

AFB/B.29/Inf.7 27 November 2016

Adaptation Fund Board Twenty-ninth meeting Bonn, Germany, 16-17 March 2017

Document outlining the pending recommendations arising out of the first phase of the overall evaluation of the Fund

Introduction

- 1. At its twentieth meeting (April 2013) the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided that an overall evaluation of the Adaptation Fund (the Fund) should be conducted. A decision was taken at the twenty-third meeting (March 2014) to conduct this evaluation as a two-phased evaluation. Phase I evaluation was completed in 2015, after which the Board decided, intersessionally between its twenty-sixth and twenty-seventh meetings (Decision B.26-27/26), to approve a management response to the Phase I of the overall Evaluation of the Fund.
- 2. At its eighteenth meeting (March 15-16, 2016) the Ethics and Finance Committee (EFC) of the Adaptation Fund (the Fund) Board (the Board) recommended that the Board include, in the agenda of its twenty-eighth meeting, an item on the pending recommendations arising out of the first phase of the overall evaluation of the Fund. The Board subsequently decided, at its twenty-seventh meeting, "to include, in the agenda of its twenty-eighth meeting, an item on the pending recommendations arising out of the first phase of the overall evaluation of the Adaptation Fund" (Decision B.27/35).
- 3. At its twenty eighth meeting, the Board discussed this matter accordingly, and decided to "[...] request the secretariat, in consultation with the Chairs of the Board advisory bodies, to prepare a document that outlines pending recommendations arising out of the first phase of evaluation of the Fund, as presented in the management response approved by the Board (decision B.26-27/26) for consideration by the Board and its advisory bodies, as appropriate, at their next meetings" (Decision B.28/47).
- 4. The secretariat has developed, in consultation with the Chairs of the Board advisory bodies, the present informational document which includes an update on the management response to the Evaluation of the Fund (Phase 1) and associated action plan that had been developed by the Chair and approved intersessionally by the Board through Decision B.26-27/26).

Annex I – Management response to the Evaluation of the Fund (Phase 1) and action plan

Evaluation recommandation	Management response to the recommendation	Actions to be taken	Body in charge of action	Timeframe	Status
Relevance					
Review the experience of other funds to identify good practices to strengthen vulnerability targeting and formulate clear guidance for Adaptation Fund applicants. In particular, the IET recommends exploring the convening of an expert panel to suggest specific criteria for selecting regions, countries and social groups; and to assist the AFB in the region and country selection process. It is not satisfactory to speak only in terms of "vulnerable communities" since climate hazards do	This recommendation has been already partly addressed through the "Analysis of climate change adaptation reasoning in project and programme proposals approved by the Board' that the Adaptation Fund Board (AFB) requested at its 25th meeting (AFB 25), and approved in December 2015 (B. 26-27/3). This study highlights that the mandate of the Fund to finance concrete adaptation activities is not being done at the expense of the considerations of the drivers of vulnerability, and that the results framework (and	In order to build upon this study, the AFB has requested (Decision B.26/27) the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) "to consider further study as recommended in document AFB/PPRC.17/5, in order to deepen the analysis of climate change adaptation reasoning in projects and programmes of the Adaptation Fund at the 19th meeting of the PPRC". The PPRC will	AFB, PPRC and AFB Secretariat	19 th meeting of the PPRC (4/5 October 2016); and 28 th meeting of the AFB (6/7 October 2016)	Addressed. The PPRC considered this matter at its 19 th meeting. The upcoming Phase 2 of the overall evaluation will assess the progress made across the Fund's active portfolio of projects and programmes, analyze the extent to which the Fund's project and programmes were aligned with its mandate to finance concrete adaptation projects and analyze the long-term outcomes and impacts and the sustainability of interventions.

not equally affect all people within a community, or even the same household. Indeed, the inequitable distribution of rights, resources, and power constrains many people's ability to take action on climate change, especially women.	projects outputs) encompasses the vulnerability aspects. It also outlines that projects are typically concerned with reducing vulnerability through securing assets that underpin livelihoods. The AFB requested that the AFB Secretariat produce a shortened and simplified version of the report and that has been made available online. In addition, the AFB requested the AFB Secretariat to promote the report through events and engagement with relevant institutions.	discuss this matter at its 19th meeting, and may recommend the AFB to take an appropriate decision at its 28th meeting. The AFB decided to request the AFB Secretariat to "Promote the report including through events and through engagement with relevant institutions and through bodies under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change" (Decision B.26/27).	AFB and AFB Secretariat	Ongoing	The AFB secretariat has promoted the report through its communication channels (website and social media), as well as through presentations in various events, including those that have taken place in conjunction with UNFCCC meetings.
	The option of convening an expert panel to suggest	The AFB through the AFB Secretariat will continue collaborating with	AFB and AFB Secretariat	Ongoing (Adaptation Committee in March 2016, Adaptation	The AFB secretariat participated in UNFCCC Adaptation Committee meetings of March and September 2016. It is also part of the NAP Task Force that the Adaptation

specific criteria selecting region countries does seem to be alia with the Adapt Fund (AF) may that is to focus Non-Annex I Fund to the Kyoto pregardless of sother criteria. Consideration social groups a country, is at to a significant degree address the AF Environmenta Social Policy to specific principer lated to social vulnerability, exprinciple 2 "Adand Equity", 3 "Marginalized vulnerable ground with the ground proposed	Adaptation Committee to ensure that AF review criteria, in particular related to targeting the most vulnerable, are relevant. of within lready t ssed by I and hat has ples al e.g. ccess and pups", 5 y and		Futures in May 2016)	Committee has put in place. Furthermore, it has participated in the 4th global adaptation conference ("Adaptation Futures 2016"), notably in "practice" and "science-practice" sessions. The secretariat also participated in the "Metrics of Adaptation Conference – Measuring adaptation for Concrete Action" organized by the COP22 Presidency in Skhirat, Morocco, on 27 September 2016).
empowerment	t", and			
7 "Indigenous peoples".				
peoples .	As requested by	AFB, EFC and	18 th meeting (15-	Addressed. The EFC, at its 18 th
	the AFB	AFB Secretariat	16 March 2016)	meeting recommended the Board to
	(decision		of the EFC, and	approve a gender policy and action
	B.26/32), the		27 th meeting of	plan. The Board approved the policy

Gender	AFB Secretariat	the AFB (17-18	and action plan at its 27 th meeting.
considerations were	will prepare	March 2016).	The action plan is being
explicitly included in	forconsideration		implemented. Finally, the AFB
the project review	at the 27 th		Secretariat submitted the
criteria early on in	meeting of the		amendments in line with the Gender
the Fund's	AFB :"i <i>) A</i>		Policy to the main text of the
operations in 2011,	revised proposal		operational policies and guidelines
with specific	for an Adaptation		for Parties access resources from
references to gender	Fund gender		the Adaptation Fund (OPG), annex 3
in review criteria on	policy		and annex 4 to the OPG. The
economic, social and	incorporating the		proposed amendments to the OPGs
environmental	inputs received		were all approved by the AFB at its
benefits, the	from Board		27th and 28th meetings.
consultation process,	members and		
and monitoring and	interested		
evaluation. As	stakeholders		
mentioned above,	through the		
gender was included	public call for		
as a separate	comments; ii) An		
principle in the	action plan to		
Environmental and	operationalize an		
Social Policy that	Adaptation Fund		
was approved in	gender policy,		
2013. Most recently,	including any		
the AFB has	necessary		
approved (B.26/32) a	changes to the		
draft gender policy	relevant		
and requested the	Adaptation Fund		
AFB Secretariat to	policies; iii) A		
present at AFB27 a	compilation of		
revised proposal for	the comments		
the gender policy	received through		
and associated	the public call for		
action plan to	comments; and		
operationalize this	iv) An estimate of		

	policy. The policy holds at its core the concept of gender equality that addresses the recommendation to ensure an equitable distribution of adaptation benefits. Related to the suggested selection of social groups, it is also worth mentioning that while the AFB may assign priority focus to certain social groups based on their vulnerability globally, it would be complicated to do so specifically within a given region or country, without risking encroaching on countries' sovereignty.	the costs related to operationalizing the policy" Upon finalization of the gender policy, the AFB through the AFB secretariat will continue disseminating information on the various relevant policies addressing the specific needs of most vulnerable communities and their constituents.	AFB and AFB Secretariat	Ongoing	The AFB secretariat continues to disseminate information on the Fund's policies, including the gender policy, on its website and in various events, including ones related to readiness, as outlined in the reports on activities of the secretariat that are presented at each Board meeting.
Effectiveness	The AED	The AED will	A ED	On main m	The AFD continues manifestories the
Recommendation 2: Recruit additional senior secretariat staff to address the capacity constraints to undertake	The AFB emphasizes the need to ensure an effective operation of the Fund which includes monitoring	The AFB will continue monitoring the workload and staff needs of the AFB Secretariat	AFB	Ongoing	The AFB continues monitoring the workload and staff needs of the AFB secretariat, with a regular review when deciding on the administrative budget for each fiscal year.

effective knowledge	the AFB Secretariat	and will ensure			
management and	workload and its	that the hiring of			
resource	staffing	short term			
mobilization.	requirements.	consultants are			
Short-term	Ensure cost effective	minimized, to the			
consultants should	operations is a key	extent possible.			
not fill these roles.	role of the Board. To	exterit possible.			
not fill these roles.					
	this respect, the AFB				
	decided, at its 25 th				
	meeting, to approve				
	the Board and				
	Secretariat and				
	trustee budget for the				
	fiscal year 2016.				
	Such budget				
	included one				
	additional senior staff				
	at the AFB				
	Secretariat. This new				
	staff has been	The AFD will	AED 550	T L -	
	recruited and is now	The AFB will	AFB, EFC, and	The	
	fully operational.	ensure that	AFB Secretariat	implementation	A LL LTL EEO (11 40th
		appropriate		of the current KM	Addressed. The EFC, at its 19 th
	Moreover,	resources are		strategy ongoing.	meeting, has recommended the
	responsibilities	allocated to the		An updated	Board to approve an updated
	related to Knowledge	implementation		knowledge	knowledge management strategy
	Management and	of the KM		management	and an associated action plan. The
	Resource	strategy and		strategy and	Board, at its 28 th meeting has
	Mobilization within	action plan. In		action plan to be	approved this strategy and action
	the AFB Secretariat	addition, the EFC		discussed at the	plan and has requested the
	have been clarified.	will discuss at its		19 th meeting of	secretariat to implement the strategy
	In addition, an	19 th meeting an		the EFC and 28 th	and the action plan and to report to
	updated Knowledge	updated		meeting of the	the Board on an annual basis. The
	Management	knowledge		AFB (4-7	secretariat has obtained short-term
	strategy and	management		October 2016)	support personnel to assist senior

	associated action plan is being drafted by the AFB Secretariat and will be presented to the AFB at its 28 th meeting.	strategy and action plan prepared by the AFB Secretariat, and may recommend the AFB to take a decision at its 28th meeting.			staff in knowledge management and resource mobilization. The 10 th anniversary of the Adaptation Fund Board in 2017 offers further opportunities for knowledge dissemination.
Recommendation 3: Continue to improve the accreditation process, with specific focus on early identification of fiduciary risks. Divide the accreditation process into phases, including an initial screening to catch red flags that would prevent accreditation. This will save substantial time and money. The screening could draw upon readily available materials including, where extant, fiduciary assessments undertaken by bilateral agencies and	This recommendation is currently being addressed. Indeed, the AFB has decided to request the Accreditation Panel (AP) and the AFB Secretariat to consider the relevant findings of the Evaluation of the Fund (stage 1) and finalize their work and present a draft for consideration by the EFC at its 18th meeting. This "Effectiveness and efficiency of the accreditation process" document establishes a clear timeline for accreditation workflow (Annex I to	The AP and the AFB Secretariat will present a draft effectiveness and efficiency document at the 18th meeting of the EFC. Based on these discussions, the EFC may recommend the AFB to take a decision at its 27th meeting.	AFB, EFC, AP, and AFB Secretariat	18 th meeting of the EFC (15-16 March 2016)	Addressed. The AP and the AFB secretariat presented the document Effectiveness and Efficiency of the Accreditation Process (AFB/EFC.18/4) at the 18 th meeting of the EFC. The AFB approved the document at its 27 th meeting (Decision B.27/27). The accreditation process has already been divided into different phases, and the screening of the application has enabled to flag pending/missing information and areas which might hinder accreditation process. This has contributed to improving efficiency and effectiveness. The Guidance on Accreditation Standards (https://www.adaptationfund.org/wpcontent/uploads/2016/10/Guidanceon-Accreditation-Standards.pdf) were prepared by the AFB secretariat in consultation with the AP and was approved by the AFB in 2016. This has served as a checklist

charitable foundations, and from the due diligence processes of public and private banks	AFB/EFC18/4) and divides the accreditation process into phases with a clear timeline. In addition, once the AFB approve such document, a guidance document for accreditation application (Annex II to AFB/EFC18/4) will be available on the AF website. As part of the continuous enhancement of the efficiency of the accreditation processes, the AP and AFB Secretariat will consider to establish a checklist for screening of accreditation application.	The AFB through the AP and the AFB Secretariat will consider to establish a checklist for screening of accreditation application. The AP may recommend the AFB to take any decision on this matter, if appropriate.	AFB, AP and AFB Secretariat	To Be Determined	for screening of accreditation application because the guidance indicates examples of supporting documents that an implementing entity candidate is recommended to submit along with the accreditation application. In addition, this document is expected to help implementing entity candidates understand and better prepare the accreditation application. As part of the continuous enhancement of the efficiency and effectiveness of the accreditation process as well as the effort to foster complementarity with the GCF, the AFB decided to fast-track the reaccreditation of implementing entities accredited with the Green Climate Fund (GCF) within a period of four years prior to the submission of the re-accreditation application to the Adaptation Fund (Decision B.28/28; Document AFB/EFC.19/7/Rev.1).
Recommendation 4: Strengthen the policy	This recommendation	The AFB through the AP and the	AFB, AP, and AFB Secretariat	Ongoing	Guidelines for Designated Authorities (DA) to select an NIE
and guidelines for an	could potentially be	AFB Secretariat	Octicialiai		have been available at the AF
inclusive and		will continue			
	addressed through				website (https://www.adaptation-
transparent selection	an enhancement of	reviewing and			fund.org/wp-
of NIEs. This will	guidelines for entities	updating			content/uploads/2014/12/Guidelines-

help the Adaptation Fund to minimize risk of corruption related to Designated Authorities' decision- making authority to choose which institutions apply to become NIEs. The IET recommends reviewing lessons learned from country- coordinating mechanisms in other funds.	seeking accreditation. However, country ownership is an essential strategic priority of the Fund and the identification of applicant NIEs should remain a prerogative of the country.	guidelines for entities seeking accreditation, emphasizing on lessons learned.			for-Designated-Authorities-to-select- an-NIE.pdf) since December in 2014. Additionally, the Guidance on Accreditation Standards is expected to serve as a more detailed guideline for the DA in selecting a suitable NIE candidate for the country.
Recommendation 5: Develop and implement a comprehensive gender policy based on a review of other funds' gender policies. In particular, the IET recommends GCF's Gender Policy and Action Plan, which is illustrative of many best practices, including the assignment of specific responsibilities (e.g. to its Board, Secretariat, DAs, as well as implementing	This recommendation is already being addressed. The AFB has welcomed a draft gender policy at its 26th meeting, and has requested the AFB Secretariat to prepare a revised policy and associated documents for presentation at the 27th meeting of the AFB.	As requested by the AFB (decision B.26/32), the AFB Secretariat will prepare, for consideration at the 27th meeting of the AFB:"i) A revised proposal for an Adaptation Fund gender policy incorporating the inputs received from Board members and interested stakeholders through the	AFB, EFC and AFB Secretariat	18 th meeting (15- 16 March 2016) of the EFC, and 27 th meeting of the AFB (17-18 March 2016).	Addressed. The EFC, at its 18 th meeting recommended the Board to approve a gender policy and action plan. The Board approved the policy and action plan at its 27 th meeting. The action plan is being implemented. The AFB Secretariat submitted to the AFB the amendments in line with the Gender Policy to the main text of the operational policies and guidelines for Parties access resources from the Adaptation Fund (OPG), annex 3 and annex 4 to the OPG, which were all approved by the AFB at its 27th and 28th meetings. The implementation of the Gender Policy and Action Plan is ongoing.

and executing		public call for			
agencies).		comments; ii) An			
		action plan to			
		operationalize an			
		Adaptation Fund			
		gender policy,			
		including any			
		necessary			
		changes to the			
		relevant			
		Adaptation Fund			
		policies; iii) A			
		compilation of			
		the comments			
		received through			
		the public call for			
		comments; and			
		iv) An estimate of			
		the costs related			
		to			
		operationalizing			
		the policy". The			
		EFC will discuss			
		such matter and			
		may recommend			
		the AFB to take a			
		decision at its			
		27 th meeting.			
Recommendation 6:	This	The AFB may	AFB, EFC, AP,	By end of	Being addressed. The secretariat
Review the	recommendation is	instruct the AFB	AFB Secretariat	calendar year	has presented at the 19th EFC
experience of other	already addressed	Secretariat to		2016	meeting and 28th AFB meeting
funds to identify good	through the Annual	review the			options for providing the Fund with
practices in	Performance Report	current			an evaluation Function, after which
organizational	(APR) presented to	framework,			the Board requested the secretariat
performance	the AF Board on a	explore practices			to present further information on

			1	
monitoring. In	yearly basis. Such	from other funds,		option 1 (through the GEF IEO) and
particular, the IET	report includes an	including funds		option 2 (through a TERG), including
recommends	analysis of project	beyond the		cost implications, for consideration
exploring more	approvals to date, an	climate finance		by the EFC at its twentieth meeting.
established funds	elapsed time	sector, identify		This evaluation function would
beyond climate	analysis, the	any gaps in its		support the implementation of the
finance to identify	expected results	monitoring		evalution framework through three
appropriate key	from approved	framework, and		main functions, including a
performance	projects, a summary	update it		normative one, which will set
indicators. At	of progress made for	accordingly.		minimum evaluation standards
present, the	projects under			within the Adaptation Fund in order
Adaptation Fund only	implementation, and			to ensure improved and consistent
utilizes a results-	a presentation of the			measurements of results.
based framework for	management			
monitoring of	effectiveness and			
project/program	efficiency indicators			
effectiveness. There	for the Fund. Such			
is no framework to	key performance			
track effectiveness of	indicators includes			
the main	indicators related to			
organizational	increased and			
processes; this	diversified resources,			
contributed to the	efficient cost			
existence and	structure, project			
persistence of the	cycle efficiency,			
resource and policy	results driven			
gaps identified	implementation and			
through this	increased and			
evaluation.	diversified access			
	modalities.			
	Nevertheless, the			
	AFB takes note of			
	the recommendation			
	and may request the			
	AFB Secretariat to			

	take further actions				
	on this topic.				
Efficiency					
Recommendation 7: Delegate approval of project/program proposals to the AFB's dedicated Secretariat. Further layers of review add little technical or other value. In addition, the IET recommends reviewing lessons learned by other funds with regards to the delegation of decision-making authority.	As a core mission of its mandate, the AFB continuously discusses how the performance of the Fund can be improved, including possible delegations of authority, number of meetings, and mandate of the committees, among others. The Evaluation of the Fund stage 1 outlines that the Fund is a learning institution, highlighting that the AFB acts when needed. The AFB takes note of this recommendation, and will include it in its further deliberations.	The AFB may include this topic in its further deliberations, if it deems appropriate.	AFB	To Be Determined	Being addressed. Though not related to proposals but project performance reports, at its 28th meeting, the AFB decided to "delegate to the secretariat its authority to approve technical review and clearance of the Project Performance Reports (PPRs) prior to authorisation by the Chair of the cash transfer of funding tranches and request the secretariat to notify the Board accordingly" (Decision B.28/47 (a). The AFB may consider additional ways to address this recommendation in the future.
Recommendation 8:	The AFB is in the	The AFB may	AFB	To Be	Recommendation not adopted. The
Delegate more	view that this	include this topic it in its further		Determined	AFB is not considering changes
approval and other	recommendation				pursuant to this recommendation at
decision-making	does not appear very	deliberations, if it			the present time, for reasons
responsibilities to	practical, considering	deems			provided in the management
committees and	the relative high level	appropriate.			response.

panels, especially the EFC and Accreditation Panel, subject to strategic guidance provided by the AFB. Recommendation 9: In light of the Trustee's possible	of efficiency of the AP, that is being further strengthened with the proposed effectiveness and efficiency document to be presented at AFB 27, and the fact that the EFC and PPRC committees meet back-to-back with the AFB, and are composed by AFB members. By Decision 1/CMP 10, it was indeed requested that the strength of the AFB indeed requested that the	The AFB may include this topic in its further	AFB, Trustee	To Be Determined	Recommendation not actively considered. The AFB is not considering changes pursuant to this
change to a fee- based approach, undertake a study to assess whether the World Bank will continue to provide the best value added or whether another entity could provide the necessary services at a lower	AFB "continue its work on options for permanent institutional arrangements for the secretariat and the trustee, including via an open and competitive bidding process for the selection of a	in its further deliberations. Should the trustee changes its approach, the AFB may request the AFB Secretariat to undertake such study.			recommendation at the present time, as the trustee has not confirmed its intention to change to a fee-based approach. At CMP12 in Marrakech it was also decided to: "restate the terms and conditions of the services to be provided by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (the World Bank) as the interim
cost. The AFB was recently mandated by CMP to consider an open and competitive bidding process for Trustee services.	permanent trustee for the Adaptation Fund on the basis of the cost and time frame of each option and its legal and financial implications				trustee of the Adaptation Fund and to extend the term of the trustee's services for an additional three years, from 30 May 2017 to 30 May 2020'.

Recommendation 10: Adopt a more consistent and less discretionary approach to closed meetings, and revise the rules to select active observers	in order to ensure there is no discontinuity of the trustee service". Institutional arrangements are a central objective in the AFB mission. As a result, the AFB takes note of this recommendation. Should the trustee indeed confirm its intention to change to a fee-based approach, this recommendation would be taken into account. The AFB takes note of this recommendation and acknowledges the need to improve Civil Society Organizations involvement as a	The AFB may include this topic in its further deliberations.	AFB	To Be Determined	Pending. The AFB may consider additional ways to address the recommendation in the future, such as requesting the secretariat to prepare options on this matter and present them at the thirtieth meeting of the Board.
consistent and less discretionary approach to closed meetings, and revise the rules to select	recommendation and acknowledges the need to improve Civil Society Organizations	in its further		Determined	recommendation in the future, such as requesting the secretariat to prepare options on this matter and present them at the thirtieth meeting
(e.g., allow	core part of its				
Adaptation Fund accredited CSOs to	continuous dialogue with stakeholders.				
vote on Adaptation	The AFB discusses				
Fund-accredited	regularly how to				
candidates) and	further improve				
allow active	transparency of its				
observers to	processes and				

comment during AFB meetings and committee meetings (e.g. at the end of each agenda point, upon invitation from the Chair/Co-Chair). The AFB should also develop term limits and rules and responsibilities, especially around conflict of interest and types of information that can and cannot be	participation of observers and CSOs.				
shared outside of					
meetings. Sustainability					
Recommendation 11:	This	The AFB will	AFB	Ongoing	This topic has been discussed
Organize a joint	recommendation is	include such	AI D	Origoning	continuously at Board meetings,
review with the GCF	already being	topic in its			since the 25 th meeting.
to explore the best	addressed. The AFB	deliberations.			The GCF Board has also decided to
modality for the	has formally initiated	domborations.			initiate an annual dialogue with
Adaptation Fund to	discussions on such				climate finance delivery channels in
access a reliable	topic at AFB 25.				order to enhance complementarity
stream of funding	10010 41711 2 20.				and coherence between the GCF
from the GCF. The	In addition, as part of	The EFC will	AFB, EFC and	The	and other funds at the activity level,
AFB will need to	the enhancement of	discuss at its 19 th	AFB Secretariat	implementation	commencing at, and to be organized
continue working to	its internal	meeting an	7.1. 2 Cool otaliat	of the current KM	in conjunction with, the sixteenth
highlight its strengths	processes, the AFB	updated		strategy ongoing.	meeting of the Board (B.16), April
and experience in	Secretariat is	knowledge		An updated	2017.
funding concrete	currently reviewing	management		knowledge	
adaptation projects	its Knowledge	strategy and		management	Addressed. The EFC, at its 18 th
and lobby	Management	action plan		strategy and	meeting recommended the Board to

atakah aldara far	atratagy in andar t-	propored by the	action plan to be	opprove on undeted knowledge
stakeholders for support to link with	strategy, in order to further optimize	prepared by the AFB Secretariat,	action plan to be discussed at the	approve an updated knowledge management strategy and action
GCF.	capture of knowledge	and may	19 th meeting of	plan. The Board approved the
GCI .	and lessons learned	recommend the	the EFC and 28 th	updated strategy and action plan at
	across its portfolio. It	AFB to take a	meeting of the	its 28 th meeting.
	will present this	decision at its	AFB (4-7	its 20 inteeting.
	updated strategy at	28 th meeting.	October 2016).	
	the 19 th meeting of	20 modang.	0010001 2010).	
	the EFC.			
	Moreover, as stated			
	under			
	recommendation 1,			
	the AFB has			
	undertaken an			
	"Analysis of climate			
	change adaptation			
	reasoning in project			
	and programme			
	proposals approved			
	by the Board' that outlines its			
	experience in funding concrete adaptation			
	projects.			
	projects.			
	Finally, it is worth			
	noting that in its			
	decision 7/CP.21			
	related to the			
	Standing Committee			
	on Finance report,			
	the COP			
	"Encourages the			
	Board of the Green			

	Climate Fund to improve complementarity and coherence with other institutions, per paragraphs 33 and 34 of the governing instrument of the Green Climate Fund, including by engaging with relevant bodies of the Convention, such as the Standing Committee on Finance."				
Recommendation 12: Develop and implement a robust, multi-year resource mobilization strategy that specifies regular trust replenishment periods. This strategy must include best-case scenarios, e.g., strategic relationships with GCF and other climate funds, and a worst-case scenario based on increased competition among climate funds.	The AFB takes note of this recommendation. Nevertheless, it seems important to recall that the AF has been designed with the idea that it would be financed mostly by a levy of the Clean Development Mechanism. On another note, the COP has ongoing discussions on improving the effectiveness of the climate finance architecture (e.g.	The AFB may provide inputs on this topic in its report to CMP12.	AFB	By CMP12	Being addressed. The CMA1 decided that "the Adaptation Fund should serve the Paris agreement, following and consistent with decisions to be taken at the third part of the first session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement, to be convened in conjunction with the twenty-fourth session of the Conference of the Parties, and by the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol that address the governance and institutional arrangements, safeguards and operating modalities of the Adaptation Fund." The outcome of this process may have

Development of the	decisions 1/CP.21,		consequences on the Fund's
strategy goes hand	para 59: [The		resource mobilization model and
in hand with	Conference of		strategy.
contracting full-time	Parties] "Recognizes		Moreover, the AFB is currently
senior secretariat	that the Adaptation		•
staff with fundraising	Fund may serve the		developing a medium-term strategy
experience and	Agreement, subject		for the Fund with a view to finalizing
expertise.	to relevant decisions		it by its 30 th meeting. The medium-
·	by the Conference of		term strategy will include strategic
	the Parties serving		relationships with GCF and other
	as the meeting of the		climate funds.
	Parties to the Kyoto		
	Protocol and the		
	Conference of the		
	Parties serving as		
	the meeting of the		
	Parties to the Paris		
	Agreement', para 60		
	" Invites the		
	Conference of the		
	Parties serving as		
	the meeting of the		
	Parties to the Kyoto		
	Protocol to consider		
	the issue referred to		
	in paragraph 59		
	above and make a		
	recommendation to		
	the Conference of		
	the Parties serving		
	as the meeting of the		
	Parties to the Paris		
	Agreement at its first		
	session"., 1/CMP.11,		
	para 8. [The	 	

I I	Conference of	
	arties serving as	
the	ne meeting of the	
Pa	arties to the Kyoto	
Pro	rotocol]	
"Re	Recommends that	
the	ne Conference of	
the	ne Parties serving	
	s the meeting of the	
	Parties to the Paris	
Ag	greement, at its first	
	ession, consider	
	nat the Adaptation	
	und may serve the	
	Paris Agreement, in	
	ccordance with	
	aragraphs 60 and	
	1 of decision	
1/0	/CP.21;" and 9	
	Invites the	
Co	Conference of the	
	Parties, at its twenty-	
	econd session	
	November 2016), to	
	equest the Ad Hoc	
	Vorking Group on	
	ne Paris Agreement	
	o undertake the	
	ecessary	
	reparatory work	
	oncerning the issue	
	eferred to in	
	aragraph 8 above	
	nd to forward a	
	ecommendation to	
1.00		

the act of	the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol for its consideration and adoption no later than at its fifteenth the session (November 1019)". Moreover, it is worth the thing that the COP, and its decision (PCP.21) Encourages the Board of the Green Climate Fund to the mprove the complementarity and the coherence with other institutions, per the paragraphs 33 and 124 of the governing the protocological protoc	
th as C	he Convention, such	

	Finally, the AFB has set-up a Resource Mobilization Task Force that is currently supported by the AFB Secretariat in updating the Resource Mobilization Strategy of the Fund, which will include the elements mentioned in the recommendation.	Update the Resource Mobilization Strategy	Resource Mobilization Task Force of the AFB, supported by the AFB secretariat.	By the 28 th meeting of the AFB (4-7 October 2016)	Addressed. The AFB approved, at its 28th meeting, an updated resource mobilization strategy prepared by the Resource Mobilization Task Force of the AFB. In addition, the Board requested the secretariat to develop an action plan based on the updated strategy for consideration at the 29th meeting of the Board, and to develop communication materials based on the updated strategy.
Recommendation 13: Designate the current AFB member seat on the PPCR governing body for the AFB Secretariat. This would be the first step in collaborating more closely with the CIF Secretariat to explore ways to achieve greater functional synergies.	The AFB Secretariat has been attending the PPCR meetings regularly as observer. Indeed, a rule of the PPCR is that one of its subcommittee members will be "the developing country Chair or Vice-Chair of the Board of the Adaptation Fund (AFB) (or any other member of the AFB nominated by him or her)". The AFB may consider requesting	The AFB may consider requesting its Non-Annex I Chair or Vice-Chair to attend the PPCR meetings, or to nominate another Non-Annex I Party Board member to represent him or her	AFB Non-Annex I Chair/Vice-Chair and AFB	To Be Determined.	Pending. The AFB may consider, during its future meeting, requesting its Non-Annex I Chair or Vice-Chair to attend the PPCR meetings, or to nominate another Non-Annex I Party Board member to represent him or her.

ita Nan Annay I Chair		
its Non-Annex I Chair		
or Vice-Chair to		
attend the PPCR		
meetings, or to		
nominate another		
Non-Annex I Party		
Board member to		
represent him or her.		