gradual increasing trend and frequency of cold days show a significant decreasing trend during the pre-monsoon season.

The INCCA assessment reports an increased precipitation trend over the country. The mean rainfall has been calculated at 848 mm with a standard deviation of 83 mm. This implies increased uncertainty in prediction of rain due to its increased variability, a trend that is reflected in the fact that 43 out of 139 years were either in excess or deficient in rainfall for the country as a whole. The IPCC AR4 Climate Change projections indicate a decrease in number of rainy days, increase in the intensity of rainfall on a given rainy day, increase in extreme rainfall events and increase in the intensity of storms or monsoon depressions (Kumar, 2009). These projected Climate Change scenarios indicate a much greater potential of increased inflows into the water bodies fed by local catchments resulting in increased fillings and enhanced temporal storage.

# 1.3.3 Climate Change in Madhya Pradesh<sup>17</sup>

**Temperature**: The annual mean temperature of the state has increased significantly by 0.01°C per year during 1951-2010. Though no trend has been observed in mean minimum temperature the mean maximum temperature has however increased by 0.01°C per year. Seasonally the mean maximum temperature have increased for the summer and monsoon seasons by 0.01°C per year. The mean diurnal temperature range does not have an annual trend but it has increased by 0.01 and 0.02°C per year during summer and monsoon months.

**Rainfall:** The predominant rainfall in the state is during the monsoon months of June to September. The data for 1951-2010 reveals that there has been no trend in summer season rainfall though the winter rainfall has decreased by 0.06 mm per year. During the monsoon moths there has been a decrease of 1.74 mm per year and annual the rainfall decrease is recorded at 1.81 mm per year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>(Barange et al, 2009)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Data from INCCA, Nov 2010 unless referred otherwise

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Data taken from *State Level Climate Change Trends in India*, Meteorological Monograph, Rathore et al, 2013 Ministry of Earth Science, Government of India

The State Action Plan for Climate Change has assessed the trends of average annual monsoon for each agro climatic zone for the period 1961 to 2002. The assessment reveals that though there is inter annual variability of average monsoon rainfall in all the zones, the rain fall trend is decreasing in each of the agro climatic zones. The study by Goswami et al (2006) for data spanning 50 years that include data for the state as well concluded that extreme precipitation events (above 100 mm) are increasing in their intensity and frequency with low and moderate events becoming more and more infrequent.

# 1.3.4 Climate Change in Project Districts<sup>18</sup>

**Temperature:** The Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) exercises with the community in the area had concluded that the summer days are becoming hotter and that the duration of summer months is increasing. The temperature of Dhar and Jhabua were compared between the first and the second half of the 20<sup>th</sup> century. The comparison revealed that the average minimum temperature in the second half was higher by 3% than the first half and the average maximum temperature was high by 1.5% during the same period.

The mean annual temperature of both Dhar and Jhabua districts show an upward trend as is evident from Fig 7. In both the districts the mean temperature has increased by 1°C during the past 102 years.



Figure 7 Mean Annual Temperature of Dhar and Jhabua

The increase in mean annual temperature has been on account of the increase in mean annual maximum and minimum temperatures in both the districts as is evident from Fig 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> The data in this section is sourced from India Meteorological Department (IMD)


Figure 8 Mean Annual Maximum and Minimum Temperature in Dhar and Jhabua

The mapping of mean annual temperatures in summer (Mar-June) and winter (Dec-Feb) months for maximum and minimum temperatures in both the districts in Fig 9 reinforces the trend of temperature increase in both the seasons. That is, the summers are becoming hotter and so are the winters. In both the seasons the maximum and minimum temperatures show an increasing trend.



Figure 9 Mean Annual Maximum and Minimum Temperature for Summer and Winter Seasons for Dhar and Jhabua

The net result of observations of IMD data for the two districts supports the contention of the communities that the districts are becoming warmer and that the summer months are becoming hotter.

#### Rainfall

The PRA exercises with the community pointed out that high velocity winds during summer months often blow away the rain bearing clouds thus lengthen summers and delaying the onset of monsoon. Another factor that has set in was the difficulty in prediction of monsoon for livelihood activities that are dependent on rains, like agriculture and fisheries. The 100-year India Meteorological Department (IMD) data from 1901 to 2000 reflects that the district receives mean rainfall of 834.2 mm. The district receives about 93.2% of the rainfall from June to September. The monthly rainfall variation between June to October is given in Table 1.

| Table 1: Monthly Rainfall Variation in Project Districts |         |       |       |       |       |        |
|--|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Rainfall 1901 to 2000- Dhar                              | Jun     | Jul   | Aug   | Sep   | Oct   | Annual |
| Mean rainfall (in mm)                                    | 124.1   | 252.6 | 223.1 | 167.6 | 30.6  | 834.2  |
| Standard Deviation (in mm)                               | 77.1    | 97.1  | 118.8 | 125.6 | 42.5  | 236.9  |
| Coefficient of Variation (in %)                          | 62.1    | 38.4  | 53.3  | 75.0  | 139.0 | 28.4   |
| Rainfall 1901 to 2000- Jhabua (including Ali             | rajpur) |       |       |       |       |        |
| Mean rainfall (in mm)                                    | 114.1   | 259.6 | 236.2 | 146.9 | 28.8  | 806.5  |
| Standard Deviation (in mm)                               | 83.7    | 126.8 | 149.5 | 124.3 | 49.8  | 286.2  |
| Coefficient of Variation (in %)                          | 73.3    | 48.9  | 63.3  | 84.6  | 173.1 | 35.5   |
| Source: IMD  |         |       |       |       |       |        |

The standard deviation calculated from 100 year data of rainfall indicates that the deviation from the mean is significant. It implies that the rainfall has been away from the mean indicating high variations. The coefficient varies from 38% to 75% in case of Dhar and 49% to 84% for Jhabua which points to low reliability of rainfall for all the districts.

The low reliability of rainfall is further compounded by the extreme weather events that make prediction even more difficult. The departure of rainfall from normal ( $\pm$  19%) leading to excess (>+19%) or deficit (<-19%) is mapped out in Fig 10. In Dhar 41 and in Jhabua 45 out of 102 years have been years of extreme rainfall variations. The excess rainfall in Dhar and Jhabua has



been in 20 and 23 years and deficit rainfall in 21 and 22 years respectively.

The monsoon has been undergoing a gradual change in both the districts. The average rainfall in June and July has been decreasing where as it has been increasing in the month of August. The month of September in Dhar shows an increasing trend where as in Jhabua it shows a decreasing trend. The observation of the people that the timing of the onset of the monsoon has been shifting is borne out by the hundred year data for both the districts. Both these factors have serious implications for inland fisheries as the onset of monsoon is a determining factor in introducing fish seed in the pond and increased rainfall in September implies risk of flooding that will lead to loss of fish as it will be flooded out from the pond.

The variation from the 100-year average rainfall in recent years is tabulated in Table 2. The data





indicates that the fluctuations are high implying high variability of rainfall. The issue of availability of water to carry out fisheries is becoming critical for the fishers, especially the small fish farmers.

| Table 2: Annual Rainfall Variation                        |        |        |        |        |        |  |
|---|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--|
| Annual Rainfall- Dhar                                     | 2006   | 2007   | 2008   | 2009   | 2010   |  |
| District Rainfall in mm                                   | 1137.3 | 1055   | 648.3  | 640.6  | 764.9  |  |
| % Variation with respect to the 100 year average rainfall | 36.33  | 26.47  | -22.28 | -23.21 | -8.31  |  |
| Annual Rainfall- Jhabua including Alirajpur               |        |        |        |        |        |  |
| District Rainfall in mm                                   | 1494.1 | 1188.6 | 632.2  | 622.6  | 639.8  |  |
| % Variation with respect to the 100 year average rainfall | 85.26  | 47.38  | -21.61 | -22.8  | -20.67 |  |

The number of wet days during the monsoon months of June to September based on 100-year average data are 36 for Jhabua and Dhar. However from 1990 to 2002 the number of wet days has reduced from 42 to 29 days in Dhar and 40 to 27 days in Jhabua.

The variability of rainfall and the decrease in number of wet days indicates the need for adequate storage capacity of water that allows and enables productive fishery for most of the year.

### 1.4 Climate Change and its Impact on Inland Fisheries

Climate change affects fishery production along many pathways. Fish reproduction and growth are affected by temperature, rainfall and hydrology. Changes in these parameters will therefore shift patterns of species abundance and availability. Patterns of change in fertility, nutrition and growth are also influenced by changes in climate. Extreme weather events could further harm fish production in rain-fed area by causing loss of aquaculture stock and destroying fishing and aquaculture infrastructure.

Some of the likely impacts of climate change/variability on inland fisheries are as follows:

- Seed availability might be affected with warming as it has been observed that with increase in temperature, there is a decrease in fish spawning and hence decrease in fish seed availability;
- Temperature increase will have an impact on the suitability of species for a given location with warm water fishes surviving more than the others;
- Growth retardation may take place in different inland water fish species suitable for the different temperature ranges;
- Seasonal shifts in the breeding period, as well as shortening or lengthening of breeding periods may occur for different types of fish;
- Geographical shift of fishes may also happen; and
- Increase in frequency and intensity of drought will decrease fish catch and thus pose a great threat to the communities that dependent primarily on fisheries.

Fish farming entails production processes that include choice of fish species, feeding, harvesting, restocking that is under greater degree of human control. Increasing seasonal and annual variability in precipitation and resulting flood or drought extremes become significant drivers of change in inland fisheries (Handisyde et al, 2006).

Small fish farmersoperate in small ponds that dry up faster leading to shortened growing seasons and reduced harvest thereby narrowing choice of species for culture. There is lack of data on production of fish that is disaggregated for small pond fisheries. The main reason being that production from small pond aquaculture is not transacted in the formal sector. Production is local and so is its sale and consumption. Hence it will be difficult to establish the impact of climate change on the livelihood security of small pond fish farmers. The proposal relies on the Vulnerability Assessment that was undertaken as part of the pilot project on climate proofing of fish farming under Meenakshi sub scheme of MGNREGS.<sup>19</sup> The observations and conclusions from the Vulnerability Assessment report are given below.

The PRA data collected from traditional fishermen in the pilot area revealed that there has been 20 to 40% fall in fish production in the area over a period of 25 years. The reasons for the decrease in production identified by them are:

- Delayed monsoon implies delayed introduction of fish seeds in the pond. The fish farmers anticipating low production tend to increase the density of fish seed in the pond so as to achieve the same level of productivity as before. This however has an adverse impact on growth of the fish and there is an overall fall in production. Traditional fishermen estimated that fifty percent of the fall in production is due to delayed monsoon.
- On account of extreme weather events like high intensity rainfall and floods, there is run off of excess water from the pond. This run off carries with it fish seeds/fingerlings resulting in total loss for the fish farmer.
- Decrease in post-monsoon rainfall implies fast depletion of quantity of water in fish ponds. Fish farmers tend to over harvest fishes with the apprehension that the remaining water will evaporate quickly. As a result, there are days when there is surplus fish in the market as the harvesting is not evened out throughout the season. The fish farmers, consequently, have to resort to distress selling on days when there is surplus fish in the market.
- The delay and fluctuation in monsoon creates pressure on existing water bodies to supply water for protective irrigation. Availability of water for fisheries decreases and in the absence of mediation mechanism between the fish farmers and farmers the use of water for irrigation takes priority over fishing.

The non-climatic factors that further adds on to the vulnerability of small fish farmers is lack of market infrastructure and their lack of access to savings, credit and insurance products to compensate for their losses occurring due to factors of climate change. The institutional support to small pond fish farmer is not available as they are not linked to the Government schemes, if they are not part of federation or a common interest group. Even when they are part of the federation they lack capacities to fulfil the institutional and legal requirements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Vulnerability Assessment of Fish Farmers under MGNREG Scheme in Madhya Pradesh, 2012 project implemented by TAAL and supported by GIZ in Gandhwani block of Dhar district

### 1.5 Climate Change Adaptation in Inland Fisheries

Small farmers in Dhar, Jhabua and Alirajpur districts traditionally depend on rain-fed agriculture for their livelihood. Some areas in these districts are irrigated with groundwater. However, groundwater extraction has reached a critical stage, since recharge rates are low. Lately, farmers have also been affected by changes in rainfall patterns, such as decreases in pre- and post-monsoon rainfall and a shift in the onset of the monsoon. Rising temperatures are another challenge. To increase livelihood options, small farmers have explored fisheries in small rural ponds as a viable option. The institutional processes allow the small farmers to gain access to these ponds by way of securing leasing rights, a decision that is taken at the Gram Sabha and Gram Panchayat level.

The small farmer turned small fish farmer has found income from fisheries to contribute significantly to their family income (between 25 to 40% of annual income). However the sustainability of small pond fisheries activities is threatened by changes in precipitation and temperature. Since high intensive rainfall events have become more frequent, causing surface runoff leading to high siltation rates of ponds. In addition, rising temperatures are likely to affect fisheries, e.g. changes in the breeding period, growth retardation and declining overall production.

There is substantial **Adaptation Deficit** in fish production in small water bodies. The system, stressed by climate variability, is operating under very low productivity regimes. It is important to fill-in the climate variability adaptation-deficit for the system to realize the opportunities open with Climate Change and to build resilience (Brander; 2007, Keptesky; 2000). In addition, the promotion of inland fisheries in rain-fed areas will add to resilience to climate change by diversifying the agriculture economy which is highly prone to drought conditions. These economies are net consumers of fish and hence increasing local fish production will reduce the carbon foot-print of fish transport.

The objectives of the project are to showcase climate-resilient<sup>20</sup> pond designs and catchment area protection, institutional arrangements between farmers and traditional fisherfolk, and insurance schemes which will provide fish farmers with options for adapting more effectively with climatic variability. The project aims at implementing and testing adaptive strategies that aim at preventing risk (e.g. modification of pond design for larger and longer water retention); transferring risk (e.g. weather based insurance that absorbs losses from climate change) and by terminating risk (e.g. changing fish species or by introducing alternative technological options). The proposed project aimsto develop and field test the adaptive strategies to create models that could be replicated and up scaled through government policies and programmes.

The proposed project aims at making the inland fishery sector more climate resilient and adaptive to the changing climatic scenario.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Resilience has been defined as the capacity of a complex system to absorb shocks while still maintaining function and to reorganize following disturbance (Walker et al 2004)

### Project / Programme Objectives

### List the main objectives of the project/programme.

The broad objective of this project is to make the fishery sector (captive inland fishery) adaptive to climate variability and enhance the adaptive capacity of the fish farmers to ensure their livelihood security in Madhya Pradesh, India.

| Project Objective:      | Climate Change Adaptation in the inland fishery sector for secured livelihoods of smalland marginal farmers                                    |
|-------------------------|--|
| Specific Main Outcomes: | The project has following specific outcomes:   |
| Outcome 1:              | Increasing water retention capacity of the tanks as an adaptive measure to address rainfall variability by modifying technical specifications; |
| Outcome 2:              | Diversification of fish species and temperature regulation of ponds as adaptive measures to a warmer climatic regime;                          |
| Outcome 3:              | Making small pond fisheries climate adaptation resilient through productivityenhancement by capacity building and institutional linkages;      |
| Outcome 4:              | Preparing and disseminating evidence-based resilient climate change<br>adaptationstrategies for inland fisheries for small pond fish farming.  |

### Project / Programme Components and Financing

Fill in the table presenting the relationships among project components, activities, expected concrete outputs, and the corresponding budgets. If necessary, please refer to the attached instructions for a detailed description of each term.

For the case of a programme, individual components are likely to refer to specific sub-sets of stakeholders, regions and/or sectors that can be addressed through a set of well-defined interventions / projects.

| <b>PROJECT/PROGRAMME</b>   | EXPECTED CONCRETE  | EXPECTED OUTCOMES  | AMOUNT        |
|--|--|--|---------------|
| COMPONENTS   | OUTPUTS  |  | (US\$)        |
| <b>Component 1:</b><br>Adaptive measures to<br>address rainfall<br>variability                         | 1.1 Ponds identified<br>according to geo-<br>hydrological protocol for<br>fisheries                                  | Improved spatial planning<br>for fisheries and decrease<br>in risk of drying of ponds<br>for fish culture  |               |
|  | 1.2 Modified pond design<br>developed and<br>implemented on existing<br>ponds  | Increased water<br>availability for fisheries<br>throughout the year   | 682,000       |
|  | 1.3 Small-scale fish<br>famers linked to financial<br>support systems to<br>access resources for<br>pond maintenance | Increased source of fund<br>for the fish farmers to<br>make own investment to<br>modify the design of the<br>pond to enhance its water<br>retention capacity |               |
| The Resultant Outcome will be<br>Increasing water retention<br>variability by modifying te             | <i>:</i><br>1 capacity of the tanks as a<br>chnical specifications   | an adaptive measure to add   | ress rainfall |
| <b>Component 2:</b><br>Building resilience<br>through adaptation of<br>climate resilient<br>technology | 2.1 Catchment treatment<br>plan for each pond<br>prepared and<br>implemented   | Increased water retention<br>and improved water<br>quality due to decrease in<br>silt and organic load in the<br>pond  |               |
|  | 2.2 Pond temperature<br>regulating best<br>management practices<br>and greening the pond<br>surrounds                | Decrease in fish mortality<br>and decrease in<br>retardation of growth of<br>fish due to regulation of<br>pond temperature in<br>summer                      | 619,820       |

| <b>PROJECT/PROGRAMME</b>   | EXPECTED CONCRETE  | EXPECTED OUTCOMES   | AMOUNT     |
|--|--|---|------------|
| <b>COMPONENTS</b>  | OUTPUTS  |   | (US\$)     |
|  | 2.3 Fish farmers trained<br>in poly-culture fish<br>culture and making fish<br>seed for composite fish<br>culture available to small-<br>scale aquaculturist | Fish farmers capacity to<br>optimise their production<br>and income through use<br>of staggered harvesting<br>methodology increased                         |            |
| The Resultant Outcome will be<br>Diversification of fish spec<br>warmer climatic regime    | e:<br>cies and temperature regulat   | ion of ponds as adaptive mea  | sures to a |
| <b>Component 3:</b><br>Building climate<br>resilience through<br>enhancement of adaptive   | 3.1 Capacity building of<br>Fish farmers on climate<br>resilient fishing   | Fish farmers developed as<br>Climate resilient fish<br>farmers and as Climate<br>Champions  |            |
| capacity   | 3.2 Fish farmers trained<br>on market analysis of fish<br>and prepare their<br>business plans  | Strengthening of fish<br>farmers institutions and<br>improved linkages of<br>these institutions with<br>other players in the<br>market                      | 87,080     |
|  | 3.3.Panchayat<br>representatives trained in<br>climate change factors.   | Increased capacity of the<br>representatives of Local<br>Governance Institutions<br>to develop interventions<br>that support fish farmers                   |            |
|  | 3.4 Fish farmers made<br>aware on the weather<br>based insurance product<br>for fish culture   | Fish farmers risk taking<br>capacity increased as they<br>share their risk with<br>insurance companies  |            |
| The Resultant Outcome will be<br>Making small pond fisheri<br>capacity building and insti- | es climate adaptation resilier<br>tutional linkages  | nt through productivity enhar   | icement by |
| <b>Component 4:</b><br>Knowledge generation<br>and management                              | 4.1 Institutional<br>Processes for multi-<br>stakeholder learning are<br>established and activated   | Key stakeholders<br>involvement in<br>identification of learning<br>ensured   | 118,995    |
|  | 4.2 Evidence based<br>learning documents<br>prepared for<br>dissemination  | Key stakeholder<br>participation in learning<br>processes and in<br>generating evidences<br>ensured to contribute in<br>the preparation of policy<br>briefs |            |
|  | 4.3 Learning from Project<br>Disseminated  | Project knowledge,<br>experience and learning<br>transferred to Civil<br>Society Organisations  |            |

| PROJECT/PROGRAMME   | EXPECTED CONCRETE      | EXPECTED OUTCOMES       | AMOUNT     |  |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--|
| COMPONENTS  |                        | 1Z 1 1 · 11             | (03\$)     |  |
|   | 4.4 Knowledge Products | Knowledge generated by  |            |  |
|   | developed printed      | the project documented  |            |  |
|   |                        | for replication and up- |            |  |
|   |                        | scaling                 |            |  |
| The Resultant Outcome will be:  |                        |                         |            |  |
| Preparing and disseminating evidence based resilient climate change adaptation stra |                        |                         | tegies for |  |
| inland fisheries for small pond aquaculture   |                        |                         |            |  |
| 6. Project/Programme Ex   | ecution cost           |                         | 143,192    |  |
| 7. Total Project/Programme Cost   |                        |                         | 1,651,087  |  |
| 8. Project/programme Cycle Management Fee charged by the Implementing               |                        |                         | 139,413    |  |
| Entity  |                        |                         |            |  |
| Amount of Financing R   | equested               |                         | 1,790,500  |  |

Projected Calendar Indicate the dates of the following milestones for the proposed project/programme

| Milestones                                | EXPECTED DATES |
|---|----------------|
| Start of Project/Programme Implementation | June 2015      |
| Project/Programme Closing                 | March 2018     |
| Terminal Evaluation                       | May 2018       |

### PART II: PROJECT / PROGRAMME JUSTIFICATION

**A.**Describe the project / programme componentsparticularly focusing on the concrete adaptation activities of the project, and how these activities contribute to climate resilience. For the case of a programme, show how the combination of individual projects will contribute to the overall increase in resilience.

#### **Overall Project Plan**

The components comprising of the project activities have been identified and designed to develop, implement and replicate comprehensive adaptation strategies for conduct of small pond fisheries and aquaculture in the state. The components are complementary in nature and together seek to make changes in the way small pond fisheries and aquaculture is planned and practised in the state. To achieve this, the project intervenes to develop one set of activities that lead towards the development of adaptive measures to address rainfall variability; which will be complemented with measures that enable the aquaculturist to deal with warmer climatic regimes through adoption of best management practices; capacity enhancement and insurance as protective measures to gain resilience for adaptation. Processes, experiences and learning captured as part of the project will be used to develop policy level briefs that will be placed before government and other stakeholders for adoption and replication on a wider scale.

The project seeks to redefine the present practice of treating small scale fisheries and aquaculture as a residual rural livelihood activitytowards a paradigm where small scale fisheries and aquaculture are based on scientific data and knowledge, and where the community of practitioners have the opportunity to share their experiences and make a contribution in policy development directly. The process diagram of the project is as follows:

#### SYSTEM Rainfall Variability • Protocol of ponds for Aquaculture

- Frotocol of pollus for Aquac
- Modified Pond Design
- Catchment Treatment
- Resourcing for Modification

### CAPACITY

- Building Resilience KNOW SEDGE MODULE
  - Climate resilient aquaculture
  - Business Plans developed
  - Institutional Linkages
  - Insurance Coverage

#### PRACTICE

#### Warmer Climatic Regime

- Decreasing Oxygen Deficiency
- Temperature regulation
- Poly culture practices
- Diverse fish seed availability

TRAINING

**P***OL***ICY** 

RIEFS

Sharing & Learning

- Systematisation

- SSC, DSC, TAG

- PD

- CCO

### Component 1: Adaptive measures to address rainfall variability

### Activity 1.1 Protocol for prioritising rural ponds (less than 10 ha) for inland fisheries developed and implemented

There are no specific government guidelines that prioritisethe location of sites for ponds for fisheries. At present the rural ponds for fisheries are selected on the basis of their ability to retain water for different periods of time. These ponds originally were designed and constructed for different purposes, e.g. irrigation, percolation tanks, nistari tanks (to be used for washing, bathing and for providing water for animals) and so on. Selection of such ponds for fisheries is not by design but is incidental to its existence. The project seeks to develop a protocol that will prioritise selection of sites where ponds for fisheries will be most suitable as the primary adaptive capacity strategy for small-scale fisheries.

In the planning stage, the project developed the protocol for one of the project districts so that it can be tested and replicated in other two districts as well. The protocol has three parts: one, geo hydrological assessment based on secondary data of the district so that cluster of areas can be prioritised for fisheries; second, ground truthing of the data for confirming the selection; and third active consultations with the community for finalisation of site selection.

**Geo-hydrological assessment:** The conventional method is to study the maps, analyse and synthesise the information to suggest the potential regions. The study is undertaken by the subject expert. The selected regions are then visited for ground truthing. It requires time and a big team to conduct the exercise. The other method is digitisation. In this process it requires a licensed software (unless there is human resource which is competent with open source software) for mapped and digitisation of data. Triangulation of the maps is undertaken with satellite data or with web based maps after making necessary corrections.

| Map  | Source                           |  |
|--|----------------------------------|--|
| Topographical Sheet                                | Survey of India                  |  |
| Revenue Map of District                            | Sub Divisional Magistrate Office |  |
| Revenue Map of Tehsil                              | Sub Divisional Magistrate Office |  |
| (Tehsil is a revenue sub division in the district) |                                  |  |
| Resource Map                                       | Survey of India and Geological   |  |
|  | Survey of India                  |  |
| Forest Map   | Forest Department                |  |
| Command Map  | WRIS System                      |  |

Maps for geo hydrological assessment include:

The geo-hydrological assessment is a three-step process that collects information of the geo hydrological parameters; gives weight to each of the parameters on the basis of locational suitability of perennial ponds; and assessing priority ranking on the basis of existing values.

The parameters used for developing the assessment include(a) Drainage density; (b) Lithology; (c) Geological Structure; (d) Hydrology; (e) Ground Water Potential; (f) Perenniality; (g) Slope; (h) Soil; (i) Structural (Lineaments and Dykes); (j) Land Use; and (k) Existing Water Bodies







Figure 12Perenniality



Figure 16 Ground Water Potential

Figure 13 Geology



Figure 17 Hydrology



The maps are superimposed so as to arrive at the most suitable cluster where fisheries can be carried out in the district. These clusters have been identified for Dhar and are given in Fig 24.



Figure 14 Composite Priority Map

The cluster shaded dark green indicate areas that are most suitable for fisheries in the district and area shaded as turquoise indicates second priority area. The project aims at focusing on these two areas for location if its site that will depend on verification through ground truthing and consultation with the communities for finalisation.

**Ground Truthing:** Ground Truthing includes field investigations that involve Geological investigations, Geo-morphological investigations and Hydrological investigations. This implies that surfacial distribution of rocks and their regional continuity is observed. The susceptibility of rocks to weathering is also observed through observation of dug wells. Detailed well inventory aquifer positions, rate of pumping, pumping duration, etc. are triangulated with digital data and maps. The field observations thus triangulate the geo hydrological assessments to narrow down on the sites for ponds for suitability.

**Community Consultation:** The process of accessing pond for fisheries is defined in the state act for Panchayats and the executive orders issued there in. The process is given in **Annexure 1:Process Leasing of Pond by FishFarmers** from Gram Panchayat. The sites will be then finalized after the Gram Sabha have recommended the name of the fish farmer for accessing leasing rights for fisheries for ponds on common land (below 10 ha area).

The aim of undertaking the detailed process is to reduce the risk of constructing ponds that would end up as seasonal ponds and not be available for fisheries throughout the year. The same protocol also enables selection of existing ponds (less than 10 ha) for modification so as to make them suitable for aquaculture.

The project proposes to roll out this protocol in all the three project districts (as the ground truthing and community consultation have not been done in Dhar so far). The protocol developed for Dhar will be repeated in Jhabua and Alirajpur. The output of this activity will be finalised selection of ponds, to determine where the project will target its intervention.

#### Dhar: Protocol for Prioritising Rural Ponds for Fisheries

The protocol that led to identification of ponds that are most suitable for fisheries was carried out as follows:

- Step 1: Mapping of all the water bodies of the district. This led to identification of **899** ponds.
- Step 2: Identifying water bodies that are less than 10 ha in area. Hydrology and water body maps were used for this identification. Ponds with less than10 ha were **520**.
- Step 3: Of the identified water bodies in Step 2, the water bodies with less than 10 ha were further sub divided to identify the water bodies that fall within the targeted agro climatic zone. Water body and tehsil and village boundary maps were used and based on the boundary of the Jhabua Hills Agro climatic zone, 153 ponds were shortlisted.
- Step 4: Developing a weighted priority that will categorise the identified ponds into different priorities that could be used for the ground truthing exercise. The weighted priority was based on: drainage density; ground water potential; structural formations, geology and lithology, land forms as well as slope and soil map of the area. The weights are assigned to each of these factors with respect to suitability for fisheries. For example, drainage density was categorised as very low, low, moderate, high and very high. High and very high drainage density indicates high slopes and possibilities of poor ground water. For the purpose of fisheries, these were given low priority and areas with low and very low drainage density were given higher priority. For slopes the entire land was categorised as nearly level (< 10%), moderate (10-20%), and strong (20-80%). Accordingly, sites with nearly level to moderate were given high priority for selection of potential sites for fisheries.</p>

Ponds were classified in to five priorities with P1 being most suited for fisheries: P1= 12; P2= 87; P3= 42; P4= 9; P5= 3.

- Step 5: The project listed **99 ponds** (P1 and P2) from which **20 ponds will be covered by the project**. This selection will be based on locating ponds that form a cluster so that these could be managed and monitored effectively by the project team. The project will ensure that clustering will not lead any adverse environmental consequence and that the selection will be based on an even geographic spread in different Gram Panchayats.
- Step 6: To ensure access and equity amongst and within the shortlisted ponds each of the ponds sites/villages will be visited to assess Access and Equity. This assessment will include appraisal of Physical, Social and Economic access to the pond and to the commons within the catchment area of the pond. The assessment will be undertaken through a consultative process using Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) tools that will:
  (a) map services- education, health, water, energy and housing and sanitation within the village;
  (b) assess barriers created by the existing pond and whether the project activities will deny access or create additional barriers to these services (for vulnerable groups, women and persons with disability); and
  (c) assess whether the project can remove these barriers during the course of project implementation. The pond sites/ villages where the barriers cannot be removed and where the access will be denied or made difficult will be eliminated from the list of shortlisted sites for project intervention.
- Step 7: The actual selection will be based presence of Bhil and Bhilala community and willingness of the community to undertake fisheries in these ponds. To be equitable between these two tribal groups the selection process will ensure that the ponds finally selected for project intervention bear the same proportion of fish farmer beneficiaries from these two tribal groups as are present in the selected cluster.

# Activity 1.2 Modified Pond design specifically for fisheries developed and implemented on selected existing ponds

The technical modification of pond design will address the climate stresses, namely the increased variability of precipitation, delayed monsoon, extreme weather events leading to high intensity rainfall, and lengthening of summer months. These climatic stresses here necessitated review of the present technical design of ponds in terms of their water retention capacity to enable conduct of fisheries for most part of the year.

At present the design and construction of ponds (less than 10 ha) on common land is not undertaken with the aim of conducting fisheries. The ponds are constructed as irrigation ponds, or as percolation tanks, or as *nistar* ponds to be used for washing, bathing and for providing water for animals. The government manual for design of these ponds does not specify factors that need to be taken in to account if fisheries is to be conducted in these ponds. In fact once the pond is constructed **and** if it retains water for most part of the year it is considered as an appropriate site for fisheries and subsequently the Gram Panchayat announces its intention of leasing the pond for fisheries.

Rural ponds appropriate for fishing should have the capacity to retain water so that fish culture can be practiced **throughout** the year and retain sufficient stock of fish so that the fishing cycle can be repeated the next year as well. This implies that the ponds should have minimum water depth of 1.82 m (or 6 ft.) even during dry periods. At present the design of ponds recommended for fisheries for the entire state stipulates pond depth of 1.5 m for fisheries and 1.2 m for nurseries. This recommended depth is not sufficient for retaining water during summer months as evaporation rate itself is 1.5 m in the project districts.

The Technical Plan<sup>21</sup> developed for the project recommends the following design considerations for **existing** ponds selected for fisheries under the project:

- Effective treatment of tank beds
- Deepening of tank beds/ increasing dead storage at specific location to enable easy harvesting
- Re-designing the sluice and spill ways in view of the potentially high storms due to climate change
- Landscaping protocols for efficient fish production and accommodating various competing claims on water (washing, managing chemical pollutant loads, siltation etc.) and incorporating the requirements of *in situ* nurseries. Landscaping protocol is about management of water body for uses other than fisheries:
  - In small ponds of size less than 0.5 ha with clear ownership, it is proposed to renovate them by making them rectangular with some dressing on the bunds so that they can be used as rearing ponds
  - In ponds with areas more than 1 ha and where water is retained till November December, it is proposed that bunds will be redesigned or renovated in such a way that floriculture

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> See **Annexure 5**Technical Plan

cultivation can be taken up and flowers will be sold during festive season when prices are higher

- In ponds with areas more than 1 ha and where water is retained till March, it is proposed that bunds will be used for horticulture [custard apple, lemon, papaya] as well as for vegetable cultivation.
- De-silting and deepening ponds to increase their water capacity will in turn add to their capacity for use.

The project targets modifying 60 ponds (@ 20 ponds per district) that are less than 10 ha, that are located either on Gram Panchayat land or private land of small and marginal farmers only (belonging to scheduled tribe community). Given the size of existing ponds less than 10 ha in the districts, the project estimates that on an average, one selected pond will be up to 4 hectares. Thus in all, 240 ha of ponds for fisheries will be covered by the project.

The project will carry out a Technical Assessment of each of the selected sites which will make an assessment of individual ponds in terms of:

- Existing and proposed water bodies in the block
- Possibility of water logging in selected sites
- Existing natural habitats in the region close to each pond
- Potential natural habitats which need protection
- Sites with unique natural value
- Physical cultural resources
- Relevant and important aspects of the biodiversity of the area
- Relevant and important aspects of the eco services of the area

The assessment along with the proposed design will be presented to the Technical Advisory Committee for approval. The Committee will make recommendations based on the possibilities of conducting small pond fisheries in the area.

### Activity 1.3 Insurance product developed that provides resources for making modifications to the technical design of the pond after the projected climatic changes take place

To deal with the projected climatic stresses two distinct yet related activities will be undertaken:

**One**, presently there is no exclusive insurance product to address the comprehensive requirement of the fish farmers. The major insurance product under implementation covers accident, life insurance, agriculture crop insurance etc.

During the implementation of a pilot project supported by GIZ<sup>22</sup>, a weather based fisherfolk insurance scheme was brought out by one of the major private sector insurance companies in India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> GIZ project titled Climate Proofing Fish Farming under Meenakshi sub scheme of MGNREGS was implemented as pilot in Dhar district. The products were developed through intensive consultation between the fish farmers, insurance company and the intervening ngo. Similar processes are recommended for development and modification of insurance products in the proposed project.

(ICICI Lombard Ltd.). The product for small fish farmers compensated the fish farmer against losses due to water scarcity or excessive rainfall. The agency has tied up with SKYMET and National Collateral Management Limited (NCML) for sourcing weather data.

Another company, namely, Universal Sompo General Insurance Company has also developed the Inland Freshwater Fish Insurance Policy that provides insurance against loss of business that covers weather based factors as well.

The project will engage intensively with insurance companies to further develop products that are repackaged for the individual fish farmer so that the latter is willing to take risk to make investments for technical modification of their pond at a later date. This will be a long term insurance product that demands a low premium and enables the fish farmers to mitigate the risk of making significant investments once the projected climate change factors come in to play and affect the production of fish.

**Two,** for individual fish farmers the project proposes to facilitate them to become members of existing/new fisherfolkcooperatives. These organisations, among other activities, will develop a fund to undertake technical modifications on ponds necessitated by changes in climatic factors. This fund will be formed out of contributions by individual members and would be used for leveraging funds from other institutions for individuals or groups of fish farmers. This would require working with all the institutional structures responsible for the fisheries activity as per the framework of the State Government. This would involve identifying the financial support system required by the federation of fish farmers and individual fish farmers in terms of services, incentives and issues of capacity building.

# Component 2: Building Resilience through adaptation of climate resilient technology

### Activity 2.1 Catchment Treatment of Ponds selected for intervention to provide climate resilience to small pond fisheries

The available catchment would be treated by plantation and soil conservation measures (vegetative and/or mechanical) and run-off check structures (e.g. farm bunding, loose boulder structures etc). A catchment treatment plan for each of the 60 ponds will be prepared through extensive community consultative processes. Suitable drought tolerant and nutritivespecies that support local village livestock and controlled grazing measures will be selected as part of the plan. The plan so prepared will seek the approval of the Gram Sabha so that it forms part of the Village Development Plan.

The catchment treatment plan will be submitted to the Project Steering Committee for their concurrence. The project estimates that on an average for each 1 ha of pond, there will be 12 ha of catchment on an average. That is, for 60 ponds with an average size of 4 ha, the project will cover 2,880 ha of catchment area. The catchment treatment will address the climatic stresses as follows:

- The heavy silt load that accompanies high intensity rainfall will be arrested thereby protecting the pond from reduced water retention capacity
- The changing wind pattern as reported by the community, carries top soil and increases the silt load of the pond thereby reducing water retention capacity. This will be reduced with plantations functioning as wind breakers and protecting the pond from excessive silt
- Catchment treatment leading to improved soil moisture will reduce the pressure on existing water bodies for drawing water for irrigation, thus enabling the ponds to retain larger quantity of water than otherwise
- At the micro level, plantations in the catchment area will regulate temperature thereby reducing the rate of evaporation and thus enabling the pond to retain water for longer duration and hence the pond may not require further modifications when projected climatic stresses become frequent and real.

The catchment treatment will be based on the regional biodiversity and eco-services requirements so as to ensure enhancement of natural resources. The catchment plan would be evaluated to ensure that it does not create barriers to the poor, children, and differently abled and has necessary protections to be an inclusive intervention. India has good experience, support services and resources available for catchment planning and rehabilitation. The project will use these services and resources and has already made contact with the relevant Environment and Forestry Department agencies in MP. Women will tend to gain with increased fuel and fodder in the catchment area of the pond consequent to implementation of the catchment treatment plan at each of the pond sites. This will not only decrease drudgery for women but will also create potential for income generating opportunities e.g. sale of grass for fodder.

### Activity 2.2: Pond temperature regulating best management practices and greening the pond surrounds

Death of aquatic organisms due to high temperature takes place during peak summer. It is significant that temperatures at which mortality occurs is so precise that change of even a fraction of degree of temperature can make difference of life or death of the aquatic organisms<sup>23</sup>. The adaptive mechanism is to ensure that temperature does not rise from a point level. The project will take the following measures to regulate the tank water temperature in peak summer:

- (a) Greening the pond's surrounding area based on local geographical and environmental conditions to regulate the pond water temperature
- (b) Provision of shade over a part of the tank: In addition to the vegetative plantation around the pond to provide shade to the pond on a long term basis, the following additional measures will also be undertaken:

#### (i) Shading by developing hide outs

Low density bamboo mats and other locally available material (e.g. palm leaves) will be used to create shade over pond and in water to create cooler hide outs for fish during summer months. The mats and their support structures will be developed by the fish farmers with technical support from the project.

#### (ii) Deeper portions in pond

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup>FAO Corporate Document Repository, Fisheries and Aquaculture Department

The design of the pond will not be flat at the bottom. The centre of the pond will have deeper portions with maximum depth at the centre ranging from 2 to 4 m depending on the storage area of the pond. The deeper portions will be excavated during de-silting process. These deeper portions will be cooler enabling the fish to move towards these portions during the summer months.

(c) Use of traditional and low cost techniques of creating water turbulence to circulate water across different thermal layers will also be used for regulating temperature.

### Activity 2.3: Best management practices to decrease likelihood of oxygen deficiency along with use of oxygen tablets and solar powered aerators

The oxygen concentration of the tanks goes down with increased temperature. The oxygen squeeze adversely affects the growth of fish and other aquatic organisms. As an adaptive measure, aeration of tanks is essential so that dissolved oxygen content is maintained throughout the year.

Artificial mechanized aerators require electricity, the supply of which is erratic in rural MP; secondly, ponds are situated away from agricultural fields so getting an electricity connection is a daunting task. The project alternatively proposes to go for the best management practices that are suitable for the situation. It is proposed that farmers will be given training on a package of practices that do not increase the load on the pond and which will reduce chance of oxygen deficiency. Other than aeration, it is less costly to introduce the concept of using oxygen tablets during cloudy days and summer time when mortality of fish occurs due to oxygen depletion.

The project will pilot solar powered surface aerators to maintain oxygen content specifically for ponds where the issue of oxygen depletion is likely to be high.

Good water condition is necessary for the survival and growth of fish as the entire life process of the fish is wholly dependent on the quality of its environment. The physical, chemical and biological qualities of water would be closely monitored by the fish farmers and the implementing agencies at periodic intervals. The parameters that will be monitored include:

- a) Transparency and colour of water
- b) Temperature of tank water
- c) pH level of water
- d) Biological factors
- e) Odour of the fish pond
- f) Dissolved Oxygen Level

Apart from monitoring of the water quality at periodic intervals, the quality of in-flow water would also be checked / monitored before it flows in to the tank.

Its effects on the public health will be assessed during the mid-term evaluation. The Gram Sabha will be informed and made aware of the potential health hazards and preventive measures for the same. Health camps by the Health Department will be facilitated to ensure adequate prevention from vector borne diseases.

### Activity 2.4: Composite fish culture practices with combination of intensive, semi intensive and extensive culture practices based on fish farmers capacity

The existing fish species recommendation of the Fisheries department for the project districts comprises *catla*, *rohu* and *mrigal*. From a climatic adaptation perspective, especially to address the warmer climatic regimes, poly-culture farming system would be adopted in the tanks. Four different species of fish would be promoted in the tanks, namely *catla*, *rohu*, *mrigal*and common carp. The logic of adapting these four categories of fish is based on their adaptive characteristic, feeding practices and the fact that they are native and endemic to the region.

| Table 3: Fish species to be promoted in the tanks |                    |                   |  |   |  |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|--|---|--|
| Fish Species                                      | Feeding<br>Habit   | Feeding<br>Zone   | Adaptive Aspect                          | Economic Value                            |  |
| Catlacatla  | Plankton<br>Feeder | Surface<br>Feeder | Survival in less<br>water level          | Local market demand and one harvest cycle |  |
| <i>Labeorohita</i><br>(Local name: Rohu)          | Omnivorous         | Column<br>Feeder  | Survival in medium<br>water level        | Local market demand and one harvest cycle |  |
| <i>Cirrhinusmrigala</i><br>(Local name: Mrigal)   | Detritivorous      | Bottom<br>Feeder  | Survival in medium<br>– deep water level | Local market demand and one harvest cycle |  |
| Cyprinuscarpio<br>(Common Carp)                   | Detritivorous      | Bottom<br>Feeder  | Survival in medium<br>– deep water level | Local market demand and one harvest cycle |  |

The common carp and *Labeorohita* (Rohu) are featured prominently in capture and aquaculture fisheries on the Indian subcontinent and are well adapted to increases in temperature, and show increased tolerance to elevated temperature following acclimatization to water temperature of 30<sup>o</sup>C and 35<sup>o</sup>C. The common carp is more thermally tolerant than the *Labeorohita* (Chatterjee et al, 2004). *Catlacatla* is hardy; natural temperature range 18-30<sup>o</sup>C; lower and upper thermal tolerance limits, 16.7°C and 39.5°C; sensitive to low oxygen conditions; tolerates pH 6.5-8.5 and salinity up to 5 ppt; prefers deep pools; breeds during the southwest monsoon (May - September) in water temperatures around 24-31°C.

Common carps are normally preferred by the consumers, as arethe earlier discussed species. They are bottom dwellers and breed twice a year. They can be harvested when the water depth decreases and does not pose competition to the feed and space of other fishes. The Feed Conversion Ratio (FCR) is on the higher side and they can be harvested in 5 to 6 months. When the water level is high in the pond, water at the bottom will be least affected with changes in temperature and Common Carp will be least affected with increase in water temperature. The ecological spectrum of carp is broad. Best growth is obtained when water temperature ranges between 23°C and 30°C and it can be achieved through the proposed temperature regulation mechanism. Apart from that the fish can survive cold winter periods. Salinity up to 5% and the optimal pH range of 6.5-9.0 can be tolerated by the common carps. The species can also survive low oxygen concentration (0.3-0.5 mg/lit) as well as super saturation.

The project proposes to introduce common carp in the region. The pilot conducted in Dhar district had led to the state government to recognise common carp as the species that is suitable

for adaptation and have consequently issued notification that the species can be introduced in small pond fisheries being promoted under MGNREGS<sup>24</sup>.

The poly-culture fish culture practice will be specifically customized to the need of the fish farmer. The proposed fish culture strategies proposed under the Technical Plan<sup>25</sup> is as follows:

- Fish farmers that have the capacity to make investments on inputs will be encouraged to adopt intensive culture as these fish farmers can improve on their production through rational investments in inputs
- Fish farmers that do not have the capacity to invest but have access to common inputs like manures, cereal bran, oil cakes (e.g. mahua oil cake) will be facilitated to adopt semi intensive culture
- Small ponds 1 ha or less on lands belonging to small and marginal farmers that do not have the capacity to hold water perennially will be promoted and developed as nursery and fish seed rearing units. If the water holding capacity of the ponds is longer or if there is analternative source of water then these ponds will be developed for fast growing fingerlings and production of common carp seed in the months of July-Aug and Feb-March.

| Type of Culture  | Species               | Stocking Ratio   | Stocking<br>density<br>(per ha) |
|------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------------------------|
| Intensive        | Indian Major Carp and | Catla:Rohu:Mrigal:CommonCar  | 10,000                          |
|                  | Common Carp (CC)      | p 30:20:10:40  |                                 |
| Semi - intensive | IMC and CC            | Catla: Rohu:Common Carp  | 5,000                           |
|                  |                       | <ul> <li>40:30:30 in smaller ponds</li> <li>(3 months of water)</li> <li>40: 20:20</li> <li>(seasonal ponds with six month of water holding capacity)</li> </ul> |                                 |
|                  |                       | 30:20:10:40  |                                 |
|                  |                       | (Perennial ponds)  |                                 |
| Extensive        | IMC and Common carp   | 30 : 20: 10: 40  | 8,000                           |

# Activity 2.5: Seed hatcheries (3 units) 2 nurseries (0.1 ha) and 1 seed rearing unit (0.1 ha) per district established

On-time supply of quality seeds/fingerlings to the fish tanks is a basic requirement and for that the hatchery/nursery establishment is essential at the cluster level. The current seed/fingerling production and supply capacity of the existing units is limited. Establishment of additional units is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> See **Annexure 5**Technical Plan

required to ensure on-time production of seeds / fingerlings and increasing the availability of fish seed, which is currently a major constraint.

In each district, one seed hatchery, 2 nurseries (0.1 ha) and 1 seed rearing unit (0.1 ha) would be established to supply fingerlings to the fish farmers. The project would promote farming of fingerlings for better harvest. An integrated fish husbandry system would be followed in the hatchery / nursery/ seed rearing unit. The husbandry system would the encompass nursery phase and grow-out phase i.e. spawn, fry and fingerling production. In the fish tanks, fingerlings would be released for rearing. The hatchery/ nursery/ seed rearing unit would be located near to the cluster of ponds so that the cost of transportation and allied expenses of farmers can be minimised, making the unit financiallyviable.

The hatchery/ nursery/ seed rearing unit would be established as common facility centre for the benefit of participating fish farmers under the project and would be operated by the group. The project will pilot test advanced low cost fish-hatchery technologies developed by CIFA such as a portable fibre glass reinforced (FRP) carp hatchery. For nurseries the options of cage nurseries for *in situ* nurseries will be explored and developed<sup>26</sup>.

The management practices that will be developed include:

- A system of advanced fingerling supply / stunted fish supply for realising production potential of seasonal water bodies
- Evolving optimal stocking and protocols for maintaining fish stock in tandem with available water levels
- Evolving and testing out viability of fish nurseries as business models serving a cluster of sites
- Developing a nursery network to support production adapted to local climatic conditions

### Component 3: Building climate resilience through enhancement of adaptive capacity

# Activity 3.1: Productivity of fish farmers enhanced towards optimal level of production through training and capacity building on climate resilient fish farming

Fishery is a climate sensitive livelihood activity. In the proposed project area the productivity of pond fishery is well below the national average. At low productivity the vulnerability of small and marginal farmers and fish farmers to climate stress is higher as compared to the regions where the productivity is higher. Making interventions in the package of practices in small pond fisheries to enhance productivity along with climate adaptation measures, will make the latter resilient and the increased productivity itself will work as an adaptive measure. Secondly, the increased productivity will enable the fish farmer to be better prepared when projected climatic factors become real at a future date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> See Annexure 5 Technical Plan

Capacity building of fish farmers for responsible fishing and adaptive means of fish farming will be taken up in the three project districts. The capacity building will be on<sup>27</sup>:

- Development as Climate Resilient Fish Farmer
  - o Responsible Fishing
  - o Factors of Climate Change
  - Impact of Climate Change
  - o Alternative Strategies for responding to Climate Change

### • Development of Climate Adaptive Strategies

- o Livelihood security and Adaptation
- Coping vs adaptation strategies
- Risk and Vulnerability assessment in fisheries
- o Identification and Implementation of Risk management strategies
- o Adaptive strategies and their adoption
- Development of Fish Farmers as Climate Champions
  - Forging partnerships with other stakeholders
  - Networking skills with other practicing fish farmers and fishing communities
  - Forums to address impact of climate change

The training will be conducted in different phases and will involve combination of class room, experiential learning and exposure visits. The aim will be bring the fish productivity to an optimal level so that it decreases the vulnerability of the fish farmer to climate stresses.

# Activity 3.2: Fish farmers supported through market infrastructure and value chain assessment done

Market analysis, value chain analysis and infrastructure assessment of the different fish markets has already been undertaken that forms the basis for making technological and market intervention for the small pond fish farmer<sup>28</sup>. The assessments provide inputs to the fishers in enabling them to develop their respective business plans and make the best possible use of the market opportunities. Training resources, information education communication material and other informational materials will be prepared under the project for a wider use within the project districts.

Institutional strengthening of fish farmers, either as non formal groups or as formal institutions, will be carried out to enable them to become recognizable players in the fish market. In addition, their partnerships with other players will be forged and negotiating skills among the fish farmers will be developed so that they are able to develop fish culture on sustainable practices.

### Activity 3.3: Fish farmers prepare business plan based on local market and existing value chain

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See Annexure 7Capacity Building Strategy

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> See **Annexure 6**Business Development and Market Analysis

Business development and market analysis<sup>29</sup> exercise has developed model business plans for fisheries in the project districts. Based on these models, the business plan for each of the selected fish farmers will be developed and the project will provide handholding support and mentor them to implement the business plan developed for them. A total of 60 business plans will be produced.

### Activity 3.4: Institutional support interventions so as to enable Local Governance Institutions and fish farmers to play the role envisaged in the legal framework of the State

The Gram Panchayat is the local government level institution closesttosmall pond fish farmers that affect their enabling environment to be able to develop and implement adaptive strategies. The project will provide training to members of Gram Panchayats on Climate Change and Livelihood Security<sup>30</sup>.

### Activity 3.5: Insurance coverage provided for risk minimisation of fish farmers of the project

Pilot project had led to the development of weather based insurance product for the small pond fish farmer. Other insurance companies have also developed insurance products for the fishfarmers.Bundling of insurance products with saving and credit products of financial institutions and their customisation for the small fish farmers will be undertaken as advocacy effort by the project. The project will engage with financial institutions including insurance companies and establish their linkages with the fish farmers in the project area.

At each stage the fishermen practicing small pond aquaculture will be informed and consulted on the development of the product and the fish farmers will be encouraged to form their own opinion on the feasibility and viability of the product based on their own risk assessment of fisheries.

Insurance companies have developed different insurance products that are under review by the Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority of India. These products cover the risks against summer kill, pollution, poisoning, malicious acts by third party (including poaching), explosion/implosion, floods inundation and earthquakes amongst others. The acts that are excluded from coverage include rough handling by the insured, negligence, errors and improper management, overcrowding, losses caused by predators or weed fish, and chemical status of soil and water not associated with climate change. The existing insurance product that has already been launched is a weather based derivative but provides protection against rainfall variability only.

### Component 4: Knowledge Generation and Management

### Activity 4.1: District Steering Committee Meetings

The project aims at establishment of a District Steering Committee (DSC) in each of the three districts comprising members from government and the project team that will regularly review the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> See Annexure 6Business Development and Market Analysis

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup>ibid

implementation of the project. The DSC will be the multi-stakeholder body that will assess the benefits of project and the relevance of project interventions with reference to the district. The DSC will identify issues on which evidence needs to be generated and their deliberations will provide evidence on how specific interventions can be up-scaled and replicated within the district.

The meeting of the DSC will be convened every two months in each district. Thus in all there will be 36 meetings of the DSC during the course of project implementation. All the meetings of DSC will be documented as part of project processes.

### Activity 4.2 Technical Advisory Group Meetings

Technical Advisory Group (TAG) will comprise agroup of specialists in the field of fisheries, geo hydrology, climate change, civil engineering, rural marketing and institution development. TAG will conduct field visits and assess the relevance and impact of climate change activities as a result of project's intervention. TAG will recommend the areas where evidence related to climate adaptation needs to be generated and provide linkages with other institutions and projects in the state/ country.

TAG will visit the field and meet twice a year with the project team. Thus, there will be 6 meetings of TAG during the period of project implementation. The meetings of TAG will be documented as part of project learning processes and as evidences in to development of knowledge products.

### Activity 4.3 State Steering Committee

State Steering Committee (SSC) will be constituted comprising of senior level decision makers in the government with the twin aim of informing them of the project activities and outcomes and to identify policy level issues where the project can make its contribution. The evidencegenerated from the project will be placed before the SSC and will be further enriched by their inputs and experiences.

The project aims at 3 meetings per year of the SSC and each of these will be documented for further reference and for establishing institutional memory within the participating departments and institutions.

#### Activity 4.4 Climate Change Observatory

Climate Change Observatory (CCO) will comprise practitioners (traditional fishers, beneficiary fish farmers, women engaged in fisheries) and decision makers at the district level (political and administrative representatives) and sector specialists (fisheries, financial services) that will assess the relevance and impact of adaptation strategies from the project's experience in the context of IMD data for the district. The CCO will meet once in six months and makes its own assessment of the signs of change and adaptation. These observations will be used as evidences for the relevance and effectiveness of strategies adopted by the project. The CCO will be developed and strengthened to undertake such assessments in other sectors as well as continue these processes beyond the project period.

CCO will meet twice a year in each district and will aim to involve a wider range of stakeholders at the district level. The meetings of CCO will be documented by the project.

The Climate Change Observatory will essentially contribute the following during the life of the project:

- (a) Repository of data base on micro climatic factors, vulnerabilities and impacts of climate change locally. The data collected by the project during its preparatory phase and during implementation will be placed at the CCO that will be available to other stakeholders. Further the CCO will encourage other projects and members of civil society operating locally to collect similar data and enrich the data base at the CCO.
- (b) Develop linkage with district level Krishi Vigyan Kendra (Agriculture Science Centre) that has the mandate for the preparation of Disaster Preparedness Plan on Agriculture, Animal Husbandry and Fisheries of the district. The linkage will involve sharing data and the experience generated from the project and similar such initiatives at the local level.
- (c) The KVK and Department of Fisheries have the mandate of providing training to their client groups on a regular basis. CCO will act as resource agency that will provide training on climate change to the trainees of both the institutions.
- (d) The two formal meeting of the CCO in each district will lead to development of Voices a paper on experiences of practitioners on the process and impact of climate change for each district every year. Thus there will be three such papers that will be developed for each district during the life cycle of the project. This paper will be shared with decision makers at the state level and with the members of the Technical Group.
- (e) CCO will make presentation of their role in the state and national level workshop. These workshops will express their opinion on the possibility of replicating/ up-scaling the role of CCOs in other parts of the state/country.

The Climate Champions that will be developed by the project will be linked through the CCO to the similar initiative of the state government. The latter are developing Climate Champions that will spread awareness on the issues related to climate change in villages. The Climate Champions developed by the project will complement the governmental effort in that they will have the specific knowledge of how climatic factors are affecting conduct of aquaculture and what are the possible adaptive measures that can be taken up by fishers in the region. Secondly, the Climate Champion developed by the state government will be linked with the CCO that will provide institutional and resource sustainability to CCO beyond the project period.

### **Activity 4.5 Action Reflection Meetings**

Participatory Action-Reflection exercises will be facilitated by the senior management team of the project. These exercises will be facilitated at the community level primarily with the involvement of the fish farmers and other, members of the community associated with the project. The purpose of the exercise will be to:

- Create space for the target fish farmers and the community members to reflect on the project induced processes and to assess whether these processes are achieving their desired results
- Provide an open space to the project team and the community to critically review the progress of the project and identify constraints and opportunities;
- Use learning to provide feedback to the planning process and also in identifying or modifying existing activities; and
- Generate data and evidence that will validate learning and use that as knowledge product for advocacy and capacity building processes.

At least one Participatory Action-Reflection learning exercise will be carried out every four months in each of the three districts. There will be thus 18 such exercises within the duration of project implementation. The report of the quarterly exercise, however, will be prepared at the end of the six months after relevant evidence has been generated.

### Activity 4.6 Systematisation

Systematization aims at improving practice based critical reflection and interpretation of lesions learnt from practice. The process describes the experience and result and provides insight in to what worked and what did not, what were the key factors for success and how it could have been different? Systematization will be used for:

- Revisiting frameworks and hypotheses on which the project has been designed and developed
- Identify successes and develop knowledge products based on them
- Contribute to advocacy and policy debates on adaptive capacities related to climate change

There will be three systematization exercises that will be undertaken during the period of project implementation: **one**, at the beginning of the project to identify reflection spaces and milestones in the project cycle; **second**, after 18 months when the project has been implemented for half of its duration to check on the relevance and usefulness of strategies adopted by the project; and **third** in the last quarter of the project to consolidate learning and identify and develop knowledge products. The process of systematization will be facilitated by an external expert, who will be identified at the beginning of the project.

#### Activity 4.7 Process Documentation

Documentation of the processes adopted by the project will be done to provide evidence for the development of knowledge products in the project. The project will develop the process guidelines as the reference framework within which the project processes will be developed and implemented. The Process document will be a consolidated report of these processes and will be prepared annually. The PD report will also synthesise the processes to develop the process map and pathway followed by the project.

#### Activity 4.8 Policy Briefs

Based on the recommendations of the State Steering Committee, TAG and CCO the project will prepare at least three policy briefs based on the projects experiences and impacts. The broad areas of policy briefs have been identified as (a) climate change and impact on women and measures to enhance their productivity in small-scale fisheries; (b) climate adaptation strategies in small-scale fisheries; and (c) risk management strategies in small-scale fisheries.

The policy briefs will be prepared by external resource persons. These briefs will be presented to the SSC and will be widely circulated among different stakeholders.

### Activity 4.9 Training of Civil Society Organisation

The project will organise two training sessions for the members of the civil society organisations, namely, to understand the trend and different dimensions of climate change and to develop knowledge and skill in developing climate adaptation strategies with specific reference to small-scale fisheries. The former training will be conducted for senior functionaries of civil society organisations and the latter training will be for the middle management and grass roots functionaries of the organisation. The aim of training civil society organisations is to disseminate projects learning and to develop a cadre of persons within the civil society that can take the agenda of adaptation to climate change forward in the state.

### Activity 4.10 Learning Workshops

The project aims at organising two sharing and learning workshops: one, at the state level and the second, at the national level. The aim is to present the learning from the project experience and also to share the experiences of similar projects in the state/ country. These workshops will comprise of representatives from the academia, policy makers, researchers, practitioners and media that are working on the issues related to climate change and adaptation.

#### Activity 4.11 Knowledge Products

The project will prepare two types of knowledge products, based on experience: one set of knowledge products will be training manuals and tool kits for practitioners. The second set will be based on documentation of good practice from the project. Both the documents will use the experience of the project to develop the content of the knowledge products. The training manual and products identified include (a) a toolkit for identifying adaptation strategies in natural resource management with specific reference to fisheries; and (b) toolkit for preparation of business plans for fisheries, hatchery and nursery that incorporates components of climate adaptation in its analysis. The training manual planned by the project is for fish farmers on climate adaptation fish rearing practices.

**B.**Describe how the project / programme provides economic, social and environmental benefits, with particular reference to the most vulnerable communities, and vulnerable groups within communities, including gender considerations. Describe how the project

/ programme will avoid or mitigate negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

The project is expected to deliver a set of targeted and interlinked economic, social and environmental benefits, as well as serve as a model for future replication throughout the country. The project will promote a set of innovations, together with partner institutions / organisations that will help create better living conditions for the marginalised fisherfolkcommunity.

Vulnerable groups expected to be benefit from this project include:

**Tribal fish farmers:** Rural communities including tribal communities and fishers in particular whose livelihoods are highly dependent on climatic conditions and who are particularly vulnerable to extreme weather events, aredependent on fish farming. The proposed project districts are predominantly inhabited by scheduled tribes and the project will be implemented with these ethnic groups.

The proposed project areas are listed in Schedule V of the Constitution of India. The provisions of the constitution provide protection to the tribal communities and accord them the first right and priority in the use of natural resources. The constitutional provisions have been incorporated in the Madhya Pradesh Panchayat Raj and Gram Swaraj Act 1993. The project will work within the legal framework provided and targets tribal fish farmers as the primary beneficiary of the project.

**Fisher Folk:** Fisher folk (traditional fisher communities) do not have access to the production side of the value chain but they have access to the processing trading of fish in the fish market. Additionally, the traditional fish farmers are engaged in the supply of equipment and material required for the conduct of fish farming, e.g. supply and repair of nets, repair of boat, etc. In addition the traditional fishermen seek employment as labour during harvesting of fish farmers so that both the social groups can develop economic linkages that will create opportunities where both the groups can optimise their respective incomes.

**Women:** Women are engaged in different aspects in the value chain for fisheries. Women are employed in making/repairingfish nets, harvesting of fish, post-harvest processing, retail marketing and trade in fish. There are two pathways through which women will benefit directly: one, by them being part of project intervention. This will be ensured by making the capacity building exercise inclusive of women, by includingwomen as members of fish farmers' livelihood groups or as members of cooperative societies. Secondly, women will have increased access to fuel and fodder in the catchment area of the pond consequent upon implementation of catchment treatment plan at each of the pond sites. This will not only decrease drudgery for women but will also create potential for income generating opportunities e.g. sale of grass for fodder.

It is a common observation that in the fish market, women sell fish to the retail consumers. Orienting women, especially women sellers belonging to scheduled tribe community, on the terms of trade and practices of fish market and empowering them with the information about prices in the neighbouring marketare expected to benefit them greatly. Through this activity, the project will develop the capacities of these women to act as smart and market savvy fish sellers.

Fish Businesses: People associated with fish related business activities would benefit due to an increase in scale of harvest, regularity offish catch supply and better scope of marketing the increased quantum of produce.

**General Local Consumers:** With stabilisation in fish production, local consumers can avail nutritional / protein rich food more regularly ata cheaper rate. Because of the local production and demand for farm gate selling, the price would be relatively low in comparison to market price in urban areas and big markets. So, with less purchasing power, poor people can access protein rich food more frequently. This includes people with a lowincome level. Dependency on preserved fish will declineand fresh fish would be available to the local rural consumers.

| Benefit Areas | Key benefits  | Baseline scenario   |
|---------------|---|---|
| Social        | Small and marginal farmers face stagnant farm<br>productivity and they regularly look foralternative<br>sources of income and employment. Small pond<br>fisheries are a viable and profitable source of alternative<br>employment for this group<br>Fish farming is a feasible option for the households to<br>fulfil their nutritional requirements as well as ensuring<br>livelihoods | Small and marginal<br>farmers are forced to<br>migrate insearch of<br>employment that has a<br>high social and<br>economic cost for the<br>family<br>The project area has<br>high incidence of<br>malnutrition and<br>livelihood insecurity |
|               | Tribal fish farmers will be developed as productive<br>aquaculturistsas well as climate resilient fish farmers  | Tribal fish farmers<br>learn fish practices<br>from their peers and do<br>not have access to<br>credible sources of<br>training and capacity<br>building  |
|               | Fish farmer groups will emerge and be strengthened as<br>credible institutions that will undertake regular fisheries<br>in the region   | Fish farmer groups<br>among tribal<br>communities are non<br>functional and do not<br>have credibility with<br>financial institutions   |
| Economic      | With ensured catch / harvest, economic gain of the fish farmers will be enhanced from the present level of income from fisheries  | Unsecured and poor<br>income of the small<br>fish farmers due to<br>climate variability   |

| Benefit Areas | Key benefits  | Baseline scenario   |  |  |
|---------------|---|---|--|--|
|               | Changes in income/ earning of the small fish farmers<br>from fisheries is being addressed by developing a   | Poor insurance  |  |  |
|               | package of financial instruments comprising of saving,<br>credit and insurance that will enable the farmer to cope  | access to meet the required capital and   |  |  |
|               | with financial losses arising out of vulnerability from climate change.   | recurring expenses.<br>Grazing only and lack<br>of climate change   |  |  |
|               | Additionally fodder for livestock will also be made<br>available as a result of catchment treatment through<br>increased vegetative cover.  | tolerant species of feed<br>for village animals   |  |  |
|               | Fish farmers will be able to increase their income on a<br>regular basis as they access and develop linkages in the<br>fish market  |   |  |  |
|               | Improved capacity of the fish farmer as they learn to<br>employ labour and resource to achieve optimum levels<br>of production through responsible fishing practices  | Fishing at low levels of productivity   |  |  |
|               | Linkages with banks and financial institutions improved<br>and fish farmers are able to access credit individually and<br>as a group  | Poor access to financial institutions and credit  |  |  |
| Environmental | Making modifications in the design of the pond so that<br>it creates a buffer against the variability in its water<br>storage capacities based on the local rainfall  | At present it is at the<br>maximum of 4-5<br>months which would<br>increase to 8-10<br>months   |  |  |
|               | Reviewing and taking measures in the catchment area of<br>the pond to arrest the rate of siltation. Controlled<br>grazing regime in catchment area.   | Siltation in the tanks<br>due to denuded<br>catchment and no<br>management of run-<br>off. Treatment can<br>minimise the soil<br>erosion and improve<br>soil profile. |  |  |
|               | Introducing fish species that can adapt to climatic<br>variability and yield optimally. This will reduce<br>vulnerability and improve adaptive capacity of the<br>farmer. Protection and recovery of biodiversity with the<br>use of native and adapted species | Without the project,<br>the survival rate of<br>species in extreme<br>weather conditions<br>would reduce<br>drastically   |  |  |
|               | Improved vegetative cover around the tank area and<br>rehabilitation of pond catchment will improve the green<br>cover status, stabilisation of pond banks, decrease run-<br>off and restoration of top soil  | Denudation in the<br>catchment increase soil<br>erosion through run-<br>off due to rain<br>variability  |  |  |

A number of environmental benefits are expected to accrue from the project, especially under components 1 and 2. Firstly, the project will utilise the available rain water to the best possible extent for fish rearing conservation and optimisation of run-off water. Secondly, catchment treatment would be helpful to minimise soil erosion, better soil water holding, minimise top soil erosion and increasing fertility. Thirdly, temperature regulation mechanisms would help to grow micro-organisms, along with fish in an ambient temperature situation maintaining the diversity in the local ecosystem. Fourthly, increase in green cover around the fish tank. Other environmental benefits to be accrued by this project include water quality maintenance, tank water protection for utilisation in dry condition and carbon sinks.

| Activities   | Key benefits (Direct)   |  |   |  |
|--|---|--|---|--|
|  | Social  | Economic   | Environmental   |  |
| Component 1: Adaptive measures to address rainfall variability |   |  |   |  |
| Technical<br>modification of<br>pond design                    |   | Better harvest, improved<br>economic return from the<br>tanks  | Water harvesting,<br>improved water retention,<br>adaptability to climate<br>variability, increased<br>surface water utilisation  |  |
| Geographical<br>suitability<br>assessed                        | Small / marginal farmers<br>with required holding in<br>different geographical set-<br>ups will benefit |  | Assessment of existing<br>resources, drought prone<br>vulnerable areas having<br>water bodies, retention of<br>surface water and water<br>availability for fish culture |  |
| Modification of<br>insurance<br>product                        |   | The insurance product<br>would improve the<br>economic risk<br>management in case of<br>failure of the production<br>system                  |   |  |
| Component 2: E   | Building Resilience throug  | h adaptation of climate re   | silient technology  |  |
| Treatment /<br>rehabilitation of<br>catchment of<br>tanks.     | Small and marginal fish farmers get the benefit.  | Cost of de-siltation<br>reduced, less cost for<br>water quality treatment<br>due to poor soil content.<br>Additional fodder for<br>livestock | Minimise run-off,<br>decreased soil erosion, in-<br>situ moisture<br>conservation and<br>vegetative coverage  |  |
| Pond<br>temperature<br>regulation                              |   | Reduced fish mortality<br>and hence increased<br>income  | Less surface evaporation<br>minimised surface water<br>temperature and making<br>the environment less<br>prone to fish mortality  |  |
| Promoting<br>Poly-culture                                      |   | Better survival of fish,<br>better harvest and<br>improved return on<br>investment   | Meeting fish survival<br>conditions by maintaining<br>water level for different<br>fish species   |  |
|  |   |  | small ponds and in the region   |  |

| Activities  | Key benefits (Direct)  |   |   |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|
|   | Social   | Economic  | Environmental   |  |
|   |  | Four harvests per year i.e.<br>one harvest cycle per<br>species   | Optimal use of stored<br>water and maintaining<br>diversity based on the<br>feeding habit and feeding<br>zone |  |
| Oxygenation<br>And Water<br>Quality<br>Management                 |  | Reduced mortality of fish<br>and hence better income<br>from harvest  | Maintaining dissolved<br>oxygen level suitable for<br>fish survival   |  |
|   |  | Fish production reach<br>optimal level  | Ambient condition for<br>fish habitation, survival<br>and growth  |  |
| Establishment<br>of seed<br>hatchery /<br>nursery/ seed           |  | Economic supply of<br>fingerlings, minimised<br>transportation cost as the<br>infrastructure is near tank           | Development of species<br>under local conditions  |  |
| Component 3.B   | uilding climate resilience   | through enhancement of a  | dantive canacity  |  |
| Capacity<br>Building  | Improved management<br>skill and better<br>understanding of<br>adaptive measures in<br>fishery   | Higher productivity of<br>fish leading to higher<br>income  | Better management of the<br>local environment by the<br>farmers as they practice<br>responsible fisheries     |  |
|   | Both women and men<br>among the tribal fish<br>farmers learn skills for<br>responsible fisheries and<br>gain competency in<br>adopting and adapting<br>these practices | Increase in income of<br>tribal community and<br>women  |   |  |
| Market linkages   | Increased skills to<br>understand market<br>institutions   | Increased access to<br>market and improved<br>terms of engagement   |   |  |
| Financial and<br>Governance<br>Linkages                           | Increased skills to<br>understand financial and<br>governance institutions   | Competitive credit<br>availability for businesses   |   |  |
| Insurance<br>Coverage   | Small fish farmers recover<br>part of their investment in<br>worse cases through<br>insurance  | Shift of risks and cost<br>recovery   | Weather proofing<br>measures  |  |
| Component 4: Knowledge Generation and Management                  |  |   |   |  |
| Multi-<br>stakeholder<br>participation in<br>project<br>processes | Adaptation policies and<br>plans recognise the social<br>imperatives of the small<br>pond aquaculture e.g. the<br>need for consensus at the<br>village level           | Identification of areas of<br>investment that will<br>enable expansion of<br>productive practices to<br>other areas | Green practices identified<br>and case for replication<br>developed   |  |

| Activities     | Key benefits (Direct)      |                            |                            |
|----------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|                | Social                     | Economic                   | Environmental              |
| Evidence based | Adaptive practices         | Programmes for             | Green practices identified |
| learning       | verified and reinforced    | economic development       | and case for replication   |
| documents      | for small pond             | of small fish farmers gain | strengthened               |
| prepared       | aquaculture                | from cost-benefit          |                            |
|                | -                          | assessments from the       |                            |
|                |                            | project                    |                            |
| Knowledge      | Recognition as a key       | Strategies for livelihood  | Contribution in the        |
| generation     | stakeholder in policy      | security for small-scale   | development of green       |
|                | development for climate    | aquaculture developed      | practices and policies     |
|                | adaptation                 |                            |                            |
| Knowledge      | Civil society strengthened | Budgetary allocation       | Other projects for         |
| dissemination  | by training them in        | under government           | adaptation to climate      |
|                | knowledge and skills       | programmes influenced      | change triggered by civil  |
|                | learned from the project   | to respond to needs of     | society                    |
|                |                            | adaptation to climate      |                            |
|                |                            | change in natural resource |                            |
|                |                            | management sector          |                            |

As may be seen from above, implementation of the project will not cause any negative social and environmental impacts. Local communities have been consulted in design of the project and components proposed are in line with the prevalent regulations, policies and standards of National and Sub-national Governments. Components proposed under the project have been designed with consideration towards the Social and Environmental Policy of Adaptation Fund.

### **C.** Describe or provide an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the proposed project / programme.

**Cost effectiveness:** The cost effectiveness of the project based on the sub components of the project is given in the following table.

| Current addressing  | How is it addressed by the          | Cost effectiveness                    |  |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| mechanism   | project                             |                                       |  |  |
| Sub component: Selection of ponds for small-scale fisheries |                                     |                                       |  |  |
| There are no existing guidelines                            | Identification of areas most        | The identification and planning       |  |  |
| for identification of ponds                                 | suited for fisheries within the     | exercise is introducing climate       |  |  |
| specifically for fisheries. Ponds                           | district will be undertaken         | resilient factors in to planning for  |  |  |
| are constructed for irrigation or                           | through a geo hydrological          | fisheries in the district. This will  |  |  |
| nistari purposes. The fish farmer                           | survey that will be followed        | make investments by the               |  |  |
| who satisfies the eligibility criteria                      | ground truthing of the data.        | department sustainable, reduce        |  |  |
| is given lease for fisheries and                            | This exercise will determine        | failure and reduce the drop out       |  |  |
| supported by the Department of                              | suitability of areas for fisheries; | rate of fish farmers in the district. |  |  |
| Fisheries.  | assess the catchment area of        | Greater efficiency of expenditure     |  |  |
|   | pond; provide inputs for design     | will be achieved. The protocol        |  |  |
| Withdrawal of support for ponds                             | based on the geology of the         | developed by the project, and         |  |  |
| or giving up on fisheries by the                            | area.                               | included in an updated manual,        |  |  |
| fisher folk is high as the water                            |                                     | will be demonstrated for              |  |  |
| retention for fisheries in pond is                          |                                     | adoption in the mainstream            |  |  |
| inconsistent due to inappropriate                           |                                     | planning processes. Such an           |  |  |
| Current addressing   | How is it addressed by the   | Cost effectiveness   |
|--|--|--|
| mechanism  | project  |  |
| design (fn reference to fisheries),<br>unsuitable location.<br>Department of Fisheries does not<br>have guidelines for constructing<br>ponds on Panchayat land (less<br>than 10 hac). They have schemes<br>that provide support to<br>construction of pond on private<br>land only. The eligibility criteria of<br>the scheme do not have<br>parameters related to geo<br>hydrology of the area nor an<br>assessment of the catchment area<br>and its treatment. | Processes of social consultation<br>before finalisation of site for<br>support by the project.   | approach will have wide<br>application in all inland fishing<br>districts and states of the nation.  |
| Sub Component: Modification of   | selected ponds developed and im  | plemented  |
| The current scheme for existing<br>ponds is for repair and renovation<br>of the pond. The components<br>included are repair of<br>embankment, clearing vegetation<br>from pond, and installation of<br>wire mesh.<br>Removal of silt, deepening of<br>pond, and issues of leakages and<br>seepage are not addressed by<br>these schemes.<br>There is no provision for<br>modification of design or<br>renovation to address climate<br>change factors.           | Project will customise<br>rehabilitation plans for each of<br>the selected ponds. The<br>rehabilitation will ensure that<br>there is 3 m of water available<br>for fisheries by the fish farmer.<br>De-silting, deepening,<br>construction of key trench and<br>compaction, repair of inlet and<br>outlet of the pond and<br>installation of wire mesh will be<br>undertaken by the project. | Enable fish farmers to practice<br>productive and commercial<br>fisheries throughout the year.<br>The rehabilitation plans will be<br>placed in the mainstream<br>planning and implementation<br>processes for adoption as<br>adaptive measures for small-scale<br>fisheries in the state.<br>The cost items in each pond will<br>provide the baseline figures for<br>the government to develop<br>guidelines for modifying ponds<br>for climate resilience. |
| Sub component: Modification of   | Insurance products   |  |
| Existing insurance are for life and<br>against accidents for the fish<br>farmers. The insurance products<br>that protect the fish farmers<br>against the loss of income are few.<br>The existing weather based<br>insurance product protects<br>against rainfall only.   | Project will work with<br>insurance companies to<br>develop weather based<br>insurance derivatives that<br>compensate the fish farmer<br>against loss of income on<br>account of temperature as well.  | The project will contribute<br>todeveloping of comprehensive<br>insurance products that will offer<br>choices to the fish farmers for<br>protection against loss of income<br>on account of weather based<br>losses.<br>Fish farmers undertaking<br>fisheries as supplementary<br>income source will find the<br>security of insurance as a viable<br>way to reduce the risk of the<br>investment. The process will<br>deepen the financial inclusion of     |
| Sub component: Catchment treat   | ment   | the vulnerable group and make<br>their livelihood climate resilient.   |

| Current addressing  | How is it addressed by the  | Cost effectiveness   |
|---|---|--|
| mechanism   | project   |  |
| There are no catchment treatment<br>plans for ponds less than 10 hac<br>constructed on common land.<br>Most ponds face high silt load<br>coming from the catchment that   | Development of customised<br>catchment treatment plan that<br>will include soil and moisture<br>conservation measures as well<br>as vegetative measures to  | Pond based catchment treatment<br>plans will enhance the productive<br>life of the pond and will prove<br>beneficial for the fish farmers.   |
| affects its water' retention<br>capacity. In cases where<br>agriculture is practised the quality<br>of water is adversely affected for<br>fisheries due to usage of chemical<br>fertilisers and pesticides by the<br>farmers in the catchment area. | minimise flow of silt load in to<br>pond. Additionally a<br>consultative plan will be<br>developed with farmers in the<br>catchment to prevent polluted<br>water flowing in the pond.<br>Productivity of common land<br>in the catchment will be<br>increased through plantations | The catchment treatment plan<br>will be demonstrated as an<br>integrated eco service based<br>model for pond construction and<br>rehabilitation that enhances<br>accessibility to eco-services for<br>different stakeholders in the<br>village.  |
|   | and grasses that will provide<br>fuel and fodder to the village<br>community.   | be developed as climate resilient<br>adaptive measures for small-scale<br>fisheries in the state.  |
| Sub component: Temperature reg  | ulating best management practice  | s  |
| Penetration of technological<br>options like oxygen tablets and<br>solar aerators is non-existent in<br>the project area. There is no<br>understanding of the water quality<br>and its impact of productivity of                                    | Project will inform, educate<br>and train the fish farmers and<br>the members of the community<br>on the technologies and best<br>management practices to<br>regulate pond temperature.   | Fish farmers gain access to<br>technologies and adopt them to<br>regulate temperature of their<br>pond and reduce mortality rate of<br>fish.   |
| pond for fisheries.   |   | Use of solar powered aerators will<br>instil the practice of using<br>renewable sources that will<br>further enhance the penetration<br>of technology in remote areas.   |
| Sub component: Poly culture fish  | culture   |  |
| Tribal fish farmers are not<br>conducting hatchery or nursery or<br>seed rearing units. They are totally<br>dependent on outside suppliers<br>for fish seed, fingerling and are<br>often cheated on quality, quantity<br>and price.                 | Training and establishing fish<br>seed rearing units, nurseries<br>and hatcheries in the districts<br>will make the fingerling<br>accessible and available to lager<br>group of fish farmer.  | Wider portfolio of fish species<br>will make the small-scale fish<br>farming climate resilient and<br>enable the fish farmer to optimise<br>their income through sale of<br>different fish species.  |
| Fish farmers at best practice two<br>layers of fish and are not familiar<br>with poly culture fish culture.   | Fish farmers will be trained in<br>the nurturing of and harvesting<br>of poly culture fish culture<br>practices.  |  |
| Sub component: Capacity building  | g of fish farmer on climate resilier  | nt fish farming  |
| Present training of fish farmer is<br>mostly on skills related to fish<br>culture.  | Components of climate change<br>and its impact on fisheries,<br>responsible fisheries, market<br>behaviour of fish, and<br>development of business plan<br>for fish culture by each of the<br>selected fish farmers will be<br>conducted under the project.                       | Long-term contribution of the<br>project will be a cadre of trained<br>and experienced fish farmers that<br>have the wherewithal of adapting<br>to climate change. The cadre will<br>also function as climate<br>champions making other<br>stakeholders aware on the climate<br>change factors and the process of<br>developing adaptive strategies. |

| Current addressing  | How is it addressed by the   | Cost effectiveness  |
|---|--|---|
| mechanism   | project  |   |
| Sub component: Institutional  | processes for multi-stakeholder  | learning and documentation of   |
| knowledge generated   |  |   |
| knowledge generated<br>There are no interdepartmental<br>committees at the district and<br>state level that are addressing the<br>issue of climate change. Neither<br>are the departments in the process<br>of developing adaptive measures<br>to climate change.<br>Panchayat representatives at the<br>district, block and village level<br>have not been oriented in climate<br>change factors and their possible<br>role in facilitating different<br>stakeholders to develop adaptive<br>strategies.<br>There are no field experiences<br>that could be used for advocating<br>for change or development of<br>policies for adaptation in the<br>small-scale fisheries sector. | Project will train the Panchayat<br>representatives in climate<br>change and the mechanism of<br>developing adaptive strategies.<br>They will also be exposed to<br>different adaptive measures<br>that have been developed and<br>implemented.<br>Climate Change Observatory at<br>the district level will create an<br>opportunity for the fish<br>farmers and the Panchayat<br>representatives to discuss and<br>develop understanding of the<br>climatic factors and the<br>possible adaptation strategies<br>that can be developed.<br>Project will form and facilitate<br>meetings of inter disciplinary<br>committees at the district and | Contribution of the project on<br>the discourse of adaptation<br>strategies to climate change based<br>on field experiences at all levels-<br>sub district, district and state<br>level.<br>Documents available for<br>generating debates and discussion<br>among stakeholders on the issue<br>of adaptation in the climate<br>change scenario. |
|   | state level to provide them<br>inputs on the project processes<br>and impacts. These will serve as<br>on-line discussion cum learning<br>forums.   |   |
|   | Project will conduct systematic<br>action and reflection exercises,<br>systematisation processes, and<br>process documentation to<br>document learning of the fish<br>farmers during the course of<br>project implementation.  |   |
| Sub component: Learning from p  | roject disseminated  |   |
| There are no stakeholder<br>engagements on adaptation<br>strategies in fresh water<br>aquaculture as a result of climate<br>change.   | Project will hold state and<br>national level workshops to<br>engage with a wider range of<br>stakeholders and experiences in<br>the country.  | Long term contribution of the<br>project in developing persons<br>trained and oriented in issues<br>related to climate change.  |
| Sub component: Development of   | Civil society leaders will be<br>oriented and trained in the<br>climate resilient practices<br>adopted under the project so<br>that the same can be<br>disseminated in their respective<br>areas.  | Opportunity to government<br>stakeholders to review their<br>existing policies and practices in<br>the light of climate change<br>factors.  |

| Current addressing   | How is it addressed by the  | Cost effectiveness  |
|--|---|---|
| mechanism  | project   |   |
| Lack of material for practitioners<br>and trainers on climate resilient<br>fish farming. | Project will develop training<br>manual, and practitioner's<br>guide on adaptation strategies<br>on small-scale fish farming,<br>development of business plans, | Long term contribution of the<br>project` in the on-going<br>development of experiential<br>knowledge for adaptation and<br>small-scale fish farming. |
|  | and good management<br>practices for climate resilient<br>fish farming.   |   |

#### **Quantification of Cost effectiveness**

Annexure 11 documents the *Bhatiyari: Technical and Financial Feasibility* as a case study of one of the ponds that were selected through the process of geo-hydrological survey. The case study illustrates the type of investment that is required for the rehabilitation of the pond to be suitable for commercial fisheries and for treatment of the catchment area:acombinationof measures that will provide added climate change resilience under the project.

The cost of farming four species of fish along with other operational cost has been included and the pond requires an investment of INR 1,424,242. The capital cost is inclusive of the catchment treatment as well as costs to be incurred for renovation and modification of pond to suit fisheries throughout the year. The cost has been compared with NABARD's "standard unit cost model" (called below the *standard model*) to establish a pond for the same area as at Bhatiyari (2.3 ha). It needs to be pointed out that standard model cost does **not** include catchment treatment cost and other costs for trenching and compacting for arresting leakages and seepages. The standard model would not include the measures that are required at each pond site to address climate change vulnerability. While the standard model is cheaper than the project cost, and therefore appears financially more attractive in the analysis, although*it is riskier and less cost effective* in the context of addressing climate change.

The income under the standard model is calculated on the basis of 85% survival and the size of fish harvested at 1.1 kg for sale. In the case of Bhatiyari under the project survival has been estimated more conservatively at 80% and the fish is harvested at 1 kg for sale. The price at Bhatiyari is the minimum price at which fish has been sold in the previous year.

The comparative cost between the standard model and the project, the cost/benefit results, financial viability and some preliminary estimates of the impacts of climate change risksof the Bhatiyari pond is given below:

| Particulars             | Standard Model cost | Project Cost        |
|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| (all costs units of INR |                     | inclusive of        |
| 100,000)                |                     | catchment treatment |
|                         |                     | cost                |
| Capital Cost            | 3.67                | 12.05               |
| Working capital         | 3.48                | 2.18                |
| Total cost              | 7.16                | 14.24               |
| Gross Income            | 11.29               | 11.04               |

| NPC Cost         | 36.33                | 33.95                |
|------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| NPV Benefit      | 44.69                | 45.28                |
| NPV              | 83.61                | 11.32                |
| BCR              | 1.23                 | 1.33                 |
| IRR              | 18%                  | 11%                  |
| Break Even Point | 0.47                 | 1.36                 |
| Pay Back Period  | 2 <sup>nd</sup> year | 2 <sup>nd</sup> year |

#### Impact of Adaptation

Adaptation investments and its impact on pond and fisheries will be as follows:

| Adaptation Investment  | Adaptation Impact                               |   |
|--|---|---|
|  | Direct Primary Impact                           | Direct Secondary Impact   |
| <ul><li>(a) Deepening/ de-silting of pond</li><li>(b) Trenching and compaction to</li></ul>                                  | • Increased water depth sustained               | • Introduction of bottom layer of fishdwellers                              |
| <ul><li>arrest seepage and leakages</li><li>(c) Inlet-outlet protection</li><li>(d) Soil and Moisture Conservation</li></ul> | • Increased duration of water retention in pond | • Harvesting of fish for a longer duration                                  |
| <ul><li>works in catchment</li><li>(e) Increased vegetative cover in catchment</li></ul>                                     |   | • Pond does not dry completely and bottom dwelling fish are always in stock |

At the **fish farmer level** the impact of the adaptation investment will be in terms of (a) yield of fish from pond; (b) profitability from fish as an income generating option; and (c) creation of safety nets for the fish farmer. These impacts have been quantified in the following table in terms of how the standard model for composite fish culture will undergo the required modifications to adapt to climate change.

| Existing Standa  | urd Model             | Model After Adaptation  |                       | Impact  |
|--|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|---|
| Practice   | Return                | Practice  | Returns               |   |
| Yield  |                       |   |                       |   |
| @ 85% survival on seed of 5,000 per ha                         | 4,675 kg per ha       | @ 80% survival on seed of<br>10,000 per ha as the pond<br>depth has increased by 1 ha<br>m  | 8,000 kg per<br>ha    | Increase in<br>productivity<br>by 3,325 kg<br>per ha          |
| Profitability  |                       |   |                       |   |
| Fish stock of<br>Rohu:Catla:Mrigal in<br>the ratio of 40:30:30 | Price Rs 55 per<br>kg | Fish Stock of<br>Rohu:Catla:Mrigal:Common<br>Carp in the ratio of<br>30:20:10:40 as there is local<br>demand for Common Carp<br>and it can be harvested and<br>sold for a longer duration | Price Rs 60<br>per kg | Increase in<br>average<br>price of<br>catch by Rs<br>5 per kg |
| Gross Income per ha<br>in INR 100,000                          | 2.57                  | Gross Income per ha in INR<br>100,000   | 4.78                  | Increase in<br>Gross<br>Income by<br>2.21 per ha              |
| Safety Net   |                       |   |                       |   |

| Fish stocking ratio has | During         | Fish stocking ratio has | Brood stock    | Safety net    |
|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|---------------|
| less proportion of      | summer Pond    | higher proportion of    | of bottom      | for the fish  |
| bottom dwellers         | will not have  | bottom dwellers         | dwellers       | farmer        |
|                         | enough fish to |                         | available that | embedded      |
|                         | develop as     |                         | breeds during  | in the design |
|                         | brood stock    |                         | monsoon        |               |

**D.** Describe how the project / programme is consistent with national or subnational sustainable development strategies, including, where appropriate, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications, or national adaptation programs of action, or other relevant instruments, where they exist.

Key Policies of Central and State Government, on which this project is based, are as follows.

| SN | Central/State  | <b>Responsible Agency</b>                         | Project Component Consistent  |
|----|--|---|---|
|    | Government Policy  |   | with the Policy   |
| 1  | 12 <sup>th</sup> Five year plan                                  | Planning Commission,<br>Govt. of India            | <ol> <li>Maintenance of surface water<br/>bodies</li> <li>Concrete effort to engage in the<br/>process of de-siltation and<br/>restitution of water bodies<br/>through treatment of their<br/>catchment areas making tanks<br/>suitable for storage of rain water<br/>and fishery promotion</li> </ol>  |
| 2  | National Water<br>Mission  | Ministry of Water<br>Resources, Govt. of<br>India | <ol> <li>Designing incentive structures to<br/>promote water neutral or water<br/>positive technologies</li> <li>Integrated water resource<br/>management helping to conserve<br/>water</li> <li>Optimise water use by increasing<br/>water use efficiency by 20%</li> <li>Enhancing storage, both above<br/>and below ground, special effort<br/>to increase water storage capacity</li> </ol> |
| 3  | National Mission on<br>Strategic Knowledge<br>for Climate Change | Cross cuts all the<br>Ministries &<br>Department  | <ol> <li>Identifying challenges of and<br/>response to climate change</li> <li>Research on socio-economic<br/>impacts of climate change,<br/>including impact on health and<br/>livelihoods</li> <li>Development of innovative<br/>technologies for adaptation and<br/>mitigation</li> <li>Research to support policy and<br/>implementation</li> </ol>   |
| 4  | Madhya Pradesh State<br>Action Plan on<br>Climate Change         | Housing and<br>Environment                        | <ol> <li>Conservation of fish bio-diversity</li> <li>Study of impacts of climate change on inland fisheries</li> </ol>  |

| SN | Central/State<br>Government Policy           | Responsible Agency                                     | Project Component Consistent<br>with the Policy   |
|----|--|--|---|
|    |  | Department, Govt. of<br>Madhya Pradesh                 | <ol> <li>Develop agro-climatic zone wise<br/>plan for fisheries</li> <li>Strengthening the existing system<br/>of fish management in the State</li> <li>Capacity building to integrate<br/>climate change risk in planning</li> </ol> |
| 5  | Madhya Pradesh State<br>Fishery Policy, 2008 | Department of<br>Fisheries, Govt. of<br>Madhya Pradesh | <ol> <li>Loans to fish farmers</li> <li>JanshreeBimaYojana for all fishermen(Insurance)</li> <li>Use of the latest techniques in fishing to improve production</li> </ol>   |

# **E.** Describe how the project / programme meets relevant national technical standards, where applicable, such as standards for environmental assessment, building codes, etc., and complies with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

The overall objective of the project is in line with the Fishery Policy of Government of Madhya Pradesh 2008 and adheres to the recommendations of the State Action Plan on Climate Change. Secondly, the project will be governed as per the policy and preference of Government of Madhya Pradesh in adherence to all the specific local criteria. Apart from that the project would also adhere to the national scientific criteria with regard to adaptation such as economic, social and environmental benefit etc. The project meets the sustainable fishing guidelines developed by the Inland Fishery Research Institute. The environmental norms (water quality) notified with regards to hatcheries will be in conformity with state pollution control board norms. The involvement of the key stakeholders in the Technical committee and the Project Steering committee will ensure compliance with the law. The monitoring of compliance to the technical standard would be done field level units and Steering Committee. Further NIE would monitor the adherence to the technical standards during its periodic field visits.

| SN | Activity               | Applicable Standards        | Application to Project by    |
|----|------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
|    | Component 1            |                             |                              |
| 1  | Technical modification | With reference to the RES   | Implementing agency and      |
|    | of pond design         | guideline and Guidelines of | Dept. of Fisheries           |
|    |                        | Fisheries Dept.             |                              |
| 2  | Geographical           | Technical standards of      | Implementing agency along    |
|    | Suitability Assessment | Fisheries Department        | with Geo-hydrology expert    |
|    | Component 2            |                             |                              |
| 3  | Catchment Treatment    | Standards of watershed      | Implementing agency along    |
|    |                        | development programme and   | with expert. Support of      |
|    |                        | standards of Forest         | Forestry Department          |
|    |                        | Department.                 |                              |
| 4  | Pond Temperature       | Specification of Fisheries  | Dept. of Fisheries, Govt. of |
|    | Regulation             | Dept.                       | MP along with Implementing   |
|    |                        |                             | Agency                       |

| SN | Activity  | Applicable Standards   | Application to Project by  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 5  | Promotion of selected fish species              | Specification of Fisheries<br>Dept.  | By implementing agency with technical expert   |
| 6  | Oxygenation                                     | Specification of Fisheries<br>Dept.  | Dept. of Fisheries, Govt. of MP<br>along with Implementing<br>Agency                         |
| 7  | Water quality<br>management                     | Specification of Fisheries<br>Dept.  | Dept. of Fisheries, Govt. of MP<br>along with Implementing<br>Agency                         |
| 8  | Establishment of seed<br>hatcheries / nurseries | Specification of Fisheries<br>Dept.  | Dept. of Fisheries, Govt. of MP  |
|    | Component 3                                     |  |  |
| 9  | Training of fish farmer                         | Specification of Fisheries<br>Dept.  | Implementing Agency  |
| 10 | Project linkage                                 | Convergence Guidelines of<br>Govt. Institutional framework<br>for fisheries sector | Dept. of Fisheries, Govt. of MP<br>along with Housing and<br>Environment Department          |
| 11 | Insurance Coverage                              | Specification of Fisheries<br>Dept.  | Dept. of Fisheries, Govt. of MP<br>along with Implementing<br>Agency                         |
|    | Component 4                                     |  |  |
| 12 | Key Stakeholder<br>participation                | Convergence Guidelines of<br>Govt. Institutional framework                         | Department of Panchayat and<br>Rural Development and<br>Implementing Agency                  |
| 13 | Generation of evidence<br>based learning        | Approved national standard,<br>Climate Change Action Plan<br>suggested benefits    | EPCO and Implementing<br>Agency  |
| 14 | Dissemination of<br>learning                    | Government protocols for<br>participation in learning<br>sharing events            | Department of Rural<br>Development and Department<br>of Fisheries and Implementing<br>Agency |
| 15 | Development of<br>knowledge products            | Knowledge standards<br>established by FAO and other<br>agencies                    | Implementing Agency  |

The project is not expected to violate and social and environmental regulations as applicable at National and Sub-national level.

# **F.** Describe if there is duplication of project / programme with other funding sources, if any.

Both central and state Government have a number of schemes that have similar components, except having an adaptation mechanism, integrated fishery development perspective and convergent implementation arrangements. Proposed project will take required measures to avoid potential fund duplication with other funding sources for similar activities. Some of the potential schemes/programmes of Government that have complimentary components are as follows:

| S | Project  | Objectives   | Complementarities  | Geographic                                | Agency   |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| N |  |  |  | al Coverage                               | D i  |
| 1 | Mahatma Gandhi<br>National Rural<br>Employment<br>Guarantee<br>Scheme(MGNRE<br>GS)                   | Wage<br>employment<br>(unskilled)<br>and asset<br>creation   | Mainstreaming of<br>adaptation strategy<br>developed under the<br>project  | All Districts                             | Panchayat<br>and Rural<br>Developme<br>nt<br>Department<br>, Govt. of<br>MP    |
| 2 | National Rural<br>Livelihoods<br>Mission   | Rural<br>Livelihoods<br>promotion<br>through<br>women<br>collective  | Fishery as one of the<br>livelihoods<br>components<br>(financial support for<br>fish farming &<br>enterprise)  | 10 Districts                              | Panchayat<br>and Rural<br>Developme<br>nt<br>Department<br>, Govt. of<br>MP    |
| 3 | RashtriyaKrishi<br>VikasYojana<br>(National<br>Agriculture<br>Development<br>Programme)              | Holistic<br>developmen<br>t of<br>agriculture<br>and allied<br>sector to<br>achieve 4%<br>annual<br>growth   | Enhancing fish<br>production   | All Districts                             | Ministry of<br>Agriculture<br>&<br>Department<br>of Fishery,<br>Govt. of<br>MP |
| 4 | Development of<br>inland fisheries &<br>aquaculture<br>(Development of<br>freshwater<br>aquaculture) | Developme<br>nt of<br>fisheries to<br>strength<br>food<br>security,<br>generate<br>employment<br>opportunitie<br>s, improving<br>the socio<br>economic<br>status of fish<br>farmers and<br>other people<br>engaged in<br>the sector. | Construction of new<br>ponds<br>Reclamation/renovati<br>on of ponds/tanks<br>Cost of inputs<br>Integrated fish<br>farming<br>Support for aerators<br>/ pumps<br>Fresh water fish seed<br>hatchery<br>Fish feed unit<br>Training of fish<br>farmers<br>Transportation of<br>fish seed | All Districts                             | Dept. of<br>Fisheries<br>and<br>Ministry of<br>Agriculture                     |
| 5 | National Mission<br>for Protein<br>Supplements<br>(NMPS)   | Intensive<br>aquaculture<br>in ponds /<br>tanks along<br>with<br>reservoir<br>fishery<br>developmen<br>t and   | Construction of<br>Nurseries /<br>hatcheries<br>Capital cost for<br>construction of<br>battery of cages<br>Input cost<br>Establishment of<br>infrastructure like   | All Districts,<br>based on<br>feasibility | Dept. of<br>Fisheries<br>and<br>Ministry of<br>Agriculture                     |

| S<br>N | Project                                 | Objectives  | Complementarities   | Geographic<br>al Coverage | Agency   |
|--------|---|---|---|---------------------------|--|
|        |   | aquaculture<br>developmen<br>t through<br>integrated<br>approach<br>for protein<br>supplement | cold storage, ice<br>plant, insulated truck,<br>marketing/retail<br>outlets |                           |  |
| 6      | National<br>Fishermen's<br>Welfare Fund | Welfare of<br>the<br>fishermen<br>community   | Personal accident<br>insurance<br>Savings cum relief<br>plan                | All Districts             | Dept. of<br>Fisheries<br>and<br>Ministry of<br>Agriculture |

# Climate Proofing of Fish Farming under Meenakshi Sub Scheme of MGNREGS with the support of GIZ

A pilot project on climate proofing of fish farming under Meenakshi sub scheme of MGNREGS was implemented by TAAL with the support of GIZ in Gandhawani block of Dhar District of Madhya Pradesh. The pilot project aimed at identifying the impact of climate change on the fisheries sector and to come out with suitable recommendations for enabling the fisherfolkcommunity to adapt to climate change. The project was implemented during November 2011 to June 2013 by TAAL. A brief account of the Pilot Project and its outcome is given below.

Small farmers in Madhya Pradesh's Dhar district traditionally depend on rain-fed agriculture for their livelihoods. Some areas are irrigated with ground water. However, groundwater extraction has reached a critical stage, since recharge rates are low. Lately, farmers have also been affected by changes in rainfall patterns, such as decreases in pre- and post-monsoon rainfall and a shift in the onset of the monsoon. Rising temperatures are another challenge. To increase livelihood options, the Government of Madhya Pradesh has initiated the Meenakshi sub scheme of the Mahatma Gandhi National Rural Employment Guarantee Scheme (MGNREGS). The goal of MGNREGS is to enhance livelihood security in rural areas by guaranteeing at least 100 days of wage employment a year. The Meenakshi sub scheme aims to provide alternative income sources to farmers by constructing small ponds or hatcheries for fish farming on sections of their land. The sustainability of these activities is threatened by changes in precipitation and temperature. Since high intensive rainfall events have become more frequent, causing surface runoff, the siltation rates of ponds are increasing. In addition, rising temperatures are likely to affect fisheries, e.g. changes in the breeding period, growth retardation and declining overall production.

The objectives of the project were to showcase climate-resilient pond designs, institutional arrangements between farmers and traditional fishermen, and insurance schemes which will provide farmers with options for coping more effectively with climatic variability. The major recommendations include increasing the depth of the pond upto 4 m from the present level of 1.2 to 2.0 m so as to have a minimum water column depth of 2.0 m; construction of smaller size of ponds (0.5 ha) as against 1.0 ha size pond, introduction of common carp fish species, water retention for a period of 10 months, and capacity building of small fisheries farmers on climate resilient fish production technology. The project led to change in the recommended fish species to include additional species of common carp in the sub scheme; introduction of weather based insurance product that was subscribed by fish farmers; and acceptance of the modified pond design within the recommended designs under the sub scheme.

The proposed project is to field test the above recommendations made through the pilot project and to create models which could be replicated and upscaled through a mainstream programme like the MGNREGS.

Ref: Project completion report Climate ProofingFish Farming under Meenakshi sub scheme of MGNREGS; Nov 2011 to June 2013 at INR 2166600

# **G.** If applicable, describe the learning and knowledge management component to capture and disseminate lessons learned.

Component 4 of this project describes both the cross-cutting and specific knowledge management functions that will be undertaken in this project. These include constituting and activating an institutional process that will provide opportunity to key stakeholders to participate in the implementation of the project as well as involve them in the identification of learning areas and issues on which evidence needs to be generated. The Steering Committees at the State and District levels aim at providing inputs on the relevance of adaptation strategies and the documentation that will be required for their up-scaling and their contribution to policy development for small pond fish farmers in the state and for development of adaptation strategies for natural resource management with reference to fisheries.

The Technical Advisory Group and the Climate Change Observatory include stakeholders that comprise the community of practitioners and as experts in the field of fisheries and climate change. These Groups and functioning of the Observatory are critical in identifying impacts and in assessing the effectiveness of different project components and strategies. The members from these institutions have access to data and experiences of other projects hence they will be in a position to comment on the applicability of interventions in other areas and sectors. Regular meetings and field visits along with systematically designed action-reflection exercises and systematisation processes will capture the learning from a range of stakeholders during the implementation of the project.

In order to focus on concrete adaptation activities, however, this project focuses on the necessary elements of climate resilience and learning the successful activity implementation and policy linkages, and will work with other projects and initiatives to disseminate information as cost-effectively as possible. The project will generate / record and disseminate explicit as well as tacit knowledge. Explicit knowledge will be generated and shared with different stakeholders during meetings, workshops and and/or through publication. Tactical knowledge (learning generated through implementation experience) will be documented in shape of process learning document for sharing / publication.

The specific steps for replication of tested methodologies will be undertaken through:

- 1. Presentation of the tested methodologies in the meetings of the District and State Steering Committee, which has membership from key departments of Government (Fisheries and Rural Development)
- 2. Presentation of policy level issues through Policy Briefs to the State SteeringCommittee and the members of the Technical Advisory Group
- 3. Developing Good Practice documents as part of process documentation. The document will be shared with:
  - Members of Climate Change Observatory/ Committee
  - Community of practitioners through the existing e-groups
  - Other stakeholders- financial institutions, insurance companies

- Civil Society networks involved in Natural Resource Management and/or Livelihood Enhancement
- 4. Development of civil society organisations interested to address issues related to climate change and/or fisheries will be trained in the knowledge and skills gained from the project through training programmes organised by the project.

Key areas of learning and knowledge generation, its documentation and sharing would be as follows.

- 1. Production comparisons (in a pre-post project situation)
- 2. Water quality maintenance and its relation to fish production / productivity
- 3. Success of temperature regulation through vegetative and shed means
- 4. Temperature variation and mortality of different fish species
- 5. Water level variation and tank productivity by fish species
- 6. Water oxygenation and dissolve oxygen level linked mortality of fish species
- 7. Benefit of catchment treatment & its linkage to water level and water quality
- 8. Livelihoods security, income and annual catch improvement (pre-post project)

The knowledge products that will be developed by the project include:

- (a) Toolkit for Developing Adaptation Strategies in Natural Resource Management with Specific Reference to Fisheries
- (b) Toolkit for Preparation of Business Plans for Small-Scale Fishery, Hatchery and Nursery
- (c) Training Manual for Fish Farmers on Climate Resilient Fish Rearing Practices
- (d) Awareness material on climate change and fisheries
- (e) Good Management Practice for Climate Resilient Small Scale Fisheries

The knowledge management system, to be adapted in the project is multi fold i.e. through (1) technology base i.e. web-site / library linkage and sharing through social media sites, (2) publication mode in shape of reports / research papers etc., and (3) interactive mode i.e. seminars and workshops.

**H.** Describe the consultative process, including the list of stakeholders consulted, undertaken during project preparation, with particular reference to vulnerable groups, including gender considerations, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

Stakeholder consultation was undertaken and a detailed stakeholder analysis and stakeholder management strategy developed.<sup>31</sup>The stakeholders identified and consulted include the following:

| Commu | nity 1 | Level |
|-------|--------|-------|
|       |        |       |

Government Departments

<sup>31</sup> See **Annexure 4**Stakeholder Analysis and Management

| Village Community  | Department of Fisheries  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|
| • Fish Farmers (Men and Women)   | Madhya Pradesh Fisheries Federation  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Traditional Fishers (Men and Women)</li> <li>Labour (Men and Women)</li> <li>Gram Sabha</li> <li>Gram Panchayat</li> <li>Self Help Group (Fish Farmers)</li> <li>Fish Farmer's Cooperative Society</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Department of Farmer Welfare and<br/>Agriculture Development</li> <li>Department of Panchayat and Rural<br/>Development</li> <li>Directorate of Panchayat</li> <li>Department of Forest</li> <li>Department of Revenue</li> <li>Department of MineralResources</li> <li>Department of Cooperation</li> <li>Department of Water Resources</li> <li>EPCO</li> </ul> |  |  |
| Commercial Enternrises   | CIFA     Civil Society Organisations   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Commercial Banks</li> <li>Seed Suppliers</li> <li>Feed Suppliers</li> <li>Suppliers of Fish Net</li> <li>Fish Traders</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Local NGOs</li> <li>Media</li> <li>Academic Institutions</li> </ul>   |  |  |

Details on stakeholders' consultations are indicated below, whereas the stakeholder analysis and management details are presented in the Annexure 4. In addition, NABARD, as part of its due diligenceduring project appraisal, visited MP on 12-13 November 2014 including a visit to a proposed project site in Dhar district.

| Stakeholder consulted   | Process of Consultation   | Description   | Key findings  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| Traditional Fisher Community  |   |   |   |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(a) Fisher Community at Alirajpur<br/>(12 May 2014)</li> <li>(b) Fisher community at Jhabua (7,<br/>9 and 13 May 2014)</li> <li>(c) Fisher Community at Petlawad<br/>(Jhabua) (14 May 2014)</li> <li>(d) Migrant fishers from<br/>Maharashtra at Kunda Dam in<br/>Dhar (10 and 11 May 2014)</li> <li>(e) Fishers in Dhar Market (12 May<br/>2014)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Observation in the local Fish<br/>Market on weekly market day</li> <li>Focus Group Discussion<br/>with traditional fishers and<br/>sellers in the fish market</li> </ul> | Traditional fisher households<br>have their shops in the local<br>fish market. The observation<br>involved a study of the selling<br>and buying behavior of the<br>consumer on weekly market day<br>for fresh and dry fish.<br>Focus Group Discussion with<br>traditional fisher that also<br>included women from their<br>households to assess findings<br>from observations and trends<br>of local fish demand and<br>market in the area. | <ul> <li>Different fish species and their demand in the local market including trend of prices across season</li> <li>Fish buying behavior of the local customers; different types of fish sellers and pricing strategies adopted by them in the market</li> <li>Fish market infrastructure, support structures and services available to traditional fishers</li> <li>Long term changes in the availability of fish in the local markets</li> <li>Assessment of climatic change and its impact on fisheries</li> <li>Role of women in fish trade and assessment of existing skill levels amongst women</li> <li>Associated market for fisheries (e.g. fish net) and role of women in these products</li> <li>Access to government schemes and programmes</li> <li>Linkages between local fishers (tribal community) and fish sellers (traditional fishers)</li> <li>Local demand for fish seed and opportunity for fish nursery and hatchery</li> <li>Assessment of climatic change and its impact on fisheries</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| (a) Fish Farmer Group at Alizaipur  | - Focus Group Discussion  | - Through consultation with   | - Process of leasing pond for fishing rights (legal   |  |  |  |
| (6, 7 and 11 May 2014)  | with Fish Farmer Group  | - infougn consultation with<br>representatives of Fisheries   | and administrative procedures)  |  |  |  |
| (b) Fish Farmer Group at Rama/  | - Individual interaction with   | department and civil society  | - Group formation and distribution of   |  |  |  |
| Para (Jhabua) (8 and 13 May   | Gram Panchavat  | organizations local   | responsibilities among group members  |  |  |  |
| 2014)   | representatives   | scheduled tribe fish farmers  | responsibilitée anions group members  |  |  |  |

# Stakeholder Consultation for the Preparation of Proposal

| Stakeholder consulted   | Process of Consultation  | Description   | Key findings   |
|---|--|---|--|
| <ul> <li>(c) Fish Farmer Group GulabPura<br/>(8 May 2014), Jhabua</li> <li>(d) Fish Farmer Group at Narvali,<br/>Jhabua (6 May 2014)</li> <li>(e) Fish Hatchery at Maud Sagar (6<br/>May 2014)</li> </ul>   |  | <ul> <li>were selected that were<br/>identified as undertaking<br/>regular commercial<br/>fisheries. The aim was to<br/>identify good practices in<br/>the region that can be<br/>incorporated in the project.</li> <li>Gram Panchayat<br/>representatives including<br/>Panchayat representatives<br/>were identified and<br/>contacted to find out the<br/>process of leasing pond,<br/>availability and access to<br/>maintenance find for<br/>ponds, appropriateness of<br/>design of pond for<br/>fisheries, understanding of<br/>factors for climate and<br/>their role in enabling users<br/>to develop adaptation<br/>strategies</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Fish rearing practices and source of information<br/>and learning about fisheries</li> <li>Access to government schemes and<br/>programmes</li> <li>Access to financial support services including<br/>savings, credit and insurance</li> <li>Perception and data related to climate change<br/>and impact on fish production</li> <li>Strategies for enhancing fish production and<br/>constraints faced by fish farmers</li> <li>access to information, training and knowledge<br/>related to climate change, adaptation strategies</li> <li>skills and knowledge related to fish hatchery<br/>and nursery</li> </ul> |
| Private Entrepreneur  |  |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Private Hatchery and Nursery</li> <li>(a) Hoshangabad(11 April 2014)</li> <li>(b) Sundrel, Dhar (10 May 2014)</li> <li>(c) Ornamental Fish entrepreneur<br/>at Dharampuri (11 May 2014)</li> </ul> | - Visit to Hatchery and nursery<br>and discussion with private<br>entrepreneur | <ul> <li>for assessment of market<br/>for fish seed</li> <li>for assessment of resource<br/>and training requirement<br/>for fish hatchery</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>type of infrastructure required</li> <li>need and demand for fish seeds</li> <li>terms of trade of fish seed market and supply<br/>mechanisms</li> <li>knowledge and skills required for fish hatchery<br/>and nursery</li> <li>Business plans for hatchery and ornamental<br/>fisheries</li> </ul>   |
| Fish Traders(a) Indore (11 May and 5 June<br>2014)  | - Individual interactions with traders   | - Traders who on a regular basis engage in trading in   | <ul> <li>Fish market and demand pattern of fish locally</li> <li>Volumes of fish consumption locally and cyclical trends in fish</li> </ul>  |

| Stakeholder consulted  | Process of Consultation                            | Description  | Key findings  |
|--|--|--|---|
| <ul> <li>(b) Khalghat (11 May 2014)</li> <li>(c) Dahod (2 June 2014)</li> <li>(d) Meghnagar (5 May 2014)</li> <li>(e) Alirajpur (3 June 2014)</li> <li>(f) Jhabua (3 June 2014)</li> <li>(g) Dhar (6 June 2014)</li> </ul> |  | fish purchasing it locally or<br>from outside the district   | <ul> <li>Terms of trade of fish market</li> <li>Different players in the fish market and their negotiating abilities</li> <li>Extent of fish trade and its linkages with markets outside the district</li> <li>Infrastructure for fish markets</li> <li>Support services for fish trade in the local markets</li> </ul>   |
| Civil Society Organisation   |  |  |   |
| <ul> <li>(a) GraminVikas Trust, Jhabua (15<br/>and 27 April 2014)</li> <li>(b) KhedutKisanMajdoorSangahtan,<br/>Alirajpur (11 May 2014)</li> </ul>   | - Peer Consultation through individual interaction | - Civil society experience for promoting fisheries in the region   | <ul> <li>Inter-community dynamics between traditional fisherpersons and small and marginal farmer as fish farmers</li> <li>Gram Sabha meetings and their role in fisheries development</li> <li>Interest of small and marginal farmers towards fisheries</li> <li>Role of women in different operations of fisheries</li> <li>Civil Society understanding of factors of climate change, their impact on fisheries, and adaptation strategies</li> <li>Opportunities for integrated agriculture and aqua culture practices</li> <li>Support structures and services for fish farmers for marketing, financial services and infrastructure support</li> </ul> |
| Panchayat Institutions   |  | 1  |   |
| <ul> <li>Zila Panchayat <ul> <li>(a) Zila Panchayat, Alirajpur</li> <li>(12 May 2014)</li> </ul> </li> <li>(b) Zila Panchayat, Jhabua (13<br/>May 2014)</li> </ul>   | - Individual interaction                           | - Zila Panchayat is the nodal<br>body that has the<br>responsibility for planning<br>for economic development<br>and social justice in the<br>district. The technical and<br>administrative officials of | <ul> <li>Guidelines for construction of ponds for<br/>fisheries</li> <li>Parameters that are taken in to account while<br/>planning for fisheries in the district</li> <li>Present plans for promotion of fisheries in the<br/>district</li> </ul>  |

| Stakeholder consulted  | Process of Consultation  | Description  | Key findings  |
|--|--|--|---|
|  |  | the Zila Panchayat were<br>contacted and information<br>was generated through<br>individual interaction  | <ul> <li>Present level of understanding about climate change and its impact, particularly on fisheries</li> <li>Basic information on development parameters related to the district</li> <li>Poverty and development planning in the district</li> <li>Role of women in fisheries and specific schemes targeting women involved in different operations of fisheries</li> </ul>   |
| Government Departments- District   | t Level  |  |   |
| Department of Fisheries<br>(a) Jhabua (5 and 13 May 2014)<br>(b) Dhar (18- 21 May 2014)<br>(c) Alirajpur (12 May 2014) | <ul> <li>Individual interaction and perusal of records</li> <li>-</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Fisheries officers and their<br/>field level functionaries<br/>were contacted and detailed<br/>discussions were<br/>undertaken with them</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Process of implementation of State Fisheries<br/>Policy</li> <li>Challenges and constraints in promotion of<br/>fisheries in the district</li> <li>Present list of small pond fish farmers</li> <li>Inter community dynamics between traditional<br/>fishers and local (scheduled tribe) fish farmers</li> <li>Data on fish production in the district</li> <li>Adaptation strategies and planning to address<br/>climate change in the district</li> <li>Resources available for training and other<br/>capacity building measures in the district for<br/>fishers and for the staff of department</li> <li>Fish hatchery, nursery and departmental<br/>interventions for making fish seed available in<br/>the districts</li> <li>Government programmes related to fisheries<br/>and their implementation in the district</li> <li>Identification of priority areas for promotion of<br/>fisheries in the district and the parameters used<br/>for such identification</li> <li>Linkages with banks and other financial<br/>institutions for promotion of fisheries in the<br/>district</li> </ul> |

| Stakeholder consulted  | Process of Consultation  | Description   | Key findings   |
|--|--------------------------|---|--|
|  |                          |   | <ul> <li>Coordination mechanism with other<br/>departments and stakeholders</li> <li>Role of women in fisheries and government<br/>programmes for enhancing their role and<br/>productivity</li> </ul>   |
| Department of Water Resources<br>(a) Dhar (5 May 2014)<br>(b) Jhabua (6 to 7 May 2014)<br>(c) Alirajpur (12 May 2014)  | - Individual interaction | - Divisional Officers in the<br>district were contacted and<br>information related to<br>water resources was<br>collected from them   | <ul> <li>Development of water resources in the district</li> <li>Design parameters and guidelines for design of reservoirs and ponds specific to fisheries</li> <li>Identification of priority areas for fisheries in the district</li> <li>Water statistics of the district</li> </ul>  |
| Department of Revenue(a) Dhar (22-25 May 2014)(b) Jhabua (6-7 May 2014)(c) Alirajpur (12 May 2014)                     | - Individual interaction | - Revenue officers in charge<br>of land records in the<br>district  | - Land use data and location of weather stations in the district   |
| Department of Farmers Welfare<br>and Agriculture Development<br>(a) Dhar (22-25 May 2014)<br>(b) Jhabua (6-7 May 2014) | - Individual interaction | - Deputy Director<br>Agriculture and their junior<br>officers were contacted to<br>gain information of their<br>preparedness for climate<br>change and adaptation<br>strategies in agriculture in<br>the district | <ul> <li>Plans for agriculture development of the district</li> <li>Emergency plan for agriculture including plans<br/>for intervention for fisheries in cases of natural<br/>disasters</li> <li>Training for small and marginal farmers and<br/>promotion of IAA in the district</li> <li>Coordination mechanisms with Fisheries<br/>Department</li> </ul>  |
| Government Departments- State L  | evel                     |   |  |
| Directorate of Panchayat<br>(19 May 2014)  | - Individual interaction | <ul> <li>Information related to role<br/>of Panchayats and their<br/>powers in development of<br/>fisheries</li> <li>Specific provisions related<br/>to Schedule V areas on<br/>fisheries in the state</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Legal provisions that enable Panchayats to<br/>intervene for development of fisheries in the<br/>state</li> <li>Orders and circulars to implement the legal<br/>provisions</li> <li>Orders for implementation of powers of Gram<br/>Sabha in scheduled areas in the state</li> <li>Incorporation of specific legal provisions for<br/>the implementation of State Fisheries policy</li> </ul> |

| Stakeholder consulted   | Process of Consultation  | Description  | Key findings  |
|---|--------------------------|--|---|
| Department of Fisheries<br>(3, 19 May 2014)                           | - Individual interaction | <ul> <li>State Policy for Fisheries</li> <li>Data and trend of fisheries<br/>in the state</li> <li>Assessment of impact of<br/>climate change on fisheries<br/>and preparedness to<br/>address climate change<br/>factors</li> </ul>                         | <ul> <li>State policy for fisheries and challenges and constraints in its implementation</li> <li>Gaps in state policy</li> <li>Structure of the department and distribution of roles and responsibilities within the department</li> <li>State plan of action for climate change for fisheries in the state</li> </ul> |
| Department of Rural<br>Development<br>(24 May 2014)                   | - Individual interaction | <ul> <li>State imperatives for rural development and priority accorded to fisheries for rural development</li> <li>Climate change as a factor for rural development in the state and state's preparedness for addressing issues in climate change</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>State plans for use of MGNREGS for<br/>promotion of resources for fisheries in the state</li> <li>Guidelines for designing and implementation of<br/>ponds to promote fisheries</li> <li>Coordination mechanism of the department<br/>with other technical department</li> </ul>                               |
| Madhya Pradesh Fish Federation<br>(18 Feb and 18 June 2014)           | - Individual interaction | - Programmes and support<br>structure of the federation<br>for small-scale fishers   | <ul> <li>Federation programmes in the state</li> <li>Role of federation in promotion of small-scale<br/>fish farmers</li> <li>Role of Federation in promoting women in the<br/>fishery sector</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Environment Pollution Control</b><br><b>Agency</b><br>(5 May 2014) | - Individual interaction | - Climate Change<br>Knowledge Management<br>centre was contacted as it is<br>the nodal point for climate<br>change issues in the state   | <ul> <li>State Action Plan for Climate Change</li> <li>Vth Environmental Status Report</li> <li>Coordination mechanisms for climate change<br/>issues and for policy development in the state</li> </ul>  |
| Central Institute for Freshwater<br>Aquaculture<br>(25 April 2014)    | - Individual interaction | - Senior scientist that have<br>been allocated to tack the<br>issue of climate change<br>were contacted  | <ul> <li>National priorities for promotion of small-scale<br/>fisheries</li> <li>Initiatives for development of adaptive<br/>strategies for small-scale fisheries</li> <li>Development new technology for fish seed<br/>production, fish rearing practices and best</li> </ul>  |

| Stakeholder consulted   | Process of Consultation  | Description  | Key findings   |
|---|--------------------------|--|--|
|   |                          |  | <ul> <li>management practices for adaptive measures to<br/>address climate change</li> <li>Coordination mechanism for bringing different<br/>stakeholders for development and up scaling of<br/>climate resilient strategies in freshwater aqua<br/>culture</li> </ul> |
| Indian Meteorological<br>Department<br>(23 May 2014)              | - Individual interaction | - Gain information on<br>climatic parameters and<br>assessment of climate<br>change in the state | <ul> <li>Climate modeling for the state report on climate change</li> <li>Coordination and collaboration mechanisms</li> </ul>   |
| Institute of Soil Science<br>(21 May 2014)                        | - Individual interaction | - Gain information on<br>national level initiatives on<br>climate change                         | <ul> <li>National projects on climate change</li> <li>Resource availability in the institute</li> <li>Coordination among different research agencies<br/>in the state</li> <li>Priority to climate change initiatives in the state</li> </ul>                          |
| Indian Council of Agriculture<br>Research (CIAE)<br>(21 May 2014) | - Individual interaction | - Climate modeling for the state   | <ul> <li>Climate modeling in the work of the institute in the state</li> <li>Coordination mechanism among different research and academic institution in the state</li> </ul>  |

Stakeholder Consultation during the Concept Formulation Stage

| Stakeholder consulted  | Process of Consultation  | Description   | Key findings  |
|--|--------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Department of Fisheries</b> (23 and 24 July 2013)   | - Individual interaction | - Senior State level officials<br>in charge of<br>implementation of State<br>policy for Fisheries   | <ul> <li>Project feasibility</li> <li>Review of study reports</li> <li>Sharing of experience of pilot project</li> <li>Possible adaptive measures for climate change</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Traditional Fisher folk</b><br>(Regular interaction during<br>the pilot phase during2012<br>and 2013) | - Focus Group Discussion | <ul> <li>Traditional fishers and<br/>their leaders</li> <li>Women from traditional<br/>fisher families engaged in<br/>fishing operations</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Challenges and constraints in accessing ponds<br/>for fishing rights</li> <li>Changes in fish production over a period of<br/>time and its attributability to climatic factors</li> <li>Possible areas for adaptation to make fishing<br/>resilient to climate change</li> <li>Access to financial services including credit and<br/>insurance</li> <li>Fish market, consumer behaviour and<br/>preference for fish species</li> </ul> |

*I.* Provide justification for funding requested, focusing on the full cost of adaptation reasoning.

#### Component 1: Adaptive measures to address rainfall variability

#### **Baseline Scenario**

In-land fish farming remains a possibility only during the monsoon (June to October) in a rain-fed situation. In a scarcity of precipitation and skewed distribution situation, this option also goes out of the hands of fish farmers. Availability of water in the tank, as per the present design can be for a maximum of 4-5 months and in many cases, getting a good harvest also becomes difficult for the fish farmers. Prior to this proposition, a detail participatory study was conducted in one of the proposed project district of Madhya Pradesh (Dhar) which reflects a number of factors that are not conducive for fish rearing such as poor depth of the tank, denuded catchment, poor tank maintenance etc.

Present construction of tanks, as per the technical specifications, does not address these issues. Further, the design also does not take in to account the temperature and wind related evaporation which is common to all water bodies. As a result, very littleor no water remains available in the tanks for fish rearing after monsoon.

In the absence of adaptation fund support, the present system of fish farming is expected to continue as Government hasstipulated tied fund provisions for different components. Provisioning of additional funds into the existing plan/programme to meet the cost of adaptation is cumbersome unless its benefit dimensions are demonstrated successfully and fish farmers realise the benefit.

#### Adaptation Alternative

The proposed component includes a protocol for prioritising selection of the sites of the ponds for fisheries and modification in the design of the farm ponds so that the water retention capacity is improved substantially. This is expected to provide prolonged fish-rearing period that will lead to a better fish harvest. The insurance product proposed to be developed would address climate risks associated with the fish production system on a sustainable basis.

#### Component 2: Building resilience through adaptation of climate resilient technology

#### **Baseline Scenario**

Experience gained during one and half years of direct association with in-land fisheries shows that required adaptive measures to climate variability aredeficient in many ways and in most parts of the State. Even in commercial firms in other districts, the adaptive measures are inadequate. The study conducted in one of the project districts further reveals that there is no initiative to maintain the quality of fish habitation, no measures for temperature regulation, maintaining dissolved oxygen level, water quality monitoring, etc. Supply of good quality fingerings is also an important constraint due to non-availability of adequate hatcheries.

## Adaptation Alternative

Water temperature has a bearing of fish productivity. Different fish species are productive at different band-widths of temperature. Changes in water temperature will thus affect the overall productivity of fish under inland fresh water fish culture. The two adaptive measures that are possible under such conditions are: strategies that will regulate the temperature of the pond; and introduction of fish species that is suited to a warmer climate regime and has local demand for consumption.

The proposed project will customize one or more of the following measures to regulate water temperature through (a) catchment treatment of the pond so that the silt and organic load of the pond is decreased and the ponds are able to maintain water depth for fish rearing (b) greening of the immediate vicinity of the pond to regulate micro temperature especially during hot summer months; (c) providing shade over a portion of the pond so that part of the pond has lower temperature and the fishes can move to cooler areas. As a risk reduction measure the project will demonstrate use of oxygen tablets and solar powered aerators to decrease mortality of fish during hot summer months due to lack of oxygen in water.

Introduction of fish species that is suited to warmer climatic regime implies use of poly culture fish rearing practices. The project proposes use of four layered fish species based on their adaptive characteristics, feeding practices, and their being endemic and native to the region. To make poly culture adaptable to the fish farmer it will be customized to the need of the fish farmer so that the acceptance of adaptation practices will be ensured.

Strategies to regularly monitor water quality, training on best practices to control and manage water quality, introduction and training on fish hatchery and nursery will be undertaken to support the implementation of above adaptive strategies.

#### Component 3: Building climate resilience through enhancement of adaptive capacity

#### **Baseline Scenario**

As most of the fish farmers are small and marginal in their operation, it becomes difficult for them to make the required investment in this regard. Secondly, as fish farming is more seasonal in character, no farmer is willing to make additional investment as it will cost them more than what they get from the harvest. Thirdly, required additional investment support also does not come from other sources due to tied nature of the funds and missing an adaptation perspective in fish rearing. Further, marketing and other backward / forward linkages are either weak or non-existing due to poor institutional support.

#### Adaptation Alternative

Under this proposed component the capacity building needs of the fisheries community will betaken into consideration. The component includes training and capacity building in responsible fisheries and in climate resilience and climate change strategies, insurance coverage etc. The components also aim to link the entire value chain related to fish production, as proposed under the project. Adaptation Fund support can help in bridging persisting gaps and fostering adaptive practices in fish rearing.

## Component 4: Knowledge Generation and Management

#### **Baseline Scenario**

Government has a number of schemes / programmes that help in building the capacity of the fish farmers. But the imparted knowledge is quitetraditional in nature and of less importance for climate responsive adaptive practices. Existing manuals are not suited for modern fish ponds. As a result, the fish farming methods, adaptedare also traditional in character. On the other hand, the existing formal mechanism is inadequate with regards to recording and sharing innovative practices and coping mechanisms.

#### Adaptation Alternative

The proposed components would include process documentation, documents indicating social, environmental and economic benefit of the proposed model, development of evidence and science-based strategy for inland fish production.

Funding is requested to the Adaptation Fund Board in order to start up concrete adaptation activities in the fisheries sector to improve the resilience to climate variability and change. The project covers the full cost of adaptation in the western regions of Madhya Pradesh. Specific reasoning for adaptation funding is as follows.

- 1. Through the construction or rehabilitation of climate suitable tanks, there would be more availability of water, at least for a period of 10 months, which will help in fish farming in a more sustained and profitable manner (climatic adaptation for better survival and growth).
- 2. Water quality maintenance would keep the pond ecosystem clean and suitable for fish species to grow. By temperature and water quality regulation, farmer's adaptive capacity to the changing climatic conditions would improve to a great extent.
- 3. Catchment based planning and treatment of catchment would further help to adapt to increasing temperature, minimizing soil erosion and pond siltation and restoring soil moisture content.
- 4. The use of fingerlings, instead of spawn would be helpful to minimise the mortality of fish, ensuring appropriate growth and hence better economic return (economic adaptation).
- 5. Apart from natural aeration, artificial mechanised aeration would be further helpful to maintaining the dissolve oxygen level and there by improved fish survival.
- 6. New management practices and system will be documented and adopted by fishery extension systems in rain-fed areas.
- 7. A convergence model for integrating programs of poverty alleviations/ rural development, fisheries development, and financial institutions, also documented.
- 8. A policy framework on 'Climate Change Resilient inland Fisheries in Rain-fed Areas' evolved through integrating scientific production technologies, appropriate community institutional systems and management practices, developed with appropriate feedback and interaction with government.

# **J.** Describe how the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes has been taken into account when designing the project / programme.

The project has inbuilt sustainability enhancing design parameters which would continue beyond itslife. The sustainability parameters of the project are many folds and interlinked i.e. (i) related to the physical / structural, (ii) economic and (iii) operational aspects. With increased economic return, tanks under fish farming would continue for a longer period. The tanks, with annual maintenance as suggested, would have a life span of minimum of 20 years where farmers would continue doing fishing. Secondly, the life of the hatcheries, with required production, supply and maintenance would be more than 25 years as it will be financially and technically linked with the Department of Fishery for the production of fingerlings and with nursing firms. The vegetative and mechanical measures taken in the catchment would also continue with a refilling and rehabilitation mode and with active participation of the fishing and general community. In the economic sphere, the tanks would be utilised for fish farming and economic return to fish farmers would continue in a longer run. The sustainability and economic return can be guaranteed due to direct and increased returns.

#### Sustainability of Outcomes

The project will take a livelihood-based approach to adaptation developing asset / capital base of individual / community in a participatory model. Four types of capital base will be created i.e. human capital, natural capital, physical capital and financial capital. The human capital will be formed through developing adaptive knowledge and skill base of fish farmers whereas physical capital will be in shape of tanks and hatcheries. The natural capital will be the catchment treatment measures, water quality management, temperature regulation and providing an ambient atmosphere for fish farming in the tanks. This will impact on building the financial capital of the small and marginal fish farmers, which will also be strengthened by linking the fish farmers directly to savings and credit and insurance products. All these will lead to improve the adaptive capacity, both at household and community level. Combined impact of these components will ensure the sustainability of the outcome in the long run.

#### **Environment Sustainability**

Prioritised selection of ponds for fisheries, modified pond design and catchment treatment of pond will enhance the water retention capacity of the pond that will be used to introduce technologies and practices that regulate the temperature of ponds especially during the summer months. The two factors together will ensure longer duration of water availability and a quality of water is most suitable for fish culture. Further, the capacity building of fish farmers on responsible fisheries will orient and train them to conduct fisheries on a scale that is environmentally sustainable and conserves and maintains the natural resource base at the same time.

## Economic Sustainability

Developing customised business plans for fisheries for each of the selected ponds will enable the fishers to envision and work according to strategy. This will create economic security amongst the fish farmers with an in built growth of business that will enable them to optimise returns from fishing. Being able to ward off forces of distress migration and with better linkages to market the fish farmers will be able to maintain a steady stream of income from fisheries that will complement their income from agriculture.

#### Social and Institutional Sustainability

Strengthening of livelihood group of fish farmers as non-formal groups or as cooperative societies will enable the groups to form linkages with financial institutions and forge partnerships with other stakeholders in the input and product market. The membership comprising of similar social and economic groups will impart homogeneity to the membership and development of equal stakes in these groups. Further ensuring that the capacity building is inclusive for men and women equally will lead to equal distribution of benefits from the project. These factors will impart social and institutional sustainability to the target communities and groups.

## Replication and Scaling up

The institutional arrangement for implementation of the project is based on the institutional capacity and its operational mandate given by State and National Government. This will help to synergise the outcome in future plan and policy of Government.Based on the data and analysis that will be undertaken during implementation, the viability, sustainability and replicability of the model will be tested. The tank construction and maintenance unit cost per fish farmer is a significant investment for livelihood enhancement. Actual per fish farmer output, revenues, savings performance and savings mechanisms will be monitored. Also the potential for cost reduction, additional value added and future financing options will be monitored and assessed for use in replication and expansion of the project.

The inputs provided to the State Steering Group and reflections of the Climate Change Observatory will create forums and opportunities for parking successful strategies for policy development and designing programmes. The process documentation and evidence-based studies will provide the necessary academic support to capitalising these opportunities and enable wider replication of project impacts and successes.

| Checklist of<br>environmental and<br>social principles | No further assessment required for compliance  | Potential impacts<br>and risks – further<br>assessment and<br>management<br>required for<br>compliance |
|--|--|--|
| Compliance with the<br>Law                             | <ul> <li>The project complies with Environment (Protection) Act, 1986<br/>and Forest Conservation Act, 1980.</li> <li>Further the project complies with MP Land Revenue Code (for<br/>ownership of land); MP Panchayat Raj and Gram Swaraj Act<br/>(local governance); and other administrative orders of Sub-<br/>national Government.</li> </ul>   | None   |
| Access and Equity                                      | <ul> <li>The project provides fair and equitable access to the project beneficiaries and will not be impeding access to any of the other requirements like health clean water, sanitation, energy, education, housing, safe and decent working conditions and land rights.</li> <li>The project will undertake an assessment of the Access and Equity on the shortlisted sites before finalisation of the sites for project intervention. This assessment will be a consultative exercise with the communities to find physical, social and</li> </ul> | None   |

| <b>K</b> . | Provide   | an  | overview    | of  | the   | environmental   | and | social | impacts | and | risks |
|------------|-----------|-----|-------------|-----|-------|-----------------|-----|--------|---------|-----|-------|
| identif    | ïed as be | ing | relevant to | the | e pro | ject / programm | e.  |        |         |     |       |

|   | <ul> <li>economic barriers to access and whether the project will create additional barriers or deny access to the vulnerable groups, women and persons with disability.</li> <li>The beneficiary group of the final selection of the pond will be in the same proportion as the proportion of Bhils and Bhilalas in the population of the selected cluster.</li> <li>The project has the component of regular water monitoring. In case the quality of water will get affected mitigation measures will be undertaken.</li> <li>The project will design ponds for small and marginal landholders as much as it will for other landholders.</li> <li>The proportion of benefits that will flow to each category of landholder will be determined in consultation with the Project Steering Group.</li> </ul>   |      |
|---|--|------|
| Marginalized and<br>Vulnerable Groups       | The beneficiaries of the project will be tribal communities residing<br>in the proposed project area. The other community that will benefit<br>will be the traditional fishers who are also categorised as scheduled<br>caste. In both the cases the marginalised groups will benefit from<br>the project.<br>The proposed project area is listed in the Schedule V of the<br>constitution of India that accords priority to tribal communities in<br>the use of natural resources. Legally the tribal communities are<br>protected and have the first right over use of ponds for fisheries.<br>The traditional fishers concentrate on conducting fisheries in rivers<br>and/or in other components of the value chain, e.g. making and<br>repair of nets, trading in fish, etc.<br>The tribal fish farmers will benefit as direct beneficiaries of the<br>project and the traditional fishers will benefit indirectly with growth<br>in fisheries in the region and with increase in production of fish.<br>The Technical Assessment and Baseline and Project Benefit<br>Assessment include identification of impact on marginalised groups. | None |
| Human Rights                                | The project does not foresee any violation of human rights   | None |
| Gender Equity and<br>Women's<br>Empowerment | <ul> <li>Project would ensure participation by women fully and equitably, receiving comparable socio-economic benefits and those they do not suffer adverse effects.</li> <li>The beneficiary related activities, e.g. training, exposure visits, will include women so as to enable them to develop their capacities and strengthen their skill base. In addition the Fish Farmers Associations (ref. Implementation Arrangement) that will be formed will have representation of women so that they also participate in the project related decision making process (ref point 2 of Part II)</li> <li>Women will also gain in terms of reduced drudgery on account of increased availability of fodder and fuel as a result of implementation of catchment treatment plans in all the ponds selected under the project.</li> </ul>   | None |
| Core Labour Rights                          | Payments to labour under the project will be made as per<br>Government approved norms duly following minimum wage rate<br>and hence ensuring core labour rights  | None |
| Indigenous Peoples                          | Not applicable to this project   | None |
| Involuntary                                 | The project will be working with the existing pond sites only. The   | None |
| Resettlement                                | project does not propose to increase the size of the pond. In such a case, the project will not displace any community and hence likelihood of involuntary resettlement/relocation may not arise.  |      |

|  | The criteria for selection of pond will include the fact that in case<br>there is any possibility or likelihood of involuntary resettlement due<br>to project activities that particular pond site will not be selected.<br>This factor will be included in one of the factors for ESI screening<br>safeguards.   |      |
|--|---|------|
| Protection of Natural<br>Habitats                  | Project does not affect any of the natural habitats.<br>Some ponds may serve as drinking water sources for domestic<br>animals, e.g cows, buffaloes, and goats, or for wild animals. The<br>factor of access to drinking water for animals will be an important<br>consideration in the on-site pond assessment and if the pond is a<br>natural habitat for these animals it will not be covered by the project.<br>The assessment of the catchment will be undertaken through a walk<br>through the area. The walk through will seek to identify and record<br>any natural habitat for animals or birds. In cases there are such<br>habitats the catchment treatment will be undertaken in the manner<br>that these habitats are not affected or the selection of pond will be<br>reviewed if the habitat would not be protected from catchment<br>treatment works.  | None |
| Conservation of<br>Biological Diversity            | The fish species proposed to be promoted under the project are<br>native and endemic to the area.<br>The vegetation, including trees and grasses, that will be promoted<br>for the catchment treatment will be local and endemic to the area.<br>The selection of specific plant species will be undertaken in<br>consultation with the local population and based on the<br>recommendations of the Forest Department for the district.<br>The project would not cause any impact on bio-diversity values.  | None |
| Climate Change                                     | The project is basically for enhancing the adaptive capacity of the<br>fisherman community against adverse impact of climate change and<br>is not expected to contribute to GHG emissions   | None |
| Pollution Prevention<br>and Resource<br>Efficiency | Project is not expected to create any environmental pollution and<br>aims for higher resources efficiency for better management of<br>available natural resources like water, fish species, plantation species<br>(locally available), etc.<br>In order to further ensure the same, water quality monitoring will<br>be regularly undertaken to assess whether the water bodies created<br>under the project are not being subjected to in flow of pollutants<br>from nearby fields. Mitigation measures will be implemented for<br>water bodies where the pollution levels are found to exceed national<br>and international standards.<br>The waste generated during construction (e.g. cement bags) will be<br>disposed off through a safe disposal mechanism. The used bags and<br>any plastic material generated due to any of activity of the project<br>will be collected and disposed off through the solid waste disposal<br>mechanism of the nearby town and will not be allowed to litter in<br>the village and the countryside.<br>The project will undertake an assessment of the impact of the use<br>of machinery and heavy vehicles during the construction activity on<br>the pond and the catchment infrastructure. The assessment will<br>form part of the ESI screening process and the project will ensure<br>that the quality of machines and vehicles used does not lead to<br>spread of oil or other such pollutants to the pond or in the<br>catchment area of the pond. | None |

|                                   | The project will make arrangement to transport workers and not allow establishment of camp sites on the construction sites.  |             |
|-----------------------------------|--|-------------|
| Public Health                     | No adverse impact on public health related issues is envisaged.<br>However, considering that with creation of water bodies there is<br>possibility of increase in vector borne diseases. The following<br>actions will be instituted:<br>(a) The Gram Sabha (local governance structure) will be informed<br>and made aware of the possible increase in incidences of diseases<br>and the preventive measures for the same. The project will conduct<br>health camps and will specifically focus on vector borne diseases.<br>(b) The local Public Health Centre will be informed of the project<br>activities along with the list of villages where the project will be<br>implemented. The corresponding para health workers the Multi<br>Purpose Health Worker (MPW) and the Auxiliary Nurse and<br>Midwife (ANM) and the Accredited Social Health Activist (ASHA)<br>from the community will be informed and regularly contacted by<br>the project. There will be regular visit of these health functionaries<br>to the pond sites to ensure that there are no risks of water borne<br>vector diseases for the village<br>(c) The project will prepare informative pamphlets on water borne<br>vectors and the possible preventive measures that will be available<br>with all the fish farmers and the Panchayat representatives in the<br>village. | None to low |
| Physical and Cultural<br>Heritage | The project will not be excavating new ponds. It will work with<br>existing pond sites only. In such cases there is no likelihood of<br>encroaching on physical and cultural heritage sites and structures.<br>Hence there will be no adverse impact on cultural heritage related<br>issues is identified.   | None        |
| Lands and Soil<br>Conservation    | Creation of farm pond and catchment area treatment is envisaged<br>to help in land and soil conservation and will not create any<br>damage to land & soil resources.<br>The project will <b>not</b> be excavating a new pond. It will however<br>excavate silt due to deepening of pond. The silt will be distributed<br>among the farmers in the catchment area so that they can use to<br>enhance the fertility of their lands. The process of distribution will<br>be through a participatory and consultative process with the farmers<br>in the catchment area. In no case will the soil excavated will be<br>disposed off in gulley and other water courses.<br>The soil and moisture conservation works envisaged in the project,<br>namely, field bund, trenching, gulley plugging, loose boulder check<br>dams, will be based mostly on <i>in situ</i> conservation works. In specific<br>cases where there is need to transport earth or other such material<br>for catchment treatment the provisions of the Land Revenue Code<br>and the Forest Conservation will be strictly adhered to.<br>Earthwork in ponds or in the catchment area will not be undertaken<br>during the rainy season. Most of these works will be undertaken<br>after the agriculture operations are over and there is easy access to<br>land and the pond site.       | None        |

**Child Labour:** The division of labour in tribal households follow their kinship pattern. The adults in the hamlet, both men and women, take up work as a unit. That is in agriculture they exchange labour in each other's fields; as agriculture labours they work as a group dividing the work amongst them and in non-agriculture labour, the members of the kin take work as a group. This practice enables them to distribute labour amongst themselves and the wages are shared based on the work performed.

Normally the elder children would take care of the younger siblings when the adult members of the family are engaged in labour. Even in such cases if the elders are present in the house then they take the primary responsibility of looking after younger children.

Children, if not in school, are engaged in tending animals and in undertaking miscellaneous household chores. Child labour among the tribal households is common in families that migrate in search of work where children, that can earn or gain employment, accompany the adult members. Smaller children are left with the non-migrating members of the family.

The project team that visited existing sites where fisheries is being conducted by tribal households and found out that *children are not being employed in any of the operations related to fisheries*. In fact contribution of children in any of the activities related to fisheries- production, harvesting or sale was not visible in the region. On the other hand, the households have made use of supplementary income generated from fisheries in making investments for education of the child. Since the tribal group values the labour of girls (practice of bride price prevails amongst them), the additional income has also been used equally for the boy and the girl child.

The project will eliminate the possibility of engagement of child labour in any activity related to fisheries directly or in any other activity in any other form of paid or unpaid labourby inserting the protective clause in the MoU that it will sign with the fish farmer and their group. The factor of prohibition of child labour at pond sites will also be resolved in the Gram Sabha meetings when the name of the person for fishing lease will be finalised. The aspect of child labour will be subject to periodic review at all pond sites and will form part of the monitoring report that will be submitted by the project.

As discussed above, few environmental and social risks have been identified during the preliminary screening in the project/sub-project and a detailed environmental and social screening is proposed during the implementation stage. In view of this, the project is categorized as **"Category B"**. To ensure that the project conforms to the AFP's Environmental and Social Policy (approved in November 2013) a Methodology for Environment and Social Impact Assessment and Environment and Social Management Plan for Sub Projects have been developed. The Project will treat each of the pond-cum-catchment sites as a sub project. This implies there will be 60 sub projects and each sub project will undergo ESI Screening and develop a ESMP. Safeguards and Screening procedures too have been incorporated in the Methodology. The Methodology is attached as an accompanying document with the proposalas Appendix I.

As indicated earlier, the project districts are predominantly inhabited by Scheduled Tribes. The implementation of the project is expected to provide benefits to these communities. As such no adverse impact is envisaged to the people belonging to Scheduled Tribes or any other marginalized groups in the project area. However, the project will identify and ensure that the provisions of the UN Declaration of the Rights of Indigenous people are strictly adhered to.

The AFP's Environmental and Social Policy (approved in November 2013) will be made available to project stakeholders and promoted through training and dialogue with implementing agencies to build a common understanding of the principles and practices that have been adopted to enhance development benefits and avoid unnecessary harm to the environment and affected communities. Any potential impacts on marginalized and vulnerable groups will be properly screened and considered by the implementing agencies.

The following grievance redressal mechanism would be created as part of implementation mechanism.

## 1. Grievance Mechanism for Village Level Stakeholders

1.1 All pond sites will have display board stating the name of the project and names of NIE and Executing Agency. The names of contact persons and their mobile numbers will also be displayed on these boards.

1.2 The meeting of the Gram Sabha that will finalise the catchment treatment plan will comprise of pro active disclosure from the project of the grievance mechanism that exists in the project. To maintain consistency across villages a pamphlet related to the project comprising of the grievance mechanism will be printed and distributed in the Gram Sabha meetings. A copy of the pamphlet will be kept in the office of the Gram Panchayat so that it can be accessed during the course of project implementation.

1.3 Law provides that each Gram Sabha will mandatorily have four meetings in a year. The senior members of the Field Management Team will develop their field plan in such a way that they attend at least one meeting of the Gram Sabha during the year. The project at these meetings will pro actively disclose the activities undertaken in the village and provide a public opportunity to air grievance to the senior functionaries.

1.4 All grievances received either orally or in written form will be recorded in the Complaint Register maintained in the district office. Each such complaint will be identified by a complaint number and will be followed up and the resolution of the grievance will also be recorded. A consolidated statement of complaints received and resolved will be reported in the Project Steering Committee meetings.

1.5 Contact details of NIE Co-ordinator and Contact Person of NABARD would be made available to stakeholders at the community level at all places. These will be displayed through prominent display of at the project site and the same information will be communicated in the Gram Sabha and will be available with the members of the Gram Panchayat.

1.6 Information related to grievance mechanism will be provided in the language that is easily understood by the members of the village community.

## 2. Grievance Mechanism for District Level Stakeholders

2.1 Senior Technical person in charge of the district will be responsible to receive and act on complaints. The contact details of the person will be made available during the launch workshop to all the stakeholders. In addition the contact detail of the Project Coordinator will also be stated in the project related brochures that will be given to all the stakeholders in the district.

2.2 The details of the complaints received and action taken will be placed before the Project Steering Committee on a regular basis. It will be the responsibility of the Project Coordinator to comply with these processes.

2.3 The complaint and grievance mechanism of the NIE and AF will be part of the information on grievance mechanism that will form part of the project brochure.

2.4 The information will be provided in language that is widely understood.

# PART III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

#### A. Describe the arrangements for project / programme implementation.

Operationally, the project has two distinct objectives: **one**, to develop the fish farmer as an efficient fish farmer so that he is able to mobilize his resources and capacities to practice responsible fisheries in ponds; and **second**, to develop the fish farmer as a resilient fish farmer that enables him to assess and respond to climate variability such that his livelihood security is not adversely affected.

The institutional arrangement of the project will thus comprise of implementation and support structures that will be focused on the fish farmers so as to provide inputs to them to enable them to develop as efficient fish farmers **and** as climate resilient fish farmers in the project area. The human resource plan is guided by these twin requirements and aims at initiating processes related to execution, facilitation, handholding, and mentoring of fish farmers to develop them as trained and experienced climate champions.



#### Institutional Arrangement of the Project

Institutional arrangement for the implementation of the project and their role in the project is as follows:

| Institutional               | Composition/Membership   | Role and Responsibility   |
|-----------------------------|--|---|
| State Steering<br>Committee | Comprising of membership from the<br>Senior Government officials from the<br>Department of Rural Development;<br>Fisheries; Panchayat; Farmer Welfare<br>and Agriculture Development; Water<br>Resources; Fish Federation; EPCO;<br>NFDB and CIFA; NABARD and<br>Project Coordinator of the Project<br>Team.<br>NABARD as NIE at the state level will<br>be the Convener of the State Steering<br>Committee.   | <ul> <li>Facilitate involvement of government departments in the implementation process of the project at the state and district level</li> <li>Provide guidance and direction to the project activities to enable it to achieve its objectives</li> <li>Monitor the progress of the project against the agreed time lines</li> <li>Assess the relevance and feasibility of the project activities and impacts and identify policy level issues that can be fed to relevant departments for policy development</li> </ul> |
| Technical Advisory<br>Group | <ul> <li>Experts with qualification and<br/>Experience in:</li> <li>Fisheries</li> <li>Climate Change and development<br/>of Adaptation Strategies</li> <li>Agriculture/Civil Engineering</li> <li>Geo Hydrology</li> <li>Rural Marketing</li> <li>Institution Development</li> </ul> The Technical Advisory Group will be<br>constituted for the purpose of the<br>project and will be convened by the<br>Project Coordinator to draw upon the<br>expertise of the Resource Persons<br>from this group. | <ul> <li>Provide technical inputs<br/>to the team members and<br/>fish farmers in the field.</li> <li>Assess relevance and<br/>impact of the climate<br/>adaptive strategies</li> <li>Make recommendation<br/>to the Project Team on<br/>technical matters to<br/>incorporate the same in<br/>the implementation plan</li> </ul>  |
| Project Team <sup>32</sup>  | Project team will have three layers: (i)at<br>the state level comprising of the<br>Project Coordinator, Knowledge<br>Manager and the Accountant;(ii)the<br>Field Management Team comprising<br>of Senior Technical Members who will<br>be assisted by Junior Technical   | <ul> <li>Overall responsibility of<br/>the implementation of<br/>the project</li> <li>Engage with external<br/>stakeholders to achieve<br/>project objectives</li> </ul>  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> For Terms of reference for the Project Team members see Annexure 8Human Resource Plan

|                                    | Members and placed at the district<br>level; and (iii) the Cluster<br>Implementation Team comprising of<br>Field Associates located at the district<br>level.  | • Responsible to the NIE<br>and for fulfilling<br>monitoring and<br>evaluation activities<br>under the project  |
|------------------------------------|--|---|
| District Steering<br>Committee     | District Steering Group will be<br>comprise of the membership of<br>District Collectors, and district level<br>officials from the department of<br>Fisheries; Cooperatives; Farmer<br>Welfare and Agriculture Department;<br>Zila Panchayat; and Mineral<br>Resources. The Lead Bank Manager of<br>the district will also be the ex officio<br>nominee to the DSC.<br>The Project Coordinator will be the<br>Convener of the District Steering<br>Committee. | <ul> <li>Facilitate project<br/>implementation at the<br/>district level</li> <li>Facilitate coordination<br/>between different<br/>departments for the<br/>smooth implementation<br/>of activities at the project<br/>level</li> <li>Monitor the project<br/>activities and assess the<br/>benefit an impacts<br/>accruing to the project<br/>beneficiary</li> <li>Provide guidance and<br/>direction to the project<br/>for the implementation<br/>of project</li> <li>Assess the usefulness of<br/>climate adaptive<br/>strategies for the region</li> </ul> |
| Climate Change<br>Observatory      | Invited members from Community of<br>Practitioners; Department of Fisheries<br>at the district level; Panchayat<br>representatives; Experts on Fisheries;<br>representatives of Insurance<br>Companies and Financial Institutions.   | <ul> <li>CCO will review data generated as part of the project's experiences, climate data from local and IMD sources, and data on adaptation practices in fisheries from other places.</li> <li>Climate Change Observatory will become the prime mechanism whereby adaptation knowledge is transformed into policy-relevant tools to be used at the national and local level.</li> </ul>   |
| Fish Farmers<br>Group/Organisation | Fish Farmer group will comprise of the<br>fish farmers who are directly involved<br>in the fishing activity. The group can<br>be informal livelihood groups; or Self<br>Help Groups; or Fish Farmer's<br>Cooperative Societies. These groups<br>may already exist or may be formed<br>under the project.   | <ul> <li>Participate in the project<br/>activities at the pond,<br/>cluster and district level</li> <li>Work for the<br/>strengthening of<br/>activities related to<br/>fisheries and adoption of<br/>climate resilient strategies</li> </ul>   |
|  | • Participate in capacity<br>building events and<br>exposure visits for the<br>fish farmers |
|--|---|
|  | • Adopt responsible fishing practices in the pond for which they                            |
|  | <ul><li>have leasing rights</li><li>Strengthen the</li></ul>                                |
|  | organisation base of the<br>Fish Farmer Group to  |
|  | provide institutional<br>sustainability   |

The organisation structure for the implementation of the project comprise of three levels: state level that will provide the overall leadership and coordination to the project and undertake monitoring and supervision of project activities; district level structure that comprise the field management team to spearhead implementation of project activities at the district and sub district level; and cluster level teams to ensure execution of project activities at the pond level and with targeted fish farmer community.





The specific Job Descriptions of member of the project team is given in **Annexure 8** Human Resource Plan.

# B. Describe the measures for financial and project / programme risk management.

| Expected Risk   | Rating of<br>Risk | Risk Management Strategy   |
|---|-------------------|--|
| Operational Risk  |                   |  |
| Water from pond drawn for<br>irrigation that adversely affects<br>the ability of the fish farmer to<br>conduct fisheries in the pond<br>Elite Capture leasing rights of | Moderate<br>Low   | Processes of community consultation before finalization<br>of the site; involvement of Gram Sabha in selection of the<br>pond for fisheries; consultations with farmers with fields<br>near the pond; co-opting farmers as members of the Fish<br>Farmer Group<br>Criteria of small an marginal farmer as target group on                                  |
| the pond and corner project<br>benefits   |                   | community land will be non-negotiable; <i>benami</i> (proxy<br>owners) membership will be discouraged; fish farmers<br>that actually carry out fishing will be made members of<br>the Fish Farmer Group  |
| Greater emphasis on<br>development of fisheries than<br>on development of adaptive<br>capacities/ strategies by the fish<br>farmers                                     | Moderate          | Training on climate change and adaptation art of capacity<br>building plan; capacity building of staff on impacts of<br>climate change; design of the pond focused on increasing<br>water retention of ponds; climate change adaptation as<br>part of Job Description of the senior management team<br>and will become part of their performance appraisal |
| Low adoption rate of adaptive<br>strategies by target fish farmers  | Low               | Development of adaptive strategies as part of consultative<br>process with the small pond fish farmers; intensive<br>handholding process; training and exposure to fish<br>farmers; output-market linkages; opportunity<br>identification and their assessment   |
| Delays in approval and sanctioning of leasing rights  | Moderate          | Launch workshop with external stakeholders; District<br>Steering Committee to facilitate quick decision making;<br>intensive engagement with department officials  |
| Poaching of fish from pond by<br>other members of the<br>community  | Moderate          | Extensive consultation process with the community<br>before leasing the pond; resolution of the Gram Sabha for<br>providing of leasing rights; making households residing<br>around the pond as members of the Fish Farmers<br>Groups; community consultation processes  |
| Non availability of fish seed on<br>time by the fish farmer   | Moderate          | Project will establish one hatchery in each of the three<br>districts that will have the capacity to supply fish seed to<br>the fish farmers supported by the project; training of fish<br>farmers on production and nurturing of fish seed  |
| Environmental   |                   |  |
| Extreme weather event-<br>drought leading to lack of water<br>for fisheries   | Moderate          | Existence of alternative source of water defined as one of<br>the parameters for site selection; subscription to weather<br>based insurance by the fish farmers; pond design to<br>ensure sufficient water during summer season  |

| Expected Risk                     | Rating of | Risk Management Strategy                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|--|
|                                   | Risk      |  |  |
| Extreme weather event-            | Moderate  | Net on the waste weir; weather based insurance product     |  |
| excessive rains leading to        |           | for the fish farmers                                       |  |
| outflow of fish seed              |           |  |  |
| Conflict with farmers in the      | Low       | Water quality monitoring; consultations with farmers in    |  |
| catchment area using chemical     |           | catchment area; development and implementation of          |  |
| fertilizers that adversely affect |           | catchment treatment plan;                                  |  |
| the quality of water and hence    |           |  |  |
| productive capacity of the pond   |           |  |  |
| for fisheries                     |           |  |  |
| Political                         |           |  |  |
| Political interference in the     | Moderate  | Pre implementation consultations with political            |  |
| selection of site and to provide  |           | representatives of Panchayat institutions were the sites   |  |
| political patronage to the        |           | have been finalized; Panchayat representatives oriented    |  |
| selected fish farmers             |           | and sensitized on climate change and its impact on         |  |
|                                   |           | livelihoods in the region                                  |  |
| Financial                         |           |  |  |
| Damage or loss of equipment       | Moderate  | Fish Farmers will be asked to contribute towards the       |  |
| given to the fish farmer e.g.     |           | purchase of equipment under the project. The               |  |
| mechanical aerators               |           | contribution will be kept in a separate account            |  |
|                                   |           | maintenance fund that will be handed over to the fish      |  |
|                                   |           | farmer as part of with drawl strategy of the project       |  |
| Duplication of booking            | Low       | Six monthly report of the project will be shared at the    |  |
| expenses undertaken on ponds      |           | block and district Panchavat: display of project name and  |  |
| by the project also by the Gram   |           | expenses on board near the pond: MoUs will be drawn        |  |
| Panchavat as their expenditure    |           | with fish farmer group prior to handing over of the assets |  |
| Institutional                     |           | 8-0 cF F-10- co - man-8 o - co - mon-8                     |  |
| High attrition rate amongst the   | Moderate  | Contracts will be for three years and notice for leaving   |  |
| staff that will delay the         | inouclute | will be for longer duration: recruitment of local persons  |  |
| implementation of the project     |           | at the Field Associate level so that they do not have      |  |
| implementation of the project     |           | motivation to leave: regular staff meetings and capacity   |  |
|                                   |           | building to ensure that all staff understand their role in |  |
|                                   |           | the project  |  |
| Fish Farmer not able to           | Moderate  | Issue of pond maintenance on community land will be        |  |
| lowerage funds for maintenance    | Moderate  | hough the forest hou District Steering Committee and a     |  |
| of pond that adversaly affects    |           | broad policy frame will be developed within which the      |  |
| the water retention expectity of  |           | Gram Panchavata can access funds for pond                  |  |
| the pond                          |           | maintenance  |  |
| uie pond                          |           | manuenance   |  |

The project has identified three potential areas of conflict:

# (a) Conflict over competitive use of water

These conflicts arise on ponds made on common land. The competition is primarily between the demand for water for agriculture and the need to store water for fisheries. The project has proposed two strategies to decrease the risks of these conflicts: **one**, is to involve the Gram Sabha in finalisation of the site for fisheries. This will ensure that all immediate stakeholders will be involved in making the decision to give the pond for lease for fishing. **Second**, the project will not work on sites where there had been a history of disputes and conflict.

# (b) Conflict over rights over fishing

The conflict takes the form of poaching fishes from the pond. This can happen in ponds that are on private lands as well as on common land. In both cases the fish farmers have struck agreements with households living near the pond to ensure security of the pond. The fish farmers have in fact formed a common livelihood group of fish farmers where labour is provided by the members and in return they are entitled to wages. Periodically the profits are calculated and distributed among members. The households living near the pond get wages for providing security to the fish stock.

# (c) Conflict over use of chemical fertilisers and pesticides in the catchment area

Farmers practicing agriculture in catchment area use chemicals on their farm which flow in to the pond and affect the quality of water that creates adverse conditions for conduct of fisheries. Catchment treatment plan will entail construction of soil and moisture conservation works and use of vegetative cover to act as wind breakers and temperature regulators. With increased availability of vegetative waste as natural compost there will be decreased reliance and need for chemical fertilisers by farmers in the catchment area. The proposed adoption of catchment treatment to decrease silt load will positively impact the quality of water that flows in the pond. Regular monitoring of water quality will indicate whether the quality of water is getting adversely affected.

As a strategy the possibility of co-opting farmers in the catchment as part of the fishfarmers group to develop his stakes will be employed by the project. The co-option can compensate him for the loss of income on account of decreased use of chemicals on the farm.

The settlement pattern among the Bhils and Bhilalas is to construct their house on their agriculture land. The proximity to their field ensures security of their produce. The villages in the region do not have compact houses at one location. The village is spread out in small hamlets (called *phalia*). In cases where the small fish farmers have started practising regular pond fisheries they have constructed a house near the pond so as to provide regular security to the fish and water.

The community in the region is predominantly tribal belonging to the Bhil and Bhilala community. The project area is part of Schedule V area where PESA is applicable (see foot note 15). This act enables the community to adopt their customary dispute resolution mechanisms. The community has been known to resolve conflicting claims over resources through negotiation and mediation.

Any conflicts that will arise during the course of implementation of the project will use the traditional and indigenous dispute resolution mechanisms.

# **C.** Describe the measures for environmental and social risk management, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

As indicated at Page 88, the project is categorized as "Category B".

However, implementation mechanism is designed to take care of social and environmental risks as per the AFB's Policy. The principles of the environmental and social policy of the adaptation fund have been included in each of the project activities. The Technical Assessment will have hydro geological zoning exercise which will make an assessment of individual ponds and will take an area approach and make assessment of:

- Existing and proposed water bodies in the block
- Possibility of water logging in selected sites
- Existing natural habitats in the region
- Potential natural habitats which need protection
- Sites with unique natural value
- Physical Cultural resources
- Relevant and Important aspects of the Biodiversity of the area
- Relevant and Important aspects of the Eco services of the area
- Possibility of involuntary resettlement due to project activities `

The Pond design/construction, catchment treatment, introduction of fish species etc., will be based on the regional biodiversity and eco services requirements so as to ensure enhancement of natural resources. All measures will be taken to avoid degradation of natural resources, as well as physical and cultural heritage.

| ESP Principles    | Addressed within the Project   |
|-------------------|--|
| Access and Equity | Transparency in selection of beneficiary and proactive dissemination<br>of project activities will establish equal opportunity to all households<br>to participate in the process of selection of beneficiaries for the<br>project.  |
|                   | Project interventions in each pond and with each fish farmer<br>household will be customized to their need and their ability to develop<br>adaptive strategies. This process will be beneficiary centric and will<br>ensure full participation of the beneficiary in the implementation of<br>project's intervention and ensure access to project's activities and<br>resources. |
|                   | Linkages to government schemes, financial institutions and insurance<br>will be accessible to all households that will be directly covered by the<br>project. Providing information about the possible benefits, process of<br>accessing benefits and handholding to apply and access the benefits   |

Specific measures to address major ESP risks are detailed below:

|  | will be part of project's intervention to support beneficiaries to increase their access to these benefits.  |
|--|--|
|  | The approach adopted by the project will be to train and empower<br>the households and the beneficiaries to directly access benefits and<br>services from the institutions. This will reduce their dependency on<br>the project and they can sustain these linkages beyond the project<br>period.  |
|  | The collectives of fish farmers (self help group; livelihood group; or<br>cooperative) will be assessed in terms of the accessibility of<br>membership and equity in sharing of benefits by all members. In case<br>there are any exclusionary clauses the bye laws will be appropriately<br>modified to ensure that the membership to the group is accessible and<br>available to all the participant beneficiaries and the bye laws will not<br>contain any provisions that exclude participation in decision making<br>or in accessing benefits.  |
| Marginalised and Vulnerable<br>Groups    | The project is located in the region where there is predominance of<br>scheduled tribe households. The project will work with households<br>belonging to scheduled tribes only.  |
|  | The target beneficiaries of the project's intervention will be small and marginal farmers.   |
|  | The selection and approval process for the finalization of beneficiaries<br>is based on the approval of Gram Sabha. This will ensure that project<br>will work with marginalized and vulnerable groups only.   |
| Gender Equity and Women's<br>Empowerment | Small and marginal households work as a family unit. The women<br>from these households are involved in different operations related to<br>fisheries. Selection of households belonging to small and marginal<br>farming households will ensure involvement of women as part of<br>beneficiary group.  |
|  | Targets have been set for coverage of women in all the project's interventions related to training and other capacity building activities. This will ensure that women will have equal access to information and acquisition of skills under the project.  |
|  | Fish Farmers collective will have mandated representation of women.<br>If the existing collective does not have membership of women it will<br>be encouraged to modify its membership which will be a non-<br>negotiable provision for the project for finalization of sites. Women<br>will not be merely taken as symbolic or token members. They will be<br>actively involved in project and will be trained to participate as active<br>members in the decision making processes of the collective. Specific<br>inputs and handholding to become office bearers of the collective will<br>be provided to the women members. |
|  | Equal wages for equal work principle will ensure women are paid the same wage as men to establish principle of gender equity.  |
|  | Lease of ponds on common land will be in the joint name of men and women.  |

|                                | Linkages with government, financial institutions and services will<br>ensure that women are also linked with these institutions. In case the<br>women require specific handholding to ensure and sustain their access<br>the same will be provided to them under the project.  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Core Labour Rights             | All labour payments will adhere to the principle of payment of<br>minimum wages to skilled and unskilled work. The principle of equal<br>wages for equal work for men and women will be strictly adhered to<br>in the project.   |
|                                | The assessment of wages for the preparation of business plan will be<br>based on minimum wages or market wages whichever is higher. This<br>is to ensure that fair wages are given to the worker for their labour in<br>the project and project related activities.  |
|                                | The project will not promote employment of child labour on pond<br>sites. The adults will be sensitized to provide protective measure for<br>small children in and around the pond.  |
|                                | Forced labour or any form of bonded labour will be prohibited on<br>pond sites covered under the project.  |
|                                | Specific provisions related to restriction of employment of child<br>labour and forced labour on ponds will be placed before the Gram<br>Sabha as conditions for leasing the ponds to selected beneficiary<br>households. In case of private lands the same conditions will form<br>part of the MoU that will be drawn up with the farmer-fish farmer<br>household.  |
|                                | The above mentioned conditions will be applicable in cases whether<br>the project is working with a fish farmer or the collective of fish<br>farmers irrespective of the fact whether they work themselves or they<br>employ labour at pond sites or other work associated with fisheries.   |
|                                | The labour will have the freedom to form their own collective and<br>negotiate their terms of employment as a collective. Formation of and<br>seeking employment as a collective will not be the criteria for non<br>employment at work sites.   |
| Involuntary Settlement         | Part II point K had assessed that there is no likelihood of involuntary settlement because of project activities.  |
|                                | The project will primarily seek out and work with existing pond sites.<br>The main intervention will be to modify the design of the pond to<br>enhance its water retention capacity. At such sites there is no<br>likelihood that the proposed modifications will lead to involuntary<br>resettlement. However in case there is any likelihood of involuntary<br>resettlement the project will drop the site and select an alternative<br>pond site. |
|                                | The selection and finalization of site protocol includes the element of disputes and conflicts at proposed sites. The project will <b>not</b> select such sites that have history of disputes and conflicts. These disputes will also include disputes arising out of involuntary settlement when the pond was originally constructed if any.  |
| Protection of Natural Habitats | Protocols that will be developed and employed for the finalization of<br>the site for ponds will take the factor of existence of Natural Habitats  |

|   | in to account. Sites that will have a direct bearing on the protected sites will <b>not</b> be selected.  |
|---|---|
|   | The protocol will not only take in to account the existing natural habitats but also potential habitats that will need protection and will <b>not</b> select these sites for project's intervention.  |
|   | The list of protected areas and habitats will be procured from the Department of Forest, Department of Archeology, and Revenue Department.  |
|   | The project will inform itself of the management plans of protected<br>areas and will adhere to these plans in the implementation of project<br>activities.   |
| Conservation of Biological<br>Diversity | Fish species introduced under poly culture fish regime will be native<br>and endemic to the area.   |
|   | The vegetative species for catchment treatment will be based on<br>selection of locally adapted species so that it is locally adapted and<br>strengthens the local bio diversity of the area.   |
| Pollution Prevention and                | The current practice of introducing high density seeds leads to higher  |
| Resource Efficiency                     | mortality of fish seeds and is resource in efficient. The training on<br>responsible fishing will ensure that the fish farmers are aware of<br>appropriate seed density that has to be introduced in the ponds at the<br>beginning of fishing season.   |
|   | Project will not use any method that pollutes existing natural<br>resources. Use of chemicals will not be undertaken and as far as<br>possible organic matter will be used that decomposes and does not<br>cause pollution. The employment of heavy vehicles or equipment<br>during the construction phase will ensure use of pollution certified<br>vehicles that do not lead to emission of pollutants to the pond or the<br>catchment area and construction will not lead to establishment of<br>camps at the construction site. |
|   | Catchment treatment of the ponds will lead to construction of soil<br>and moisture conservation structures and increase the vegetative<br>cover through plantation of trees and grasses that will contribute<br>towards increase in soil fertility. These measures will decrease the need<br>for and demand for fertilizers in the catchment.   |
|   | Water quality monitoring of all the ponds under the project will be<br>undertaken on pre-defined 7 parameters. The monitoring will indicate<br>whether there has been excessive flow of pollutants in the pond.<br>Water management measures that can be implemented with the help<br>of local resources will be undertaken so that the local persons are<br>trained in the use of local resources.   |
|   | Training on best practices will lead to better use of resources for fresh<br>water inland fisheries. Such best practices have the impact in<br>improving the resource use efficiencies at different level in fisheries  |
| Public Health                           | Regular monitoring of water quality of ponds will be undertaken. Any deviation in these parameters will be reported to Public Health and  |

| Engineering department so that preventive measures could be undertaken.  |
|--|
| Gram Sabha will be informed of vector borne diseases consequent to<br>storage of water. Organisation of health camps with the Department<br>of Health will address the measures to prevent occurrence of these<br>diseases in the village. |

# **D.** Describe the monitoring and evaluation arrangements and provide a budgeted M&E plan.

# 1. Monitoring

The project aims at developing and implementing a robust monitoring system that is able to assess and report on performance, efficiency, processes and achievements. The monitoring system of the project will comprise of the following components.

# **1.1 Inception Report**

Project Inception Workshop will be held within the two months of the start of the project. The workshop will be attended by the members of the institutions that have been identified as members of the Steering Committee and the Technical Advisory Group. Among other things the Inception Workshop will include:

- (i) assist stakeholders to understand the objectives of the project and visualize their respective roles and responsibility in the implementation and results of the project
- (ii) establish reporting and communication protocols and familiarise with project decision making structure and processes
- (iii) presentation of project activities and major milestones and the expected outcome of the project
- (iv) the annual work plan will be presented to the stakeholders along with the indicators, means of verification, and monitoring and reporting frameworks and schedules

The Inception report will report on the proceedings of the Inception Workshop and annex the documents that have been finalised during the workshop, namely, monitoring frameworks, indicators and their means of verification, responsibility for tracking specific risks and implementing risk management strategies, and annual work plan of the project. The Inception Report will be submitted within one month of holding the workshop.

# 1.2 Performance Monitoring

Performance monitoring will be carried throughout the project period. The monitoring cycle will be quarterly and the report will be shared with the members of the State and District Steering Committee. The Performance Monitoring Report will include the following components:

### (a) Progress Tracking

Conduct of activities against their time line will be tracked every quarter. The process entails conduct of review meeting and each activity will be tracked in terms of its progress and state of implementation. The review will be followed up with finalizing the next quarter plan of activities that will incorporate spill over and inadvertent delays.

#### (b) Risk Management

Every quarter the risks will be monitored and the action taken for managing each risk will be reviewed. The exercise will also include identification of new risks and allocation of responsibility for managing it.

### (c) Output to Outcome Tracking

Performance monitoring will undertake monitoring and review of output to outcome tracking. The first two quarterly reports will, however, not report on this aspect, as it will be too early to assess output-outcome relationships. This aspect will be covered from third quarter onwards in all the quarterly reports.

#### (d) Financial Monitoring

Quarterly financial monitoring will be undertaken in order to review the progress of financial utilization and for ensuring that the expenditure for each head is according to the financial norms specified in the budget and agreed procurement processes.

#### **1.3 Process Monitoring**

#### (a) Process Documentation

Process Guidelines will be developed for each output that will include milestones, specific tasks to achieve the milestone, and indicators to measure whether the task, the milestone and the output has been achieved. The Process Guidelines will constitute the framework for the Process Documentation that will document and report on the processes carried out very quarter. The Process Document report will also record the evidences of the process so that these can be verified during the course of implementation.

### (b) Efficiency Reporting

Six monthly reporting on efficiency of the project will be prepared. The efficiency is with respect to the manner in which the project management has been able to manage its resources and accomplish project milestones. The components of efficiency reporting will include efficiency:

- Human resource deployment (recruitment, induction, procurement of external experts etc)
- Funds flow management (fund flow, financial utilization, observance of procurement processes and ethical standards)
- Implementation (completion of activities and achievement of milestones, observance of project processes)
- Monitoring and reporting (conduct of monitoring exercises and their reporting)

The Efficiency Report will be reflective of the capacity of the management team to implement project activities in time and within the resources that were allocated for them. Detailed format of Efficiency Assessment and reporting will be annexed in the Inception Report.

# 1.4 Project Completion(a) Project Completion Report

At the end of the project a Project Completion Report will be prepared and submitted on an agreed format that will consolidate all the activities carried out during the project, its achievements, and results along with evidence of impact and benefit.

### (b) Audited Statement

A detailed Audited Statement of accounts will be prepared and submitted in funds received and spent under the project.

### 2. Evaluation

Evaluation will be a major source of learning and consolidation of project activities and achievements. The framework for evaluation will be based on the existing guidelines of the AFB and will include the following components.

# 2.1 Base Line and End Line Survey

A base line and end line survey of all fish farmers selected for intervention by the project will be undertaken. The survey will also include survey of package of practices adopted by fish farmers and their levels of information and awareness regarding climate change and its impact of fisheries. A detailed format of base line will be developed before the Inception Workshop and will be annexed with the Inception Report.

### 2.2 End Term Evaluation

An End Term Evaluation by an external Resource Person(s) will be conducted for the project. The conduct of evaluation will follow the provisions of the Guidelines for Project/Programme Evaluation of the Adaptation Fund. The scope of the evaluation, inter alia, will include assessment of achievements, progress towards impacts; and evaluation of risks to sustainability, processes influencing achievements and M&E systems. The evaluation will specifically focus on achievement of adaptation measures and contribution of the project towards achievement of AFB targets, objectives, impacts and goal.

| Activity             | <b>Responsible Parties</b> | Budget US \$ | Frequency      |
|----------------------|----------------------------|--------------|----------------|
| MONITORING           |                            |              |                |
| Inception Workshop   | Project Coordinator        | 2250         | Within two     |
|                      | Project Team               |              | months of the  |
|                      | ,                          |              | project start  |
| Performance          | Project Coordinator        | -            | Quarterly      |
| Monitoring           | Technical Advisory         |              |                |
|                      | Group                      |              |                |
|                      | State Steering Committee   |              |                |
| Efficiency Reporting | Project Coordinator        | -            | Six Monthly    |
| Project Completion   | Project Coordinator        | -            | End of Project |
| Report               | Project Team               |              |                |

The budget for Monitoring and Evaluation is given below:

| Audit                         | • | External Auditor    | 999    | Yearly                |
|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|--------|-----------------------|
| EVALUATION                    |   |                     |        |                       |
| Project Benefit<br>Assessment | • | External Consultant | 2400   | 2 reports             |
| End term Evaluation           | • | External Consultant | 7,500  | Completion of project |
| Total                         |   |                     | 13,149 |                       |

#### E. Include a results framework for the project proposal, including milestones, targets and indicators.

**Note** AR= Action Reflection; BA= Benefit Assessment; DSC= District Steering Committee; FF= Fish Farmer; GS= Gram Sabha; PD= Process Document; PDoc= Photo documentation; PT= Progress Tracking SSC= State Steering Committee; S&MF= Small and Marginal Farmer; SR= Systematisation Report

| Project Description   | Measurable  | Baseline  | Target  | Means of Verification  | Assumptions and Risks   |
|---|---|---|---|--|---|
| Overall Objective<br>Climate Change<br>Adaptation in the inland<br>fishery sector for | % FF adapting climate<br>resilient fish rearing<br>practices developed<br>by the project  | none at present   | all FF covered by the<br>project adopt climate<br>resilient fish rearing                    | - BA report<br>- Correspondence with<br>state government<br>- Minutes of SSC |   |
| secured livelihoods of<br>small and marginal<br>farmers                               | % FF subscribing to<br>weather based<br>insurance products  | One weather based<br>insurance product<br>negligible with<br>subscription                   | all FF targeted by the<br>project subscribe to one<br>of the insurance product              |  |   |
|   | % of income of small<br>and marginal farmers<br>and FF from fisheries   | 20% of income of<br>small and marginal<br>farmers from<br>fisheries                         | 40% of income of small<br>and marginal farmers will<br>be from fisheries                    |  |   |
|   | Selection protocol and<br>design of ponds<br>tested by the project<br>and adopted by<br>Government for small<br>pond fisheries    | Govt guidelines for<br>pond design are not<br>based on climate<br>change parameters         | Govt guidelines include<br>climate change<br>parameter for designing<br>ponds for fisheries |  |   |
|   | State Government<br>resolves to formulate<br>a separate policy for<br>small FF that is based<br>on climate adaptive<br>strategies | State Policy for<br>Fisheries have no<br>separate provision<br>for small-scale<br>fisheries | Recognition of and<br>specific provisions for<br>small-scale fisheries in<br>state policy   |  |   |
| Component 1 Adaptiv   | ve Measures to addres   | s Rainfall Variability  |   |  |   |
| Outcome 1<br>Increasing water<br>retention capacity of                                | % ponds with water<br>retention for more<br>than 10 months  | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation  | 100% ponds with water<br>retention for more than<br>10 months                               | <ul> <li>End line survey</li> <li>SR</li> <li>AR Report</li> </ul>           | <b>Assumption:</b> GS permits modifications and implementation of |
| the tanks as an adaptive measure to   | of water at least 1.5<br>m during dry months  | during project<br>implementation  | depth up to 1.5 m during<br>dry months  | <ul> <li>Case studies of<br/>change</li> </ul>                               | catchment treatment plans.  |

| Project Description  | Measurable  | Baseline   | Target   | Means of Verification  | Assumptions and Risks  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
|  | Indicators  |  |  |  |  |
| address rainfall<br>variability by modifying<br>technical specification  | % ponds where silt<br>load has been<br>decreased  | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation                             | 80% ponds where silt load has been decreased   |  | Assumption: FF willing<br>to make private<br>investment on   |
| of the tanks   | % ponds where there<br>has been no loss of<br>fish because of<br>flooding throughout<br>the year                        | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation                             | 100% ponds have<br>protective features for<br>flooding and insurance<br>cover against loss of fish   |  | maintenance of ponds.<br><b>Risk:</b> Increased water<br>retention creates<br>pressure on alternative<br>use of water thereby  |
|  | % ponds where<br>Private/ Panchayat<br>investment on<br>maintenance of ponds<br>to increase water<br>retention capacity | No investment on<br>ponds either on<br>Panchayat/private<br>land for maintenance | 100% ponds have<br>resources for ensuring<br>investment for<br>maintenance of ponds for<br>fisheries |  | decreasing the<br>availability of water for<br>fisheries.  |
| Outputs 1.1Pondsidentifiedaccordingtogeo-hydrologicalprotocolfor fisheries   | % Ponds suitable for<br>small-scale<br>commercial fisheries   | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation                             | 100% ponds are being<br>used for small-scale<br>commercial fisheries                                 | <ul> <li>End line survey</li> <li>SR</li> <li>PD Report</li> <li>PT Report</li> </ul>    | <b>Assumption:</b> Sufficient<br>numbers of sites<br>identified in clusters for<br>fisheries based on geo<br>hydrological protocol.  |
| Outputs 1.2Modified pond design<br>developed and<br>implemented on<br>selected ponds                                   | % Ponds suitable for<br>small-scale<br>commercial fisheries   | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation                             | 100% ponds are being<br>used for small-scale<br>commercial fisheries                                 | <ul> <li>End line survey</li> <li>SR</li> <li>PD Report</li> <li>PT Report</li> </ul>    | <b>Risk:</b> Conflict in GS in allotment of pond   |
| Output 1.3<br>Small-scale FFs linked<br>to financial support<br>systems to access<br>resources for pond<br>maintenance | % small-scale FF have<br>access to resources<br>for pond maintenance  | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation                             | 100% small-scale FF<br>have access to resources<br>for pond maintenance                              | - AR Report<br>- SR<br>- PTR   | Assumption: Private<br>insurance companies pro<br>actively develop<br>insurance products for<br>small-scale FF<br><b>Risk:</b> Maintenance fund<br>is diverted for other<br>purposes |
| Component 2 Building   | g resilience through ac   | daptation of climate re  | esilient technology  |  |  |
| Outcome 2Diversification of fishspeciesandtemperatureregulation  | % ponds where water<br>temperature is<br>regulated and<br>controlled during<br>summer                                   | None by design   | 100% ponds where water temperature is regulated  | <ul> <li>End line survey</li> <li>SR</li> <li>AR Report</li> <li>Case Studies</li> </ul> | <b>Assumption:</b> FF willing<br>to enhance the<br>commercial viability of<br>the pond for fisheries.  |

| Project Description   | Measurable  | Baseline  | Target  | Means of Verification  | Assumptions and Risks  |
|---|---|---|---|--|--|
|   | Indicators  |   |   |  |  |
| of ponds as adaptive<br>measures to warmer<br>climatic regime | % FFs adopting poly culture fish farming                                | small-scale FFs<br>practice 2 layered<br>fisheries only | 100% small-scale FF<br>adopt at least 3 layered<br>fish culture     | <ul> <li>Record of water<br/>temperature of pond<br/>from water quality</li> </ul> | <b>Assumption:</b> FF willing<br>to undertake fish seed<br>production through<br>batcheny, fish rearing              |
|   | recommended fish<br>stocking rate                                       | resort to high density<br>stocking                      | adopt recommended fish stocking                                     | surveillance<br>- Case study of  | and nursery  |
|   | % hatcheries running<br>successfully                                    | no fish hatcheries<br>among small-scale<br>FFs          | 1 hatchery in each district running successfully                    | hatcheries<br>- Record of BOD from<br>water quality                                | <b>Risk:</b> Excessive use of chemical fertiliser in nearby agriculture fields                                       |
|   | % ponds with<br>decrease in fish<br>mortality due to<br>decrease in BOD | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation    | 100% ponds report decrease in fish mortality due to decrease in BOD | surveillance   | pollute the pond water<br>adversely affecting the<br>productive capacity of<br>the pond to undertake<br>poly culture |
| Output 2.1  | % ponds catchment   | Not prepared  | 60 catchment treatment  | - PT Report  | Assumption:  |
| Catchment treatment   | treatment plan  |   | plans prepared and  | - PD report  | Catchment and pond are   |
| plan for each pond  | prepared<br>% ponds silt load   | to be determined  | Implemented   | <ul> <li>End Line Survey</li> </ul>  | In the same GS enabling  |
| implemented   | decrease  | durina project  | decreases   | - SR   | treatment plans.   |
| implemented   |   | implementation  |   | - PDoc   | Communities are open to  |
|   |   |   |   |  | controlled livestock   |
|   |   |   |   |  | grazing practices  |
|   |   |   |   |  | <b>RISK:</b> Dispute in  |
|   |   |   |   |  | non implementation of  |
|   |   |   |   |  | plan   |
| Output 2.2  | % FF adopt best   | FF do not use any                                       | 100% FF adopt best  | - PT Report  | Assumption: Sufficient   |
| Pond temperature  | management  | practice to control                                     | management practice for   | - PD report  | space available near   |
| regulating best   | practices for   | temperature of the                                      | regulating pond   | - End Line Survey  | pond to implement best   |
| management practices  | regulating pond   | pond  | temperature   | - SR   | management practice for  |
| surrounds   | % FE adopting   | FF not using any  | two-third EE adopt  | - PDoc   | regulating the   |
| Surrounds   | technology to   | technological input to                                  | technology to decrease  |  | temperature of pond  |
|   | decrease likelihood of  | decrease likelihood of                                  | likelihood of oxygen  |  |  |
|   | oxygen deficiency   | oxygen deficiency                                       | deficiency  |  |  |
| Output 2.3  | % FF trained in ploy  | No small-scale FF                                       | 100% FF trained in ploy   | - PT Report  | Assumption: FF have  |
|   | culture fish rearing  | trained in poly   | culture fish rearing  | - PD Report  | regular access to local  |
|   | practices   | culture fish culture                                    | practice  |  |  |

| Project Description   | Measurable<br>Indicators   | Baseline   | Target   | Means of Verification   | Assumptions and Risks  |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| Fish Farmers trained in<br>poly-culture fish culture<br>and making fish seed<br>for composite fish<br>culture available to<br>small-scale<br>aquaculturist  | % FF have access to<br>different species of<br>fish seed for their<br>recommended fish<br>culture  | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation   | 100% FF have access to composite fish seeds  | - PDoc<br>- AR Report<br>- SR   | fish market to harvest<br>different species of fish<br>and sell them in the local<br>markets<br><b>Risk:</b> Delay in availability<br>of fish seed of different<br>species to the FF   |
| Component 3 Building  | g climate resilience the   | rough enhancement o  | f adaptive capacity  | Deer Line and Fed   | A  |
| Making small pond<br>fisheries climate<br>adaptation resilient<br>through productivity<br>enhancement by<br>capacity building and<br>institutional linkages | %FFsadoptingresponsiblefisheriespractices%Increasemoductivity%FFparticipatedmoductivity%FFdevelopmentoffisheriesbusinessplan%FFdeveloppartnershipsandlinkageswithotherplayers in the market%FFmembersofformal groups%FF pay for premiumfor insurance%GP formed plans toreflect climate changefactors | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation<br>to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation<br>FF do not develop<br>business plans<br>FF do not have formal<br>linkages<br>to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation<br>FF do not have access<br>to weather based<br>insurance product<br>No GP have prepared<br>plans that reflect<br>climate change<br>factors | 100% FF adopt<br>responsible fisheries<br>practices<br>At least 25% increase in<br>productivity<br>100% FF have developed<br>business plans<br>100% FF develop formal<br>linkages with other<br>players<br>100% FF members of<br>formal groups<br>100% FF pay premium<br>for weather based<br>insurance product<br>At least 50% of GPs<br>attending training<br>incorporate climate<br>change factors in their | <ul> <li>Base Line and End<br/>Line Survey</li> <li>Training Reports</li> <li>SR</li> <li>AR Reports</li> <li>Case Studies</li> <li>Group formation<br/>documents</li> <li>Minutes of group<br/>meetings</li> <li>Receipt of premium<br/>paid to insurance<br/>companies</li> </ul> | Assumption: There is no<br>change in the ownership<br>of the pond or transfer of<br>leasing rights to another<br>group during the course<br>of project<br>implementation<br><b>Risk:</b> There are extreme<br>weather events that<br>leads to loss of significant<br>fish stock with the FFs<br>that acts as negative<br>factor for adoption of<br>adaptive strategies by<br>FFs |
| Output 3.1<br>Capacity building of FFs  | % FF trained in<br>climate resilient   | No FF trained in<br>climate resilient  | 100% FF complete all<br>modules of Climate<br>Positiont Fishing  | <ul> <li>PT Report</li> <li>Training report</li> </ul>  | <b>Assumption:</b> The ownership and leasing   |
| on climate resilient<br>fishing   | u anning   | nsmily   | Resident Fishing   | - PDoc  | continue with the same<br>FF during the course of<br>the project   |

| IndicatorsIndicatorsIndicatorsRisk: Households not<br>giving enough space and<br>opportunity to women to<br>participate in training<br>programme<br>Risk: Household migrate<br>as better income earning<br>opportunity is made<br>available to themOutput 3.2<br>Prepare their business<br>plans% FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and businessto be determined<br>during project<br>implementation100% FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and business100% FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and businessTraining Report<br>easiest of the group<br>project mignementationTraining Report<br>easiest of the group<br>planTraining Report<br>easiest of the group<br>project mignementationAssumption: IFS retain<br>their ownership and<br>planOutput 3.3<br>Panchayat<br>representatives trained<br>in climate change<br>factors% GP representatives<br>trained in climate<br>change factorsNo training to GP<br>representatives or<br>climate Change50% of<br>copy of GP<br>climate Change- Training Report<br>erpresentatives trained<br>in climate change<br>factorsNo FF has been<br>trained in the terms<br>and conditions of<br>insurance productNo FF has been<br>insurance product- PT ReportAssumption: Isurance<br>comment supports<br>training of Panchayat<br>representatives of<br>insurance product for<br>fish cultureNo FF has been<br>insurance product- PT ReportAssumption: Isurance<br>comment supports<br>training and<br>orientation insurance productFF made aware on the<br>weather based<br>insurance product for<br>fish culture% FFs understanding<br>the terms and<br>conditions of<br>insurance productNo FF has been<br>insurance product- PT ReportAssumptio   | Project Description  | Measurable   | Baseline   | Target  | Means of Verification  | Assumptions and Risks   |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| Output 3.2<br>FF rained on market<br>analysis of fish and<br>prepare their business<br>plans% FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>planto be determined<br>during<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>plan100% FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>plan100% FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining no market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining on market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining on market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining on market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining no market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining no market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining no market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining no market<br>analysis and business<br>planTraining Report<br>the roune caning<br>opportunity to women to<br>participate in their ownership and<br>leasing rights over pond<br>during the course of<br>project implementation<br>planSole of<br>the roune<br>proper<br>sole of<br>planTraining Report<br>their ownership and<br>leasing rights over pond<br>during the course of<br>project implementation<br>prosentatives trained in<br>climate ChangeAssumption: Isorance<br>representatives trained in<br>climate ChangeOutput 3.4<br>FF made aware on the<br>weather<br>based<br>insurance product for<br>fish culture% FFs understanding<br>the terms and<br>conditions of<br>insurance product for<br>fish culture% FFs understanding<br>insurance productNo FF has been<br>insurance product100% FFs attend<br>and conditions of<br>insurance productPT ReportAssumption: Insurance<br>profect insurance<br>profect insurance<br>profect insurance<br>prosentation programmes<br>for Fishers<br>generate          |  | Indicators   |  |   |  |   |
| <b>Dutput 3.2</b><br>FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>plans% FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>plan10 be determined<br>during project<br>implementation10 be determined<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>plan17 raining Report<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>planAssumption: FFs retain<br>training on market<br>analysis and businessAssumption: FFs retain<br>training on market<br>analysis and businessAssumption: FFs retain<br>training on market<br>analysis and businessAssumption: FFs retain<br>training on market<br>businesAssumption: FFs retain<br>training on market<br>businesOutput 3.4<br>FFs made aware on the<br>weather based<br>insurance product fr% FFs understanding<br>the terms and<br>the terms and<br>and conditions |  |  |  |   |  | <b>Risk:</b> Households not<br>giving enough space and<br>opportunity to women to<br>participate in training<br>programme<br><b>Risk:</b> Household migrate<br>as better income earning<br>opportunity is made<br>available to them   |
| Output 3.3<br>Panchayat<br>representatives trained<br>in climate change<br>factors% GP representatives<br>representatives on<br>Climate Change50% of<br>representatives trained in<br>Climate Change- Training Report<br>· PT Report<br>· PD Report<br>· PD Report<br>· PDocAssumption: Local<br>Government supports<br>training of Panchayat<br>representatives on<br>Climate ChangeOutput 3.4<br>FFs made aware on the<br>weather<br>based<br>insurance product for<br>fish culture% FFs understanding<br>the terms and<br>conditions of<br>insurance productNo FF has been<br>   | <b>Output 3.2</b><br>FF trained on market<br>analysis of fish and<br>prepare their business<br>plans | % FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>plan | to be determined<br>during project<br>implementation                             | 100% FF complete their<br>training on market<br>analysis and business<br>plan       | <ul> <li>Training Report</li> <li>PT Report</li> <li>Copy of bye laws of<br/>the group</li> <li>PD Report</li> </ul> | <b>Assumption:</b> FFs retain<br>their ownership and<br>leasing rights over pond<br>during the course of<br>project implementation<br><b>Risk:</b> Increase in rate of<br>inflation leading to spike<br>in prices of inputs and<br>transportation costs<br>making business plans<br>redundant |
| Output 3.4<br>FFs made aware on the<br>weather% FFs understanding<br>the terms and<br>conditions of<br>insurance product for<br>fish cultureNo FF has been<br>trained in the terms<br>and conditions of<br>insurance product100% FFs attend<br>awareness and training<br>on weather based<br>insurance products- PT ReportAssumption: Insurance<br>Companies participate in<br>the training and<br>orientation programmes<br>for FishersSector<   | Output 3.3<br>Panchayat<br>representatives trained<br>in climate change<br>factors                   | % GP representatives<br>trained in climate<br>change factors               | No training to GP<br>representatives on<br>Climate Change                        | 50% of GP<br>representatives trained in<br>Climate Change                           | <ul> <li>Training Report</li> <li>PT Report</li> <li>PD Report</li> <li>PDoc</li> </ul>                              | <b>Assumption:</b> Local<br>Government supports<br>training of Panchayat<br>representatives on<br>Climate Change  |
|   | Output 3.4<br>FFs made aware on the<br>weather based<br>insurance product for<br>fish culture        | % FFs understanding<br>the terms and<br>conditions of<br>insurance product | No FF has been<br>trained in the terms<br>and conditions of<br>insurance product | 100% FFs attend<br>awareness and training<br>on weather based<br>insurance products | - PT Report  | Assumption: Insurance<br>Companies participate in<br>the training and<br>orientation programmes<br>for Fishers<br>Assumption: Fishers<br>generate enough surplus<br>income from fisheries<br>that they pay insurance<br>premium regularly to<br>protect their source of<br>income             |

| Project Description  | Measurable   | Baseline                           | Target  | Means of Verification   | Assumptions and Risks  |  |
|--|--|------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Outcome 4InstituPreparingandprocesdisseminatingevidencestakehbasedresilientclimatechangeadaptationlearninstrategiesforinland | Institutional<br>processes for<br>stakeholder<br>involvement<br>identifying areas for<br>learning and policy<br>development    | No processes exist at present      | Steering Committees<br>and Technical Advisory<br>Group active and<br>recommend areas for<br>generating evidence | <ul> <li>Meeting Reports</li> <li>PD Report</li> <li>Learning Documents</li> <li>Policy briefs written<br/>and submitted to<br/>Government and</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Meeting Reports</li> <li>PD Report</li> <li>Learning Documents</li> <li>Policy briefs written<br/>and submitted to<br/>Government and</li> </ul>                                | Assumption: Fisheries<br>are given more<br>importance in enhancing<br>income, livelihood<br>security and nutritional<br>security of tribal<br>communities. |
| fisheries for small pond<br>FFs  | % stakeholders<br>covered through<br>training and<br>dissemination events<br>on adaptation<br>strategies for climate<br>change | None at present                    | 2 training and 2 workshops conducted  | other stakeholders<br>- Training material<br>developed by the<br>project  | Assumption: State is<br>willing to implement<br>State Action Plan for<br>Climate Change.<br>Risk: Senior government<br>officials do not<br>participate in sharing                        |  |
|  | Adaptive strategies<br>for fisheries<br>articulated and<br>developed   | Adaptive strategies does not exist | Adaptive strategy for<br>small-scale fisheries<br>articulated and<br>presented to different<br>stakeholders     |   | and learning initiatives   |  |
| Output 4.1<br>Institutional Processes<br>for multi-stakeholder   | Membership of<br>Institutions  | No institution                     | Key stakeholders<br>represented in<br>institutions  | <ul> <li>Minutes of the<br/>meetings</li> <li>PD Report</li> </ul>  | Assumption:<br>Stakeholders are willing<br>to give time for the  |  |
| learning are established<br>and activated  | No of meetings   | No meetings                        | Meetings held as per<br>schedule  |   | meetings and priority to<br>meeting of the   |  |
|  | Presence of<br>stakeholders at<br>meetings   | No meetings                        | I wo thirds of<br>stakeholders present at<br>all meetings   |   | <b>Risk:</b> Organisational<br>representative changed<br>due to transfer leading<br>to decrease in emphasis<br>to the institutional<br>process of the project                            |  |
| Output 4.2<br>Evidence based learning<br>documents prepared for<br>dissemination   | No of learning documents prepared  | No learning<br>document exist      | 3 Process Reports; 6 AR<br>reports; 3<br>Systematisation reports<br>and 3 Policy Briefs<br>prepared             | <ul> <li>Reports and Briefs</li> <li>PD Report</li> <li>Evaluation Report</li> </ul>  | <b>Assumption:</b> FFs able<br>to retain their focus on<br>climate adaptability than<br>on development of<br>fisheries per se<br><b>Risk:</b> Key stakeholders<br>not giving priority in |  |

| Project Description                                 | Measurable  | Baseline          | Target  | Means of Verification  | Assumptions and Risks   |
|---|---|-------------------|---|--|---|
|   | Indicators  |                   |   |  |   |
|   |   |                   |   |  | participating for learning exercises  |
| Output 4.3<br>Learning from Project<br>Disseminated | No of stakeholders<br>covered for<br>dissemination of<br>project learning | No coverage       | At least 20 different types<br>of key stakeholders<br>covered | <ul> <li>Training Reports</li> <li>Workshop Reports</li> <li>PD Report</li> <li>Evaluation Report</li> </ul> | <b>Assumption:</b> CSOs are<br>interested in intervening<br>in small scale fisheries<br>sector  |
|   | No of dissemination<br>events organised                                   | No events         | 2 training of CSOs and 2<br>workshops conducted               |  | Assumption: Climate<br>adaptation is a priority<br>agenda for state and<br>national government<br><b>Risk:</b> Non institutional<br>stakeholders (FFs,<br>women, small traders in<br>fish) are marginalised<br>and are not adequately<br>represented in the<br>events |
| Output 4.4<br>Knowledge Products                    | No of document to<br>facilitate training                                  | No document exist | 1 Manual and 2 toolkits                                       | <ul> <li>Document reports</li> <li>Training Manual and</li> </ul>  | Assumption: External stakeholders will share  |
| developed printed                                   | No of document to<br>showcase good<br>practices                           | No document exist | - 1 Good Practice<br>document                                 | toolkits   | their experiences related to the project  |
|   | No of documents available in Hindi  | No document exist | All knowledge products in<br>Hindi                            |  |   |

# Output Wise Direct Beneficiaries of the project

| Outputs  | No of direct                       |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|  | beneficiaries                      |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Outputs 1.1 Ponds identified according to geo-hydrological protocol for fisheries</li> <li>[The project will cover 60 ponds in the selected agro-climatic zone. The aim will be to cover 20 ponds in each of these districts. The average size of the pond will be 4 ha. Number of persons benefitting (as owners and/or as persons setting compared to a persons)</li> </ul>   |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| of beneficiaries will be 60x4x4]<br><b>Output 1.2</b> Modified pond design developed and implemented on<br>selected ponds  | • 960 households                   |  |  |  |  |
| Output 1.3 Small-scale fish farmers linked to financial support systems to   | • 960 households                   |  |  |  |  |
| access resources for pond maintenance  |                                    |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Output 2.1</b> Catchment treatment plan for each pond prepared and implemented<br>[The ratio of catchment to pond size is 12 ha for every 1 ha of pond size. Thus in all there will be 60x4x12= 2880 ha of catchment that will be treated. 70% of the catchment is likely to be on private lands. That is, 2016 ha of private lands will be treated. The average size of holding in the project area is 2 ha. Thus 2016/2 households will directly benefit from catchment treatment. Additional benefit by way of treatment of common lands cannot be quantified at the moment] | • 1,008 households                 |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Output 2.2</b> Pond temperature regulating best management practices and greening of pond surrounds   | • 960 households                   |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Output 2.3</b> Fish Farmers trained in poly-culture fish culture and making fish seed for composite fish culture available to small-scale fishers [In addition to 60 pond the project will also establish 3 seed rearing, nurseries, hatcheries units in each of the districts. Each of these units will benefit at least 4 households. Thus total number of households benefitted will be 9x4]   | • 36 households                    |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Output 3.1</b> Capacity building of Fish Farmers on climate resilient fishing [3 Fish Farmers at each pond site will be trained, that is 60 x 3]  | • 180 fish farmers                 |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Output 3.2</b> Fish Farmers trained on market analysis of fish and prepare their business plans<br>[3 Fish Farmers at each pond site will be trained, that is 60 x 3]   | • 180 fish farmers                 |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Output 3.3</b> Panchayat representatives trained in climate change factors  | • 300 Panchayat representatives    |  |  |  |  |
| <b>Output 3.4</b> Fish Farmers made aware on the weather based insurance product for fish culture [3 Fish Farmers at each pond site will be trained, that is 60 x 3]   | • 180 fish farmers                 |  |  |  |  |
| Output 4.1 Institutional Processes for multi-stakeholder learning are  | • No direct                        |  |  |  |  |
| established and activated  | beneficiary                        |  |  |  |  |
| Output 4.2Evidencebasedlearningdocumentspreparedfordissemination[3 Fish Farmers from each pond site will be associated in the Systematisationexercise and Action Reflection process]   | • 180 households                   |  |  |  |  |
| Output 4.3 Learning from Project Disseminated  | • 40 civil society members trained |  |  |  |  |

| Output 4.4 | Knowledge Products developed printed | • | District and State |
|------------|--------------------------------------|---|--------------------|
|            |                                      |   | officials and      |
|            |                                      |   | policy makers;     |
|            |                                      |   | users of revised   |
|            |                                      |   | manual for         |
|            |                                      |   | fisheries ponds,   |
|            |                                      |   | users of technical |
|            |                                      |   | information and    |
|            |                                      |   | other outputs for  |
|            |                                      |   | future project     |
|            |                                      |   | design and up-     |
|            |                                      |   | scaling for wider  |
|            |                                      |   | climate change     |
|            |                                      |   | impacts.           |
|            |                                      |   | -                  |

# F. Demonstrate how the project / programme aligns with the Results Framework of the Adaptation Fund

# Table 4: Program alignment with AF Result Framework

| Project Objective        | Project Objective          | Fund Outcome               | Fund Outcome              |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
|                          | Indicator                  |                            | Indicator                 |
| Climate Change           | % Fish Farmers             | Outcome 3:                 | 3.2. Modification in      |
| Adaptation in fishery    | adapting climate resilient | Strengthened awareness     | behavior of targeted      |
| sector for secured       | fish rearing practices     | and ownership of           | population                |
| livelihoods of small and | developed by the project   | adaptation and climate     |                           |
| marginal farmers         |                            | risk reduction processes   |                           |
|                          |                            | at local level             |                           |
|                          | % Fish Farmers using       | Outcome 3:                 | 3.1. Percentage of        |
|                          | subscribing to weather     | Strengthened awareness     | targeted population       |
|                          | based insurance            | and ownership of           | aware of predicted        |
|                          | products                   | adaptation and climate     | adverse impacts of        |
|                          |                            | risk reduction processes   | climate change, and of    |
|                          |                            | at local level             | appropriate responses     |
|                          | % small and marginal       | Outcome 6: Diversified     | 6.1 Percentage of         |
|                          | farmers increase           | and strengthened           | households and            |
|                          | proportion of their        | livelihoods and sources    | communities having        |
|                          | income from fisheries      | of income for vulnerable   | more secure (increased)   |
|                          | from 20 to 40%             | people in targeted areas   | access to livelihood      |
|                          |                            |                            | assets                    |
|                          | Selection protocol and     | Output 7: Improved         | 7.2. No. or targeted      |
|                          | design of ponds tested     | integration of climate     | development strategies    |
|                          | by the project adopted     | resilience strategies into | with incorporated         |
|                          | by Government for          | country development        | climate change priorities |
|                          | small pond fisheries       | plans                      | enforced                  |

| Project Objective  | Project Objective  | Fund Outcome   | Fund Outcome  |
|--|--|--|---|
|  | State Government<br>resolves to formulate a<br>separate policy for small<br>fish farmers that is based<br>on climate adaptive<br>strategies      | <b>Output 7:</b> Improved<br>integration of climate<br>resilience strategies into<br>country development<br>plans  | 7. Climate change<br>priorities are integrated<br>into national<br>development strategy   |
| Outcome 1<br>Increasing water<br>retention capacity of the<br>tanks as an adaptive<br>measure to address<br>rainfall variability by<br>modifying technical | - % ponds with water<br>retention for more<br>than 10 months   | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1.2. No. of physical<br>assets strengthened or<br>constructed to<br>withstand conditions<br>resulting from climate<br>variability and change<br>(by asset types)                          |
| specification of the<br>tanks  | - % ponds with depth<br>of water at least 1.5 m<br>during dry months   | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1.2. No. of physical<br>assets strengthened or<br>constructed to<br>withstand conditions<br>resulting from climate<br>variability and change<br>(by asset types)                          |
|  | - % ponds where silt<br>load has been<br>decreased   | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1.2. No. of physical<br>assets strengthened or<br>constructed to<br>withstand conditions<br>resulting from climate<br>variability and change<br>(by asset types)                          |
|  | - % ponds where there<br>has been no loss of<br>fish because of<br>flooding throughout<br>the year   | <b>Output 5:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 5.1. No. and type of<br>natural resource assets<br>created, maintained or<br>improved to withstand<br>conditions resulting<br>from climate variability<br>and change (by type of<br>assets) |
|  | <ul> <li>% ponds where<br/>Private/ Panchayat<br/>investment on<br/>maintenance of ponds<br/>to increase water<br/>retention capacity</li> </ul> | Outcome 2:<br>Strengthened<br>institutional capacity to<br>reduce risks associated<br>with climate-induced<br>socioeconomic and<br>environmental losses      | 2.1. No. and type of<br>targeted institutions<br>with increased capacity<br>to minimize exposure to<br>climate variability risks  |
| Outcome 2<br>Diversification of fish<br>species and temperature<br>regulation of ponds as<br>adaptive measures to<br>warmer climatic regime                | - % ponds where water<br>temperature is<br>regulated and<br>controlled during<br>summer  | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1.2. No. of physical<br>assets strengthened or<br>constructed to<br>withstand conditions<br>resulting from climate<br>variability and change<br>(by asset types)                          |

| Project Objective  | Project Objective  | Fund Outcome   | Fund Outcome   |
|--|--|--|--|
|  | - % fish farmers<br>adopting poly culture<br>fish farming                            | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1. Development<br>sectors' services<br>responsive to evolving<br>needs from changing<br>and variable climate   |
|  | - % farmers adopting<br>recommended fish<br>stocking rate                            | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1. Development<br>sectors' services<br>responsive to evolving<br>needs from changing<br>and variable climate   |
|  | - % hatcheries running<br>successfully   | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1.2. No. of physical<br>assets strengthened or<br>constructed to<br>withstand conditions<br>resulting from climate<br>variability and change<br>(by asset types) |
|  | - % ponds with<br>decrease in fish<br>mortality due to<br>decrease in BOD            | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1.2. No. of physical<br>assets strengthened or<br>constructed to<br>withstand conditions<br>resulting from climate<br>variability and change<br>(by asset types) |
| Outcome 3<br>Making small pond<br>fisheries climate<br>adaptation resilient<br>through productivity<br>enhancement by<br>capacity building and | - % Fish Farmers<br>adopting responsible<br>fisheries practices                      | <b>Output 4:</b> Vulnerable<br>physical, natural, and<br>social assets<br>strengthened in<br>response to climate<br>change impacts,<br>including variability | 4.1. Development<br>sectors' services<br>responsive to evolving<br>needs from changing<br>and variable climate   |
| institutional linkages   | - % Increase in productivity of fish   | <b>Outcome 6:</b> Diversified<br>and strengthened<br>livelihoods and sources<br>of income for vulnerable<br>people in targeted areas                         | 6.1.1.No. and type of<br>adaptation assets<br>(physical as well as<br>knowledge) created in<br>support of individual or<br>community-livelihood<br>strategies      |
|  | - % Fish Farmers<br>participated in the<br>development of<br>fisheries business plan | Outcome 3:<br>Strengthened awareness<br>and ownership of<br>adaptation and climate<br>risk reduction processes<br>at local level                             | 3.1.1 No. and type of<br>risk reduction actions or<br>strategies introduced at<br>local level  |

| Project Objective   | Project Objective   | Fund Outcome   | Fund Outcome  |
|---|---|--|---|
|   | <ul> <li>% Fish Farmers<br/>develop partnerships<br/>and linkages with<br/>other players in the<br/>market</li> <li>% Fish Farmers</li> </ul> | Outcome 3:<br>Strengthened awareness<br>and ownership of<br>adaptation and climate<br>risk reduction processes<br>at local level<br>Outcome 3: | 3.1.1 No. and type of<br>risk reduction actions or<br>strategies introduced at<br>local level<br>3.1.1 No. and type of                      |
|   | members of formal<br>groups formed<br>-   | Strengthened awareness<br>and ownership of<br>adaptation and climate<br>risk reduction processes<br>at local level                             | risk reduction actions or<br>strategies introduced at<br>local level  |
|   | - % Fish Farmers pay<br>for premium for<br>insurance  | Outcome 3:<br>Strengthened awareness<br>and ownership of<br>adaptation and climate<br>risk reduction processes<br>at local level               | 3.1.1 No. and type of<br>risk reduction actions or<br>strategies introduced at<br>local level   |
|   | - % Panchayats formed<br>plans to reflect climate<br>change factors   | Output 2.1:<br>Strengthened capacity of<br>national and regional<br>centers and networks to<br>respond rapidly to<br>extreme weather events    | 2.1.1. No. of staff<br>trained to respond to,<br>and mitigate impacts of,<br>climate-related events   |
| Outcome 4<br>Preparing and<br>disseminating evidence<br>based resilient climate<br>change adaptation<br>strategies for inland<br>fisheries for small pond | - Institutional<br>processes for<br>stakeholder<br>involvement<br>identifying areas for<br>learning and policy<br>development                 | Outcome 3:<br>Strengthened awareness<br>and ownership of<br>adaptation and climate<br>risk reduction processes<br>at local level               | 3.1.1 No. and type of<br>risk reduction actions or<br>strategies introduced at<br>local level   |
| fish farming  | - % stakeholders<br>covered through<br>training and<br>dissemination events<br>on adaptation<br>strategies for climate<br>change              | Output 2.1:<br>Strengthened capacity of<br>national and regional<br>centers and networks to<br>respond rapidly to<br>extreme weather events    | 2.1.2. Capacity of staff<br>to respond to, and<br>mitigate impacts of,<br>climate related events<br>from targeted<br>institutions increased |
|   | - Adaptive strategies<br>for fisheries<br>articulated and<br>developed  | <b>Output 7:</b> Improved<br>integration of climate<br>resilience strategies into<br>country development<br>plans                              | 7.2. No. or targeted<br>development strategies<br>with incorporated<br>climate change priorities<br>enforced                                |

# Adaptation Fund Core Indicators

| Adaptation Fund Core Indicators |  |  |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Date of Report                  | 18 September 2014                            |  |
|                                 | BUILDING ADAPTIVE CAPACITIES OF SMALL INLAND |  |
|                                 | AQUACULTURE FOR CLIMATE RESILIENCE AND       |  |
| Project Title                   | LIVELIHOOD SECURITY, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA   |  |
| Country                         | INDIA  |  |
| Implementing Agency             | NABARD                                       |  |
| Project Duration                | 3 years                                      |  |

|   | Baseline    | Target at<br>Approval               | Adjusted target<br>first year of<br>implementation | Actual at completion |
|---|-------------|-------------------------------------|--|----------------------|
|   | "Nu         | mber of Beneficiaries               | s"   |                      |
| Direct beneficiaries<br>supported by the<br>project   | 0           | 2004                                |  |                      |
| Female direct beneficiaries   | 0           | 661                                 |  |                      |
| Youth direct beneficiaries  | 0           | 501                                 |  |                      |
| Indirect beneficiaries<br>supported by the<br>project   |             | 3,340                               |  |                      |
| Female indirect<br>beneficiaries  | 0           | 1102                                |  |                      |
| Youth indirect beneficiaries  | 0           | 835                                 |  |                      |
| "Assets   | Produced, D | eveloped, Improved,                 | or Strengthened"                                   | 1                    |
| Sector (identify)   | None        | RURAL<br>DEVELOPMENT<br>(FISHERIES) |  |                      |
| Targeted Asset  |             |                                     |  |                      |
| 1) Health & Social<br>Infrastructure<br>Climate Index Based<br>Insurance Product for<br>Fisheries | 1           | 3                                   |  |                      |
| 2) Physical asset<br>(a) Nurseries-<br>Produced   | 0           | 3                                   |  |                      |
| (b) Hatcheries-<br>Produced   | 0           | 3                                   |  |                      |
| (c) Ponds-<br>Strengthened<br>(climate<br>proofed)  | 0           | 60                                  |  |                      |

|                  | Baseline      | Target at             | Adjusted target | Actual at  |
|------------------|---------------|-----------------------|-----------------|------------|
|                  |               | Approval              | first year of   | completion |
| Changes in Asset |               |                       | implementation  |            |
| Changes in Asset | 0             | 00                    |                 |            |
| (a) Water        | 0             | 60                    |                 |            |
| Retention more   | 0             |                       |                 |            |
| than 10 months   | 0             | 60                    |                 |            |
| (no of ponds)    |               |                       |                 |            |
| (b) Water Depth  |               |                       |                 |            |
| up to 1.5 m      |               |                       |                 |            |
| during dry       |               |                       |                 |            |
| months (no of    |               |                       |                 |            |
| ponds)           |               |                       |                 |            |
| "In              | creased incon | ne, or avoided decrea | se in income"   |            |
| Income Source    | Fisheries     | Fisheries             |                 |            |
|                  |               | Hatchery              |                 |            |
|                  |               | Nursery               |                 |            |
|                  |               | Fish Seed Rearing     |                 |            |
| Income level     |               |                       |                 |            |
| (USD)/per month  |               |                       |                 |            |
| (a) Fisheries    | 40            | 130                   |                 |            |
| (b) Hatchery     | 0             | 100                   |                 |            |
| (c) Nursery      | 0             | 60                    |                 |            |
| (d) Seed Rearing | 0             | 30                    |                 |            |
| Number of        | 300           | 996                   |                 |            |
| households       |               |                       |                 |            |
|                  |               |                       |                 |            |

# **G.** Include a detailed budget with budget notes, a budget on the Implementing Entity management fee use, and an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs.

|            |  |                                |     |          | (   | (Amount:     | US \$)          |
|------------|--|--------------------------------|-----|----------|-----|--------------|-----------------|
| SN         | BUDGET HEADS   | Unit                           | QT. | Unit     | QT. | Unit<br>Cost | Total<br>Amount |
| 1          | COMPONENT 1  |                                |     |          |     |              |                 |
| Output 1.1 | Ponds identified according to geo-<br>hydrological protocol for fisheries  |                                |     |          |     |              | 10000           |
| 1.1        | Hydro-geological assessment and<br>Modification of Design  | Blocks                         | 2   | District | 3   | 3333         | 10000           |
| Output 1.2 | Modified Pond design developed<br>and implemented on existing ponds  |                                |     |          |     |              | 669000          |
| 1.2        | Modification of ponds (average size<br>4 ha per pond)  | Tanks/di<br>st.                | 20  | District | 3   | 223000       | 669000          |
| Output 1.3 | Small-scale fish farmers linked to<br>financial support systems to access<br>resources for pond maintenance                              |                                |     |          |     |              | 3000            |
| 1.3        | Modification of Insurance product  | Product                        | 2   | District | 3   | 1000         | 3000            |
|            | Sub-Total  |                                |     |          |     |              | 682000          |
| 2          | COMPONENT 2  |                                |     |          |     |              |                 |
| Output 2.1 | Catchment treatment plan for each pond prepared and implemented  |                                |     |          |     |              | 479520          |
| 2.1        | Catchment Treatment (48 ha for average 4 ha of pond)   | hectare/d<br>ist.              | 960 | District | 3   | 159840       | 479520          |
| Output 2.2 | Pond temperature regulating best<br>management practices and greening<br>the pond surrounds  |                                |     |          |     |              | 32500           |
| 2.2        | Oxygenation (solar aerators and oxygen tablets- all ponds)   | Units                          | 3   | District | 3   | 7500         | 22500           |
| 2.3        | Water Quality Measurement &<br>Maintenance   | Units                          | 20  | District | 3   | 3337         | 10000           |
| Output 2.3 | Fish Farmers trained in poly-culture<br>fish culture and making seed for<br>composite culture available for<br>small-scale aquaculturist |                                |     |          |     |              | 107800          |
| 2.4        | Poly culture Fingerling Support<br>(Part support)  | Ponds/di<br>st. for 3<br>years | 20  | District | 3   | 12500        | 37500           |
| 2.5        | Feeding -Micro-nutrient etc. (Part support)  | Units/dis<br>t.                | 20  | District | 3   | 5000         | 15000           |
| 2.6        | Construction of Hatchery units-<br>CIFA technology   | Units                          | 1   | District | 3   | 8333         | 25000           |
| 2.7        | Nursery Unit(0.1 ha)   | Units                          | 1   | District | 3   | 5883         | 17650           |
| 2.8        | Seed Rearing Unit (0.1 ha)   | Units                          | 1   | District | 3   | 3800         | 11400           |

| SN         | BUDGET HEADS  | Unit                            | QT. | Unit     | QT. | Unit<br>Cost | Total<br>Amount |
|------------|---|---------------------------------|-----|----------|-----|--------------|-----------------|
| 2.9        | Transportation of Fingerlings   | Units/dis<br>t.                 | 20  | District | 3   | 417          | 1250            |
|            | Sub-Total   |                                 |     |          |     |              | 619820          |
| 3          | COMPONENT 3   |                                 |     |          |     |              |                 |
| Output 3.1 | Capacity Building of fish farmers on climateresilience fishing                              |                                 |     |          |     |              | 15000           |
| 3.1        | Training and Capacity Building including exposure visits                                    | Units                           | 5   | District | 3   | 5000         | 15000           |
| Output 3.2 | Fish farmers trained on market<br>analysis of fish and prepare their<br>business plans      |                                 |     |          |     |              | 51500           |
| 3.2        | Marketing and Infrastructure<br>Support   | Units                           |     | District | 3   | 14167        | 42500           |
| 3.3        | Business Plan Prepared  | Units/dis<br>t.                 | 20  | District | 3   | 3000         | 9000            |
| Output 3.3 | Panchayat representatives trained in climate change factors                                 |                                 |     |          |     |              | 15000           |
| 3.4        | Training of Panchayat<br>representatives  | Units                           | 5   | District | 3   | 5000         | 15000           |
| Output 3.4 | Fish farmers made aware of the<br>weather based insurance products<br>for fish culture      |                                 |     |          |     |              | 5580            |
| 3.5        | Linkages with Financial Services (<br>banking/federation/financial<br>institutions)         | Units                           | 20  | District | 3   | 1000         | 3000            |
| 3.6        | Insurance Coverage (premium for average 4 ha of pond part)                                  | Units/dis<br>t.                 | 20  | Dist.    | 3   | 865          | 2580            |
|            | Sub-Total   |                                 |     |          |     |              | 87080           |
| 4          | Component 4   |                                 |     |          |     |              |                 |
| Output 4.1 | Institutional processes for multi-<br>stakeholder learning are established<br>and activated |                                 |     |          |     |              | 48625           |
| 4.1        | Meetings of District Steering<br>Committee  | no of<br>meeting<br>in district | 18  | District | 3   | 1890         | 5670            |
| 4.2        | Meeting of Technical Advisory<br>Group  | no of<br>meeting                | 6   | State    | 1   | 14330        | 14330           |
| 4.3        | Meeting of State Steering Committee   | no of<br>meeting                | 9   | State    | 1   | 14333        | 14330           |
| 4.4        | Meeting of Climate Change<br>Observatory  | no of<br>meeting                | 6   | District | 3   | 4765         | 14295           |
| Output 4.2 | Evidence based learning documents prepared for dissemination                                |                                 |     |          |     |              | 27740           |
| 4.5        | Action-Reflection Meetings  | no of<br>meeting                | 9   | District | 3   | 1223         | 3665            |
| 4.6        | Systematisation   | no of doc<br>per year           | 1   | Year     | 3   | 3108         | 9325            |

| SN         | BUDGET HEADS  | Unit                 | QT. | Unit  | QT. | Unit | Total     |
|------------|---|----------------------|-----|-------|-----|------|-----------|
|            |   |                      |     |       |     | Cost | Amount    |
| 4.7        | Process Documentation                                       | no of<br>docper      | 1   | Year  | 3   | 2917 | 8750      |
|            |   | vear                 |     |       |     |      |           |
| 4.8        | Development of Policy Briefs                                | no of                | 1   | Year  | 3   | 2000 | 6000      |
|            |   | Policy<br>Briefs per |     |       |     |      |           |
| Output 4.3 | Learning from project disseminated                          | year                 |     |       |     |      | 15475     |
| 4 9        | Training of Civil Society                                   | no of                | 2   | State | 1   | 3867 | 3865      |
| 4.7        | Organisation  | training             | 2   | State | 1   | 3007 | 5805      |
| 4.10       | State Level Workshop  | no of<br>workshop    | 1   | State | 1   | 3933 | 3930      |
| 4.11       | National Level Workshop                                     | no of<br>workshop    | 1   | State | 1   | 7683 | 7680      |
| Output 4.4 | Knowledge products developed and printed                    |                      |     |       |     |      | 27155     |
| 4.12       | Awareness (Leaflets/pamphlets)                              | Documen<br>t         | 4   | Year  | 3   | 1667 | 5000      |
| 4.13       | Toolkit for Practitioners: Developing                       | Documen              | 1   | State | 1   | 5333 | 5330      |
|            | Adaptation Strategies in Natural                            | t                    |     |       |     |      |           |
|            | Resource Management with Specific<br>Reference to Fisheries |                      |     |       |     |      |           |
| 4.14       | Training Manual for Fish Farmers                            | Documen              | 1   | State | 1   | 5333 | 5330      |
|            | on Climate Resilient Fish Rearing<br>Practices              | t                    |     |       |     |      |           |
| 4.15       | Toolkit for Preparation of Business                         | Documen              | 1   | State | 1   | 5333 | 5330      |
|            | Plans for Small-Scale Fishery,<br>Hatchery and Nursery      | t                    |     |       |     |      |           |
| 4.16       | Good Management Practices for                               | Documen              | 1   | State | 1   | 6167 | 6165      |
|            | Climate Resilient Small-Scale                               | t                    |     |       |     |      |           |
|            | Fisheries   |                      |     |       |     |      |           |
|            | Sub Total   |                      |     |       |     |      | 118,995   |
|            | TOTAL   |                      |     |       |     |      | 15,07,895 |
| E          | Project / Programme Execution<br>Cost                       | 9.50%                |     |       |     |      | 1,43,192  |
| F          | Total Project / Programme Cost                              |                      |     |       |     |      | 16,51,087 |
| G          | Project/Programme Cycle                                     | 8.50%                |     |       |     |      | 1,39,413  |
|            | Management  |                      |     |       |     |      |           |
|            | Amount of Financing Requested                               |                      |     |       |     |      | 17,90,500 |

# **BUDGET NOTES: COST BREAKUPS**

| 1.1 Hydro-geological | Digital hydrological assessment in districts for short listing of at least two blocks for |
|----------------------|---|
| assessment           | project intervention. The cost is calculated on per block basis as follows. The cost has  |
|                      | been rounded off to Rs 1,00,000 per block. For 2 blocks per district it comes to Rs       |
|                      | 2,00,000 or US \$ 3333. For three district the cost will be US \$ 10000                   |

| 1.2Modification of   | The pond modification cost is estimated at US\$ 11150 per pond the details are given   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|--------------------|---|-----------------|--|--|
| pond/tank  | below:   |  |   |  |                    |   | 0               |  |  |
|  | (a) Pond modification requires de-siltation/excavation repair/provision of inlet,  |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | outlet, wire mesh and seepage treatment.   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | (b) For 4 ha average pond size working area will be up to 0.64 ha and de-siltation   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | will be up to 1.23 m depth   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | Description Unit LxBxH Vol Rate Amount   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | Excavation/De-siltation  | М  | 80x80x1.  | 7872   | 1.34               | 10548   |                 |  |  |
|  | All types of soil inc disposal of  |  | 23  |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | excavated stuff up to 50 m lead  |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | and av. lift up to 1.5 m incl  |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | dressing and levelling of pits and   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | disposed stuff   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | Construction of key trench and   | Μ  | 200x1x1.  | 300  | 0.8                | 240   |                 |  |  |
|  | compaction before earthen  |  | 5   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | embankment for leakage/seepage   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | treatment (1m width, 100 m   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | length for 1 ha pond with a height   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | of 1 to 1.5 m depth)   | C  | 20.2  | 40   | 2.7                | 1.40  |                 |  |  |
|  | Inlet  | Sq m   | 20x2  | 40   | 3./                | 149   |                 |  |  |
|  | 22 cm thick dry stone pitching   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | depth and minimum size 0.014   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | Outlet   | Sam  | 20x2  | 40   | 37                 | 149   |                 |  |  |
|  | 22 cm thick dry stone pitching   | 5q m   | 2082  | <b>T</b> U   | 5.7                | 147   |                 |  |  |
|  | with individual stone of 22 cm   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | depth and minimum size 0.014   |  |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | Wire Mesh  |  |   |  | 83.3               | 83  |                 |  |  |
|  | Total  |  |   |  |                    | 11169   |                 |  |  |
| 1.3Modification of   | Short term Financial Consultant v  | vill be a                                    | associated wi   | th the pro   | iect to            | interact clo  | selv            |  |  |
| Insurance product  | with banks and insurance compar  | nies at t                                    | the district an   | d regional   | l level.           | The time  | 5               |  |  |
| 1  | requirements will be for about 4 r   | nonths                                       | s over the life   | of the pro   | oiect. T           | The cost  |                 |  |  |
|  | component are given below. The   | engage                                       | ement mav be  | per diem   | or mo              | onthly deper  | nding           |  |  |
|  | on profile and work understandin   | g of th                                      | e person.   | I  |                    | j F   | 0               |  |  |
|  |  | 8  | Honorariu   | months   | Tot                | al Amount   | ٦               |  |  |
|  | Details  |  | Honorariu months Total Amo  |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | Details  | Details m US\$                               |   |  |                    |   |                 |  |  |
|  | 1. Time budget of Financial  |  |   |  |                    | 5   | _               |  |  |
|  | 1. Time budget of Financial  |  | m   | 4  |                    | 2000  | -               |  |  |
|  | 1. Time budget of Financial<br>Consultant  | and  | m<br>500  | 4  |                    | 2000  | _               |  |  |
|  | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> </ol>   | and  | m 500   | 4  |                    | 2000  |                 |  |  |
|  | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> </ol>   | and  | m 500<br>208.33   | 4  |                    | 2000<br>833.33  | -               |  |  |
|  | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> </ol>   | and  | m<br>500<br>208.33<br>41.67   | 4  |                    | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67  | -               |  |  |
|  | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> </ol>   | and<br>n                                     | m<br>500<br>208.33<br>41.67   | 4<br>4<br>4<br>Total                                   |                    | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000  | -               |  |  |
|  | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> </ol>   | and  | m 500<br>208.33<br>41.67  | 4<br>4<br>4<br>Total                                   |                    | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000  | -               |  |  |
| 2.1 Catchment  | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> <li>Per pond catchment area treatment</li> </ol>  | and<br>n<br>nt 48 h                          | m 500<br>208.33<br>41.67  | 4<br>4<br>4<br>Total<br>nat is 960                     | ha per             | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000<br>district. Th                                | e unit          |  |  |
| 2.1 Catchment<br>Treatment   | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> <li>Per pond catchment area treatment<br/>rate will be US\$166.5 per ha which</li> </ol>  | and<br>n<br>nt 48 h<br>h work                | m<br>500<br>208.33<br>41.67<br>a per pond th<br>as out to 960s                    | 4<br>4<br>Total<br>nat is 960 (<br>166.5= U            | ha per<br>[S\$159  | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000<br>district. Th<br>840 per dist                | e unit<br>rict. |  |  |
| 2.1 Catchment<br>Treatment   | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> <li>Per pond catchment area treatment<br/>rate will be US\$166.5 per ha which</li> </ol>  | and<br>n<br>nt 48 h<br>h work                | m<br>500<br>208.33<br>41.67<br>ta per pond th<br>as out to 960s                   | 4<br>4<br>Total<br>nat is 960<br>166.5= U              | ha per<br>[S\$159  | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000<br>district. Th<br>840 per dist                | e unit<br>rict. |  |  |
| 2.1 Catchment<br>Treatment   | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> <li>Per pond catchment area treatment<br/>rate will be US\$166.5 per ha which</li> </ol>  | and<br>n<br>nt 48 h<br>h work                | m<br>500<br>208.33<br>41.67<br>ha per pond th<br>ss out to 960s                   | 4<br>4<br>Total<br>nat is 960<br>166.5= U              | ha per<br>[S\$159  | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000<br>district. Th<br>840 per dist                | e unit<br>rict. |  |  |
| 2.1 Catchment<br>Treatment<br>2.2 Oxygenation  | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> <li>Per pond catchment area treatment<br/>rate will be US\$166.5 per ha whice</li> <li>Solar aerators per district and on</li> </ol>                                      | and<br>n<br>nt 48 h<br>h work                | m<br>500<br>208.33<br>41.67<br>a per pond th<br>as out to 960s<br>tablets for all | 4<br>4<br>Total<br>nat is 960<br>166.5= U<br>ponds. (a | ha per<br>[\$\$159 | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000<br>district. Th<br>840 per dist                | e unit<br>rict. |  |  |
| 2.1 Catchment<br>Treatment<br>2.2 Oxygenation<br>(solar aerators and                                   | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> <li>Per pond catchment area treatment<br/>rate will be US\$166.5 per ha whice</li> <li>Solar aerators per district and o<br/>total cost = 2500*3*3 = US\$ 2250</li> </ol> | and<br>n<br>nt 48 h<br>h work                | m<br>500<br>208.33<br>41.67<br>a per pond th<br>as out to 960s<br>tablets for all | 4<br>4<br>Total<br>nat is 960<br>166.5= U<br>ponds. @  | 033                | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000<br>district. Th<br>840 per dist<br>2500 per ur | e unit<br>rict. |  |  |
| 2.1 Catchment<br>Treatment<br>2.2 Oxygenation<br>(solar aerators and<br>oxygen tablets – all           | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> <li>Per pond catchment area treatmen<br/>rate will be US\$166.5 per ha which</li> <li>Solar aerators per district and o<br/>total cost = 2500*3*3 = US\$ 2250</li> </ol>  | and<br>n<br>nt 48 h<br>h work                | m 500<br>208.33<br>41.67<br>a per pond th<br>as out to 960s<br>tablets for all    | 4<br>4<br>Total<br>nat is 960<br>(166.5= U<br>ponds. @ | 033                | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000<br>district. Th<br>840 per dist<br>2500 per ur | e unit<br>rict. |  |  |
| 2.1 Catchment<br>Treatment<br>2.2 Oxygenation<br>(solar aerators and<br>oxygen tablets – all<br>ponds) | <ol> <li>Time budget of Financial<br/>Consultant</li> <li>Travel cost (Travel, Boarding<br/>Lodging, DSA)</li> <li>Stationary and communication</li> <li>Unit Rate per district</li> <li>Per pond catchment area treatment<br/>rate will be US\$166.5 per ha which</li> <li>Solar aerators per district and o<br/>total cost = 2500*3*3 = US\$ 2250</li> </ol> | and<br>n<br>nt 48 h<br>h work<br>xygen<br>00 | m<br>500<br>208.33<br>41.67<br>a per pond th<br>as out to 960s<br>tablets for all | 4<br>4<br>Total<br>nat is 960<br>(166.5= U<br>ponds. @ | 034<br>            | 2000<br>833.33<br>166.67<br>3000<br>1000<br>district. Th<br>840 per dist<br>2500 per ur | e unit<br>rict. |  |  |

| 2.3 Water Quality<br>Measurement &   | One testing unit for one pond for four<br>cost for 20 units per district. Total cost                       | tests in a y         | rear. Per un $cts = 20*3*$ | it cost US    | 166.66. Total   |  |  |
|--|--|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Maintenance  | $= 205 + 101 \pm 20 \text{ mms per district. Four cost for 5 districts} \pm 205 + 100.00 \pm 20\% + 10000$ |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
| 2 4 Poly Culture   | Part support for fingerling purchase for poly culture 7500 fingerlings per pond @ US\$                     |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
| Eingerling Support   | 0.083 per fingerling. That is 7500x0.083   | $s_{\rm x}20 = 1250$ | 0 per distri               | rt            | pond @ 00\$     |  |  |
| (part support)   | 0.005 per inigerinig. That is 7500x0.005   | 7420-1230            | o per uisui                |               |                 |  |  |
| 2.5 Feeding -Micro-  | Support for one year. Feed support re  | ouired 3 ti          | mes ner ve                 | ar @ US\$2    | 50 per pond     |  |  |
| 2.5 recting -ivitero-  | Total cost = $250*20*3=$ US\$15000   | quitte 5 ti          | nies per ye                | ai @ 0.5\$2   | .50 per pond.   |  |  |
| support)   | $10tar cost = 250 \ 20 \ 5 = 0.5 \ 15000$  |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
| 26 Construction of   | Dar district one hatchery @ US\$ 8333  | 31 throa ar          | ich hatchar                | ion Banad a   | n technology    |  |  |
| Latchory upits   | provided by Control Institute for Fr   | ochurator            |                            | (CIEA) 1      | Bhubanagawan    |  |  |
| CIEA technology  | Odisha India   | estiwater 1          | rquaculture                | (CIPA), I     | Shubaneswai,    |  |  |
| 2.7 Numera Unit(0.1  | US 2041 (7 por purport (2) 2 purport (3)   | on leatale our       | . 500 ag m                 | Traton on no  | ad area. Total  |  |  |
| 2.7 Nursery Unit(0.1   | 05\$ 2941.07per nursery @ 2 nursery p  | ber natchery         | 7 : 500 sq m               | water spre    | ad area. Total  |  |  |
| $\frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}$ | 0 nurseries.   | C¢ 2000              |                            | 21            | <u>t -</u>      |  |  |
| Unit (0.1 ha)  | One seed rearing unit per district. (a) U  | 5\$ 3800 pe          | r unit. 10ta               | i s such uni  | ts.             |  |  |
| 2.9 Transportation   | US\$ 417 per district for one year   |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
| of Fingerlings   |  |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
| 3.1 Training and   |  |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
| Capacity Building  | Five trainings per district @ US\$ 100   | 0 per traini         | ing fish far               | mers includ   | ling inter-fish |  |  |
| including exposure   | farmer exposure visit within the project   | area. The t          | raining will               | be based or   | n the capacity  |  |  |
| visits   | building strategy given in Annexure 7.   |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
|  |  |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
| 3.2 Marketing and  | Small support in terms of making th  | e infrastru          | cture more                 | suitable fo   | or fisheries is |  |  |
| Infrastructure   | required based on actual needs of the  | fish farmer          | rs. These m                | ay include    | water facility, |  |  |
| Support  | solar powered storage units, waste dis   | sposal syste         | em, making                 | the enviro    | onment more     |  |  |
|  | hygienic. Particulars of activities propos   | sed under n          | narketing an               | id infra sup  | port are given  |  |  |
|  | below:   |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |
|  | Particulars  | Number               | Rate                       | Total         |                 |  |  |
|  |  |                      | US\$                       | US\$          |                 |  |  |
|  | Solar powered Storage units  | 3                    | 2500                       | 7500          |                 |  |  |
|  | Waste disposal Unit  | 1                    | 416/                       | 416/          |                 |  |  |
|  | Water Facility (with contribution)   | 1                    | 833                        | 833           |                 |  |  |
|  | Hygienic environment   | 1                    | 1667                       | 1667          |                 |  |  |
|  | Total  |                      |                            | 14167         |                 |  |  |
| 3 3 Business Plan  | The assessments provide inputs to t  | he fish fari         | mers in en                 | abling ther   | n to develop    |  |  |
| Prepared   | their respective business plans and  | make the             | bost poss                  | ible use of   | E the market    |  |  |
| riepared   | their respective business plans and  |                      | best poss                  |               |                 |  |  |
|  | opportunities. Business plans for a  |                      | pondwill                   | be develo     | ped unrough     |  |  |
|  | training of respective fish farmers. (a  | <u>y 3000 US</u>     | s per distr                | 1Ct.          |                 |  |  |
| 3.4 Training of  | Panchayat representatives from eac   | h of the p           | project dist               | ricts will t  | be trained in   |  |  |
| Panchayat  | factors of climate change and the  | eir role in          | n addressi                 | ng these      | factors. 100    |  |  |
| representatives  | Panchayat representatives from eac   | h of the             | project dis                | tricts that   | will include    |  |  |
|  | representatives from the village leve  | l, block an          | d district l               | evel Panch    | avats will be   |  |  |
|  | trained.It is estimated that there wi  | ll be five           | training ne                | er district a | ind in all 15   |  |  |
|  | training within the project area US  | S\$1000 pe           | er district                | ner trainir   | ig has been     |  |  |
|  | budgeted for these trainings thus  | US\$1500 PC          | 0 have h                   | en hudoe      | ted for this    |  |  |
|  | activity   | 0591500              | i nave De                  | Len Duuge     |                 |  |  |
|  | activity.  |                      |                            |               |                 |  |  |

| 3.5 Linkages with<br>Financial Services<br>( banking/<br>federation/ financial<br>institutions)   | It was tound that the fishermen fall short on cash to buy the seeds and end up compromising on the quality of the seed. Also all do not get the benefit of appropriate equipment, hence this fund is proposed in the project to work as revolving fund if needed. There is a component of fingerling support, but this fund will be merit based with defined terms to be used for short term needs. It would also be used for preparation of banking plan and credit linkages with financial institutions. @ US\$ 1000 |  |   |  |                                 |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|---|--|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| 3.6 Insurance<br>Coverage ( premium   | Part support for Insurance Premium for one year (<br>ha x 21.67 x 20= US\$866.8 or 865 approx  | ī) US\$ 21.67 pe   | er ha. Pre  | emiun  | n for 2                         |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Meetings of<br>District Steering<br>Committee (DSC)   | There will be 6 meetings of DSC per district per year<br>meetings during the period of project implementati<br>and for 54 meeting it will be \$5670.   | ar. For three dis<br>on. Cost of one   | stricts it<br>e meeting   | implie<br>g is \$1                                     | es 36<br>105                    |  |  |  |
| 4.2 Meeting of<br>Technical Advisory<br>Group (TAG)   | TAG will meet once in six months. The members we site and hold discussions among themselves. Cost of for six meetings it will be \$14330.  | vill undertake fi<br>of one meeting  | ield visit<br>will be \$  | to pro<br>2388 :                                       | oject<br>and                    |  |  |  |
| 4.3 Action-<br>Reflection Meetings  | Each AR meeting will have 25 persons. These meet<br>level. There will be 3 meeting in each district every<br>\$136 and there will be such 27 meetings leading to a<br>\$3668.  | tings will be hel<br>year. Cost of or<br>a total budgeted  | d at the<br>ne meeti<br>d expend  | village<br>ng wil<br>liture                            | e<br>ll be<br>of                |  |  |  |
| 4.4 Process<br>Documentation<br>(PD)  | One Process Document per year which implies three reports during the project period. The cost of the PD includes consultancy charges of external resource person, his/her travel and cost of printing the report. The cost of one PD report is \$2917 and total expenses on PD will be \$8750.   |  |   |  |                                 |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>4.5 Meeting of State<br/>Steering Committee<br/>(SSC)</li><li>4.6 Meeting of<br/>Climate Change<br/>Observatory (CCO)</li></ul> | SSC will meet twice a year. The constitution of SSC district and hence their travel has been built in to co cost of organizing one meeting of SSC will be \$159 CCO in each district will comprise of 10 persons. T months in each district. That is, there will be 6 mee during the project period. Cost of one meeting will meetings it will be \$14295.   | also includes r<br>ost of organizin<br>3 and total cost<br>he CCO will m<br>tings of the CC<br>be \$794 and fo | nembers<br>g the me<br>t will be<br>neet once<br>O in eac<br>or all the | from<br>eeting<br>\$1433<br>e in sin<br>th dist<br>CCO | the<br>. The<br>3.<br>x<br>rict |  |  |  |
| 4.7 Systematisation   | Three systematization exercises will be conducted.'<br>an external facilitator. The cost for one systematization   | The exercise wi<br>tion exercise wi  | ll be faci<br>ill be as f   | litated<br>follow                                      | d by<br>'s                      |  |  |  |
|   | Expenditure items  | Unit   | Rate<br>US\$  | N<br>o   | Total<br>US\$                   |  |  |  |
|   | 1 Consultancy for External Facilitator   | per day  | 83  | 15   | 1250                            |  |  |  |
|   | 2 Travel of External Facilitator incl<br>Boarding/Lodging and Food   | per day  | 83  | 15   | 1250                            |  |  |  |
|   | 3 District Stakeholder Meetings  | per meeting  | 50  | 3  | 150                             |  |  |  |
|   | 4 Cost of Evidence Collection (travel etc)   | per visit to<br>the site   | 42  | 5  | 208                             |  |  |  |
|   | 5 Audio visual and written documentation   | per report   | 250   | 1  | 250                             |  |  |  |
|   | 6 Total for one Systematisation  |  |   |  | 3108                            |  |  |  |
|   | 7 Total for 3 Systematisation  |  |   |  | 9325                            |  |  |  |
| 4.8 Development of<br>Policy Briefs   | Three policy briefs will be developed during the co<br>Cost one Policy brief will be \$2000 that will inc<br>resource person, travel to project site and printing of   | urse of the pro-<br>clude honorariu<br>of the finalized  | ject impl<br>1m for 1<br>briefing                                       | emen<br>the ex<br>paper                                | tation.<br>xternal              |  |  |  |

| 4.9 Training of Civil | 2 training for members of the civil society will be organized. There will be 20            |
|-----------------------|--|
| Society Organisation  | participants for each training. The cost of training per participant will be US\$ 97for    |
|                       | training for 3 days.   |
| 4.10 State Level      | 30 participants will be invited for the workshop that will include persons other than the  |
| Workshop              | project districts as well. The workshop will be for two days and will include travel of    |
|                       | participants in addition to the Boarding and Lodging and workshop expenses.                |
| 4.11 National Level   | 30 participants will be invited for the workshop that will include persons from different  |
| Workshop              | parts of the country. The workshop will be for two days and will include travel of         |
|                       | participants in addition to the Boarding and Lodging and workshop expenses.                |
| 4.12 Awareness        | 4 awareness leaflets per year will be developed on issues on which the project has         |
| (Leaflets/pamphlets   | planned to intervene. The cost includes printing and distribution of the material to local |
| )                     | stakeholders.  |
| 4.13 Toolkit for      | Toolkit based on project experience and learning will be developed. The cost includes      |
| Practitioners:        | honorarium of the external resource persons, cost for designing the toolkit, translation   |
| Developing            | cost and cost of printing. The aim is to print 500 copies of the toolkit for wider         |
| Adaptation            | circulation.   |
| Strategies in Natural |  |
| Resource              |  |
| Management with       |  |
| Specific Reference    |  |
| to Fisheries          |  |
| 4.14 Training         | The development of training manual includes include honorarium of the external             |
| Manual for Fish       | resource persons, cost for designing the toolkit, translation cost and cost of printing.   |
| Farmers on Climate    | The aim is to print 500 copies of the toolkit for wider circulation.                       |
| Resilient Fish        |  |
| Rearing Practices     |  |
| 4.15 Toolkit for      | Toolkit based on project experience and learning will be developed. The cost includes      |
| Preparation of        | honorarium of the external resource persons, cost for designing the toolkit, translation   |
| Business Plans for    | cost and cost of printing. The aim is to print 500 copies of the toolkit for wider         |
| Small-Scale Fishery,  | circulation.   |
| Hatchery and          |  |
| Nursery               |  |
| 4.16 Good             | Good Management practice document will be written by an external resource person           |
| Management            | who will also travel to the field site for evidence gathering in addition to undertaking   |
| Practices for Climate | review of project documents and reports. The cost includes consultancy charges, travel,    |
| Resilient Small-Scale | boarding and lodging, translation cost and cost of printing the document.                  |
| Fisheries             |  |

# Table: Project Execution Cost:

| Expenditure | Staff                      | Unit                    | No | Rate<br>US\$ | No of<br>months | Years | Total<br>US\$ |
|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----|--------------|-----------------|-------|---------------|
| Honorarium  | Project Coordinator        | per month per<br>person | 1  | 425          | 12              | 3     | 15300         |
|             | Knowledge Manager          | per month per<br>person | 1  | 250          | 12              | 3     | 9000          |
|             | Accounts                   | per month per<br>person | 1  | 150          | 12              | 3     | 5400          |
|             | Senior Technical<br>Member | per month per<br>person | 3  | 360          | 12              | 3     | 38880         |

|                | Junior Technical<br>Member     | per month per<br>person | 3  | 200  | 12 | 3        | 21600  |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|----|------|----|----------|--------|
|                | Cluster Implementation<br>Team | per month per<br>person | 6  | 65   | 12 | 3        | 14040  |
|                |                                |                         |    |      |    | subtotal | 104220 |
| Travel         | Project Coordinator            | per month per<br>person | 1  | 78   | 12 | 3        | 2807   |
|                | Knowledge Manager              | per month per<br>person | 1  | 70   | 12 | 3        | 2520   |
|                | Senior Technical<br>Member     | per month per<br>person | 3  | 30   | 12 | 3        | 3240   |
|                | Junior Technical<br>Member     | per month per<br>person | 3  | 30   | 12 | 3        | 3240   |
|                | Cluster Implementation<br>Team | per month per<br>person | 6  | 15   | 12 | 3        | 3240   |
|                |                                |                         |    |      |    | subtotal | 15047  |
| Administration | Rent Field Offices             | per month               | 3  | 30   | 12 | 3        | 3240   |
|                | FO Expenses                    | per month               | 3  | 20   | 12 | 3        | 2160   |
|                | НО                             | per month               | 1  | 150  | 12 | 3        | 5400   |
|                |                                |                         |    |      |    | subtotal | 10800  |
| M&E            | Benefit assessment             | per village             | 60 | 40   | 1  | 1        | 2400   |
|                | End Line                       | per document            | 1  | 7500 | 1  | 1        | 7500   |
|                | Inception                      | per participant         | 50 | 45   | 1  | 1        | 2250   |
|                | Audit                          | per audit               | 3  | 333  | 1  | 1        | 999    |
|                |                                |                         |    |      |    | subtotal | 13149  |
| Total          |                                |                         |    |      |    |          | 143192 |

### Project management fee

The project management fee (8.5% of the total budget) will be utilized by NABARD, the National Implementing Entity, to cover the costs associated with the provision of general management support. Table 22 below provides a breakdown of the estimated costs of providing these services.

#### Table: Breakdown of costs for the project management fee

| Particulars  | Amount (US\$) |
|--|---------------|
| Financial Management   | 41,000        |
| Information, Reporting, Knowledge Management                   | 43,413        |
| Performance Management - Progress Monitoring- Field Monitoring | 30,000        |
| Programme Support - Technical and Other to EE                  | 25,000        |
| Total  | 139,413       |

#### NIE Fee Budget Notes:

# 1. Finance, Budget and Treasury.

This covers general financial oversight, management and quality control to:

- Manage, monitor and track AF funding including allocating and monitoring expenditure based on agreed work plans, financial reporting to the AFB and the return of unspent funds to AF;
- Ensuring that financial management practices comply with AF requirements and support audits as required; E
- Ensuring financial reporting complies with AF standards; and
- Ensure cost efficient procurement processes and compliance with Government procurement rules and provide support to EE in this direction.

### 2. Information, Reporting, Knowledge Management:

- This includes maintaining information management systems and specific project management databases to track and monitor project implementation
- Periodic Reporting to the AFB on the physical progress and AF result framework
- Creating platform for knowledge dissemination for the learnings out of project

# 3. Performance Management - Progress Monitoring- Field Monitoring:

- Providing oversight of the monitoring and evaluation function of the Executing Entity.
- Field monitoring at six monthly interval and progress reporting
- Providing guidance on AF reporting requirements; managing the relationship with the AF and ensuring outputs and outcomes match with AF expectations;
- responding to information requests and arranging revisions;
- 4. **Programme Support Technical and Other Support to EE** 
  - Providing technical support in the areas of risk management
  - Policy, programming, and implementation support services;
  - Providing guidance in establishing performance measurement processes; and
  - Technical support on methodologies, TOR validation, identification of experts, results validation, and quality assurance.
  - Technical support, troubleshooting, and support evaluation missions as necessary;
  - Support on technical issues in programme implementation

#### H. Include a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones.

| Instalment No.   | Percentage | Amount<br>(\$) | Year      | Milestone   |
|------------------|------------|----------------|-----------|---|
| First Instalment | 25%        | 447,620        | June 2015 | <ol> <li>Completion of inception workshop</li> <li>Geo-hydrological assessment</li> <li>Site finalisation and</li> <li>Farmer mobilisation</li> <li>Completion of baseline</li> <li>Monitoring, Evaluation &amp; Learning<br/>framework</li> <li>Finalisation of site specific maps</li> <li>Start of tank modification in 15% sites</li> </ol> |

| Instalment No.       | Percentage | Amount  | Year     | Milestone  |  |
|----------------------|------------|---------|----------|--|--|
|                      |            | (\$)    |          |  |  |
|                      |            |         |          | <ol> <li>Setting of one hatchery, one nursery and<br/>one seed rearing unit</li> <li>Implementation of catchment treatment<br/>plans- 10% sites</li> <li>first project performance report submitted<br/>(one year from inception workshop)</li> </ol>  |  |
| Second<br>Instalment | 25%        | 447,620 | Jun 2016 | <ol> <li>Tank modification and Catchment<br/>treatment 40% additional tanks</li> <li>Start of work of hatchery units</li> <li>Start of operation in 10% tanks</li> </ol>   |  |
| Third<br>Instalment  | 25%        | 447,620 | Jun 2017 | <ol> <li>Functioning of hatchery unit</li> <li>Functioning of Nursery and fish rearing unit</li> <li>Completion of work and functioning in<br/>remaining 50% tanks including catchment<br/>treatment</li> <li>Adaptation benefit assessment in tanks</li> <li>Completion of mid-term review</li> </ol> |  |
| Fourth<br>Instalment | 25%        | 447,640 | Jun 2018 | <ol> <li>Adaptation benefit assessment in 100%<br/>tanks</li> <li>Adaptation benefit assessment-all tanks</li> <li>Development of Knowledge Products</li> <li>Conduct of National Workshops and<br/>Training of CSOs</li> </ol>  |  |

| Details                    | Upon<br>Agreement<br>signature - 1st<br>instalment | Second<br>Installment | Third<br>Installment | Fourth<br>Installment | Total     |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------|
| Scheduled<br>Date          | Jun 1, 2015  | Jun 1 2016            | Jun 1 2017           | Jun 2018              |           |
| Project Funds              | 412,770  | 412,770               | 412,770              | 412,777               | 1,651,087 |
| Implementing<br>Entity Fee | 34,850   | 34,850                | 34,850               | 34,863                | 139,413   |
| Total                      | 447,620  | 447,620               | 447,620              | 447,640               | 1,790,500 |
# PART IV: ENDORSEMENT BY GOVERNMENT AND CERTIFICATION BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY

A. Record of endorsement on behalf of the government Provide the name and position of the government official and indicate date of endorsement. If this is a regional project/programme, list the endorsing officials all the participating countries. The endorsement letter(s) should be attached as an annex to the project/programme proposal. Please attach the endorsement letter(s) with this template; add as many participating governments if a regional project/programme:

| Ravi Shankar Prasad, IAS, Joint Secretary, |       |
|--|-------|
| Ministry of Environment and Forest         | Date: |
| (MoEF), Government of India                |       |

B. Implementing Entity certification Provide the name and signature of the Implementing Entity Coordinator and the date of signature. Provide also the project/programme contact person's name, telephone number and email address

I certify that this proposal has been prepared in accordance with guidelines provided by the Adaptation Fund Board, and prevailing National Development and Adaptation Plans (National Action Plan on Climate Change) and subject to the approval by the Adaptation Fund Board, <u>commit to implementing the project/programme in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund</u> and on the understanding that the Implementing Entity will be fully (legally and financially) responsible for the implementation of this project/programme.

(Dr. B. G. Mukhopadhyay) Chief General Manager NABARD, Head Office, Mumbai (Implementing Entity Co-ordinator)

| Date: January, 29, 2015       | Tel. and email: Phone (Direct): +91 (022) 26530007  |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| • • •                         | Fax (022) 2653 0009, Mobile: +91 9769690750         |  |  |
|                               | fsdd@nabard.org                                     |  |  |
|                               | climate.change@nabard.org                           |  |  |
|                               | benu8896@yahoo.co.in                                |  |  |
| Project Contact Person: Mr. V | . Mashar, Dy. General Manager, NABARD, Head Office, |  |  |

Mumbai

Tel. and Email: +91 22 2653 9632, +91 9769863397

p.radhakrishnan@nabard.org, climate.change@nabard.org

|  | LIST | OF | ANNEXURES |
|--|------|----|-----------|
|--|------|----|-----------|

|             | Annexure Details   | Page No. |
|-------------|--|----------|
| Annexure 1  | Process Leasing of Pond by Fisher from Gram<br>Panchayat   | 130      |
| Annexure 2  | Policy Guidelines and Subsequent Orders of the<br>Government of Madhya Pradesh applicable for Fish<br>Culture on Ponds less than 10 hectares | 131-132  |
| Annexure 3  | Mapping Legal Provisions that are applicable for Fish<br>Culture in Madhya Pradesh   | 133-135  |
| Annexure 4  | Stakeholder Analysis   | 136-155  |
| Annexure 5  | Technical Plan   | 156-165  |
| Annexure 6  | Business Development and Market Analysis   | 166-183  |
| Annexure 7  | Capacity Building Strategy   | 184-188  |
| Annexure 8  | Human Resource Plan  | 189-195  |
| Annexure 9  | Financial Service Plan   | 196-200  |
| Annexure 10 | Pond Design  | 201-202  |
| Annexure 11 | Bhatiyari: Technical and Financial Feasibility   | 203-208  |

# Annexure 1: Process Leasing of Pond by Fish Farmer from Gram Panchayat



GS= Gram Sabha; GP= Gram Panchayat; FFDA= Fish Farmers' Development Agency

# Annexure 2 Policy Guidelines

# Orders of the Government of Madhya Pradesh applicable for Fish Culture on Ponds less than 10 hectares

#### 1. Management of Water Bodies

1.1 The right for management of water bodies in the state for the purpose of giving rights for fisheries has been determined on the basis of average water area of the water body as follows:

| Average Water Area (ha) | Institution Responsible to give Fishing |
|-------------------------|---|
|                         | rights                                  |
| less than 10            | Gram Panchayat                          |
| between 10 to 100       | Janpad Panchayat                        |
| between 100 to 1000     | Zila Panchayat                          |
| between 1000 to 2000    | Department of Fisheries/ Madhya Pradesh |
|                         | Fisheries Federation                    |
| more than 2000          | Madhya Pradesh Fisheries Federation     |

1.2 Panchayats will have the right to allocate ponds and water bodies that fall within its purview for the purpose of Fishing, though the Department of Fisheries, Government of Madhya Pradesh, has the right to over the process of allocating leasing rights and in other technical processes.

#### 2. Lease for Fisheries

#### 2.1 Priority in Giving Lease

The order of priority for giving lease by Gram Panchayat will be as follows:

| Size of Pond | Order of Priority   |  |  |  |
|--------------|---|--|--|--|
| Up to 1 ha   | Individual Beneficiary  |  |  |  |
|              | Traditional Fisher/ Scheduled Tribe/ Scheduled Caste/ Other Backward            |  |  |  |
|              | Classes/ Below Poverty Line   |  |  |  |
| 1 to5 ha     | First Preference:   |  |  |  |
|              | Registered Fish Farmer Cooperative/Self Help Group/ Group                       |  |  |  |
|              | constituted for the purpose of Fisheries (recognized as such by District        |  |  |  |
|              | Officer of the Department of Fisheries). The order of priority within this will |  |  |  |
|              | be as follows: Cooperatives or Groups belong to Traditional Fisher/ Scheduled   |  |  |  |
|              | Tribe/ Scheduled Caste/ Other Backward Classes/ Self Help Group (non            |  |  |  |
|              | reserved category)  |  |  |  |
|              |   |  |  |  |
|              | Second Preference:  |  |  |  |

|            | Individual Beneficiary  |  |  |  |
|------------|---|--|--|--|
|            | The order of priority in case if individual beneficiary will be as follows: |  |  |  |
|            | Traditional Fisher/ Scheduled Tribe/ Scheduled Caste/ Other Backward        |  |  |  |
|            | Classes/ Below Poverty Line   |  |  |  |
| 5 to 10 ha | Registered Fish Farmers Cooperative   |  |  |  |
|            | The order of priority for the Fish Farmers cooperative will be as follows:  |  |  |  |
|            | Traditional Fisher/ Scheduled Tribe/ Scheduled Caste/ Other Backward        |  |  |  |
|            | Classes/ Below Poverty Line   |  |  |  |

#### 2.2 Period of Lease

Gram Panchayat will give pond/ water body on lease for a period not less than 10 years for the purpose of fish culture.

#### 2.3 Lease Amount

The Leas document will be exempted from Stamp Duty. The lease amount will be decided as follows:

| Size of Pond  | Type of Pond | Lease Amount  |  |
|---|--------------|---------------|--|
| 0 to 10 ha  | Seasonal     | Rs 300 per ha |  |
| 0 to 10 ha Perennial Rs 500 per ha  |              |               |  |
| Note: Seasonal Ponds have been defined as ponds that have water till February only. |              |               |  |

#### 2.4 Conditions under which Lease will be suspended

The Policy and the model Lease Document issued by the Department of Fisheries stipulate that if the leaseholder sub lets the pond for fishing to another person or group the lease given to the original allotee can be suspended and cancelled.

#### 2.4 Responsibility of Gram Panchayat after Lease

Gram Panchayat has the following responsibilities:

- Leaseholders will be informed beforehand if the water needs to be drawn from the pond
- Leaseholder will be exempted to pay the lease amount if they incur any loss on account of withdrawal of water from the pond
- Take measure to prevent illegal withdrawal of water from the pond

Ref:

1. Policy for Fisheries in Madhya Pradesh, October 2008

2. Order of the Department of Fisheries dated 8 October 2008 number 1548/2008/36

# Annexure 3 Mapping Legal Provisions Fish Culture in Madhya Pradesh

| Name of the Act  | Purpose of the Act   | Provisions of the Act that affect Fish Farmers/ Fisheries   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
|  |  | Regulatory Provisions   | <b>Prohibitive Provisions</b>  | Enabling Provisions  |
| Madhya Pradesh<br>Fisheries Act, 1948<br>including the Madhya<br>Pradesh Fisheries<br>(Amendment) Act,<br>1981 | for the protection,<br>conservation and<br>development of<br>Fisheries in MP   | <ul> <li>erection and use of fixed<br/>engine</li> <li>construction of weirs,<br/>dams and bunds</li> <li>dimension, size of mesh,<br/>kind of nets, and mode<br/>of using them</li> <li>method of catching fish</li> <li>grant of license for<br/>fishing</li> <li>season during which<br/>killing, catching and sale<br/>of fishing</li> <li>size/ weight below<br/>which no fish will be<br/>sold</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>use of explosives, gun,<br/>bow, arrow, chemical or<br/>any other substance to<br/>cause water pollution or<br/>harmful for fish for<br/>catching/ destroying fish</li> </ul> |  |
| Madhya Pradesh<br>Riverine Fisheries<br>Rules 1972   | rules to regulate fishing<br>in rivers and rivulets<br>under the MP Fisheries<br>Act 1948  | <ul> <li>fishing in specified<br/>waters</li> <li>periods during which<br/>fishing will be suspended</li> <li>creation and use of fixed<br/>engines</li> <li>construction of weirs,<br/>dams and bunds on<br/>specified waters</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>licensee cannot employ<br/>another person unless he<br/>is using the drag net</li> <li>catch of fish species<br/>below 30 cms prohibited</li> </ul>                           | <ul> <li>priority in giving license to societies and federations</li> <li>types of nets and hooks/ lines that can be used by fish hunters</li> </ul> |
| Madhya Pradesh<br>Fishermen<br>Cooperative Societies<br>(Loans and Subsidies)<br>Rules, 1972                   | Act to organize, develop<br>and enable cooperative<br>societies for ensuring<br>socio economic<br>development with<br>particular emphasis on |   |  | • Fishermen's Cooperative societies can raise loans and receive subsidies for purchase of fishing apparatus, preparing boat and conveyance for       |

| Name of the Act   | Purpose of the Act   | Provisions of the Act that affect Fish Farmers/ Fisheries |                               |  |
|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
|   |  | Regulatory Provisions                                     | <b>Prohibitive Provisions</b> | Enabling Provisions  |
| Madhya Pradesh<br>Panchayat Raj and<br>Gram Swaraj Act,<br>1993<br>and<br>Madhya Pradesh<br>Panchayat (Transfer<br>of Immovable<br>Property) Rules 1994 | members of weaker<br>sections of the society.<br>act to effectively involve<br>Panchayats in local<br>administration and<br>development activities |   |                               | <ul> <li>transportation of fish,<br/>purchase and stocking of<br/>fish seed, repairs of ponds<br/>and tanks, payment of lease<br/>money of ponds and tanks,<br/>expenditure on management</li> <li>Fisheries has been listed as<br/>one of the subjects where<br/>Panchayat institutions can<br/>prepare plans, implement<br/>schemes for economic<br/>development and social<br/>justice in Schedule XI of the<br/>Constitution of India and in<br/>Schedule IV of the MP act<br/>for Panchayats</li> <li>Panchayats empowered to<br/>lease immovable property<br/>that falls within its<br/>jurisdiction for a period of 3<br/>years</li> <li>Ponds and water bodies less<br/>than 10 hac transferred in to<br/>the jurisdiction of GPs</li> <li>Rules lay down the process<br/>of leasing out immovable<br/>property of GPs</li> <li>Gram Sabhas have the<br/>powers and function to lease<br/>out minor water bodies<br/>situated within its territorial<br/>jurisdiction</li> </ul> |
|   |  |   |                               |  |

| Name of the Act   | Purpose of the Act  | Provisions of the Act that affect Fish Farmers/ Fisheries |                        |  |
|---|---|---|------------------------|--|
|   | -   | Regulatory Provisions                                     | Prohibitive Provisions | Enabling Provisions  |
| Panchayat<br>Extension Schedule<br>Area Act, 1996<br>(Jhabua and Alirajpur<br>are wholly and Dhar<br>is partially schedule V<br>district) | act to extend<br>constitutional provisions<br>related to Panchayats to<br>scheduled areas in the<br>country |   |                        | <ul> <li>Planning and management<br/>of minor water bodies<br/>entrusted to Panchayats in<br/>schedule areas</li> <li>Gram Sabhas empowered to<br/>identify beneficiaries of any<br/>schemes and approve all<br/>plans for social and<br/>economic development<br/>before they are taken up for<br/>implementation by Gram<br/>Panchayat</li> </ul>  |
| The Biological<br>Diversity Act, 2002<br>and<br>Madhya Pradesh<br>Biodiversity Rules<br>2004  | act to conserve<br>biological diversity and<br>sustainable use of its<br>components                         |   |                        | <ul> <li>Commercial utilization of<br/>biological resource exempts<br/>conventional breeding and<br/>traditional practices</li> <li>Biological diversity<br/>dependent livelihoods can<br/>be integrated in to all sectors<br/>of planning and<br/>management and at levels of<br/>planning from local to state<br/>to enable all levels to<br/>contribute effectively for<br/>conservation and sustainable<br/>use</li> </ul> |

# Annexure 4 Stakeholder Analysis

#### 1. Community, Target Group and Institutions of Local Governance

| Profile of the           | Stage of value chain where the  | Description on how are the stakeholder                      | Possible actions to address              |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| stakeholder              | stakeholder will be affected    | affected by the project                                     | stakeholder's interests                  |
| Village Community        | (a) Lease and access to Pond on | If the village pond is given on lease to the fish           | Action: Extensive Community              |
| Village Community        | community land                  | farmers without the consent of the village                  | consultation before finalizing the site  |
| includes households of   |                                 | community it gives rise to serious disputes that does       | and the fish farmer for the project.     |
| the village that have    |                                 | not allow the fish farmer to extract fish from the          |  |
| equal right over use of  |                                 | pond. Village residents use the pond for various            |  |
| pond.                    |                                 | purposes- drinking water for the animals, irrigation        |  |
|                          |                                 | of agriculture fields, bathing, cleaning vehicles and       |  |
|                          |                                 | bathing of animals, catching fish etc                       |  |
|                          |                                 | The village community is neutral towards the                |  |
|                          |                                 | project unless they are fully informed of the process       |  |
|                          |                                 | that has to be transparent and one that provides            |  |
|                          |                                 | opportunity to all the interested persons of the            |  |
|                          |                                 | village.  |  |
|                          | (b) Production                  | The fish farmers face issue of poaching of the fishes       | Action: Fish Farmers adopt the           |
|                          |                                 | from the pond. The poaching is often done by                | strategy of co-opting the households     |
|                          |                                 | members of the village community living in and              | living around the pond as members of     |
|                          |                                 | around the pond. These households may be                    | the fish farmer group. This leads to     |
|                          |                                 | positive towards the fact that the fish farmers are         | building their direct stakes in to the   |
|                          |                                 | undertaking fish culture which implies increase in          | pond and reduces the incidence of        |
|                          |                                 | availability of fish but may be <b>negative</b> towards the | poaching.                                |
|                          |                                 | fish farmer if there access to the pond is restricted.      |  |
| Fish Farmer              | (a) Lease and access to Pond on | This group of fish farmers will be the direct               | Action:Project will identify fish        |
| Fish Farmer(s) who are   | community land                  | beneficiaries of the project and the project will           | farmer(s) who are working/ interested to |
| residents of the village |                                 | target its intervention to this group of fish farmers.      | work themselves to undertake fish        |
| and are themselves       |                                 | The fish farmers will <b>support</b> the project activities | culture.                                 |
| engaged as individual or |                                 | as they tend to directly gain from the project.             |  |

| Profile of the           | Stage of value chain where the | Description on how are the stakeholder                   | Possible actions to address               |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| stakeholder              | stakeholder will be affected   | affected by the project                                  | stakeholder's interests                   |
| as group, in undertaking | (b) Pond Maintenance           | Fish Farmers with leasing rights undertake minor         | Action: Inform and train the fish         |
| the process of fish      |                                | cleaning of the pond that does not entail any cash       | farmers in the processes related to pond  |
| culture themselves.      |                                | expenditure. The Fish Farmers will support               | maintenance and how they can apply to     |
|                          |                                | maintenance of the pond.                                 | Gram Panchayat for maintenance of the     |
|                          |                                |  | pond.                                     |
|                          | (c) Fish Feed                  | Fish Farmer collects feed from within the                | Action: train fish farmers on preparing   |
|                          |                                | household waste and from the households with             | fish feed from household and animal       |
|                          |                                | cattle. Fish Farmers will support this activity as it    | waste.                                    |
|                          |                                | will led to gaining of weight by the fish and it getting |   |
|                          |                                | ready for the market at an early date.                   |   |
|                          | (d) Production                 | Fish Farmers have to develop the mechanism for           | Action: Fish farmer to form their         |
|                          |                                | the protection of the pond from poaching and other       | group strategically so that they are able |
|                          |                                | interference (e.g. drawing of water for irrigation).     | share the task of protecting the pond     |
|                          |                                | The protection can be undertaken by employing            | without un due loss of fish on account of |
|                          |                                | labour or by strategically forming the fish farmers      | poaching.                                 |
|                          |                                | group in such a way that the members of the group        |   |
|                          |                                | take it upon themselves to protect the pond from         |   |
|                          |                                | poaching.  |   |
|                          | (e) Harvesting                 | Fish Farmers or their group is involved in               | Action: Fish Farmers will be              |
|                          |                                | harvesting of fish. This is done by employing labour     | encouraged to use their managerial skills |
|                          |                                | as well that is paid a negotiated wage rate.             | and employ labour to harvest the fish     |
|                          |                                | The alternative method is to strike deal with other      | themselves as a group. Training and       |
|                          |                                | fish farmers and the fish farmers with leasing rights    | handholding support will be provided to   |
|                          |                                | are paid on the quantity of catch for each harvest.      | them to be able to sharpen their skills   |
|                          |                                |  | and enhance their managerial capacity     |
|                          |                                |  | further.                                  |

| Profile of the   | Stage of value chain where the                    | Description on how are the stakeholder   | Possible actions to address  |
|--|---|--|--|
| stakeholder  | stakeholder will be affected                      | affected by the project  | stakeholder's interests  |
| Stakenoider  | (f) Marketing and Sale                            | Fish Farmers sell their catch in the local market on<br>fixed days and to the fish stalls that operate on all<br>days of the week. Fish Farmers do not have storage<br>facility hence their quantity harvested is dependent<br>on their estimate of the catch that they can sell on<br>the market day.<br>Fish Farmers tend to sell the whole fish, instead of<br>cutting it and selling it by weight. This tends to<br>reduce their negotiating space for getting a better<br>price of their catch. On the other hand this is | Action: Increased access to storage<br>facility and training the fish farmers to<br>enter in to trade agreement with regular<br>fish sellers so that they are able to<br>optimize their price throughout the year. |
|  |   | 'fresh' that gets them a higher price.   |  |
| AbsenteeFishFarmersFish Farmer(s) have thelease in their name butactual fish culture isundertakenbycontractor who in mostcases is a non-tribalprivatebusinessman,who pays money to thetribal lease holder inreturn of using his nameto secure the lease. Theprivate operator employslabour for harvestingfish and is the solebeneficiary of the profit | (a) Lease and access to Pond on<br>community land | The Fish Farmer and the contractor will <b>oppose</b> the<br>project or try to subvert the project processes to<br>corner benefit for themselves.  | Action:Project will not work with<br>such absentee fish farmer(s) and<br>contractors.  |

| Profile of the            | Stage of value chain where the | Description on how are the stakeholder                   | Possible actions to address               |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| stakeholder               | stakeholder will be affected   | affected by the project                                  | stakeholder's interests                   |
| Traditional Fisher        | (a) Marketing and Sale         | Traditional fishers sell their fish by weight. They      | Action: Explore the possibility of        |
| Traditional fishers are   |                                | have storage facility that allows them to keep their     | developing trade linkages between fishers |
| located in market places  |                                | fish chilled and protects them from undertaking          | from the villages and the traditional     |
| and in townships. They    |                                | distress sale. Traditional fisher is the first person to | fishers in the market so that both are    |
| engage in fishing trade   |                                | put up his shop in the market and last person to         | able to optimize the price of fish and do |
| for which they buy fish   |                                | wind up his shop. Some of the traditional fishers        | not compete with each other in the        |
| from whole sellers and    |                                | move from haat to haat (local markets) and sell their    | market.                                   |
| other small fish farmers. |                                | fish.  |   |
| The traditional fishers   |                                | Traditional fishers keep a keen eye on the fishers       |   |
| often have a greater      |                                | from the village and offer to buy the stock of the       |   |
| variety of fish species   |                                | latter's fish so that they are able to retain their      |   |
| than the fisher who sells |                                | competitive edge in the market.                          |   |
| limited variety of fish   |                                |  |   |
| species.                  |                                |  |   |
| Labour                    | (a) Production                 | Labour is required to protect the pond especially in     | Action: the composition of the fish       |
|                           |                                | the pre harvest period as the danger of poaching is      | farmer group be such that persons         |
|                           |                                | high. The pond needs to be protected 24x7. Mostly        | residing near the pond are made           |
|                           |                                | the group members take turns for protection but          | members of the group so that the need to  |
|                           |                                | depending in the location and size of the pond the       | employ labour is minimized along with     |
|                           |                                | need to employ labour is also felt. The labour is        | the cost incurred for protection.         |
|                           |                                | employed as casual labour and is paid on a daily         |   |
|                           |                                | basis on a negotiated rate between the fish farmer       |   |
|                           |                                | and the labour.  |   |

| Profile of the  | Stage of value chain where the                | Description on how are the stakeholder   | Possible actions to address  |
|---|---|--|--|
| stakeholder   | stakeholder will be affected                  | affected by the project  | stakeholder's interests  |
|   | (b) Harvesting                                | There is two type of labour available for harvesting<br>of fish: <b>one</b> is the labour available from the village<br>that works as casual labour, and the <b>second</b> , is<br>labour provided by traditional fishers that are<br>nomadic in nature and work in a group. The former<br>works on a daily basis and the latter mostly work on<br>the quantity of catch.                            | Action: the fish farmers will be trained<br>in responsible fishing and based on their<br>assessment they will be handheld to<br>employ labour for harvesting.  |
| Gram Sabha  | Lease and access to Pond on                   | The proposal is placed before the Gram Sabha and   | Action: Proposal for taking pond on  |
| Gram Sabha is the<br>formal body that<br>approves the proposal of<br>fish farmers to take pond<br>on lease for fishing.<br>Gram Panchayat | community land<br>Lease and access to Pond on | they accord their approval after which it is sent to<br>Gram Panchayat for further action. Unless the<br>access to pond is disputed the Gram Sabha will<br><b>support</b> the process of selection of the fish farmer<br>for leasing rights.<br>The proposal for leasing the pond once approved  | lease is placed before the Gram Sabha<br>for approval. In case Gram Sabha<br>disapproves the village will not be<br>selected.<br>Action: Approved list of fish farmers   |
| Gram Panchayat is a<br>body of elected<br>representatives that<br>operate within the<br>framework of state act<br>on Panchayati Raj       | community land                                | by the Gram Sabha is placed before the Gram<br>Panchayat for endorsement and sending it to the<br>Fisheries department for finalization and<br>sanctioning of the lease. Gram Panchayat will<br><b>support</b> leasing of the pond as it increases the<br>income of the Panchayat and helping one of the<br>residents of the village makes sound political sense<br>for the selected representative. | from the Gram Sabha will be placed<br>before the Gram Panchayat for<br>finalization and onward transmission to<br>the district.  |
|   | (a) Pond Maintenance                          | Gram Panchayat has the mandate to undertake<br>maintenance of the pond so that there are no losses<br>to the fish farmers that have been granted leasing<br>rights over the pond. The Gram Panchayat will be<br><b>neutral</b> towards pond maintenance as it does not<br>gain any benefit from it.  | Action: Training of Panchayat<br>representatives and supporting them in<br>development of maintenance plan and<br>preparation of estimate for the pond<br>maintenance and handholding them to<br>access resources for the maintenance. |
| Self Help Group (FFs)<br>Self Help Group of fish<br>farmers can provide credit<br>for purchase of fish seed,<br>fish feed and/or for      | Credit during input stage                     | SHG will <b>support</b> the project as it will enable them to<br>employ their savings and enhance their return from<br>such investments. Moreover the investment also<br>allows them to access credit facility from banks and<br>other sources of finance.   | Action: the fish farmers group will be<br>trained to function as saving and credit<br>group so as to increase their bankability<br>and also to develop and strengthen their<br>financial sustainability plan.                          |

| Profile of the              | Stage of value chain where the | Description on how are the stakeholder                    | Possible actions to address                   |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| stakeholder                 | stakeholder will be affected   | affected by the project                                   | stakeholder's interests                       |
| fishing tools and           |                                |   |   |
| equipment.                  |                                |   |   |
| FishFarmerCooperative       | Credit at input stage          | Fish Farmer Cooperative Society can access credit         | Action: Fish Farmer group will be             |
| Society:                    |                                | from government and banks. The Society can also link      | informed about the advantages and             |
| Fish Farmer cooperative     |                                | its members to subsidies other than that related to       | constraints of working as a cooperative       |
| societies are promoted by   |                                | fisheries, e.g. housing, education scholarships for their | society. The groups opting for the            |
| government and are a        |                                | children etc  | cooperative will be trained in the provisions |
| legal necessity if the fish |                                |   | of the act and handheld to enhance their      |
| farmer group aims at        |                                |   | managerial capacity of managing the           |
| leasing larger ponds.The    |                                |   | cooperative.                                  |
| benefit of government       |                                |   |   |
| programme to such           |                                |   |   |
| societies is preferred      |                                |   |   |
| option for the              |                                |   |   |
| department.                 |                                |   |   |

#### 2. Institutional Stakeholders

| Department of Fisheries   |   |
|---|---|
| Department of Fisherics         Aim:         Fisherics development and<br>conservation in the state         Allocated Work:         • development of water<br>bodies and rivers for<br>fisherics         • Production of fish seeds on water<br>bodies allocated to the department         • Production of Fish Farmers including<br>distribution of fish seeds         • protection, promotion<br>and development of fish<br>culture and methods of<br>fish harvesting methods         • development of fish<br>culture and methods of<br>fishers         • implementation of<br>welfare schemes for<br>fishers         • development of fish<br>culture and methods of<br>fishers         • implementation of<br>fishers         • development of fish<br>market and legislation<br>relate o fisheries         • development of fish<br>market and legislation<br>relate on fisheries         • development of fish<br>market and legislation<br>relate of fisheries         • development of fish<br>market and legislation<br>relate of fisheries         • development of fish<br>market and legislation<br>relate of fisheries | as high degree of power<br>hence the implementation<br>project. The department<br>e mandate to contribute in<br>g of policy of Fisheries in<br>te as well as it has its own<br>hentation mechanism that<br>en entrusted with<br>ory powers under the MP<br>des Act 1948.<br>Is interested in defining a<br>nism for promoting small<br>lisheries as it has been<br>ded as a potential to<br>te high level of income<br>ovide alternative<br>yment opportunities in<br>reas, especially in the<br>t of MGNREGS defining<br>bond fisheries as one of<br>areas of intervention.<br>sue of climate change and<br>es has been identified by<br>partment and has been<br>ed in the SPACC.<br>nutuality of objectives<br>vill have a positive attitude<br>pport the implementation<br>project. |

| Aim and Objectives of<br>the Institution  | Programmes and Schemes for Fish<br>Farmers/ Fishery development/<br>Climate change  | Institutional Structure   | Stakes in the Proposed project   |
|---|---|---|--|
| The project should engage with the department both at the state level and the district level. At the state level the department can provide technical inputs to provide direction to the project and the project can contribute in identifying and sharing experiences related to policy imperatives for the department. The Director Fisheries should be made member of the project Steering Group.<br>At the district level the involvement of the department will enable the project to smoothen operational issues related to getting of lease for the fish farmer(s), technical inputs related to fish seeds, equipment and in formation of fish farmer groups or cooperatives. The Deputy/Assistant Fisheries Officer of the districts where the project is located should be made members of the District Support Group of the project.  |   |   |  |
| Madhya Pradesh Fish Fed   | eration   |   |  |
| Aim:<br>Function as an apex body<br>of Fish Cooperatives in the<br>state<br>Objectives  | <ul> <li>Linking primary fish cooperatives with the federation</li> <li>Fisheries, production of fish seed, marketing and sale of fish, distribution of wages to labour, linking fish farmers to welfare schemes,</li> <li>Regulates use of nets so that fish that are in small in size are not caught and productivity of the reservoir is maintained</li> </ul> | StateThe Federation is headed by ManagingDirector at the state level. The office issupported by Executive Engineer andRegional Manager to carry out the activitiesof the Federation in the state.RegionalThe Federation has divided the state in to sixregions. Each of the regions is headed by aRegional Manager who is placed atreservoirs of large dams respectively. | MP Fish Federation focuses<br>only on large reservoirs. It is not<br>interested in fisheries in small<br>ponds. The Federation will have<br>a neutral to positive attitude<br>towards the project. |
| Recommended Strategy<br>Project should engage with the Federation at the state level only. The strategic advantage of engaging with the Federation is that they are the potential<br>customer for fish seeds for their reservoirs and are also present in the value chain for sale of fish from the reservoirs. As member of the Steering Group<br>at the state level the project will have the opportunity to assess the potential of engaging with the Federation activities. Secondly, Federation is an<br>important player in contributing for the development of policy on Fisheries in the state. Influencing the Federation based on the experience of the<br>project will enable the project to gather support of critical stakeholder for policy development in the state.<br>Department of Farmer Welfare and Agriculture Development |   |   |  |
| Aim:  | National Watershed Area   | State   | The Department of FWAD   |
| Increase in agriculture   | Development scheme for treating   | Directorate of FWAD headed by Director  | does not have stakes in the  |
| productivity, land and  | of watershed area for soil erosion<br>and for soil and water conservation   | Division  | have control over the ponds  |
| water management,   |   | Headed by Joint Director  | constructed under schemes of   |

| Aim and Objectives of<br>the Institution   | Programmes and Schemes for Fish<br>Farmers/ Fishery development/<br>Climate change   | Institutional Structure  | Stakes in the Proposed<br>project   |
|--|--|--|---|
| promotion of small<br>irrigation schemes,<br>promotion of innovative<br>agriculture technology | <ul> <li>RADP Land conservation<br/>programme for construction of<br/>small ponds, contour trenching,<br/>and revival of old ponds</li> <li>Construction of minor irrigation<br/>ponds and percolation ponds up to<br/>40 hac</li> <li>Climate based insurance scheme</li> </ul> | <b>District</b><br>Headed by Deputy Director and support of<br>subject matter specialist<br><b>Block</b><br>Senior Agriculture Development Extension<br>Officer support from ADO | the department as they are<br>handed over to the concerned<br>Panchayat. There are two areas<br>where the department and<br>proposed project's interest<br>overlap:: one, is the watershed<br>treatment in the catchment area<br>of the proposed pond and<br>second, in the manner in which<br>the climate based insurance<br>schemes perform for the<br>farmers. |

#### **Recommended Strategy**

At the state level the project can share its experience related to climate based insurance scheme with the department. The possibility of learning from each other's experience will benefit the project in making recommendations to the insurance agencies for their product development. The Director Agriculture should be a Special Invitee member to the project's Steering Committee for meetings that have the agenda related to climate based insurance.

At the district and block level the project should engage with the Deputy Director and SADO before finalization of sites for ponds to find out the existing programmes for watershed development so that their catchment treatment plans can be dovetailed with the proposed project's activities.

| Department of Panchayat and Rural Development |  |  |                                     |
|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Aim   | • area and infrastructure                          | State                                    | Department of Rural                 |
| Implementation of                             | development schemes and                            | Development Commissioner heads the       | Development is directly             |
| schemes and programmes                        | programmes including watershed                     | department                               | interested in the project o three   |
| for rural development                         | development, housing and rural                     | Division                                 | counts: one, small pond             |
| through active involvement                    | roads  | Deputy Commissioner Development          | fisheries is one of the potential   |
| of Panchayat institutions                     | • Self employment programmes and                   | District                                 | activities that it seeks to         |
| Objectives                                    | schemes livelihood development                     | Zila Panchayat Chief Executive Officer   | promote in the state; second,       |
| • implementation of                           | programmes   | heads the district unit o the department | under MGNREGS small pond            |
| programmes and                                | <ul> <li>wave employment programmes and</li> </ul> | Block                                    | fisheries has been identified as    |
| schemes for rural                             | schemes including MGNREGS                          | Janpad Chief Executive Officer heads the | one of the sub schemes that can     |
| development                                   | • anvironmental sanitation and mid                 | block unit of the department             | be promoted as sustainable          |
| • identification of BPL                       | day meals programmes                               | Gram Panchayat                           | livelihood activity; and third, the |
| families                                      | day means programmes                               |  | adaptation strategy for fisheries   |

| Aim and Objectives of the Institution | Programmes and Schemes for Fish<br>Farmers/ Fishery development/ | Institutional Structure  | Stakes in the Proposed project   |  |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
|                                       | Climate change   |  |  |  |
|                                       |  | Panchayat Secretary is the nodal person for<br>the implementation of schemes of the<br>department of rural development | will enable it to make changes in<br>the operational guidelines off<br>the sub scheme and explore<br>similar processes for other<br>climate dependent livelihoods. |  |
| Recommended Strategy                  |  |  |  |  |
| The project should actively e         | engage with Department of Rural Develop                          | oment as it will find support from the department  | nt in its implementation. The  |  |

technical changes that are undertaken by the project can be used to make recommendations for similar changes in the guidelines for the implementation of the schemes of the department. The department should be represented as a permanent member in the Steering Committee at the state level.

At the district level the CEO of the district and the block where the project is being implemented should be members of the District Support Group as it will ensure that there is no duplication in implementation in the villages where the project is being implemented.

| Directorate of Panchayat   |  |  |                                     |
|--|--|--|-------------------------------------|
| Aim  | • implementation of rules that enable        | State  | Directorate of Panchayat does       |
| implementation of  | the fish farmer to get lease from            | Secretary Panchayat                              | not have direct stakes in the       |
| Panchayat Act in the state   | Gram Panchayat                               | Commissioner Panchayat                           | implementation of the project.      |
|  | ,  | District   | It however plays a critical role in |
| Objective  |  | Zila Panchayat Chief Executive Officer           | ensuring that the fish farmers      |
| • elections of Panchayat   |  | Block  | are able to secure their lease      |
| representatives  |  | Janpad Panchayat Chief Executive Officer         | over the pond within the            |
| • training of Panchavat  |  | Panchayat  | jurisdiction of the Gram            |
| representatives  |  | Gram Panchayat Secretary                         | Panchayat.                          |
| • development of rules   |  |  |                                     |
| and recommendation   |  |  |                                     |
| for Finance  |  |  |                                     |
| Commission for   |  |  |                                     |
| devolution of funds to   |  |  |                                     |
| Panchayats   |  |  |                                     |
| Recommended Strategy   |  |  |                                     |
| The project needs to engage  | with the DoP officials at the district and I | block level. The CEOs of the district and the bl | ock should be made the members      |
| of the District Support Group to facilitate project related processes within the block and the district. |  |  |                                     |

| Aim and Objectives of<br>the Institution  | Programmes and Schemes for Fish<br>Farmers/ Fishery development/<br>Climate change   | Institutional Structure  | Stakes in the Proposed<br>project  |
|---|--|--|--|
|   |  |  |  |
| Department of Forest  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Aim Protect and conserve forest  resource through  sustainable forest management </li> <li>Objectives: <ul> <li>maintain and enhance </li> <li>forest productivity and </li> <li>biodiversity for </li> <li>ecosystem health</li> </ul> </li> <li>conserve soil and water <ul> <li>resources for ecological </li> <li>and environmental </li> <li>stability</li> </ul> </li> <li>meet the requirements of <ul> <li>forest produce </li> <li>particularly those </li> <li>dependent on forest</li> </ul> </li> <li>socio economic <ul> <li>development of villages </li> <li>in and around forest </li> </ul> </li> </ul> | <ul> <li>small ponds less than 1 hac can be constructed on forest land on the recommendation of the Gram Sabha</li> <li>department is implementing CDM and REDD+ projects in selected areas of the state for carbon sequestration</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>State Headed by Principal Secretary and Principal Chief Conservator of Forest </li> <li>Circle (16) Chief Conservator Forest Divisional Forest Officer heads the division in forest area. Range (473) Ranger is in charge of a range. Beat (8286) Each beat is headed by a Beat Guard</li></ul> | In case the pond for Fisheries is<br>located or is proposed to be<br>located on forest land it requires<br>permission of the Forest<br>Department for access and use.<br>The use of pond on forest land<br>will be guided by Forest<br>Conservation Act and will have<br>to be necessarily involve the<br>Joint Forest Management<br>Committee of the concerned<br>village(s).<br>Proposed project aims at<br>construction of or working with<br>ponds more than 1 hac in size<br>that is not likely to gain support<br>from the Department. The<br>department draws its power<br>from the Forest Conservation<br>Act that empowers it to prohibit<br>construction and use pond for<br>fishing purposes. The<br>department has used the<br>provisions of the act for<br>controlling or restricting access<br>to pond for fishing purposes. |

## Recommended Strategy

Project should not work in Forest area. At the time of finalizing the site for the pond the project should find out whether the proposed site is in forest area. In case it is found to be in area belonging to the Forest department the site should be dropped and alternative site be identified.

| Aim and Objectives of the Institution | Programmes and Schemes for Fish<br>Farmers/ Fishery development/ | Institutional Structure                            | Stakes in the Proposed project     |
|---------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
|                                       | Climate change   |  |                                    |
|                                       |  |  |                                    |
| Department of Revenue                 |  | 1  | 1                                  |
| Aim                                   | • No programmes for fisheries or                                 | State  | The department does not have       |
| Implementation of land                | tish tarmers   | Division (10)                                      | direct stakes in fisheries or fish |
| of land records and                   |  | Commissioner                                       | as it has endorsed that the        |
| collection of land revenue            |  | District (51)                                      | proposed site falls within the     |
|                                       |  | District Collector                                 | jurisdiction and control of        |
|                                       |  | Tehsil (272)                                       | Gram Panchayat.                    |
| Objectives                            |  | Tehsildar  |                                    |
| • land records and transfer           |  | Village (11622)                                    | The administration of nistar is    |
| of land use                           |  | Patwari  | the responsibility of the          |
| • record of rain and                  |  |  | department where the pond site     |
| temperature                           |  |  | located                            |
| • provision of disaster               |  |  | located.                           |
| relief                                |  |  |                                    |
| • land reforms                        |  |  |                                    |
| • administration of nistar            |  |  |                                    |
| Recommended Strategy                  |  |  |                                    |
| The department should be a            | tively involved at the district level. The I                     | District Collector be made member of the Distric   | ct Support Group. As the           |
| administrative head of the di         | strict he should be made the Chairperson                         | of the District Support Group as it will allow the | ne project to seek cooperation and |
| collaboration from other dep          | partments and gain easy access to other de                       | epartment officials.                               | 1 / 1                              |
|                                       |  | -  |                                    |
| Department of Mineral Re              | sources  | T  | 1                                  |
| Aim:                                  | • No programmes for fish farmers or                              | State  | Mining department does not         |
| survey, exploration and               | fisheries  | Principal Secretary and Director, Directorate      | have direct stakes in fisheries.   |
| exploitation of all minerals          |  | of Geology and Mining<br><b>B</b> egion (1)        | involved if the site of the pand   |
| Mines and Minerals                    |  | Regional Officers for the region                   | is located in and around the       |
| (Development and                      |  | District (48)                                      | mining area or belongs to an       |
| Regulation) Act                       |  | District Officers                                  | area that is being explored for    |
| Objectives:                           |  |  | mining purposes.                   |

| Aim and Objectives of<br>the Institution   | Programmes and Schemes for Fish<br>Farmers/ Fishery development/<br>Climate change  | Institutional Structure  | Stakes in the Proposed project  |
|--|---|--|---|
| <ul> <li>search and explorations<br/>of minerals in the state</li> <li>increase revenue through<br/>production of minerals<br/>and scientific<br/>development</li> <li>promotion of mineral<br/>based industries</li> <li>Recommended Strategy<br/>The project should consult th<br/>department's activities.</li> </ul> | ne District Officer of the department befo  | ore finalizing the site to ensure that there are no  | possibilities of conflict with the  |
| Department of Cooperation  | n   |  |   |
| <ul> <li>Aim Using cooperation as the mechanism for organizing the weaker sections to ensure their social and economic development Objectives • provide guidance and technical support • assist backward sections and women to gain economic enhancement and social equality</li> </ul>                                  | <ul> <li>Registration of cooperative societies</li> <li>Audit and inspection of the cooperative societies</li> <li>Elections to cooperative societies</li> <li>Enabling provisions for the fish farmers cooperatives to receive loans and subsidy</li> <li>3125 fish farmer cooperatives registered in the state with the department</li> </ul> | State<br>Principal Secretary<br>Commissioner Cooperative and Registrar<br>Cooperative Societies<br><b>Division</b><br>Joint Commissioner and Registrar<br><b>District</b><br>Deputy/Assistant Commissioner | The department does not have<br>direct stakes in the project. As<br>such it is neutral to the project<br>activities and benefits. The<br>department however has the<br>role in the formation of<br>cooperative societies, if the<br>project beneficiaries intend to<br>do so. |
| <b>Recommended Strategy</b><br>The project needs to engage<br>Cooperative Society. A proce   | with the department at the district level in<br>ess of consultation with the department ca  | n case any of the beneficiary (or beneficiary grou<br>an take on a need basis.   | ap) intends to form Fish Farmer's   |
| Department of Water Reso   | urces   |  |   |
| <b>Aim</b><br>Creation and maintenance<br>of irrigation potential  | <ul> <li>Responsible for framing of State<br/>Water Policy</li> <li>Catchment treatment plans of<br/>irrigation projects</li> </ul>   | State<br>Principal Secretary and Engineer-in-Chief<br>Circle<br>Superintended Engineer   | The involvement of the<br>department is in terms of<br>formulation of water policy for<br>the state. As such the  |

| Aim and Objectives of the Institution   | Programmes and Schemes for Fish<br>Farmers / Fishery development /   | Institutional Structure  | Stakes in the Proposed  |
|---|--|--|---|
| the montation   | Climate change   |  | project   |
| <ul> <li>through construction of water resources projects</li> <li><b>Objectives</b></li> <li>protect the rights of the state in sharing of water for interstate river basins</li> <li>calamity management in the form of construction and maintenance of flood control works</li> <li>maintenance and regulation of major, medium and minor</li> </ul> |  | Division (137)<br>Executive Engineer<br>Sub Division (587)<br>Assistant Engineers  | department does not have<br>stakes in the process of<br>implementation, benefits or the<br>target beneficiary of the project.   |
| Recommended Strategy<br>The project can engage with<br>Steering Group for meetings<br>Environmental and Pollut  | the department at the state level during it<br>with specific agenda that have implicatio   | ts advocacy initiatives. The department can be a<br>ons for state Water Policy.  | Special Invitee member to the   |
| Established by Department<br>of Housing and<br>Environment as an<br>autonomous unit<br><b>Aim</b><br>Assist and advice the state<br>government on<br>environment related<br>matters<br><b>Objectives</b><br>• situation analysis report<br>on the state of<br>environment along with<br>relevant data base  | <ul> <li>State Knowledge Management<br/>Centre on Climate Change as<br/>EPCO has been designated as the<br/>state nodal agency for addressing<br/>climate change issues</li> <li>Prepared State Action Plan on<br/>Climate Change</li> </ul> | State<br>Governing Council<br>Under the Ministry of Housing and<br>Environment<br>Director General as the head of EPCO<br>with Executive Director as full time<br>executive head | <ul> <li>EPCO is a primary stakeholder<br/>in the processes and outcomes<br/>of the project. The SKM on<br/>Climate Change is interested to<br/>know about the adaptation<br/>strategies and how it can be<br/>integrated in the<br/>implementation of SAPCC in<br/>the state.</li> <li>EPCO is an ardent supporter of<br/>the project and will support the<br/>project in identifying policy<br/>level issues and also in creating<br/>opportunities of taking them<br/>forward in the state.</li> </ul> |

| Aim and Objectives of<br>the Institution  | Programmes and Schemes for Fish<br>Farmers/ Fishery development/<br>Climate change  | Institutional Structure                     | Stakes in the Proposed project  |
|---|---|---|---|
| <ul> <li>study of specific<br/>environmental problems<br/>and exploring feasible<br/>solutions</li> <li>environment research<br/>and coordination of<br/>environment related<br/>activities</li> </ul>  |   |   |   |
| Recommended Strategy  | and active engagement with EDCO. The  | peoper should be made a permanent member of | the Steering Group  |
| Central Institute of Freshy   | vater Aquaculture   | igency should be made a permanent member of | the Steering Group.   |
| <ul> <li>Aim Development of  sustainable and diversified  freshwater aquaculture  practices for enhanced  productivity, quality, water  use efficiency and farm  income  Objectives  <ul> <li>conduct basic, strategic </li> <li>and applied research in </li> <li>freshwater aquaculture </li> <li>enhance production </li> <li>efficiencies through </li> <li>biotechnological tools </li> <li>study diversification of </li> <li>aquaculture practices </li> <li>Training and </li> <li>consultancy services </li> </ul></li></ul> | <ul> <li>training of fish farmers</li> <li>technology development and technological products for fish farmers</li> <li>handholding and mentoring support to fish farmers</li> </ul> | Bhubaneshwar<br>Director                    | CIFA has direct stakes in the<br>implementation of the project.<br>So far they have not undertaken<br>any study on the impact of<br>climate change on fisheries and<br>possible adaptation strategies.<br>CIFA is an ardent supporter of<br>the project and has the technical<br>where withal to add value to<br>project inputs and processes.<br>CIFA can be a strategic partner<br>in supporting the project in<br>policy analysis and development<br>and in bringing the experiences<br>and learning from the project to<br>an operational level in the<br>government. |

| Aim and Objectives of the Institution  | Programmes and Schemes for Fish   | Institutional Structure                       | Stakes in the Proposed  |
|--|---|---|---|
| the montation  | Climate change  |   | project   |
| Recommended Strategies<br>Project should have active er<br>National Fisheries Develop<br>Aim   | ngagement with CIFA. The Institute shou<br>pment Board<br>• Reservoirs for fisheries  | ld be made a permanent member of the Steering | g Committee at the state level.   |
| <ul> <li>Realize the untapped<br/>potential of fisheries sector<br/>in inland and marine<br/>capture, culture, processing<br/>and marketing of fish</li> <li><b>Objectives</b></li> <li>increasing fish<br/>production in the<br/>country</li> <li>provide employment by<br/>extending assistance for<br/>implementation of<br/>activities under the<br/>fisheries sector</li> <li>platform for public<br/>private partnership in<br/>fisheries</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>development</li> <li>Intensive aquaculture in ponds and tanks</li> <li>Hygienic development of wholesale and retail markets</li> <li>Training of fishermen and fish farmers</li> </ul> | Chief Executive                               | proposed project's processes<br>and outcomes. There is a<br>mutuality of objectives between<br>the NFDBs objectives and the<br>project.<br>Impact of climate change on<br>fisheries and development of<br>adaptation strategies for<br>freshwater aquaculture is an area<br>of interest to the Board.<br>The Board can contribute in<br>providing technical inputs,<br>capacity building measures, and<br>linking target beneficiary with<br>their schemes. |
| Project should actively engage   | e with the Board. The NFDB should be  | made a permanent member of the Steering Com   | mittee at the state level.  |

#### 3. Commercial Enterprises

| Profile of the        | Stage of value   | Description on how are the stakeholder affected by the               | Possible actions to address              |
|-----------------------|------------------|--|--|
| stakeholder           | chain where      | project  | stakeholder's interests                  |
|                       | the stakeholder  |  |  |
|                       | will be affected |  |  |
| Commercial Banks      | Credit           | Commercial banks are by and large <b>neutral</b> towards the project | Action: engaging with commercial banks   |
| The commercial banks  |                  | as they do not have the experience of providing funding for          | at the local level through the Lead Bank |
| that also include the |                  | fishing on a small scale. Most funding from banks falls within the   | Manager of the district to make them     |

| Profile of the stakeholder  | Stage of value chain where          | Description on how are the stakeholder affected by the project  | Possible actions to address stakeholder's interests   |
|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
|   | the stakeholder<br>will be affected |   |   |
| cooperative and regional rural banks.   |                                     | subsidy for fish farmers and hence the bankers do not view<br>fisheries as a profitable venture for their purpose.  | informed and aware of the bankability of small pond fisheries.  |
| <b>Government Fish Seed</b><br><b>supplier</b><br>Government has its own<br>hatchery and fish farm<br>from which it supplies fish<br>seed to the fish farmers and<br>their groups | Fish seed                           | Fish seed supplier will <b>support</b> the project as it implies increased<br>market for its fish seed.<br>The government hatchery declares the rate at which the seed will<br>be supplied before the beginning of the season. The seed<br>supplied includes for the fish species that has been approved for<br>the district. | Action: engage with the seed supplier on<br>ensuring timely supply of the preferred<br>species by the fish farmers.<br>In case the project develops a new protocol<br>for introduction of fish seed in terms of new<br>species or difference in timing and quantity<br>of fish as part of adaptation strategy the<br>suppliers will have to be informed and even<br>trained in the new protocol.  |
| PrivateFishSeedSupplierPrivatefishseedsuppliersexistinDhardistrict.   | Fish Seed                           | <ul><li>Fish seed supplier will <b>support</b> the project as it implies increased market for its fish seed.</li><li>Fish Farmers have to negotiate the price of fish seed with the suppliers and have to place an advance order so as to receive the seeds on time.</li></ul>  | Action: engage with seed supplier to gain<br>timely and quality seed of the preferred<br>species by the fish farmer.<br>In case the project develops a new protocol<br>for introduction of fish seed in terms of new<br>species or difference in timing and quantity<br>of fish as part of adaptation strategy the<br>suppliers will have to be informed and even<br>trained in the new protocol. |
| Feed Supplier<br>(Government)<br>Fish feed suppliers by<br>government is subsidized<br>and is available to fish<br>farmer groups.   | Fish Feed                           | Government fish feed supplier will <b>support</b> the project as it will<br>imply increased market for its product.<br>Regular contact with the government fish feed supplier will<br>enable the fish farmer to take advantage of the introduction of<br>feed to their pond in time.  | Action: engage with the feed supplier to<br>get quality feed for the fish farmer.   |
| Traditional fisher families<br>and traders in large towns<br>sell the fishing tools and<br>equipment.   | and Equipment                       | implies increased demand for their product.   | ACTON: engage with net producers and<br>suppliers in getting quality nets to the fish<br>farmers.   |

| Profile of the stakeholder | Stage of value<br>chain where<br>the stakeholder | Description on how are the stakeholder affected by the project     | Possible actions to address stakeholder's interests |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
|                            | will be affected                                 |  |   |
|                            |  |  |   |
| Boat supplier              | Fishing Tools                                    | Boat makers and repairers will find an increased demand for their  | Action: engage with boat makers and                 |
| Boat is supplied on order  | and Equipment                                    | product and hence will support the implementation of the           | suppliers to get quality product for the fish       |
| placed with the maker.     |  | project.   | farmers.  |
| Fish Trader                | Marketing and                                    | Fish Traders operate at a large scale. As such they are not likely | Action: Fish Farmers to operate                     |
| Fish traders operate from  | Sale   | to be threatened by the small scale fish farmers in local market.  | collectively and engage with Fish                   |
| nearby towns and they      |  | In fact these traders can provide a wider market for the fish      | traders to be able to tap in to other               |
| have their own supply      |  | farmers.   | markets.  |
| chain that extends to      |  |  |   |
| outside the state.         |  |  |   |

#### 4. Civil Society Organisations

| Profile of the stakeholder   | Stage of value   | Description on how are the stakeholder affected by the             | Possible actions to address              |
|------------------------------|------------------|--|--|
|                              | chain where the  | project  | stakeholder's interests                  |
|                              | stakeholder will |  |  |
|                              | be affected      |  |  |
| NGOs                         | All stages of    | NGOs in the project area are neutral to the fish farmers needs     | Action: The project should engage        |
| Civil Society Organisations  | value chain      | and have not looked in to the issue of climate change and          | with local NGOs so that they can be      |
| that are engaged in          |                  | fisheries at all. Their focus has been on migration and issues     | informed and sensitized on the issues    |
| livelihood enhancement       |                  | related to agriculture.  | related to fish farmers and fisheries.   |
| activities and on issues of  |                  |  | Workshops with the local ngos should     |
| climate change               |                  |  | be planned as part of upscaling strategy |
|                              |                  |  | of the project.                          |
| Academic Institutions        | All stages of    | Academic institutions from the project districts have neither      | Action: presentations on the             |
| This includes institutions   | value chain      | identified nor explored identified the issue of fish farmers,      | experiences and findings of the project  |
| engaged in studies           |                  | traditional fishermen or fisheries and climate change. Even at     | should be shared with academic           |
| &research related activities |                  | the state level there is no study that has been conducted on the   | institutions so that they initiate a     |
| in government or non         |                  | state and status of fisheries and climate change in the state. The | process of systematic inquiry on the     |
|                              |                  | academic institutions are neutral towards the project.             | issue.                                   |

| Profile of the stakeholder | Stage of value   | Description on how are the stakeholder affected by the              | Possible actions to address          |
|----------------------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
|                            | chain where the  | project   | stakeholder's interests              |
|                            | stakeholder will |   |                                      |
|                            | be affected      |   |                                      |
| government sector          |                  |   |                                      |
| including KVKs.            |                  |   |                                      |
| Media                      | All stages of    | The media in the project district and at the state level is largely | Action: media visit to the project   |
| Media includes persons     | value chain      | unaware of the issues related to fisheries and fishermen. The       | area and their interaction with      |
| engaged in print and       |                  | issue of climate change has been dealt largely at the level of      | the fish farmers can be facilitated  |
| electronic media.          |                  | climatic changes and only in case of extreme weather events.        | by the project to increase media     |
|                            |                  |   | visibility in the issue of fisheries |
|                            |                  |   | and fishermen in the region.         |

# Annexure 5 Technical Plan

#### I. Introduction

#### 1.1 Context

Of the total 120 million people directly dependent on commercial capture fisheries globally, 97 per cent live in developing countries; 92 percent of them are involved in the small-scale fisheries sector. About 48% of people in the small-scale fisheries work in inland waters (lakes, rivers, wetlands), and 47 percent of them are women, mainly engaged in the post-harvest activities. In some cases, the unrecorded subsistence fish production is greater than the officially recorded production, particularly in inland waters and for dispersed coastal communities (FAO; 2009, World Bank; 2010)

India ranks second in the global inland fish production and contribute 4.8% of total global fish production and nearly 9.75% of total global inland fishery. The fisheries sector is a source of livelihood for over 14.49 million people engaged fully, partially or in subsidiary activities pertaining to the sector. Besides, an equal number are engaged in ancillary activities in fisheries and aquaculture. The sector contributes to about 1.04% of the Gross Domestic Product of the country amounting to Rs.356.5billion during 2007-08 (Govt. of India, 2008). The annual fish production in India goes to over 7.6 million ton during 2008-09, from around 0.75 Million Ton in year 1950-1951. The contribution of inland fishery sector to total fish production has increased from 0.218 MT (29%) during 1950-51 to 4.6 MT (56%) in 2008-09.

#### 1.2 Productivity Gap

The water spread area under 'tanks and ponds' of about 2.414 million ha (Govt. of India,2008) offer immense potential for increase in fish production, but uncertainty of production, irregular rainfall, denial of rights of production system, exploitation by contractors and money lenders brings difficulties (Allison, 2009).

| Water bodies        | Present productivity | Potential yield status with scientific |
|---------------------|----------------------|--|
|                     | (kg/ha/year)         | management(kg/ha/year)                 |
| Small reservoirs    | 50-100               | 250-300                                |
| Floodplain wetlands | 250                  | 1500-2000                              |
| Tanks               | 300-500              | 2000-4000                              |
| Ponds               | 400-600              | 3000-5000                              |

#### Table1 Productivity gap in different water bodies

Source: Sub-Group-II Report anchored by CRIDA for the Agriculture production system (12 V year plan).

The gap between present and potential productivity is almost 5 to 7 times (Table 1). Such productivity gap existing over a potential water spread area of 1.23 million ha under small reservoirs across the country indicates the potential for fisheries in small water bodies, many of which are located in the rainfed areas. This potential still has to be explored.

#### 1.3 Area Profile

The basic characteristics that affect conduct of fisheries in the three districts are as follows:

| District  | Avg.     | Avg.    | Avg. fish  | Fish seed       | Ownership | Extension     |
|-----------|----------|---------|------------|-----------------|-----------|---------------|
|           | rainfall | Temp    | production | availability    | pattern   | system/       |
|           | (mm)     | (°C)    | (kg/hac)   |                 |           | Centre        |
| Dhar      | 900      | Max- 45 | 200        | Private:        | Mostly    | Department of |
|           |          | Min-12  |            | Sundrail, Dhar, | common    | Fisheries     |
|           |          |         |            | Hoshagabad&     | resources |               |
|           |          |         |            | Bhopal          |           |               |
|           |          |         |            | Govt:           |           |               |
|           |          |         |            | DoFisheries,    |           |               |
|           |          |         |            | Jhabua          |           |               |
| Jhabua    | 900      | Max- 45 | 200        | Private:        | Mostly    | Department of |
|           |          | Min-12  |            | Sundrail, Dhar, | common    | Fisheries     |
|           |          |         |            | Govt:           | resources |               |
|           |          |         |            | DoFisheries,    |           |               |
|           |          |         |            | Jhabua          |           |               |
| Alirajpur | 900      | Max- 45 | 200        | Private:        | Mostly    | Department of |
|           |          | Min-12  |            | Sundrail, Dhar, | common    | Fisheries     |
|           |          |         |            | Govt:           | resources |               |
|           |          |         |            | DoFisheries,    |           |               |
|           |          |         |            | Jhabua          |           |               |

#### 1.4 Constraints in Small Pond Fisheries

The analysis identifies the following to be the major constraining factors for strengthening inland fisheries in small water bodies:

- Inter and intra year variability in filling / repeat filling of the small water bodies: while variability in intra-season filling increases risk, inter-year variability constrains continuous production/ dependence on fisheries (as the activity cannot be taken up in some years)
- High intensity storms necessitating modification in the structural design of the tanks to secure fish and the water body.
- Low levels of development of tank/ reservoir bed area infestation of weeds, improper landscaping imposes serious restrictions on harvesting.
- High mortality in fish seed due to uncontrolled conditions and predation necessitates rearing of fish seed to an advanced fingerling stage, this in turn requires an elaborate fish-nursery systems
- Inflow of water from surrounding catchments: while nutrient load in water may be beneficial, the farm-chemical pollution load threatens the fish culture
- The nutritional practices need to be optimized as there will be substantial in situ natural nutrition available
- Multiple uses / claims on the water bodies competition between fish and agriculture production necessitate sound conflict management institutional systems

- Non availability of technical options for improved fish production and lack of extension support
- Need to maintain biological diversity of fish and a balance between natural species and cultured carps
- Ownership of the water bodies is in the nature of common property increases transaction costs, particularly in protection. Unclear property/ usufruct rights deter flow of private investments in to fisheries
- Need for public investments in developing institutional capacities and fishery infrastructure as private investments are hard to come by in the common property regimes (Gucinski H.; Lackey RT, 1997)

#### II. Scope of Technical Plan

#### 2.1 Climate Variability and Impact of Fisheries

Improving fish production and productivity in the rain fed water bodies is however, a challenging task. Pronounced seasonality of water storage, high vulnerability to climate variability, higher risk, multiple uses of the water bodies, lack of infrastructure, limited fish seed production and supply system, lack of appropriate production technologies etc. are the major constraints in production system.

Changes in air and water temperatures, precipitation, salinity, nutrient levels, and other physical drivers affect fisheries through many pathways; both direct and indirect. Presently, infrequent rain fall is affecting maturity period of brood fish, early maturity of fish is also resulting in less time availability for breeding season and early absorption of eggs thereby resulting in lower fish seed production.

Higher temperature is resulting in evaporation losses which in turn reduce the time period available for growing of cultured and wild fish in ponds and tanks. The fish production system needs to be calibrated to the local time-trends in (repeat) filling of water bodies, changes in water spread area, changes in temperature, changes in nutrition etc.

The main climate change adaptation areas lie in evolving appropriate fish-nursery systems that make the best use of seasonality of water spread coupled with staggered harvesting. In a rainfed situation, this also needs to take into account dependable water sources over a cluster of water bodies as integral to systems.

It is difficult to estimate or predict the broader or aggregate effects of climate change at local and national level. To date, global and regional climate vulnerability assessments have focused on agricultural production; fisheries in rainfed areas have not yet been systematically evaluated.

Efforts are also required to reduce people's vulnerability to these impacts by identifying appropriate adaptation strategies; and to build local, national and regional capacity to implement adaptation and mitigation strategies for fisheries and aquaculture by informing policy processes. The vulnerability of

fisheries and fishing communities depends on their exposure and sensitivity to change, but also on the ability of individuals or systems to anticipate and adapt.

Building adaptive capacity is a necessary response, both for situations where climate change may bring improved fishing opportunities and for those where detrimental impacts are foreseen.

#### **III. Approach and Technological Options**

#### 3.1 Approach

The project will adopt and adapt participatory technology development methods of action research wherein the community will be partners in the development of technological options and in related choices/ decision making.

The scope of technological options comprise of:

- Characterizing the natural resources base with respect to :
  - *Water bodies*: catchments, rainfall patterns, flow characteristics, water spread, dead storage, design of the water body in particular sluice and spill ways etc. This will also look into patterns of changes over time.
  - *Water*: physical, chemical and biological properties of water including temperature, chemical loads, salinity, nutrient availability, floral and faunal characteristics etc.
  - o Fish Species: local biological diversity in fish and their production traits, predator complex
  - Institutional systems: dependence of various communities and their primary and secondary stakes, institutional norms, social and cultural links, conflicts, nature of conflicts and their resolution etc.
- In view of the assessment made, evolve through action research appropriate adaptation strategies, scientific management protocols and technical options,
- Develop appropriate extension protocols, convergent processes and administrative mechanisms for evolving local, regional and national capacity to implement adaptation and mitigation strategies for inland fisheries and to inform policy.
- Support and add value to existing system by providing low cost technology of fish seed production and fish culture, so that they become self sustainable in fish seed production and take part in growing table size fish to secure livelihood and ensure food and nutritional security.
- Support small-scale low-risk intervention that implies nursing fish seed, utilizing small seasonal water bodies for low-input aquaculture, fish netting teams, group-based aquaculture in perennial ponds (including women's groups, fish farmers groups, SHGs), and fish marketing to local *haats*.

#### 3.2 Technological Options

• Integrated Agriculture Aquaculture (IAA) is a viable diversification strategy for implementing risk hedging mechanism for small and marginal farmers on whose land farm pond is located. IAA

strategy will be piloted on lands of small and marginal farmers where ponds have been constructed from their own finds or any scheme o the government.

#### 3.2.2. Specific Climate Resilient Technological Options

#### (a) Physical Water Bodies

- Effective treatment of tank beds
- Deepening of tank beds/ increasing dead storage at specific location to enable easy harvesting
- Institutional capacities for management of water bodies (repairs and maintenance)
- Re-designing the sluice and spill ways in view of the potentially high storms due to climate change.
- Landscaping protocols for efficient fish production and accommodating various competing claims on water (washing, managing chemical pollutant loads, siltation etc.) and incorporating the requirements of *in situ* nurseries. Landscaping protocol is about management of water body for uses other than fisheries:
  - In small ponds of size less than 0.5 ha with clear ownership it is proposed to renovate them by making them rectangular with some dressing on bunds so that they can be used as rearing ponds.
  - In large ponds with areas more than 1 ha and where water is retained till November December it is proposed that bunds will be redesigned or renovated in such a way that floriculture cultivation can be taken up and flowers will be sold during festive season when prices are higher.
  - In large ponds with areas more than 1 ha and where water is retained till March .It is proposed that bunds will be used for horticulture [custard apple, lemon, papaya] as well as for vegetable cultivation. In western MP ponds are situated far away from dwellings and seldom do any family member made a daily visit. Putting bunds for use in horticulture and vegetables makes fish farmers or their family members visit the pond site at least once a day.
  - De-silting of common ponds and deepening of ponds through MGNREGA as it will increase their water capacity and these will in turn add to demand of seeds.
  - Catchment area treatment (if required)

#### (b) Fish Seed Production

- Testing advanced low cost fish-hatchery technologies developed by CIFA such as portable fibberglass reinforced (FRP) carp hatchery
- A system of advanced fingerling supply / stunted fish supply for realising production potential of seasonal water bodies.
- Options of cage nurseries for *in situ* nurseries
- Evolving optimal stocking and protocols for maintaining fish stock in tandem with available water levels
- Evolving and testing out viability of fish nurseries as business models serving a cluster of sites

• Developing a nursery network to support production adapted to local climatic conditions

#### (c) Feed Management

• Optimal combination of enhancing natural feed in the tanks and developing low cost feed with locally available materials. The environment around the proposed clusters is suited to the culture of Indian Major Carps and Common Carp in ponds rich in natural feeds, fuelled by the energy in sunlight and nutrients and supplementary feeds (agricultural by-products) supplied by farmers. This agriculture by products include Mahua oil cake, raw and compost cow dung, mustard oil cake, ground nut oil cake etc.

#### (d) Harvesting

• Evolving methods of **staggered harvesting** of low volume of fish that can be marketed in the local areas at higher prices by fish vendors. Staggered harvesting methods need to be fine tuned with stocking rates.

#### (e) Oxygenation

Artificial mechanized aerators require electricity the supply of which is erratic in rural MP; secondly ponds are situated far way so security is an issue; and thirdly, ponds are situated away from agriculture fields so getting a electricity connection itself a daunting task. The project alternatively proposes to go for the best management practices. It is proposed that farmers will be given training on package of practices so as not to increase load which will reduce chance of oxygen deficiency. Other than aeration, it is less costly to introduce concept of using oxygen tablets during cloudy days and summer time when mortality of fish occurs due to oxygen depletion.

#### (e) Institutional

- Establish rights and responsibilities on management and usufruct sharing both in excess and deficit rainfall seasons
- Establish institutional mechanisms for sharing of water among conflicting uses (irrigation and fisheries)
- Evolving convergence mechanisms among various programs and departments and of scientific establishments with such programs

#### IV. Implementation Plan

#### 4.1 Activity Plan

The proposed activity plan is as follows:

#### 0 to 6 months

| Activities  | Milestones   | Deliverables  | Monitoring   |
|---|--|---|--|
|   |  |   | Indicators   |
| <ul> <li>Evolving water<br/>bodies and fisheries<br/>characterization<br/>tools and methods &amp;<br/>field testing<br/>including mapping<br/>tools</li> <li>Multi stakeholder<br/>inception workshop</li> <li>Scouting for<br/>innovations from<br/>formal and informal<br/>sources and<br/>compilation</li> <li>Selection of tanks<br/>for detailed<br/>intervention</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Formalising relation<br/>with CIFA</li> <li>Development of<br/>field tested research<br/>methodology</li> <li>Inception workshop</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Project inception<br/>report with details of<br/>field tested protocols<br/>for characterization<br/>of in land fisheries in<br/>small water bodies.</li> <li>Proceedings of<br/>inception workshop</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>No of site selected</li> <li>No of village selected</li> <li>Monthly progress report</li> <li>Inception report</li> <li>No of tanks selected</li> </ul> |

# 7 to 12 month

| Activities  | Milestones  | Deliverables   | Monitoring   |
|---|---|--|--|
|   |   |  | Indicators   |
| <ul> <li>Developing location<br/>specific technical<br/>interventions<br/>through an interface<br/>of fisherfolk<br/>communities and<br/>researchers.</li> <li>Setting up data<br/>generation systems<br/>including field<br/>measurements.</li> <li>Community level<br/>situational analysis,<br/>orientation and<br/>capacity building<br/>programs- including<br/>exposure to best<br/>practices and<br/>innovative<br/>technologies.</li> <li>Establishing fish-<br/>nursery systems</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Completion of base characterization of fisheries</li> <li>Detailed plan of action in selected water bodies covering institutional and technical aspects.</li> <li>A draft technical manual incorporating appropriate practices for fisheries in rainfed water bodies duly synthesizing indigenous knowledge with scientific analysis.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Baseline<br/>characterization<br/>report</li> <li>Detailed<br/>participatory action<br/>plan for the selected<br/>water bodies<br/>including<br/>establishment of<br/>support systems like<br/>nurseries.</li> <li>The selected water<br/>bodies set up in all<br/>respects to initiate<br/>action-research.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Base line survey report</li> <li>Training need analysis report</li> <li>No of trainings conducted</li> <li>One hatchery in each of the three districts established</li> </ul> |

| Activities   | Milestones | Deliverables | Monitoring |
|--|------------|--------------|------------|
|  |            |              | Indicators |
| linked to cluster of water bodies.                   |            |              |            |
| • Setting up at least<br>one pilot hatchery          |            |              |            |
| • Assessment of<br>establishing low cost<br>hatchery |            |              |            |

# 13 to 18 month

| Activities  | Milestones   | Deliverables  | Monitoring  |
|---|--|---|---|
|   |  |   | Indicators  |
| <ul> <li>Piloting of suggested technical and management interventions as per the plan – i.e. implementation of action research.</li> <li>Compilation, verification and analysis of data</li> <li>Organising field days on action reflection learning sessions with the fisherfolk in the blocks.</li> <li>Selection of site for hatchery and detailed survey for feasibility</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Completion of one cycle of implementation.</li> <li>Locally accustomed Seed production and seed rearing practices are adopted by community</li> <li>Mid-term Reflection workshop with scientists and community</li> <li>Establishment of local fish-marketing networks</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Report on the analysis of first year's experiences with</li> <li>lessons learnt and research gaps</li> <li>Modified research plan for 2<sup>nd</sup> implementation</li> <li>Report on analysis of experiences in pilot phase</li> <li>Proceedings of the reflection workshop</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Visit to CIFA is completed</li> <li>Community learning process is set up and functioning</li> <li>Survey for establishing hatchery is completed</li> </ul> |

## 19 to 24 month

| Activities          | Milestones             | Deliverables       | Monitoring            |
|---------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|
|                     |                        |                    | Indicators            |
| • Participatory     | • Finalized manual for | • Report on        | • Draft impact report |
| assessment (along   | fish farmers in        | 'Technological and | is published          |
| with scientists and | rainfed area           | Institutional      |                       |
| community learning  |                        | Options' published |                       |

| Activities           | Milestones    |         | Deliverables |        | Monitoring |
|----------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|--------|------------|
|                      |               |         |              |        | Indicators |
| forum) and synthesis | • Preparation | of last | • Draft      | impact |            |
| of lessons learnt    | season        | action- | assessment   | report |            |
| • Preparation of     | research      |         |              | -      |            |
| 'Technological and   | implementati  | on plan |              |        |            |
| Institutional        | • Draft       | Impact  |              |        |            |
| Options' manual for  | assessment re | eport   |              |        |            |
| practitioners        |               | -       |              |        |            |

#### 25 to 30 month

| Activities  | Milestones  | Deliverables  | Monitoring                |
|---|---|---|---------------------------|
|   |   |   | Indicators                |
| <ul> <li>Refinement of research plan</li> <li>Strengthening community organization towards sustainability.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Analysis of collected data and sharing</li> <li>Smaller policy-consultations to synthesize the emerging experiences</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Lessons learnt<br/>report</li> <li>Draft policy and<br/>technical brief<br/>publication</li> </ul> | • Lesson learnt<br>report |
|   | into a policy brief.  |   |                           |

#### 31 to 36 month

| Activities  | Milestones  | Deliverables  | Monitoring  |
|---|---|---|---|
|   |   |   | Indicators  |
| <ul> <li>Exploring scope<br/>for scaling up the<br/>technical and<br/>institutional<br/>innovations in the<br/>districts</li> <li>Sharing learning<br/>of low cost<br/>hatchery</li> <li>National level<br/>dissemination<br/>efforts – through<br/>consultation<br/>meeting</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>National level<br/>Learning sharing<br/>workshop on climate<br/>resilient inland<br/>fisheries in water<br/>bodies in rainfed<br/>areas with key players</li> <li>Final impact<br/>assessment report</li> <li>Sharing of Climate<br/>resilient technologies<br/>and policy<br/>recommendation</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Proceedings of<br/>national<br/>workshop</li> <li>Final technical-<br/>institutional and<br/>Policy<br/>Framework for<br/>strengthening<br/>inland fisheries.</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>No of workshop</li> <li>Final report is published</li> </ul> |

# 4.2 Expected Outputs and Outcome

## Outputs:

• Sustained fish production with appropriate technological packages internalized into community institutions reaching a productivity level of about 600 kg/ha
- A detailed process manual on coping mechanism for reducing risk and vulnerability by diversifying into fish seed production, nursing, dug out pond culture, IAA for improving productivity of rainfed fisheries.
- A technical manual with an array of technical, institutional and management options with case examples and necessary illustrations.
- Technical and management practices to enhance fish production and utilize productivity of water bodies will be developed and disseminated to all the rainfed area production support system

#### Outcomes

• A policy framework on 'Climate Change Resilient inland Fisheries in Rainfed Areas' evolved through integrating scientific production technologies, appropriate community institutional systems and management practices .

## Annexure 6 Business Development and Market Analysis

#### I. Introduction

Fishing is one of the important livelihood options in the western tribal districts of Madhya Pradesh. Madhya Pradesh is full of ponds, lakes, rivers and other types of water bodies that make the local people to take up fishing both for consumption and livelihood.

There are four major types of fishing activities found in this part of the country:

- (i) fishing as commercial activity in ponds/lakes,
- (ii) fishing as semi-commercial activity in ponds/lakes,
- (iii) fish hunting for consumption purpose in ponds/lakes,
- (iv) fishing as semi-commercial activity in rivers and rivulets.

The major communities involved in fishing here are the Bhils and Bhilalas (tribal community), Bhois, Kahars and the Dhimars (traditional fisher folks). Fish hunting is primarily done by tribals in the natural water bodies with no private or semi-private ownership. Commercial fishing is done by othercommunities either in private water bodies or in water bodies on lease from government.

Commercial fishing is profitable; but fish farmers that are engaged in semi-commercial variety take to fisheries to complement their main livelihood activity that is agriculture. In recent decades, climatic changes have made it difficult to get a good catch for a longer period year after year. The pattern of rainfall has changed and it has adversely affected the fish population and in turn, their earning. This has enhanced risks associated with fishing and fisheries, especially undertaken by small pond fishers have become a risky proposition in recent times.

However, if the problem areas are mapped properly and reasons are specified, adaptive measures can be taken up which will help the fishermen come over the risk factor and their livelihoodsecurity can be enhanced. To make the fishermen adapt better to the climate change adversities, a number of measures need to be taken; this project aims at the same.

#### II. Objectives, Scope, Methodology

#### 2.1 Objectives

The objective of the project is to enhance the adaptive capacity of fish farmers to ensure their livelihood security in the agro-climatic zone of Jhabua hills comprising the districts of Jhabua, Alirajpur and Dhar. Activities will include the construction of 75 fish farming tanks / ponds and the related development of an insurance product to support the modifications to the technical design of original tanks, the diversification of fish species and the development of adaptive fish farming systems, building capacities of fish farmers to enhance their productivity and enhance access to market and finally to develop and disseminate evidence based resilient climate change adaptation strategies for inland fisheries for small pond fish farmers.

The project presents four specific components:

- **Component 1:** Adaptive measures to address rainfall variability;
- **Component 2:** Adaptive measures to address warmer climatic regime;
- **Component 3:** Building resilience for climate adaptation;
- **Component 4:** Knowledge generation and management.

#### 2.2 Scope of Study

The scope of the present Market Study and Analysis study is as follows:

- Look at the value chain of fishery in the locality- with a historical perspective to understand the climate related variations
- Identify gaps/ constraints/ limitations in the value chain (to focus on both the back end and the front end or only the front end?)
- Find out vulnerability of various groups, especially women and prepare strategy to reduce such vulnerability
- Find out the total potential of fish harvest per year and the long term assessment in order to understand the sustainability factors
- Study the current marketing practices
- Analyze the demand-supply gap in the local and adjacent bigger markets
- Devise a suitable marketing strategy to ensure better deals (price, value and terms of trade) for the fishermen
- Find out the infrastructural needs of the project
- Find out the need and types of institutional mechanism
- Prepare a Capacity Building Plan
- Prepare a business plan for a hatchery
- Prepare a business plan for a pond (as a fishing unit/ business)
- Study and Design a model for providing financial services to the fishermen in terms of:
  - Saving-credit functions
  - Insurance products
  - o Investment options
- Devise the working modalities of the project implementation (defining roles and responsibilities of various stakeholders and partners)

#### 2.3 Methodology

The methodology adopted for the study included:

- i. Focused Group Discussion (FGD) with the fishermen/women for assessing the socio economic profile, impact and opportunity at the village level. A detailed discussion guide has been prepared for this.
- ii. In-depth Interviews of the Key Informants (at least 2 in each district; i.e. 6 in total)

- iii. **Interviews market players**: Discussion guides were prepared for Local Traders and Traders in away markets.
- iv. Interviews with Government officials and bank officers
- v. Hatchery visits (two- one government; one private)
- vi. Fishing unit (ponds/ tanks) visits

#### 2.4 Works done during field visits

The details of the works done during the field study are presented in the table below:

| S. No. | Date     | Place                     | District  | Activity   |
|--------|----------|---------------------------|-----------|--|
| 1      | 05-05-14 | Megh Nagar                | Jhabua    | Fish Market Visit, Discussion with traders and Analysis of market volume.  |
| 2      | 05-05-14 | Jhabua                    | Jhabua    | Meeting with Assistant director Fisheries.   |
| 3      | 06-05-14 | Narvali                   | Jhabua    | FGD in Narvali village with local fishermen (Tribals)  |
| 4      | 06-05-14 | Maud Sagar<br>Dam         | Jhabua    | Visit to Fisheries department's hatchery<br>at Maudsagar dam.  |
|        |          |                           |           | Hatchery at there.   |
| 5      | 07-05-14 | Borkudia                  | Alirajpur | Conducted FGD with Fishermen.<br>Personal Interviews of fishermen are taken.   |
| 6      | 07-05-14 | Bhabhra<br>(CSAzadNagar)  | Alirajpur | Fish Market Visit, Discussion with traders<br>and Analysis of market volume.   |
| 7      | 07-05-14 | Ranapur                   | Jhabua    | Fish Market Visit, Discussion with traders<br>and Analysis of market volume.   |
| 8      | 08-05-14 | Dhamoi Dam                | Jhabua    | FGD with local fishermen. Visit of dam to<br>see the live process of fishing.<br>Personal Interviews of some fishermen |
| 9      | 08-05-14 | Para Haat<br>Market       | Jhabua    | Haat market visit of Para, discussions held with Fish traders.   |
| 10     | 08-05-14 | Gulabpura Dam             | Jhabua    | Personal interviews of fishermen.  |
| 11     | 08-05-14 | Jhabua                    | Jhabua    | Meeting with Key fishermen who takes<br>pond on lease and supply the fishes to<br>traders.                             |
| 12     | 09-05-14 | JhabuaHaat<br>Market      | Jhabua    | Haat market visit in JhabuaHaat market,<br>Discussions held with some fishing traders.                                 |
| 13     | 09-05-14 | Bank of Baroda,<br>Jhabua | Jhabua    | Meeting with LDM Jhabua, regarding the financial product available for fishing.  |
| 14     | 10-05-14 | Sundrel                   | Dhar      | Visited the Hatchery unit in Sundrel village<br>and understand the entire process of<br>Hatchery.                      |

| 15 | 10-05-14 | Kunda Dam        | Dhar               | Had a discussion with Fishermen from<br>Maharashtra who comes here to catch the<br>fishes. |
|----|----------|------------------|--------------------|--|
| 16 | 11-05-14 | Kalghat          | Dhar               | FGD conducted with fishermen. This fisherman catches the fishes from Narmada River.        |
| 17 | 11-05-14 | Kunda Dam        | Dhar               | FGD Conducted with the members of Fishermen Institution.                                   |
|    |          |                  |                    | Observed entire live process of fishing.   |
|    |          |                  |                    | Discussion with traders who were at dam to purchase the fishes.                            |
| 18 | 11-05-14 | Dharampuri       | Dhar               | Visited the ornamental fishing unit.   |
| 19 | 11-05-14 | DharHaat         | Dhar               | DharHaat market visit.   |
|    |          | Market           |                    | Discussions held with fishing traders.   |
| 20 | 11-05-14 | Indore market    | Indore             | Discussions with fish traders  |
| 21 | 2-6-14   | Dahod market     | Dahod<br>(Gujarat) | Discussions with traders and retailers   |
| 22 | 3-6-14   | Jhabua market    | Jhabua             | Discussions with traders and retailers   |
| 23 | 3-6-14   | Alirajpur market | Alirajpur          | Discussions with traders and retailers   |
| 24 | 5-6-14   | Indore market    | Indore             | Discussions with traders and retailers   |
| 25 | 6-6-14   | Dhar market      | Dhar               | Discussions with traders and retailers   |
| 26 | 6-6-14   | Dilavara village | Dhar               | FGD with fishermen   |

#### III. Major Findings

## 3.1 Impact of climate change on fishing

Climate change is a reality; it comes out loud and clear while visiting the villages and talking to the elderly people. During Focused Group Discussions in various places, it came out clearly that climate change is happening in recent years. This can be seen in the matrix below; the monsoon rains earlier used to start around 15<sup>th</sup> June, which has shifted by at least 10-15 days now. The total precipitation is also on the downward trend. The rainfall has become very unpredictable and irregular. Some years, though the total precipitation is good, it happens within a short span of time, and the dry spells have increased. Given this situation, planning for fish cultivation has become difficult.

| Monsoon progress | Earlier            | At present          |
|------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Beginning        | Around 15 June     | 25 June to 05 July  |
| Peak             | 15 July-15 Sept.   | 15 Aug-15 Sept.     |
| End              | Till September end | Till about 15 Sept. |

The metabolic rates of organisms and the overall productivity of ecosystems are directly regulated by temperature. Thus, it is quite natural that fishes find it difficult to survive in the face of rising

temperature in recent times. The specific points as gathered from the FGDs about the impact of climate change on fisheries are:

- Sometimes, after the fishermen put the fingerlings in the pond, it does not rain as expected, the mortality goes up due to lack of sufficient quantity of oxygen in reduced water and relative increasing temperature
- The increasing dry spells within the monsoon period means stunted growth of the fishes, thus achieving less production than expected
- The sudden and concentrated rains within a few hours or days results in flooding, which also makes the fingerlings go out of the ponds amounting to losses
- The mortality and the stunted growth of fishes lead to risks for the fishermen
- Even for the unorganized fishermen, the fish catch has been coming down in recent years

#### 3.2 Value Chain

The fish value chain in the project area is not very long or complex, but the main player in the value chain, i.e. the fisherman does not get the value he deserves for all the effort/ investment/ risk he takes. The value chain of fisheries in the project area is presented in the chart below:



| Concept        | Description  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Fishing        | The main communities who are engaged in fishing are:                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| communities    | • The tribals(Bhil, Bhilala): Fishing is not their only or the most                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | important occupation. They do farming and other minor activities;                    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | fishing is one of the complementary activities. Thus, they are not very              |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | skillful fish farmers.   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | • The traditional fishing community (Bhoi/Kahar): Fishing is a full time             |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | occupation for them. However, owing to decrease in fish catch in recent              |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | years, many have left the occupation for other livelihood options like               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | trading, retailing, casual labour, etc.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing        | There are four models of fishing business in the localities where primary            |  |  |  |  |  |
| practice/      | research has been conducted:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| models         | ✓ Gram Panchayat or Government giving water bodies on lease for                      |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | fishing to fishing associations:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | • The water bodies like small lakes, dams, or big tanks or ponds                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | which are created by the Gram Panchayat or the Government,                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | generally gives it on lease to the fishermen community for                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | fishing purpose. The priority in this case is given to: (i) persons                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | whose land has been acquired for the purpose, (ii) belong to the                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | fishing community, (iii) resident of the village. The lease owners'                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | association collects money among them and bear the variable                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | costs (expenses like fingerlings, feed, labour charges, etc). At the                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | end of the season, they distribute the benefit among themselves.                     |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | $\checkmark$ Government owning the big dams and giving fishes on royalty             |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | basis:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | • One dam is owned by the Government- at Maud Sagar.                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Fishermen catch fish and pay a royalty to the Government at                          |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | the following rates:   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | <ul> <li>Fish size more than 1kg: Rs.14/kg</li> </ul>                                |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | • Fish size less than 1kg: Rs.10/kg  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | <ul> <li>Individual fishing (mainly in rivers):</li> </ul>                           |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | • They fish individually and sell individually; fish catch reported                  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | to be going down because of dam on the upper catchment.                              |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | ✓ Private Ponds/ tanks   |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | The study team could not find one; but learnt that it exists                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fishing season | The fishing season is like following:  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | <ul> <li>Mid or late June: Fingerlings are released into the water bodies</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | • Late June- late August: Breeding period (ban on harvesting)                        |  |  |  |  |  |

The value chain as studied in the field is presented briefly below:

| Concept         | Description   |
|-----------------|---|
|                 | September: Small scale harvesting starts  |
|                 | October- January: Fish harvesting starts peaking  |
|                 | February- May: Intensive fishing  |
| Hatchery        | There are two fish hatcheries in the vicinity one owned by Government and   |
|                 | one owned by Private.   |
|                 | • There is a Government hatchery near Maud sagar dam in Jhabua  |
|                 | district; there is a government fish nursery in Dhar district   |
|                 | One private hatchery is at Sundrel in Dhar district   |
|                 | The capacity of a hatchery varies according to the local demand; but it is reported that the minimum size should be 1 billion spawns. |
|                 | spawns, fries or fingerlings from these hatcheries. The presence of any middleman has not been reported in this process.              |
|                 | It is reported that the local hatcheries satisfy the demand of almost 90-95% of   |
|                 | the local demand. Some pond/lake owners/leasers procure fries/fingerlings   |
|                 | from outside the state also (5-10%)   |
|                 |   |
| Fish types/     | The major varieties of fishes in the locality are:  |
| volume          | • Rohu  |
|                 | • Katla   |
|                 | Common Carp   |
|                 | • Silver Carp   |
|                 | • Bam   |
|                 | • Singhad   |
|                 | Some fishes which are imported from markets like Andhra Pradesh and   |
|                 | Karnataka are:  |
|                 | • Pangus  |
| Do at la arreat | • Mangur  |
| Post-narvest    | practices: they just sell the fresh fishes to the retailers / whole sellers. The dry  |
|                 | fish sellers in the local markets also reported that the dry fishes mostly come   |
|                 | from outside- Andhra Pradesh, Mumbai and West Bengal. Most of the varieties   |
|                 | of dry fish are marine fishes.  |
| Markets         | • The fish pond/tank owners mostly sell the fishes to the retailers (whole  |
|                 | sellers in some cases) who take away the fishes from their fishing site every   |
|                 | day or every fishing day; the price is fixed at a particular level for the whole  |
|                 | season together by the lease owners and the retailers   |

| Concept | Description  |
|---------|--|
|         | • If the fish retailers do not turn up, the lease owners themselves sell the fish in the local market or 'Haat' (weekly); this happens very few times in a fishing season (2-3 times approximately)  |
|         | • Fish Farmers harvest the fish and sell directly to the retailers in the local market. They do not have storage facility and they prefer selling the entire fish rather than cutting and selling it in pieces. Such fish farmers cover more than weekly market by harvesting the fish daily and selling it in different weekly markets in the region.   |
|         | • The local regular markets and weekly markets (Haats) are the main place of selling the fishes; in this part of Madhya Pradesh, local weekly 'Haats' are a common feature; there is a 'Haat' every few kilometres depending on the density of population in the area. The average physical distance between two 'Haats' would be between 10-15 kilometres. However, on a given day, the distance between two 'Haats' would be 25-30 kilometres as the 'Haats' are held on different days of the week. |
|         | • Some of the traders from the nearer larger markets like district places (Dhar,<br>Jhabua, Alirajpur, etc.) come and procure from the lease owners; or the lease<br>owners send the fish to these traders on a regular basis as per demand;<br>however, fish flow from local area to bigger markets like Bhopal and Indore<br>are not reported  |
|         | • There is a real gap between demand and supply in the market as fishes are imported from nearby states like Andhra Pradesh and West Bengal on a regular basis   |
|         | <ul> <li>Prices of fishes vary 20% to 40% from one level to another, i.e. from local market to district level market to state level market</li> </ul>  |
|         | More information on markets and prices is given in a separate section later in<br>the report below.  |

| Concept   | Description  |
|---|--|
| Picture of two<br>women selling<br>fish in Dhar<br>'Haat'; a<br>common sight<br>in western<br>Madhya<br>Pradesh | <image/>   |
| Problems/   | • Late onset of monsoon and irregular rainfall has made the fish   |
| Issues  | <ul> <li>production process difficult; sometimes they put the fingerlings and there is not enough rainfall in time for which there are a lot of fish fatalities; this result in increasing cost as well as decreasing production.</li> <li>Local level conflict: As per the rules, while leasing out the Government must give importance to the fishermen who have lost their land to the tank/lake construction, and they must belong to the local area. However, some vested interests, in spite of being outsiders, but having good reach in the government departments, produce fake documents and get the lease. This creates friction among the locals and the lease owners</li> <li>The fishermen, who are not good enough to get the lease or cannot participate due to lack of financial resources, just suffer a lot. They just work as labourers and are paid meagre amount (Rs.5 per kg)</li> <li>The fish producers being small ones, cannot reach out to the bigger markets like at district places or state level bigger markets like Indore and Bhopal</li> <li>Lack of financing: The banks do not provide loans to the individual fishermen. Though the banks give loans to cooperatives, generally the cooperatives find it difficult to furnish the documentation and processes; so, unable to get loans. This makes their business a low-yielding proposition.</li> </ul> |
| Role of women   | • In some pond/tank fishing cases, women have been found to help in dragging the nets  |
|   | <ul> <li>Individual women also engage in fishing</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li>The women also play a major role in selling the fishes in the local market</li> </ul>   |

| Concept        | Description  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                | • Women are involved in making of the net. These women belong to the                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | traditional fishing community.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vulnerability  | • Both the tribals and the traditional fishing communities are found to be           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | vulnerable   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | • Only some of the fishermen have capital or information tend to break               |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | the vicious cycle of poverty by investing in the business                            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | • Women, though play an important role in fishing, are often neglected;              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | their role is not recognized properly.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Related        | ✓ Hatchery:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Business       | Hatchery is one of the most important activity in the aquaculture business.          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| options/ plans | A hatchery is a facility where fish eggs are hatched under artificial conditions to  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | be later transferred to the fish rearing ponds for commercial purposes. The local    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | hatcheries as explained above just about fulfill the needs of the local area. So, in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | order to cater to the needs of the proposed ponds, a new hatchery has to be          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | planned. The business plan for the same is prepared and given in annexure.           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | <ul> <li>✓ Ornamental fish unit:</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Keeping colourful and fancy fishes known as ornamental fishes, aquarium              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | fishes, or live jewels, is one of the oldest and most popular hobbies in the         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | world. The growing interest in aquarium fishes has resulted in steady increase       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | in aquarium fish trade globally. The ornamental fish trade with a turnover of US     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | \$ 6 Billion and an annual growth rate of 8 percent offers lot of scope for          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | development. The overall domestic trade in this field cross <1000 lakh and           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | is reportedly growing at the rate of 20 per cent annum.                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | Considering the relatively simple techniques and low investment involved, this       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | activity can be taken up in the project area. MPEDA supports this activity by        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | providing grants and the technical expertise. Either individual entrepreneurs or     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | the fishermen's group can undertake this business. MART can provide the              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | requisite training and handholding support in marketing. The market for this         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | product is fairly underexploited and readily available.                              |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|                | The details of the business and the business plan is given in the annexure           |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## **IV. Market Analysis**

### 4.1 Size of Market

During the study, a total of 21 markets in Madhya Pradesh and a nearby market in Gujarat (i.e. Dahod) were visited. The major markets among them are the State level bigger markets of Bhopal and Indore; district headquarters like Dhar, Jhabua and Alirajpur; other important markets like Khalghat, Dhamnod, etc. A good insight into the selling practices, price variations and total volumes, etc has been gathered. This enables the project to make a better marketing plan.

|           |               | Local Fishes (Cultivated in Dams/Pond |       |                |                                  | Big  | Fishes from       |             |       |
|-----------|---------------|---------------------------------------|-------|----------------|----------------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------|-------|
|           |               |                                       |       |                |                                  | Ponds<br>/River                                  | Andhra<br>Pradesł | Pradesh and |       |
|           | Name of       |                                       |       |                |                                  |  | other places      |             |       |
| District  | the<br>Market | Rohu                                  | Katla | Common<br>Carp | Local<br>Fish<br>(Small<br>Size) | Other<br>Fishes-<br>Singhad,<br>Padin&<br>Papada | Pangus            | Magar       | Total |
| Jhabua    | M.Nagar       | 30                                    | 30    | 5              | 10                               | 5  | 25                | 20          | 125   |
| Jhabua    | Ranapur       | 200                                   | 200   | 50             | 50                               | 10   | 250               | 200         | 960   |
| Jhabua    | Jhabua        | 80                                    | 80    | 10             | 30                               | 10   | 50                | 50          | 310   |
| Jhabua    | Para          | 60                                    | 60    | 15             | 25                               | 5  | 35                | 30          | 230   |
| Jhabua    | Kala Devi     | 50                                    | 50    | 5              | 15                               | 5  | 40                | 40          | 205   |
| Alirajpur | Alirajpur     | 35                                    | 35    | 5              | 20                               | 5  | 30                | 25          | 155   |
| Alirajpur | Bhabhra       | 35                                    | 35    | 10             | 20                               | 5  | 25                | 20          | 150   |
| Alirajpur | Jobat         | 50                                    | 50    | 10             | 25                               | 10   | 50                | 45          | 240   |
| Dhar      | Thikri        | 25                                    | 25    | 5              | 10                               | 0  | 20                | 20          | 105   |
| Dhar      | Damana        | 20                                    | 20    | 5              | 10                               | 0  | 20                | 20          | 95    |
| Dhar      | Aujar         | 20                                    | 20    | 5              | 10                               | 0  | 20                | 20          | 95    |
| Dhar      | Jhilwania     | 20                                    | 20    | 5              | 10                               | 0  | 20                | 20          | 95    |
| Dhar      | NaganBedi     | 20                                    | 20    | 5              | 10                               | 0  | 20                | 20          | 95    |
| Dhar      | Dhar          | 60                                    | 50    | 15             | 15                               | 20   | 50                | 40          | 250   |
| Dhar      | Dhamnod       | 75                                    | 75    | 15             | 20                               | 20   | 60                | 50          | 315   |
| Dhar      | SagarKuti     | 40                                    | 40    | 5              | 5                                | 10   | 30                | 30          | 160   |
| Dhar      | Indorama      | 30                                    | 30    | 5              | 5                                | 10   | 30                | 25          | 135   |
| Dhar      | Rajgadh       | 50                                    | 50    | 10             | 10                               | 20   | 50                | 40          | 230   |
| Dhar      | Khalghat      | 300                                   | 300   | 50             | 80                               | 300  | 500               | 500         | 2030  |
| Indore    | Indore        | 5000                                  | 5000  | 500            | 200                              | 2000   | 6000              | 5000        | 23700 |
| Bhopal    | Bhopal        | 6000                                  | 6000  | 250            | 100                              | 2500   | 5000              | 4500        | 24350 |
|           | Total         | 12200                                 | 12190 | 985            | 680                              | 4935   | 12325             | 10715       | 54030 |

Size of the market with sources: (figures in kilogram/ day)

## 4.2 Demand- Supply gap

As can be seen above, there is a clear gap between demand and supply in all the local markets. This is because fishes are imported to the local markets from far off places like Andhra Pradesh, and to some extent, Karnataka and West Bengal. As can be seen in the table above, in the sample markets, out of 54 tonnes of daily consumption, approximately 23 tonnes are imported from outside, especially Andhra Pradesh.

#### 4.3 Price variation

The prices of fishes across varieties show two kinds of variation:

- High variation in prices between local whole sale price (i.e. fisherman level) and local retail price
- Low variation in prices between whole sell prices and retail prices of smaller markets and bigger markets

This price scenario is precisely because there is very little movement of fishes from local level to bigger markets like Bhopal/Indore. The fishes that are produced locally are consumed locally; and the even there is a gap, which is filled up by the fishes from outside.

| Variety of<br>fishes | Size of the<br>Fish<br>(gm) | Fishermen<br>Price<br>(Rs.)-<br>whole sell | Local<br>Retail<br>Market<br>Price<br>(Rs.) | Whole sell<br>Prices in<br>Bhopal/<br>Indore<br>Market | Retail<br>Market<br>price in<br>Bhopal/<br>Indore | Prices in<br>Mumbai<br>(Wholesale<br>Market)<br>(Rs.) |
|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|---|
| Rohu                 | 100-750                     | 35-40                                      | 45-50                                       | N/a  | N/a   | N/a   |
| Rohu                 | 750-250                     | 60   | 100-120                                     | 90-120   | 120-150   | 90-100  |
| Katla                | 100-750                     | 35   | 45-50                                       | N/a  | N/a   | N/a   |
| Katla                | 750-2000                    | 60   | 100-120                                     | 90-120   | 120-150   | 80-100  |
| Mrigal               | 1000+                       | 50   | 80  | 80-100   | 100-120   | N/A   |
| C. Carp              | 1000+                       | 60   | 80-100                                      | 80-100   | 100-120   | N/A   |
| Balm                 | 1000+                       | 180  | 200   | 250  | 300   | 250   |
| Singhad              | 2000+                       | 150  | 175   | 200  | 250   | 220   |
| Local                | (Small size)                | 40   | 60-80                                       | N/A  | N/A   | N/A   |
| Pangus               | 3000+                       | N/A  | 100   | 55   | 90-100  | N/A   |
| Mangur               | 500                         | N/A  | 100   | 70   | 90-100  | N/A   |

### Prices of different varieties in different markets:

## 4.4 Traders in the retail market

Number of traders and retailers in the markets

| District  | Name of the Market | No. of traders | No. of retailers |
|-----------|--------------------|----------------|------------------|
| Jhabua    | Megh Nagar         | 0              | 5                |
| Jhabua    | Ranapur            | 2              | 8                |
| Jhabua    | Jhabua             | 2              | 8                |
| Jhabua    | Para               | 0              | 6                |
| Jhabua    | Kala Devi          | 0              | 8                |
| Alirajpur | Alirajpur          | 2              | 6                |
| Alirajpur | Bhabhra            | 1              | 4                |
| Alirajpur | Jobat              | 1              | 5                |
| Dhar      | Thikri             | 0              | 4                |

| Dhar   | Damana    | 0  | 5   |
|--------|-----------|----|-----|
| Dhar   | Aujar     | 0  | 4   |
| Dhar   | Jhilwania | 0  | 5   |
| Dhar   | NaganBedi | 0  | 5   |
| Dhar   | Dhar      | 4  | 6   |
| Dhar   | Dhamnod   | 2  | 6   |
| Dhar   | SagarKuti | 0  | 5   |
| Dhar   | Indorama  | 0  | 5   |
| Dhar   | Rajgadh   | 0  | 6   |
| Dhar   | Khalghat  | 4  | 10  |
| Indore | Indore    | 40 | 250 |
| Bhopal | Bhopal    | 20 | 80  |

The retailers reported that on a 'Haat' day, they are able to sell up to 50 to 100 kgs per day; however, the sales volume comes down drastically to 20-30 kgs on a no-Haat day. So, Haats are important places for selling in this region.

## VI. CONCLUSION, SUGGESTIONS AND WAY FORWARD

Some of the important suggestions for the project are as follows:

| Parameters                             | Suggestions   |
|--|---|
| Size of pond and scale<br>of operation | <ul> <li>1 ha to 5 ha size; both legally better option and more efficient</li> <li>10 to 15 ponds in a cluster of villages should be selected for the project</li> <li>Scaling up is important and not much risk is there in scaling up; there is enough unsaturated demand in the market at the moment</li> </ul>          |
| Fishermen's institution                | <ul> <li>Fishermen's institution should play an important role</li> <li>Fishermen's capacity building in managing fishery as a business and marketing practices would be crucial</li> </ul>   |
| Marketing                              | <ul> <li>Concentrating on local markets and district head quarters</li> <li>Emphasis needs to be put on better handling and processing the fish from pond to market; women can be entrusted with this work</li> <li>Better mobility between local markets would help a lot; small vehicles should be planned for</li> </ul> |
| Business opportunities                 | Given in annexure:<br>• Aquaculture<br>• Hatchery<br>• Ornamental fish  |

## **Business Plan: Fish Hatchery**

#### Introduction:-

Hatchery plays a vital role in the production of fish seeds. Quality fish seeds are the first and foremost requirement of a successful inland fishing. Hatchery can be known as the place where artificial environment is created for the fish breeding. The commonly cultivated spices of fish like Rahu, Katla, Mrigal, Common carp and Silver carp require revirine (Flood Like) conditions for breeding. These varieties can attain the maturity in still water sources like Pond or Tank but they do not breed in these kinds of water sources.

The technique of breeding fish by other than its natural course is known as **Induced Breeding**. Induced breeding techniques have been developed for production of quality fish seed of culturable varieties. It is one of the most dependable methods of producing pure seed of desired species of fish. Further this technique has helped to produce fish seed in those areas where natural collection of fish seed was not possible. In all 3 districts of our project there is a few hatcheries, due to that availability of fish seeds is very low.

#### Method of Induced Breeding (Hypophysation) :-

The technique of breeding the fish by administering pituitary gland extract injection is known as induced breeding or hypophysation. The pituitary gland secretes several hormones of which Gonadotropin is the most important for breeding.

The increasing demand of fish pituitaries have now been solved to some extent by the introduction of HCG, now readily available in the market. The HCG is now increasingly becoming popular due to its low cost. A mixture of HCG and pituitary hormone extract in definite proportion are employed successfully for breeding fish.

#### A Hatchery generally contains following things

- Over Head Tank:- for the supply of water in Chinese Hatchery & Incubation Tank
- Chinese Hatchery:- For the breeding of fishes in artificial conditions.
- Incubation Pond:- Conversion of Eggs in to Spawn
- Spawn Collection pond:- Spawn are collected from here.
- Breeder Pond:- Male& female fishes are kept separate in these breeder ponds.
- Nursery Pond (Rearing Pond):- Spawn is kept in these ponds where they grow in to Fry and Fingerlings.
- Source of water (Pond/River).

#### Identification and selection of breeders for breeding:-

The success of induced breeding depends on the proper selection of breeders. The identification of the sex of the fish is made on the basis of the external characters. The mature males are distinguished from the females by the presence of denticulation on the dorsal surface of the pectoral fin which is rough to touch. Further, in males the abdomen is comparatively flat and the vent is not swollen but they ooze milt at slight pressure on their abdomen. The ripe females have soft and bulging abdomen with swollen pinkish genital opening. The presence of absence of pre-anal ridge is also taken into consideration as a sign of maturity for selection of female breeders.

For the better quality of seeds selection of quality breeder is very important. Breeders should be matured enough for breeding, Size and weight are the important things needs to be taken in consideration while selecting them, and their weigh should be as follows:

Catla-3kg and above, Rohu, Grass and Silver carp-2kg and above, Mrigal -1kg and above.

#### Maintenance of Breeder

After the selection of breeders their maintenance is very important in order to get the optimum results of these breeder fishes. Taking care of their feed and other is also important. Organic manure along with low dose of single super-phosphate (17 to 20 kg. per hectare) may be applied at fortnightly intervals in the pond where catla and silver carp is stocked as major species. Pond/tank stocked with grass carp as the major species need not be manure regularly. It may be fed with submerged aquatic vegetation during winter months and with grass on the advent of the spring (at the rate of 2-3% of the body weight) for acceleration of gonadial development. For other species feed prepared by mixing de-oiled rice bran and oil cake at the rate of 2-3% of the body weight of fish stock is desired in the initial stages. Fish meal containing 30% protein could be a better substitute for oil cake at the later stage (advent of the spring).Mature Male & Female fishes (Breeders) are kept in separate ponds for the entire year.

#### Preparation of gland extract

Once proper dosages are determined, the quantity of glands required for injecting the breeders is calculated. The required quantity of glands is then taken out from vials, dried on a filter paper and macerated in a tissue homogenizer with a little distilled water or 0.3% common salt solution. The homogenized glands are then centrifuged and the supernatant liquid is decanted and diluted with the same solvent to a known volume. The following dilutions are recommended.

Weight of brooder prepatory dose final dose

- 1 to 2.0 kg 0.50cc/fish 0.75 cc/fish
- Above 2 kg 0.75 cc/fish 1.50 cc/fish

#### **Process of Breeding**

Breeding of fishes is a very systematic process, which need to be followed carefully. Taking care of time in each process is very important. The entire process includes following steps.

- 1. **Injection of Breeders:** All the selected Male and female breeders needs to be injected with fish pituitary extract before breeding. These injections of hormones increase the fertility rate of fishes and breed more no. of eggs.
- 2. Eco-Hatchery (Chinese Hatchery):- Injected breeders are brought in the Eco-Hatchery for the breeding. It is circular cement pool (around 8 meter in diameter) with 50 cubic meters of water holding capacity. The bottom of the pool slopes to the centre where there is an outlet pipe (10 cm dia) leading to the incubation pond (egg collection chamber). The wall of the spawning pool is provided with diagonally fitted inlet pipes at an angle of 450for circulation of water creating artificial riverine (Flood like) conditions. After circular pool is filled with water, about 50 kg of females and 50 kg of males are released into the pool. When the breeders start coming up to the surface the valves are opened so that a circular current is created. The speed of water current is maintained at about 30 meters per minute. This process is mainly done in the night (From 7:00PM to 6:00 AM) reason behind doing this at night is that the environment in night remains peaceful without any disturbance to

fishes, in that kind of environment breeder fishes have better fertility and lay the more no. of eggs.

This entire process takes 6-10 Hrs. time.

3. Eco-hatchery-Incubation pool:-Fishes lay the eggs in above process, these eggs are brought in the Incubation Pool from Eco-Hatchery through a out-let pipe. Incubation pool are the 3 meter in diameter - double walled circular pool, with inner wall of regulated mesh permitting outflow of water) where water at a regulated speed enters through the duck mouth valves fitted on the floor of the outer chamber. The speed of the water is regulated @2.5 litre/sec. in the initial stage and then reduced to 2.0 litre/sec. when movement of embryo inside the eggs starts. Along with water movement rain like condition is created by the showers, these all conditions make a flood like condition which is ideal condition for the eggs to grow in to the Spawns. Through a outlet pipe these spawns goes in to the Spawn collection pond.

This circulation of eggs in Incubation pond takes around 72 hrs, after 72 hrs these eggs are converted in to a spawn. These Spawns can be sold for fish culture in Pond or Tanks.

4. **Rearing of Spawn in to Fry and Fingerlings:**- Spawns are collected from the Spawn collection box and kept in to the rearing ponds for the rearing. Rearing ponds are the Square cement made ponds, which can be made of any size depending upon the requirement. Proper feed is provided here for the growth of Spawn. These Spawns grows in to fry in 6-7 Days. These fry can be sold for the Fish Culture. Most of the fishermen purchase fry for their ponds in all 3 districts in the project area.

If these spam are reared for 20-25 days in rearing ponds these are converted in to fingerlings. This can also be sold as fish seed. Rate of fingerlings is much higher as compared to Spawn or fry.

| Size of the Fish | Name                                       |
|------------------|--|
| 5 mm             | Spawn                                      |
| 25 mm            | Fry (Cultivated in Medium sized pond)      |
| 25 mm            | Fingerlings (Cultivated in Big size ponds) |

#### Difference between Spawn, Fry and Fingerlings:-

#### Marketing arrangement:-

There is a good demand of fish seeds in all 3 project districts. Looking at the current supply there is only a few hatchery units, which cannot supply the demanded fish seed in the districts. There is only one government Hatchery in Jhabua and Alirajpur district which is not meeting the current demand, People of Jhabua and Alirajpur Purchase fish seed from Sundrel village of Dhar district. This shows that if a hatchery unit is made available in these districts it will be helpful for fishermen to purchase fish seed from nearby areas and it will also provide good opportunity of business to Hatchery owner as well.

| A. Ca | apital Cost  |           |
|-------|--|-----------|
| S.No. | Item   | Cost(Rs.) |
| 1     | 2 Kachha Pond (100*200 Feet)                           | 200000    |
| 2     | Circular breeding pool & hatching pools                |           |
| 2.1   | Breeding pool of 8 m diameter                          | 100000    |
| 2.2   | 2 Hatching pools of 3m diameter @ Rs.20,000/- per pool | 40000     |
| 3     | Overhead tank of 5000 gallons capacity                 | 100000    |
| 4     | Shallow tube well 8"x6"x200'                           | 100000    |
| 5     | Pumpset(5HP)   | 20000     |
| 6     | Generator set with 10 KVA alternator                   | 50000     |
| 7     | Guard shed and office room                             | 25000     |
| 8     | Brood stock-1 tonne                                    | 100000    |
| 9     | Contingent expenses for nets, equipmentshapas etc.     | 30000     |
|       | Total  | 765000    |

#### .

| В. | Re | curring Cost |   |
|----|----|--------------|---|
|    |    | T/           | 1 |

| S.No. | Item  | Amount (Rs) |
|-------|---|-------------|
| 1     | Feeding of brood stock @ 3% body weight for 1 tons of fish. | 50000       |
| 2     | Salary of 3 persons @ Rs.4000/pm                            | 144000      |
| 3     | Cost of Hormones (5 Quintal)                                | 25000       |
| 4     | Cost of Lime, Fertilizers and other Manure                  | 50000       |
| 5     | Cost of Electricity on an average @ Rs 5000/ Month          | 60000       |
| 6     | Cost of 6 more persons for 2 months @10000 per month        | 120000      |
|       | Total   | 449000      |

## Unit Cost:-

| S.No. | Cost           | Amount (Rs) |
|-------|----------------|-------------|
| 1     | Capital cost   | 765000      |
| 2     | Recurring cost | 449000      |
|       | Total          | 1214000     |

| PRODUCTION |
|------------|
|------------|

| S.No. | Particulars  | Unit   | Number   |
|-------|--|--------|----------|
| 1     | Per batch requirement of female brood fish   | KG     | 60       |
| 2     | Per batch requirement of brood fish for single run (male and female)   | KG     | 100      |
| 3     | Total number of hatchery runs @14 run/month for 2 months   | Runs   | 25       |
| 4     | Total requirement of brood fish in 2 months (100 kgs.x25 runs)   | KG     | 2500     |
| 5     | Number of spawn produced per kg body weight of female<br>brood fish (considering @ 1.5 lakh/kg female, 70%<br>fertilisation 60% hatching rate) | Number | 63000    |
| 6     | Spawn produced from female brood fish in a single run (60<br>KG Female Breeder)  | Number | 3780000  |
| 7     | Spawn produced from female brood fish in all 25 run  | Number | 94500000 |

#### Income

| S.No. | Gross income/run  | Amount (Rs) |
|-------|---|-------------|
| 1     | From sale of 37.8 Lac spam @ Rs 1000/Lac spawn          | 37800       |
| 2     | Gross income/month (Rs.37800 *12 runs)                  | 453600      |
| 3     | Gross income in 2 operative months (Rs 453600*2 Months) | 907200      |
|       | Net income  |             |
| 4     | Total Income  | 1398600     |
| 5     | Total Recurring Expenses                                | 449000      |
| 6     | Net income  | 534000      |

## Financial Analysis of Eco Hatchery

| Particulars    | Years   |         |         |         |         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|                | 1       | 2       | 3       | 4       | 5       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital Cost   | 765000  | -       | -       | -       | -       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recurring Cost | 449000  | 449000  | 449000  | 449000  | 449000  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total          | 1214000 | 330000  | 330000  | 330000  | 330000  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income         | 1398600 | 1398600 | 1398600 | 1398600 | 1398600 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Income     | -264400 | 619600  | 619600  | 619600  | 619600  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

# Annexure 7 Capacity Building Strategy

## 1. Introduction

The project aims to make the inland fishery sector adaptive to climate variability and enhance the adaptive capacity of the fish farmers to ensure their livelihood security in Madhya Pradesh. The project's interventions are focused on small and marginal farmers. The ponds selected for intervention will be small ponds less than 10 hac that are within the jurisdiction of Gram Panchayats. The project will work with fish farmers who are directly involved in the process and act of fishing and who have been able to secure the leasing rights for fishing from the Gram Panchayat.

Fish Farmer(s) for a pond may be individual or as members of a formal or informal livelihood group. These may be persons who have practiced systematic fish farming or be persons who do not have enough experience of fish culture in the area.

## 2. Capacity Building Strategy

#### 2.1 Existing Barriers

### (a) Institutional Barriers

The existing source of providing capacity building inputs is the Department of Fisheries at the district level. The department does not have any strategic plan for the conduct of training on fisheries. The identification of training event is not based on any systematic assessment of the training need or for the achievement of specific objective. The department does not have the data base that provides information on the existing capacities of the fish farmers and the capacity gaps in their skill and knowledge level.

Department of Fisheries has not identified climate change as one of the issues on which the fish farmers need to be informed, trained or sensitized. So far no training has been organized for fish farmers on climate change, or its impact on fisheries, or possible adaptive strategies.

## (b) Barriers of Awareness, Education and Training

The fish farmers belonging to scheduled tribe community are primarily small and marginal farmers. They decided to get in to fisheries as a supplementary source of income. To conduct activities related to fisheries they are dependent on their peers who have been practicing fisheries for some time. In the absence of any other source of information or training and the learning is through a process of hit and trial.

The farmers turned fish farming have not undergone a systematic process of preparation of business plan nor have engaged with different stakeholders. Their levels of awareness of the value chain is limited and they do not have the capacity to manage business of fisheries at scale. Most of the fish farmers operate at sub optimal level of production and the productivity of pond is well below the state average.

#### (c) Market Barriers

The market as it operates at different stages of the value chain does not provide adequate infrastructural support to the fish farmer. The market for supply of fish seed is monopolistic and is dominated by few suppliers. This creates terms of trade that favour the supplier than the fish farmer. Similarly, the market for sale of fish does not provide storage or chilling facility as a result of which the fish farmer harvests fish that he estimates can be sold in the local market. His lack of access to wider markets acts as a constraint in enabling him to operate at optimal levels and negotiate better price for his product.

The barriers define the scope for capacity building strategy that has to take in to account the need to develop capacities that bring about changes at the policy and institutional level; to develop skills and knowledge among the practicing fish farmers; and development of infrastructural capacities for the fish farmers and to develop skills and knowledge within the fish farmers to enter in to trade and business partnerships with other stakeholders in the market.

#### 2.2 Approach ad Principles of Capacity Building Strategy

#### 2.2.1 Approach

The capacity building strategy will adopt a comprehensive approach and will consequently focus on the individual, at the organizational and systemic level:

- **Individual level:** capacity building primarily refers to the process of changing attitude and behaviour such that the fish farmers are able to develop and adopt climate resilient and adaptive strategies. This change will be brought about by enhancing the levels of information and knowledge that exists with fish farmers related to fisheries, on process and impact of climate change; and how adaptive strategies can be developed to deal with vulnerabilities arising out of the processes of climate change. At the skill level capacity building will includes mechanisms of learning by doing and, participation and achieving level of competency in the conduct of fisheries and in developing and implementing different strategies.
- **Organisational level.** capacity building will include mechanisms that improves relationship between fish farmers and groups and between fish farmers and other stakeholders. The ability to identify and negotiate terms of business with other stakeholders and strengthen the fishfarmer's group at the same time will include training inputs in the process of development of business plan, identification and development of stakeholder management strategy; and a macro understanding of the fishery sector and its cyclical and seasonal behaviours.
- *Systemic level:* capacity building focuses on strengthening enabling environment that is concerned with overall policy environment, relationship and processes between institutions in the external environment, and economic frameworks that guide the conduct of business of fisheries. The capacity inputs will be in the form of generating discussions based on knowledge products of the project by direct interaction with fish farmers and through sharing of experiences and learning with policy makers and community of practitioners.

#### 2.2.2 Principles of Capacity Building

The guiding principles for the strategy for capacity building will be as follows:

#### (a) Comprehensive

Capacity building plan will address all the barriers that have been identified for fish farmers. This implies that capacity building will go beyond just training events and will include elements of facilitation, handholding and mentoring at the individual level and creating conditions for learning at the policy level.

#### (b) Accountability

Accountability refers to that of the resource person and the resource institute. The capacity building events will are not viewed in isolation where the contact between the trainee and the trainer ceases after the event. The trainer will be accountable to the trainees in the post training scenario and will be available to handhold and trouble shoot for the fish farmers. There will be direct contact between the resource person and the practitioners so that there is minimal transmission loss in learning for the trainee (fish farmer).

#### (c) Participative

The capacity process and the methodology will be participative. The participation will include involvement of different stakeholders in project processes and in adopting participative methodologies for the conduct of capacity building events.

#### (d) Learning by Doing

The proposed project is in the nature of an action research project. It has string elements of documentation of project processes and benefits as these can be then reflected upon and analysed as learning from the project. The next level is to interpret these learning to feed in to policies, programmes and institutional systems of the government and other stakeholders. The knowledge however is generated from the experiences of the project and community of practitioners and will be primarily based on the concept of learning by doing.

## 3. Capacity Building Strategy

The capacity building strategy of the project will be as follows

| Target Group for<br>Capacity Building                             | Issues for Capacity Building   | Methodology of<br>Capacity Building  |
|---|--|--|
| Fish Farmer and<br>Project Staff                                  | <ul> <li>Development as Climate Resilient Fish<br/>Farmer</li> <li>Responsible Fishing</li> <li>Factors of Climate Change</li> <li>Impact of Climate Change</li> <li>Alternative Strategies for responding to<br/>Climate Change</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Classroom Training<br/>events</li> <li>Demonstration by<br/>experts</li> <li>Learning by Doing<br/>process/ facilitation</li> <li>Exposure visits</li> </ul>                |
|   | <ul> <li>Development of Climate Adaptive Strategies</li> <li>Livelihood security and Adaptation</li> <li>Coping vs adaptation strategies</li> <li>Risk and Vulnerability assessment in fisheries</li> <li>Identification and Implementation of Risk management strategies</li> <li>Adaptive strategies and their adoption</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Classroom training</li> <li>learning by doing/<br/>facilitation</li> <li>Action reflection<br/>sessions</li> </ul>  |
|   | <ul> <li>Development of Fish Farmers as Climate<br/>Champion</li> <li>Forging partnerships with other stakeholders</li> <li>Networking skills with other fish farmers<br/>and fishing communities</li> <li>Forums to address impact of climate change</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Classroom training</li> <li>learning by doing/<br/>facilitation</li> <li>Making presentations</li> </ul>  |
| Members of<br>Steering Committee<br>(State and District<br>level) | <ul> <li>Sensitisation on Climate Change and<br/>Livelihood Security</li> <li>Factors of Climate Change and impact of<br/>fisheries</li> <li>Government response to climate change in<br/>the state and the region</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Participation in<br/>Steering Committee<br/>meetings</li> <li>Direct interaction<br/>with fish farmers</li> </ul>   |
|   | <ul> <li>Adaptive Strategies for Fish Farmers</li> <li>Risks and Vulnerabilities arising out of climate change for fish farmers</li> <li>Adaptive strategies developed and their effectiveness for fish farmers</li> <li>Policy implications of adaptive strategies</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Participation in<br/>Steering Committee<br/>meetings</li> <li>Direct interaction<br/>with Fish Farmers</li> <li>Perusal of Monitoring<br/>and Evaluation reports</li> </ul> |
| Panchayat<br>Representatives                                      | <ul> <li>Climate Change and Livelihood Security</li> <li>Factors of Climate Change</li> <li>Impact of Climate Change</li> <li>Vulnerabilities arising from process of climate change</li> </ul>  | - Classroom training<br>- Interaction with Fish<br>Farmers   |

## 4. Capacity Building Plan

| Capacity Building Process                |        | Project Period |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
|--|--------|----------------|--------|----|-----------|----|--------|----|-----------|----|----|----|
|  | Year 1 |                | Year 2 |    |           |    | Year 3 |    |           |    |    |    |
|  |        | (Qua           | arter) |    | (Quarter) |    |        |    | (Quarter) |    |    |    |
|  | 01     | 02             | 03     | 04 | 05        | 06 | 07     | 08 | 09        | 10 | 11 | 12 |
| Climate Resilient Fish Farmer            |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| (Fish Farmers and Project Staff)         |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| Climate Adaptive Strategies              |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| (Fish Farmers and Project Staff)         |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| Climate Champion Fish Farmers            |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| (Fish Farmers and Project Staff)         |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| Sensitisation on Climate Change and      |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| Livelihood Security (Steering Committee) |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| Adaptive Strategies for Fish Farmers     |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| (Steering Committee)                     |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| Climate Change and Livelihood            |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |
| Security (Panchayat Representatives)     |        |                |        |    |           |    |        |    |           |    |    |    |

The timeline for the capacity building process will be as follows:

The Resource Persons for capacity Building events and processes will be drawn from the Technical Advisory Group formed at the state level. These Resource Persons will be available to the project and the fish farmers on a regular basis. The Technical Resource Persons will also provide specific inputs related to their field to the members of the Steering Group on need basis.

# Annexure 8 Human Resource Plan

#### 1. Activity Analysis

The activities to be carried out under the project to make the fish farmers efficient and climate resilient farmers have been listed below. These activities are not listed sequentially as there will be activities that will be carried out simultaneously as well. The aim of listing these activities is to assess the project staffing requirement and to facilitate in the development of respective Job Descriptions of the project personnel.

|                  | Efficient Fish Farmer   | Climate Resilient Fish Farmer  |
|------------------|---|--|
| Pre construction | <ul> <li>Approval from Gram Sabha</li> <li>Finalisation from Gram Panchayat</li> <li>Sanction from Collector/ Department<br/>of Fisheries</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>Identification of cluster on geo hydrological suitability</li> <li>Community mobilisation and sharing of information</li> <li>PLA for finalization of site</li> <li>Pond design and development of climate resilient plan</li> <li>Orientation on climate variability and its impact on fisheries</li> </ul>    |
| Construction     | • Mobilisation of labour and resources for construction   | • Implementation of catchment treatment plan   |
| Pre Fishing      | <ul> <li>Formation of Fish Farmer Livelihood<br/>Group</li> <li>Development of business plan</li> <li>Training and orientation in responsible<br/>fish farming practices</li> <li>Input mobilization</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Training and orientation on climate variability and its impact on fisheries</li> <li>Development of climate adaptation plan</li> <li>Institutional linkages (credit, insurance etc)</li> <li>Inputs of fish seed based on climate adaptability</li> </ul>   |
| Fish Farming     | <ul> <li>Training on responsible fisheries</li> <li>Package of practice related to<br/>responsible fishing</li> </ul>   | <ul> <li>Training on climate adaptation protocols</li> <li>Implementation of protocols that are part of climate adaptation plan, e.g. timing for introduction of fish seed, harvesting practices</li> <li>Introduction of appropriate technologies to enable fish farming to adapt to warmer climatic regimes</li> </ul> |

| Knowledge<br>Management  | <ul> <li>Tracking and quantification of benefit<br/>received by the project</li> <li>Evidence of resilience of climate benefit<br/>strategy</li> </ul> |
|--------------------------|--|
| Learning and<br>Advocacy | <ul> <li>Dissemination of impact and benefit from fisheries</li> <li>Dissemination of climate adaptation strategy</li> </ul>                           |

### 2. Job Descriptions

The organisation structure of the project comprise of three levels: state level that will provide the overall leadership and coordination to the project and undertake monitoring and supervision of project activities; district level structure that comprise the field management team to spearhead implementation of project activities at the district and sub district level; and cluster level teams to ensure execution of project activities at the pond level and with targeted fishfarming community.

### 2.1 Project Coordinator

#### 2.1.1 Position Description

Project Coordinator is the executive head of the project and will be the nodal contact person for external stakeholders. The Project Coordinator will be placed at the state headquarter at Bhopal.

#### 2.1.2 Job Responsibility

(a) Monitoring

- Presenting project activities to the Steering Committee and incorporating their recommendations as part of project implementation plan
- Ensuring that the project activities are carried out according to the agreed project protocols and systems
- Participating in planning meetings and tracking of activities to agreed timeline
- Quality monitoring to ensure that the project processes are being adhered to by the project team
- Identifying and implementing corrective measures on operational problems and slippages
- Ensure financial utilization adheres to highest ethical standards and accounting procedures

(b) Reporting

- Preparing financial and activity reports according to the reporting cycle to National Implementing Entity
- Consolidation of project related documentation

- Report to Project Steering Committee on complaints received and resolved.
- (c) Meetings with other stakeholders
  - Making presentation of the project to other stakeholders
  - Engaging with external stakeholders to achieve the project activities
- (d) Communication
  - Develop communication protocols within the project team and with external stakeholders
- (e) Staff Training
  - Facilitating conduct of staff capacity building at different stages of the project
- (f) Reviews and Evaluation
  - Facilitate conduct of reviews and evaluations as per the agreed monitoring and evaluation plan of the project
- (g) Climate Change
  - Identify, collate and analyse climate change parameters and adaptation measures within the project and in the external environment of the project
- (h) Action-Reflection-Learning
  - Facilitate learning within the project team and with intervened stakeholders including fish farmers through the process of action-reflection-learning cycle
  - Document learning from the project for wider dissemination
- (i) Knowledge Management
  - Coordinate with knowledge management team to ensure that experiences and learning from the project are documented with evidence and available for wider dissemination

#### 2.2 Senior Technical Member

The technical members identified for the project include technical experts in the fields of Fisheries; Agriculture Engineering; Rural Marketing; and Institution Development.

#### 2.2.1 Position Description

Senior Technical Member is responsible for the execution of the project at the district and sub district level and provides subject inputs to the project team. The Senior Technical Person will be placed at the district level and will be members of the Field Management Team.

#### 2.2.2 Job Responsibility

(a) Execution

- Preparation of district level plan for implementation of project activities
- Implement project related activities in the district as per implementation plan and protocol
- Participate in the project meeting at the state level to plan and review project activities
- Monitor the activities of the project team to ensure that the activities are carried out in adherence to the project time line
- Monitor the processes and protocols followed by the project team so that the quality of intervention is ensured

• Ensure that the report of the district is prepared and submitted in time to the state office *(b) Subject input* 

- Provide subject related technical input to other team members and target fish farmer groups
- Be the resource person in capacity building activities for the project team and target group of fish farmers for matters related to their subject
- Provide subject matter inputs in the preparation of business plan and climate adaptation plan for the target small pond fish farmers

(c) Stakeholder Engagement

- Engage with district level external stakeholders according to the stakeholder management strategy of the project
- Represent the project to external stakeholders and make presentation if required
- Develop linkages with technical institutions to draw upon their technical inputs and bring them as part of project activities and interventions
- Inform district level stakeholders of the grievance mechanism in the project and engage with them on the resolution of project related grievances.

(d) Community engagement

- Undertake community engagement in the project area for sharing project related experiences and learning
- Inform the community level stakeholders on the grievance mechanism within the project and pro actively seek opportunities to seek and address grievances.

(e) Climate Change

• Pro actively identify, collate and analyse climate change parameters and adaptation measures within the project and in the external environment of the project

(f) Action-Reflection-Learning

• Facilitate learning amongst project members and intervened group of fish farmers through the process of action-reflection-learning

#### 2.3 Knowledge Manager

#### 2.3.1 Position Description

Knowledge Manger will be responsible for the initiating knowledge generation processes and development of knowledge products from the project. The Knowledge Manager will be placed at Bhopal.

#### 2.3.2 Job Responsibility

(a) Protocols for Knowledge Generation

- Development off protocols for knowledge generation
- Ensure the implementation of protocols, data collection, collation and analysis based on pre agreed hypothesis

(b) Accessing secondary sources of information

- Pro actively searching information and knowledge products from other projects and initiatives related to climate change and fisheries to provide inputs to the project
- Keeping the project informed of policy developments on climate change and fisheries so that these can be fed in to project activities

#### (c) Development of Knowledge Products

- Development of knowledge products for different audience especially on issues related to climate change and inland capture fisheries
- Dissemination of knowledge products and gaining feedback from different audience for providing as input to the project

(d) Climate Change

- Explore micro to macro level linkages of experiences and learning from the field to policy level inputs on issues related to climate change
- Accessing knowledge products developed by other projects and initiatives

#### (e) Facilitate Evaluations and Reviews

- Facilitate implementation of evaluations an reviews as per the monitoring and evaluation plan of the project
- Developing action taken report on the recommendations of the mid-term evaluation and reporting the same to NIE

#### 2.4 Junior Technical Member

The technical members identified for the project include technical experts in the fields of Fisheries; Agriculture Engineering; Rural Marketing; and Institution Development.

#### 2.4.1 Position Description

Junior Technical Member is responsible for the execution of the project at the sub district level and provides subject inputs to the cluster team and fish farmers. The Junior Technical Person will be placed at the district level and will report to the Senior Technical Member.

#### 2.4.2 Job Responsibility

(a) Execution

- Implement project related activities in the district as per implementation plan and protocol
- Participate in the project meeting at the district level to plan and review project activities
- Monitor the activities of the project team at the cluster level to ensure that the activities are carried out in adherence to the project time line
- Monitor the processes and protocols followed by the project team so that the quality of intervention is ensured

(b) Subject input

• Provide subject related technical input to other team members and target fish farmer groups

- Be the resource person in capacity building activities for the project team and target group of fish farmers for matters related to their subject
- Provide subject matter inputs in the preparation of business plan and climate adaptation plan for the target fish farmers

#### (c) Community engagement

• Undertake community engagement in the project area for sharing project related experiences and learning

#### (d) Participate in project processes as per Implementation Plans and Protocols

• Actively engage with target group of fish farmers for the implementation of project activities as per plan and protocols

#### (e) Support Cluster Team Members

• Provide technical and administrative support to the cluster team members to enable them to carry out their planned activities in the cluster

#### 2.5 Field Associates

#### 2.5.1 Position Description

Field Associates will have the responsibility of executing project activities with community, at the pond level and with targeted fish farmers in the project villages in the three districts. The Field Associates will be placed at the district level and as a team they will cover all the ponds that are selected by the project. The team of Field Associates will comprise of person with knowledge and skill to undertake Fisheries; Rural Marketing; Institution Development; and Research Investigator.

#### 2.5.2 Job Responsibility

#### (a) Information dissemination

- Inform the village community about the project objectives and activities
- Making community aware on the process of climate change and how does it impact their livelihoods
- Inform the village community about the grievance mechanism in the project
- Attend Gram Sabha to seek and resolve grievances on a regular basis

(b) Mobilisation

- Mobilisation of the community and fish farmer(s)/Group to undertake project related activities
- Mobilisation of Gram Sabha and Gram Panchayat to provide support to the project activities at the village and Panchayat level

(c) Capacity Building

- Facilitate implementation of capacity building plan of the fish farmers to enable them to become efficient fish farmers and climate resilient fish farmers
- Facilitate learning among the fish farmers that have gone on exposure visit to other project and institutions

(d) Meetings

- Conduct regular meetings of the fish farmer and their groups to develop them as saving and credit group
- Attend meeting at the district level conducted by Field Management Team to plan, monitor and review the implementation of activities under the project

#### (e) Data Collection

- Collect data and information from different stakeholders and report on the processes and impacts of the project
- Collect and maintain evidence of project processes, benefits and impacts so that the same can be used for documentation and development of knowledge products

## Annexure 9 Financial Service Plan

## 1. Capital Cost - Fish Farming on Common Property

- Major items of capital cost
  - o Pond Construction
  - o Boat

| Present Source of<br>Financial Service |                                     | Constraints and Challenges  | Proposed Financial Service Plan  |  |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| •                                      | Grant funding<br>from<br>government | <ul> <li>Government scheme for pond<br/>construction does not have specific<br/>design for pond for fisheries and<br/>for design that takes the impact of<br/>climate change in to account</li> <li>Process with government involves<br/>multiple stakeholders</li> <li>Long time duration for processing<br/>and implementation</li> <li>Delays, leakages and issues of<br/>quality</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Project will pilot pond construction<br/>with design that takes in to account<br/>the impact of climate change on<br/>water retention require for fisheries.</li> <li>Project will also select ponds where<br/>the design will be modified according<br/>to the needs and requirements of<br/>fisheries to adapt to climate change.</li> <li>Project will provide grant funding for<br/>the construction/modification of the<br/>pond.</li> </ul> |  |

## 2. Capital Cost - Fish Farming on Private lands

- Major items of capital cost
  - o Pond Construction
  - o Boat

| Present Source of<br>Financial Service  | Constraints and Challenges  | Proposed Financial Service Plan  |
|---|---|--|
| <ul> <li>Grant funding from government</li> <li>Own source/labour</li> <li>Borrowed from friends/ relatives (Boat)</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Capital is available in small<br/>installments hence delays in<br/>implementation</li> <li>Required amount may not be<br/>available hence compromises in<br/>size and quality</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Project will pilot pond construction<br/>with design that takes in to account<br/>the impact of climate change on<br/>water retention require for fisheries.</li> <li>Project will also select ponds where<br/>the design will be modified according<br/>to the needs and requirements of<br/>fisheries to adapt to climate change.</li> <li>Project will provide grant funding for<br/>the construction/modification of the<br/>pond.</li> </ul> |

## 3. Capital Cost - Hatchery by Private Operator

- Major items of expenditure include:
  - Pond Construction
  - Breeding and hatching pools
  - o Overhead tank
  - o Generator
  - o Tube well and Pump set
  - o Office and Packing space

| Present Source of<br>Financial Service |   | Constraints and Challenges  | Proposed Financial Service Plan  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| •                                      | Own<br>investment by<br>private<br>operator | <ul> <li>Require large amounts that is not available with small and marginal farmers</li> <li>Banks have not identified hatchery units as viable business propositions and do not provide funding for the same</li> </ul> | • Experiment with low cost hatchery<br>unit developed by CIFA will be<br>undertaken. The project will provide<br>grant funding for pilot nurseries |  |

#### 4. Working Capital - Fish Farming

- Major items of working capital expenditure are:
  - o Fish seed
  - o Fish Feed
  - Net and equipment
  - 0 Labour
  - o Fish Feed
  - o Transportation Cost

| Present Source of<br>Financial Service |               | Сс | onstraints and Challenges              | Pr | oposed Financial Service Plan      |
|--|---------------|----|--|----|------------------------------------|
| •                                      | Government    | •  | Credit from financial institutions and | •  | Project will prepare business      |
|  | grant funding |    | government is available only to        |    | development plan of each pond      |
| •                                      | Contribution  |    | cooperative societies. Livelihood      |    | and train and orient the fish      |
|  | pooled by     |    | Groups/ SHGs and other forms of        |    | farmer(s) group to track their     |
|  | members of    |    | informal groups do not access to these |    | expenditure so that they can       |
|  | fish farmer   |    | sources of credit                      |    | assess for themselves the cost and |
|  | groups        | •  | Contribution pooled from members       |    | income implications of adaptive    |
| •                                      | Fishermen     |    | sets limit to the extent to which the  |    | strategies and also use the        |
|  | Credit Card   |    | group will be able to do business      |    | documentation for accessing        |
|  | scheme that   |    | though the potential may be higher     |    | credit from formal sources         |

| provides<br>credit at 1%<br>(reported by<br>Apex Bank)<br>to<br>Cooperative<br>Societies only | <ul> <li>Fishermen Credit Card scheme has<br/>been a non starter and no example of<br/>the card being issued or used was found<br/>in proposed districts</li> <li>None of the fish farming groups<br/>(formal or non formal) keep track of<br/>their income and expenses as a result of<br/>which they are unable to access credit<br/>from</li> <li>Credit to modify or make mid course<br/>corrections to adapt to climate change<br/>or extreme weather events is not<br/>available</li> </ul> | • | Orientation of banking<br>representatives on business plans<br>developed through the Lead Bank<br>Officer to provide credit on a<br>pilot basis to fish farmer groups<br>Fish Farmer groups to be<br>facilitated to form cooperative<br>society so that they can<br>increasingly access banking<br>services for savings, credit and<br>for making investments as well<br>Regular facilitation, handholding<br>and mentoring to fish farmer<br>groups for their institutional<br>strengthening |
|---|---|---|---|
|---|---|---|---|

#### 5. Working Capital - Hatchery by Private Operators

- Major items of expenditure for working capital of hatchery are:
  - o Netting cost
  - o Labour
  - o Hormones
  - o Lime fertilizer and manure
  - o Electricity
  - o Packing cost

| Present Source of<br>Financial Service |   | Constraints and Challenges   | Proposed Financial Service Plan  |
|--|---|--|--|
| •                                      | Own<br>investment by<br>private<br>operator | <ul> <li>Investments are large and generally the hatchery is conducted by an individual operator. Small and marginal farmers find it difficult to access funds to operate the hatchery.</li> <li>Private hatchery has not emerged as a bankable business proposition to be funded by financial institutions</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Development of business plan for<br/>hatchery units and training fish<br/>farmers to run and operate the unit on<br/>business lines</li> <li>Grant funding will be provided by the<br/>project for low cost hatchery units</li> </ul> |

#### 6. Business Development Cost

- Major items cost include:
  - o Making investments for storage
  - o Backward/forward integration of production/ business processes
  - 0 Mechanisation and investments to improve farm productivity

| Present Source of   | Constraints and Challenges  | Proposed Financial Service Plan  |
|---|---|--|
| Financial Service   |   |  |
| <ul> <li>Own<br/>investment</li> <li>Ploughing<br/>back of profits</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Fish Farmers have not assessed the benefits of backward and forward linkages that will help them in developing adaptive capacity for fish farming. The access to these linkages is negligible.</li> <li>Lack of knowledge about the development of machines and other technologies constraints the fish farmers in allocating part of their profits from fisheries for making growth related investments</li> <li>Financial institutions do not have credit products that allow fish farmers to make growth related investments</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Train, orient and develop<br/>the skill of the fish farmer in<br/>alternative technological<br/>options to adapt to<br/>vulnerabilities arising out of<br/>climate change.</li> <li>Project to provide grant<br/>funds as pilot for installation<br/>and rolling out of adaptive<br/>technological options for the<br/>fish farmers.</li> <li>Regular account keeping by<br/>the fish farmers group will<br/>be facilitated that will allow<br/>assessment of surplus and<br/>allocation of fund for<br/>growth related activities and<br/>technologies.</li> </ul> |

## 7. Capacity Building and Skill Enhancement

- Major items of expenditure are:
  - Cost for skill enhancement for developing and implementing strategies that adapt fisheries to climate change
  - Exposure visit to other farms that have employed adaptive strategies in fisheries to climate change
  - Regular contact with source of information on the impact of climate change on fisheries and innovations and experiments being tried at other places

| Present Source of<br>Financial Service |  | Constraints and Challenges  | Proposed Financial Service Plan  |
|--|--|---|--|
| •                                      | Own<br>investment<br>Government<br>bearing cost of<br>training and<br>exposure | • Government has not specifically<br>identified vulnerabilities arising out of<br>climate change for fish farmers. The<br>training programmes are in<br>development of skill and capacities<br>related to business as usual fisheries | <ul> <li>Project to provide grant<br/>fund to fish farmers for<br/>their capacity enhancement<br/>relate to climate change<br/>adaptive strategies</li> <li>Fish Farmers that have<br/>gained higher degree of<br/>competence will be</li> </ul> |

| • No credit or support facility available to | developed as peer educators |
|--|-----------------------------|
| fish farmers for undergoing skill            | so that they can develop    |
| enhancement                                  | themselves as service       |
|  | providers to a larger group |
|  | of fish farmers on issues   |
|  | related to fisheries and    |
|  | climate change              |
|  | Ŭ                           |

#### 8. Insurance

- Major source of expenditure is:
  - Premium money for insurance

| Present Source of Financial<br>Service   | Constraints and Challenges   | Proposed Financial Service Plan   |
|--|--|---|
| <ul> <li>Present schemes are<br/>for life and accident<br/>insurance of fish<br/>farmers</li> <li>Insurance product<br/>that protects loss of<br/>business due to<br/>disease exists</li> <li>Insurance product<br/>based on to weather<br/>based insurance to<br/>protect against loss of<br/>business due to<br/>climate change have<br/>been launched by<br/>private companies</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Lack of information with the fish farmers on the need for insurance as protection against business</li> <li>There are no micro insurance schemes in the state (ILO report)</li> <li>Lack of knowledge among fish farmers on weather based insurance products and their ability to assess different products that will suit their requirement</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>Training fish farmers on<br/>basic of insurance and<br/>weather based insurance<br/>products</li> <li>Fish Farmers to make their<br/>own investment on<br/>premium to protect against<br/>loss due to factors of climate<br/>change</li> <li>Project will engage<br/>intensively with the private<br/>insurance companies to<br/>increase direct interaction<br/>between fish farmers and<br/>insurance companies with<br/>the aim of improvement in<br/>the operation of the<br/>insurance product</li> </ul> |


# Annexure 10 Pond Design



# Annexure 11 Bhatiyari: Technical and Financial Feasibility

#### Socio-economic profile

The name of the pond probably derived from stones as the village has a very large stony waste of calcareous limestone formation, and the rocky outcrop constitutes the major portion of the village. Bhatiyari has the revenue status of a village and also the status of a Gram Panchayat. The village has three major hamlets named as Patelpura, Khagpura and Kaneshiyapura located around 1-2 kms away on the Kukshi-Akhada road in Dhar district of MP. The village inhabits Bhil and Bhilala tribes and some households belonging to scheduled caste population.

The pond is used by the households located in the hamlet of Patelpura and all the lands in the catchment belong to the Bhil and Bhilala communities of this hamlet. 20 households own land on the catchment of this pond and their habitation also falls in the catchment area. The major crop of the village in the rainy season is cotton, soybean, maize, and chillies. During winter crop the entire land is put under either wheat or gram. Major irrigation sources for agriculture are 5 bore wells that provide water to 25 ha of land and the remaining 5 ha of land is irrigated by drawing water from the pond.

#### Location of Pond

The pond is located on longitude and latitude of 74º42'25" and 22º19'35". It is mapped in topo sheet number 46 –J/11 that is surveyed in 1971 and updated in 2005-06 and published by the Survey of India on 1:50000 scale. The pond is situated North West of the Patelpura hamlet of Bhatiyari village. Bhatiyari pond was identified with **P2 priority** under the protocol for selection of ponds for fisheries ii the district.

The pond and its entire catchment lie between 300 m and 290 m intervals as per the extrapolation. The top of the catchment and ground level of the pond site has difference of 10 m in their elevation. Two first order streams originated from the catchment drains on the pond. The longer drain is visible and has 2 m width and 1 m depth in the top reaches, its depth decreases as the stream approaches to pond, as the drainage point is highly rocky in nature. The pond site is natural depression embanked from one side make it a 4 sided pond. The second stream is not visible, as it brings runoff mostly from the agriculture fields.

#### **Catchment Characters**

The catchment of the pond falls in Ojhar River that finally meets the Narmada River. The soil type is Black stone mixed soil and patches of whitish soil due to the presence of calcareous soils. The slope of the catchment is 15 to 20% and drainage density is 2.96 m/square m.

The entire 45 ha catchment of the pond could be divided into three parts.

*First Part:* The top of the catchment is formed with common land of the village of around 10 ha. This land has been acquired by a solar power generation plants and presently this is fenced. As a result this part of the catchment is completely protected and the bushes and grasses could be seen with high density. One small percolation pond is also available on this patch and its recharge is available to main pond and tube wells. 4 small ponds are also built by the government with 10mX10m dimensions constructed under Balram scheme. This patch has shallow 2 m ground water table. As such catchment treatment need not be done in the development of this part of the catchment.



*Second Part:* The middle and top of catchment is part of the habitation and roads having areas around 5 ha. This part of the catchment does not need any kind of treatment.

*Third Part:* The middle and lower part of the catchment is agriculture land and it occupies an area of around 30 ha. All the lands need to be bunded especially from the water discharge section. This part also has 5 bore wells with 60-70 m deep and water struck on 40-50 m depth. These bore wells runs well till end of Rabi season, however towards the end of the summer they shows sign of exhaustion and drying up. This part of the catchment has good vegetation in the middle part and several long and aged trees of Mango, Tad, and Neem could be observed. However, towards the end no trees are visible.

### Pond Character

The pond is built on a natural depression on the calcareous bed rock that allows impounding water without much recharge to the underground formations. It is rectangular in shape and built around 40-50 years back by the revenue department. The pond is under the jurisdiction of Panchayat for its management.

The pond has an earthen embankment that is 110 m long and 10 m in height with 2.5 m top width on average. At present maximum water depth is 3 m in the middle section and 1.5 to 2 m towards the pond sides. The pond is 2 m empty at this stage, which makes the full reservoir level the depth of pond to 5 m. In the year 2005-06,

around 3 m silt was removed from the pond that was applied in the nearby agricultural fields. The storage area of the pond is 2.3 ha.

The waste weir is constructed on the left bank of the pond having 4 m depth and 40 m length and 2 m width. The capacity of the waste weir is sufficient to discharge the water from this catchment as it is almost equal of the inlet stream having cross section of 0.6 m x 2 m.



#### Making Pond Climate Adaptable

The pond ecosystem constitutes of catchment that comprise of common land and agriculture land and pond storage area. The catchment to storage area ratio is around 20 and the runoff water from the agriculture fields are reaching to this pond. The agricultural land is mostly not-bunded. The high catchment-storage ratio clubbed with direct runoff from agriculture field is contributing reduction in the capacity of the pond. To make it climate proof for fishery, the pond needs to be de-silted to ensure adequate water during dry months and catchment treatment to reduce the silt load towards the pond.

#### (a) Pond Deepening

#### De-siltation of Bhatiyari Pond (area 2.3 hac)

| S.No. | Particular                    | Calculation | Area or volume | Unit |
|-------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|------|
| 1     | Catchment Area                |             | 45             | ha   |
| 2     | Average rainfall              |             | 700            | mm   |
| 3     | Available water from Rainfall | 1x2         | 31.5           | ha-m |
| 4     | Ground Water Recharge         | 3x10/100    | 3.15           | ha-m |
| 5     | Runoff (40%)                  | 3x40/100    | 12.6           | ha-m |
| 6     | Evapo transpiration (40%)     | 3x40/100    | 12.6           | ha-m |
| 7     | Soil moisture (10%)           | 3x10/100    | 3.15           | ha-m |
| 8     | Pond storage                  |             | 2.3            | ha   |
| 7     | Maximum depth                 |             | 5              | М    |

| 8  | Pond capacity at FRL                          | 2.3x5 m  | 11.5 | ha-m    |
|----|---|--|------|---------|
| 9  | Runoff goes out                               | 5-8  | 1.1  | ha-m    |
| 10 | Capacity to be increased                      |  | 1.1  | ha-m    |
| 11 | Desiltation needed (only 40% area of storage) | 2.3x0.4x1 (40% of<br>storage area from 1 m<br>depth) | 0.92 | ha-m    |
| 12 | Volume of silt                                |  | 9200 | Cubic m |

CSR rate for excavation of 9200 cum soft soil=9200x86 Cost of the excavation=**Rs. 791,200** ....*(a)* 

The individual beneficiaries of entire village would contribute in terms of transportation and putting the soil in their agriculture field. This cost could be reduced substantially using machines though manual digging would generate an additional employment of around 6000 person days within the village.

### (b) Catchment Treatment

#### (b.1) Calculation of Loose Stone Boulders Check Dams on Streams

Length of larger stream= 1.5 kms out of which 1 km needs treatment. Assuming,100 m intervals 10 such structures to be constructed.

Top Width= 1 m Upstream slope= 1:1 Downstream slope=1.3 Length= 2 m Average volume of each structure= $\{(1+5)/2x2x1\}=6$  cum Total volume of work for LBCD= 60 cum

| CSR Item | Particular                                     | Volume | Unit | Rate   | Amount in Rs. |
|----------|--|--------|------|--------|---------------|
| 1807     | Collection of boulders without excavation      | 3      | Cum  | 88.90  | 266.70        |
| 1902/8   | Transportation of boulders from 8 kms distance | 3      | Cum  | 133.47 | 400.41        |
| 2311     | Labour for pitching                            | 3      | Cum  | 267.70 | 803.10        |
|          |  |        |      |        | 1470.21       |

Cost for collection and pitching of 3 cum= Rs.1470.21Contingency (5%)=Rs.73.51Total cost for 3 cum stone pitching=Rs.1543.73Cost for 1 cum stone pitching=Rs.514.57Cost of 10 LBCD=Rs.30840 ...(b)

#### (b.2) Calculations for Bunding of Agriculture Land

Area to be bunded =30 ha Average length of bunds per ha =100 m Total length for bund of 30 ha =3000 m Cost of RMT at current CSR=115 per RMTCost of Earthen Bund $= \text{Rs 345,000} \dots (c)$ 

| (b.3) Waste Weir                  |            |     |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----|
| 30 stone waste weir of 2 cum size | = 30x2x514 |     |
| Cost of Waste weir                | = Rs 30840 | (d) |

#### Technical Feasibility of Bahtiyari Pond (2.3 ha)

| S.No. | Activity   | Amount (Rs.) |
|-------|--|--------------|
| 1     | Plantation and protection of common lands- Gully plugging through    | 30,840       |
|       | loose stone boulders in longer stream $\dots(b)$                     |              |
| 2     | Field bunding in agricultural fields $\dots(c)$                      | 345,000      |
| 3     | Provision of stone waste weirs in 30 fields $\dots(d)$               | 30,840       |
| 4     | Grass seeding on the newly bunded fields @100 per ha and before the  | 3000         |
|       | gully plugs  |              |
| 5     | De-siltation in 40% of the storage area to 1 m depth $\dots(a)$      | 791,200      |
| 6     | Repair of the leakage from the embankment constructing key trench    | 0            |
| 7     | Repair of the waste weir and placing a mesh for protection of fishes | 5000         |
|       | Total  | 12,05,880    |

#### Financial Viability

The financial viability of the Bhatiyari Pond based has been assessed in the ensuing paragraphs.

| (i) | Cost |
|-----|------|
| (1) | 0031 |

| S.No                 | Particulars  | Unit                  | Quantum            | Rate<br>(Rs)    | Total (Rs)           |
|----------------------|--|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Capita               | 1 Cost   |                       |                    |                 |                      |
| 1                    | Site Clearance and Development   |                       |                    |                 | 12,05,880            |
| 2                    | Net s and Other Implements   | Incl in<br>harvesting |                    |                 |                      |
| 3                    | Total (CC)   |                       |                    |                 | 12,05,880            |
| Opera                | tional Cost (Bhatiyari Pond 2.3 hac)                                       |                       |                    |                 |                      |
| 1                    | Lime (500 kg per hac)  | Kg                    | 1150               | 5               | 5750                 |
| 2                    | Super Phosphate (250 kg per hac)   | Kg                    | 460                | 12.20           | 5612                 |
| 3                    | Fish Seed (R:C:M:CC-30:20:10:40)<br>10000 fish seed per ha fingerling size | Fingerling            | 23000              | 5               | 115,000              |
| 4                    | Harvesting & Security (@ 80% survival)                                     | Per kg                | 18400              | 5               | 92,000               |
| 5                    | Total (OC)   |                       |                    |                 | 218,362              |
| 6                    | Total Cost(CC+OC)  |                       |                    |                 | 14,24,242            |
| Note: Co<br>made ava | ost for net and implements are included in harvesting.                     | Cost of cow du        | ng for fish feed w | ill not be incu | rred as this will be |

# (ii) Production and Income

| Survival                | ⁰∕₀ | 80        |
|-------------------------|-----|-----------|
| Average Size of Harvest | Kg  | 1         |
| Total Production        | Kg  | 18400     |
| Sale Price at Pond      | Rs  | 60        |
| Gross Income            | Rs  | 11,04,000 |

# Financial Viability

| Cost           | Year     |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |  |
|----------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--|
|                | 1        | 2       | 3       | 4       | 5       | 6       | 7       | 8       |  |
| Capital Cost   | 1205880  | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       | 0       |  |
| Recurring Cost | 218362   | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  |  |
| Total          | 1424242  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  | 218362  |  |
| Income Sale of | 552000   | 1104000 | 1104000 | 1104000 | 1104000 | 1104000 | 1104000 | 1104000 |  |
| Fish           |          |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |  |
| Net Income     | -872242  | 885638  | 885638  | 885638  | 885638  | 885638  | 885638  | 885638  |  |
| NPV Cost       | 1637878  | 25116   | 25116   | 25116   | 25116   | 25116   | 25116   | 25116   |  |
| NPV Benefits   | -741406  | 752792  | 752792  | 752792  | 752792  | 752792  | 752792  | 752792  |  |
| NPV            | -2379284 | 501676  | 501676  | 501676  | 501676  | 501676  | 501676  | 501676  |  |
| BCR            | 1.33     |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |  |
| IRR            | 11%      |         |         |         |         |         |         |         |  |

# Appendix I

# Methodology for the Development of ESI Screening and ESMP of Sub Projects

| Project/Programme Category:    | REGULAR                                      |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Country/ies:                   | INDIA  |
| Title of Project/Programme:    | BUILDING ADAPTIVE CAPACITIES OF SMALL INLAND |
|                                | FISHERS FOR CLIMATE RESILIENCE AND           |
|                                | LIVELIHOOD SECURITY, MADHYA PRADESH, INDIA   |
| Type of Implementing Entity:   | NIE  |
| Implementing Entity:           | NATIONAL BANK FOR AGRICULTURE AND RURAL      |
|                                | DEVELOPMENT (NABARD)                         |
| Executing Entity/ies:          | TOWARDS ACTION AND LEARNING(TAAL)            |
| Amount of Financing Requested: | US\$1,790,500 (in U.S Dollars Equivalent)    |

# Contents

| 1.0 INTRODUCTION                                       | . 211 |
|--|-------|
| 2.0 Project Description                                | . 211 |
| 3.0 Project Components                                 | . 212 |
| 4.0 Policy and Legal Context for Small Scale Fisheries | . 213 |
| 5.0 Environment Impacts                                | . 214 |
| 6.0 SOCIAL IMPACTS                                     | . 218 |
| 7.0 Environment and Social Risk Management Plan        | .222  |
| 8.0 Monitoring and Reporting                           | .226  |
| 9.0 Implementation Schedule                            | .227  |
| 10.0 Cost for ESI Screening and ESMP                   | .227  |
| Format 1 Format of Sub Project ESI Screening           | .229  |
| Format 2 Format for Sub Project ESMP                   | . 231 |

### **1.0Introduction**

1.1 Inland fisheries, in India, is unorganised, scattered over a large geographic area, and is carried out mostly at a small scale in ponds and tanks. Though the fresh water aquaculture has moved from a traditional backyard activity towards a viable commercial practise, in many areas the gap between potential gap in productivity is almost 5 to 7 times. Fisheries offer diverse employment opportunities, self employment on a full time basis or as a part-time or occasional fishers. The value chain of inland fisheries generates ancillary employment both for the supply of material and equipment as well as in processing and trading in the fish markets throughout the country.

1.2 Madhya Pradesh that is located in central part of India and inland fisheries provides a major source of employment in rural areas. Practised in rivers, ponds and reservoirs, the fish culture employs range of culture-cum-capture techniques. Socially, there are traditional caste based fisher communities that have been carrying out commercial fisheries for generations. These communities are listed as scheduled caste in the state. The tribal fishers traditionally have been a fish hunter. It is only recently that this group has learned, and is adopting the practice of fish culture. Poverty is high amongst tribal communities and rural livelihoods opportunities are very limited.

1.3 The climate assessment reports increased uncertainty in prediction of rain, decrease in number of rainy days, increase in intensity of rainfall and extreme rainfall events, and increase in intensity of storms and monsoon depressions. Fish farming is a climate sensitive activity. Fish reproduction and growth are affected by temperature, rainfall and hydrology. Small fish farmers conduct fish culture on small ponds that are susceptible to drying up faster thereby shortening the growing season and adversely affecting production of fish. Intensive rainfall pattern increase the frequency of pond inundation that causes loss of fish stock. Delayed rainfall, delays the introduction of fish seed that shortens the growth period further decreasing the productivity of fish farms. Non climatic factors that include lack of access to market and financial infrastructure and low access to insurance schemes add to their vulnerability in practicing productive fish farming.

# 2.0 Project Description

2.1 The proposed project is located in three districts of Madhya Pradesh, namely Dhar, Jhabua and Alirajpur. These districts fall within the same agro climatic zone that is identified as the Jhabua Hills. The small farmers in these districts are faced with uncertain rainfall patterns, decrease in pre and post monsoon rainfall, rising temperature, and shifting rainfall patterns and have explored small-scale fish culture as a viable livelihood option.

2.2 The overall purpose of the project is to make the small scale inland fisheries sector of Madhya Pradesh more climate resilient and to enhance the adaptive capacity of fish farmers and their livelihoods. The project aims to achieve this by increasing the water storage capacity in fish ponds, by improving the capacity of the poor and marginalised farmers to engage in climate smart aquaculture practices, by raising the awareness of climate change and its impacts, and by disseminating adaptation strategies for small pond aquaculture.

2.3 The project will work with 60 existing ponds located in the project districts (20 ponds in each district). These ponds will be selected and finalised through a process of geo-hydrological assessment and in consultation with village communities. The project has been designed to be implemented over a three year period and will be implemented by a field team that will be placed in each of the three districts.

### 3.0 Project Components

3.1 The project presents four components, namely, the adaptive measures to address rainfall variability; building resilience through adaptation of climate resilient technology; building climate resilience through enhancement of adaptive capacity; and knowledge generation and management.

| Component                           | Activities   |  |  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Component 1                         | 1.1 Protocol for prioritising rural ponds (less than 10 ha) for  |  |  |
| Adaptive measures to address        | inland fisheries developed and implemented   |  |  |
| rainfall variability                | 1.2 Modified Pond design specifically for fisheries developed and implemented on selected existing ponds |  |  |
|                                     | 1.3 Insurance product developed that provides  |  |  |
|                                     | resources for making modifications to the technical design   |  |  |
|                                     | of the pond after the projected climatic changes take place  |  |  |
| Component 2                         | 2.1Catchment Treatment of Ponds selected for intervention  |  |  |
| Building resilience through         | to provide climate resilience to small pond fisheries  |  |  |
| adaptation of climate resilient     | 2.2Pond temperature regulating best management practices   |  |  |
| technology                          | and greening the pond surrounds  |  |  |
|                                     | 2.3Best management practices to decrease likelihood of   |  |  |
|                                     | oxygen deficiency along with use of oxygen tablets and solar   |  |  |
|                                     | powered aerators   |  |  |
|                                     | 2.4 Composite fish culture practices with combination of   |  |  |
|                                     | intensive, semi intensive and extensive culture practices  |  |  |
|                                     | based on fish farmers capacity   |  |  |
|                                     | 2.5Seed hatcheries (3 units) 2 nurseries (0.1 ha) and 1 seed   |  |  |
|                                     | rearing unit (0.1 ha) per district established   |  |  |
| Component 3                         | 3.1Productivity of fish farmers enhanced towards optimal   |  |  |
| Building climate resilience through | level of production through training and capacity building on  |  |  |
| enhancement of adaptive capacity    | climate resilient fish farming   |  |  |

3.2 Component wise project activities have been designed as follows:

| Component                | Activities   |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
|                          | 3.2 Fish farmers supported through market infrastructure     |  |  |  |  |
|                          | and value chain assessment done                              |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 3.3 Fish farmers prepare business plan based on local market |  |  |  |  |
|                          | and existing value chain                                     |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 3.4Institutional support interventions so as to enable Local |  |  |  |  |
|                          | Governance Institutions and fish farmers to play the role    |  |  |  |  |
|                          | envisaged in the legal framework of the State                |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 3.5Insurance coverage provided for risk minimisation of fish |  |  |  |  |
|                          | farmers of the project                                       |  |  |  |  |
| Component 4              | 4.1District Steering Committee Meetings                      |  |  |  |  |
| Knowledge generation and | 4.2Technical Advisory Group Meetings                         |  |  |  |  |
| management               | 4.3 State Steering Committee                                 |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4.4 Climate Change Observatory                               |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4.5 Action Reflection Meetings                               |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4.6 Systematisation  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4.7 Process Documentation                                    |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4.8 Policy Briefs  |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4.9 Training of Civil Society Organisation                   |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4.10 Learning Workshops                                      |  |  |  |  |
|                          | 4.11 Knowledge Products                                      |  |  |  |  |

# 4.0 Policy and Legal Context for Small Scale Fisheries

4.1 Madhya Pradesh has a Policy for Fisheries that was declared in 2008. The policy deals with the conduct of fisheries in large reservoirs as well as small scale fish farming. The policy lays down the principles on which the rights for fishing will be accorded to different water bodies in the state. The present proposal aims at working with small pond size of less than 10 hectares. According to the State Policy for Fisheries a pond with less than 10 hectare, lie within the territorial jurisdiction of the Gram Panchayat in which it is situated.

4.2 The orders issued in pursuance for the policy for fisheries in small ponds (less than 10 hectares) stipulate that the Gram Panchayats on the recommendations of the Gram Sabha will allocate ponds for fisheries that lie within their territorial jurisdiction. The pond will be given for lease, the period of which will be for 10 years, to the lessee. The lessee has absolute rights over the pond for the conduct of fish farming and these rights are protected by way of lease document that is signed between the Gram Panchayat and the lessee. The proposed project aims to work with small ponds (average size 4 hectares) and hence will be working within the framework of coordination with the Gram Panchayats for ascertaining leasing and other rights for fisheries.

4.3 The State Policy for Fisheries has not yet recognised the impact of climate change on fisheries in general and to the small scale fish farmers in particular. This is a major policy gap and the project will be able to generate data and experience that has the potential to feed in to policy enrichment and incorporation of climate change factors in to state Policy for Fisheries.

4.4 The rights over ponds for fisheries and the catchment area for fisheries are governed by the Land Revenue Code, in case of land belonging to Gram Panchayat, and the Forest Conservation Act in case the land belongs to the Forest Department. The former defines the rights over commons that are available for the village community and the latter lays down the basis on which catchment treatment works will be undertaken on forest land. The proposed project will observe the provisions of both these acts in ensuring access to commons for the members of the village community.

4.5 Two acts that also impact the project activities are the Wildlife Protection Act and the Biodiversity Act. The former prohibits uprooting natural habitats and protection of endangered species and places restrictions on the conduct of livelihood activities in protected area. The latter lays down the process and the procedure through which the bio diversity of a village will be recorded. The state is empowered to restrict the conduct of research or any such activity that poses threat to the biodiversity of an ecological unit. The project adheres to the provisions of the act as it will not operate in a protected area and has identified Species and Habitats as one of the issues for ESI Screening at the sub-project level (that is village level). The bio-diversity of the area will be protected through a systematic assessment during the preparation of sub project, ESI Screening and through extensive consultations with the village community in the selection of species for plantation in the catchment area.

4.6 Madhya Pradesh Panchayat Raj and Gram Swaraj Act lays down the principles on which the Gram Panchayat will operate. There are specific provisions for areas that are predominantly inhabited by tribal communities and are listed as Schedule V in the constitutions. The three districts proposed by the project are listed in the Schedule V area and hence the special provisions pertaining to Schedule V area will apply for the project.

4.7 The special provisions for Schedule V areas lay down that the Gram Sabha (village assembly) has the power to determine the manner in which and the purpose for which the natural resources of the village will be used. This implies that it is the Gram Sabha that will recommend a pond to be used for fisheries; recommend the names of beneficiary(ies) that will practice fish farming in the pond; and approve the catchment treatment plan for the common land in relation to the pond. These provisions are mandatory and the project has built in the processes of consultations and approvals from the Gram Sabha for the project activities.

# 5.0 Environment Impacts

The objective of assessing potential environment effects is to identify issues and plan for actions to avoid adverse impacts and enhance environmental benefits from the project. The direct positive environmental effects are on soil, vegetation, and natural habitats. The adverse environmental impacts are on water resources and on quality of water in ponds. The waste generated from the project activities especially the plastic bags in which the material is carried is another environmental nuisance that needs to be addressed by the project. For the human habitation the project does not disrupts any of the services or conduct of livelihood activities. In fact livelihoods will gain with increased availability of fuel and fodder supply for the human settlement. The adverse impact on public health will have to be dealt with by the project so as to minimise risk of vector borne diseases.

The specific environmental effects from the project activities are given in the following paragraphs:

## 5.1 Species and Habitats

5.1.1 The project will work on existing ponds and in the catchment area of these ponds. The activity entails deepening of the pond and clearance of bushes and other similar vegetation around the pond that inhibit conduct of productive aquaculture. Since aquaculture activities are carried out in these ponds there is low probability of the existence of habitats of water birds and migratory birds as well as other aquatic life. Similarly a large proportion of the catchment area will be already subject to regular human and agriculture activity and there is less likelihood of existence of wildlife habitats and flora and fauna. There are no specific species listed for the region nor any critical habitats have been identified.

5.1.2 The impact on habitats and species as a result of project related activities will be low and localised and will not affect the ecology of the region. On the other hand deepening of the pond and plantation of localised species of trees and grasses in the catchment will enrich the local ecology and both the aquatic and the avian life is expected to flourish.

# 5.2 Biodiversity

5.2.1 Loss of some aquatic species is inevitable as the project will clear the pond sites and remove aquatic species that harm the conduct of productive aquaculture. However since the ponds sites are small these loss of species will be localised and will not affect the biodiversity of the region. Even the adoption of clustering approach (for the pond sites) will lead to the selection of a limited number of pond sites and catchment areas and hence the impact on biodiversity of the region will be low.

5.2.2 The project will not be introducing any exotic or invasive species either for aquaculture or in the catchment area. This strategy will strengthen and support the existing biodiversity at the micro level. The process of community consultations to identify species for plantation in the catchment will further lend strength for the biodiversity conservation of the area.

### 5.3 Soil Erosion

5.3.1 The topography of the project area is undulating and in the absence of vegetative cover the rate of soil erosion is high. The rate of erosion is further intensified when there are agriculture operations and other human activity that are carried out in the catchment area as these activities loosen the top soil that is further subjected to erosion. The erosion of soil increases the silt load to the pond increasing the rate of sedimentation and affecting the productive life of the pond to conduct fisheries.

5.3.2 The project aims to undertake measures to arrest soil erosion. These measures include making of field bunds, contour trenching, gully plugging through use of loose boulder small check dams, and plantation of trees and grasses to provide vegetative barriers to the running of water .As the project will adopt in- situ conservation measures the likelihood of soil erosion on account of making of contour trenches and construction of gully plugs using loose boulder check dams is not likely to take place.

5.3.3 The net impact of catchment treatment will be positive as the structureswill arrest further erosion of soil and decrease the rate of silt load to ponds. The positive impact will be further supported through plantation of trees and grasses in the catchment area that will act as soil cover in dissipating the impact of rain as it will fall on groundas well as wind breakers.

# 5.4 Water Quality

5.4.1 The quality of water will be affected in three ways: one, chemical quality of water on account of use of fertilisers and pesticides by the farmers in the catchment area; two, the decaying organic matter that will increase with greening of pond surroundings; three, physical quality of water as it flows from the catchment to the pond especially during monsoon months.

5.4.2 Though the rate of fertiliser and pesticide use in the project districts is well below the state and national average, yet the fact that the farmers have adopted the practice of use of chemical inputs make the impact on quality of water real. As the catchment treatment plan will be implemented and the farmers will find increased moisture in their farm lands they may be tempted to intensify agriculture through greater use of chemical fertilisers and pesticides. This may increase the impact on quality of water flowing in to pond and adversely impact the quality of water for conduct of fisheries.

5.4.3 Increased plantation in the catchment area and greening of the pond surroundings will increase the volume of decaying terrestrial vegetation that will find its way to the pond. Increased supply of decaying vegetation will increase the nutrient supply in water and promote algae bloom in pond. This in turn will lead to higher demand for oxygen and adversely affect aquatic life.

5.4.4 With the implementation of catchment treatment, the physical quality of water flowing in the pond during monsoon months is likely to be better. This will be on account of decrease in soil erosion, increased filtration and greater in-situ soil conservation and hence the water that flows to pond will lead to decrease in the rate of sedimentation and silt formation in the pond.

### 5.5 Soil Disposal

5.5.1 The project will work only with existing ponds. This implies that there will not be large- scale displacement of soil on account of excavation and in developing access to the pond site. However there are two project activities that will lead to displacement of soil: one, during deepening of pond that will excavate a large volume of silt; and two, in the implementation of soil and moisture conservation works that will include construction of field bunds, contour trenches and gulley plugs.

5.5.2 The existing practise in the region is to use the de-silted soil on farm lands as it adds to the productivity of land. The farmers aspire to access such soil and often bear the cost of transporting such soil to the lands. The project aims to facilitate farmers in the catchment area to use the soil excavated in the de-silting and pond deepening process on their farm lands.

5.5.3 The catchment treatment plan is based on the principle of *in-situ*soil conservation. The field bunds will be constructed from the existing soil in the fields and will be compacted through plantation of grasses. These works are not likely to displace as whatever soil excavated or displaced will be used locally for construction of structures and does not pose the problem of disposal.

## 5.6 Water Resource

5.6.1 Ground water will be impacted as the volume of water stored in pond increases. The positive impact will also be on account of increased moisture conservation in the catchment area which will bring in changes in the catchment infiltration. Though the average size of pond is small (4 ha), yet the raised water levels and infiltration will have impact on localised water table upstream and downstream.

### 5.7 Waste Disposal

5.7.1 The project activities especially related to construction of waste weir will use cement and will generate waste by way of cement bags. Similarly, the transportation of fingerlings is done in plastic bags which will another source of waste that will be the result of project related activities. The transportation of fish to the markets is undertaken either in gunny (jute bags) or in boxes made from thermocol (polystyrene). The nursery saplings for trees are transported in plastic wrappings and grass seed in plastic or jute bags.

5.7.2 Disposal mechanisms for non-degradable waste will be incorporated as part of project activities else the countryside will be littered with plastic and similar other waste posing threat to animals and adding to the plastic heaps in villages.

# 5.8 Public Health

5.8.1 Increased water retention increases the risk of vector borne disease in the project villages. Since the settlement structure is based on hamlets the occurrence of these diseases will not take epidemic form but the potential that it poses as a threat to public health has been identified.

### 5.9 Landscape

5.9.1 The project will have a positive impact on the general landscape of the area as it will not only green the pond surroundings but will also increase the vegetative cover of the catchment area and thereby enhancing the aesthetics of the village landscape in general.

## 5.10 Physical and cultural infrastructure

5.10.1 The tribal community in the project area has traditionally been animistic and have their respective localised scared areas and places of worship. These areas are socially and physically protected and will not be available to the project for catchment treatment works.

### 6.0 Social Impacts

The social impact Screening has identified potential issues that can dilute any negative impact of project activities and enable development of management strategy to address these issues within the project frame. On the positive side, the project targets the vulnerable groups in terms of primary and secondary beneficiaries and in no way leads to loss of agriculture land or poses restrictions for the access and use of commons or causes displacement of human habitation. The project does not violate any human rights and seeks to provide equal opportunities in access and benefits from the project. The issues that need to be addressed are related to rights of workers that will be engaged by the project and especially creation of facilities that will make access easier for the utilisation of women labour.

## 6.1 Vulnerable Groups

6.1.1 The social composition of the project area comprises of primarily tribal communities that predominantly belong to the Bhils and the Bhilala tribal groups. The tribal community is vulnerable on account of lack of access to resources, lack of education and on-going poverty and due to the fact that they have not had adequate opportunities to develop into a prosperous farming community. The project will target members of the tribal community as its primary beneficiaries and the community will gain in terms of improving its access and control over natural resources and through gain of skills and knowledge in the conduct of fresh water aquaculture.

6.1.2 The project districts have long known the presence of traditional fishermen communities. These communities have been listed as scheduled castes and they too fall within the marginal and vulnerable groups. However, the project area falls under Schedule V of the constitution that provides special powers to the tribal communities in terms of priority and right of first use of natural resources. The traditional fisher folk are the suppliers of nets, technical knowhow and provide labour during harvesting of fish. In addition they are involved in processing, storage and trading in fish in the local markets. The traditional fishermen communities will gain as secondary beneficiaries as there is local growth in fishing activity in the region.

6.1.3 The project will have a positive social impact on the vulnerable communities in the districts.

# 6.2 Loss of Agriculture Lands

6.2.1 The project will not be constructing any new ponds. It will work on the existing pond sites. There is no likelihood of loss of agriculture land on account of modification and deepening of pond design.

6.2.2 The catchment treatment plan will include works on agriculture lands as well. These works will be mostly in the nature of constructing field bunds on these lands. The loss of agriculture lands on account of field bunds will be minimal that will be adequately compensated by increased productivity of land due to soil and moisture conservation.

## 6.3 Access and Use of Commons

6.3.1 Project targets ponds that are situated on Gram Panchayat lands. In addition, the lands in the catchment area will also comprise of common land that is used for grazing of animals, collection of fuel wood, and water for animals and other domestic purposes. These common lands are governed by the provisions of the Madhya Pradesh Panchayat Raj and Gram Swaraj Act 1993. The act empowers the Gram Sabha (village assembly) in determining the use of natural resources (including pond) that are located on Gram Panchayat land.

6.3.2 The project aims at increasing the productivity of the commons: deepening of ponds will increase its water retention capacity increasing its potential for higher levels of production of fish; plantation of trees and other vegetation will increase the availability of fuel wood and fodder for households and animals of the village; and in-situ water conservation will improve the water table and increase the availability of water in shallow wells.

6.3.3 Project will develop catchment treatment plans and allocation of pond for fisheries in consultation and with the consent of the Gram Sabha. This will be a participative exercise that will discuss the issues of access to common post implementation of catchment treatment plan. There is no likelihood of denial of access to and use of rights of commons to the households of the village as a result of project activities.

### 6.4 Workers Safety

6.4.1 Workers will be engaged for de-silting and deepening of pond; in the construction of waste weir; for the execution of catchment treatment works. Major part of the works will entail manual labour though there may be need to use machineries for excavating pond and for transportation of stones for construction of loose boulder check dam.

6.4.2 The safety issues of workers include injuries from sharp edged stones; safe use of machinereis for excavation; and bites from snakes and scorpions. Protocols for engagement of certified machinery and operators and safety measures for earthwork excavation will be built in within the project processes to prevent risk to worker's safety.

### 6.5 Access and Equity

6.5.1 The project adopts an approach of geographical clustering for the final selection of pond sites on which the project activities will be implemented. The clustering will imply a spread of targeted ponds over an area so that the same can be managed and monitored within the given resources. Such approaches often tend to focus on road and easy to access sites and do not work in remote locations. The project will not select more than one pond from a village so that it works in at least twenty such villages in each district. The clustering approach is thus equitable for selection of ponds and does not reject any pond only because it is inconvenient to cover or manage.

6.5.2 The project adopts an approach that provides equal access to all the members of the village for the selection of beneficiaries for fish farming; for engagement as labour on pond site and in implementation of catchment treatment plan; for hiring of vehicles for transportation of boulders and other material; and for hiring of machinery for excavation of earth. The project will conduct rigorous and informed consultations and participation of the members of the village assembly. The final decisions of these consultations will be passed as resolutions of the Gram Sabha and will be recorded in the minute register of the Gram Sabha for future references. The project is inclusive and creates equal opportunities for the households of the village to participate in project activities.

6.5.3 The project does not restrict access to basic health services, clean water and sanitation, and education. The activities of the project do not impede access to energy, housing, safe and decent working conditions, and land rights. These rights are recognised by the project and are respected through the processes that it aims to implement as part of project activities.

# 6.6 Human Rights

6.6.1 The project does not affect the life and liberty of any individual or group. Neither does the project discriminate against any particular community or group or persons on grounds of gender, caste, ethnicity, ability or birth. The project upholds the fulfilment of the human rights of the villages and the target groups that it seeks to work with.

6.6.2 The project does not violate any of the basic human rights that are available to all human beings.

# 6.7 Labour Rights

6.7.1 The labour rights in the context of the project include: determination of work and adherence to minimum and time payment of wages; hours of work and their timing based on season; rest and worksite facilities; injuries and their compensation; participation in planning; child labour; and grievance redressal system.

6.7.2 The project will work within the framework of the labour laws that are applicable to any site that employs casual labour. The wages will be determined on task allotted and the wage rate will be calculated on the basis of prevailing minimum wage rate for the task. The compensation in case of injury will be based on the prevailing provisions of the law that is applicable to employment of casual

work force. The record of work done for each labour engage will be maintained and the wages will be paid weekly preferably in the bank account of the labourer. The hours of work and the timing of the working hours will be determined in consultation with the labour and the prevailing practices in the area. Resting place with shade, facility for drinking water, pre-determined resting period, presence of and access to first aid box will be available at all working sites in the project.

6.7.3 Engagement of labour and their deployment will be in consultation with the members of the Gram Sabha. Positive discrimination in favour of women will be used to provide fair and equal opportunity to women who seek employment as labour and gain from the wages earned by her.

6.7.4 Project will not engage child labour in any of its activities. The prohibition of child labour will be part of the agreement with the fish farmer beneficiaries and will be a non-negotiable provision of the agreement.

6.7.5 Work sites will display the person (with name, designation and number) to whom the labour and employment related grievances can be addressed. The grievances can be in writing or in oral form in which case they will be recorded by project functionaries.

# 6.8 Women and Gender Empowerment

6.8.1 Catchment treatment will increase the availability of fuel wood and fodder for the village. Women will gain as it will reduce their drudgery of securing the same from far off areas. Increased availability of fish will be an additional source of nutrition for the family that will improve the nutritional status of women and children of the family.

6.8.2 Women are not directly involved in the production and harvesting of fish. They will lose out in terms of access to alternative sources of income if they are not associated within the value chain of fisheries and are not linked to formal and non-formal institutions that are engaged in fisheries. Project activities create equal opportunities for women to gain in terms of skills, knowledge and experience of conducting aquaculture activities. Directly targeting women for training, information dissemination and membership in groups engaged in fisheries will empower women and create base for gender equity in fish farming.

6.8.3 Women's interests and gender equity will have to be implemented in determination of wages for women. The principle of equal wages for equal work will be rigorously implemented in all project related payments. To provide equal opportunity of women with small children who seek employment in project related works, the practice of MGNREGS of providing for mobile crèche will be adopted by the project. These measures will ensure that women are able to get equal benefit from the project related activities.

### 6.9 Involuntary Resettlement

6.9.1 The project will work with existing ponds only. The project activity seeks to modify the pond in terms of its depth and de-siltation. The project will not increase the size of the pond. In such cases there will be no displacement and hence involuntary resettlement will not be required.

6.9.2 The catchment treatment plan does not include relocation of any household. It is based on the principle of in-situ conservation and hence will not cause any displacement. Thus the project will not require any involuntary resettlement in the project area.

6.9.3 In case the project is likely to lead to involuntary resettlement of any household, the site will be dropped and an alternative pond site will be selected.

## 6.10 Irrigation Infrastructure and Practices

6.10.1 The project does not propose to select ponds that are being used for irrigation purposes. In this it avoids a potential area of conflict between the agriculture farmers and fish farmers.

6.10.2 The project will not undertake any work on the irrigation infrastructure as part of the catchment treatment plan. The present irrigation practices in the catchment area will be assessed in terms of their impact on soil erosion and carrying of silt load to the pond. The consultations with farmers will seek to develop such practices that prevent or minimise the silt load to the pond.

6.10.3 The project will not have any impact on irrigation infrastructure.

### 6.11 Vehicles and Equipment during Construction Activity

6.11.1 The project may have to use heavy vehicles of equipment during the construction phase either at the pond site or in the catchment area of the pond. The impact of these vehicles and equipment on the pond will be assessed during the screening process.

6.11.2 The quality of vehicles and equipment in terms of use of fuel and its emission and leakage will be assessed so that there are no leakages of these chemicals in the water or in the catchment area of the pond.

6.11.3 The workers employed on pond site and the catchment area will be primarily from the village and there is no likelihood of creation of camps at the construction sites. However, in cases where the workers employed under the project are from outside the village the project will make arrangement for transportation of workers to the site and not allow camps to be set up near or around the construction sites.

### 7.0 Environment and Social Risk Management Plan

# 7.1 Safeguard and Screening Procedures

7.1.1 Each of the pond sites selected will constitute a sub project within the proposed project. Each of the 60 sub projects will thus undergo a thorough environment and social impact screening procedure.

7.1.2 The project will have three layers of environmental safeguards to the project and the sub projects that will be developed there under:

(a) Adoption of General Environment Policy by the project related to species and natural habitats; bio diversity; physical and cultural infrastructure; and forests as follows:

| Policy Issue          | Project Guideline  |
|-----------------------|--|
| Natural Habitat       | The Project will not fund a sub project that are located within or   |
|                       | that encroach in to any declared or proposed protected area of       |
|                       | natural habitat or does not amount to conversion of natural habitat  |
|                       | to other purposes.   |
| Forest                | The Project will not fund sub projects that encroach in to forest    |
|                       | areas or lead to reduced access of the community to the forest       |
|                       | areas.   |
| Physical and Cultural | The project will not fund a sub project if it displaces, damages,    |
| Infrastructure        | makes it inoperable or inaccessible any of the resources of          |
|                       | infrastructures that are of historical or cultural significance.     |
| Bio-diversity         | The Project will not fund sub project that will significantly reduce |
| -                     | a particular species from the village.                               |
| Involuntary           | The project will not work at sites that will lead to or give rise to |
| Resettlement          | possibility of involuntary resettlement.                             |

(b) Conformation of the sub projects ESMP to the technical guidelines and specifications. These guidelines will be adopted from the Integrated Watershed Management Project<sup>33</sup> for the development of catchment treatment plans and recommendations from the Working Plan of the respective Forest Department for selection of species for plantation in the catchment.

(c) Preparation and Screening of ESI Screening and ESMP prepared for sub projects. The ESI Screening and ESMP will be prepared and presented in the format given in Format 1 and 2 of this document. Each of the ESI Screening and ESMP will undergo a two layered screening process: one, an internal process to ensure that the documents are prepared in conformity to the guidelines. This screening process will score the sub projects on each of the environment and social parameters and based on the recommendations of the Technical Advisory Group the sub projects that fail the score will not be funded. The second screening will be undertaken by the District Steering Committee or a sub-committee nominated for the purpose.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> MP government has developed detailed technical guidelines for the implementation of Integrated Watershed Management Project in the state. These guidelines include works that will be undertaken by the project and the same will technical guidelines be adopted for such works.

## 7.2 Consultations and Public Disclosure

7.2.1 Consultations of key stakeholders will be undertaken as part of the finalisation of the Environment and Social Impact (ESI) Screening and Environment and Social Management Plan (ESMP) of each of the sub projects under the proposed project. This implies that ESI Screening and ESMP of 60 sub projects that will undergo the process of consultation.

7.2.2 The aim of consultations will be to disseminate information about the sub project; verify the identification of potential impacts (ESI) and their proposed mitigation plan (ESMP); verify the significance of the impacts and the mitigation measures; and allow the stakeholders to express their concerns and opinion about the project activities. The consultations will be conducted at three levels: one, at the village level; second, at the district level; and the third at the state level.

### Village Level Consultation

7.2.3 The ESI Screening and ESMP of the respective sub projects will be placed in the meeting of the Gram Sabha for comments and approval.

7.2.4 A formal presentation of the ESI Screening and ESMP will be made at the Gram Sabha meeting. The presence of the persons whose land falls in the catchment area and the group of fish farmers will be ensured in these meetings. Given the low levels of literacy the presentation of the ESI Screening and ESMP will be undertaken orally and the comments of the members present will be recorded.

### **District Consultation**

7.2.5 ESI Screening and ESMPs prepared by the project will be circulated to all the members of the District Project Steering Committee and the discussion on the Sub Projects will be a standing item on the agenda of the meeting of the respective District Steering Committees.

### State Consultation

7.2.6 A consolidated statement on the ESI Screening and ESMP will be placed in the Technical Advisory Group. The members will be facilitated to undertake field and undertake sample verification of the sub projects prepared under the project. The TAG can also outsource sample verification to a consultant that will report directly to the TAG.

### Public Disclosure

7.2.7 A copy of the ESI Screening and ESMP will be submitted to the office of the Gram Panchayat where it can be accessed by any member of the village for future references. The sub projects will form part of the documentation that will be in public domain and will be available at the district project offices for inspection with prior information.

### 7.3 Institutional Arrangements and Capacity Development

7.3.1 The institutional arrangement includes the distribution of roles and responsibilities in the preparation of ESI Screening and in the implementation of ESMP. The key players and their responsibilities will be as follows:

| Organisation/ Designation   | Responsibility  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Senior Technical Memberof<br>the Field Implementation Team<br>at the district level | <ul> <li>Preparation of ESI Screening and ESMP through the process of community consultation and through walkthroughs in the village.</li> <li>Coordinate with experts in geo-hydrology, agriculture engineering, forestry and aquaculture for the Screening of impact on soil and water.</li> <li>Presentation of ESI Screening and ESMPs in the District Steering Committee.</li> <li>Oversee implementation of ESMP that will be undertaken</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| Junion Technical Mombor and   | by Junior Technical members and Field Associates.   |  |  |  |
| the <b>Field Associates</b> of the Field  | • Assist the Senior Technical Member in the preparation of the ESI Screening and ESMP at the village level.   |  |  |  |
| Implementation Team at the district level   | • Presentation of ESI Screening and ESMP in the meetings of the Gram Sabha.   |  |  |  |
|   | • Implementation of the ESMP at the village level.  |  |  |  |
| Project Coordinator at the State<br>level   | <ul> <li>Monitor the progress and quality of ESI Screening and ESMP</li> <li>Ensure that the protocol for the presentation and placement of a copy of the ESI Screening and ESMPs are placed in the Gram Sabha and the respective Gram Panchayat</li> <li>Facilitate the district teams in the preparation of the ESI Screening and ESMPs by making available the services of experts as and when required by the team</li> <li>Present the consolidated ESI Screening and ESMPs to the Technical Advisory Group</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| District Steering Committee   | <ul> <li>Review the ESI Screening and ESMP by entrusting the review to a sub-committee constituted for the purpose that reports back to the Steering Committee</li> <li>Comments, expresses concerns and give opinions on specific ESI Screening and the ESMPs</li> </ul>   |  |  |  |
| Technical Advisory Group  | • Technical Advisory Group reviews ESI Screening and ESMPs. It can also undertake sample checks and give expert opinion on the quality of ESI Screening and the mitigation measures identified in ESMPs.  |  |  |  |
| NIE (NABARD)  | • Monitor and review the process of ESI Screening and ESMP  |  |  |  |
|   | • Review the prepared ESI Screening to ensure they conform to acceptable standards and quality  |  |  |  |

| • | Sample check and verify the ESI Screening and ESMP in |
|---|---|
|   | the project villages                                  |

7.3.2 The Job Descriptions and Performance Management systems of the respective project staff will include their responsibilities related to preparation of ESI Screening and implementation of ESMPs. Similarly, the Terms of References that will be developed for the District project Steering Committee and the Technical Advisory Groups will include their role and responsibility in ensuring that the project develops ESI Screening that includes environmental and social safeguards and implement ESMP to enhance the environmental and social impacts at the village level.

### **Capacity Development**

7.3.3 The project will have in-house capacity in fresh water aquaculture, agriculture engineering and institution development. The capacity development of the staff on issues of Public Health; Workers Safety and Labour Rights; Gender Orientation; and Forestry need to be built to enable them to undertake ESI Screening and ESMP in the project villages.

| Description of Training                    | No of Training | Technical Assistance     |
|--|----------------|--------------------------|
| Public Health and Water Borne Vector       | One            | Public Health Expert and |
| Diseases                                   |                | Expert in Environmental  |
| (2 day training on identification of risks |                | Sanitation               |
| and development of mitigation measures)    |                |                          |
| Labour Rights and Worker Safety            | One            | Expert on Labour Laws;   |
| (2 day training on identification of risks |                | Occupational Safety; and |
| and development of mitigation measures)    |                | Rights of the Child      |
| Gender Orientation                         | Two            | Gender Expert            |
| (2 day training on development of Gender   |                |                          |
| perspective and Making Projects Gender     |                |                          |
| Sensitive)                                 |                |                          |
| Forestry                                   | One            | Forestry Expert with     |
| (2 day field training on the process of    |                | experience in PRA and    |
| consultation and identification of plants, |                | Biodiversity issues      |
| shrubs and grasses)                        |                |                          |

7.3.4 The capacity building plan of the project staff will be as follows:

# 8.0 Monitoring and Reporting

:

8.1 ESMP will involve two layers of monitoring systems: Internal and External Monitoring process

(a) **Internal Monitoring Process**: The internal monitoring will be undertaken by the Project Executing Agency. Each of environment and social parameters will be monitored along with the implementation of their mitigation measures. The Executing Agency will submit a Compliance and

Impact Monitoring Report to the NIE every six months and the consolidated report will also be annexed in the Annual Report.

(b) **External Monitoring Process**: Conduct of Environment Audit and Social Audit will be carried out in sample villages every year to verify the implementation of ESMP and to report on the conduct of ESMP and its impact in the village. The Audit Reports will be shared with the NIE and a consolidated statement of these audits will be annexed to the Annual Report of the project.

### 9.0 Implementation Schedule

| Activities                 | Time       |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
|----------------------------|------------|------------|----|------------|--------|------------|------------|------------|----|-----|-----|-----|
|                            |            | Year 1     |    |            | Year 2 |            |            | Year 3     |    |     |     |     |
|                            | <b>Q</b> 1 | <b>Q</b> 2 | Q3 | <b>Q</b> 4 | Q5     | <b>Q</b> 6 | <b>Q</b> 7 | <b>Q</b> 8 | Q9 | Q10 | Q11 | Q12 |
| Development of Technical   |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| Guidelines for the Project |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| Capacity Building of       |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| Project Team               |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| ESIScreening of sub        |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| projects                   |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| ESMP of sub projects       |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| Implementation of ESMP     |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| Monitoring and Reporting   |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| of ESMP                    |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| Environment and Social     |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |
| Audit                      |            |            |    |            |        |            |            |            |    |     |     |     |

9.1 The implementation Schedule of the ESMP will be as follows:

# 10.0 Cost for ESI Screening and ESMP

10.1 The preparation and implementation of sub project ESI Screening and ESMP will have costs that have been built in to the project budget. The cost implications and their source of funds will be as follows:

| ESI Screening /ESMP related       | Source from where Cost will be met                   |  |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| activity                          |  |  |  |
| Capacity Building of Project Team | Will be absorbed in the Programme Execution Cost     |  |  |
| Preparation of ESI Screening and  | Built in the Programme Execution Cost                |  |  |
| ESMP                              |  |  |  |
| Screening of ESI Screening and    | Built in to Project Activities Cost (Component 4)    |  |  |
| ESMP                              |  |  |  |
| Mitigation Measures               | Built in to the Project Activities cost (Component 1 |  |  |
|                                   | and 2)   |  |  |
| Monitoring and Reporting          | Built in the Programme Execution Cost                |  |  |

| Conduct of Environment and Social | Built in to Project Activities Cost (Component 4) |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Audit                             |   |

#### Format 1: Format of Sub Project ESI Screening

#### 1. Project Description

- 1.1 Description of the proposed operation
- 1.2 Maps and diagrams of theproject site
- 1.3 Area that will be affected and impacted
- 1.4 Settlements that will be affected
- 1.5 Population that will be affected (attach list of households)

#### 2. Baseline Condition

2.1 Description of existing environmental and social condition

2.2 Attach PRA maps and other data that has been collected

#### 3. Impacts and Risks

#### **Environment Impacts and Risks**

The Screening will be in terms of (a) Direct Environmental Risks; (b) Direct Environmental Impacts; (c) Indirect Environmental Risks; and (d) Indirect Environmental Risks on the following issues.

- 3.1 Species and Habitats
- 3.2 Bio diversity
- 3.3 Soil Erosion
- 3.4 Water Quality
- 3.5 Soil Disposal
- 3.6 Water Resources
- 3.7 Waste Disposal
- 3.8 Public Health
- 3.9 Landscape
- 3.10 Physical and Cultural Infrastructure

#### Social Impacts and Risks

The screening will be in terms of (a) Direct Social Risks; (b) Direct Social Impacts; (c) Indirect Social Risks; and (d) Indirect Social Risks on the following issues.

- 3.11 Vulnerable Groups
  3.12 Loss of Agriculture Land
  3.13 Access and Use of Commons
  3.14 Workers Safety
  3.15 Access and Equity
  3.16 Labour Rights
  3.17 Human Rights
  3.18 Gender and Women Empowerment
  3.19 Involuntary Resettlement
  3.20 Irrigation Infrastructure
- 3.21 Vehicles and Equipment during Construction Activity

#### 4. Analysis of Alternatives

Description of alternatives that were identified and their Screening in terms of:

- (a) Direct and Indirect Environment and Social Impact
- (b) Opportunities for enhancing environmental and social benefits

#### 5. Recommendations

Risk Management options in terms of:

- (i) Preventing Risk
- (ii) Avoiding Risk
- (iii) Mitigating Risk
- (iv) Transferring Risk
- (v) Absorbing Risk

#### 6. Process Note for the preparation of ESIScreening

- 6.1 Consultations held with different stakeholders in the community
- 6.2 Consultations held with women
- 6.3 Consultations held with Panchayat Representatives

### Format 2: Format for Sub Project ESMP

#### 1. Management Plan

| Environment And Social    | Mitigation Measure | Implementation   | Responsibility for |
|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------------|
| Risk identified in ESI    |                    | Schedule for the | execution of the   |
| Screening                 |                    | mitigation       | mitigation measure |
|                           |                    | measure          |                    |
| Species and Habitats      |                    |                  |                    |
| Bio diversity             |                    |                  |                    |
| Soil Erosion              |                    |                  |                    |
| Water Quality             |                    |                  |                    |
| Soil Disposal             |                    |                  |                    |
| Water Resources           |                    |                  |                    |
| Waste Disposal            |                    |                  |                    |
| Public Health             |                    |                  |                    |
| Landscape                 |                    |                  |                    |
| Physical and Cultural     |                    |                  |                    |
| Infrastructure            |                    |                  |                    |
| Vulnerable Groups         |                    |                  |                    |
| Loss of Agriculture Land  |                    |                  |                    |
| Access and Use of         |                    |                  |                    |
| Commons                   |                    |                  |                    |
| Workers Safety            |                    |                  |                    |
| Access and Equity         |                    |                  |                    |
| Labour Rights             |                    |                  |                    |
| Human Rights              |                    |                  |                    |
| Gender and Women          |                    |                  |                    |
| Empowerment               |                    |                  |                    |
| Involuntary Resettlement  |                    |                  |                    |
| Irrigation Infrastructure |                    |                  |                    |
| Vehicles and Equipment    |                    |                  |                    |
| during Construction       |                    |                  |                    |
| Activity                  |                    |                  |                    |

#### 2. Consultation and Public Disclosure

The plan for consultation and public disclosure of the ESMP will be recorded here. The plan will be for:

- (a) Consultations for preparation and implementation of ESMP
- (b) Consultation with women of the village community
- (c) Notification to village community when will the activities be implemented
- (d) Disclosure of Monitoring and Sub Project Completion report

### 3. Monitoring Plan

The monitoring plan will comprise of the parameters for monitoring and the frequency with which the monitoring will be carried out. The recording and reporting procedures will also form part of the monitoring plan.

| Mitigation Measure                      | Monitoring<br>Parameter | Responsibility<br>for monitoring | Recording and<br>Reporting |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Species and Habitats                    |                         |                                  | Trequency                  |
| Bio diversity                           |                         |                                  |                            |
| Soil Erosion                            |                         |                                  |                            |
| Water Quality                           |                         |                                  |                            |
| Soil Disposal                           |                         |                                  |                            |
| Water Resources                         |                         |                                  |                            |
| Waste Disposal                          |                         |                                  |                            |
| Public Health                           |                         |                                  |                            |
| Landscape                               |                         |                                  |                            |
| Physical and Cultural<br>Infrastructure |                         |                                  |                            |
| Vulnerable Groups                       |                         |                                  |                            |
| Loss of Agriculture Land                |                         |                                  |                            |
| Access and Use of                       |                         |                                  |                            |
| Commons                                 |                         |                                  |                            |
| Workers Safety                          |                         |                                  |                            |
| Access and Equity                       |                         |                                  |                            |
|   |                         |                                  |                            |
| Human Rights                            |                         |                                  |                            |
| Gender and Women                        |                         |                                  |                            |
| Involuntary Resettlement                |                         |                                  |                            |
|   |                         |                                  |                            |
| Irrigation Infrastructure               |                         |                                  |                            |
| venicles and Equipment                  |                         |                                  |                            |
| Activity                                |                         |                                  |                            |

### 4. External Audit and Verification

- 4.1 Conduct of Environment Audit
- 4.2 Conduct of Social Audit
- 4.3 External Verification processes

### 5. Sub Project ESMP Completion Report