**Comments response table for the Lao PDR full proposal** 

Project eligibility	Questions	Comments initial review	Comments final review	Response
Project eligibility	2. Does the project / programme support concrete adaptation actions to assist the country in addressing adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change and build in climate resilience?	CR 2: The concept proposal should provide a higher level of details regarding the activities that the project plans to deliver, highlighting the visible and tangible results of such outputs. It would be particularly useful to further explain how the project will increase the capacity of governments, and how it will sustain it (component 1). Similarly, please explain the type of approach that will be followed to build and sustain the capacity of settlements and communities to climate resilience (component 2), the extent to which the communities and local stakeholders will be involved in delivering component 3, and in participating into the "community-level criteria-based prioritization exercises" that will be conducted.	CR 2: Addressed, although the full proposal needs to be more specific in explaining how the capacity built through the activities (both at institutional and local/settlements level) will be sustained overtime.	Addressed in Part II section A, G and J
		<b>CR 3:</b> Please clarify what will be the role of the governments (national and local) in developing and implementing the community infrastructures planned in component 3.	<b>CR 3</b> : Not addressed. The full proposal should describe more precisely the role that the governments (local and national) will play in developing and implementing the assets planned in component 3.	Addressed in Part III section A and annex 7 (where the roles and responsibilities are clarified in an AoC)
		CR 4: The capacity building activities at human settlements and community levels planned under component 2 seems to be aligned with the institutional strengthening planned under component 1 (output 1.3). However, the proposal does not explain how these efforts at institutional and settlement/communities level will be articulated. Please do so, highlighting what the project will do to ensure appropriate synergies between the deliveries of these outputs.	CR 4: Not addressed. The full proposal should explain how settlements, communities and institutional level efforts will be articulated, and how synergies will be built between these efforts.	Addressed in Part II section A
		CR 5: As the hard investments that will be	CR 5: Partially addressed. The full proposal	This comment was dropped by the AF

	made under component 3 will be definitively selected based on the deliverables of components 1 and 2, please provide a slightly higher level of details regarding the potential activities that could be selected as a result of the prioritization exercise, and briefly explain the system through which the project will ensure that such activities are climate change adaptation measures.	should explain in detail how the project will ensure that the planned hard infrastructures selected following the vulnerability assessments and community-level prioritization criteria-based workshops, are indeed adaptation measures. It also appears important to describe the criteria upon which the activities will be selected.  In addition, given the crucial importance of the results of the vulnerability assessments in selecting activities, a draft/tentative vulnerability assessment should be provided at the full proposal stage.	Board during the Board meeting. However, Part II, Sections A and B and Part III Section A addresses this point  For the criteria see the ESMP in annex 8  The draft/tentative vulnerability assessment can be found in annex 9
3. Does the project / programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund?	Yes. However, benefits should be provided in a more specific and detailed manner. In addition, more information is needed regarding vulnerable groups and indigenous people living in the region.  CR 7: The information provided in the background section indicate that multiple ethnic groups live in the selected region. Please describe any vulnerable or minority groups or indigenous people that have been identified in the region, and provide more information about the particular benefits that the project would provide to such groups.	<b>CR 7</b> : Partially addressed. The full proposal should elaborate on the specific benefits that the project would provide to the identified ethnic groups and indigenous people, including minorities.	Addressed in Part II, section A, B and C and Part III, section A  Addressed in Part II section B
6. Does the project / programme meet the relevant national technical standards, where applicable, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund?	Unclear. This section does not provide information on how the project meets relevant national standards  CAR 1: The relevant national technical standards need to be identified, and compliance stated in a logical manner. These standards include Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs), building codes, water quality regulations, and sector- specific regulations. Regarding EIAs, all proposed projects/programmes	CAR 1: Addressed. Following the final assessment of CR 24 below, the Environmental and social management plan needed at full proposal stage should demonstrate how it will comply with national regulations of environmental and social safeguarding.	See ESMP in annex 8

	shall undertake a screening of environmental and social risks and		
	demonstrate compliance with the environmental and social principles as		
	outlined in the Environmental and Social		
	Policy.		
8. Does the project / programme have a	The knowledge management activities seem to be included under output 1.1.		
learning and	However, the activities planned to capture		
knowledge management	and disseminate knowledge are not sufficiently explained and remain unclear.		
component to	sufficiently explained and remain unclear.		
capture and feedback	<b>CR 15</b> : Please further elaborate on the	CR 15: Addressed. More information on	See part II section G
lessons?	project knowledge management strategy and activities, highlighting the learning	the knowledge management strategy will be needed at full proposal stage.	
	objectives and indicators, and the way	so needed at tan proposal stage.	
	lessons learned will allow to feed the project strategy.		
	project strategy.		
	<b>CR 16</b> : Please demonstrate how the knowledge management strategy of the	<b>CR 16</b> : Partially addressed. The mechanisms through which the knowledge	Addressed in part II section G
	project will be sustained overtime and	management strategy will be sustained will	
	after the project ends.	need to be explained more precisely at the	
9. Has a consultative	A preparation/fact-finding" mission for	full proposal stage.	
process taken place,	the development of the proposal has taken		
and has it involved all	place. However, it has mostly involved		
key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups,	international and national stakeholders, without evidence that key stakeholders		
including gender	such as the local authorities, and the		
considerations?	target settlements/communities have been engaged in a comprehensive way. In		
	addition, beyond the topic of the ESP,		
	there is no evidence of the topics		
	discussed, nor evidence that views and interests expressed during such		
	consultations have been taken into		
	account during the development of this proposal. As the project is planned to be		
	community focused, it is important that		
	communities be consulted early in		
	proposal development.		
	<b>CAR 2</b> : Please clarify whether the local	CAR 2: Mostly addressed. Given that the	See part II section B (especially figure 10)

	authorities/ settlements/communities, minority groups and indigenous people have been consulted and provide evidence that their concerns and interests have been taken into account when designing the proposal. If necessary, please carry out further community consultations in these areas, taking into account vulnerable groups, indigenous people, and gender considerations.	target population consists of a high percentage of ethnic minorities, the full proposal should provide evidence that interests and concerns of the different ethnic groups are taken into account in the proposal design.	
2.Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been taken	It is unclear. An "exit strategy" has been mentioned but not explained, and some actions have been listed.		
into account when designing the project?	CR 22: The proposal should mainstream the sustainability of the project outcomes in the design of the activities themselves. It addition, it should explain the specific arrangements through which the sustainability would be achieved, taking into account sustainability and maintenance of the infrastructures that will be developed, the policies that will be developed and the knowledge that will be generated. It would be also useful to explain how the local utility will participate in the project, and how their capacities are improved for the new infrastructures.	CR 22: Mostly addressed. The full proposal should further explain the formal arrangements that will be made with the responsible government agencies to ensure long-term infrastructure maintenance.	Addressed in part III A and annex 7 (where the roles and responsibilities are clarified in an AoC)
13. Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified?	No. The Environmental and Social policy principles that would apply should be addressed at the concept stage. This is of utmost importance, as hard infrastructures planned in the proposed project are likely to need further assessment for compliance with the ESP.		
	R 24: Although the proposal states that "the project does not foresee any negative effects" in some ESP principles, it should actually explain why the project does not foresee such negative effects. As a result, please identify potential impacts and risks, considering all potential direct,	<b>CR 24</b> : Sufficiently addressed at concept stage, although indirect impacts (e.g. at the level of access and equity) should be further taken into account and that the steps that will be taken to ensure that no environmental or social impacts can occur should be detailed.	Please find the ESMP in annex 8

indirect, transboundary, and cumulative impacts and risks that ould result from the proposed project and determine whether or not the project requires further environmental and social assessment, mitigation, and management. For any principles where further assessment and management are required for compliance, please describe how relevant standards will be applied through the project implementation, or how risks will be manage through project implementation, when applicable. Information provided in Table 5 contain already some of these information.

In addition, the ESP risks cannot be comprehensively identified, as the project includes unidentified sub- projects (USPs). As a result, the full proposal should include an environmental and management plan (ESMP) to identify ESP risks when a USP is identified. The ESMP should be fit for application at an adequate level, at the scale of the interventions. It may be needed to restrict the scope of the USPs that can be funded and to develop a generic risk identification and technical guidelines for ESP compliance for the typical USPs. Nonetheless, risks and impacts identifications needs to be done taking the particular environment of an activity into account.

Finally, ESP measures are currently mentioned throughout the document. They should be grouped and consolidated in the ESMP.