

AFB/PPRC.21-22/1 30 November 2017

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee

REPORT OF THE SECRETARIAT ON INITIAL SCREENING/TECHNICAL REVIEW OF GRANT PROPOSALS UNDER THE READINESS PROGRAMME

Background

- 1. This document presents to the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) of the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) an overview of the grant proposals/request documents submitted by National Implementing Entities (NIE) under the Readiness Programme for intersessional approval, and the process of screening and technical review undertaken by the Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat (the secretariat).
- 2. The analysis of the request documents mentioned above is contained in a separate addendum to this document.
- 3. At its twenty-second meeting the Board had set aside funding from the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund resources for subsequent commitment and transfer at the instruction of the Board¹ to enhance capacities for accreditation through South-South cooperation, i.e. accredited NIEs supporting countries to identify potential NIEs and submit accreditation applications, and accredited NIEs' capacities to comply with the Adaptation Fund (the Fund) environmental and social policy (ESP) through technical assistance grants.
- 4. At its twenty-sixth meeting, the Board considered whether the rules in the intersessional project review cycle that had been passed through decision B.23/15 and decision B.25/2, could be applied to grant proposals received under the Readiness Programme and whether to request the secretariat to review and submit proposals by NIEs for technical assistance and South-South cooperation intersessionally, with a view to speeding up the grant approval process. The Board decided to:

Request the secretariat to review intersessionally, between the 26th and 27th meetings of the Board, proposals submitted by National Implementing Entities for technical assistance grants and South-South cooperation grants under the Readiness Programme, and to submit the reviews to the PPRC for intersessional recommendation to the Board.

(Decision B. 26/28)

- 5. At its twenty-seventh meeting, the Board had decided to integrate the Readiness Programme into the Fund's work plan and budget, in a more permanent manner. The Board had also set aside funding for small grants to be directly transferred from the resources of the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund, for the fiscal year 2017. At this meeting, the Board decided to:
 - a) Take note of the progress report for phase II of the Readiness Programme;
 - b) Integrate the Readiness Programme into the Adaptation Fund work plan and budget; and
 - c) Approve the proposal for the Readiness Programme for the fiscal year 2017 (FY17), comprising its work programme for FY17 with the funding of US\$ 616,500 to be transferred to the secretariat budget and US\$ 590,000 for direct transfers from the resources of the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund for allocation as small grants.

(*Decision B.27/38*)

6. At the twenty-eighth meeting of the Board, the PPRC had recommended to the Board to establish a standing rule following on decision B.26/28 on the intersessional project review cycle for grants under the Readiness Programme to provide a mandate for continued review and approval

-

¹ Decision B.22/24

of readiness grant proposals intersessionally each year. Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Board <u>decided</u> to:

- a) Request the secretariat to continue to review readiness grant proposals annually, during an intersessional period of less than 24 weeks between two consecutive Board meetings;
- b) Notwithstanding the request in paragraph (a) above, recognize that any readiness grant proposal can be submitted to regular meetings of the Board;
- c) Request the PPRC to consider intersessionally the technical review of such readiness grant proposals as prepared by the secretariat and to make intersessional recommendations to the Board;
- d) Consider such intersessionally reviewed proposals for intersessional approval in accordance with the Rules of Procedure: and
- e) Request the secretariat to present, in the twentieth meeting of the PPRC, and annually following each intersessional review cycle, an analysis of the intersessional review cycle.

(Decision B.28/30)

Technical Assistance Grant Proposals Submitted by NIEs

7. The secretariat had sent out a call for proposals to all accredited NIEs to submit proposal documents for a technical assistance (TA) grant to help them comply with the Fund's ESP and gender policy. NIEs could submit a proposal for one of two types of TA grants available, that is, a TA Grant for the ESP and Gender Policy or a TA Grant for the Gender Policy. NIEs eligible to submit proposals for a TA Grant for the ESP and Gender Policy would be those that had not previously received a grant for technical assistance and would be expected to build capacity on environmental and social safeguards and gender safeguards simultaneously. NIEs eligible to submit proposals for a TA Grant for the Gender Policy would be those that had previously received a technical assistance grant before the gender policy had been approved and would be expected to align their existing environmental and social safeguards and existing rules of procedure with the Fund's gender policy.

Technical Assistance Grants for the ESP and Gender Policy (TA-ESGP)

- 8. A single proposal was submitted to the secretariat for a technical assistance grant for the ESP and Gender Policy (TA-ESGP) with the requested funding of US\$ 25,000. The proposal was submitted by the Agency for Agricultural Development (ADA) of Morocco.
- 9. Following the receipt of the proposal, the secretariat shared the initial technical review findings with the NIE proponent and solicited its responses to specific items requiring clarification. Responses were requested by e-mail, and the time allowed for the NIE to respond was one week.
- 10. The proposal submitted by ADA was eligible to be considered and details of the proposal are contained in the following PPRC working documents as follows:

AFB/PPRC.21-22/1/Add.1 <u>Report of the secretariat on initial review of grant proposals under the Readiness Programme</u>

AFB/PPRC.21-22/2 <u>Technical assistance grant proposal (environmental and social policy</u> and gender policy) for Morocco

11. The submitted technical assistance grant proposal provides an explanation and a basic breakdown of the costs associated with the accredited NIE building its capacity to comply with both the Fund's environmental and social policy and gender policy.

<u>Table 1</u>: Technical Assistance grant proposals for ESP and Gender submitted to the intersessional review cycle between the thirtieth and thirty-first Adaptation Fund Board meetings

Country		IE	Financing Requested (USD)	IE Fee (USD)	IE Fee, %
Morocco	ADA		\$25,000	\$0	0%
Total			\$25,000		

12. The secretariat subsequently reviewed the NIE's responses to the clarification requests, and compiled comments and recommendations that are presented in the addendum to this document (AFB/PPRC.21-22/1/Add.1).

Technical Assistance Grants for the Gender Policy (TA-GP)

- 13. One accredited NIE submitted a single proposal to the secretariat for technical assistance grant for the gender policy (TA-GP) with the requested funding of US\$ 10,000.
- 14. Following the receipt of the proposal, the secretariat shared the initial technical review findings with the NIE proponent and solicited its responses to specific items requiring corrective action. The implementing entity was offered the opportunity to discuss the initial technical review. Responses were requested by e-mail, and the time allowed for the NIE to respond was one week. Following the secretariat's initial technical review, the application was withdrawn by the proponent.

South-South Cooperation Grant Proposals Submitted by Implementing Entities

- 15. Under the Fund's Readiness Programme, eligible² NIEs wishing to support other countries that are seeking accreditation with the Fund can apply for South-South (S-S) cooperation grants to enable them to provide such support.
- 16. The secretariat had sent out a call for proposals to all accredited NIEs to submit request documents/proposals for a grant to enhance South-South cooperation and help those institutions in countries applying for accreditation as an NIE to prepare and submit their applications. Following the receipt of such grant proposals, the secretariat shared the initial technical review findings with the NIE proponent and solicited their responses to specific items requiring clarification. Responses were requested by e-mail, and the time allowed for the NIE to respond was one week.

² Eligible NIEs are those that that have tangible achievements with the Fund and those that meet the eligibility criteria outlined in document AFB/B.23/5, including the entity's experience in project preparation and implementation, and in supporting other countries at different stages of their application processes.

3

- 17. The secretariat subsequently reviewed the implementing entity's responses to the clarification requests, and compiled comments and recommendations that are presented in the addendum to this document (AFB/PPRC.21-22/1/Add.1).
- 18. The secretariat received S-S cooperation grant proposals for three countries from two NIEs, with the total requested funding for these grants for the current period amounting to US\$ 150,000. A summary of the applicants is provided in Table 2 below.

<u>Table 2</u>: South-South cooperation grant proposals submitted to the intersessional review cycle between the thirtieth and thirty-first Adaptation Fund Board meetings

Country	IE providing support	Initial Financing Requested (USD), (current period)	Final Financing Requested ³ (USD), (current period)	IE Fee (USD)	IE Fee, %
Côte d'Ivoire	CSE	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$0	0%
Dominica	DoE	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$2,000	4.17%
Maldives	DoE	\$50,000	\$50,000	\$2,000	4.17%
Total		\$150,000	\$150,000	\$4,000	

19. The grant proposals were submitted by the *Centre de Suivi Ecologique* of Senegal (CSE), and the Department of Environment of Antigua and Barbuda (DoE). The details of these proposals are contained in the PPRC working documents as follows:

AFB/PPRC.21-22/1/Add.1 <u>Report of the secretariat on initial review of grant proposals under the Readiness Programme</u>

AFB/PPRC.21-22/3 <u>South-South cooperation grant proposal to support NIE accreditation</u> for Côte d'Ivoire (CSE)

AFB/PPRC.21-22/4 <u>South-South cooperation grant proposal to support NIE accreditation</u> for Dominica (DoE)

AFB/PPRC.21-22/5 South-South cooperation grant proposal to support NIE accreditation for Maldives (DoE)

20. The submitted S-S cooperation grant proposals provide an explanation and a basic breakdown of the costs associated with providing support to help those applying for accreditation as an NIE prepare and submit their application. The proposals submitted by ADA included in each proposal, US\$ 2,000 or 4.17 per cent⁴ in Implementing Entity management fees, in compliance with Board Decision B.11/16 to cap management fees at 8.5 per cent of the project/programme budget.

Issues Identified During the Review Process

³ Final S-S cooperation grant financing requested after the secretariat's initial technical review and request for further clarification to the applicant

⁴ The implementing entity management fee percentage is calculated compared to the project budget including the project activities and the execution costs, before the management fee.

21. There were no particular issues identified during this review process.