

AFB/PPRC.22/14 7 March 2018

Adaptation Fund Board Project and Programme Review Committee Twenty-Second Meeting Bonn, Germany, 20-21 March 2018

Agenda Item 8 i)

PROPOSAL FOR CAMBODIA

Background

1. The Operational Policies and Guidelines (OPG) for Parties to Access Resources from the Adaptation Fund (the Fund), adopted by the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), state in paragraph 45 that regular adaptation project and programme proposals, i.e. those that request funding exceeding US\$ 1 million, would undergo either a one-step, or a two-step approval process. In case of the one-step process, the proponent would directly submit a fully-developed project proposal. In the two-step process, the proponent would first submit a brief project concept, which would be reviewed by the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) and would have to receive the endorsement of the Board. In the second step, the fullydeveloped project/programme document would be reviewed by the PPRC, and would ultimately require the Board's approval.

2. The Templates approved by the Board (Annex 5 of the OPG, as amended in March 2016) do not include a separate template for project and programme concepts but provide that these are to be submitted using the project and programme proposal template. The section on Adaptation Fund Project Review Criteria states:

For regular projects using the two-step approval process, only the first four criteria will be applied when reviewing the 1st step for regular project concept. In addition, the information provided in the 1st step approval process with respect to the review criteria for the regular project concept could be less detailed than the information in the request for approval template submitted at the 2nd step approval process. Furthermore, a final project document is required for regular projects for the 2nd step approval, in addition to the approval template.

- 3. The first four criteria mentioned above are:
 - (i) Country Eligibility,
 - (ii) Project Eligibility,
 - (iii) Resource Availability, and (iv) Eligibility of NIE/MIE.

4. The fifth criterion, applied when reviewing a fully-developed project document, is: (v) Implementation Arrangements.

5. It is worth noting that since the twenty-second Board meeting, the Environmental and Social (E&S) Policy of the Fund was approved and since the twenty-seventh Board meeting, the Gender Policy (GP) of the Fund was also approved. Consequently, compliance with both the ESP and the GP has been included in the review criteria both for concept documents and fullydeveloped project documents. The proposals template was revised as well, to include sections requesting demonstration of compliance of the project/programme with the ESP and the GP.

6. In its seventeenth meeting, the Board decided (Decision B.17/7) to approve "Instructions for preparing a request for project or programme funding from the Adaptation Fund", contained in the Annex to document AFB/PPRC.8/4, which further outlines applicable review criteria for both concepts and fully-developed proposals. The latest version of this document was launched in conjunction with the revision of the Operational Policies and Guidelines in November 2013.

7. Based on the Board Decision B.9/2, the first call for project and programme proposals was issued and an invitation letter to eligible Parties to submit project and programme proposals to the Fund was sent out on April 8, 2010.

8. According to the Board Decision B.12/10, a project or programme proposal needs to be received by the secretariat no less than nine weeks before a Board meeting, in order to be considered by the Board in that meeting.

9. The following fully-developed project document titled "Climate Change Adaptation through small-scale & protective infrastructure interventions in coastal settlements of Cambodia" was submitted by UN Habitat, which is a Multilateral Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund.

10. This is the second submission of the proposal using the two-step submission process. It was first submitted as a project concept for consideration by the Board at its thirtieth meeting and the Board decided:

(a) To endorse the project concept, as supplemented by the clarification response provided by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) To request the secretariat to transmit to UN-Habitat the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) During project development, presentation of detailed information on tangible asset acquisition and cost-effective analysis on the basis of the asset operation should be further clarified;

(ii) The alignment with national policies and plans should be better explained;

(c) To request UN-Habitat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Cambodia; and

(d) To encourage the Government of Cambodia to submit through UN-Habitat a fullydeveloped project proposal that would address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.30/14)

11. The current submission of the fully-developed project document was received by the secretariat in time to be considered in the thirty-first Board meeting. The secretariat carried out a technical review of the project proposal, assigned it the diary number KHM/MIE/Urban/2017/1, and completed a review sheet.

12. In accordance with a request to the secretariat made by the Board in its 10th meeting, the secretariat shared this review sheet with UN Habitat, and offered it the opportunity of providing responses before the review sheet was sent to the PPRC.

13. The secretariat is submitting to the PPRC the summary and, pursuant to decision B.17/15, the final technical review of the project, both prepared by the secretariat, along with the final submission of the proposal in the following section. In accordance with decision B.25.15, the proposal is submitted with changes between the initial submission and the revised version highlighted.

Project Summary

<u>Cambodia</u> – Climate Change Adaptation through small-scale & protective infrastructure interventions in coastal settlements of Cambodia

Implementing Entity: UN Habitat Project/Programme Execution Cost: USD 437,788 Total Project/Programme Cost: USD 4,608,300 Implementing Fee: USD 391,700 Financing Requested: USD 5,000,000

Project Background and Context:

The overall project objective is to enhance climate change adaptation and resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions.

To accomplish this, a comprehensive baseline vulnerability assessment and action plans in the target settlements is required. Secondly, communes need to be able to plan for resilience and play an active role in the construction and maintenance of basic resilient systems and to enhance their livelihoods (in line with ecotourism). The third component is to implement adaptation measures: constructing climate and disaster resilient infra-structure systems in human settlements, strengthen the resilience of existing infrastructure systems and protect and/or enhance protective ecosystems.

<u>Component 1</u>: Comprehensive vulnerability / baseline assessment and action plans completed in the target communes and provinces (USD 500,000).

This component will focus on laying the ground work for reducing vulnerability to climate change related hazards, with a focus on community-level resilience in the target communes and provinces by:

- Conducting climate change vulnerability assessments in the 2 target provinces
- Producing action plans that identify and prioritise resilience investments, including consideration of impacts on eco-tourism.
- Integrate the findings of the assessments and action plans with the commune investment plans
- Assessing environmental and social risks and developing a plan to ensure compliance with the Adaptation Fund's environmental and social policy and UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguards System.
- Conducting a willingness to pay/infrastructure revenue survey to ensure that, where possible, infrastructure generates revenue that can be used to re-invest in operation, maintenance and upgrading.

This component has been included in the project because it means the interventions implemented under Component 3 will be based on scientific evidence and rigorous planning. Specifically, UN-Habitat's P4CC approach ensures that activities are feasible, effective and acceptable to communities, and is thus a participatory approach. Moreover, the action planning phase also enhances the ability of UN-Habitat and the executing partner to ensure compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

<u>Component 2</u>: Capacity built to install, protect, and manage infrastructure and natural assets, while also increasing capacity to plan for replication in other areas (USD 500,000).

This component will strengthen awareness and ownership of the climate change adaptation process in local government (district and commune level) through increased capacity. This will be done by:

- Developing/refining guidelines on district/commune level Vulnerability Assessment and action planning, including for eco-tourism;
- Developing guidelines for the operation and maintenance of small-scale protective and basic infrastructure and natural assets;
- Community-level training to construct, maintain and operate community-scale infrastructure and natural assets. There will be at least 1 initial training and 2 follow-up trainings in each community, as the project will work with 15 settlements, there will be a total of 45 trainings in total at community level. There will also be at least 2 provincial/district level training in each province.

This component is required to execute Component 3 in a way that is efficient and sustainable. Component 2 will begin as the action planning under Component 1, Output 1.3. is completed. When Output 1.3 is complete, the exact details of the infrastructure to be constructed/repaired will have been reconfirmed. Capacity building under Component 2 will ensure that communities and sub-national government have the capacity to construct, maintain and operate community-scale infrastructure. It will also codify the knowledge on building and operating community-scale infrastructure into guidelines. Component 2 therefore creates the knowledge and capacity basis to implement Component 3 in a participatory and sustainable manner.

<u>Component 3</u>: Resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets (USD 3,000,000).

This component will increase resilience through a mix of green and hard measures that will include year-round water supply, flood/coastal flood protection, resilience to strong winds, sanitation, ecosystem based adaptation options including mangrove forests on the mainland.

Due to the projected climate change impacts and disasters already occurring in coastal areas, life, health, assets and livelihoods can only be protected through physical interventions (with the support of the soft interventions above). Interventions will be selected looking at their resilience building impact, cost-effectiveness, risks and sustainability, but will lead to protection against

coastal erosion, storms and floods (i.e. mangroves, zoning/protection or other protective infrastructure), reduction of droughts and improvement of health (i.e. water supply and sanitation) and in line with above, increased resilience of livelihoods and eco-tourism. Hence, the vulnerability assessment under Component 1 will identify the potential of combining sub-projects in a way complementary to addressing climate change hazards in the most cost-effective, appropriate and environmentally and socially safe way as described above.

The project will be both innovative and efficient by using, where possible, the People's Process to implement activities. The People's Process mobilises people in the target areas to take decisions regarding their resilience, play an active role in the implementation of the measures and support them in doing so. By doing this, communities/beneficiaries have greater ownership of the process of building resilience, and implementation costs are reduced.

Component 4: Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured (USD 170,512).

This component will ensure the project implementation is fully transparent, all stakeholders are informed of products and results and have access to these for replication. Moreover, this component will also contain specific activities to further replicate and scale up the knowledge and awareness. This is done through:

- Lessons learned and best practices are captured and disseminated both with the project area and beyond, including at national level, to enhance replication potential
- Advocacy platform built at the national level, with other stakeholders working on local level climate change adaptation work, including UNDP and UNCDF
- Support provided to the National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development to prepare a direct access proposal to other multilateral climate finance institutions, including the Green Climate Fund, to continue and upscale adaptation actions in the target area of this project and beyond.

The proposed project also plans to contribute for providing lessons learned on the draft of ecotourism policy through the project implementation. As the concept of eco-tourism includes components to enhance minimize impact and financial benefits for natural re-source preservation and local communities, the project intervention able to benefit for promoting eco-tourism in Cambodia by supporting development of the draft of eco-tourism policy.



ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL REVIEW OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL

ATION FUND

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY: Regular-sized Project

Country/Region: Cambodia Project Title: Climate Change Adaptation through small-scale & protective infrastructure interventions in coastal settlements of Cambodia AF Project ID: KHM/MIE/Urban/2017/1 IE Project ID: Requested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars): US\$ 5,000,000 Reviewer and contact person: Daouda Ndiaye IE Contact Person: Laxman Perera

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21 February 2018
	Is the country party to the Kyoto Protocol?	Yes. Ratification accession: 18 Dec 1995 Entry into force: 17 Mar 1996	
Country Eligibility	Is the country a developing country particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change?	Yes. In recent years, the Kingdom of Cambodia was among the countries most affected by extreme weather events in the Asia Pacific region, and constantly ranks among the most vulnerable countries in the world according to the annually published Climate Risk Index as well as the Climate Change Vulnerability Index. Between 1991 and 2014, extreme hazards, floods and storms	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21
			February 2018
		caused economic losses amounting to more than US\$ 235 million and killed over 1500 people. Figures show that the country's vulnerability to extreme weather events such as floods, and cyclones cause most losses in terms of both mortality and economic losses.	
		Cambodia's climate change vulnerability mainly originates in its geography and high dependence on the agriculture sector. The country further shows a severe lack of coping capacity with regard to its physical infrastructure and its institutions stemming from limited financial, technical and human resources. Coastal zones, as well as nationwide infrastructure are amongst the most affected in the country. This also affects the fast- growing tourism sector, especially in coastal areas, on which the economy more and more relies.	
		already experiencing severe seawater intrusion, beach	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21 February 2018
	Has the designated government	erosion, high tides, and frequent storm surges. Additional impacts such as land subsidence in the region may even further intensify its effects. Yes. The endorsement letter was	
	endorsed the project/programme? Does the project / programme	The proposed project's main	
Project Eligibility	support concrete adaptation actions to assist the country in addressing adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change and build in climate resilience?	climate and disaster resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements in Cambodia through greater coverage of protective and basic interventions". To align with a government request to promote ecotourism in Cambodia, this project targets poor and vulnerable areas where ecotourism is popular or has growth potential.	
		The proposal includes a catalogue of interventions linked with identified climate hazards in the two target sites, selected based on a rapid vulnerability assessment exercise. However, the rationale for the selection of adaptation measures is not clearly provided. Also, it is expected that a more comprehensive exercise	CR 1: Partially addressed. The activities that have been identified by this review as unidentified sub-projects have not

Poviow Critoria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21
Neview Cilleria			February 2018
		of vulnerability and baseline	been further elaborated.
		assessment, cost benefit analysis	Activities that, at the time
		of the interventions, and ESP	of submission of the
		compliance exercise will be done	funding application, are
		during project implementation, to	not identified to the stage
		select the adequate interventions	where effective
		for the beneficiaries. The review	environmental and social
		finds significant AF investment	risks identification in line
		risks in this approach, as key	with the ESP is possible
		aspects of project design and	are referred to as
		investment decision-making	unidentified sub-
		process are deferred to the project	projects. For this, in
		implementation stage. The	addition to the design
		proposed interventions are barely	characteristics, both the
		linked with potential impacts on	specific environmental
		the target communities and the	and social setting of an
		expected level of vulnerability	activity must be known,
		reduction is difficult to assess at	which is not the case for
		this point, as there are still many	the activities referred to
		studies that will need to be	here as USP.
		undertaken for that purpose.	
		To better desire the av	
		To better design the proposed	
		project, most of the activities	CR2: Addressed.
		under component 1 nave to be	
		the proposal to the Adoptation	CR3: Not addressed.
		Line proposal to the Adaptation	
		Fund. The following are a	
		prerequisite:	
		identification of existing or	
		projected climate risks/threats,	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on February 2018	21
		Assessment of the vulnerability of the target communities and areas, Identification of adaptation measures that would help address those risks/threats, Demonstration of cost effectiveness of the proposed interventions, Demonstration of compliance of the interventions with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund. CR1 Please clarify the difference between outputs 2.1., 2.2 and 2.3. CR2 Also, the link with improved livelihoods and ecotourism development is not clear from the		
	Does the project / programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	Not demonstrated. The scope of the benefits is not clear and there is no quantification of the estimated benefits. Also, the stakeholder analysis and beneficiaries' description is not gender-disaggregated in the proposal. The only gender- disaggregated information is that		

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 2	21
		presented in Annex 1, with figures of the entire population of the target communes rather than specific beneficiaries. This is not in line with the ESP nor the GP. The number of beneficiaries is not clear. p. 20 states that the number of beneficiaries is only an estimate and will rise during implementation.	CAR1: Addressed.	
		CAR1 : Please provide estimated, gender-disaggregated figures on project beneficiaries, in line with ESP and GP.		
	Is the project / programme cost effective?	Not clear at this time.		
		A preliminary cost effectiveness analysis of a catalogue of interventions is provided in Annex 7 and it is expected that cost- effectiveness will be re-assessed as part of the action planning process (undertaken under Output 1.3). In the participatory approach taken to action planning, stakeholders will be asked to rate potential actions according to their cost- effectiveness (besides resilience building benefits and risks). The		

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21
		cost-benefit analysis exercise. Please see CR1 above.	
	Is the project / programme consistent with national or sub- national sustainable development strategies, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications and adaptation programs of action and other relevant instruments?	Yes, the proposal links the project to relevant national and sub- national strategies/plans, including the Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan (CCCSP) (2014-2023), the Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP), the National Strategic Development Plan (NSDP) (2014-2018) which is the primary national development strategy, and the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC).	
	Does the project / programme meet the relevant national technical standards, where applicable, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund??	Not demonstrated. Table 12 on compliance with national technical standards only refers to technical guidelines for the local funds, that may or may not be relevant. All other, important national standards, such as those for drinking water quality, are not mentioned in the proposal. The IE's or third party's publications or manuals cannot be considered national standards. CR4: Please identify all the national technical standards that are relevant to the project, taking into account that those that are not included may limit the scope of	CR4 : Addressed.

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21 February 2018
		the unidentified sub-projects, and show how these standards are met.	
	Is there duplication of project / programme with other funding sources?	No.	
	Does the project / programme have a learning and knowledge management component to capture and feedback lessons?	Component 4 focuses on Knowledge management. However, it is not clear what type of support is expected to be provided to the National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development to prepare a "direct access proposal" to other multilateral climate finance institutions, including the Green Climate Fund, to continue and upscale adaptation actions in the target area of this project and beyond. Please clarify. CR5	CR5: Partially addressed. The comment related to the nature of the support and its link with knowledge management. It was not related to compliance with the ESP.
	Has a consultative process taken place, and has it involved all key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups, including gender considerations in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	Not demonstrated. The process of consultation as described in the project document involved national and provincial levels, some development partners, and local administrations. Consultations of the communities, at village level, of beneficiary groups are mentioned but lack specific information. The outcome	CR6: Not addressed. There is no added evidence or indications in the proposal or its annexes of consultations at the community level (as opposed to commune level which typically comprises

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21
			February 2018
		of such consultations is not shown, and there is no information on how the consultation outcomes were incorporated in the project design. Vulnerable groups have not been identified, and the required gender considerations are not demonstrated.	several, often quite distinct communities). There is no evidence that vulnerable groups have been identified (apart from a generic reference to 'a small number of immigrants', p. 95) or
		CR6: Please clarify and provide evidence of the consultations that were held of the project beneficiaries, particularly at community level, in compliance with the ESP and the GP.	to vulnerable groups are generic. Annex 7 does not include information (register) on participants, nor is that presented anywhere else in the proposal.
		Also, please clarify how consultation with local officials in Preah Sihanouk Province have helped in "understanding climate change vulnerability and highlight possible adaptation investments", and commune councils and vulnerable groups in that area have helped "understand the local climate change impacts/ effects per commune and (the lack of) community coping	CR7: Not addressed. Reference to strong winds was made by the officials consulted, however that does not necessarily ensure understanding climate change vulnerability, or understand local climate change impacts.
		mechanisms/barriers to building resilience". CR7 Please clarify if the list of proposed adaptation interventions	CR8: Not addressed. The reference to community investment plans was a typo, commune investment

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21
		were already included in the community investment plans or will be included following the consultation process and further assessments and consultations to be undertaken under component 1. CR8	Pebruary 2018 plans was the intended text. The clarification provided is generic and does not refer to the specific commune development plans of the target communes involved. In addition to being unspecific at this level, it is also generic ("catalogue of intended sub-projects") in terms of the USPs. In addition, it remains unclear if the USPs will be included in the commune development plans following the activities to be undertaken under component 1.
	Is the requested financing justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning?	Unclear at this stage, as the target beneficiaries are not identified and the expected adaptation benefits not clearly defined. Therefore, it is not clear if the funding provided would help fully address the adaptation issues listed in the proposal for those communities.	
	. Is the project / program aligned with AF's results framework?	Yes.	
	. Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been	Not demonstrated.	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21 February 2018
	taken into account when designing the project?		
	Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	No. The bulk of the project (72% of project activities budget) are unidentified sub-projects (USPs). There is no justification for the use of this approach as no obstacles have been identified that pre-empt the full identification, design and elaboration of all project activities prior to submission of the funding request. Consequently, identification of environmental and social risks as required by the ESP prior to submission of the proposal is not possible. The risks identification that is presented is not evidence-based, comprehensive or commensurate as required by the ESP	CAR2: Not addressed (see also CR1). CAR3: Not addressed.
		 CAR2: Please identify the project activities to the stage where effective ESP risks identification is possible, and update the proposal accordingly. CAR3: Based on the fully designed project activities, please carry out an environmental and social risks identification, as required by the ESP. This should take into account the nature of the 	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on Eebruary 2018	21
		project activities, as well as the specific environmental and social settings in which the activity will take place. Please update the		
		related components of the proposal accordingly (impact assessments, possible ESMP, consultations, monitoring etc.)		
		Furthermore, the information that has been included on potential ESP risks associated with the USPs includes a number of factual errors. E.g.:		
		The document states on p. 18, in the ESP risks table on p. 79 and subsequently that there are no indigenous people or		
		ethnic minorities in the target area. This is not taking into account e.g. Saoch people in Sibapoukville, and is also		
		contradicted by the information on p. 128. Whether or not the Cham are an ethnic minority,		
		of the characteristics of an ethnic minority and should be considered as such for the		
		purpose of ESP compliance. Currently, this is a politically sensitive matter, with large		

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21
			February 2018
		numbers of marginalised (stateless) ethnic Vietnamese in the area. Fig. 17 refers to beach erosion, which may also be caused or exacerbated by large-scale illegal dredging of coastal sand. the approach to identifying USPs may not comply with the law, in particular the regulations on sub-national planning. there is virtually no information on the protected areas (Kep, Ream, Koh Rung) that will be affected by the project.	
Resource Availability	Is the requested project / programme funding within the cap of the country?	Yes. Requested funding is US\$5 million.	
	Is the Implementing Entity Management Fee at or below 8.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget before the fee?	Yes, the Implementing Entity Management Fee is listed as 8.5 percent (US\$391,700) in addition to the total project cost (US\$4,608,300), taking the funding request to US\$5million.	
	Are the Project/Programme Execution Costs at or below 9.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget (including the fee)?	Yes, the project execution costs are listed as 9.5 percent (US\$437,788) of the total project cost (US\$4,608,300).	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21 February 2018
Eligibility of IE	Is the project/programme submitted through an eligible Implementing Entity that has been accredited by the Board?	Yes, UN-HABITAT is an eligible Implementing Entity accredited by the Board.	
Implementation Arrangements	Is there adequate arrangement for project / programme management, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?	Yes. Please clarify how the UN- Habitat can play a role of project oversight (as part of the Implementing Entity) and management (as part of the project team) at the same time. CR9	CR9: Addressed.
	Are there measures for financial and project/programme risk management?	Yes.	
	Are there measures in place for the management of for environmental and social risks, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	The ESMP described in Section III.C seems to reflect a misunderstanding of the nature of the ESP and the compliance requirements. The text includes numerous redundant measures intended to illustrate commitment to ESP compliance but it overall fails to do so. There is e.g. little risk management benefit to be expected from "familiarize all project stakeholders with the 15 ESP principles".	CR10: Not addressed. (see also CR1, CAR2, CAR3)
		catalogue of USPs would need to be exhaustive, excluding all other potential project activities, which	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21 February 2018
		here is not the case (p. 38, last para).	
		CR10: The ESMP needs to be revised to reflect the four core qualities of the ESP: risk-based (as per the AF ESP 15 principles), evidence-based (as opposed to opinion or categorisation-based), commensurate to the risks, and comprehensive (applying to all the project activities). Please revise the ESMP to reflect these.	CR11: Partially addressed. However, the allocation of roles for implementation arrangements have not been clarified. For the additions to III.C, please see CR1, CAR2, CAR3.
		The implementation arrangements (p. 85) have similar roles for the PMC, the project team and the provincial steering committees with respect to ensuring ESP compliance. The practical arrangements (e.g. meeting frequencies) make this unlikely to be an adequate and effective arrangement.	
		the implementation arrangements for ESP compliance.	
	Is a budget on the Implementing Entity Management Fee use included?	Yes.	
	Is an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs included?	Yes. However, it seems that a good portion of the execution	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21 February 2018
		costs budget is going to the implementing entity as compensation for staff time (half- time of UN-Habitat staff and technical assistance from ROAP). This is not in line with the AF rules, which stipulate that in the case of an IE playing the role of the executing entity, the maximum execution cost amount that can be requested is 1.5% of the project's budget, instead of the usual 9.5%. Please clarify. CR12	CR12: Addressed.
	Is a detailed budget including budget notes included?	Yes.	
	Are arrangements for monitoring and evaluation clearly defined, including budgeted M&E plans and sex-disaggregated data, targets and indicators, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?	Yes. The list of reports to be provided does not include mid- term review/evaluation report. Please note that such review/evaluation is mandatory for projects of 4-year duration or more. CAR4	CAR4: Addressed.
	Does the M&E Framework include a break-down of how implementing entity IE fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&E function?	Yes.	
	Does the project/programme's results framework align with the AF's results framework? Does it include at least one core outcome indicator from the Fund's results framework?	Yes. However, given the little information on the scope and expected adaptation benefits of the interventions under component 3, it is not clear how the project's objectives are aligned with the Fund's Outcomes	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments on 24 January 2018	Comments on 21 February 2018
		4, 5 and 6. the project results framework should be more gender sensitive.	-
		Also, the expected outputs under component 3 are too vague to be able to monitor how successful the project has been in reducing the vulnerability of communities, that has not been properly assessed at this point, against climate threats that are not clearly demonstrated in the document.	
	Is a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones included?	Yes. Please revise the amounts under the line "(B+C) MIE Fee (US\$)" for the second and third tranche of disbursement. CAR5	CAR5: Addressed.
Technical Summary	 The proposed project's main objective is "to enhance the climate and disaster resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements in Cambodia through greater coverage of protective and basic interventions". The project aligns with a government request to promote ecotourism in Cambodia and targets poor and vulnerable areas where ecotourism is popular or has growth potential. The project is structured around the following components: Component 1: Comprehensive vulnerability / baseline assessment and action plans completed in the target towns/provinces (USD 500,000) Component 2: Capacity built to install, protect, and manage infrastructure and natural assets, while also increasing capacity to plan for replication in other areas (USD 500,000) Component 3: Resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service infra-structure and natural assets (USD 3,000,000) Component 4: Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured (USD 170,512) 		

	The proposal draws on three primary data collection missions (including stakeholder interviews) and demonstrates sound knowledge of the factors contributing to vulnerability in Cambodia's coastal areas. The concept note illustrates good awareness of other (international) actors present and the implementing entity (UN-HABITAT) has a record of implementing projects in Cambodia. The initial review found that although at the concept stage the proposal had provided sufficient supporting information, the observations made by the Board when endorsing the concept do not seem to have been addressed. There is no detailed information on tangible asset acquisition and cost-effective analysis on the basis of the asset operation and the scope of the expected adaptation benefits of this project is unclear from the document. Although mentioned in the document, the concept of linking adaptation and resilience improvements for local communities with opportunities for income-generating eco-tourism did not really appear in the proposed activities of the project. Other issues identified included the need for a vulnerability assessment and cost-benefit analysis for the selection of adaptation interventions prior to Board approval and the need for further compliance with
	the Environment and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund. A few clarification requests (CRs) and corrective action requests (CARs) were made. The final review finds that although many requests were addressed, there remain issues related to compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and the consultative process.
	 The following observations are made: a) The proposal should clarify the link between the proposed activities and improved livelihoods and ecotourism development; b) The proposal should clarify and provide evidence of the consultations that were held of
	 c) The proposal should clairly and provide evidence of the consultations that were field of the project beneficiaries, particularly at community level; c) The proposal should ensure that the environmental and social risks identification and management process for the identified adaptation measures is clearly outlined in the environmental and social management plan of the project, including adequate allocation of roles for implementation arrangements.
Date:	22 February 2018



REQUEST FOR PROJECT/PROGRAMME FUNDING FROM THE ADAPTATION FUND



The Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat 1818 H Street NW MSN P4-400 Washington, D.C., 20433 U.S.A Fax: +1 (202) 522-3240/5 Email: afbsec@adaptation-fund.org



PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL TO THE ADAPTATION FUND

PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION

Project/Programme Category: Country/Cities: Title of Project/Programme:

Type of Implementing Entity: Implementing Entity:

Executing Entities:

Amount of Financing Requested:

Regular Cambodia Climate change adaptation through protective small-scale infrastructure interventions in coastal settlements of Cambodia Multilateral Implementing Entity United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) Ministry of Environment, National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development US\$ 5,000,000

Project Summary

The proposed project's main objective is "to enhance climate change adaptation and resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions". It is structured around the following components:

Component 1: Comprehensive vulnerability/baseline assessment and action plans completed in the target provinces and communes (USD 500,000)

Component 2: Capacity built to design, monitor and manage infrastructure and natural assets, while also increasing capacity to plan for replication in other areas (USD 500,000)

Component 3: Resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets (USD 3,000,000)

Component 4: Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured (USD 170,512)

1. Project Background and Context

The problem

Climate change is a major challenge for reaching national development goals

In recent years, the Kingdom of Cambodia was among the countries most affected by extreme weather events in the Asia Pacific region.¹ The Country constantly ranks among the most vulnerable countries in the world according to the annually published Climate Risk Index², as well as the Climate Change Vulnerability Index³. Between 1991 and 2014, extreme hazards, floods and storms led to the deaths of over 1500 people⁴ and caused economic losses amounting to more than US\$ 235 million. Figures show that the country's vulnerability to extreme weather events such as floods, and cyclones cause most losses in terms of both mortality and economic losses.⁵

Cambodia's climate change vulnerability mainly originates in its geography and high dependence on the agriculture sector. The country also shows a severe lack of coping capacity with regard to its physical infrastructure and institutions, stemming from limited financial, technical and human resources.⁶ Coastal zones, as well as nationwide infrastructure are amongst the most affected in the country.⁷ This also affects the fast-growing tourism sector, especially in coastal areas, on which the economy increasingly relies. Rising sea levels can potentially impact coastal systems in multiple ways, including flood and storm damage, inundation, loss of wetlands, erosion, saltwater intrusion, and rising water tables.⁸

In addition, there is growing risk that severe weather events will impact Cambodia. Climate Change therefore makes it more and more difficult for Cambodia to continue achieving its main national development priority, i.e. to significantly reduce poverty rates while simultaneously fostering economic growth at a yearly rate of seven per cent, as outlined in its National Strategic Development Plan (NSDP) 2014-2018.⁹ And although Cambodia

¹ Global Climate Risk Index, 2015. Online at https://germanwatch.org/en/9531

² Global Climate Risk Index, 2016, p. 23. Online at https://germanwatch.org/fr/download/13503.pdf

³ Climate Change and Environmental Risk Atlas 2015. Online at https://maplecroft.com/portfolio/new-analysis/2014/10/29/climate-change-and-lack-food-security-multiply-risks-conflict-and-civil-unrest-32-countriesmaplecroft/

⁴ Global Climate Risk Index, 2016, p. 23, online at https://germanwatch.org/fr/download/13503.pdf. UNISDR Global Risk Assessment 2017, online at http://www.preventionweb.net/countries/khm/data/. The International Disaster Database (EM-DAT), 2017, online at http://www.emdat.be/country_profile/index.html

⁵ Index for Risk Management (INFORM) Country Risk profile for Cambodia, 2017. Online at http://www.inform-index.org/Countries/Country-profiles/iso3/KHM

⁶ INFORM Country Risk profile for Cambodia, 2017. Online at http://www.inform-index.org/Countries/Country-profiles/iso3/KHM

⁷ Cambodia's Intended Nationally Determined Contributions, p. 2. Online at http://www4.unfccc.int/submissions/IN DC/Published%20Documents/Cambodia/1/Cambodia's%20INDC%20to%20the%20UNFCCC.pdf

⁸ Second National Communication to the UNFCCC, p. xv. Online at http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/natc/khmnc2.pdf
⁹ National Strategic Development Plan 2014-2018, p. 4. Online at http://www.mop.gov.kh/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket =X0vSGmpl4tE%3d&tabid=216&mid=705

managed to graduate from the status of low income country to lower-middle income country in 2016¹⁰ as intended by its NSDP¹¹, the uncertainty and intricacy of increasing climate change risks and threats significantly hampers economic growth and development potential in the future.12

Climate change projections and expected impacts

Climate change projections

Cambodia's climate is governed by a monsoon weather cycle, with a wet season between May to November that is dominated by heavy rainfall and average temperatures of 28°C

and a dry season from November to May, with an average maximum temperature of 38°C in April and an average minimum temperature of 17°C in January. Over the last decades, mean temperatures in Cambodia have increased significantly, a trend that is predicted to continue with projected increases in monthly averages between 0.013°C and 0.036°C per year by 2099 with higher predictions for locations at low latitudes.13

Rainfall varies within the country and is strongly influenced by topography, declining in the central plains, and increasing in the upland areas.

coastline stretching from Koh Kong Province bordering Thailand in the west, Sihanoukville Municipality which contains Cambodia's larg- tion_analysis_final.pdf

However, rainfall is heaviest along the 435km Figure 1 Cambodia coastal areas. Source: Cambodia Coastal Situation Analysis, 2011, p. 6. Online at

http://cms daa.iucn.org/downloads/cambodia_coastal_situa-

est deep-water sea port, Kampot Province bordering Vietnam to the East, and Kep Province (see fig 1). While lowlands may receive average annual rainfall of 1400mm per year, data shows that rainfall within coastal areas can be as high as 4000mm per year or higher (see fig 2).14

4



¹⁰ The World Bank, 2017. Online at http://data.worldbank.org/?locations=KH-XN

¹¹ National Strategic Development Plan 2014-2018, p. 4.

¹² Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan 2014-2023, p. xv. Online at http://www.bb.undp.org/content/dam/camb odia/docs/EnvEnergy/CCCAProjects/Cambodia%20climate%20change%20strategic%20plan%202014-2023.pdf ¹³ Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan 2014-2023, p. 8.

¹⁴ Heng Chan Thoeun, 2015, p. 63. Online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2015.02.001



Notes: Shows rainfall patterns (left) and temperature distributions (right) for Cambodia taking 1960-1990 averages. Source: The World Bank Group, 2011, p. 3. Online at: www.worldclim.org/current

Figure 2 Annual Climate Baseline for Cambodia.

Although evidence of climate change impacts on rainfall patterns remains inconclusive, predictions for average annual rainfall clearly indicate further changes in rainfall for Cambodia in the medium- to long-term. Projections show evidence to suggest that rainfall between the months of June to August will most likely increase in the northwest, while there is a decreasing trend projected for the northeast of the country.

Due a history of civil conflict, there are only very few long-term historical datasets available for climate observations in Cambodia. The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), however, provides an overview of forecasting trends from 21 climate models for Southeast Asia. This summary states that i) for the period 2081-2100 temperatures will likely increase in the range of 1.5°C to 3.7°C; ii) while the number of hot days and nights will increase, cold days and nights will likely to become less frequent; iii) rainfall will most likely increase with projections ranging from a decrease of 2% to increases of up to 15%, with projected increases in the intensity of precipitation; iv) sea-levels in the region are forecasted to rise between 0.18 and 0.56cm by the year 2100, though some research has projected sea-level rises in the region of around 1 metre.¹⁵

Expected impacts

Due to its vulnerability to the effects of drought, floods and sea level rise, Cambodia's agriculture, human lives and assets were severely damaged by floods and droughts between 2000 and 2010.16 The 2011 floods resulted in economic losses of around 4% of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP)¹⁷. Likewise, the 2013 floods caused economic losses of around US\$356 million, of which US\$153 million was the estimated value of the destruction of physical assets (damage) in the affected areas, and US\$203 million the estimated losses in production and economic flows.18

Increases in sea levels are especially alarming for Cambodia's coastal areas that are already experiencing severe seawater intrusion, beach erosion, high tides, and frequent

¹⁵ See for example Rahmstorf, S., 2007 and Ananthaswamy, A., 2009.

¹⁶ MoE et al. (2013), p. 187.

¹⁷ 2011 GDP (current US\$) amounted to US\$12.83 billion (World Bank, online at http://data.worldbank.org/country/ca mbodia). The 2011 flood resulted in total economic losses of around US\$0.521 billion (EM-DAT country profile). ¹⁸ Cambodia's Intended Nationally Determined Contributions, p. 3.

storm surges. Additional impacts such as land subsidence in the region may even further intensify its effects.¹⁹ Especially low-lying areas such as coastal settlements, seaports, coastal fisheries, mangrove forests, and tourism facilities would equally be affected. As an example, research by the Danish International Development Assistance found that around 56% of the low-lying south-western coastal city of Koh Kong would be submerged by a one-metre rise in sea-levels. This finding equally holds true for other areas along the coastline of Cambodia (see fig 3).²⁰



Figure 3 Estimated Areas Affected by a 1 m Sea Level Rise. Source: Source: 3rd State of the Coastal Environment, Climate Change and Socio-Economy Report 2013

Cambodia's coastal provinces already suffer from salinization of surface and groundwater resources due to storms and droughts.²¹ The rise in sea levels will only exacerbate these problems. Further, sea-level rise is expected to go hand in hand with an increase in

¹⁹ Erban, L.E., Gorelick, S.M. and Zebker, H.A., 2014, p. 1. Online at http://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/174893 26/9/8/084010/pdf

 ²⁰ Danish International Development Assistance, 2008, p. 15. Online at https://www.weadapt.org/sites/weadapt.org/fil es/legacy-new/placemarks/files/Cambodia.pdf
 ²¹ National Adaptation Programme of Action to Climate Change (NAPA), 2006, p. 4. Online at http://unfccc.int/resourc

²¹ National Adaptation Programme of Action to Climate Change (NAPA), 2006, p. 4. Online at http://unfccc.int/resourc e/docs/napa/khm01.pdf

coastal erosion that may have major impacts on the frequency of flooding of economically vital coastal infrastructure such as coastal resorts and harbours, significantly hampering tourism potential. Likewise, an increase in sea-levels is likely to worsen inundation from storms and storm surges.

The above impacts clearly demonstrate the importance for the country of building resilience to natural disasters and prepare vulnerable areas accordingly so that the impact of climate change risks and disasters are minimized to the most possible extents. This will be vital for Cambodia to continue its path along increasing economic growth rates and to be able to protect its citizens, especially those living in coastal areas.

Economic context

Climate change is already causing economic losses but the government faces challenges in terms of financial resources and technical capacity to respond.

According to most recent statistics published by the World Bank, in 2015 Cambodia's Gross National Income (GNI) amounted to US\$1,070 per capita, growing at 7 per cent per year. This trend is slightly decreasing with forecasted GDP growth rates of 6.9 to 6.8 per cent for the years 2017 and 2018, respectively.²²

Cambodia's economy is narrowly based however, and driven by four main sectors: garment manufacture, tourism, construction and agriculture, with three of those predominantly urban sectors, heavily dependent on building resilient settlements and infrastructure. The economy of the target communes reflects the national economy and is, due to its coastal location, especially dependent on the tourism, construction and agriculture sectors. Productive share in Cambodia is relatively evenly distributed, with its services sector as the largest contributor at 37.8% of total gross output, followed by the industry sector at 31.3% and the agriculture sector at 30.9%. Intermediate inputs as a share of total cost of production in Cambodia is on average almost equally divided, i.e. 50% comes from domestic resources while the other half is imported.

At the sectoral level, Cambodia's industry sector depends more on domestic sources with respect to their inputs than on imports, while on the other hand its services sector depends more on imported inputs, specifically the transportation, communication and trade sectors. Similar to its production distribution data, Cambodia's GDP heavily depends on both the agriculture and services sectors that accounted for more than three quarters of the country's total GDP in recent years. The tourism sector shows high annual growth rates with high shares in total GDP.²³ The direct contribution of the sector to GDP was around US\$2.3 billion (13.5% of total GDP) in 2015, and is forecast to rise by 6.3% per annum between 2016-2025, to US\$4.58 billion (12.4% of total GDP) in 2025. Total contribution

²² The World Bank, 2017. Per capita GNI is displayed using the World Bank's Atlas method, which smoothens a country's GNI per capita by price variations and exchange rate fluctuations, taking into account the year of observation and the two previous years. It further adjusts the country's own and the international rate of inflation, with the international inflation rate being the euro area, the United Kingdom, the United States and Japan since 2001. Online at http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=2&country=KHM

²³ Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan 2014-2023, p. xv.

to GDP amounted to US\$5.09 billion (29.9% of GDP) in 2015, and is forecasted to rise by approximately 6.5% annually to US\$10.32 billion (28.0% of GDP) in 2025. In 2014, the total contribution of tourism to employment, including jobs indirectly supported by the industry, was 26.4% of total employment (2,221,500 jobs). This is expected to rise by 3.3% per annum to 3,199,000 jobs in 2025 (32.6% of total).²⁴ In the same year tourism investment was US\$0.4 billion, or 15.6% of total investment. It is expected to rise by 6.4% per year within the next decade to US\$0.8 billion in 2025 (14.1% of total).

The share of foreign visitors in 2015 amounted to nearly 15% of total visitors to the coastal area.²⁵ Securing continued economic, employment as well as investment growth will heavily dependent on the country's resilience along its coastal lines. Visitors to Preah Sihanouk and Kep have increased year by year. Based on the Provincial Investment Programme report, 2,032,881 tourists visited Preah Sihanouk in 2016, a 16.65 percent increase compared to 2015. As for Kep, visitors increased from 761,206 in 2015 to 1,079,493 in 2016.

Both provinces recognize tourism as an important industry and both provinces have a great potential for eco-tourism, with nature, livelihood, and community-based tourism activities. However, the tourism sector is also affected by climate change, especially beach erosion, as described in the Environmental Section below. For adaptation to climate change, natural resource enhancement and preservation is therefore necessary, as well as improvement of drainage and the management of water supply, sewage and waste. This will benefit tourism potential directly but also the poor and vulnerable, especially from livelihoods and basic services perspective.

Social context

Although the government recognizes the importance of resilience to natural disasters in the poor communities, they face limited financial resources and human capacity as well as comprehensive data sets.

Cambodia has a total population of 15.58 million (of which around 51.3 per cent are women) and this figure is growing at a rate of 1.6 per cent annually. Urban areas are growing much more rapidly at 2.6 per cent each year.²⁶ This is one of the main reasons for the country's increasing demographic pressures over the past years. According to the Fragile States Index, in 2016 Cambodia was one of the few countries in the region that were labelled a high warning status with regard to its state of development, which even marginally worsened within the last decade.²⁷ And although the country has a relatively high share of payments to labour in relation to its GDP compared to its neighbouring

²⁴ Word Travel and Tourism Council, Economic Impact 2015 Cambodia. Online at https://www.wttc.org/-/media/files/reports/economic%20impact%20research/countries%202015/cambodia2015.pdf

²⁵ Cambodia Tourism Statistics Report, 2015, p. 5.

²⁶ Displays data for the most recent available year 2015. The World Bank, World Development Indicators, 2017. Online at http://databank.worldbank.org/data/reports.aspx?source=2&country=KHM

²⁷ The Fund for Peace 2017. Online at http://library.fundforpeace.org/library/fragilestatesindex-2016.pdf

countries,²⁸ uneven economic development only shows slightly improving trends.²⁹ While household poverty rates are highest in the north-east of the country, overall poverty rates remain high in the coastal area (Figure 4, left), especially considering its higher population density.

The population density map (Figure 4, right) shows that along the coast the cities of Sihanoukville, Kampot and Kep (from left to right) are among the most populated areas. The country's coastal population faces challenges such as low levels of education and poor health and basic infrastructure services. It further shows an on-going deterioration of inequality between the mid-1990s and 2007, despite an overall poverty reduction.



Figure 4 Distribution (%) of household poverty rates by districts and population density in 2015. Source: <u>Left:</u> own illustration based on the United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs, 2015. Online at Open Development Cambodia. <u>Right</u>: Own illustration based on adjusted UN data from World POP. Online at World POP.

²⁸ 56% of its economic gains are invested into labour force. Secretario, F. et al. 2009, p. 9. Online at http://depocenw p.org/modules/download/index.php?id=62

²⁹ The Fund for Peace 2017.



Figure 5 Water and sanitation coverage in 2016 (left) and percentage of households with access to improved water sources in 2010 by district. Note: Water and sanitation is displayed from low to high coverage in light and darker colours, respectively. Source: Left: own illustration based on WaterSHED data for its sanitation and hygiene project, covering 5,801 villages, from 527 communes across 58 districts. Online at Open Development. Right: Japan International Cooperation Agency, 2010, p. iv. Online at JICA

The expected impacts of climate change in coastal regions jeopardize poverty reduction and health targets, because hazards are likely to increase in frequency and intensity. This is due to the fact that poor communities predominantly live in high-risk areas and already lack access to basic services. Especially the frequency of storms and inundation, which are projected to increase with climate change, create disruptive situations and conditions for the spread of water- and vector-borne diseases, limit access to clean water and food, flood and expose unsafe sanitation facilities, and isolate the population from health and other emergency services and responses. Notwithstanding advances in water, sanitation, and hygiene over recent years, the aforementioned issues are a present danger and cause loss of life and have long-lasting impacts on poverty and food security. Approaches to deliver these services need to become sensitive to the impacts of climate change and related hazards. As a means to significantly reduce vulnerability, citizens need to get access to resilient basic infrastructure services such as clean water, sewage, roads, electricity, or telecommunication, to name a few, and improving their resilience to natural disasters.

In a 2005 survey jointly compiled by the Global Environment Facility (GEF), the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) and the Ministry of Environment (MoE) of Cambodia, respondents from different provinces around the country stated that during major natural disasters the main source of water for household consumption were wells (58%), ponds (14%), streams (12%) and rivers (9%).³⁰ As further evident from Figure 5 (right hand side), the overall percentage of households that can access improved water sources is still low, ranging in most districts between 10 and 30 per cent. With regard to the coastal zone, while in Sihanoukville between 30 and 50 per cent of households have access to improved water sources, Koh Kong and Kampot are in line with the national

³⁰ The study represents responses by villagers from 17 provinces surveyed. Source: MoE, GEF and UNDP (2005), p. 13. Online at http://camclimate.org.kh/en/documents-and-media/library/category/29-vulnerability-and-adaptation .html?download=54:a-survey-of-rural-cambodian-households-vulnerability-and-adaptation-march-05

trend of low access, while Kep does not have any access to piped water, a situation which continues today. Figure 5 (left hand side) further depicts information on the access to latrines and water filters from a 2016 project assessment. The lack of available data in this regard for most parts of the country shows the vital need for continued work, including assessments.

Although the government intends to expand and improve basic infrastructure services throughout the country, the development and implementation of effective climate change strategies is constrained by limited financial resources and human capacity, a lack of reliable and comprehensive data sets, research to support greenhouse gas inventories, and vulnerability assessments. Natural disasters, intensified by climate change, have major impacts on basic services and need to be consequently addressed through adaptation measures as a means to alleviate poverty and foster economic growth.

In line with the government's Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) under the Paris Agreement on Climate Change, an approach to establish this should focus on the resilience of coastal zones and infrastructure more generally as they are among the areas impacted most severely by climate change.

Environmental context

Sea level rise due to climate change and changes of the mangrove systems accelerate coastal erosion and reduce the climate change resilience.

As specified by the Ministry of Environment (MoE et al., 2013), forests play an important role in maintaining the country's ecosystems as well as a source of various non-timber forest products. 27 per cent of Cambodian land is categorized as protected forest area. In Preah Sihanouk, 26 per cent of the land is categorized as protected forest area. In Kep this figure is 7 per cent (see Figure 6, left).

However, forestry was drastically exploited in the last few decades due to illegal logging, encroachment, and economic land concessions. 16.1 per cent of national forest cover has decreased between 1965 and 2010, and it annually decreased 0.52% of forest coverage between 2002 and 2010.³¹ This figure is one of the highest in the world.

Deforestation is also happening in coastal areas, especially mangrove forests (see Figure 6, right). IUCN (2011) identified that approximately 3,500 to 4,000 hectares of former mangrove lands were converted to salt farms in Kampot Province and Kep Municipality, even though salt pans negatively affect mangrove growth and soil fertility. Moreover, a study by the Ministry of Environment (MoE et al. 2014) shows that mangroves in Prey Nob District in Preah Sihanouk Province are under threat by salt, charcoal use, and industrial development.

³¹ MoE, GEF and UNEP (2013), p. 31.


Figure 6: Land use of the Coastal Zone of Cambodia (left) and Percent reduction in forest area on district level from 1993 to 2011 (right). Source: 3rd State of the Coastal Environment, Climate Change and Socio-Economy Report 2013

Besides that, an estimated 3,446 hectares of area in Preah Sihanouk province and 343 hectares of Kep province will be below mean sea level if the sea level rises by 1 metre in the future. The study by the Ministry of Environment (MoE et al) also estimated that 3,530 hectares of mangroves in Preah Sihanouk and 13 hectares in Kep are located within 1 metre above today's mean sea level. Therefore, simultaneous occurrence of changes of the mangrove systems and sea level rise will accelerate coastal erosion as well as reduce the adaptive capacity to climate change of the coastal ecosystem.³²

Severe environmental degradation has taken place throughout the coastal area of Cambodia – especially in areas where there has been investment in infrastructure and tourism. Besides that, the often-informal nature of the target settlements creates environmental problems, especially in waste management. Moreover, the combined effects of sea-level rise, coastal flooding and on-shore development issues (especially disposal of wastewater) are causing coastal erosion.



Figure 7: Mangrove deforestation in Preah Sihanouk (left), Exposed roots due to beach erosion and sea level raise in Preah Sihanouk (middle) and Erosion and solid waste in mangrove forest in Kep (right). Source: UN-Habitat/Field photos.

³² MoE, GEF and UNEP (2013), p. 190.

Focus of the Proposal

As described detail in the following section, the main objective of the proposed project is to enhance climate change adaptation and resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete climate change adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions. To achieve this objective, this project focuses its actions on highly vulnerable settlements in Kep and Preah Sihanouk provinces, in the coastal area of Cambodia. In Kep Province, the project will target five sangkats/communes³³ with a total of 36,684 beneficiaries. In Preah Sihanouk province the project will target ten sangkats/communes with a total of 47,902 beneficiaries. Further details can be found in Annex 1 – Beneficiaries.

The most problematic climate hazards identified in the target areas are strong winds, sea level rise, storm surges, floods, strong waves, seawater intrusion and droughts, leading to coastal erosion, low agriculture production, destroyed houses, slowdown of fishing activities, damaged roads and dikes, lack of clean water supply, poor sanitation, health issues and threatening the development of eco-tourism.



Figure 8. Fallow rice fields after salinization.

Figure 9. Insufficient and damaged water gate to protect rice fields from saltwater intrusion.

The catalogue of intended sub-projects represents the resilience-building interventions for the target communes and can be found in Annex 5. The catalogue is the direct result of the a rapid vulnerability assessment conducted during the full proposal development (Annex 1), and reflects the findings of the in-depth community consultations (see Part II Section H.) looking at community vulnerabilities, community needs (especially of women, youth and disabled people, and the small Muslim minority) and a screening of cost-effectiveness of interventions (see Part II Section C) and potential environmental and social impacts (see Part II Section K).

³³ Note that sangkats and communes are the same level of local government. A unit of local government is referred to as a Sangkat in urban areas and a commune in rural areas.



Figure 11: Family suffers financial restrains

to re-build a resilient housing after strongs winds destroyed their house 7 years ago.

> Figure 12: Informal housing along canal for

freshwater



The following table 1 gives a brief overview of the target areas, the climate hazards they are exposed to, the effects on the communes, the underlying vulnerability and barriers to adapt these communes faces. This table has been compiled through a combination of secondary data and consultations undertaken by the formulation mission for this concept note, which is detailed further in Part II Section H. A more detailed set of priorities of resilience building intervention can be found in the action planning (Annex 1.B.) and in the catalogue of intended sub-projects (see Part II Section A and Annex 5)

Table 1: Summary of target locations and vulnerability.

Target communes	Climate Change Is- sue	Effects on com- munity	Underlying vul- nerability/ Barriers to adapt	Resilience building in- terventions prioritized by com- mune
4 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sa- makki, Veal Rinh) and 1 Sangkat in Si- hanoukville: Sangkat Muoy). All 5 com- munes of Kep Prov- ince: Angkaol, Pong Tuek, Prey Thom, Kep and Ou Krasar.	Strong Winds	Destroyed houses; Destruction of households and shelter for animal Destroy of rice crops Coastline erosion; Poorly designed	Poor infrastructure; Poor house condi- tions; Financial difficul- ties to re-construct house Limited education and skills	Resilient Housing
Prey Nob District		fisher boats cap- size	Low rice produc- tion;	Weather station
All communes of Prey Nob District. To be repaired in Sangkat Muoy		Limited ability to evacuate or shelter	Deforestation	Broadcast- ing weather patterns and early warn- ing system
7 communes in Prey Nob District (Tuek	Droughts	Lack of water sup- ply;	Poor sanitation and health issues;	Fresh water reservoir
Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sa- makki, Veal Rinh, Samrong, Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng) and 1 Sangkat in Si-		Insufficient clean water supply;	Health issues; Limited irrigation;	Rain water harvesting Water gates to existing
hanoukville (Sangkat Muoy). 3 communes		duction	Decline of agricul- tural production	
in Kep Province: Prey Thom, Kep and Ou Krasar		Salted surfaces Contaminated ground water and freshwater:	Lack of basic ser- vices (especially water);	
		Damaged roads, dams and canals;	Unaffordable water pricing Low fish production	
			Limited education and skills	
			No access to safe drinking water	

3 communes in Prey Nob District: Prey Nob, Oknha Heng, Boeng Taprom. 3 communes in Kep Province: Angkaol, Kep and Ou Krasar 2 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek L'ak and Veal Rinh	Floods	Decline of tourists Damaged roads and dams and ca- nals; Water pollu- tion/contaminated ground water,	Low income that affect to livelihood due to no tourists; Bad infrastructure and water manage- ment; Lack of sanitation;	Canal Dam
4 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Samakki, Sam- rong, Boeng Taprom		Damaged houses Contamination of freshwater	Health issues; Limited education and skills	Water gates
6 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sa- makki, Veal Rinh, Samrong, Boeng Taprom. Ecotourism in the Kampong Smach protected area. In Kep: Angkaol mangrove forest	Natural as- set protec- tion	Decline of tourists Low fish produc- tion; Low rice produc- tion; Contaminated ground water; Strong coastal winds Coastline erosion;	Low income that affect to livelihood due to no tourists; Decline of biodiver- sity Poor infrastructure Poor sanitation and health issues	Demarca- tion of and access to eco-tourism Reforesta- tion of eco- tourism
4 communes in Prey Nob District: Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng, Boeng Taprom. 2 com- munes in Kep Prov- ince: Angkaol and Pong Tuek,	Sea-level rise, ero- sion, salini- zation	Decline in tourists due to shrinking of beach and loss of land Low fish produc- tion; Loss of agricultural land. Low rice pro- duction; Unfertile soil Contaminated ground water; Coastline erosion;	Low income that affect to livelihood due to no tourists; Decline of biodiver- sity Poor infrastructure Poor sanitation and health issues Poor management of natural re- sources like for- ests; Limited education and skills	Protective infrastruc- ture e.g. road, dam

		Decline of biodiver- sity and eco-sys- tems		
In Sihanoukville:	Wastewater	Damaged roads	Bad infrastructure;	Sewage
Sangkat Muoy	flooding,	and dams and ca-		system
U ,	bank and	nals;	Insufficient clean	Drainage
	soil pollu-		water supply;	system
	tion	Contaminated		Wastewater
		ground water;	Poor house condi- tions;	system
		Lack of water sup-		
		ply;	Lack of sanitation;	
		Poor sanitation and health issues	Health issues	
			Limited education and skills	



Figure 13. Solid waste blocks sewers and drains



Figure 14. Livelihood with less sanitation in vulnerable houses near solid waste



Table 2: Population of targe	t communes per district.
------------------------------	--------------------------

Municipality/ District	No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Total Popu- lation*	Female pop- ulation	Location
	1	Tuek Thla	5,455	2,720	Coastal
	2	Tuek L'ak	4,413	2,198	Coastal and River
	3	Samakki	3,641	1,919	Coastal and River
Droy Nob	4	Veal Rinh	10,717	5,636	Coastal and River
Fley NOD	5	Samrong	6,683	3,334	Coastal and River
	6	Prey Nob	7,944	3,976	Coastal and River
	7	Ou Oknha Heng	9,006	4,559	Coastal and River
	8	Boeng Taprom	7,917	4,025	Coastal and River
		Sub-total	55,776	28,367 (50.85%)	
Preah Sihan-	1	Koh Rong	1,693	791	Coastal Area, Island
ouk Municipal- ity	2	Sangkat Muoy	18,613	9,308	Coastal, informal set- tlement
		Sub-total	20,306	10,099 (49.73%)	
Kep Munici-	1	Angkaol	8,566	4,280	Coastal
pality and	2	Pong Tuek	10,987	5,574	Coastal
Damnak	3	Prey Thom	8,521	3,994	Coastal
Changeur	4	Kep	4,917	2,358	Coastal
	5	Ou Krasar	7,772	3,738	Coastal
	15	Sub-total	40,763	19,944 (48.92%)	

*Note: there are no indigenous people or ethnic minorities in the target areas.

In addition to above Table 2, Table 3 below, shows the poverty rate and the percentage of people whose primary water source is considered unsafe, for communes in Preah Sihanouk and Kep Province, according to the vulnerability assessment carried out by the Ministry of Environment in 2015. It clearly shows that a lack of access to safe water is a critical underlying vulnerability.



Figure 17. Beach erosion caused by sea-level rising and coastal storms.

		Sensitivity						
Municipal- ity/ District	No	Name of Sangkat/ com- mune	Pov- erty (%)	Unsafe water (%)	No. with unsafe water	Total Sensi- tivity	Over-all nerability dex	vul- / In-
	1	Tuek Thla	20.2	50.5	2,754	67	5	
	2	Tuek L'ak	20.1	47.6	2,100	62	5	
	3	Samakki	19.2	70.3	2,559	61	5	
Prev Nob	4	Veal Rinh	26.3	24.5	2,625	47	3	
FIEY NOD	5	Samrong	19.8	91.8	6,134	73	3	
	6	Prey Nob	18.6	96.1	7,634	56	5	
	7	Ou Oknha Heng	18.0	71.0	6,394	76	5	
	8	Boeng Taprom	12.6	77.8	6,159	54	4	
Preah Sihan-	1	Koh Rong	23,7	70.6	1,195	72	2	
ouk Munici- pality	2	Sangkat Muoy	0.0	55.6	10,348	30	1	
				То	otal: 47,902			
Kep Munici-	1	Angkaol	18.5	77.1	6,604	67	5	
pality and	2	Pong Tuek	18.5	88.5	9,723	66	4	
Damnak	3	Prey Thom	14.3	90.9	7,745	57	4	
Changkor	4	Kep	6.4	99.1	4,872	50	3	
-	5	Ou Krasar	18.8	99.6	7,740	63	4	
	15			Тс	otal: 36,684			
				Total bene	eficiaries			
				01 506				

Table 3: Poverty level and people with unsafe water.

According to the community consultations in 14 communes undertaken in the development of this proposal, people face serious challenges in terms of accessing water, due to the need to buy water from tankers or in bottles from other areas. The consultation also identified that several climatic impacts and hazards cause water pollution as well as contaminate ground water resources. This means that providing year-round, clean water supplies to the target populations will also bring economic benefits in terms of reducing household expenditures on water.



Figure 18. Unsightly and smelly water discharge along the beach in Preah Sihanouk.

Meanwhile, a lack of protective ecosystem and infrastructure and high exposure to storms and coastal flooding means that people regularly lose assets. Damage to houses is common and during consultations, officials also highlighted frequent damage to adjacent agricultural lands, restricting food supplies, and therefore, increasing food prices. These effects result in people to either borrow or invest whatever household savings they have in rebuilding houses or making make-shift flood defences.

Community consultation also identified some possible interventions to build resilience in each province. As shown in Table 4, although it is limited, both of Preah Sihanouk and Kep raised several types of possible interventions in order to enhance climate change adaptation.

The number of people without access to safe water was taken as a proxy for the number of beneficiaries. This is because, based on the initial assessment work conducted, people without access to safe water also typically lived in houses that are not resilient to storms and/or live in areas prone to flooding and sea-level rise. The estimation of the total number of beneficiaries is intended to be conservative. The number of beneficiaries is expected to rise during the project implementation.

Table 4: Possible adaptation building interventions in Preah Sihanouk and Kep.Preah SihanoukKep

Knowledge	 Provide vocational training on vari- ous topics including water, sanita- tion and hygiene promotion and re- silient housing 	 Provide vocational training on vari- ous topics including water, sanita- tion and hygiene promotion and re- silient housing
Physical	 Improve infrastructure (drainage system, agricultural irrigation) Provide resilient housing models and designs 	 Improve infrastructure (drainage system, agricultural irrigation) Provide resilient housing models and designs
Natural	- Enhance water supply systems	 Ennance water supply systems Increase number of trees in coast-
Natarai	natural resources and biodiversity	line
	- Implement environmental manage- ment activities (e.g. reforestation and water pollution improvement)	- Conserve and protect natural re- sources and biodiversity

A catalogue of intended sub-projects per commune based on in-depth community consultation can be found in Annex 5.

2. Project Objectives

Main objective

The proposed project's main objective is to enhance climate change adaptation and resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions.

To accomplish this, a comprehensive baseline vulnerability assessment and action plans in the target settlements is required. Secondly, communes need to be able to plan for resilience and play an active role in the construction and maintenance of basic resilient systems and to enhance their livelihoods (in line with ecotourism). The third component is to implement adaptation measures: constructing climate and disaster resilient infrastructure systems in human settlements, strengthen the resilience of existing infrastructure systems and protect and/or enhance protective ecosystems.

Specific objectives (also 'project components' in the following table 5):

- <u>Component 1</u>: Comprehensive vulnerability / baseline assessment and action plans completed in the target communes and provinces.
 - This is in line with AF outcome 1: Reduce exposure and vulnerability to climate-related hazards and threats with a particular view to community level resilience
- <u>Component 2</u>: Capacity built to design, monitor and manage infrastructure and natural assets, while also increasing capacity to plan for replication in other areas.
 - This is in line with AF outcome 3: Strengthen awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes and capacity
- <u>Component 3</u>: Resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service interventions (see catalogue of intended sub-projects in Annex 5)
 - This is in line with AF outcome 4: Increase adaptive capacity with relevant development and natural resource sectors,
 - AF outcome 5: Increase ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress,
 - AF outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted area.
- <u>Component 4</u>: Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured
 - Project implementation is fully transparent. All stakeholders are informed of products and results and have access to these for replication.
 - Support provided to the National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development to continue and upscale adaptation actions in the target area of this project and beyond by accessing further finance

3. Project Components and Financing

Table 5:	Project	compone	nts and	financing.

Project Components	Expected Concrete Outputs	Expected Concrete Outcomes	Amount (US\$)
Component 1 Comprehensive vulnerability / baseline assess- ment and action plans completed in the target com- munes and prov- inces	Output 1.1. Strengthened capacity at provincial and commune level to conduct vulnera- bility assessment and cli- mate change action plans in line with the 15 Princi- ples of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP. Output 1.2. Integrated climate change vulnerability and disaster risk reduction assess- ments (incl. maps) to in- form evidence basis ac- tion panning in provincial and commune level in tar- get areas including mar- ginalized groups (e.g. women) aggregated, if possible	DutputsOutcomesDutput 1.1.Outcome 1.Strengthened capacity at provincial and commune evel to conduct vulnera- bility assessment and cli- mate change action plans in line with the 15 Princi- bles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.Institutional capacity increased at the provincial and commune level to reduce vulnerability of target communities through vul- nerability and disaster risk re- duction assessments, action planning and training that will enable adaptation actions in in- frastructure, natural assets, wa- ter and livelihoods (including eco-tourism) (Aligned with AF outcome 2)Dutput 1.2. notegrated climate change rulnerability and disaster isk reduction assess- nents (incl. maps) to in- orm evidence basis ac- ion panning in provincial and commune level in tar- get areas including mar- ginalized groups (e.g. women) aggregated, if possibleOutcome 1.	150,000 (3.6 %) 200,000 (4.8 %)
	Output 1.3. Provincial and commune level climate change ad- aptation plans developed officially approved to en- sure most appropriate, cost-effective and environ- mental and social con- crete adaptation actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.		150,00 (3.6 %)
	Total:		500,000 (12%)

_		-	
Component 2	Output 2.1.	Outcome 2.	150,000
Capacity built to design, monitor and manage infra- structure and nat- ural assets, while also increasing capacity to plan for replication in other areas	Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to design/ plan/ rehabilitate infra- structure and to build pro- tective natural assets. (Aligned with AF output 2.2.)	Community, commune and pro- vincial level capacity built to de- sign, monitor, manage and maintain climate resilient com- munity assets with maximum economic co-benefits including leveraging eco-tourism poten- tial, environmental and social co-benefits with particular em- phasis on women, youth, older people and other people in vul- nerable situations	(3.6 %)
	Output 2.2		150,000
	and provincial level ca- pacity built to monitor and manage community infra- structure and built protec- tive natural assets de- signed under 2.1.		(3.0 %)
	Output 2.3. Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to maintain community infrastructure and built protective natural assets designed under 2.1.		200,000 (4.8 %)
	Total:		500,000 (12%)
Component 3	Output 3.1.	Outcome 3.	3,000,000
Resilience built through small- scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets	Protective natural and so- cial assets and /or physi- cal infrastructure strength- ened/built to reduce cli- mate vulnerability in line with the action plans un- der Output 1.3 and de- signs under Output 2.1.	At least 84,586 people have ac- cess to protective natural and social assets and/or benefit from physical infrastructure to reduce the climate vulnerability. (AF outcome 4 and 5)	(72 %)
	Total:		3,000,000 (72 %)
Component 4	Output 4.1.	Outcome 4.	102,307

Knowledge and awareness en- hanced and sus- tainability ensured	Project activities, results and best practice regard- ing community resilience to climate change are generated, captured and disseminated to benefi- ciaries, policy makers and stakeholders and the pub- lic in general.	Project implementation is fully transparent and national capac- ity to pilot climate change adap- tation projects and establish ca- pacity for climate adaptive pol- icy making strengthened. All stakeholders are informed of activities, results and best prac- tice and have access to these for replication.	(2.4%)
	Output 4.2.		68,205
			(1.6%)
	Capacity to replicate the		(1.070)
	project's objective in-line		
	enhanced		
	Total:		170,512
			(4 %)
			4,170,512
5. Project/Programm	me Execution cost (9.5 %)		437,788
6. Total Project/Programme Cost			4,608,300
7. Project/Programme Cycle Management Fee			391,700
charged by the Imp	lementing Entity (if applica-		
ble) (8.5 %)			
Amount of Financing Requested			5,000,000

Projected Calendar:

Milestones	Expected Dates
Start of Project/Programme Implementation	06-2018
Mid-Term Evaluation	06-2020
Project/Programme Closing	06-2023
Terminal Evaluation	09-2022

PART II: PROJECT / PROGRAMME JUSTIFICATION

A. Project components

The target areas chosen for the project are characterised by high levels of exposure to multiple climate change related hazards; sea-level rise, salinity, erosion, storm surges, flooding and droughts, and underlying vulnerability to those hazards driven by a lack of

access to resilient basic services such as water and sanitation, tenure insecurity and high levels of poverty driven by low incomes.

To achieve the project's overall objective, which is 'to enhance climate change adaptation and resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions', the project takes a horizontally and vertically integrated approach to improving and strengthening basic service infrastructure through improved capacity, better local-level planning and community-level implementation.

The actions taken by the project will be targeted to benefit the poorest and most vulnerable people in two of Cambodia's most climate change vulnerable provinces. To do this, a combination of soft and hard measures is proposed to ensure that resilience at the household and commune level is strengthened sustainably. Soft measures include vulnerability assessments and action plans, designed to target the most vulnerable settlements and design and implement the most necessary actions, and improved understanding and capacity at the commune and district level, to subsequently sustain actions and replicate them elsewhere through better planning which will mobilise national and international finance.³⁴ This also goes along with the 'action priorities' defined in Cambodia's Nationally Determined Contribution of "promoting and improving the adaptive capacity of communities, especially through community based adaptation actions (...) and, "strengthening technical and institutional capacity to conduct climate change impact assessments, climate change projections, and mainstreaming of climate change into sector and sub-sector development plans". Concrete measures will be investments in small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets designed to increase people's resilience.

The specific needs of women, people with disabilities and youths will be considered at all stages of the project. Inclusiveness will be achieved through engaging representatives of these vulnerable groups in community and stakeholder consultations in planning and through a community-based approach. In short, by applying the people's process – where community groups are formed and sustained throughout all stages of the project and through which communities participate in project implementation and monitoring.³⁵

The components of the project are as follows:

<u>Component 1</u>: Comprehensive vulnerability/baseline assessment and action plans completed in the target communes/districts

³⁴ The National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development, which is an executing agency on this project, is currently applying to be a GCF direct access entity.

³⁵ Development driven by people/Support Paradigm: when people stays at the centre of development planning process, the resource can be optimized with greater utility impacting larger number of people: http://sopheapfocus.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/06/Picture-31.prg People's process of development can be witnessed through the evolvement of people's desire to improve their lives. Humans developed their settlement from living in caves, then building shelters, and now home. Along this settlement evolution, they had also established certain norms, standards, and a mutual understanding surrounding their community. That is called the people's process of development.

Vulnerability assessments at the sub-national level are identified as a priority action (under objective 2) under the Climate Change Action Plan 2016-2018, and strategic objective 2 of the Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan 2014-2023. Also output 4.1.5 of the IP3-III commits to "climate change, social services, and led projects implemented with the focus to then integrate into government systems".

To do this, and to support the broader objective of building capacity at the sub-national level, the project conducts vulnerability assessments to establish a comprehensive vulnerability baseline, establish indicators of vulnerability, and ultimately contribute towards leveraging national climate finance that is evidence-based, targeted and in-line with local needs and sub-national and national priorities

In line with Adaptation Fund Outcome 1 and national government priorities (See Section D, below) this component will focus on laying the ground work for reducing vulnerability to climate change related hazards, with a focus on community-level resilience in the target communes/districts by:

- Conducting climate change vulnerability assessments in the 2 target provinces
- □ Producing action plans that identify and prioritise resilience investments, including consideration of impacts on eco-tourism.
- □ Integrate the findings of the assessments and action plans with the commune investment plans
- Assessing environmental and social risks and developing a plan to ensure compliance with the Adaptation Fund's environmental and social policy and UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguards System.
- □ Conducting a willingness to pay/infrastructure revenue survey to ensure that, where possible, infrastructure generates revenue that can be used to re-invest in operation, maintenance and upgrading.

The core elements of Component 1 are building capacity at provincial and commune level to conduct vulnerability assessment and develop climate change action plans in line with the 15 Environmental and Social Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP. As shown in Part II. Section D, Table 10, conducting vulnerability assessments and developing action plans for climate change is in line with, and an outcome of the 'priority activities' of Cambodia's Nationally Determined Contribution under the UNFCCCC.

The project proposal identifies a catalogue of intended sub-projects (see below, under Component 3 and in Annex 5), based on a rapid-vulnerability assessment and three sets of community consultations. The steps undertaken to define the proposed interventions are reflected in Figure 20 and in the narrative under component 3. Throughout the rapid-vulnerability assessment the existing climate threads and hazards of each commune were identified and led to the evidence-based development of the action planning. Taken as baseline, the intervention of the action planning were screened against the Environmental and Social Safeguards of the Adaptation Fund that informs component 3 in a way that the catalogue of intended sub-projects is not anticipated to alter fundamentally the nature of the interventions under component 3.

Building on the conducted comprehensive RVA and environmental and social risk screeningHowever, the vulnerability assessment under Component 1 is required to assess climate change historical trends and projects, infrastructure analysis, ecosystems, the socio-economic structure of the provinces, and the spatial profile. This will provide the evidence basis for the action plans <u>under output 1.3.</u> which will include a more detailed costbenefit analysis. To date, the rapid-vulnerability assessment conducted for the development of this proposal, responds to the commune profile and is based on demographic data received through commune council consultations. The vulnerability assessment conducted under Component 1 will gather a wider range of data, perform deeper analysis and develop a comprehensive vulnerability index.

Beyond this, the vulnerability assessment will provide the evidence basis for the action plans that will lead to the selection of the most-appropriate, feasible, cost-effective and environmentally and socially safe interventions based on the catalogue of intended sub-projects. Although the environmental and social safeguard risk screening per intended sub-project identifies the target communes, it is still necessary to localize the most-effective intervention based on hazard mapping, the ecosystem analysis and the findings of the land use management assessment. The aim is to develop strong action planning, in which the sub-projects are mutually re-enforcing.

It will also clear to what extent the combination of sub-projects (either geographically, or by doing several at the same time), will reduce the transaction costs (such as procurement and administration) associated with each intervention, promoting efficiency. This cost-effectiveness focused approach enables the project to invest in more adaptation actions, increasing the number of beneficiaries and improving the cost per beneficiary ratio. To give an example, the community consultation showed in one case, that the development of a canal in Kep Commune will reduce the potential for floods in Ou Krasar Commune. It is then to assess, if and to what extent flood prevention measures are needed in Ou Krasar Commune. Hence, the vulnerability assessment will undertake a spatial analysis that will inform an effective and localized plan for actions per selected sub-project.

In-depth vulnerability assessments and action planning in line with government and commune processes is required to grasp all issues and needs. This increases ownership, institutionalise and sustain the process and identified priority interventions. The vulnerability assessment and adaptation action planning will be guided by the Planning for Climate Change (P4CC) framework³⁶, which provides guidance on assessing vulnerability and implementing adaptation options. P4CC's principles are to be strategic; meaning implementation should make the best use of the resources (financial, human and time) available, values-based; meaning that actions should be based on what matters most to communities, participatory; that the project should engage as many different stakeholders as possible throughout the project cycle, and integrated; meaning it should align with other plans and policies insofar as possible. This is especially important in the Cambodian context, given the need and government preference for alignment with the commune and district investment plans, as opposed to stand-alone climate change plans.

³⁶ https://unhabitat.org/books/planning-for-climate-change-a-strategic-values-based-approach-for-urban-planners-cities-and-climate-change-initiative/

This component has been included in the project because it means the interventions implemented under Component 3 will be based on scientific evidence and rigorous planning. Specifically, UN-Habitat's P4CC approach ensures that activities are feasible, effective and acceptable to communities, and is thus a participatory approach. Moreover, the action planning phase also enhances the ability of UN-Habitat and the executing partner to ensure compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund. Further details of compliance with this are provided in Section K.

<u>Component 2</u>: Capacity built to design, monitor and manage infrastructure and natural assets, while also increasing capacity to plan for replication in other areas;

In line with Adaptation Fund Outcome 3 and ongoing priorities under the NCDD programme (See Section D), this component will strengthen awareness and ownership of the climate change adaptation process in local government (district and commune level) through increased capacity. This will be done by:

- Developing/refining guidelines on district/commune level Vulnerability Assessment and action planning, including for eco-tourism
- Developing guidelines for the operation and maintenance of small-scale protective and basic infrastructure and natural assets
- □ Community-level training to construct, maintain and operate communityscale infrastructure and natural assets. There will be at least 1 initial training and 2 follow-up trainings in each community, as the project will work with 15 settlements, there will be a total of 45 trainings in total at community level. There will also be at least 2 provincial/district level training in each province.

This component is required to execute Component 3 in a way that is efficient and sustainable. Component 2 will begin as the action planning under Component 1, Output 1.3. is completed. When Output 1.3 is complete, the exact details of the infrastructure to be constructed/repaired will have been reconfirmed. Capacity building under Component 2 will ensure that communities and sub-national government have the capacity to construct, maintain and operate community-scale infrastructure. It will also codify the knowledge on



building and operating community-scale infrastructure into guidelines. Component 2 therefore creates the knowledge and capacity basis to implement Component 3 in a participatory and sustainable manner.



<u>Component 3</u>: Resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets:

In line with Adaptation Fund Outcomes 4, 5 and 6 and Cambodia's NDC this component will increase resilience through a mix of green and concrete measures. This will include year-round water supply, flood/coastal flood protection, resilience to strong winds, sanitation, ecosystem based adaptation options including mangrove forests on the mainland.

<u>3 rounds of in depth community consultation and a conducted comprehensive rapid vulnerability assessment in all target areas led to the development of the following evidencebased action planning in Kep Province and Preah Sihanouk:</u>

Commune/ Sangkat of Kep Prov- ince	Main Climate Change Impact	Activities		
<u>Angkaol</u>	1. Strong winds (more than 100 HH in 2013 and 20-30 per year)	1.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	<u>1.2. Demonstra-</u> tion of resilient housing design	
	2. Sea water floods	2.1. Protective infra- structure (road or dam)		
	3. SLR and beach erosion	3.1. Erosion vulnera- bility assessment and hazard map	<u>3.2. Protective</u> <u>infrastructure</u> (road)	

Pong Tuek	1. Strong winds (20-30 HH per vear)	1.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	1.2. Demonstra- tion of resilient housing design	
	2. SLR and sali- nization	2.1. Advocacy on re- forestation of the coast- line	2.2. Protective infrastructure (canal, fresh wa- ter reservoir)	2.3. Salt-resilient crops for agricul- ture
	<u>3. Beach erosion</u>	3.1. Erosion vulnera- bility assessment and hazard map	3.2. Protective infrastructure (road)	
Prey Thom	<u>1. Drought</u>	<u>1.1. Fresh water reservoir</u>		
	2. Lack of water supply	2.1. Rain water har- vesting	2.2. Piped water supply	2.3. Advocacy esp. to children and women about health issues of unsafe water
	<u>3. Strong wind</u> (60 HH de- stroyed per year)	3.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	3.2. Demonstra- tion of resilient housing design	
<u>Кер</u>	<u>1. Flood</u>	1.1. Improvement of flood-protective 3-4 km long canal (shared with Ou Krasar com- mune)		
	2. Drought	2.1. Water supply from Kampot is a goal of the CIP for 2022, but water shortage is an urgent is- sue of today		
	3. Strong wind (20 HH de- stroyed per year)	3.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	3.2. Demonstra- tion of resilient housing design	
Ou Krasar	1. Strong wind	1.1.Advocacyonplanting more trees	1.2. Demonstra- tion of resilient housing design	
	2. Unsafe water	2.1. Awareness on health issues to unsafe water and how to avoid		
	3. Drought	3.1. Rehabilitation of irrigation and capacity to harvest water during dry season	3.2. Drought-re- silient crop for agriculture	

Com-	Main Climate	Activities
mune/Sangkat	Change issue	

of Preah Sihan-				
Tuels This	1 Drought	1.1 Dobabilitata		
<u>Tuek Illia</u>	<u>1. Diougin</u>	reservoir located in		
		one village to im		
		one vinage to ini-		
		prove the water		
		<u>supply</u> for the		
	0 El. 1	whole year		
	<u>2. F1000</u>	<u>2.1. Build Water</u>		
		gate for existing		
	2 Strengthering 1	<u>reservoir</u>	2.2. Weather station	2.2
	<u>5. Strong wind</u>	<u>5.1. Advocacy on</u>	<u>5.2. weather station,</u>	<u>3.3.</u> Dama amatan
		planting more trees	broadcasting extreme	Demonstra-
			weather events and	tion of resil-
			EWS	lent nousing
				design and
				local grafts
				<u>local craits-</u>
Tual: L'al:37	1 Drought	1.1 Duild a magan		men
<u>Tuek L ak</u>	<u>1. Drougnt</u>	<u>1.1. Build a reser-</u>		
		voir or dain with		
		water gate to keep		
	2 Flood	2.1 Assass possible		
	<u>2. F100u</u>	2.1. Assess possible		
		anals to shannel		
		rain water		
	2 Strong wind	2 1 Advosady on	2.2 Weather station	2.2
	<u>5. Sublig willd</u>	<u>5.1. Auvocacy on</u>	<u>5.2. Weather station</u> ,	<u>J.J.</u> Demonstra
		planting more trees	weather events and	tion of rosil
			FWS	iont housing
			EWS	design and
				training of
				local crafts
				men
	4 Decline of	4.1 Make eco-tour-	4.2 Demarcation of	men
	mangroves	ism areas accessible	areas for eco-tourism	
Samakki	1 Flood	1.1 Renair the wa-		
SamaKI	1.11004	ter gate		
	2 Strong wind	2.1 Advocacy on	2.2 Weather station	23
	(100 HH per)	nlanting more trees	broadcasting extreme	Demonstra-
	vear destroyed	pranting more uces	weather events and	tion of resil-
	in Tuek The		FWS	ient housing
L	III I UCK I IIIA,		<u>C W C</u>	ient nousing

³⁷ Natural protected area of Kampong Smach involving 6 communes of Prey Nob District (Tuek Lak, Samakki, Veal Renh, Ou Oknha Heng, Samrong and Boeng Taprom).

	<u>Tuek L'ak and</u> <u>Samakki)</u>			design and training of local crafts- men
	3. Drought (Jan- May no drink- ing water. It needs to be bought costly from neighbour- ing communes)	3.1. Build dam and water gate that keeps water for 100 ha of land during the dry season		
	4. Decline of mangroves	4.1. Make eco-tour- ism areas accessible	4.2. Demarcation of areas for eco-tourism	
<u>Veal Rinh</u>	1. Strong wind	<u>1.1. Advocacy on</u> <u>planting more trees</u>	1.2. Weather station, broadcasting extreme weather events and <u>EWS</u>	1.3. Demonstra- tion of resil- ient housing design and training of local crafts- men
	2. Drought (Jan- May no drink- ing water. It needs to be bought costly from neighbour- ing communes)	2.1. Improve access to drinking water by building dam or channel water through canals		
	<u>3. Flood</u>	3.1. Channel floods through canals and water gates		
Samrong	4. Decline of mangroves	4.1. Make eco-tour- ism areas accessible	4.2. Demarcation of areas for eco-tourism	
Samong	1. Diouglit	gate to channel and harvest rain water		
	<u>2. Flood</u>	2.1. Repair roads that were damaged by floods	2.2. Build water gate to channel rain water during heavy rain- falls	
	3. Strong winds	3.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	3.2. Weather station, broadcasting extreme weather events and EWS	3.3. Demonstra- tion of resil- ient housing design and training of

				local crafts-
		4.1.36.1	10.5	men
	<u>4. Decline of</u>	4.1. Make eco-tour-	4.2. Demarcation of	
D M I	mangroves	ism areas accessible	areas for eco-tourism	
Prey Nob	<u>1. Drought</u>	<u>1.1. Rehabilitation</u>		
		of canals in Oknha		
		Heng could keep		
		the water chan-		
		nelled in Prey Nob	0.0 D 111 1 1	
	2. Flood (affects	2.1. Rehabilitation	2.2. Build drainage	
	esp. the market,	of canals in Oknha	system and sanitation	
	the source of	Heng can avoid	system esp. around	
	regular income	floods in Prey Nob	the market	
	<u>of the people)</u>	2.1 I		
	<u>3. SLR</u>	<u>3.1. Improve 8km</u>		
		of road to protect		
		the road to the gar-		
		<u>Inent factory from</u>		
Ou Oknha Hang	1 Solinization	<u>JLN</u>	1.2 Improvement of	
Ou Okilila Helig		of protected dam	<u>1.2. Improvement of</u>	
		along 3 villages in	communes	
		order to avoid sea-	communes	
		water intrusion of		
		the rice fields		
	2. Drought	2.1. Rehabilitation	2.2. Build barriers for	
		of canal to provide	animals to avoid con-	
		fresh water during	tamination of fresh	
		dry season	water reservoirs	
	3. Decline of	3.1. Make eco-tour-	3.2. Demarcation of	
	mangroves	ism areas accessible	areas for eco-tourism	
Boeng Taprom	1. Flood	1.1 Rehabilitate the		
		canal to channel		
		floods and harvest		
		fresh-water in the		
		dry season		
	2. Salinization	2.1. Rehabilitate the	2.2. Build dam (or	
		canal to protect	protective infrastruc-	
		fresh-water from	ture) to mitigate SLR	
		sea-water intrusion		
	3. Decline of	3.1. Make eco-tour-	3.2. Demarcation of	
	mangroves	ism areas accessible	areas for eco-tourism	
Sangkat Muoy	1. Drought	1.1. Build water	1.2. Wastewater sew-	
	-	pipelines. Esp. peo-	age system can also	
		ple living on the	avoid contamination	
			of rain water, which	

	hill-side cannot ac- cess water during the dry season. Ap-	otherwise goes straight into the sea. But difficult to im-	
	prox. 500 HH have	plement due to land	
	no access to safe	ownership issues.	
	drinking water.		
2. Strong wind	2.1. Advocacy on	2.2. Demonstration	
	planting more trees	of resilient housing	
		design and training	
		of local craftsmen	
3. Lack of drain-	<u>3.1. Build</u>	3.2. Channel drain-	
age system and	wastewater treat-	age to redirect the	
wastewater	ment plant	water flow	
management			
<u>system</u>			

Based on three rounds of consultations, as outlined in Figure 20, with communities and communes, provincial and national government, during which an evidence-based rapid vulnerability assessment was conducted, on the comprehensive action planning above and on the environmental and social risk screening (see Annex 5) the following commensurate catalogue of intended sub-projects has been prepared:

I. Resilient to strong winds Resilient housing (all communes in Kep Province. Teuk Thla, Teuk La'k, Samakki and Veal Rinh communes and Sangkat Muov of Preah Sihanouk Province □ Automatic weather station with enhanced broadcasting and early warning system (EWS in all communes in Prev Nob District, weather station in Prev Nob District Hall of Preah Sihanouk Province) II. Adaptation to droughts by enhancing freshwater supply (7 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Samakki, Veal Rinh, Samrong, Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng and 1 Sangkat in Sihanoukville: Sangkat Muoy. 3 communes in Kep Province: Prey Thom, Kep and Ou Krasar) □ Water gates on existing reservoirs to improve water management Rainwater harvesting III. Flood prevention measures (Samaki, Teuk Thla and Teuk La'k communes, Prey Nob District, Preah Sihanouk province) □ Canal □ Dam Watergates on canals to channel floods IV. Adaptation through enhanced Eco-Tourism (6 communes in Prev Nob District: Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Samakki, Veal Rinh, Samrong, Boeng Taprom could benefit of eco-tourism in the Kampong Smach protected area. 1. Mangrove forest in the in Kep: Angkaol) □ Demarcation of and access to natural assets □ Reforestation V. Sea level rise and salinization (In Prey Nob District: Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng and Boeng Taprom. In Kep Province: Angkaol and Pong Tuek) □ Protective infrastructure in the coastal area VI. Wastewater flooding, bank and soil pollution (Sangkat Muov)

□ Enhanced wastewater drainage and management system

Due to the projected climate change impacts and disasters already occurring in coastal areas, life, health, assets and livelihoods can only be protected through physical interventions (with the support of the soft interventions above). Interventions will be selected looking at their resilience building impact, cost-effectiveness, risks and sustainability, but will lead to protection against coastal erosion, storms and floods (i.e. mangroves, zoning/protection or other protective infrastructure), reduction of droughts and improvement of

health (i.e. water supply and sanitation) and in line with above, increased resilience of livelihoods and eco-tourism. Hence, the vulnerability assessment under Component 1 will identify the potential of combining sub-projects in a way complementary to addressing climate change hazards in the most cost-effective, appropriate and environmentally and socially safe way as described above.

The project will be both innovative and efficient by using, where possible, the People's Process as a means to implement activities. The People's Process mobilises people in the target areas to take decisions regarding their resilience, play an active role in the implementation of the measures and support them in doing so.³⁸ By doing this, communities/beneficiaries have greater ownership of the process of building resilience, and implementation costs are reduced.

Component 4: Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured

This component will ensure the project implementation is fully transparent, all stakeholders are informed of products and results and have access to these for replication. Moreover, this component will also contain specific activities to further replicate and scale up the knowledge and awareness. This is done through:

- □ Lessons learned and best practices are captured and disseminated both with the project area and beyond, including at national level, to enhance replication potential
- □ Advocacy platform built at the national level, with other stakeholders working on local level climate change adaptation work, including UNDP and UNCDF
- Support provided to the National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development to prepare a direct access proposal to other multilateral climate finance institutions, including the Green Climate Fund, to continue and upscale adaptation actions in the target area of this project and beyond.³⁹

The proposed project also plans to contribute for providing lessons learned on the draft of eco-tourism policy through the project implementation. As the concept of eco-tourism⁴⁰ includes components to enhance minimize impact and financial benefits for natural resource preservation and local communities, the project intervention able to benefit for promoting eco-tourism in Cambodia by supporting development of the draft of eco-tourism policy.

³⁸ See this brief example from Myanmar, for example - http://unhabitat.org.mm/wp-content/uploads/2015/03/UN-Habitat-Myanmar_Brochure.pdf

³⁹ This will be in line with the 'Means of Implementation' defined in Cambodia's NDCs. (NDC, Chapter 5, p 11.)

 ⁴⁰ The framework of ecotourism includes the following seven components: (1) involves travel to natural destinations;
 (2) minimizes impact; (3) builds environmental awareness; (4) provides direct financial benefits for conservation;
 (5) provides financial benefits and empowerment for local people; (6) respects local culture; and (7) supports human rights a democratic movements (Honey, 2008)

PROJECT CONSULTATION PROCESS AND TIMELINE





Table 6: Concrete Interventions in target commune and AF <u>Environmental and Social</u> Principle triggered (*corresponding to prioritized resilience building interventions in table 1.*). See supporting interventions required for appropriate use/sustainable management and maintenance and environmental and social risks in Annex 5 in detail

Concrete interventions		Target commune	Estimated cost (US\$) and cost-effec- tiveness of direct bene- ficiaries	AF <u>Environ-</u> mental and Social Princi- ple triggered	
Resilience to main cli- mate hazards	Sub-Project (for more details see environmen- tal and social risks screening sheets in an- nex 5)		(area within the com- mune)		
Resilience to strong winds	Resilient housing	In Kep Province: All 5 communes In Prey Nob: Tuek Thla, Tuek La'k, Sameakki and Veal Rinh com- munes In Sihanoukville: Sangkat Muoy	1,500 USD per house- hold* 600 Units Assumed Beneficiaries 3,000 <u>Assumed female benefi- ciaries; 1,500</u> Cost per beneficiary: 300 USD Total: 900,000 USD	AF Principle 2,3,4,5,6,13	Formatted: English (United Kingdom)
	Automatic weather sta- tion with enhanced broadcasting and early warning system	EWS in all communes in Prey Nob District, weather station in Prey Nob District Hall of Preah Sihanouk Prov- ince	Weather station: 30,000 USD 1 Units Assumed Beneficiaries 18.180 <u>Assumed female beneficiaries</u> : 9,090 Cost per beneficiary: 1.65 USD Total: 30,000 USD	No risks	

Resilience to droughts	Water gates on existing reservoirs to improve water management Rainwater harvesting	7 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Samakki, Veal Rinh, Samrong, Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng. In Sihanoukville: Sangkat Muoy. 3 communes in Kep Province: Prey Thom, Kep and Ou Krasar	EWS: 3,000 USD 8 Units Assumed Beneficiaries 18,180 Assumed female benefi- ciaries: 9,090 Cost per beneficiary: 1.32 USD Total: 3,000 USD. 15,100 USD 5 Units Assumed Beneficiaries 30,453 Assumed female benefi- ciaries: 15,226 Cost per beneficiary: 2.48 USD Total: 75,500 USD 140 USD per system 2,000 Units Assumed Beneficiaries 10,000	AF Principles 2, 3, 6, 12, 13 AF Principles 2, 3, 4, 6, 12, 13
	Piped water supply		Assumed Beneficiaries 10,000 Assumed female benefi- ciaries: 5,000 Cost per beneficiary: 28 USD Total: 280,000 USD 368 USD per connection 2,000 Units Assumed Beneficiaries	AF Principles 2, 3, 4, 5, 8, 12, 13

				Assumed female benefi-	
				ciaries: 5.000	
				Cost per beneficiary:	
				Total: 736 000 LISD	
Flood	provention	Capal	2 communat in Brov	10 500 USD por 1000 m	
magguroo	prevention	Canal	Nob District: Drov Nob	G Unito	
measures			NOD DISTICT. Prey NOD,	O UTILIS	4, 0, 9, 10, 12,
			Oknna Heng, Boeng	Assumed Beneficiaries	13
			Taprom. 3 communes in	19,752	
			Kep Province: Angkaol,	Assumed female benefi-	
			Kep and Ou Krasar	ciaries: 9,876	
				Cost per beneficiary:	
				3.19 USD	
				Total: 63,000 USD	
		Dam	2 communes in Prey	13,500 USD per 1000 m	
			Nob District: Tuek L'ak	6 Units	
			and Veal Rinh	Assumed Beneficiaries	
				4,725	
				Assumed female benefi-	
				ciaries: 2,362	
				Cost per beneficiary:	
				17.14 USD	
				Total: 81.000 USD	
		Water gate on canals	4 communes in Prev	15.100 USD	
		state gate en canale	Nob District: Tuek Thla	6 Units	
			Samakki Samrong	Assumed Beneficiaries	
			Boeng Taprom	8 803	
				Assumed female bonofi-	
				ciarios: 1 101	
				Cost por bonoficiar"	
				Total: 90,600 USD	

Adaptation through	Demarcation and access	6 communes in Prey	100 USD per pole	AF Principles
eco-tourism, (including	to natural asset	Nob District: Tuek Thla,	500 Units	3,6,9
enhancement of the		Tuek L'ak, Samakki,	Assumed Beneficiaries	
marine protected area)		Veal Rinh, Samrong,	14,468	
		Boeng Taprom could	Assumed female benefi-	
		benefit of eco-tourism in	ciaries: 7,234	
		the Kampong Smach	Cost per beneficiary:	
		Protected Area. 1. Man-	3.46 USD	
		grove forest in the in	Total: 50,000 USD	
Increase and conserve	Reforestation	Kep: Angkaol)	1 USD per tree	
marine resources and			1500 Units	
biodiversity in order to			Assumed Beneficiaries	
improve livelihood of			14,468	
the people			Assumed female benefi-	
			ciaries: 7,234	
			Cost per beneficiary:	
			1.04 USD	
			Total: 15,000 USD	
Resilience to SLR,	Protective Infrastructure	In Prey Nob District:	13,500 USD per 1000m	AF Principles
beach erosion and sali-		Prey Nob, Ou Oknha	6 Units	2,3,4,6,
nization		Heng and Boeng	Assumed Beneficiaries	8,9,10,12,13
		Taprom. In Kep Prov-	18,257	
		ince: Angkaol and Pong	Assumed female benefi-	
		Tuek	<u>ciaries: 9,128</u>	
			Cost per beneficiary:	
			4,44 USD	
			Total: 81,000 USD	
	6			
wastewater flooding,	Drainage system and	In Sinanoukville:	10,500 USD per 1000m	AF Principles
Songkot Muov)	wasiewater manage-	Sangkat Muoy	10 UNITS Accument Beneficiarias	2, 3, 4, 6, 8,
(Sangkat Wuoy)	ment system			12, 13 anu 15
			2,070	

	Assumed female benefi- ciaries: 1,035 Cost per beneficiary: 50.72 USD Total: 105,000 USD
--	--



Figure 21. Example of a resilient housing design used by UN-Habitat in Cambodia.

B. Economic, social and environmental benefits

According to the consultations undertaken in the development of this concept note, people face serious economic challenges in terms of accessing water, due to the need to buy water from tankers or in bottles from other areas. The consultation also identified that several climatic impacts and hazards cause water pollution as well as contaminate ground water resources. This means that providing year-round, clean water supplies to the target populations will also bring economic benefits in terms of reducing expenditures on water.

Meanwhile, a lack of protective infrastructure and high exposure to storms and coastal flooding means that people regularly lose assets. Damage to houses is common and during consultations, officials also highlighted frequent damage to adjacent agricultural lands, restricting food supplies, increasing prices and meaning people to either borrow or invest whatever household savings they have in rebuilding houses or making make-shift flood defences.

The project will bring numerous social benefits. Women and youth will be involved specifically in the assessment, planning and implementation of all components. Component 1 will conduct specific, women-only consultations, for example⁴¹, while activities implemented under Component 3 will specifically include women because communities themselves will be in charge of construction and maintenance.

The project will also bring environmental benefits beyond the adaptation benefits foreseen. Possible waste management actions undertaken under Component 3 will have local environmental benefits as the target areas are polluted with both solid and liquid waste, while this component will also seek to use local materials, where possible.

Table 7: Economic, Social and Environmental Benefits.

Type of benefit	Baseline	With/after project
Economic	Tourism, which provides employ- ment to over a quarter of Cambo- dia's workforce, is threatened by climate change	Areas with significant potential for tour- ism development will be protected, more resilient and have more robust ecosys- tems that are necessary to continue to support tourism development and thus
	Households face high costs to buy water in bottles or tankers	greater levels of employment
	from other areas Households face damage and fi- nancial losses as a result of vari- ous climate change related haz-	Target areas will have access to year- round, safe water supply, removing the need to buy externally sourced water
	ards, primarily floods and storms	Flood defences, protection and improved drainage will all contribute to reducing and eliminating loss and damage occur- ring because of climate change hazards

⁴¹ Possible reference to the Myanmar VA here, where women-only consultations were undertaken

Using the people's process as a means to implement the concrete components of the project will directly contribute to higher incomes and have the co-benefit of improving vocational skill levels, which will enable people to earn higher wages.

Improved protective infrastructure will have the co-benefit of protecting agricultural areas and other service infrastructure, which will also benefit livelihoods. Regular floods, storm damage "Year-round water supply will improve and poor sanitation and water hygiene and nutrition and have a posisupply as well as water pollution/ tive co-benefit on health. As described in contamination due to climatic imthe economic benefit section, the actions pacts cause, and make worse will have numerous livelihood co-benepre-existing drivers of vulnerabilfits, which will contribute to reducing ity, such as disease, poverty and poverty. migration Alignment with the commune/district in-Poor quality housing and infravestment plans and increased capacity structure in the target areas furfor officials at those levels to plan for and ther drives vulnerability, and cremanage climate resilient investments will ate additional challenges such as ensure that infrastructure and settlea lack of safety, while facilitating ments are more resilient in the long the spread of disease. term. Increasing inequality in Cambo-The project will use the vulnerability asdia, including in coastal areas sessment and action planning process shows that the poorest are not conducted in Component 1 to ensure sharing in the proceeds of the that actions target the poorest and most country's rapid economic growth vulnerable, including women, youth and the elderly. While the project does not The communities do not have work in indigenous areas, it will ensure

The communities including the poor and vulnerable areas increase capacities and opportunities to gain income from ecotourism.

inclusion of minority Muslim communities

that exist in the area.

Environmental Severe environmental degradation has taken place throughout the coastal area of Cambodia – especially in areas where there has been investment in infrastructure and tourism

eco-tourism.

The often-informal nature of the target settlements creates environmental problems, especially

 $\Delta \Delta$

adequate capacity benefit from

"Soft interventions in Koh Rong will include capacity building on maintenance of the marine protected area, which provides critical ecosystem services to poor and otherwise vulnerable people onshore

Improvements in waste-<u>water</u> management (when waste management has adaptation benefits) will occur as a result of

Social

in waste--water management

The combined effects of sealevel rise, coastal flooding and on-shore development issues (especially disposal of wastewater) is causing coastal erosion the project investments. Otherwise, the capacity building undertaken under component 2 will strengthen commune/district investment planning capacity to ensure that these underlying environmental concerns are addressed

Better onshore management of water will contribute to reducing coastal erosion effects

C. Cost effectiveness

The proposed project maximises cost effectiveness in a number of ways:

Maximising concrete over soft

The project will maximise the amount of investment in concrete interventions over soft ones. Around 72% of the components' budget will be directed to concrete investments. This maximises the direct beneficiaries of the project. Where the project makes investments in soft activities, these will be either a) directly supportive of the concrete investments (i.e. training in installation or operation and maintenance), or b) investments to strengthen commune/district level planning – which will help to sustain and replicate the benefits of the project, and make more effective use of national finance in the future. This approach maximises the adaptation benefits per dollar invested; a greater soft component focus would risk not translating into adaptation benefits, while a greater concrete focus may risk not building sufficient capacity to sustain or replicate them.

Choosing Cost effective investments

Much work has already been conducted to assess vulnerability and plan for actions, thus making Component 1 lower cost and faster to implement. Under Component 1, when the project undertakes action planning, cost effectiveness, adaptation-cost effectiveness, 'time to adaptation benefits' and 'no-regret' will all be factors in refining and prioritising the proposed investments. This is standard practice according to UN-Habitat's well-established Planning for Climate Change methodology.⁴² This means that cost-effectiveness, adaptation effectiveness and development effectiveness are all part of the action planning process. UN-Habitat also has experience of conducting cost-benefit analysis of specific project options, where their immediate benefit is not clear.⁴³

A cost effectiveness analysis has been prepared and is presented in Annex 7. This shows the estimated unit cost, total cost and cost per beneficiary. Through this, we see that many of the proposed activities under Component 3 offer a very low cost per beneficiary ratio. However, even where activities – such as resilient housing – have a higher cost per

⁴² See UN-Habitat (2014), Planning for Climate Change: A Strategic, Values-based Approach for Urban Planners, p.109 - https://unhabitat.org/books/planning-for-climate-change-a-strategic-values-based-approach-for-urbanplanners-cities-and-climate-change-initiative/

⁴³ See for example this example for urban ecosystem-based adaptation options conducted in Fiji - http://www.fukuoka.unhabitat.org/projects/voices/pacific_islands/detail07_en.html

beneficiary ratio, we expect them to bring greater long-term adaptation benefits, which will be confirmed through a cost-benefit analysis during the implementation of activities under Component 1 of the project. It should also be noted that across the project area, multiple people will benefit from multiple activities, and that activities will be mutually reenforcing. Therefore, it is not expedient to strictly compare the cost effectiveness of the proposed actions in the catalogue against each other, as in some cases, such as the various flood prevention measures, the effectiveness of the actions is contingent upon other, supporting actions. This cost-effectiveness focused approach, by combining subprojects into packages as described under Component Part 1 in Part II. Section A, enables the project to invest in more adaptation actions, increasing the number of beneficiaries and improving the cost per beneficiary ratio.

Cost effective implementation

UN-Habitat will implement the concrete components of the project through the People's Process where possible. This implementation approach has been shown to reduce implementation costs by 20-30 per cent over the life of the project by using community labour instead of external contractors, and by procuring local materials where they are available.

All investments are proposed and designed to be resilient. UN-Habitat will ensure that it does not select the cheapest options, but the most cost-effective. This means that if resilient infrastructure has a higher investment cost for a demonstrated longer lifespan and/or greater adaptation benefits it will be chosen over options with a lower initial cost.

The alternative implementation model to the People's Process is to use external contractors, which, as highlighted above, is more expensive and less likely to foster local ownership.

The catalogue of intended sub-projects has been identified during the full proposal development stage, but their suitability and effectiveness will be re-examined during the vulnerability assessment and action plans that will be conducted under Component 1 of the project. They will be technically finalized through community and expert consultations (as a result of the activities under Component 1). Their cost-effectiveness will be re-assessed as part of the action planning process (undertaken under Output 1.3). In the participatory approach taken to action planning, stakeholders will be asked to rate potential actions according to their cost-effectiveness (besides resilience building benefits and risks). The actions will also be subject to a cost-benefit analysis exercise. Meanwhile, procurement will be conducted according to Ministry of Economy and Finance guidelines to ensure that equipment is procured transparently and at the lowest possible cost (see table 9 below). Re-evaluating the actions proposed under this project through a comprehensive vulnerability assessment and action planning process also ensures that investments are the most appropriate, with the greatest adaptation benefits, which also ensures their cost-effectiveness.

Using D&D structure for efficiency

The project will work closely with the National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development – the government body responsible for investment planning at the commune and district level. Working through this body helps to ensure that investments are effectively programmed where they are most needed and do not duplicate infrastructure development through national/on-budget finance.

Consultations with government stakeholders – Ministry of Environment, local officials in both provinces and NCDD itself, show that when local investment projects take this approach, they are most cost effective, better aligned with national priorities and reduce duplication, compared with 'direct execution' type projects that bypass NCDD.

The alternative to this would be to bypass the official government structure for local investment and work either through the Provincial Department of Environment (DoE) or by direct execution. However, local DoEs have minimal experience of management of this level of investment in local investment, and do not have the requisite management procedures in place, while direct execution would not deliver the same level of local ownership, institutional capacity building or replication.

The table 8 below summarises the types of concrete intervention to be implemented by the project, possible alternative actions, and the cost-effectiveness of these. This is a preliminary analysis that will be re-confirmed during the action planning stage under Output 1.3.

Proposed Ac- tion	Cost effectiveness crite- ria		Alternative ac- tion	Cost effectiveness crite- ria	
Resilient hous- ing	Future cost of climate change	~	Relocation	Future cost of climate change	×
	Project effi- ciency	~		Project effi- ciency	×
	Community in- volvement	 		Community in- volvement	×
	Environmental and social safe- guarding risks		Cost/feasibility	×	
		risk		Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	More risk
An automatic weather sta- tion and with enhanced broadcasting	Future cost of climate change	~	Taking no ac- tion	Future cost of climate change	×
				Project effi- ciency	×

Table 8: Brief cost effectiveness analysis of proposed adaptation options.
and early	Project effi-				
warning sys- tem	ciency	•		Community in- volvement	×
	Community in- volvement	~		Cost/feasibility	~
	Cost/feasibility	\checkmark	-	Environmental and social safe-	~
	Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	Less risk	-	guarding risks	
Rehabilitation of fresh Water Reservoir	Future cost of climate change	~		Future cost of climate change	~
Water gates on existing	Project effi- ciency	~		Project effi- ciency	×
reservoirs to improve water management	Community in- volvement	~	New water	Community in- volvement	<
Rainwater Harvesting	Cost/feasibility	~	treatment plant	Cost/feasibility	×
Enhancing the coverage and quality of piped water supply network	Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	Less risk		Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	More risk
	Future cost of climate change	~		Future cost of climate change	<
Flood preven- tion measures: Canals Dams Water gates	Project effi- ciency	~		Project effi- ciency	×
	Community in- volvement	~	New drainage	Community in- volvement	×
	Cost/Feasibility	~	mirastructure	Cost/feasibility	Higher cost/ feasi- ble
	Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	\checkmark		Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	Same risks

Future cost of	~		Future cost of	×
chimate change				
Project effi- ciency	~	-	ciency	
Community in-		Alternative live-	Community in- volvement	~
volvement		lihoods	Cost/feasibility	~
Cost/feasibility	~	-	Environmental and social safe-	Less
Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	Less risk	-	guarding risks	non
Future cost of climate change	~		Future cost of climate change	~
Project effi- ciency	~	_	Project effi- ciency	×
Community in- volvement	~	Building sea walls	Community in- volvement	×
Cost/feasibility	2		Cost/feasibility	×
Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	Less risk	-	Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	More risk
Future cost of climate change	~		Future cost of climate change	×
Project effi-	~	Relocating in-	Project effi- ciency	×
cloney		formal settle- ment s	Community in- volvement	×
Community in- volvement	~		Cost/feasibility	×
	Future cost of climate change Project effi- ciency Community in- volvement Cost/feasibility Environmental and social safe- guarding risks Future cost of climate change Project effi- ciency Community in- volvement Cost/feasibility Environmental and social safe- guarding risks Future cost of climate change Project effi- ciency Future cost of climate change Project effi- ciency Project effi- ciency	Future cost of climate changeProject effi- ciencyCommunity in- volvementCost/feasibilityEnvironmental and social safe- guarding risksLess riskFuture cost of climate changeProject effi- ciencyCost/feasibilityCommunity in- volvementCost/feasibilityEnvironmental and social safe- guarding risksFuture cost of climate changeProject effi- ciencyCost/feasibilityEnvironmental and social safe- guarding risksLess riskFuture cost of climate changeProject effi- ciencyCommunity in- volvementCommunity in- volvement	Future cost of climate changeProject effi- ciencyCommunity in- volvementCost/feasibilityEnvironmental and social safe- guarding risksLess riskFuture cost of climate changeProject effi- ciencyCommunity in- volvementCommunity in- volvementCommunity in- volvementCost/feasibilityEnvironmental and social safe- guarding risksLess riskBuilding sea wallsCost/feasibilityProject effi- ciencyFuture cost of climate changeFuture cost of climate changeProject effi- ciencyProject effi- ciencyProject effi- ciencyProject effi- ciencyCommunity in- volvementCommunity in- volvement	Future cost of climate changeImage: Climate changeProject efficiencyImage: Climate changeCommunity in- volvementImage: Climate changeCost/feasibilityImage: Climate changeCost/feasibilityImage: Climate changeFuture cost of climate changeImage: Climate changeProject efficiencyImage: Climate changeProject efficiencyImage: Climate changeProject efficiencyImage: Climate changeProject efficiencyImage: Climate changeCommunity in- volvementImage: Climate changeProject efficiencyImage: Climate changeCost/feasibilityImage: Climate changeProject efficiencyImage: Climate changeCost/feasibilityImage: Climate changeCost/feasibilityImage: Climate changeEnvironmental and social safe- guarding risksLess riskFuture cost of climate changeImage: Climate changeFuture cost of climate changeImage: Climate changeFuture cost of climate changeImage: Climate changeProject efficiencyImage: Climate changeCommun

	Cost/feasibility	~		Environmental and social safe-	More risk
Env and gua	Environmental and social safe- guarding risks	Less risk	guarding risks	guarding risks	

Table 9:	Table 9: Proposed intervention cost-effectiveness rationale (further details can be found under Annex 5 and 7).						
Concrete inte	rventions	Target commune	Estimated cost	Alternative interventions and			
Resilience to main climate hazards	Sub-Project (for more details see environ- mental and social risks screening sheets in Annex 5)		fectiveness of direct beneficiaries (area within the commune) (see An- nex 7)	tions/activities have been se- lected from a cost-effective- ness perspective (in line with table 8)			
Resilience to strong winds	Resilient housing	In Kep Province: All 5 communes In Prey Nob: Tuek Thla, Teuk La'k, Sameakki and Veal Rinh communes In Sihanoukville: Sangkat Muoy	1,500 USD per household* 600 Units Assumed Beneficiar- ies: 3,000 <u>Assumed Female</u> <u>Beneficiaries:</u> <u>1,500</u> Cost per beneficiary: 300 USD Total: 900,000 USD	The alternative intervention would be to relocate people af- fected by strong winds. This would lead to buying nearby haz- ard-free land. However, it would be difficult to guarantee that this land will not be affected by strong winds in the future and would re- quire building resilient housing from scratch. This would not be cost-effective, would bring more environmental and social safe- guard risks and the adaptation effectiveness of relocation is not proven.			
	Automatic weather station with enhanced broadcasting and early warning system.	EWS in all com- munes in Prey Nob District, weather sta- tion in Prey Nob Dis- trict Hall of Preah Si- hanouk Province.	Weather station: 30,000 USD 1 Units Assumed Beneficiar- ies 18,180 <u>Assumed Female</u> <u>Beneficiaries:</u> 9,090 Cost per beneficiary: 1.65 USD	The alternative action to a weather station/EWS would be business as usual/taking no action. The weather station/EWS is a cost-effective, unique action, and no other action can result in the same end benefit.			

			Total: 30,000 USD	
			EWS:	
			3,000 USD	
			8 Units	
			Assumed Beneficiar-	
			ies 18,180	
			Assumed Female	
			Beneficiaries:	
			9,090	
			Cost per beneficiary:	
			1.32 USD	
			Total: 3,000 USD.	
Resilience to	Water gates on exist-	7 communes in Prey	15,100 USD	A new water treatment plant
droughts	ing reservoirs to im-	Nob District: Tuek	5 Units	would make more water availa-
	prove water manage-	Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sa-	Assumed Beneficiar-	ble to people and would there-
	ment	makki, Veal Rinh,	ies 30,453	fore provide adaptation to
		Samrong, Prey Nob,	Assumed Female	droughts. However, water treat-
		Ou Oknha Heng.	Beneficiaries	ment plants are prohibitively ex-
		In Sihanoukville:	<u>15,226</u>	pensive, more complex in terms
		Sangkat Muoy.	Cost per beneficiary:	of engineering, and would have
		3 communes in Kep	2.48 USD	higher levels of environmental
		Province: Prey Thom,	Total: 75,500 USD	and social risk.
	Rainwater harvesting	Kep and Ou Krasar	140 USD per system	
			2,000 Units	
			Assumed Beneficiar-	
			ies 10,000	
			Assumed Female	
			Beneficiaries	
			<u>5,000</u>	
			Cost per beneficiary:	
			I otal: 280,000 USD	

	Piped water supply		368 USD per connec-	
			tion	
			2 000 Linits	
			Assumed Beneficiar-	
			Assumed Female	
			Ropoficiarios: 5 000	
			Cost per beneficiary:	
			Total: 736 000 USD	
Flood pro	Canal	2 communes in Brow	10 500 USD por 1000	The alternative action to provent
Flood pre-	Canai	Nob District: Dray	10,500 0SD per 1000	fleeb fleede due te beeuw reinfell
vention		Nob District: Prey	III G Linito	hash hoods due to heavy failliai
measures		Nob, Oknna Heng,	6 Units	would be to build an efficient
		Boeng Taprom. 3	Assumed Beneficiar-	drainage infrastructure. How-
		communes in Kep	les 19,752	ever, this would not reflect the
		Province: Angkaol,	Assumed Female	community consultation and pri-
		Kep and Ou Krasar	Beneficiaries:	ority to channel flash floods to
			<u>9,876</u>	capture fresh water. The alterna-
			Cost per beneficiary:	tive would have a much higher
			3.19 USD	cost-per beneficiary ratio. Build-
			Total: 63,000 USD	ing a drainage system is very
	Dam	2 communes in Prey	13,500 USD per 1000	complex from an engineering
		Nob District: Tuek	m	perspective, but feasible and of
		L'ak and Veal Rinh	6 Units	same risk as the prioritised inter-
			Assumed Beneficiar-	ventions.
			ies 4,725	
			Assumed Female	
			Beneficiaries:	
			2,362	
			Cost per beneficiary:	
			17.14 USD	
			Total: 81,000 USD	

	Water gate on canals	4 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Samakki, Sam- rong, Boeng Taprom	15,100 USD 6 Units Assumed Beneficiar- ies 8,803 <u>Assumed Female</u> <u>Beneficiaries:</u> <u>4,401</u> Cost per beneficiary: 10.29 USD Total: 90 600 USD	
Adaptation through eco- tourism, (in- cluding en- hancement of the marine protected area)	Demarcation and ac- cess to natural asset	6 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sa- makki, Veal Rinh, Samrong, Boeng- Taprom could benefit of eco-tourism in the Kampong Smach protected area. 1. Mangrove forest in	100 USD per pole 500 Units Assumed Beneficiar- ies 14,468 <u>Assumed Female</u> <u>Beneficiaries:</u> 7,234 Cost per beneficiary: 3.46 USD Total: 50,000 USD	Alternative livelihoods would risk being a less effective option, be- cause at this stage it is not clear what the livelihoods would be and whether they would be cli- mate resilient or generate suffi- cient income for the people. They also may require people to move to other areas, creating migration issues. Hence, the alternative is
Increase and conserve ma- rine re- sources and biodiversity in order to im- prove liveli- hood of the people	Reforestation	the in Kep: Angkaol)	1 USD per tree 1500 Units Assumed Beneficiar- ies 14,468 <u>Assumed Female</u> <u>Beneficiaries:</u> 7,234 Cost per beneficiary: 1.04 USD Total: 15,000 USD	not in line with environmental and social safeguards.
Resilience to SLR, beach	Protective Infrastruc- ture	In Prey Nob District: Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng and Boeng	13,500 USD per 1000m 6 Units	The alternative action would be to build one or more sea walls. However, building sea walls is

1		
Taprom. In Kep	Assumed Beneficiar-	expensive, and would have a
Province: Angkaol	ies 18,257	much higher cost-per beneficiary
and Pong Tuek	Assumed Female	ratio Building sea walls is also
and Fong Funk	Beneficiaries:	very complex from and engineer-
	Deficiciaries.	very complex from and engineer-
	9,128	ing perspective and carries
	Cost per beneficiary:	greater environmental and social
	4,44 USD	risk, especially in terms of dam-
	Total: 81,000 USD	age to the environment, as the
	-	wall would affect the sea bed and
		ocean ecosystem
	10.500 LISD por	The alternative intervention
Constant Museu	10,500 03D per	The alternative intervention
Sangkat Muoy	1000m	would be to relocate people living
	10 Units	informally in Sangkat Muoy. This
	Assumed Beneficiar-	would require buying nearby
	ies 2,070	hazard-free land. However, it
	Cost per beneficiary:	would be difficult to find suitable
	50 72 USD	land close to the existing settle-
	Total: 105 000 USD	mont and the cost of relocating a
	Total. 105,000 03D	ment, and the cost of relocating a
		community of this size would be
		prohibitive, while relocation
		would be an environmental and
		social safeguard risk and would
		likely be politically sensitive.
	Taprom. In Kep Province: Angkaol and Pong Tuek In Sihanoukville: Sangkat Muoy	Taprom. In Kep Province: Angkaol and Pong TuekAssumed Beneficiar- ies 18,257 Assumed Female Beneficiaries: 9,128 Cost per beneficiary: 4,44 USD Total: 81,000 USDInSihanoukville: 10,50010,500USD per 1000m 10 Units

D. Consistency with national or sub-national strategies

The project has been designed to align with national and sub-national development policies, strategies and plans on development, climate change and disaster resilience and decentralization reform.

As Goal 13 of the Sustainable Development Goals and Article 1-5 of the Paris Agreement on Climate Change⁴⁴ indicate, global society is committed to adapt to climate change and reduce its impact. In support of this aspiration, the Royal Government of Cambodia also adopted several policies and strategies to reduce the impact of climate change by enhancing the adaptive capacity and resilience of climate change, such as the Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan (CCCSP) (2014-2023), the Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP), and the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC). To align with these global and national climate goals and plans, the proposed project aims to enhance climate change adaptation and resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions.

The National Strategic Development Plan (NSDP) (2014-2018) is the primary national development strategy. In the NSDP, the Royal Government of Cambodia (RGC) outlines actions related to the national prioritized policies to implement the Rectangular Strategy Phase III (See Figure 10) This strategy puts good governance as the core, with four other elements, including poverty reduction through economic development (Element 3) and institutional capacity and governance at both national and sub-national level (Element 4). Decentralization and deconcentration (D&D) reforms for improving capacity of the sub-national levels as well as expanding their mandate is one of key priority actions. The Cambodian government has also set environmental sustainability as one of their prioritized actions. Actions on environmental sustainability include reducing the impact of climate change by enhancing the adaptive capacity and resilience to climate change, particularly through the implementing the Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan (CCCSP) (2014-2023).

⁴⁴ Cambodia entered the Paris Agreement on Climate Change into force on 18th of March 2017. See. http://unfccc.int/paris_agreement/items/9444.php



Figure 21. The Rectangular Strategy Phase III.

The CCCSP details Cambodia's strategic response to climate change, and forms the basis of the Nationally Determined Contribution. It will be implemented, in the initial stage, through the Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP). The CCCSP's vision is to develop "towards a green, low-carbon, climate-resilient, equitable, sustainable and knowledgebased society". To achieve its vision, Royal Government of Cambodia (RGC) sets eight strategic objectives. Among the eight strategic objectives, this project aligns with strategic objectives (SO) 2, 3, 5, and 7. Strategic Objective 2 aims to reduce sectoral, regional, gender vulnerability and health risks to climate change impacts through existing and new vulnerability and risk assessments (strategy a). It also aims to improve coastal zones and protected areas (strategy g). Strategic Objective 3 pursues climate resilience of specific locations including protected areas. Encouraging eco-tourism is highlighted as one of the most cost-effective approaches for addressing climate change (strategy b). Strategic Objective 5 aims to improve capacities, knowledge and awareness on climate change responses through trainings, while Strategic Objective 7 targets strength of "institutions and coordination frameworks for national climate change responses" through mainstreaming climate change into national and sub-national development plans (strategy a).

The CCAP was finalized in 2016 to guide the initial phase of implementation of the CCCSP, with 17 initial actions identified by the Ministry of Environment. Action 2 of the CCAP is to implement national and sectoral climate change vulnerable assessment. Testing specific management options to handle climate change is also included in Action 3. Action 11 aims to promote and improve the adaptive capacity of communities to respond to climate change. Finally, Action 13 is capacity building of national institutions coordinating the implementation of climate change response. These actions (2, 3, 11 and 13) are addressed by this project.

The Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) refers back to the CCCSP as the means of implementation of Cambodia's goals. The NDC identifies that national vulnerability to climate change is caused not only by geography and high reliance on agriculture sector but also by lack of financial, technical, and human capacities. Infrastructure and coastal zones are recognized as one of most vulnerable sectors by climate change. The NDC also raises the profile of increased adaptive capacity to address climate change as a priority.⁴⁵ Cambodia has therefore selected a number of priority actions', giving prominence to ones with climate change impact mitigation co-benefits. The project address the following priorities through its components as follows:

NDC – Priority Actions	Project Component, Output and in- tended sub-project
Promoting and improving the adaptive ca- pacity of communities, especially through community based adaptation actions.	Component 1, Output 1.3. Provincial and commune level climate change adaptation plans developed offi- cially approved to ensure most appropri- ate, cost-effective and environmental and social concrete adaptation actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.
Restoring the natural ecology system to re- spond to climate change.	Component 3, Output 3.1. - intended sub-project: Adaptation through enhanced eco-tourism
Implementing management measures for protected areas to adapt to climate change.	Component 2, Output 2.2. Community, commune and provincial level capacity built monitor and manage infrastructure and to build protective natural assets.
Strengthening early warning systems and cli- mate information dissemination.	Component 3, Output 3.1. – intended sub-project: Weather Station with enhanced broadcasting and early warning system

Table 10: Aligning NDC Priorities with Proposed Project Components.

45 Cambodia's NDC to the UNFCCC, p.4

Developing and rehabilitating the flood pro-	Component 3, Output 3.1.
tection dykes for agricultural and urban de-	– intended sub-project: Flood prevention
velopment.	measures
Increasing the use of mobile pumping sta- tions and permanent stations in responding to mini-droughts, and promoting groundwater research in response to drought and climate risk.	This project identified following alternatives to address resilience to droughts under component 3, Output 3.1.: Intended sub-projects: Water gates on existing reservoirs to improve water management of freshwa- ter reservoir Rainwater harvesting Enhancing the coverage and quality of the piped water supply network

In addition to its comprehensive development and climate change policy framework, the Cambodian government has placed significant emphasis on decentralization and deconcentration (D&D) reform, which promotes transformation of responsibilities and functions of government from national level to sub-national level. In Cambodia's NSDP, the government aims at the "[p]rovision of power and duties to manage and perform all respective functions in line with the principles of local autonomy and local accountability to the maximum level". The Law on Administrative Management of the Capital, Provinces, Municipalities, Districts and Khans, also known as the Organic Law, specifies implementation structure and function of the National Committee for Democratic Development at Sub-National Level (NCDD). The NCDD reviews existing responsibilities and functions of ministries and other bodies and identifies functions to be transferred to sub-national level including financial resource and capacity building for management in accordance with the Organic Law, By working with NCDD to channel local investments, the project is aligning with and strengthening the decentralization process and the main body, the NCDD that manages this. Planning at the sub-national level (province, district/municipality and sankgat/commune is governed by the Three-Year Implementation Plan Phase III of the National Program for Sub-National Democratic Development, commonly referred to as the IP3-III. This programme includes a component on Service Delivery and Local Development (IP3-III Component 4) and particularly Outcome 4.2⁴⁶ on improving service delivery. This project will strengthen the implementation of the IP3-III.

In terms of plans at the sub-national level, 6 cities, including Kep and Sihanoukville, are starting to work with the Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI) to develop green city strategic plans, under the framework of the emerging national strategic plan for green secondary cities. The project will partner with GGGI to ensure the alignment of this initiative with the proposed project.

⁴⁶ NCDD (2017) Three-Year Implementation Plan Phase III of the National Program for Sub-National Democratic Development, p.5

Table 11 summarises how the project aligns with policies, strategies and plans of the Cambodian government. The main objective of the project is to enhance climate change adaptation and resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions. To achieve its main objective, the project consists of four components; Component 1 is comprehensive vulnerability/baseline assessment and action plans completed in the target communes/districts as prioritized in CCCSP and action 2 of CCAP. Component 2 is Capacity built to design, monitor and manage infrastructure, while also increasing capacity to plan for replication in other areas, in line with the CCCSP, NSDP, the Organic Law and the IP3-III. The project also supports the national deconcentration and decentralisation in the implementation process because it enhances capacity of sub-national levels for their independent climate change adaptation strategies in the future. Component 3 is resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and ecosystems, which aligns with the priorities of the CCAP and NDC. Component 4, Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured aligns with CCCSP and the NSDP in their aims to increase capacity.

The table shows overlap measures among national plans and strategies. Although not limited to its activities, this project mainly focuses on what the RGC set as their priority measures.

Table 11: project alignment with government priorities

Meas	ure	NSDP (2014-2018)	CCCSP (2014-2023)	NDC	CCAP	The Organic Law	IP3-III (2018-2020)	The national strategic plan for green sec- ondary cities
	Implement vulnerability assessment		Х		Х			Х
	Develop action plans for enhancing the climate and disaster resilience		x		x			X
	Enhance capacity of sub-national level on cli- mate change adaptation, and ecosystem resil- ience	X		X		X	X	
	Study, design and build small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure (water supply etc.)	X		X	X			
	Promote deconcentration and decentralization	X				X	X	

E. Compliance with relevant national technical standards and the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund

Table 12: Compliance with National Technical Standards.							
Expected con- crete output/in- tervention	Relevant rules, regulations, stand- ards and proce- dures	Compliance, procedure and authorizing of- fices	AF ESP Princi- ples at risk, if national tech- nical stand- ards are not applied.	Mitigation of Risk			
1.1. Strengthened capacity at provin- cial and commune level to conduct vulnerability as- sessment and cli- mate change ac- tion plans in line with the 15 Princi- ples of the Adap- tation Fund and the ESMP.	UN-Habitat Planning for Climate Change and Practitioner's Handbook on imple- menting the Vulnera- bility Reduction As- sessment (UNDP)	As there is no national tech- nical standard defining capacity building at pro- vincial and com- mune level to conduct vulnera- bility assessment and climate change action plans the compo- nent has the po- tential to set standards and define authori- ying officialsThe project will max- imize use of ex- isting VA tools/guidelines to minimize tool fatigue and to build on experi- ences in country, where possible	Principle 2, 3, and 5	All principles will be taken into account when develop- ing vulnerability assessment and action planning			
1.2. Integrated cli- mate change vul- nerability and dis- aster risk reduc- tion assessments (incl. maps) to in- form evidence ba- sis action panning in provincial and commune level in target areas in- cluding marginal- ized groups (e.g. women) disaggre- gated, where pos- sible.	UN-Habitat Planning for-Climate-Change and Practitioner's Handbook on imple- menting the Vulnera- bility Reduction As- sessment (UNDP)	As above	No risk				

1.3. Provincial and commune level climate change adaptation plans developed offi- cially approved to ensure most ap- propriate, cost-ef- fective and envi- ronmental and so- cial concrete ad- aptation actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.	Guidelines for Inte- grating Climate Change into Com- mune Development Planning (MoE/CCCA) Effective Mechanisms for Climate Change Mainstreaming in sub- national planning (MoE/CCCA) Green City Planning Methodology (MoE/GGGI) Guidelines for Com- mune Development Plans and Investment Plans (NCDD) UN-Habitat Planning for Climate Change	Extensive coordi- nation between UN-Habitat, MoE, NCDD and relevant depart- ment and com- mune officials will take place to ensure that cli- mate action plans are inte- grated into CIPs. NCDD will play as authorization office, while MoE will provide a ca- pacity building on integration of climate change to commune in- vestment plans through com- mune planning committees	No risk	
2.1. Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to de- sign/ plan/ rehabil- itate infrastructure and to build pro- tective natural as- sets	X Close alignment with IP3-III	MoE will take a lead to develop- ing/refining the guidelines and then train to NCDD Officials to take action at district/commune level through planning commit- tees.	Principle 2, 3, and 5	Participatory -design/plan- ning/rehabilitat- ing - monitor- ing/managing and - maintenance will ensure quorum of women, elderly and vulnerable groups, where possible. (AF 2,3,5, and 6) All Principles will be taken into account when capacity building is con- ducted, thus ensure compli-

2.2. Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to monitor and man- age community in- frastructure and to build protective natural assets de- signed under 2.1.	Guidelines on provin- cial/district/commune project operations Close alignment with IP3-III	NCDD will pro- vide the specific guideline to tar- get authorities for operation and maintenance based on exist- ing guidelines and then train commune plan- ning and invest- ment committee. MoE will provide technical assis- tance and moni- toring.	Principle 2, 3, and 5	See above
2.3. Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to maintain commu- nity infrastructure and to build pro- tective natural as- sets designed un- der 2.1.	Commune planning and investment pro- ject guidelines for in- frastructure projects Close alignment with IP3-III	NCDD will train Commune plan- ning and invest- ment committees for project imple- mentation, moni- toring and also to ensure people's participation in maintaining the basic infrastruc- ture.	Principle 2, 3, and 5	
3.1. Protective natural and social assets and /or physical infra- structure strength- ened/built to re- duce climate vul- nerability in line with the action plans under Out- put 1.3 and de- signs under Out- put 2.1.	National Housing Pol- icy	The project will target the most vulnerable groups in line with the first goal of the Na- tional Housing Policy, which is "to provide gen- eral people esp. low- and medium in- come house- holds and vul- nerable groups with access to decent housing or improving a house to en- sure the right to adequate hous- ing.	Principle 2,3,4,5,6,8,9, 10,12 and 13 have been trig- gered	See Annex 5, screening of catalogue of in- tended subpro- jects for de- tailed mitigation measures

Law on Water Re- source Management Article 11	Every Person has the right to use water re- sources for his/her vital hu- man need.	
Drinking Water Qual- ity Standards (Ministry of Industry, Mines and Energy)	NCDD will li- aise with pro- vincial depart- ment of Indus- try, Mines and Energy to en- sure compli- ance with drink- ing water qual- ity standards.	
Sub-Decree #27 on Water pollution	NCDD will li- aise with pro- vincial depart- ment of Envi- ronment	
Anukret # 86 on Con- struction Permit	NCDD will li- aise with pro- vincial depart- ment of Provin- cial and Munici- pal administra- tion	
Sub-decree #72 on Environmental Impact Assessment Process	NCDD will work closely with MoE to ensure the environ- mental impact assessment undertaken with fully partic- ipation from lo- cal authorities based on sub- decree. NCDD will be respon- sible for con- ducting the as- sessment, while MoE will be responsible	
	for TA	

	Procurement Manual for Externally Fi- nanced Projects/Pro- grams in Cambodia (MoEF – established under sub-decree) The compliance de- pends on the exact nature of the infra- structure to be con- structed, however, rel- evant standards could include: EIA, Procure- ment process, local planning process and operation and mainte- nance procedure. Technical Guidelines for Com- mune/Sangkat (2009). Fund's projects which consist of 3 parts (Part 1: Assessment and designs; Part 2: Technical designed standard, construc- tion_equipment /mate-	NCDD will su- pervise to tar- get commune planning and investment committees to ensure the im- plementation of infrastructure projects suc- cessfully NCDD will play as the authori- zation office to facilitate the project commit- tees at the tar- get areas to en- sure the full participation for planning, con- struction and maintenance of resilient infra- structure pro- ject. NCDD will en- sure the tech- nical guidelines will apply for all		
	tion, equipment /mate- rials and works; Part 3: Monitoring and Evaluation) (2009)	will apply for all infrastructure projects at the Com- mune/Sangkat targets in coop-		
		technical de-		
4.1. Project activi- ties, results and best practice re- garding commu- nity resilience to climate change are generated, captured and dis- seminated to ben- eficiaries, policy makers and stake- holders and the public in general.	Not relevant		Principle 2	All principles will be taken into account during capacity building

4.2. Capacity to replicate the pro- ject's objective in- line with NDC im- plementation en- hanced	Not relevant	No risk	

Ensuring effective and successful compliance with National Technical Standards is a vital component of ensuring effective implementation of environmental and social safeguard measures. In some cases, as demonstrated in Table 12, national technical standards are a legal requirement. For example, under Output 3.2, compliance with Sub-decree #72 on Environmental Impact Assessment Process is a legal requirement, and therefore complying with the requirements of this Sub-decree is also essential for Environmental and Social Policy Principle #1; Compliance with the Law⁴⁷. However, national technical standards do not give the project all the tools to comply with the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy, or UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguard system. As such, additional safeguarding measures are outlined in Section K, below. These safeguarding measures, outlined in Section K, will complement the national technical standards, where they exist, and augment them where they do not.

F. Duplication with other funding sources

The sites selected for this project were chosen because of their high vulnerability and inability to adapt to climate change, as well as because the Royal Government of Cambodia has identified the coastal zone as a priority area. However, the target sites are also characterised by minimal other work by development partners in climate change (other donor initiatives were discussed during national and local consultations and are summarised in Section H, below).

Nevertheless, projects have been identified through the consultation mission and through institutional knowledge of UN-Habitat, thanks to its long history of operations in Cambodia. Table 13 below summarises other relevant projects that are either ongoing, recently completed, or about to start in Cambodia. Historical projects are not included.

Table 13: Other relevant projects to the proposed project.

Relevant projects/pro-	Lessons learned	Complimentary po-	Project
gramme		tential	Timeline
			and

⁴⁷ Adaptation Fund (2016) Guidance Document for Implementing Entities on Compliance with the Adaptation Fund Environmental and Social Policy

budget

			Junger
Vulnerability Assessment and Adaptation Pro- gramme for Climate Change in the Coastal Zone of Cambodia Con- sidering Livelihood Im- provement and Ecosys- tems, implemented by UNEP, executed by Min- istry of Environment, funded by GEF-LDCF.	There is a feeling from a number of stakeholders that this VA is insufficient for planning of local invest- ments for adaptation.	The current project will utilise the findings of the vulnerability as- sessment carried out by the UNEP project in Prey Nob district (this is the only over- lapping target district) and expand on it.	\$1.6 mil- lion, 2012- 2015
Building climate resilience of urban systems through Ecosystem-based Adap- tation (EbA) in the Asia- Pacific region, imple- mented by UNEP, exe- cuted by Ministry of Envi- ronment, funded by LDCF.	The UNEP EbA project has not yet started, and will likely begin implementation sometime in 2018. It is pro- posed to keep a 'green/brown complemen- tarity' between these two projects.	UN-Habitat is an im- plementing partner on the UNEP project, which enables it to en- sure complementarily potential.	To begin in 2018. \$1.5 mil- lion (Cam- bodia compo- nent).
"Strengthening Climate Information and Early Warning Systems to Sup- port Climate-Resilient De- velopment in Cambodia", implemented by UNDP, executed by Ministry of Water Resources and Meteorology, funded by GEF-LDCF.	The UNDP project does not work in the same target ar- eas as this project. The UN-Habitat concept note formulation mission met UNDP to discuss this pro- ject (section H).	The projects will share an implementation modality (through NCDD).	\$4.9 mil- lion, 2014- 2017.
Reducing the Vulnerabil- ity of Cambodian Rural Livelihoods through En- hanced sub-national Cli- mate Change Planning and Execution of Priority Actions, implemented by UNDP, executed by Min- istry of Environment and Ministry of Planning, funded by GEF-LDC.	As above.	As above.	\$4.5 mil- lion, 2017- 2019.

Pilot Programme for Cli- mate Resilience (PPCR), Implemented and funded by ADB, executed by Min- istries of Environment, Rural Development and Planning.	The implementation/infra- structure component of PPCR doesn't overlap tar- get areas with the pro- posed project.	UN-Habitat is a part- ner in a small compo- nent of PPCR, so is well placed to coordi- nate lessons learned at the national level.	\$85 mil- lion, 2009- 2019.
Cambodia Climate Change Alliance, imple- mented by UNDP, exe- cuted by Ministry of Envi- ronment and funded by the EU, SIDA and DANIDA.	The UN-Habitat concept note formulation mission met with the CCCA pro- gramme and agreed full in- formation sharing (see Sec- tion H, below).	The proposed project will invite a repre- sentative of the CCCA programme to be on the management board, as CCCA is meant to be a coordi- nating programme for all climate change re- lated projects in Cam- bodia.	\$8.9 mil- lion, 2010- 2017
Green Secondary City Planning, implemented by GGGI.	This project will be imple- mented in Kep and Sihan- oukville. GGGI will be a non-resource partner in this project, and will also take an observer position on the board, to ensure coordina- tion.	The actions taken in this project will be shared with GGGI, who will incorporate their lessons learned in the overall city plans for Kep and Si- hanoukville.	Unknown, 2015- 2019
Fishery Conservation and Mangrove Protection in Preah Sihanouk and Kep Provinces, implemented by the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN).	IUCN is currently working with MoE to establish a protected karst landscape in Kampot Province and its first marine protected area around the Koh Rong Ar- chipelago.	IUCN partners with the Ministry of Envi- ronment in May 2017, through a memoran- dum of understanding, providing complemen- tarity potential.	2016 to Ongoing

Partnerships for Environ- mental Management in the Seas of Southeast Asia, an intergovernmen- tal organization operating in East Asia to foster and sustain healthy and resili- ent oceans, coasts, com- munities and economies across the region.	The activities have focused on a different area of Preah Sihanouk city than this pro- ject, as well as water use and supply management in Stung Hav District, which neighbours the target dis- trict of this project. PEM- SEA has also established protection and manage- ment of 1,060 hectares of mangrove areas, including	UN-Habitat has worked with PEMSEA previously, including during the Sihan- oukville climate change vulnerability assessment work un- dertaken in 2011, and has good relationships with the organisation and its work.	2006 to ongoing
Mangrove planting in Fishery Communities – implemented by the Fish- eries Action Coalition Team (FACT).	In Prey Nob District. FACT is implementing small-scale mangrove works in Prey Nob district.	The work is small scale and limited to mangrove, however, FACT has lengthy ex- perience which the project can draw upon.	2016 to Ongoing
Marine Protected Area re- lated activities on Koh Rong island (Imple- mented by a coalition of NGOs, including Fauna and Flora International, CARE, SONGSA Foun- dation and IUCN.	The Marine Protected Area was established by Gov- ernment Declaration No. 364 dated 16 June 2016.	The experience of im- plementing these pro- jects will inform activi- ties implemented in Koh Rong. However, this project does not directly work on strengthening the ma- rine protected area around Koh Rong, and therefore there is no direct overlap.	2016 to Ongoing
Small scale NGO Actions in the Tumnup Rolok area.	Three small NGOs: Peur un Sourire d'Enfant (PSE), Operation Enfant du Cam- bodia (OEC) and M'Iob Tapang have small scale education programmes in the area.	These projects are small scale and pri- marily relate to educa- tion, thus no direct linkage exists.	Ongoing

G. Learning and knowledge management

Component 4 of the proposed project addresses knowledge management and sustainability. This will capture the practical experiences of the field and feed into the policy decision-making besides sharing the project achievements to a wider external audience.

The participatory approach to implementation will promote building knowledge at the local

level, including on planning (at local government level) and on technical/vocational skills for constructing and maintaining small-scale resilient infrastructure (both at local government and community level). There will be direct and ongoing sharing of lessons from the project implementation sites, while the project will also use a participatory monitoring process, which will enable the beneficiary communities under Component 3 to work directly with the project's monitoring and evaluation officer, to highlight issues in delivery and to strengthen adaptation benefits, including in replication and sustaining the project's gains. As the Cambodian government wishes to promote eco-tourism, by the end of the project, a case-study compilation will be developed including lessons learned, best practices and a suggested model to scale up the promotion of eco-tourism at community level to other areas, for dissemination through the Ministry of Tourism, MoE, NCDD and other stakeholders as well online, in order to support training and knowledge management.

At the national level, other vulnerable districts and communes will be able to derive lessons learned from the project. Information will be consolidated in reports and the tools and guidelines will be for developing resilient infrastructure. By partnering with NCDD, and executing through MoE and NCSD (an inter-ministerial coordinating body), a linkage will be created with other, relevant government ministries, such as the Ministry of Water Resources and Meteorology, and the Ministry of Rural Development, which will facilitate wider dissemination. As part of the sustainability/exit strategy, the project will develop participatory monitoring processes, which will trigger institutional learning processes, participation, knowledge exchange and replication and scale-up of good practices.

UN-Habitat is part of a number of international dissemination mechanisms. The Knowledge Centre on Cities and Climate Change (in short: K4C) provides a knowledge management platform for Climate Change and Human Settlements interventions. It is proposed to use this platform (as well as the UN-Habitat website) to disseminate the lessons learned from this project. UN-Habitat will also work to integrate knowledge generated from the project with the knowledge management component of the CCCA programme, and through the 'camclimate' website⁴⁸. The agency is also coordinating the UN System representation on human settlements at the Conference of the Parties (CoPs).

To ensure lessons and experiences of the project can reach target audiences at the local, national and international levels, a communication plan will be established in the inception phase of the project. This will create a larger vision of which stakeholders the project will reach and how and through which channel(s) to reach them. For example, local people can be effectively reached through leaflets and local radio, which is popular in Cambodia, while social media can reach more broadly citizens all over Cambodia, in addition to printed media (articles in national and local newspapers), non-printed medias (television, national radio). The use of social media would be particularly relevant to reach the youth population (aged 15-24), which represents 20.6% of the total population of Cambodia.⁴⁹

⁴⁸ http://www.camclimate.org.kh

⁴⁹http://cambodia.unfpa.org/sites/default/files/pub-pdf/Flyer_Cambodia_Youth_Factsheet_final_draft_%28approved%29.pdf

Table14: Learning and knowledge management.

	Learning objectives (lo)				
Expected Concrete Outputs	& indicators (i)	Knowledge products			
Output 1.1. Strengthened capacity at pro- vincial and commune level to conduct vulnerability assess- ment and climate change action plans in line with the 15 Princi- ples of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.	(lo) Comprehensive and up-to-date vulnerability as- sessments and action plans prepared, which en- able local government offi- cials at the commune, dis- trict and provincial level to plan more effectively for resilience, taking into con-	Climate change vul- nerability assess- ments in two prov- inces and 15 com- munes. Action plans in 2 prov- inces and 15 com- munes, which gener- ate a list of sub-pro-			
Output 1.2. Integrated climate change vul- nerability and disaster risk re- duction assessments (incl. maps) to inform evidence basis action panning in provincial and commune level in target areas including marginalized groups (e.g. women) disaggregated, where possible.	sideration environmental and social safeguards and prioritising the needs of the poorest and most vul- nerable. i Number of local govern- ment stakeholders in- volved in the process Number of risks/hazards identified Number of projects gener-	jects and re-confirm the actions to be im- plemented under Component 3 of this project. Maps detailing haz- ards in each target commune.			
Output 1.3. Provincial and commune level climate change adaptation plans developed officially ap- proved to ensure most appropri- ate, cost-effective and environ- mental and social concrete ad- aptation actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.	ated and incorporated into commune investment plans.				
Output 2.1. Community, commune and pro- vincial level capacity built to de- sign/ plan/ rehabilitate infra- structure and to build protective natural assets.	Lo – provincial govern- ments, commune officials and communities them- selves gain knowledge of how to plan for, construct, manage and maintain in- frastructure, resilient	A set of guidelines produced that covers step-by-step the pro- cess of designing, planning, monitoring and managing small scale infrastructure			
Output 2.2. Community, commune and pro- vincial level capacity built to monitor and manage community	houses and natural assets that will make them more resilient to climate change i – Number of officials trained	and protective natural assets for resilience. Training materials un- der each output (books, slides etc).			

infrastructure and to build pro- tective natural assets designed under 2.1. Output 2.3. Community, commune and pro- vincial level capacity built to maintain community infrastruc- ture and to build protective nat- ural assets designed under 2.1.	Number of community level management com- mittees/structures estab- lished.	
Output 3.1. Protective natural and social assets and /or physical infrastructure strengthened/built to reduce climate vulnerability in line with the action plans under Output 1.3 and designs under Output 2.1.	Lo – Provincial and com- mune officials and com- munities will have en- hanced knowledge of op- erating infrastructure and protective natural and so- cial assets to enhance re- silience. i – Number and types of infrastructure constructed and protective natural/so- cial assets built/rehabili- tated.	Documentation of good practices, effec- tive designs and les- sons learned.
Output 4.1. Project activities, results and best practice regarding commu- nity resilience to climate change are generated, captured and disseminated to beneficiaries, policy makers and stakeholders and the public in general. Output 4.2. Capacity to replicate the pro- ject's objective in-line with NDC implementation enhanced.	 Io – Government at all levels and people within and beyond the project target area have enhanced knowledge of how to adapt to climate change, including best practices for vulnerability assessment, cost effective actions and environmental and social safeguards. Government at the national level also has enhanced capacity to replicate and scale up the project's benefits, and mobilise additional resources. i – A database of lessons learned New projects developed that replicate and upscale 	Documentation of les- sons learned Project proposals.

the current project's bene-	
fits.	

H. Consultative process

In development of this project, UN-Habitat undertook several joint missions by the country office and a representative of the Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific to consult national and local stakeholders from 8th to 12th of May 3rd to 7th July and 11th to 16th of December 2017. Table 15 provides an overview of stakeholders consulted and the outcomes of these consultations.

The meetings at the national level between 8th to 12th of May 2017 focused primarily on alignment with national priorities (as identified in Section D), coordination (and avoiding duplication) with other development partner initiatives (outlined in Section F), the implementation modality and the target districts and communes. There was also discussion of the climate hazards and underlying vulnerabilities, and the types of vulnerabilities the project should address.

At the local level in both provinces, discussions with local officials went into greater detail on the priority areas, the development challenges/underlving vulnerabilities they face and the climate hazards. The local level meetings also discussed various adaptation options and investments that are required in the target areas. The meetings with officials of Preah Sihanouk and Kep Provinces identified the proposed climate change projects reflected in the Commune Investment Plan (CIP) that is the official priority Figure 22. Procincial level consultation with department investments at the commune level. The Commune Investment Plans offer 'prepackaged' actions that could enhance



of Environment, Tourism, Public Work and Transport, Fishery Administration, Provincial NCDD Advisor and Women's Affairs of Kep Province.

alignment between the project and government priorities. Finally, the meetings helped the project design team understand the priorities of the different line departments at provincial level.

The consultation mission also met with other key actors in climate change adaptation and mitigation, including UNDP, the Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI) and UNEP (in Bangkok).

The second consultation mission took place from the 3rd to the 7th of July 2017, and discussed in more detail possible actions and identified the target number of beneficiaries. The objective was to understand the local climate change impacts/effects per commune. (the lack of) community coping mechanism/barriers to building resilience, specific resilience building needs and interest and concerns regarding the proposed project in general.

The results are displayed in Annex 1 and inform the background and context section.

Further in-depth discussions with the executing entities, provincial and commune stakeholders were held during a mission from **11th to 15th of December 2017** to develop the full proposal through a robust stakeholder engagement process, to complete the rapid vulnerability assessment, outline preliminary action plans and develop further the environmental and social safeguards screening and management plan.



Figure 23. Consultation with department of Environment, Tourism, Public Work and Transport, Fishery Administration, Provincial NCDD Advisor and Women's Affairs of Preah Sihanouk and commune councils of Prey Nob Districts.

The purpose of this mission on national level was to reach agreement with the Executing Entities about the project modality, which is outlined in detail in Part III. Section A.

The mission also held in-depth discussions with Provincial stakeholders in both target Provinces. These meetings contributed in several ways to reiterate the support of provincial officials for the project and highlighted several adaptation concerns and underlying vulnerability issues. The meeting revealed potential adaptation actions listed in the Commune Investment Plan, reflecting the priority investments at the commune level and the line departments at provincial level (see Annex 7).



Figure 24. Consultation of vulnerable families after strong winds damaged housing and destroyed houshold.

Through consultation with the target commune councils and vulnerable groups, the mission reconfirmed the issues discussed with provincial level stakeholders and also understood the local issues and smaller scale interventions not covered by the Commune Investment Plan. These meetings also reconfirmed acceptance by the communes, outlined alternative options for increasing resilience and potential environmental and social risks and impacts of the interventions. A rapid screening of the suggested commune interventions against the Environmental and Social Safeguards and cost-effectiveness concerns identified the potential adaptation actions, which are listed in Annex 5 as catalogue of intended sub-projects.

Table 15: Stakeholder Consultations Held.

Stakeholder, incl. role/function	Consultation objec- tive	Outcome	Conclusion
Ministry of Environ- ment/National Coun- cil for Sustainable Development (NCSD)	 Re-confirm focal point support Establish pre- ferred target ar- 	 MoE/NCSD has agreed to support the project formu- lation 	MoE/NCSD as the designated authority will approve the pro- ject
	 eas Ensure coordination with other, ongoing adapta- 	The target areas named in this concept note were agreed	
	tion activities and policy alignment	 Information was exchanged on ex- isting and planned initiatives in the target area, as highlighted in Section F 	
	Agree on project modality and re- sponsibility of im- plementation	Arrangement mo- dalities can be found in Part III. Section A; Project Arrangements	
National Committee for sub-national Democratic Develop- ment	 Establish NCDD interest in being an executing en- tity 	 NCDD agrees to be an executing partner 	NCDD will also pro- vide written agree- ment to be an exe- cuting partner
	 Agree in principle the modality for channelling funds to the local level 	Funding for local investments would be chan- nelled through the NCDD mecha- nism	
	Gain understand- ing on integrating climate change adaptation into commune and district level plans	 The project con- tains provisions to mainstream cli- mate change into commune/district 	
	 Understanding existing technical standard, rules, and regulations 	 The project fol- lows NCDD's 	

	Agree on project modality and re- sponsibility of im- plementation	 Technical Guide- lines for Com- mune/Sangkat (2009) Arrangement mo- dalities can be found in section III. A, project ar- rangements 	
Local officials in Preah Sihanouk Province	 Agree target sites Understand climate change vulnerability and highlight possible adaptation invest- 	 Target sites agreed A clear picture of vulnerability and possible actions established 	The long-list of target communities is listed in Part I – summary of the project
	 Ments Agree on role in organigram Identify climate change adaptation projects of the Commune Investment Plans (CIP) of the target Province 	 An updated and agreed organi- gram was pro- vided Climate change adaptation pro- jects of each com- mune received (Apper 7) 	
	Collect missing data for rapid vul- nerability assess- ment	 Missing data for rapid vulnerability assessment col- lected 	
Communes councils and vulnerable groups in Preah Si- hanouk Province	Understand the local climate change impacts/ effects per com- mune and (the lack of) commu- nity coping mech- anisms/barriers to building resilience	Insufficient data and relevant doc- uments were col- lected	The collected data of target communities is listed in Annex 1 – summary of the com- munity consultation
	Understand spe- cific resilience building needs and interest as well as concerns		
	Understand trend and impacts of	76	
		/0	

	•	tourism on the communities Understand the main climate change issues, the impacts of vulnerable groups and climate ac- tions prioritized by the commune council and vul- nerable groups that are not re- flected by the CIP	•	Developed a cata- logue of intended sub-projects based	Annex 5 reflects the catalogue of intended sub-projects
Local officials in Kep Province	•	Agree target sites Discuss climate change vulnera- bility and highlight possible adapta- tion investments Understand pro- vincial priorities of climate change adaptation pro- jects based on the Commune In- vestment Plan	•	Target sites agreed A clear picture of vulnerability and possible actions established A list of climate change adapta- tion projects of the Commune In- vestment Plan re- ceived (Annex 7)	The long-list of target communities is listed in Part I – summary of the project
Commune council and vulnerable groups in Kep Prov- ince	•	Understand the local climate change impacts/ effects per com- munity and (the lack of) commu- nity coping mech- anisms/barriers to building resilience	•	Insufficient data and relevant doc- uments were col- lected	The collected data of target communities is listed in Annex 1 – summary of the com- munity consultation
	•	cific resilience building needs and interest as well as concerns			
	•	Understand trend and impacts of tourism on the	-		
		7	7		

	communities	Developed a cata-		
	• Understand the main climate change issues, the impacts of vulnerable groups and climate actions prioritized by the commune council and vulnerable groups that are not reflected by the CIP.	logue of intended sub-projects based	Annex 5 reflects the catalogue of intended sub-projects	
UNDP	Gain experience from UNDP on the implementing modality for multi- lateral climate fi- nance projects	Agreement that national execution with funds for lo- cal investment channelled through NCDD is effective	No formal further ac- tion, but ongoing dia- logue to continue	
	Improve align- ment with the Cambodia Cli- mate Change Alli- ance, and other climate change projects	 Confirmation that UNDP has no on- going activities in the target area, and that the pro- posed project complements on- going UNDP initi- atives 		
UNCDF	Ensure alignment with support pro- vided to NCDD and com- mune/district planning	Agreement that the commune/ district planning component does not duplicate	No formal further ac- tion, but ongoing dia- logue to continue	
GGGI	 Increase alignment with GGGI/MoE's green secondary cities planning work, which will take place in Si- hanoukville and Kep 	 Agreement that GGGI will be a partner, and that there will be infor- mation flow to en- sure that invest- ments made un- der this project will be part of the planning work un- dertaken by GGGI 	GGGI will be a non- financial partner in the project (i.e. no funding from this pro- ject)	

UNEP

Ensure synchronicity with the UNEP coastal adaptation project, which also worked in Prey Nob, and the forthcoming urban Ecosystem Based Adaptation project, which will also work in Kep The UNEP project has been concluded. All relevant reports regarding this project have been passed to UN-Habitat (and MoE/NCSD).

 The urban EbA project is yet to start. The proposed project will only work on small-scale infrastructure in Kep tion, but ongoing dialogue to continue

No formal further ac-

In Cambodia, UN-Habitat has been implementing projects that support and strengthen policy interventions, institutional capacity building and community empowerment related to water and sanitation, climate change adaptation, disaster risk management, gender mainstreaming and youth development, housing and urban planning both national and subnational level. The following section elaborates Table 15, detailing further the consultations that took place with government agencies at the national and sub-national level and development partners during the three consultation missions that supported the formulation of the project.

Consecutive meetings during each mission were held with the executing entities, Ministry of Environment (MoE)/NCSD and the NCDD, to discuss target areas, appropriate small-scale infrastructure interventions, the overall policy environment and the implementation modality. MoE recommended Prey Nob in Preah Sihanouk province and both the municipality and district in Kep province⁵⁰. There was also extensive discussion of the Tumnup Rolok area of Sangkat Muoy of Sihanoukville City, which is also a high priority because it is exposed to climate hazards with little access to basic services. Possible actions were discussed, although final decisions on actions should be made after assessment the target areas. The discussions reconfirmed that MoE would be the main executing partner for the soft interventions in Components 1, 2 and 4, but that the NCDD would be the modality to channel funds for local investments.

The meeting with the United Nations Capital Development Fund (UNCDF) clarified that the NCDD is the key organization for fund-flow mechanisms and investment at the subnational level in Cambodia. UNCDF advised that MoE should execute policy and capacity building components, and does not have a comparable mechanism to channel funds for local investment. As outlined in Part III Section A, this means that MoE is the executing agency for Components 1, 2 and 4 of the project, while NCDD is the executing agency for Component 3.

⁵⁰ Kep Province is made up of 1 municipality and 1 district

Climate change resilience and environment is the largest portfolio of UNDP in Cambodia. UNDP also recommended that the project should have a strong linkage with the NCDD. The meeting also discussed the technicalities of capacity building at the local level, with UNDP recommending that local officials take a place on the project board.

The NCDD agreed with selection of Prey Nob because the rural area still suffers from strong winds, droughts and ocean and river flooding, affecting the agriculture as main source of income. NCDD highlighted the use of vulnerability maps, developed in conjunction with MoE and Ministry of Planning and passed this information on to the UN-Habitat team. NCDD reconfirmed their ability and willingness to manage funding flow for local investments, and recommended this is done in line with NCDD procedures and procurement. NCDD recommended that continued communication take place to ensure alignment with the broader district and commune planning processes. NCDD also confirmed that they are applying to be a GCF direct access entity. In applying to become a GCF direct access entity, NCDD has developed its Environmental and Social Safeguard Policy ensuring compliance with the Green Climate Fund's ESS policy and the eight Performance Standards of the International Finance Corporation. Consequently, this will ease compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund and the Environmental and Social Safeguard policy of UN-Habitat, because NCDD has existing capacity on implementing projects in accordance with internationally recognised ESS standards, and makes NCDD and effective executing partner in this project.

The mission met with the Global Green Growth Institute (GGGI), which is implementing activities under the framework of the Green Urban Development Programme. This programme produced the green city strategic plan, which is now officially adopted and has been incorporated into the environmental law and code. GGGI is also developing a national strategic plan for green secondary cities, and develop green strategic plans for 6 cities, likely including Kep and Sihanoukville. GGGI is also developing an overall framework at the national level and planning at the city level. These combined works provide scope for alignment with the proposed project.

UN-Habitat met with officials from Preah Sihanouk province, including representatives from the Department of Environment, the Fisheries Administration, NCDD and the Provincial Hall Administrative Department. The meetings confirmed that Prey Nob district as well as soft interventions for Koh Rong Island would benefit from the project. Necessary interventions for Prey Nob could including resilient housing, flood protection, especially to protect from saline intrusion, and a lack of access to drinking water in the dry season. There is limited donor footprint in these areas with no donors currently investing in resilient housing, protective infrastructure or water supply. The participants agreed with the proposed mechanism of project implementation, which partners with MoE for national policy development and trainings while partnering with NCDD for fund-flow of investment. This mechanism is also identified to match with the national strategic plan and the IP3-III.

The meeting with provincial officials in Kep included representation from the Department of Environment, Department of Tourism, Fisheries Administration, Department of Water

Resources and Meteorology, Department of Public Works and Transport, the Provincial NCDD Advisor and the Department of Administration under Provincial Hall. Like in Preah Sihanouk, people also face significant issues with water supply and water shortages because there is no piped water system in the city, especially along the coast, where ground water is saline. Despite receiving ample rainfall, rainwater harvesting is very limited in Kep as effective water storage tanks are expensive beyond the means of most households – especially the poorest. Additional issues faced by the poorest include a lack of waste management and sanitation facilities, poor house construction affected by strong winds and tenure insecurity. This means that in Kep, interventions under Component 3 should likely focus on water supply, which could include rainwater harvesting, extending water supplied by wells, and water management and on resilient housing. These activities would be confirmed by the assessment and action plans that would take place in Component 1.

UN-Habitat conducted community consultation in the communities of Preah Sihanouk and Kep Province. Based on the guide on community-level vulnerability assessments and action planning, requisite data including community profiles and tourism were collected through interviews and relevant documents. All of collected data were summarized in Annex 1. Further in-depth consultations were held with the commune councils of 14 target communes⁵¹, including vulnerable groups. These consultations identified the climate change hazards per commune and helped to understand the necessary and prioritized adaptation action planning in each commune, beyond and independent from the small-scale interventions addressed in the Commune Investment Plans. Thus creating the baseline for the proposed catalogue of intended sub-projects screened for compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund, presented in Annex 5.

I. Justification

The proposed project components, outcomes and outputs fully align with national and local government/institutional priorities, with identified community and vulnerable groups needs and with five of the Adaptation Fund's seven outcomes as stated in the Adaptation Fund results framework. This alignment has resulted in the design of a comprehensive approach in which the different components strengthen each other and in which outputs and activities are expected to fill identified gaps in Cambodia's climate change response.

The project aims to maximize the funding amount for the local investment component (Component 3); funding allocation to the other (softer) components is required for complementarity/support for Component 3 and sustainability and quality assurance of the project. The table 16 below provides a justification for funding requested, focusing on the full cost of adaptation reasoning, by showing the impact of AF funding compared to no funding (baseline) related to expected project outcomes.

⁵¹ Because the project will not implement the concrete component in Koh Rong and logistical constrains, the mission from 11th to 16th of December 2017 did not visit the Koh Rong commune, an island about 27 km from the mainland

Table 16: Project Justification.

Out- comes/planned activities	Baseline (without AF)	Additional (with AF)	Comment and alternative adaptation scenarios
Output 1.1. Strengthened ca- pacity at provincial and commune level to conduct vulnerability as- sessment and cli- mate change ac- tion plans in line with the 15 Princi- ples of the Adapta- tion Fund and the ESMP.	Local authorities have limited under- standing of the im- pacts of climate change and/or lim- ited ability to as- sess its impacts or plan responses to it.	Local government is aware of climate change and its im- pact, and under- stands the process of assessing vulner- ability and planning adaptation actions.	Without increased aware- ness local officials/planners will not be able to make ef- fective planning decisions and will not incorporate en- vironmental and social safeguards, leading to mal- adaptation in the future.
Output 1.2. Integrated climate change vulnerabil- ity and disaster risk reduction as- sessments (incl. maps) to inform evidence basis ac- tion panning in provincial and commune level in target areas in- cluding marginal- ized groups (e.g. women) disaggre- gated, where pos- sible.	Little evidence of the impacts of cli- mate change ex- ists in the target area, and where it does, the infor- mation is either ob- solete or not rou- tinely used in local planning.	Evidence generated on climate change and effective adap- tation actions that enables local deci- sion makers to plan for and implement actions.	Without an evidence basis for adaptation, actions such as infrastructure develop- ment would not consider cli- mate change and would thus be less effective.
Output 1.3. Provincial and commune level cli- mate change ad- aptation plans de- veloped officially approved to en- sure most appro- priate, cost-effec- tive and environ- mental and social concrete adapta- tion actions in line with the 15 Princi-	No evidence based adaptation options exist at present in the target areas, and as such there is no alignment with local planning through the D&D process.	Adaptation options generated that are actionable and in- corporated into local planning systems, with enhanced un- derstanding of gen- erating local reve- nue from infrastruc- ture, and identifying additional sources of finance.	This outcome follows on from Outcomes 1.1 and 1.2 – without this process there would either not be adapta- tion actions identified, or those identified would not be evidence based, and would be less likely to ef- fectively target the poorest and most vulnerable in a way that considers environ- mental and social safe- guards.

ples of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.

Output 2.1

Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to design/ plan/ rehabilitate infrastructure and to build protective natural assets.

is still in an early stage at present, and while NCDD has a structure in place to support, additional capacity is required to plan for the impacts of climate change.

Capacity building

Output 2.2

Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to monitor and manage community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1.

Output 2.3:

Community, com-

mune and provin-

cial level capacity

built to maintain

community infra-

structure and to

build protective natural assets designed under 2.1. for monitoring and managing is still limited especially at the communelevel. Additional capacity is required to monitor and manage for the impacts of climate change.

Capacity building

No capacity built to maintain community infrastructure and protective natural assets. from the provincial and district levels have also been trained. Strengthened capacity of target communes to respond rapidly to extreme weather events as-

sessed under Out-

Strengthened ca-

inces to respond

rapidly to extreme

weather events as-

sessed under Out-

People in the target

communities have

pacity of target prov-

put 1.2.

Capacity is en-

hanced, enabling

of adaptation ac-

1.

the implementation

tions identified as a

result of work under-

taken in Component

16,917 community members – 20% of the total beneficiaries have been trained on planning, operation and maintenance. 200 government officials

> Communes and communities, especially, do not effectively monitor and manage small scale infrastructure, meaning it is more likely to be damaged by extreme events, and environmental and social safeguards are less likely to be observed.

Capacity building, ongoing

is currently slowing. This

means urgent action re-

coming.

quired to adapt to climate change will not be forth-

under the support of NCDD,

Communities and communes especially will not have the capacity to effectively maintain infrastructure, and as a result will be more sensitive to the impacts of climate change

Output 3.1. Protective natural Most people in the target areas are

Without undertaking actions

put 1.2.
and social assets and /or physical infrastructure strengthened/built to reduce climate vulnerability in line with the action plans under Output 1.3 and designs under Output 2.1

Sub-project, project Number and

1. Resilient Hous-

ing in • Tuek Thla,

meakki, Veal Renh

Tuek L'ak, Sam-

location

exposed to floods, storms, strong wave, sea-level rise or drought (or a combination of these), and do not have protective infrastructure.

Vulnerability

Strong Wind

Baseline

ience to climate change and underlying vulnerability has been reduced through improved protective and basic service infrastructure.

increased their resil-

through the People's Process, adaptation actions would not be participatory or generate the levels of local ownership achieved by this project, while there would be a greater risk of environmental and social harm as an unintended consequence of adaptation actions.

Adaptation Benefit <u>Alternative scenario with-</u> resulting from the <u>out action</u>

project A total of 3,000 beneficiaries (1,500 female) will benefit from an implemented resilient housing design. Through trainings of local craftsmen in each commune and sharing the design and approach for resilient housing, the sub-project will be replicable and ensures a self-sustaining and rapid resilience to strong wind beyond the project. The analysis of collected climate data can forecast weather patterns on which the agricultural and fishing sector rely on. Alerting emerging strong winds will give the affected communities time to shelter housing, households and stables adequately. Sirens will alert 18,180 beneficiaries (of which 9,090 are female) to rescue themselves

Relocation of affected communities which leads to buying nearby hazard-free land without having the reassurance that bought land will stay hazard-free. Due to lack of resilient housing design also a re-build housing is most likely to be affected by strong winds.

No collection of climate data is possible and leads to inaccurate or impossible weather forecasting. The lack of knowledge of upcoming strong wind makes it difficult for the most vulnerable to prepare housing, household and stables which leads to a greater loss and damage and greater economic impact.

2. Weather Station Strong Wind

with enhanced broadcasting and early warning system in all 8 communes of Prey Nob

out of the houses

3. Water gates on existing reservoirs to improve water management of freshwater reservoir in 7 communes in Prey Nob District (Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sammeakki, Veal Renh, Samrong, Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng) and 1 Sangkat in Sihanoukville (Sangkat Muoy). 3 communes in Kep Province: Prey Thom, Kep and Ou Krasar

Drought

4. Rainwater har-Drought vesting in 7 communes in Prev Nob District (Tuek <u>Thla, Tuek L'ak,</u> Sammeakki, Veal Renh, Samrong, Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng) and 1 Sangkat in Sihanoukville (Sangkat Muoy). 3 communes in Kep Province: Prey Thom, Kep and <u>Ou Krasar</u>

5. Enhancing the Drought coverage and quality of the piped water supply network in Kep: Prey Thom and Kep and in Tuek Thla and Sangkat Muoy of Preah Sihanouk By channelling freshwater in times of heavy rains approx.. 30,453 beneficiaries (of which 15,226 are female) can benefit of access to drinking water. Water gates will avoid contamination of freshwater with brackish and salt water and protect also rice fields from becoming unfertile.

Rainwater collecting ponds, jars and rain gutter for assumed beneficiaries 10,000 (of which 5,000 are female) will collect about 80 % of the annual rainfall that falls on the catchment area. Rainwater harvesting measures will avoid chronical drinking water shortages during the dry season.

The listed communes suffer lack of drinking water during the drought period from January to May. The communes have to buy water in tanks from adjacent communes which led to pricing of water and financial restrains for the most vulnerable. No action will make water an unaffordable trade good that exacerbates the financial situation of the poorest.

The listed communes suffer lack of drinking water dur-

ing the drought period from

January to May. The com-

tanks from adjacent com-

munes which led to pricing

strains for the most vulnera-

ble. No action will make water a unaffordable trade

good that exacerbates the

most poorest. Further, un-

gates led already to con-

tamination of channelled

freshwater which affected

access to freshwater canals

and contaminated field fice

fields.

controlled opening of water

financial situation of the

of water and financial re-

munes have to buy water in

Rehabilitation o damaged piped water supply infrastructure and the design of a piped water supply network assumes to benefit 10,000 persons (of which 5,000 are female) by providing

Especially people on the

hill-side of Sankat Muoy cannot access water during the dry season. Hence, approximately 500 households have no access to safe drinking water during the drought period from January to May. The community has to buy water in

safe drinking water.

tanks from adjacent communes which led to pricing of water and financial restrains for the most vulnerable. No action will make water an unaffordable trade good that exacerbates the financial situation of the most poorest. Additionally, a steep slope from highland to the sea causes already mixing of polluted water with rainwater that flows straight into the sea.

<u>6. Canal,</u> <u>7. Dam and</u> <u>8. Water gates on</u> <u>canals to channel</u> <u>floods in Sam-</u> <u>meakki, Tuek Thla</u> <u>and Tuek L'ak</u> <u>communes, Prey</u> <u>Nob District,</u> <u>Preach Sihanouk</u> <u>province</u> Flood

The dam (assuming 4,725 beneficiaries of which 4,362 are female) prevents water from the sea and river estuary entering surrounding settlements and agricultural land. Canals (assuming 19,752 beneficiaries of which 9,876 are female) will channel flash floods caused by heavy rainfalls and collect freshwater in an accessible way for the communities. Water gates (assuming 8,803 beneficiaries of which 4,402 are female) additionally avoid salt-water intrusion of agricultural land and freshwater canals. This jointly will avoid property damages due to floods and avoids water-logged settlements.

Without the set of intervention esp. women will suffer from destruction of household goods and housing. Elderly and disables people have limited ability to evacuate themselves as the settlement is waterlogged during the floods. People relying on agriculture or groundwater will suffer intensified salt-water intrusion and contamination of freshwater and crop fields.

9. Demarcation of	Degradation of	The demarcation of	Illegal deforestation of man-
and access to nat-	protected nature	protected natural as-	grove forests for agriculture
ural assets and		sets will raise aware-	already led to salinization of
10. Reforestation		ness to its protective	rice fields which now are
in 6 communes of		<u>status, allows ac-</u>	fallow and unfertile. Though
Prey Nob District:		cess to the protected	the area is classified as
Tuek Thla, Tuek		nature and regulates	protected natural land the
L'ak, Sammeakki,		use of the protected	lack of awareness of the
Veal Renh, Sam-		area in compliance	regulations and behavioural
rong, Boeng		with the law (Article	approaches to protect the
Taprom could ben-		23 of Cambodia	target mangrove forests
etit of eco-tourism		Protected Area	and its waters will lead to
In the Kampong		Law). Tourism will be	degradation of biodiversity
Smach protected			of flore and found as well
areve forest in		sustainable eco-	<u>or nora and faulta as well</u>
Angkaol of Kon		ostablished local	dimate change impacts like
Province		women-led business	
<u>1 1011106</u>		arouns Reforesta-	natural barrier for coastal
		tion will restore the	winds and erosion etc. due
		biodiversity and	to loss of protective natural
		strengthen the adap-	barriers.
		tive capacity of a	
		mangrove forest to	
		climate change haz-	
		ards (like sea-level	
		rise, salinization,	
		natural barrier for	
		coastal winds and	
		erosion etc.) Com-	
		munity-based tree	
		nurseries can lead to	
		upscaling eco-tour-	
		ism engagement.	
		Together with the	
		women-led business	
		groups this will also	
		improve the eco-	
		nomic situation of	
		the target com-	
		munes of which a	
		number of 14,468	
		assumed beneficiar-	
		Tes (among them	
		1,234 Temale) Will	
		penetit from.	
11. Protective in-	Sea-level rise, sali-	Protective infrastruc-	Continuous sea-level rising
trastructure for	nization	ture will prevent	leads to loss of land and
Sea-level Rise and		18,257 assumed	salinization of already lim-
salinization such		<u>beneficiaries (Of</u>	

as roads, dams etc. in Prey Nob District: Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng and Boeng Taprom and in Kep Province: Angkaol and Pong Tuek		which 9,128 are fe- male) from sea-level rise and salinization of coastal settle- ments, seaports, costal fisheries, mangrove forests, groundwater, fresh- water reservoirs and agricultural land. As this intervention has the potential to b packaged with pro- ject number 6 and 7, it will also prevent the target com- munes from flooding.	ited groundwater, freshwa- ter reservoirs and contami- nates agricultural fields which then become unfer- tile. Limited protective infra- structure further affect the national highway with floods and reduce the mo- bility of people and transport of goods. Loss of beaches and public land will exacerbates the de- crease of tourism as main pillar of income. Loss of unique habitats due to sea- level rising.
13. Enhanced wastewater man- agement and drainage systems in Sangkat Muoy of Sihanoukville	Wastewater flood- ing, bank and soil pollution	Wastewater man- agement and drain- age system will pre- vent from water- logged and contami- nated informal settle- ments and the spread of water- borne diseases. It aims to prevent con- tamination of soil and river banks with wastewater and avoids wastewater flowing unfiltered into the sea of which 2,070 assumed ben- eficiaries (1,035 fe- male) will benefit of.	The increase of heavy rain- falls leads to floods that mixes with untreated wastewater. Through flash floods contaminated wastewater leads to water- logged informal settle- ments, in which the most vulnerable poor are dwell- ing. The setting for water- borne diseases will affect the community that mostly has no access to adequate medical treatments which then exacerbates the un- derlying vulnerability of the informal settlers of health risks and financial restrains for adequate medical treat- ment. Additionally, the Ad- ditionally, a steep slope from high-land to the sea causes mixing of all kinds of polluted water with rain- water and flows unfiltered into the sea polluting soil and river banks.
Output 4.1. Project activities, results and best practice regarding community resili- ence to climate	Knowledge dis- semination on cli- mate change is still in the early stages, and there are no mechanisms for	Knowledge will be enhanced and the likelihood of follow up finance for addi- tional investment will be increased.	The dissemination of cli- mate change related infor- mation will continue to be limited, and would be less likely to reach both policy makers and communities.

change are gener- ated, captured and disseminated to beneficiaries, pol- icy makers and stakeholders and the public in gen- eral.	further/follow-up fi- nancing.		
Output 4.2. Capacity to repli- cate the project's objective in-line with NDC imple- mentation en- hanced	Due to funding constraints, NCDD has limited human resource and financial ca- pacity to replicate and upscale the benefits of the pro- ject.	Enhanced capacity to access additional private, national and international finance for climate change adaptation to repli- cate and upscale benefit of the pro- ject, while also im- proving the applica- tion of Environmen- tal and Social Safe- guards throughout project preparation and implementation with reduced exter- nal technical assis-	Donor agencies are with- drawing support to NCDD, which means it would not have, or will be able to de- velop, the human resource and financial capacity to replicate and upscale the project's benefits and cli- mate change adaptation ini- tiatives more generally.

J. Sustainability

Institutional

The project aligns with the Cambodian government's planning and implementation mechanism and strengthens it. This is because the local investments will be channelled through the NCDD, which is also responsible for planning (including investment planning) at the commune and district level. As a result of the project, the target communes and districts will be better able to plan for small-scale resilient investments, while the NCDD will be enabled to replicate the knowledge gained from the project to other areas of the country (as NCDD has a national mandate). Through sub-national NCDD officers in each target province and capacity building through component 2, operation and maintenance become coherent, efficient and sustainable. UN-Habitat will further design an exit strategy addressing all institutional levels to ensure the long-term and sustainable benefits of this project. Table 17 outlines the maintenance arrangements per intended subproject.

tance.

UN-Habitat will train local craftsmen on resilient hous- ing design under Component 2. Based on the vulnerability assessment on households of target communes 500 households will be pilot mod- els for replication. Mainte- nance after renovation is the responsibility of each benefi- ciary, with support from com- mune councils and provincial government.	Training under component 2 Maintenance of housing: Covered by beneficiary It is expected that no further costs but the maintenance of the houses will occur.
Maintenance of the weather station and EWS will be done by the Department of Water Resources and Meteorology, Preah Sihanouk Province. Capacity building under com- ponent 2 will ensure that pro- vincial staff is trained on maintenance.	Capacity building: under component 2 After implementation Covered by Department of Water Resources and Mete- orology in Prey Nob District
Capacity building of technical advisors of the Department of Water Resources and Mete- orology in Prey Nob District and Kep Province on mainte- nance of dams, canals and/or water gates based on fresh- water management plan (re- silience to droughts) and flood prone hazard map (re- silience to floods) developed under action planning of component 1.	Capacity building: under component 2 After implementation, mainte- nance cost will be covered by Department of Water Re- sources and Meteorology in Prey Nob District
Capacity building of commu- nity on management and maintenance of rainwater harvesting systems, espe- cially to avoid contamination of freshwater. Capacity building for provin- cial staff of Department of Water Resources and Mete- orology in Prey Nob District	Capacity building: under component 2 Regular changing of filter- systems after implementation covered by Department of Water Resources and Mete-
	UN-Habitat will tran local craftsmen on resilient hous- ing design under Component 2. Based on the vulnerability assessment on households of target communes 500 households will be pilot mod- els for replication. Mainte- nance after renovation is the responsibility of each benefi- ciary, with support from com- mune councils and provincial government. Maintenance of the weather station and EWS will be done by the Department of Water Resources and Meteorology, Preah Sihanouk Province. Capacity building under com- ponent 2 will ensure that pro- vincial staff is trained on maintenance. Capacity building of technical advisors of the Department of Water Resources and Mete- orology in Prey Nob District and Kep Province on mainte- nance of dams, canals and/or water gates based on fresh- water management plan (re- silience to floods) developed under action planning of component 1. Capacity building of commu- nity on management and maintenance of rainwater harvesting systems, espe- cially to avoid contamination of freshwater. Capacity building for provin- cial staff of Department of Water Resources and Mete- orology in Prey Nob District and Kep Province to check

Table 17: Sustainable Maintenance arrangements per intended sub-project.

	water quality and change fil-	
	ter-system regularly.	
Piped water supply network	Capacity building of commu- nity to report issues with piped water supply to com- mune and provincial author- ity. Capacity building of com- mune council to manage piped water supply network. Capacity building of technical advisors and provincial staff of Department of Rural De- velopment and Department of Public Works and Transport on maintaining piped water supply network	Department of Rural Devel- opment and Department of Public Works and Transport
Demarcation of natural pro- tective assets and reforesta- tion	Capacity building of commu- nity on mangrove tree nurs- ing	Capacity building: under component 2
	Capacity building of commu- nity and commune to reno- vate damaged polls in time and benefit from eco-tourism	Revenue of eco-tourism can be re-invested for mainte- nance
Protective infrastructure for SLR and salinization	Capacity building of commu- nity, commune and technical advisors and provincial staff of Department of Land Man- agement, Urban Planning and Construction on monitor- ing and maintaining protec- tive infrastructure	Capacity building: under component 2 Maintenance: Department of Land Management, Urban Planning and Construction
Wastewater management and drainage system	Capacity building of commu- nity, commune and technical advisors and provincial staff of Department of Public Works and Transport on monitoring and maintaining´ small-scale wastewater treat- ment plant and drainage sys- tem based on wastewater and surface flooding hazard map developed under action planning (Component 1)	Capacity building: under Component 2 Maintenance: Department of Public Works and Transport

Social

By implementing the project through the People's Process methodology, whereby people take ownership for the design and construction of the infrastructure that they will ultimately be beneficiaries of, there will be greater social sustainability because people will take

ownership of their adaptation infrastructure. In implementing the projects, communities will gain greater awareness of climate change and adaptation, and vocational skills to build, operate and maintain infrastructure.

Economic

Adaptation is a highly important economic activity in the target areas. In most of the target settlements, people rely on tanker-supplied or bottled water, which is expensive, and have no adaptive capacity to climate change hazards. This project will enable people to access water in a sustainable manner at much lower cost, will build resilience to strong winds, droughts, floods, SLR, salinization and soil pollution. This frees-up household income for other purposes. The project also makes an important contribution to economic sustainability because it focuses, *inter alia*, on building/rehabilitating protective natural assets in areas important for tourism and eco-tourism, which is an important contributor to Cambodia's economy, especially in coastal regions.

Financial

By securing institutional sustainability through NCDD (as described above) there is a greater chance of securing financial sustainability. There are three main ways this can occur. Firstly, NCDD is responsible for supporting communes and districts undertake planning (including investment planning). This means that the project design supports mobilisation of national finance - which is critical to national ownership of adaptation actions; enabling provinces and districts (and municipalities to plan for operation, maintenance and replication). With regard to operation and maintenance (O&M), working with NCDD means also that it is easy to integrate the maintenance and upkeep of infrastructure into provincial government budged. Secondly, the project will conduct a detailed study in its inception phase on willingness to pay for small scale infrastructure. Because the exact nature of the infrastructure to be constructed will be determined in the project's inception phase, under Component 1, it is not possible to determine the exact nature of financial sustainability at community scale. However, if the project were to construct water infrastructure, for example, a small tariff could be levied on users, which would be managed by communities themselves, with the proceeds contributing to maintenance and upgrading. Once action plans have been completed under Component 1, a study will be conducted to review local financial sustainability models, which will then be implemented, with the agreement of beneficiaries, when the infrastructure is completed. Finally, NCDD is applying to become a GCF direct access entity, which will unlock significant funding opportunities for communes and districts throughout the country, including the ones targeted in this project. To that end, budgetary provision has been made in this project to support a proposal to GCF to mobilise further funding to finance additional actions/upscaling of the actions proposed in this project.

Environmental

The project will make use of local materials, where possible. Part of the soft interventions of the project will be implemented in a marine protected area (Koh Rong) and as such, activities undertaken in this area will make special consideration of the delicate environment. The rest of the project is also implemented in the coast; a sensitive environmental location. The project will also make provisions for the protection of the environment

through its safeguarding procedures. As shown in Section K, below, the project will ensure the protection of natural habitats, conservation of biological diversity, prevention of emissions that cause climate change, and prevent pollution and promote resource efficiency. Capacity building on operation and maintenance will ensure that the benefits for the environment will not retrograde.

K. Environmental and social impacts and risks

Table 18: Overview of the environmental and social impacts and risks identified as being relevant to the project.

Checklist of environmental and social principles	No further assessment required for compliance	Potential impacts and risks – further assessment and management required for compliance
Compliance with the Law		X
Access and Equity		X
Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups		X
Human Rights		X
Gender Equity and Women's Empowerment		X
Core Labour Rights		X
Indigenous Peoples	Х	
Involuntary Resettlement		X
Protection of Natural Habitats		X
Conservation of Biological Diversity		X
Climate Change		X
Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency		X
Public Health		X
Physical and Cultural Heritage	Х	
Lands and Soil Conservation		X

As shown in Table 18 the project seeks full alignment with Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy (ESP), and will also be screened according to UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguards System and policy. This section briefly describes the initial analysis of environmental and social impacts of the project based on the ESP.

Components 1 (Institutional level strengthening to reduce vulnerability in human settlements), Component 2 (Building capacity at the community, commune and provincial level) and Component 4 (Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured) consist of soft activities. The Adaptation Fund's ESP says, "Those projects/programmes with no adverse environmental or social impacts should be categorized as Category C.⁵² All activities under Components 1, 2 and 4 are 'soft' activities will not cause direct, indirect transboundary and cumulative impacts to environment and society.

⁵² Adaptation Fund Environmental and Social Policy, paragraph 28, Page 8

All concrete activities in the project will be undertaken under Component 3. These activities carry the risk of causing environmental and social impacts. As the activities implemented under the project will be local and small scale, it is deemed that they are not 'Category A' risks. In regard to the ESP and UN-Habitat's Environmental Social Safeguard System, the project will ensure that especially AF principles 2, 3 and 5 are reflected through quotas of vulnerable and focused groups and through community participation throughout the project. All activities implemented under Component 3 are, therefore, Category B or C. The capacity building undertaken under Component 2 will emphasise environmental and social safeguards and minimizing risk. Moreover, the using the People's Process as a means to implement means that communities will manage the planning and construction of infrastructure, be trained on environmental and social risks and therefore will be incentivized to minimize environmental and social impact. This is because, under the People's Process, communities themselves are the planners, constructors and beneficiaries of the small-scale infrastructure, rather than contractors. Contractors have less incentive to minimise environmental and social risks, because they are not the end users of the infrastructure in question.

The checklist shown in Table 18 has been prepared, based on initial consultations. In accordance with the Adaptation Fund Environmental and Social Policy, and UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguards System standards. This is further elaborated in Table 19 and the environmental and social management plan in Part III. Section C.

Adaptation Fund Environ- mental and Social Principle	Identified Potential Risks	Mitigation Measures
Compliance with the Law	Possible conflicts over land ownership.	Only citing infrastructure on public land. Engagement
	This principle always ap- plies but the risk is not sig- nificant (i.e. low) (see Part II. Section E). The cata-	Management, Urban Plan- ning and Construction at the provincial level
	logue of intended sub-pro- jects has designed the in- terventions as such that EIA are not required by na- tional law. This has been confirmed by government authorities	Integrating legal compli- ance into all training.
	Failure to comply with laws relating to procurement procedures.	
Access and Equity	That certain groups are de- nied access to infrastruc-	Community management with rules ensuring that

Table 19: ESP Risks and Mitigation Measures (more details on probability and impact of each risk per sub-project can be found in Annex 5).

	ture, or that preferential access is given to others.	equal access is guaran- teed.	
	The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low).		
Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups	Initial consultations indicate that there are a small num- ber of immigrants in some of the target areas, who are vulnerable to discrimina- tion.	Community management with rules ensuring that equal access is guaran- teed, including for migrant populations, where appro- priate.	
	The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low).		
Human Rights	Human rights breaches can arise from denying access to water and other basic services, or from land con- flicts, for example.	See measures of other risk categories.	
	The significance is low as most of the interventions have been confirmed to be implemented on public land where tenure arrangements are cleared. It is medium for the piped water supply network and high for beach erosion interventions as it targets inter alia informal settlements.		
Gender Equity and Women's Empowerment	Women could be denied access to infrastructure, or prevented from making crit- ical decisions.	Quotas for female partici- pation in decision making at all levels.	
	The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low).		
Core Labour Rights	Labour rights may not be respected when contracting communities.	All community contracts must be scrutinised to en- sure they comply with both	
	The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low).	tional standards.	
Indigenous Peoples	The community consulta- tion has not identified indig- enous people in the target area. As noted in Part II. Section H, 'Cham' Muslims'	Integration of any indige- nous population where ap- propriate. As above for marginalised and vulnera- ble groups.	

	are not considered as in- digenous people, and will be equally recognised through the People's Pro- cess, where possible.	
Involuntary Resettlement	Possible eviction arising from conflicts over land ownership.	See above for compliance with the law.
	Mostly not triggered expect with low significance for flood prevention measure, and high significance for in- tervention on beach ero- sion.	
Protection of Natural Habitats	Damage to local ecosys- tems, including forests, riv- ers and coastlines from in- frastructure construction.	Incorporating protection of habitats and ecosystems into action planning.
	The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low), apart from the sub-project on wastewater management and drainage systems where significance is me- dium.	that it complements nature.
Conservation of Biological Diver- sity	See Protection of Natural Habitats.	See Protection of Natural Habitats.
	The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low).	
Climate Change	Triggered with medium sig- nificance in sub-project wastewater management and drainage system as wastewater treatment plants can emit GHG emis- sions	Closed circulation system of wastewater treatment plant
Pollution Prevention and Re- source Efficiency	Construction of infrastruc- ture generates waste.	Incorporating waste man- agement and disposal into
	The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low).	design.
Public Health	Water infrastructure could be open to contamination,	Incorporating public health considerations (especially

spreading water-borne diseases.

The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low).

Lands and Soil Conservation

See Protection of Natural Habitats.

The significance of the risk is small (i.e. low).

relating to water contami-nation) into training under Component 2.

See Protection of Natural Habitats.

PART III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

A. Arrangements for project management

The following mechanisms for project execution, coordination and oversight have been agreed in close consultation with the Ministry of Environment (MoE), as the national designated authority to the Adaptation Fund, its inter-ministerial body the National Council for Sustainable Development (NCSD), and the National Committee for Subnational Democratic Development (NCDD).

The Ministry of Environment in conjunction with the NCSD, will be the lead government entity to execute components 1, 2 and 4 of the project. As the lead government agency responsible for climate change, the MoE, with the NCSD supporting coordination across the government system, will be well placed to execute the project and ensure its lessons learned can be adapted and replicated integrated throughout government.

The National Committee for Sub-national Democratic Development (NCDD) is the inter-ministerial mechanism for promoting democratic development through decentralisation and deconcentration reforms throughout Cambodia, and is located in the Ministry of Interior. NCDD has the mandate in the Cambodian Government system to channel financial support from external donors to the sub-national level for investment, as outlined in its IP3-III 2018-2020 document. Because of this, NCDD will be the lead executing entity for Component 3.

Further information can be found in Annex 7.

UN-Habitat is the multilateral implementing entity (MIE) and will provide project management support, oversight and will act as the secretariat of the Project Management Committee. It will also be part of the team that implements the project, where it will provide technical knowledge and expertise based on its experience implementing other climate change projects in Cambodia and the Asia-Pacific region. The agency will further oversee compliance with its Environmental and Social Safeguard System and the Environmental and Social Safeguard Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

Legal and Financial Arrangements

UN-Habitat and the Ministry of Environment will sign a joint Memorandum of Understanding (MoU) as a legal commitment to implement the project.

UN-Habitat will sign separate Agreements of Cooperation with the Ministry of Environment/NCSD and the National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development. This agreement will be the legal basis to transfer funds to the executing entities in the project. These agreements will be reviewed by the Project Management Committee and will specify in significant detail the activities to be implemented, the timeframe and deliverables required. The Permanent Secretary, MoE/NCSD, and the Director General, NCDD will authorise payments against the contractual agreements upon recommendations from the Project Team, consisting of the UN-Habitat representative and the Director of the Climate Change Department.

Project Governance

I

At the national level, the Project will be supported by a **Project Management Committee** (PMC). The PMC will be formed to oversee and keep abreast of project progress and facilitate the implementation of the project, including overseeing and cooperating with the project team, the technical advisory group, the local steering committees and the project oversight group.

The PMC will be chaired by the Secretary General, MoE/NCSD, and vice-chaired by the Director General, NCDD. UN-Habitat will provide the secretariat function of the PMC. A representative of the UN-Habitat Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific will also be a member of the PMC. Other members of the PMC will be representatives of the following; the Climate Change Department, MoE, the Provincial Governments of Preah Sihanouk Province and Kep Province, the Ministry of Water Resources and Meteorology, the Ministry of Women's Affairs and Ministry of Land Use. Observer members of the committee will representatives of the UN Capital Development Fund and the Global Green Growth Institute.

The PMC will: (1) approve annual work plans and review key project periodical reports; (2) will review and approve the contractual agreements, including workplans, with a particular emphasis on environmental and social safeguards, budgets and payment schedules; (3) review any deviations and consider amendments to workplans and contractual arrangements.

The PMC will meet at least once per year throughout the project implementation and whenever needed to fulfil the above functions. <u>The PMC will also convene adhoc</u> meetings to address serious Environmental and Social safeguard risks, if these arise

Project Oversight, incorporated into the PMC, is led by the responsible officer in UN-Habitat's Regional Office for Asia and the Pacific (ROAP) under the guidance of the Regional Director and supported by Project Management Officers (financial management and administration) and UN-Habitat's Headquarters (HQ) Monitoring and Evaluation Unit, the Programme Division including the Climate Change Planning Unit, and the External Relations Division, in particular the Advocacy, Outreach and Communications will ensure project management compliance in accordance with UN-Habitat and AF standards and requirements.

The **Project Team** will be comprised of the UN-Habitat Project Manager, the Technical Advisor, NCDD, and the Director of the Department of Climate Change, the Director of Marine and Coastal Conservation, and the Administration Unit, MoE. The Project Team will be responsible for managing project activities and ensuring compliance with all commitments contained in this project document, such as the 15 Environmental and Social Safeguards Principles of the Adaptation Fund, the Environmental and Social Management Plan (see Part III. Section E), as well as providing day-to-day support to the executing entities. The Project Team will also take the lead in monitoring through

periodic visits to the intervention sites, and generating learning from the project. The Project Team will develop a Monitoring and Evaluation Plan during the project's inception phase, which will be distributed to targeted stakeholders, and reported to the PMC.

To assist the Project Team and PMC, an **ad hoc technical advisory group** will be formed to provide guidance and support relating to technical issues, such as climate change/resilience, spatial/urban planning, settlements upgrading, basic service/infrastructure delivery and vulnerable and marginalised people. The main objective of the ad hoc technical advisory group is to provide the Project Team and PMC with up-todate know-how and guidance on best practice. This will also be an important forum for clarifying development processes, identifying entry points for strategic planning and reform, and identifying needs for capacity building. The ad hoc technical advisory group will have a flexible membership, with participation from Cambodian government line ministries, the World Bank, Asian Development Bank, UNDP, JICA, UN Environment, and international NGOs, where appropriate.

In support of sub-national implementation, Provincial Steering Committees (PSCs) will be formed, with one committee in each province. These will bring together subnational government representatives, community representatives and UN-Habitat. The Provincial Steering Committees will fine tune local work plans, review project activities and approve these in line with the environmental and social safeguards, review project outputs (related to the locality) and provide a coordination mechanism at the sub-national level, while also reporting to the PMC. The Provincial Steering Committees will meet at least twice per year and as required. The Provincial Advisor to NCDD and the Provincial Hall Administration Office will co-chair the PSCs. UN-Habitat will ensure that the executing entities, PMC and PSC are fully trained on the 15 Environmental and Social Principles of the Adaptation Fund, the Environmental and Social Management Plan and know their responsibilities (Part III. Section C). These stakeholders will also be fully briefed on monitoring and evaluation methods further described in Part III. Section D. Local steering committees are important because of the Cambodia's government's commitment to make local government more accountable to the people⁵³

Local Commune Committee will be based on the above process and support mechanisms, and will be led by communities where possible, with direct support from technical line departments at the Provincial and District level, and MoE/NCSD and NCDD, as executing entities. The local government will then provide further support for the sustainability of the project by, for example providing additional supporting infrastructure and linking the interventions with future planning.

⁵³ According to the IP3-III, sub-national government is responsible for SNAs stimulate local development, provide essential social and municipal services, and invest in small-scale infrastructure which makes a difference in people's lives. – p.2



B. Measures for financial and project risk management

The status of financial and project risks, including those measures required to avoid, minimize, or mitigate these risks, will be monitored throughout the project (as discussed in Section D: arrangements for monitoring, reporting and evaluation)

Table 20: Financial and project management risks, significance of risks and measures to manage/mitigate risks.

	Category and risk	Rating: Impact/ Probabil- ity 1: Low 5: High	Management/mitigation Measure
1.	Environmental/so- cial: Current climate and seasonal variability and/or hazard events result in in- frastructure con- struction delays or undermine confi- dence in adaptation measures by local communities	Impact: 3 Prob: 2	 Current climatic variability will be taken into account in the planning and execution of project activities and especially into project Component 3: where possible, infrastructure will be mainly constructed in the dry season/non-cyclone season Criteria for the selection of infrastructure projects at the community level will provide incentives for communities to cooperate towards long-term resilience because they are based on the outcomes of the climate change vulnerability and disaster risk assessments which looks especially at long-term trends and impacts.
2.	Institutional: Loss of government support (at all lev- els) for the project (activities and out- puts) may result in lack of prioritization of AF project activi- ties.	Impact: 4 Prob: 1	 Establishment of a project management committee and the overall participatory and inclusive project design will improve national, municipal and beneficiary level ownership throughout and thus enhance government support for project implementation. UN-Habitat will enter into legal agreements (MoUs and AoCs) to ensure implementing entities will deliver project activities and outputs. UN-Habitat will facilitate planning processes to deliver these outputs at all levels of government and in communities. Government staff working on climate change, environment, disaster management, land use and housing will be strongly networked into the project (e.g. involvement assessments and plan development). National Elections will be held in 2018. Whilst the project has buy in at the political level, it is well anchored within the bureaucracy. Furthermore, AoCs and MoUs will be agreed for the entire project period
3.	Institutional: Capacity con- straints of local in- stitutions may limit the effective imple- mentation of inter- ventions	Impact: 2 Prob: 1	The project has a strong capacity building and training component, designed to promote effectiveness and sustainability at the community and municipal and na- tional government levels (Component 1, 2 and 4).
4.	Institutional/social Lack of commit- ment/buy-in from local communities may result in delay	Impact: 2 Prob: 1	 Community stakeholders have been consulted during the full project development phase to ensure their buy-in into the AF project. A bottom-up approach integrating the community into

	at intervention sites.		the AF project's implementation phases – including community contracting - will be followed.
			Community groups are formed and sustain throughout all stages of the project. Where possible, the commu- nity will have an active role through the 'People's Pro- cess' that ensures ownership of the project particularly through community participation in project implemen- tation and monitoring
5.	Institutional/social: Disagreement amongst stakehold- ers with regards to	Impact: 3 Prob: 2	Adaptation measures and intervention sites will be se- lected using an agreed upon list of criteria to ensure the selection is transparent and equitable.
	adaptation measures (infra- structure) and site selection.		There will be a participatory approach to the project, particularly with regards to climate change vulnerability and disaster risk assessments and related to this, the planning and selection of adaptation measures and site selection.
6.	Institutional: Communities may not adopt activities during or after the AF project, includ- ing infrastructure maintenance	Impact: 2 Prob: 2	The interventions will be institutionalized within the ministries, local government bodies and communities to ensure sustainable delivery of (post-) project implementation, including formal agreements for infrastructure user fees (where feasible) at the community level. Given the commitment of the national government and the policy alignment of this project, and the direct reporting mechanisms of local government to national government, it can be assumed that such agreements will be honoured.
			Officials of sub-national (provincial, district/municipality and commune/sangkat) level will support the partici- pating communities beyond the project implementation ensuring community level governance support as well as support for maintenance.
			Capacity building and training of communities will be undertaken to improve their awareness and under- standing of the benefits of the activities, including in- frastructure maintenance (Component 4).
			Communities will be involved in project implementa- tion/decision making throughout the project. In depth community consultations will take place at the start of the project/during the Vulnerability assessments (Component 1).
7.	Financial:	Impact: 3 Prob: 2	Financial management arrangements have been de- fined during project preparation.
	Complexity of finan- cial management and procurement. Certain administra- tive processes could delay the pro- ject execution or could lack integrity		UN-Habitat's control framework, under the financial rules and regulations of the UN secretariat, will ensure documentation of clearly defined roles and responsibil- ities for management, internal auditors, the governing body, other personnel and demonstrates prove of pay- ment / disbursement.
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		NCDD has an established mechanism to channel fund- ing to the sub-national level, established in the NCDD Administration and Financial Manual, and further elab-

			orated in the Commune/Sangkat Fund Project Imple- mentation Manual. These guidelines are reinforced by the Ministry of Economy and Finance Procurement Methods and Procedures of District/Municipality/Khan Administrations as per Sub-Decree 324 MEF-BRK dated April 1 st 2013. This strong legal and normative framework for sub-national financial management min- imizes risks arising from sub-national execution and procurement.
			Procurement will be done by the executing entities as agreed through Agreements of Cooperation. The pro- ject manager and the project team have a certifying role (for key procurements / expenditures). All expend- itures/costs/payments will be paid in USD. Hence, there is no risk of exchange rate fluctuation.
8.	Institutional:	Impact: 1 Prob: 2	The ownership by the Government has been high dur- ing the preparation phase which will reduce this risk
	Delays in project implementation, and particularly in the development of infrastructure inter- ventions	1100.2	 Partnerships with key government agencies and infra- structure and community resilience project planning will start early on – in tandem with the community ac- tion planning. Institutional arrangements will be put in place well before the finalization of community action plans.
			Lessons learned from other relevant projects (see Part II, Section F), done by MoE and NCDD are incorpo- rated in the project design.
9.	Institutional: A lack of coordina- tion between and within national gov- ernment Ministries and Departments.	Impact: 1, Prob:2	The Project Management Committee under the leader- ship of MoE/NCSD is to ensure coordination. Should UN-Habitat observe coordination problems, the agency will try to resolve issues directly with con- cerned parties and or the PMC.
10	 Legal Delays or barriers in gaining approval for infrastructure 	Impact 4 Prob 1	During the project preparation phase the proposed in- frastructure identified is located on state public land. This means that conflicts over land tenure are not en- visaged.
	and housing due to delays in the devel- opment process or due to land tenure issues.		The PMC and the LSC are tasked to ensure close col- laboration with the provincial line departments of Envi- ronment, Tourism, Public Work and Transport, Admin- istration and Sub-National NCDD Advisors.

C. Measures for the management of environmental and social risks

The proposed project seeks to fully align with the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy (ESP). For that purpose, environmental and social risks and impacts of the project and related activities need to be identified and addressed (so that the project does not unnecessarily harm the environment, public health or vulnerable communities). As described in Part II. Sections E and K, systematic screening and assessment has been done based on broad consultation with national and local government

stakeholders, a wide range of other concerned stakeholders and the target communities. The project design has benefitted from this process.

To ensure that remaining risks are well managed the project management and governance (Part III. Section A), Monitoring and Evaluation (Part III. Section D) fully take the management of environmental and social risks into account. In addition, an Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP)⁵⁴ will be put in place to ensure full compliance with the Adaptation Fund's ESP.

The ESMP, developed for this project, and detailed in Annex 4, identifies measures and actions that reduce potentially adverse environmental and social impacts to acceptable levels. The plan includes compensatory measures, if applicable. Specifically, the ESMP:

- (i) Identifies and summarizes all anticipated adverse environmental and social impacts in line with the Adaptation Fund's ESP principles;
- Describes mitigation measures, both from the perspective of mitigating risks at each activity and from the perspective of upholding all ESP principles;
- Describes a process which supports the screening and assessment of all project activities and the conditions under which screening and mitigation action is required;
- (iv) Clearly assigns responsibilities for screening, assessment, mitigation actions and, approval and monitoring;
- (v) Takes into account, and is consistent with, other technical standards required for the project in particular those that relate to national law.

A detailed environmental and social assessment <u>haswill been</u> conducted as part of the <u>project formulation</u>e_omprehensive climate change vulnerability and disaster risk assessments in the target provinces and their communes.__(these assessments will themselves be approved for their compliance with the 15 ESP Principles). The reasoning for this is that the assessment will be much more comprehensive/detailed, including the involvement of vulnerable and marginalized groups, women, youth, elderly, etc., in all target communities, as could be done in the proposal development phase.

Based on this information (i.e. community and climate change adaptation criteria) and the assessment of environmental and social risks in eachper intended sub-project identified in the catalogue of sub-projects, communities and local government officials will be asked to rate activities during the action planning process as part of the multicriteria analysis. This is the essence of the execution of component 1, where the selection and design of sub-projects will be based on a comprehensive/ detailed information and inputs derived from a planning approach where all relevant stakeholders will be involved, including communities and vulnerable and marginalized groups. In this way, all risk can be captured, and the design will be appropriate for the target communities and groups and involvement will strengthen maintenance options and

⁵⁴ Adaptation Fund Environmental and Social Policy, paragraph 27, Page 7.

sustainability. For the activities under Component 1, but also for all other activities; those under Components 2, 3 and 4, the ESP will be upheld by ensuring that:

- All MoUs and Agreements of Cooperation with Executing Entities will include detailed reference to the ESMP and in particular the 15 ESP Principles.
- (ii) The ToR of Committees and Advisory Groups, project personnel and focal points will include detailed reference to the ESMP and in particular the 15 ESP Principles.
- (iii) All key Executing Entity Partners will receive training / capacity development to understand the 15 Principles, the ESMP and in particular their responsibilities. This will include members of the Project Management Committee, the Local Steering Committees and the Communities.
- (iv) A Monitoring and Evaluation Framework will be developed by the project management team and presented for approval to the Project Management Committee.
- (v) All project activities will be screened against the 15 environmental and social risks. This will be done in spite of any previous screening that may have already been done during the project design phase. In addition to upholding the ESP of the Adaptation Fund and to familiarize all project stakeholders with the 15 ESP principles, this will also ensure that all stakeholders fully take ownership of the environmental and social safeguards procedures of the project and that any activity that may have been altered or not yet assessed in detail are captured.
- (vi) A grievance mechanism is also part of the plan. This will allow any affected stakeholder to raise concerns, anonymously if they wish, to the community leaders the local steering committee, the project team or the PMC. Modalities for raising grievances will include a postal address to which community members can write in any language and an email address on the project's website and a confidential telephone number. In addition to the grievance mechanism, local staff will be trained to have an 'open-door' policy with communities, so that communities can discuss any aspect of the project at any time. This less formal mechanism will also enable project staff to listen to communities' concerns or ideas and promote them in the implementation of the project. More formal consultations and workshops, held at local and national levels throughout the project implementation will also serve as a means for stakeholders to raise concerns or suggests with the project's implementation.

Annex 4 provides details on this process and the tools that will ensure participation, assign responsibilities for risk screening and assessment, mitigation measures and monitoring and grievance mechanisms.

D. Arrangements for monitoring, reporting and evaluation

The AF project will comply with formal guidelines, protocols and toolkits issued by the AF, UN-Habitat and the Royal Government of Cambodia. Annex 6 defines a more detailed Monitoring and Evaluation Framework, in which the Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) of progress in achieving project results will be based on targets and indicators established in the Project Results Framework (see also below). Besides that, the status of identified environmental and social risks, UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguard System and the ESMP, including those measures required to avoid, minimize, or mitigate environmental and social risks, will be monitored throughout the project (at the activity level and through annual project performance, mid-term and terminal reports). The same applies to financial and project management risks and mitigation measures. Annex 6 further reflects the AoC-partner in charge monitoring activities and ensuring milestones.

Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

UN-Habitat will ensure the timeliness and quality of project implementation. The oversight and general guidance of the project will be provided by the Project Management Committee. UN-Habitat will ensure that the project team and the key national executing partners are fully briefed on the M&E requirements.

Activities for Component 3 will be detailed through consultation with the local stakeholders through their Sub-National NCDD Advisors and with the participation of the local authorities (in line departments and commune councils). Local indicators and targets will be reviewed and fine-tuned during the planning workshop. This exercise will facilitate participatory, results-based monitoring by the communes themselves.

Activities related to other components will be planned and monitored by the Project Team and approved by the Project Management Committee.

Audit of the project's financial management will follow UN finance regulations and rules and applicable audit policies.

The M&E plan will be implemented as proposed in the table 21 below.

Type of M&E Ac- tivities	Responsible Parties	Time Frame	Reporting
Inception Workshop and Report	National Team Leader Project Team Project Management Commit- tee UN-Habitat ROAP	Workshop: within first two months of start Report: within first quarter	Inception Report
Periodic status/ pro- gress reports	National Team Leader Project Team	Quarterly	Quarterly Report
<u>Mid-Term and</u> Final Evaluation	National Team Leader Project Team UN-Habitat ROAP Project Management Commit- tee External Consultants	Mid-Term: At least 3 month before the end of the first half of the implementation phase Final: At least three	<u>Mid-Term and</u> Fi- nal Evaluation Report

		months before the end of project imple- mentation	
Project Terminal Re- port	National Team Leader Project Team UN-Habitat ROAP Local consultant	At least three months before the end of the project	Terminal Report
Audit	UN-Habitat ROAP National Team Leader Project Team	As per UN-Habitat re- gulations	Audit Reports
Community consulta- tions / workshops / training	National Team Leader Project Team	Within one week after each event	Documentation
Visits to field sites	UN-Habitat ROAP Project Management Com- mittee Government representatives	Every six months	Field Report

For the M&E budget and a breakdown of how implementing entity fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&E function, please see the detailed budget (Part III, Section G). For related data, targets and indicators, please see the project proposal results framework (Part III, Section E).

Participatory monitoring mechanisms (involving different levels of government and communes) will be put in place for the collection and recording of data to support the M&E of indicators. The vulnerability assessments and action planning processes will generate data that will be collected and presented in a geo-tagged database. Whilst this process is to inform programming, it also provides a solid baseline for monitoring. Provincial and commune data collection will further be entered into this database and as such strengthen monitoring. The communes will be involved in data collection and in community consultations in data analysis. This will allow beneficiary communes to work directly with the project's M&E mechanism, to highlight issues in project delivery and to strengthen adaptation benefits, including in replication and sustaining the project's gains. Data collected will include marginalized groups (e.g. women) aggregated (if possible). Project site visits will be jointly conducted based on an agreed schedule to assess project progress first hand.

The project team will develop an **M&E Plan** during the project's inception phase, which will be distributed and presented to all stakeholders during the initial workshop. The emphasis of the M&E plan will be on (participatory) outcome/result monitoring, project risks (financial & project management risks and environmental social safeguard risks) and learning and sustainability of the project. Periodic monitoring will be conducted through visits to the intervention sites.

UN-Habitat will ensure that the project team and the key national executing partners are fully briefed on the M&E requirements to ensure that baseline and progress data is fully collected and that a connection between the Knowledge Management component and M&E is established. The Agreements of Cooperation will also reflect these.

NCDD will subsequently provide clear guidance to all executing partners, in particular

the local governments on how to support the M&E plan. The Agreements of Cooperation will also reflect these roles.

An Annual Project Performance Review (PPR) will be prepared to monitor progress made since the project's start and in particular for the previous reporting period. The PPR includes, but is not limited to, reporting on the following:

- Progress on the project's objective and outcomes each with indicators, baseline data and end of project targets (cumulative);
- Project outputs delivered per project outcome (annual);
- Lessons learned/good practice;
- □ Annual Work Plan and expenditure;
- □ Annual management;
- Environmental and social risks (i.e. status of implementation of ESMP, including those measures required to avoid, minimize, or mitigate environmental and social risks. The reports shall also include, if necessary, a description of any corrective actions that are deemed necessary;
- Project financial and management risks (same as per above).

An independent <u>Mid-Term after 2 years of inception and</u> Terminal Evaluation will take place as the last activity before the operational closure of the project in accordance with Adaptation Fund guidance and following UN-Habitat practices based on the OECD DAC framework. The Mid-Term and <u>T</u>the terminal evaluation will focus on the delivery of the project's results, as initially planned and then reflected in the M&E framework, including the implementation environmental and social mitigation measures (and as corrected after the Mid-Term Evaluation, if any such correction took place). The mid-term and terminal evaluation will assess the impact and sustainability of results, including their contribution to capacity development and the achievement of adaptation benefits.

The reports that will be prepared specifically in the context of the M&E plan are:

- (i) the M&E plan,
- (ii) the project inception report,
- (iii) the Annual-, and terminal project performance reports and
- (iv) the technical reports.

For the M&E budget and a breakdown of how implementing entity fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&E function, please see the detailed budget (Part III. Section G). For related data, targets and indicators, please see the project proposal results framework (Part III, Section E).

E. Project proposal results framework

	Table 22: Project results framework with indicate	ors, their baseline, targets, risks	s & assumptions and verification means.
--	---	-------------------------------------	---

Expected Result	Indicators	Baseline data	Targets	Risks & assump- tions	Data collection method	Fre- quency	Re- spon- sibility								
Project objective: Enhance the particularly in areas where eco	Project objective: Enhance the climate and disaster resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions.														
Project component 1: Comp	rehensive vulnerability / b	aseline assessment a	nd action plans completed	in the target commune	es and provinces										
Outcome 1 Institutional capacity in- creased at the provincial and commune level to re- duce vulnerability of target communities through vul- nerability and disaster risk reduction assessments, ac- tion planning and training that will enable adaptation actions in infrastructure, natural assets and liveli- hoods (including eco-tour- ism) (Aligned with AF out- come 2)	No. and type of tar- geted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks (Aligned with AF indi- cator 2.1.) N	0 provinces and communes devel- oped vulnerability and disaster risk re- duction assess- ments ⁵⁵ , action planning and train- ing that will enable adaptation action for the target com- munity.	2 provinces and 15 communes have gener- ated assessments and plans to address cli- mate change and risk reduction vulnerability (AF indicator 2.1)	R – General plan- ning capacity limita- tions prevent the in- tegration of climate change concerns A – Core team en- sures awareness on assessing sys- tems, including in- frastructure and natural assets, and planning for adap- tation	Review of all provincial and commune level plans and ac- tions	Base- line, and end	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities								
Output 1.1. Strengthened capacity at provincial and commune level to conduct vulnerability assessment and climate change action plans in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the	No. and type of train- ings conducted to strengthen capacity on vulnerability assess- ments and climate change action plan- ning on commune and provincial level	0 No training con- ducted to strengthen capacity on vulnerability as- sessments and cli- mate change action	2 trainings on provincial and 15 trainings on commune level con- ducted	 R – Trained officials retire or leave the provincial/com- mune level govern- ment. A – core of officials from sub-national 	I raining reports	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities								

⁵⁵ Vulnerability assessments have been produced for Sihanoukville municipality (UN-Habitat, 2011) and Prey Nob District (UNEP, 2015), but none target the provinces as a whole or the commune level

ESMP. Output 1.2. Integrated climate change vulnerability and disaster risk reduction assessments (incl. maps) to inform evi- dence basis action panning in provincial and commune level in target areas and the protected marine Park in Koh Rong, including mar- ginalized groups (e.g. women) disaggregated, where possible.	(Aligned with AF Indi- cator 2.1.1) Number of climate change vulnerability and disaster risk re- duction assessments produced (AF indicator 2.1)	planning on com- mune and provin- cial level 1 VA (from 2011) in Sihanoukville City, and 1 in Prey Nob district. No VA for Kep	2 Provinces (including 15 communes have de- veloped vulnerability assessments	government can be retained, trained throughout the pro- ject and will con- tinue to implement beyond the life of the project R – Limited human resource capacity and high workloads delay vulnerability assessment A – Output 1.1. as a training module to enhance expertise	Collect and re- view documen- tation from province and commune au- thorities	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities
Output 1.3. Provincial and commune level climate change adap- tation plans developed offi- cially approved to ensure most appropriate, cost-ef- fective and environmental and social concrete adapta- tion actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adapta- tion Fund and the ESMP.	No of provincial and commune level climate change adaptation plans completed iden- tifying the most cost- effective and environ- mental and social ac- tions, actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP. This includes, as ap- propriate, actions on water infrastructure and terrestrial and ma- rine natural assets,	0 action plans devel- oped or approved at provincial and commune level	2 provincial 15 commune level cli- mate change adapta- tion action plans	R – Limited capac- ity on commune level to undertake complex planning A – Support by Im- plementing Entity can be provided to plan	Review of com- pleted plans	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities

	use and management of protective infrastruc- ture, livelihoods, needs to enhance eco- tourism and gender and inclusivity consid- erations These action plans will include a prioritized short list of actions. (AF Indicator 3.1.1)											
Activities Milestones												
 1.1.1 Conduct province/comm climate change adaptation pla ESMP. 1.2.1 Conduct vulnerability as able people and places, and p adaptation potential of terrestint 1.3.1 Develop province/comm -rescreening against the envire effective adaptation investmential 	nune wide trainings on v nning actions in line with sessments on provincial a provide an evidence basi- rial and marine eco-touris une wide climate change onmental and social man- nts.	duction assessment and Adaptation Fund and the t identify the most vulner- while also considering the ding cost-benefit analysis, h prioritise the most cost-	 Trainings on change actio Climate chan tion assessm sis action pai Climate chan month 10) Project Steen 6, 12) 	vulnerability asse n plans conducted ge vulnerability an ients (incl. maps) to nning (project mon ige adaptation plar ring Committee Me	essment and (project mo d disaster ri- b inform evic th 8) ns developed betting (proje	d climate nth 3) sk reduc- lence ba- d (project act month						
Project Component 2: Capa areas	city built to design, monito	or and manage infrastru	ucture and natural assets,	while also increasing c	apacity to plan for	replication i	n other					
Outcome 2 Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to design, monitor, manage and maintain cli- mate resilient community assets with maximum eco- nomic co-benefits including leveraging eco-tourism po-	Number of community, commune and provin- cial level training on capacity to plan, con- struct and maintain re- silient water and pro- tective infrastructure and natural assets en- hanced (in line with	0 trainings have been conducted at any level on de- signing, monitoring and maintaining cli- mate resilient infra- structure	45x community/com- mune-level trainings and two provincial level trainings 20% of total beneficiar- ies will be trained 200 government offi- cials trained	R – No consistency in quality of train- ings. A – Focal point on community, com- mune and provin- cial level can as-	Post-training survey	Base- line, mid-term and end	Execut- ing en- tities					

social co-benefits with par- ticular emphasis on women, youth, older people and other people in vulnerable situations	eco-tourism enhance- ment potential) (AF indicator 3.)			sure quality of train- ing			
Output 2.1. Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to design/ plan/ rehabil- itate infrastructure and to build protective natural as- sets. (Aligned with AF out- put 2.2.)	No of beneficiaries covered by adequate climate change adap- tation and risk-reduc- tion systems identified in the action plans de- veloped under 1.3.	0 people of commu- nity level covered by adequate risk- reduction systems	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 gov- ernment officials from the provincial and com- mune level trained on climate change adapta- tion and risk reduction systems identified in the action plans devel- oped under 1.3.	R – Limited basic knowledge of com- munities means technical training ineffective A – Focal point on community level can assure quality of trainings, men- toring, and that training has the ap- propriate technical content	Post-training survey	Base- line, mid-term and end	Execut- ing en- tities and UN- Habitat
Output 2.2. Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to monitor and manage community infrastructure and to build protective natu- ral assets designed under 2.1.	No. of staff on com- mune level trained to respond to, and miti- gate impacts of, cli- mate-related events assessed under 1.2	0 staff on commune level have been trained to monitor and manage com- munity infrastruc- ture	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 gov- ernment officials from the provincial and com- mune level trained on climate change adapta- tion and risk reduction systems identified in the action plans devel- oped under 1.3.	R - Provincial staff workloads diminish their ability to at- tend training A – Focal point on commune level can assure quality of trainings and men- toring	Post-training survey	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities
Output 2.3. Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to maintain community infrastructure and to build	No. of staff on provin- cial level trained to re- spond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-re- lated events assessed under 1.2	0 staff on provincial level have been trained	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 gov- ernment officials from the provincial and com- mune level trained on	 R – No consistency in quality of train- ings. A – Focal point on provincial level can 	Post-training survey	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities

1.2								
	protective natural assets designed under 2.1.			climate change adapta- tion and risk reduction systems identified in the action plans devel-	assure quality of trainings and men- toring			
				oped under 1.3.				
Activities 2.1.1. Training to design/ plan/ rehabilitate infrastructure and to build protective natural assets as- sessed under 1.2 and 2.2.1. Training to monitor and manage community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1. 2.3.1. Training to maintain community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1. 2.3.2. Produce a guideline/manual covering all the training elements in Component 2			Milestones Training to d infrastructure month 12) Design, plan infrastructure month 15) Training to r structure ance ject month 2 ⁻ Training to m build protecti Guideline pro nents (projec Assessments 24 (50%), 36 Households a 24-40%, 36-8	lesign, plan and re and protective na and rehabilitation and protective n nonitor and mana to build protective haintain community ve natural assets (oduced covering al to toduced covering al to conducted / aware (100%)) and communities tr 30%, 48-100%)	ehabilitation atural assets strategy for atural asse ge commur antural asse infrastructu project mon l the training eness (proje rained (proje	plans of s (project physical t (project aity infra- sets (pro- re and to th 21) g compo- ect month ect month		
	Floject component 5. Resin		cale protective and bas					
	Outcome 3 At least 84,586 people have access to protective natural and social assets and /or benefit from physical infra- structure to reduce the cli- mate vulnerability. (AF out- come 4 and 5)	No of people that ben- efit from climate change resilient infra- structure, access to natural assets and im- proved livelihood op- tions to withstand con- ditions resulting from climate variability and change	84,586 people have been assessed as vulnerable to cli- mate change im- pacts	100% of the vulnerable population (84,586 people) of which at least 50 percent women have access to resilient infra- structure and/or protec- tive natural assets	R – Delay in imple- menting infrastruc- ture A – Agreement of Cooperation will stipulate timeframe for implementing in- frastructure	Field site in- spections photo documentation and data base and geo-tacked community monitoring re- port	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat

Output 3.1. Protective natural and so- cial assets and /or physical infrastructure strength- ened/built to reduce climate vulnerability in line with the action plans under Output 1.3 and designs under Out- put 2.1.	No. of physical assets strengthened or con- structed to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change (by asset types) (AF indicator 4.1.2.) No. and type of protec- tive natural resource assets created, main- tained or improved to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change (by type of assets) (AF indicator 5.1.)	sical assets ned or con- withstand3 protective infra- structures in Kep Province, 8 protec- tive infrastructures in Preah SihanoukAt least 20 pieces of in- frastructure and 500 re- silient houses con- structed/rehabilitated to protect people and sup- port resiliencetre variability ge (by assetin Preah Sihanoukprotective infrastructures in Preah SihanoukAt least 20 pieces of in- frastructure and 500 re- silient houses con- structed/rehabilitated to protect people and sup- port resiliencetre of protec- al resource tated, main- mproved to conditions rom climate and change f assets) (AFThe infrastructure inter- ventions can include protective dams, ca- nals, water infrastruc- ture, weather, broad- cast and early warning infrastructure and pro- tective natural assets. (for further information see the catalogue of in- tended sub-projects)		R – Divergent out- comes of prioritized intervention be- tween Commune Investment Plan and community needs A – Assessment and action planning conducted under component 1 and joint provincial and community consul- tation will identify the most appropri- ate intervention	Assessment report of the vul- nerable assets	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat
3.1.1. Constructing and rehat and 14 of the 15 communes ⁵⁰	bilitating infrastructure and 6 that the project will imple	 Infrastructure oped (projec 36-80%, 48- 	e/natural assets c t month 12 (2 pilot 100%)	onstructed projects), 2	/ devel- 4 – 30%,		
Project component 4: Know	ledge and awareness enh	nanced and sustainabil	ity ensured	•	· · ·		
Outcome 4 Project implementation is fully transparent and na- tional capacity to pilot cli- mate change adaptation projects and establish ca- pacity for climate adaptive policy making strengthened.	All stakeholders are fully informed about a transparent project im- plementation process	84,586 people in the target area have experienced climate change re- lated hazard but are unaware of the infrastructure and protective natural assets require to protect them	100% of project benefi- ciaries (84,586 people) can identify climate change hazards and understand how infra- structure and protective natural assets benefit them	 R – Narrow dissemination of project activities A – Government supports roll out 	Media coverage of project online, print and broadcasted through TV and radio. Stakeholder group meetings and workshops	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities

⁵⁶ There will be no concrete interventions funded directly in Koh Rong

All stakeholders are in- formed of activities, results and best practice and have access to these for replica- tion.							
Output 4.1. Project activities, results and best practice regarding community resilience to cli- mate change are gener- ated, captured and dissemi- nated to beneficiaries, pol- icy makers and stakehold- ers and the public in gen- eral.	No of project activities and results are cap- tured and dissemi- nated through appro- priate information for the beneficiaries, part- ners and stakeholders and the public in gen- eral	0 regular dissemi- nation of resilience building activities	At least daily broad- casts of weather infor- mation as well as at least 1 planning guide- line, web presence, 3 case studies and 10 newspaper articles pro- duced	 R – Narrow dissemination of project activities A – Government supports roll out 	Online and in print	Regular	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities
Output 4.2. Capacity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation enhanced	No of national staff with increased capac- ity to replicate the pro- ject's objective in-line with NDC implementa- tion increased.	NCDD and MoE has <10 staff with capacity to replicate	30 staff have the ca- pacity to replicate the project, and at least 1 further funding pro- posal developed	R – Other donors withdraw support for MoE/NCDD A – There will be a conducive eco- nomic and financial climate to enable replication and up- scaling	Policy briefs/recom- mendations for further plans and actions at national and sub-national level; Training reports, pro- posals	UN- Habitat and Execut- ing en- tities	
Activities 4.1.1. Develop guidelines, we tion and benefits. 4.2.1. Capacity training to rep 4.2.2. Developing further func- benefits	b presence, case studies licate the project's objecti ling proposals to support t	 Milestones Web presence established (project month 12) Advocacy material produced (regularly - projmonths 12, 24, 36, 48) Training on capacity to replicate project's object in line with NDC implementation – project month. 					

Table 23: Activities and milestones

Activity		Ye	ear 1		Year 2		Y		Yea	/ear 3		Year 4		ļ		
1.1.1 Conduct province/commune wide trainings on vulnerability and risk reduction assessment and climate change adaptation planning actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.	Х															
1.2.1 Conduct vulnerability assessments on provincial and commune level that iden- tify the most vulnerable people and places, and provide an evidence basis for action planning, while also considering the adaptation potential of eco-tourism		Х														
1.3.1 Develop province/commune wide climate change adaptation plans, including cost-benefit analysis, -rescreening against the environmental and social management plan and which prioritise the most cost-effective adaptation investments.				Х												
2.1.1 Training to design/ plan/ rehabilitate infrastructure and to build protective natural assets assessed under 1.2				Х												
2.2.1. Training to monitor and manage community infrastructure and to build protec- tive natural assets designed under 2.1.							Х									
2.3.1. Training to maintain community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1.							Х									
2.3.2. Produce a guideline/manual covering all the training elements in Component 2								Х								
3.1.1. Constructing and rehabilitating infrastructure and protective natural assets in the two provinces and 14 of the 15 communes ⁵⁷ that the project will implement in				Х				Х				Х				Х
4.1.1 Develop guidelines, web presence, case studies and articles detailing the pro- ject's implementation and benefits				Х				Х				Х				Х
4.2.1. Capacity training to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation '				Х				Х				Х				Х
4.2.2. Developing further funding proposals to support the replication and upscaling of the project's benefits				Х				Х				Х				Х

⁵⁷ There will be no concrete interventions funded directly in Koh Rong

F. Project alignment with the Adaptation Fund results framework

Table 24: Project alignment with the Adaptation Fund results framework						
Project Out-	Project Outcome	Fund Outcome	Fund Outcome	Grant		
come	cator		Indicator	Amount		
Outcome 1	No. and turns of tax	Outcome 2: De	2.4 Delevent	(USD)		
Institutional capac-	deted institutions	duced exposure at	threat and hazard	(12 %)		
ity increased at the	with increased ca-	national level to cli-	information gener-	(12 /0)		
provincial and	nacity to minimize	mate-related haz-	ated and dissemi-			
commune level to	exposure to cli-	ards and threats	nated to stake-			
reduce vulnerability	mate variability		holders on a			
of target communi-	risks		timely basis			
ties through vulner-						
ability and disaster						
risk reduction as-						
sessments, action						
planning and train-						
ing that will enable						
in infrastructure						
natural assets and						
livelihoods (includ-						
ing eco-tourism)						
Outcome 2	Number of commu-	Outcome 3	2.1. No and type of	500,000		
Community, com-	nity, commune and	Strengthened	targeted institutions	(12%)		
mune and provin-	provincial level	awareness and	with increased ca-			
cial level capacity	training on capacity	ownership of ad-	pacity to minimize			
built to design,	to plan, construct	aptation and cli-	exposure to climate			
monitor, manage	and maintain resili-	mate risk reduc-	variability risks			
and maintain cil-	ent water and pro-	tion processes at				
munity assets with	ture and natural as-	IUCAI IEVEI				
maximum eco-	sets enhanced (in					
nomic co-benefits	line with eco-tour-					
including leverag-	ism enhancement					
ing eco-tourism po-	potential)					
tential, environ-						
mental and social						
co-benefits with						
particular empha-						
sis on women,						
youth, older people						
vulnerable situa-						
tions						
Outcome 3	No of people that	Outcome 4:	4.2. Physical infra-	3,000,000		
At least 84,586	benefit from cli-	Increased adap-	structure improved	(72%)		
people have ac-	mate change resili-	tive capacity within	to withstand climate			
cess to protective	ent infrastructure,	relevant develop-	change and varia-			
natural and social	access to natural	ment and natural	bility-induced stress			
assets and /or ben-	assets and im-	resource sectors				
infra-structure to	proved livelinood					
reduce the climate	stand conditions					
vulnerability	resulting from cli-					
. an or ability.	mate variability and					
	change					

118

	No of people that benefit from cli- mate change resili- ent infrastructure, access to natural assets and im- proved livelihood options to with-	Outcome 5: Increased ecosys- tem resilience in response to cli- mate change and variability-induced stress	5.1. Ecosystem ser- vices and natural assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress	
	stand conditions resulting from cli- mate variability and change	Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened live- lihoods & sources of income for vul- nerable people in target areas.	6.2. Percentage of targeted population with sustained climate- resilient livelihoods	
Outcome 4 Project implemen- tation is fully trans- parent and national capacity to pilot cli- mate change adap- tation projects and establish capacity for climate adap- tive policy making strengthened. All stakeholders are informed of activi- ties. results and	All stakeholders are fully informed about a transparent project implemen- tation process	Outcome 2: Strengthened in- stitutional capacity to reduce risks as- sociated with cli- mate-induced so- cioeconomics and environmental losses	2.1. No. and type of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize expo- sure to climate variability risks	170,512 (4 %)
best practice and have access to these for replica- tion.				
best practice and have access to these for replica- tion. Project Output	Project Output Indicator	Fund Output	Fund Output In- dicator	Grant Amount (USD)
best practice and have access to these for replica- tion. Project Output Strengthened ca- pacity at provincial and commune level to conduct vulnerability as- sessment and cli- mate change ac- tion plans in line with the 15 Princi- ples of the Adapta- tion Fund and the ESMP.	Project Output Indicator No. and type of trainings conducted to strengthen ca- pacity on vulnera- bility assessments and climate change action planning on commune and pro- vincial level	Fund Output Output 2.1 Risk and vulnera- bility assessments conducted and up- dated at a national level	Fund Output In- dicator 2.1.1. No. and type of projects that con- duct and update risk and vulnerabil- ity assessments	Grant Amount (USD) 150,000
level in target ar- eas including mar- ginalized groups (e.g. women) dis- aggregated, where possible.				
---	---	--	---	---------
Output 1.3. Provincial and commune level cli- mate change adap- tation plans devel- oped officially ap- proved to ensure most appropriate, cost-effective and environmental and social concrete ad- aptation actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.	No of provincial and commune level climate change ad- aptation plans completed identify- ing the most cost- effective and envi- ronmental and so- cial actions, actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP. This includes, as appropriate, ac- tions on water in- frastructure and natural assets, use and management of protective infra- structure, liveli- hoods, needs to enhance eco-tour- ism and gender and inclusivity con- siderations These action plans will include a priori- tized short list of actions.	Output 3: Vulnerable physi- cal, natural and social assets strengthened in response to cli- mate change im- pacts, including variability	3.1.1 No of physical assets strength- ened or constructed to withstand condi- tions resulting from climate variability and change (by as- set types)	150,000
Output 2.1. Community, com- mune and provin- cial level capacity built to design/ plan/ rehabilitate infrastructure and to build protective natural assets	No of beneficiaries covered by ade- quate climate change adaptation and risk-reduction systems identified in the action plans developed under 1.3.	Output 2.2: Targeted popula- tion groups cov- ered by adequate risk reduction sys- tems	2.1.2 Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate im- pacts of, climate-re- lated events from targeted institutions increased	150,000
Output 2.2. Community, com- mune and provin- cial level capacity built to monitor and manage commu- nity infrastructure and to build protec- tive natural assets designed under 2.1.	No. of staff on commune level trained to respond to, and mitigate im- pacts of, climate- related events as- sessed under 1.2	Output 2.1. Risk and vulnera- bility assessments conducted and up- dated at a national level	2.1.1. No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate- related events	150,000

Output 2.3. Community, com- mune and provin- cial level capacity built to maintain community infra- structure and to build protective natural assets de- signed under 2.1.	No. of staff on pro- vincial level trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events assessed under 1.2	Output 2.2. Tar- geted population groups covered by adequate risk re- duction systems	2.1.2. Capacity of staff to respond to, and mitigate im- pacts of, climate-re- lated events from target institutions in- creased	200,000
Output 3.1. Protective natural and social assets and /or physical in- frastructure strengthened/built to reduce climate vulnerability in line with the action plans under Output 1.3 and designs under Output 2.1.	No of people that benefit from cli- mate change resili- ent infrastructure and improved liveli- hood options to withstand condi- tions resulting from climate variability and change (by type of assets)	Output 4: Vulnerable physi- cal, natural, and social assets strengthened in response to cli- mate change im- pacts, including variability	4.1.2. No. of physi- cal assets strength- ened or constructed to with- stand conditions re- sulting from climate variability and change (by as- set types)	3,000,000
	No. and type of protective natural resource assets created, main- tained or improved to withstand condi- tions resulting from climate variability and change (by type of assets)	Output 5: Vulnerable physi- cal, natural, and social assets strengthened in response to cli- mate change im- pacts, including variability	5.1. No. and type of natural resource as- sets created, main- tained or improved to withstand condi- tions resulting from climate variability and change (by type of assets)	
Output 4.1. Project activities, results and best practice regarding community resili- ence to climate change are gener- ated, captured and disseminated to beneficiaries, pol- icy makers and stakeholders and the public in gen- eral.	No of project activi- ties and results are captured and dis- seminated through appropriate infor- mation for the ben- eficiaries, partners and stakeholders and the public in general	Output 3 Targeted popula- tion groups partici- pating in adapta- tion and risk re- duction awareness activities	3.1.1. No and type of risk outlets in the local press and me- dia that have cov- ered the topic.	102,307
Output 4.2. Capacity to repli- cate the project's objective in-line with NDC imple- mentation en- hanced	No of national staff with increased ca- pacity to replicate the project's objec- tive in-line with NDC implementa- tion increased.	Output 7: Im- proved integration of climate-resili- ence strategies into country devel- opment plans	7.1. No, type and sector of policies in- troduced or ad- justed to address climate change risks	68,205

Table 25: Indicative Core Indicator Targets

Adaptation Fund Core Indicators	Indicative	Comments			
	Targets				
1 Number of Beneficiaries	84,586	This only measures benefi-			
		claries of the direct adapta-			
	-	tion actions (Component 3)			
2. Early Warning Systems	2	There is no local early			
		warning system in place,			
		but local people receive			
		Warming of nazards from			
		Ministry of Water Re-			
		sources and Meteorology			
		curborition			
2 Assets Produced Developed Improved or	20 infractrue	Appay E identifica a acta			
Strengthened	20 minastruc-	logue of intended sub-pro-			
Strengthened	luies	iocts which will be further			
	500 resilient	specified/adjusted during			
	houses	vulnerability assessment			
	1100303	and action planning in com-			
		ponent 1.			
4. Increased income, or avoided decrease in in-	8.917	Beneficiary households par-			
come	-,	ticipating in the project.			
		Community infrastructure is			
		expected to directly (con-			
		tracting) contribute to in-			
		come generation as well as			
		indirectly through improved			
		livelihood opportunities			
Natural Assets Protected or Rehabilitated	2	Mangrove forest at the			
		coastal adaptation site of			
		Angkaol commune in Kep			
		Province and the protected			
		area of Kampong Smach in-			
		volving 6 communes of			
		Prey Nob District.			
Methodology to apply: https://www.adaptation-fund.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/AF-Core-Indicator-Methodologies.pdf					

G. Detailed budget

Table 26: Budget overview

Pro- gramme compo- nent	Outputs	Activity	Total budget	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
prehensive vulnerability / baseline assessment and action s completed in the target communes and provinces	1.1. Strengthened capacity at pro- vincial and commune level to con- duct vulnerability assessment and climate change action plans in line with the 15 Principles of the Adap- tation Fund and the ESMP.	1.1.1. Conduct province/commune wide trainings on vulnerability and risk reduction assessment and climate change adaptation planning actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.	\$150,000	\$90,000	\$60,000	\$0	
	1.2. Integrated climate change vul- nerability and disaster risk reduc- tion assessments (including, maps) to inform evidence basis action panning in provincial and commune level in target areas in- cluding marginalized groups (e.g. women) disaggregated, where possible,	1.2.1. Conduct vulnerability assessments on provincial and commune level that identify the most vulnerable people and places, and provide an evidence basis for action planning, while also considering the adaptation potential of eco-tourism	\$200,000	\$150,000	\$50,000	\$0	
	1.3. Provincial and commune level climate change adaptation plans developed officially approved to ensure most appropriate, cost-ef- fective and environmental and so- cial concrete adaptation actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP,	1.3.1. Develop province/commune wide climate change adaptation plans, including cost-benefit analysis, -rescreening against the environmental and social management plan and which prioritise the most cost-effective adaptation investments,	\$150,000	\$90,000	\$60,000	\$0	
Con	Project component total		\$500,000	\$330,000	\$170,000	\$0	
and man- ssets, while for replica-	2.1. Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to design/ plan/ rehabilitate infra- structure and to build protective natural assets	2.1.1. Training to design/ plan/ rehabilitate infrastruc- ture and to build protective natural assets assessed un- der 1.2.	\$150,000	\$50,000	\$100,000	\$0	
pacity built to design, monitor e infrastructure and natural as o increasing capacity to plan i in other areas	2.2. Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to monitor and manage community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1.	2.2.1. Training to monitor and manage community in- frastructure and to build protective natural assets de- signed under 2.1.	\$150,000	\$30,000	\$100,000	\$20,000	
	2.3. Community, commune and pro- vincial level capacity built to maintain community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed un- der 2,1,	2.3.1. Training to maintain community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1. and2.3.2. Produce a guideline/manual covering all the training elements in Component 2.	\$200,000	\$30,000	\$120,000	\$50,000	
Ca age als	Project component total		\$500,000	\$110,000	\$320,000	\$70,000	

	Notes
\$0	A
\$0	В
\$0	С
\$0	
\$0	D
\$0	E
\$0	F
0	

ance built Ih small-scale tive and basic e interven-	3.1. Protective natural and social assets and /or physical infrastructure strengthened/built to reduce climate vulnerability in line with the action plans under Output $1_{2,7}3$ and designs under Output $2_{2,7}1_{7}$	3.1.1. Constructing and rehabilitating infrastructure and protective natural assets in the two provinces and 14 of the 15 communes that the project will implement in	\$3,000,000	\$50,000	\$600,000	\$2,100,000	\$250,000	G
Resilie throug protec servic tions	Project component total		\$3,000,000	\$50,000	\$600,000	\$2,100,000	\$250,000	
d awareness en- ustainability en-	4.1. Project activities, results and best practice regarding community resilience to climate change are generated, captured and dissemi- nated to beneficiaries, policy mak- ers and stakeholders and the pub- lic in general,	4.1.1. Develop guidelines, web presence, case studies and articles detailing the project's imple- mentation and benefits	\$102,307	\$30,692	\$30,692	\$30,692	\$10,231	Н
owledge ar iced and si	4.2. Capacity to replicate the pro- ject's objective in-line with NDC implementation enhanced	4.2.1. Capacity training to replicate the project's objective in line with NDC implementation and 4.2.2. Developing further funding proposals to support the replication and upscaling of the project's benefits	\$68,205	\$20,461	\$20,461	\$20,461	\$6,822	I
Knc han sure	Project component total		\$170,512	\$51,153	\$51,153	\$51,153	\$17,053	
	Project Activities Total		\$4,170,512	\$541,153	\$1,141,153	\$2,221,153	\$267,053	
		Project Team Leader (part-time)	\$228,900	\$32,700	\$65,400	\$65,400	\$65,400	J
		ROAP Technical Support (Regional Climate						
		Change Officer)	\$74,424	\$18,606	\$18,606	\$18,606	\$18,606	ĸ
Pro	gramme execution	Office staff and technical support	\$ <u>55,400</u> 37,800	\$ <u>9,8000</u> 5,400	\$ <u>15,200</u> 10,800	\$ <u>15,200</u> 10,800	\$ <u>15,200</u> 10,800	<u>K</u> L
		Office facilities	\$ <u>61,989</u> 37,441	\$ <u>13,200</u> 7,063	\$ <u>16,263</u> 10,126	\$ <u>16,263</u> 10,126	\$ <u>16,263</u> 10,126	<u>L</u> M
		Travel related to execution	\$ <u>65,112</u> 32,836	\$ <u>18,084</u> 10,015	\$ <u>15,676</u> 7,607	\$ <u>15,676</u> 7,607	\$ <u>15,6767,607</u>	MN
		Mid- and End-Term Evaluation	\$26,387		\$ 13,193		\$ <u>13,193</u> 26,387	<u>N</u> O
	Programme e	execution total	\$437,788	\$73,784	\$125,732 12,539	\$112,539	\$125,733 38,926	
	Total Prog	ramme Cost	\$4.608.300	\$614.937	\$1.2 53.692 66.885	\$2.333.692	\$392.786405.979	
		PSC 7 Percent (on total operational hudget includ	÷ 1,000,000	** ***	+ · ,,	+_,000,00_	+ <u></u>	
		ing components below) approx. 7,1 percent	\$325,010	\$32,511	\$65,023	\$178,813	\$48,663	OP
		Evaluation support cost (HQ)	\$10,000	\$1,500	\$2,800	\$3,900	\$1,800	PQ
Program	nme cycle management	Project Support Costs (ROAP) - Project Management Committee Meetings - IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. - Project supervision missions	\$56,690	\$7,190	\$11,500	\$30,000	\$8,000	RQ
	Programme cycle	management total	\$391,700	\$41,201	\$79,323	\$212,713	\$58,463	
	Amount of Final	ncing Requested	\$5,000,000	\$656,138	\$1,3 <u>46,208</u> 33,015	\$2,546,405	\$4 <u>51</u> 64,442 <u>229</u>	

Table 27: Bu	able 27: Budget Notes			
Project	Budget description and related output	Description of expenditures		
Nutcomo 1				
Outcome I,	Total: \$500,000	Main northern MaE/NCCD legal reversements		
A	Strengthened capacity at provincial and commune level to conduct vulnerability assessment and climate change action plans in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP,	Climate Change Assessment Expert (int.): Community Mobilizer, GIS support, enumerators Training Communication (data for tablets/GIS etc.) Laptops (2), printer Transport (travel/per diem) City consultations Production of maps, printing of assessments etc.	USD 50,000 USD 20,000 USD 25,000 USD 5,000 USD 5,000 USD 15,000 USD 20,000 USD 10,000	
В	Contractual services, materials & goods and travel Integrated climate change vulnerability and disaster risk reduction assessments (including maps) to inform evidence basis action panning in provincial and com- mune level in target areas including marginalized groups (e.g. women) aggre- gated, if possible,	Main partner MoE/NCSD Urban Planner/DRR expert (int): Training Planners Transport (travel/per diem)	USD 50,000 USD105,000 USD 20,000 USD 25,000	
C	Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods and travel Provincial and commune level climate change adaptation plans developed to ensure most appropriate, cost-effective and environmental and social concrete adaptation actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP,	Main partners MoE/NCSD, local governments Climate Change Planner: Local Planners, GIS support, enumerators Training Transport (travel/per diem) City consultations Production of maps, printing of plans etc.	USD 50,000 USD 20,000 USD 25,000 USD 20,000 USD 20,000 USD 15,000	
Outcome 2,	Total: \$500,000			
D	Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to design/ plan/ rehabil- itate infrastructure and to build protective natural assets, Targeted population of com-munity groups covered by adequate climate change adaptation and risk reduction systems identified in the action plans developed under 1.3. (Align with AF output 2.2)	Main partner NCDD Climate Change Planning/Assessment Expert: Capacity Development Expert Initial training Layout and printing	USD 50,000 USD 30,000 USD 50,000 USD 20,000	
E	Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to monitor and manage community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1 Strengthened capacity of target communes to respond rapidly to extreme weather events assessed under 1.2 (Align with AF output 2.1.)	Main partner MoE Climate Change Assessment Expert: Community Mobilizer, GIS support, enumerators Training Rental of drone, tablets Communication (data for tablets/GIS etc.) Transport (travel/per diem) Production of maps and documents	USD 50,000 USD 25,000 USD 50,000 USD 5,000 USD 5,000 USD 10,000 USD 5,000	
F	Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods Community, commune and provincial level capacity built to maintain community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1 Strengthened capacity of tar-get provinces to respond rap-idly to extreme weather events assessed under 1.2 (Align with AF output 2.1)	Main partners MoE Climate Change Planner: Local Planners, Community Mobilizers, Facilitators Transport (travel/per diem) Community consultations Production of maps, printing of plans etc.	USD 50,000 USD 30,000 USD 10,000 USD100,000 USD 10,000	
Outcome 3	Total: \$3,000,000			
G	Contractual services for the design and construction of infrastructure	Main partners NCDD and other Ministries, local government		



Adaptation options and indicative costing are presented in detail in Table 9 Based on vulnerability, resilience impact, need (poverty and other socio-economic indicators) intervent community and household level will be selected – as based on decisions of the Project Management C Outcome 4 Total: \$170,512 H Contractual services, materials & goods Project activities, results and best practice regarding community resilience to community and household level will be selected – as based on decisions of the Project Management C Contractual services, materials & goods Main partners MoE/NCSD Knowledge Management and Advocacy Expert USD 30,000 Project activities, results and best practice regarding community resilience to community advocacy Material dev & pinning USD 12,000 Community government dialogue mechanism USD 5,000 Settlements Summit USD 15,000 Videos, TV, radio USD 15,000 Settlements Summit USD 15,000 Computer / printer / pr		Protective natural and social assets and /or physical infra-structure strength- ened/built to reduce climate vulnerability in line with the action plans under 1.3	Implementation of concrete climate action in direct response to community action plans	USD3,000,000
Based on vulnerability, resilience impact, need (poverty and other socio-economic indicators) intervent community and household level will be selected – as based on decisions of the Project Management C the Local Steering Committees. Outcome 4 Total: \$170,512 Main partners MoE/NCSD H Contractual services, materials & goods Main partners MoE/NCSD Project activities, results and best practice regarding com-munity resilience to distribute change are generated, partner and stakeholders and the public in general. Main partners MoE/NCSD Knowledge Management and Advocacy Expert USD 30,000 State frame are generated, solutive and disseminated to beneficiantes, policy makers and stakeholders and the public in general. Main partners MoE/NCSD I Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods Main partners MoE/NCSD Knowledge Management and Advocacy Expert USD 30,000 I Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods Main partner NDCC Regional workshop (dimate change component) USD 34,100 Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 228,000 K ROAP-Technical Support (Cinnate Change Officer) ROAP Cinnate Change Officer USD 37,800 M Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (on total operational budget including components below) apply USD 32,335 Mon MAI			Adaptation options and indicative costing are presented in detail	l in Table 9
Outcome 4 Total: \$170,512 Main partners MoE/NCSD H Contractual services, materials & goods Project activities, results and best practice regarding com-munity resilience to climate change are generated, captured and disseminated to beneficiaries, pol- icy makers and stakeholders and the public in general, Main partners MoE/NCSD Knowledge Management and Advocacy Expert USD 30,000 Project and Community Advocacy Material dev & printing USD 12,000 Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods USD 15,000 Capacity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation en- hanced Main partner NDCC Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 34,100 Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 24,100 VL Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 37,401 VL Office support staff Office facilities (rental co-share and office appliances and supply) USD 32,800 MN Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 NO			Based on vulnerability, resilience impact, need (poverty and oth community and household level will be selected – as based on the Local Steering Committees,	er socio-economic indicators) intervent decisions of the Project Management C
H Contractual services, materials & goods Main partners MoE/NCSD Project activities, results and best practice regarding community resilience to climate change are generated, captured and disseminated to beneficiaries, policy makers and stakeholders and the public in general, Main partners MoE/NCSD Videos, TV, radio USD 12,000 Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods USD 15,000 Capacity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation enhanced Main partner NDCC Among and the complexity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation enhanced Main partner NDCC Project manager Project manager UN-Habitat J Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 34,100 Regional workshop (climate change component) USD 34,100 Regional advocacy material for local governments J Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 228,900 K ROAP-Technical-Support (Climate Change Officer) ROAP-Climate Change Officer and admin) USD 37,441 LM Office support staff Office support staff USD 32,37 Evaluation (external evaluation (external evaluation and of project) USD 32,236 MN Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,233 USD 37,441	Outcome 4	Total: \$170,512		
Settlements Summit USD 15,000 Videos, TV, radio USD 15,000 Facebook, Twitter, website USD 15,000 Computer / printer / communication USD 15,000 Computer / printer / communication USD 15,000 I Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods Main partner NDCC Capacity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation enhanced Main partner NDCC Programme execution, Total: \$437,788 Vergename (UN-Habitat) USD 34,100 J Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 228,900 K ROAP Technical Support (Climate Change Officer) ROAP Climate Change Officer USD 37,441 KL Office support staff Office support staff (in support of financial mgt. and admin) USD 32,836 LM Office facilities Office facilities (rental co-share and office appliances and supply) USD 32,836 NO Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 NO Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Evaluation support cost ³⁸ USD 32,5010 Per pox.7 percent Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Support Cost ³⁸ USD 10,000 Project Suppor	Η	Contractual services, materials & goods Project activities, results and best practice regarding com-munity resilience to climate change are generated, captured and disseminated to beneficiaries, pol- icy makers and stakeholders and the public in general,	Main partners MoE/NCSD Knowledge Management and Advocacy Expert Project and Community Advocacy Material dev & printing Community government dialogue mechanism	USD 30,000 USD 12,000 USD 5.000
I Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods Capacity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation en- hanced Main partner NDCC Programme execution, Total: \$437,788 Regional workshop (climate change component) USD 34,100 J Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 228,900 K ROAP-Technical Support (Climate Change Officer) ROAP-Climate Change Officer USD 74,424 KL Office support staff Office support staff (in support of financial mgt. and admin) USD 37,400 LM Office facilities Office facilities (rental co-share and office appliances and supply) USD 37,441 MN Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 Programme cycle management, Total: \$391,705 Foreicet Support Cost ⁵⁸ USD 325,010 Page Project Support Costs (ROAP) Evaluation support cost - Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Evaluation support cost (ROAP) Evaluation support cost - Evaluation of reports etc. Project supervision of reports etc. Project supervision of reports etc. Project supervision of reports etc. VSD 56,690			Settlements Summit Videos, TV, radio Facebook, Twitter, website Computer / printer / communication	USD 15,000 USD 18,000 USD 15,000 USD 15,000
Programme execution, Total: \$437,788 Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 228,900 K ROAP Technical Support (Climate Change Officer) ROAP Climate Change Officer USD 74,424 KL Office support staff Office support staff (in support of financial mgt. and admin) USD 37,441 MN Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 NO Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Evaluation (external evaluation at end of project) USD 26,387 Programme cycle management, Total: \$391,705 Project Support Cost ⁵⁸ USD 325,010 QP PSC 7 Percent (on total operational budget including components below) approx. 7 percent Project Support Cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost - Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Evaluation support cost - Evaluation of reports etc. Project Supports etc.	1	Contractual services, workshops, materials & goods Capacity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation enhanced	Main partner NDCC Regional workshop (climate change component) Regional advocacy material for local governments	USD 34,100 USD 34,105
J Project manager Project manager (UN-Habitat) USD 228,900 K ROAP Technical Support (Climate Change Officer) ROAP Climate Change Officer USD 74,424 KL Office support staff Office support of financial mgt, and admin) USD 37,800 LM Office facilities Office facilities (rental co-share and office appliances and supply) USD 37,441 MN Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 NO Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Evaluation (external evaluation at end of project) USD 26,887 Programme cycle management, Total: S391,705 Project Support Cost (HQ) Project Support Cost (HQ) USD 325,010 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings USD 56,690	Programme	e execution, Total: \$437,788		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
K ROAP Technical Support (Climate Change Officer) ROAP Climate Change Officer USD 74,424 KL Office support staff Office support staff Office support staff (in support of financial mgt. and admin) USD 37,800 LM Office facilities Office facilities (rental co-share and office appliances and supply) USD 37,441 MN Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 NQ Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Evaluation (external evaluation at end of project) USD 26,387 Programme cycle management, Total: \$391,705 Project Support Cost (IQ) Project Support Cost (IQ) USD 325,010 QP PSC 7 Percent (on total operational budget including components below) approx. 7 percent Project Support cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost - Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions USD 56,690	J	Project manager	Project manager (UN-Habitat)	USD228,900
KL Office support staff Office support staff (in support of financial mgt. and admin) USD 37,800 LM Office facilities Office facilities (rental co-share and office appliances and supply) USD 37,441 MN Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 NO Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Evaluation (external evaluation at end of project) USD 26,387 Programme cycle management, Total: \$391,705 QP PSC 7 Percent (on total operational budget including components below) approx. 7 percent Project Support Cost ⁵⁸ USD 325,010 PQ Evaluation support cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost – Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions USD 56,690 USD 56,690	ĸ	ROAP Technical Support (Climate Change Officer)	ROAP Climate Change Officer	USD 74,424
LM Office facilities Office facilities (rental co-share and office appliances and supply) USD 37,441 MN Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 NQ Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Evaluation (external evaluation at end of project) USD 32,836 Programme cycle management, Total: \$391,705 PSC 7 Percent (on total operational budget including components below) approx. 7 percent Project Support Cost ⁵⁸ USD 325,010 PQ Evaluation support cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost - Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions USD 56,690	<u>K</u> L	Office support staff	Office support staff (in support of financial mgt. and admin)	USD 37,800
MN Travel related to execution Travel related to execution (project manager) USD 32,836 NO Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Evaluation (external evaluation at end of project) USD 26,387 Programme cycle management, Total: \$391,705 Project Support Cost ⁵⁸ USD 325,010 PQ Evaluation support cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost – Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions USD 56,690	<u>L</u> M	Office facilities	Office facilities (rental co-share and office appliances and supply)	USD 37,441
NQ Mid- and End-Term Evaluation Evaluation (external evaluation at end of project) USD 26,387 Programme cycle management, Total: \$391,705 PSC 7 Percent (on total operational budget including components below) approx. 7 percent Project Support Cost ⁵⁸ USD 325,010 PQ Evaluation support cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost – Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings	<u>M</u> N	Travel related to execution	Travel related to execution (project manager)	USD 32,836
Programme cycle management, Total: \$391,705 OP PSC 7 Percent (on total operational budget including components below) approx. 7 percent Project Support Cost ⁵⁸ USD 325,010 PQ Evaluation support cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost – Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions USD 56,690	<u>N</u> O	Mid- and End-Term Evaluation	Evaluation (external evaluation at end of project)	USD 26,387
OP PSC 7 Percent (on total operational budget including components below) approx. 7 percent Project Support Cost ⁵⁸ USD 325,010 PQ Evaluation support cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost – Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions USD 56,690	Programme	ecycle management, Total: \$391,705		
PQ Evaluation support cost (HQ) Evaluation support cost – Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) ⁵⁹ USD 10,000 QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions USD 56,690	<u>0</u> ₽	PSC 7 Percent (on total operational budget including components below) approx. 7 percent	Project Support Cost ⁵⁸	USD 325,010
QR Project Support Costs (ROAP) Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions USD 56,690 USD 56,690	<u>P</u> Q	Evaluation support cost (HQ)	Evaluation support cost – Evaluation Unit (UN-Habitat HQ) 59	USD 10,000
USD 56,690	<u>Q</u> R	Project Support Costs (ROAP)	Project Management Committee Meetings IE staff salary / supervision of reports etc. Project supervision missions	
				000 000

tions at the Committee and

⁵⁸ General Assembly Resolution 35/217 of 17 December 1980, the Memo of the UN Assistant Secretary-General, Controller of 8 June 2012, Cost recovery: Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs cover Variable indirect ⁵⁹ General Assembly Resolution 35/217 of 17 December 1980, the UN Assistant Secretary-General, Controller of 8 June 2012, Cost recovery: Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and UN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and DN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and DN-Habitat's Cost Allocation and Recovery Policy 2012. Programme Support Costs and Policy 2012. Prog

after the evaluation - whilst this cost will only be applied in the last year, it is spread over the entire project period.

Type of M & E activity	Responsible	Source and	Time frame
	parties	Budget USD	
Measurements of means of veri- fication (baseline assessment and M&E plans)	Project Manager; Project team	From project exe- cution: 20,000	First quarter of year 1
Direct Project Monitoring and Quality Assurance including progress and financial reporting, project revisions, technical as- sistance and risk management	Project Manager; With inputs from Project team; Provincial and district- level government, community level moni- toring	From project exe- cution: 20,000	Half-yearly and annu- ally, Building on provin- cial and district level as- sessments and commu- nity level monitoring,
Independent terminal evalua- tion)	Project Manager; Project team; Provincial and district- level government and community-level moni- toring UN-Habitat M&E Sec- tion and external con- sultants (from project execution and project cycle management)	From project cycle management: 10,000 and project execution 20,000	At end of project imple- mentation
Project management committee meetings	Project Manager; Project team Project management committee	From project exe- cution: 5,000	Inception meeting within first 2 months and bi- annual PB meetings (and sub-committee meetings)
Travel	UN-Habitat ROAP;	From project cycle management: 10,000	Quarterly, half-yearly and annually and as needed
Total		From project exe- cution: 75,000 From project cycle management: 20,000	
		Total: 85,000	

Table 28: Summary of the M&E costs

H. Disbursement schedule

Table 29: Disbursement schedule

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total
	1st disbursement – upon agreement signature	 2nd disbursement – One Year after project start Upon First Annual Report Upon financial report in- dicating disbursement of at least 70% of funds 	 3rd disbursement - Two years after project start Upon Second Annual Report Upon financial report indicating disbursement of at least 70% of funds 	 4th disbursement – Third Year after Project Start Upon Third Annual Report Upon financial report indicating disbursement of at least 70% of funds 	
Milestone	Milestones (by end of year) - Inception work- shop report - 2 trainings on pro- vincial and 15 train- ings on commune level on vulnerabil- ity assessment and climate change ac- tion plans con- ducted - 2 provinces (incl. 15 communes) have developed vulnerability as- sessments - 2 provinces (incl. 15 communes) have developed cli- mate change adap- tation plans	Milestones (by end of year) - 2 provincial governments integrate assessment find- ings into Commune Invest- ment Plans - 20% of the total benefi- ciaries and 200 govern- ment officials from provin- cial and commune level trained to design, monitor and manage on climate change adaptation and risk reduction systems - Guidelines produced cov- ering all the training com- ponents - 2 sets of provincial haz- ard maps - Commune-level resili- ence, recovery and up-	Milestones (by end of year) - 50% of strengthened house- holds and community liveli- hood strategies in relation to climate change impacts, - 80% of infrastructure/natural assets constructed / devel- oped - Advocacy materials produced - Steering Committee	Milestones (by end of year) - Advocacy materials pro- duced - Regional advocacy - 100% of infrastruc- ture/natural assets con- structed / developed - Steering Committee	

	 2 pilot project for infrastructure/natu- ral assets devel- oped (5%) Website estab- lished Advocacy materi- als produced Steering Commit- tee 	grading plans in 15 com- munes, - Adaptation and risk re- duction assessments and awareness activities for 7 (50%) target communes -10% of household and community livelihood strat- egies strengthened in rela- tion to climate change im- pacts (16 total), - 30% of infrastructure/ natural assets developed - Advocacy materials pro- duced - Steering Committee			
Schedule date	June 2018 1 st project month	June 2019 12 th project month	June 2020 24 th project month	June 2021 36 th project month	
A, Project Funds (US\$)	USD 580,000	USD 1,200,000	USD 2,350,000	USD 40,512	USD 4,170,512
B, Programme Execution	USD 85,000	USD 127,000	USD 125,000	USD 100,788	USD 437,788
C, Programme Cycle Mgt.	USD 50,000	USD 85,000	USD 220,000	USD 36,700	USD 391,700
(B+C) MIE Fee (US\$)	USD 135,000	USD <u>345,000</u> 212,000	USD 137,488<u>345,000</u>	USD 137,488	USD 829,488
Total	USD 715,000	USD 1,412,000	USD 2,695,000	USD 178,000	USD 5,000,000

PART IV: ENDORSEMENT BY GOVERNMENT AND CERTIFICATION BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY

Record of endorsement on behalf of the government⁶⁰ Provide the name and position of the government official and indicate date of endorsement, If this is a regional project/programme, list the endorsing officials all the participating countries, The endorsement letter(s) should be attached as an annex to the project/programme proposal, Please attach the endorsement letter(s) with this template; add as many participating governments if a regional project/programme:

H.E. Dr. Tin Ponlok	Date: January 11, 2018
Secretary General	-
National Council for Sustainable	
Development	
Royal Government of Cambodia	

⁶ Each Party shall designate and communicate to the secretariat the authority that will endorse on behalf of the national government the projects and programmes proposed by the implementing entities.





National Council for Sustainable Development General Secretariat No: 001 GSSD

Phnom Penh, 11 January 2018

To: The Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat c/o Global Environment Facility Secretariat 1818H Street, NW, MSN P-4-400 Washington DC, United State of America Email: secretariate@adaptation-fund.org Fax: +1 2025223240/5

Endorsement for "Climate Change adaptation through protective small-scale infrastructure interventions in Cambodian coastal settlements" proposal

Dear Sir/Madam,

In my capacity, as Designated Authority for the Adaptation Fund in Cambodia, I confirm that the above national project is in accordance with the Royal Government of Cambodia national priorities, especially with the specific commitments to the Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan (2014-2023), in implementing adaptation activities to reduce the adverse impacts and risks posed by climate change in Cambodia.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project proposal for support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project will be implemented by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) and executed by the National Council for Sustainable Development (NCSD), the Ministry of Environment and the National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development. Several other line ministries/departments, identified sub-national authorities and non-governmental organizations will also be involved in the implementation of this project.

The project proposal builds on the relevant provincial, municipal/district and community-level climate vulnerability and local development plans/strategies. As such the project is based on a large number of in-depth consultations with Government and beneficiary communities. In close collaboration with key national Government entities and sub-national authorities, the proposal aims to support and build resilience to climate change for housing, infrastructure, environment and livelihoods through participatory planning and implementation with respect to the needs of woman, youth, elderly and other vulnerable groups.

Morodok Techo Building (Lot 503) Tonle Bassac, Chamkarmorn, Phnom Penh, CAMBODIA

Further, the proposal builds on the long-standing collaboration between NCSD, the Ministry of Environment and UN-Habitat. Hence, my institution is grateful for the direct support in this regard.

ا sincerely hope that this proposal will be considered favorably by the Adaptation Fund.



Implementing Entity certification

I certify that this proposal has guidelines provided by the Ada National Development and Ada Strategy Phase III (2014-2018) w Development Plan (2014-2018) Climate Change Strategic Plan (Growth and National Green (Sectoral Climate Change Strate 2018), National Adaptation Pro (2014-2023), National Progra Development (2010-2019) and Adaptation Fund Board, project/programme in compliance Policy of the Adaptation Fund Implementing Entity will be fully (the implementation of this project	been prepared in accordance with aptation Fund Board, and prevailing aptation Plans including Rectangular with a vision to 2030, National Strategic) with a vision to 2030, Cambodia 2014-2023), National Policy on Green Growth Strategic Plan (2013-2030), egic Plans and Action Plans (2014- ogram of Action for Climate Change am for Sub-National Democratic d subject to the approval by the <u>commit to implementing the</u> with the Environmental and Social and on the understanding that the legally and financially) responsible for t/programme.			
Raf	ael Tuts			
Director Pro	ogramme Division			
LIN IN	-Hahitat			
Date: 12th January 2018	Tel and email:			
Date. 12 Validary 2010	+254-20-762-3726			
	Raf Tuts@un.org			
	There are a started by			
Project Contact Person: Laxman Perera, Human Settlements Officer				
Tol: +91.02.724.7124				
Tel: +01-92-724-7121				
Linan. Laxinan. Fererawun.org				

PART V: ANNEXES

Annex 1.

A. Summary of Results from Community Consultation in Kep and Preah Sihanouk Provinces

I. Kep Province

Kep province is located on low land close to the sea. Storm surge, flood and sea water intrusion were the main concerns raised during the field mission. Rice production has been affected by floods, groundwater has been contaminated by see water, poor houses have been destroyed by storms, and the coastline has been eroded by sea level rise and strong waves.

Kep province is highly vulnerable to climate change, especially in Angkaol commune. Storms are predominant concerns, while floods, saline intrusion (as influenced by sea level rise) and coastline erosion are as additional concerns. The highest vulnerabilities relates to agriculture (rice fields and salt farms). The vulnerability affects social welfare (and public health, economic growth and livelihoods), and unique habitats and ecosystems. Cultivated land is known to be vulnerable to saline intrusion in low land areas. The production of rice and crops are reduced due to poor soil quality and salinity. Storm surge causes disturbance to daily living and destruct agriculture production.

There are five target communes/ sangkat in Kep province as below information:

	Bellellelallee					
No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong Tuek	Prey Thom	Кер	Ou Krasar
1	Number of villages/Communities	4	7	3	2	2
2	Total population	8,566	10,987	8,521	4,917	7,772
3	Number of Female	4,280	5,574	3,994	2,358	3,738
4	# of age 0-17	3,288	4,579	2,969	2,111	3,011
5	# of age 18-60	4,729	5,668	5,112	2,262	4,239
6	# of > age 60	549	740	440	544	522
7	# of indigenous people	0	0	0	0	0
8	# of disabled population	108	169	78	98	97
9	# of immigrants	397	1,373	240	160	407
10	# of informal settlements	20	25	260	13	23
11	# of households	1,835	2,481	1,917	1,074	1,610

1. Beneficiaries

12	Poverty rate (%)	18,04	11,66	11,41	9,30	16,09
13	How many people (percent) will ben	efit from the follo	wing interventions	s in the com	munity:	
	Main climate change impacts and ris	ks need are: Storn	n, flood, Saline int	rusion, drou	ght	
	Physical/structural interventions	80%	80%	50%	50%	80%
	(roads, bridges, agriculture irriga-					
	tion, water supply facilities, drain-					
	age system, houses)					
	Trainings	50%	50%	50%	30%	50%
	Communication	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	Information	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
14	Early warning systems in place	There is no local early warning system in place but they receive warming system from				
	covering different types of hazards	Ministry of Water Resources and Meteorology through TV, media and local authorities.				
	(e.g. floods, cyclones, storms,					
	droughts, etc.)					
15	Existence of drainage and sewage	No system in pla	ice	Т	There is only partial data	rainage system at
	system			d	owntown but no syste	em at outskirt areas.
16	Existence of different groups (eth-	There is no diffe	rent groups establ	ished. They	are under the supervi	sion and manage-
	nic, women, elderly, disabled,	ment of Commu	ne's children and	women com	mittee.	
	youth) who are treated differently.					
	If so, how?					
17	Participation of women in deci-	Yes, women hav	e participated in d	ecision-mak	ting in all level but th	ey have very limited
	sion-making process. If no, why?	capacity.				
18	Responsible person to take elderly,	Children and Wo	omen Committee l	nas establish	ed in each commune	in order to be re-
	disabled people and children	sponsible for eld	sponsible for elderly, disable people and children but they have very limited fund to			
		support them.				
19	Main livelihoods / sources of in-	From tourism, fi	shery, salt farms, a	agriculture a	nd animal raising.	
	come in community?					

2. Climate change – impacts, barriers for adaptation and possible interventions analysis

No.	Name of	Most p	roblematic	Effects	Factors stopping your	Prioritized activities/ infra-
	Sangkat/com-	climatio	c hazard		community from cop-	structure to enhance adaptive
	mune				ing with current im-	capacity
					pacts	

1	Angkaol	Storm surge	Low rice production	 Bad infrastructure 	 Improve road condition and
2	Pong Tuek	 Flood and sea wa- 	Contaminated ground	 Limited irrigation 	drainage system
3	Prey Thom	ter intrusion	water	• Insufficient clean water	 Agriculture irrigation
4	Ou Krasar	• Sea level rise and	 Destroyed houses 	supply	 Trees plantation on coastline
5	Kep	strong waves	 Slow down fishing ac- 	 Limited of education 	 Water supply by digging new
	.1	 Drought 	tivities	and skills	ponds and wells
		 Beach erosion 	 Damaged roads and 	 Lack of sanitation 	 Conserve and protect natural re-
		 Water pollution 	dikes	Health issues	sources and biodiversity
			Coastline erosion	 Poor management of 	 Resilient houses models
			• Lack of water supply	natural resources like	• Environmental management ac-
			 Poor sanitation and 	forests	tivities, e.g. planting trees, im-
			health issues	 Poor houses 	prove sanitation
					 Provide vocational training on
					various topics

Note: Climate hazards, effects, coping barriers and priority interventions have been consolidated because they are similar in each Sangkat/commune.

3. Strengthened institutional capacity

No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong Tuek	Prey Thom	Kep	Ou Krasar	
1	Having a structured plan for hazard risk reduction/ cli-	Yes, the str	uctured plan in	place but there is	no facilit	ties and finan-	
	mate change adaptation	cial assistar	nce as well as lir	nited capacity or	n climate	change adap-	
		tation and r	esilience.				
2	Experience of the municipality on specialist training	There is no	limited capacity	/experience at n	unicipali	ty or provin-	
	(for risk reduction and resilience)	cial level or	n specialist train	ing. Usually, nat	ional spec	cialists pro-	
		vide these s	such trainings.		-	-	
3	Having a CC and resilience plan incorporated into plan-	Yes, comm	une developmer	nt plan has been o	elaborated	d climate	
	ning schemes	change but	limited impleme	entation due to n	o fund an	d capacity.	
4	Reporting awareness of exposure to at least one key	No, local co	ommunity could	not make a repo	ort on this	matter due to	
	hazard	lack of capacity. National and provincial officials have assisted on					
		this report.					

4. Assets produced, developed or strengthened (Health issues related to climate change)

No. Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong Tuek	Prey Thom	Кер	Ou Krasar
-----------------------------	---------	-----------	-----------	-----	-----------

1	# of households to report an occupant with diarrhoea in last 3 months in this settlement	0	0	0	0	0
2	# of households to report an occupant with malaria/ dengue last year	0	0	0	0	0
3	Existence of drainage issues that may give rise to mos- quito borne diseases	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4	Main health problems/ issues	No major heal health problem function are m	th issues but la n to children ar nain health issu	ck of sanitation nd women. Bloo e for older peop	and hygie d pressure le.	ene cause of and liver

5. Urban development and housing

No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong	Prey Thom	Kep	Ou Krasar
			Tuek			
1	# of dwellings with 'average' or 'poor' quality walls	1,363	1,423	1,282	660	938
2	# of overcrowded dwellings	43	17	28	8	37
3	# of dwellings, which have been trained on enhancing	0	0	0	0	0
	dwelling resilience					

6. Physical Infrastructure

No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong Tuek	Prey Thom	Кер	Ou Krasar
1	Are the streets and roads in this set- tlement planned and paved?	у	у	у	у	у
2	How many schools are there in this settlement? Are they built in a resilient manner?	7	7	5	2	4 (1 high school damaged by strong wind)
3	How many hospitals/health posts are there in this settlement? Are they built in a resilient manner?	1	2	1	0	1
4	Are the necessary protective infra- structures in place (e.g. dams and walls) to reduce impact of flooding, storms, etc. in this community?	0; small canal to receive wa- ter from Pong Tuek 2 dams to avoid salt water in- trusion into rain fields	1	Shared with Ou Krasar, only 20% has been used by Prey Thom; 1 res- ervoir.	0	1 irrigation dam; floods destroyed dam
5	Does this settlement have an opera- tional drainage system? Is it suffi- cient to drain precipitation and avoid flooding?	n	n	n	n	n
6	How many pagodas/mosques	3	5	3	3	2

7. Water resources and infrastructure

No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong Tuek	Prey Thom	Кер	Ou Krasar
1	# of households with toilet	1,618	1,627	1,125	605	1,162
2	% of households using following types of toilets:	90% - Straight	90% -	80% - Straight	70% -	80% -
	1) Shared community toilet	pipe	Straight pipe	pipe	Straight	Straight pipe
	2) Share neighbours	10% - Septic	10% - Septic	20% - Septic	pipe	20% - Septic
	3) Connected to septic tank	tanks	tanks	tanks	30% -	tanks
	4) Straight pipe				Septic	
	5) Connected to town sewerage system				tanks	
3	Average type of toilet:	90% - Pit	90% - Pit	60% - Pit	60% -	70% - Pit
	1) Water seal	10% - Flush	10% - Flush	40% - Flush	Pit	30% - Flush

	2) Flush				40% -	
	3) Pit				Flush	
4	% of households with toilet discharging directly	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
	into the environment (unimproved pit toilet or					
	straight pipe to sea/river/etc,)					
5	Main water resource for livelihood	Surface water (ponds), ground	water (wells), and	d rain wate	er
6	# of households that own (not shared) formal water	162	1,658	459	439	537
	connection with meter					

8. Waste and waste infrastructure

No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong Tuek	Prey Thom	Кер	Ou Krasar
1	Existence of regular waste collection by council or private	No	No	No	Yes	No
	organization					
2	% of households to dispose waste in river, creek, or sea	10%	15%	15%	5%	10%
3	% of households to burn or bury waste	90%	85%	85%	20%	90%

9. Natural assets protected or rehabilitated

No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong Tuek	Prey Thom	Kep	Ou Krasar		
1	Does this settlement report issues with pollution/ envi-	Yes, local settlement report issues with pollution and environment						
	ronmental degradation (e.g. coral or mangroves)? And	degradation	that affected to	majority of peop	le in the	city, particu-		
	how many people affected - livelihoods	larly fisher	nan.					
2	Has any steps been taken in this settlement to improve/	Due to no f	inancial assistand	ce, there is no ma	ajor actio	n taken place.		
	maintain/reduce impacts on natural assets? And how	Individual p	people have take	n care for themse	elves. The	ere is around		
	many people affected - livelihoods	many people affected - livelihoods 20-30% of p						
	Main environmental problems (Choose Top 3)	1. Coastal	Flooding (salt-	1. Drainage (e	e.g. block	ed drains)		
	1) River flooding	water in	trusion)	2. Sanitation (problems	s with toilet)		
	2) Coastal Flooding (saltwater intrusion)	2. Decline	in Mangrove	3. Decline in	Mangrov	e areas		
	3) Surface Flooding (rainwater)	areas		4. Surface flo	od			
	4) River Bank Erosion (soil disappearing)	3. Surface	Flooding (rain-					
	5) Inland erosion	water)	-					
	6) Coastal Erosion (beach disappearing)	4. Freshwa	ater for drink-					
	7) Pollution (dirty air, dirty water, dirty soil)	ing and	usage					

8) Rubbish (waste management)	
9) Drainage (e.g., blocked drains)	
10) Sanitation (problems with toilet)	
11) Decline in Mangrove areas	
12) Plant Disease	
13) Insects or bugs (flies, mosquitoes)	

10. Improved policies & regulations

No.	Name of Sangkat/commune	Angkaol	Pong Tuek	Prey Thom	Кер	Ou Krasar	
1	Does the sangkat/commune has the necessary building regu- lations for resilient development? Are they enforced properly in this community?	There are building regulations from national that has applied for nationwide usage. They are enforced by technical line department of land management, urban planning and construction. However, there is very limited information on the resilient development in those regulations					
2	Have any policies been introduced or adjusted in your municipality to address climate change?	There is no loc ment the nation mune develops dressed climate	al policy to add nal climate char nent plan and in e change and di	ress climate cha nge action plan a nvestment progr saster risk reduc	inge but ind NA amme h tion.	t they imple- PA. Com- nave also ad-	



Overall Vulnerability of Kep Province by Commune

11. Community vulnerability and risk map Poverty Map of Kep Province by Commune

II. Preah Sihanouk Province

Several climate change issues were discussed during the field consultation. Concerns included erratic rainfall, sea water intrusion on rice fields and ground water, storms and storm surge destroying rice and crop production, and waste management.

Households: Poor households living in homes built with zinc and thatched roofs, located on low lands along the coastline, are sensitive to storm surge and sea level rise. These CC exposures also affect drinking water, sanitation, health and livelihoods. Drought or erratic rainfall is also main issues that can affect water supplies and drinking water when the dry season lasts longer than usual. The capacity

of these people to recover from extreme weather is still limited. Additionally, the management of solid water is also an issue, as it was found that the waste was floated during the floods.

There are 10 target communes/ sangkat in Preah Sihanouk as below information:

1.	Beneficiaries
----	---------------

No.	Municipality/ District	Prey N	lob							Sihanoukville	
	Name of Sangkat/commune	Tuek	Tuek	Sa-	Veal	Sam-	Prey	Ou	Boeng	Koh	Sangkat
		Thla	L'ak	makki	Rinh	rong	Nob	Ok-	Taprom	Rong	Muoy
								nha			
								Heng			
1	Number of Villages/communities	4	4	3	3	5	5	5	6	2	3
2	Total population	5,455	4,413	3,641	10,717	6,683	7,944	9,006	7,917	1,693	18,613
3	Number of Female	2,720	2,198	1,919	5,636	3,334	3,976	4,559	4,025	791	9,308
4	# of age 0 - 17	2,133	1,728	1,620	3,850	2,474	2,909	3,696	2,170	611	7,316
5	# of age 18 - 60	2,930	2,182	1,724	6,007	3,795	4,163	4,834	4,847	985	10,324
6	# of > age 60	392	503	297	860	414	872	476	900	97	973
7	# of indigenous people	0	0	0	0	127	0	0	0	0	0
8	# of disabled population	25	25	19	80	37	42	115	83	7	46
9	# of immigrants	551	178	101	628	223	340	139	464	526	5,582
10	# of informal settlements	45	13	0	40	17	42	21	5	330	160
11	# of households	1,169	963	1,044	1,967	1,352	1,608	1,688	1,503	427	4,094
12	Poverty rate (%)	20.2	20.1	19.2	26.3	19.8	18.8	18.0	12.6	23.7	11.7
13	How many people will benefit from th	e follow	ing inter	rventions	s in the co	ommunity	/:				
	The main climate change impacts and	risks nee	ed to be	focused	are: storn	n surge, s	trong wa	aves, sea	water intr	usion, g	round
	water, pollution, drinking water, waste	and flo	od.					-		-	-
	Physical/structural interventions	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%	80%
	(roads, dikes, water supply facilities,										
	market, irrigation, drainage system,										
	houses)										
	Trainings	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
	Communication	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%	50%
	Information	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%

14	Early warning systems in place cov- ering different types of hazards (e.g. floods, storms, drought etc.)	There i from M thoritie	is no local early warning system in place but they receive warming system Anistry of Water Resources and Meteorology through TV, media and local au- es.			
15	Existence of drainage/sewage system	There	is limited drainage system available only in the downtown			
16	Existence of different groups (ethnic, women, elderly, disabled, youth) who are treated differently. If so, how?	nic, There are no different groups established. They are under the supervision and man agement of Commune's children and women committee				
17	Participation of women in decision-	Yes, w	omen have involved all level of decision-making but they have limited			
	making process. If no, why?	knowle	edge and experience.			
18	Responsible person to take elderly,	There a	are provincial, district and commune disaster committees and red-cross com-			
	disabled people and children	mittee's responsibilities.				
19	Main livelihoods / sources of income i	n com-	- Fishery, agriculture, industry, poultry/animal raising, building construction			
1	munity?		and tourism			

2. Climate change - Trend analysis

No,	Municipality/	Name of	Most problem-	Effects	Factors stopping	Prioritized activities/
	District	Sangkat/com-	atic climatic haz-		your community	infrastructure to en-
		mune	ard		from coping with	hance adaptive capac-
					current impacts	ity
1	Prey Nob	Tuek Thla	Storm surge	No tourists to visit	• Low income that af-	Improve road condition
2		Tuek L'ak	 Strong waves 	 Destroyed houses 	fect to livelihood due	 Provide clean water
3		Sameakki	Sea water intru-	 Damaged roads 	to no tourists	supply
4		Veal Renh	sion	and dikes	 Bad infrastructure 	Provide proper drainage
5		Samrong	 Ground water 	 Low fish produc- 	• Insufficient clean wa-	system
6		Prey Nob	 Pollution 	tion	ter supply	• Conserve and protect
7		Ou Oknha Heng	Drinking water	• Low rice produc-	• Poor house condi-	natural resources and
0		р. т.	 Waste manage- 	tion	tions	biodiversity
8		Boeng Taprom	ment	 Contaminated 	• Lack of sanitation	• Provide resilient house
9	Sihanoukville	Koh Rong	 Flood, and 	ground water	 Health issues 	models
10		Sangkat Muoy	 Sea level rise, 	 Coastline erosion 	 Poor management of 	• Environmental manage-
				• Lack of water sup-	natural resources like	ment activities, e.g.
				ply	forests	planting trees, improve
					 Limited irrigation 	sanitation

		• Poor sanitation and health issues	• Limited of education and skills	• Provide vocational training on various top- ics
				 Agriculture irrigation

3. Strengthened institutional capacity

No.	Municipality/ District	Prey 1	Nob							Sihanoukville	
	Name of Sangkat/commune	Tuek	Tuek	Sa-	Veal	Sam	Prey	Ou	Boeng	Koh	Sangka
		Thla	L'ak	makki	Rinh	rong	Nob	Oknha	Taprom	Rong	t Muoy
								Heng			
1	Having a structured plan for hazard risk reduction/ climate change adaptation	Yes, there is a structured plan in place but very limited operation/function due to no capacity and fund.									
2	Experience of the municipality on spe- cialist training (for risk reduction and resilience)	No specialist training from the municipality/district level to support the communi- ties. They are from provincial and national level with limited supported.									
3	Having a CC and resilience plan incor- porated into planning schemes	Yes, all plans such as commune, district/municipality, and provincial development plans have addressed climate change adaptation and resilience. However, the implementation is limited due to low capacity and financial support.									
4	Reporting awareness of exposure to at least one key hazard	Yes, there is a report on disaster happened in the areas such as storms and flood.					flood.				

4. Assets produced, developed or strengthened (Health issues related to climate change)

No	Municipality/ District	Prey No	'rey Nob								
	Name of Sangkat/commune	Tuek	Tuek	Sa-	Veal	Sam-	Prey	Ou Oknha	Boeng	Koh	Sangkat
		Thla	L'ak	makki	Rinh	rong	Nob	Heng	Taprom	Rong	Muoy
1	# of households to report an occupant with diarrhoea in last 3 months in this settle- ment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2	# of households to report an occupant with malaria/ den- gue last year	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3	Existence of drainage issues	Yes, there is drainage issues such as bad smell, pollution, mosquito and bad living environ-
	that may give rise to mos-	ment
	quito borne diseases	
4	Main health problems/ issues	There are skin diseases, mosquito borne diseases and high blood pressure

5. Urban development and housing

No.	Municipality/ District	Prey No	ob		Sihanoukville						
	Name of Sangkat/commune	Tuek	Tuek	Sa-	Veal	Sam-	Prey	Ou	Boeng	Koh	Sangkat
		Thla	L'ak	makki	Rinh	rong	Nob	Oknha	Taprom	Rong	Muoy
								Heng			
1	# of dwellings with 'average'	973	879	854	1,399	1,187	1,392	1,438	1,342	373	3,157
	or 'poor' quality walls										
2	# of overcrowded dwellings	30	23	47	50	11	7	30	10	29	46
3	# of dwellings, which have	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	been trained on enhancing										
	dwelling resilience										

6. Physical Infrastructure

No.	Municipality/ Dis- trict	Prey No)							Sihanoukville	
	Name of Sangkat/commune	Tuek Thla	Tuek L'ak	Samakki	Veal Rinh	Sam- rong	Prey Nob	Ou Oknha Heng	Boeng Taprom	Koh Rong	Sangkat Muoy
1	Are the streets and roads in this settle- ment planned and paved?	у	У	у	У	у	у	у	у	n	20%
2	How many schools are there in this settle- ment? Are they built in a resilient manner?	4	3	3	2	3	3	3	5	2	3
3	How many hospi- tals/health posts are	0	3	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1

	there in this settle- ment? Are they built in a resilient manner?										
4	Are the necessary pro- tective infrastructures in place (e.g. dams, walls) to reduce im- pact of flooding, storms, etc. in this community?	0	1	0	1	2	3	0	1	0	0
5	Does this settlement have an operational drainage system? Is it sufficient to drain pre- cipitation and avoid flooding?	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n	n
6	How many Pago- das/Mosques exist?	2 Mosques	1 Pa- goda	2 Pagodas	2 Pa- godas	5 Pago- das	2 Pago- das and 2 Mosques	2 Pagodas and 3 Mosques	2 Pagodas and 3 Mosques; 50 % of the people are Cham Mus- lims	1 Pa- goda	1 Pago- das

7. Water resources and infrastructure

No	Municipality/District	Prey No	b		Sihanoukville						
	Name of	Tuek	Tuek	Sa-	Veal	Sam-	Prey	Ou	Boeng	Koh	Sangkat
	Sangkat/commune	Thla	L'ak	makki	Rinh	rong	Nob	Oknha	Taprom	Rong	Muoy
								Heng			
1	# of households with	455	702	724	1,433	794	1,254	777	760	318	3,757
	toilet										
2	% of households using	Straight	Straight	Straight	Straight	Straight	Straigh	Straight	Straight	Straig	Straight
	following types of toi-	pipe –	pipe –	pipe –	pipe –	pipe –	t pipe –	pipe –	pipe –	ht	pipe –
	lets:	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		70%

ĺ		1) Shared community									pipe –	
		toilet									100%	Septic
		2) Share neighbours										tank –
		3) Connected to septic										30%
		tank										
		4) Straight pipe										
		5) Connected to sew-										
		erage system										
ĺ	3	Average type of toilet:	Flush	Flush	Flush	Flush	Flush	Flush	Flush	Flush	Flush	Flush
		1) Water seal 2)										
		Flush 3) Pit										
	3	% of households with	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
		toilet discharging di-										
		rectly into the environ-										
		ment (unimproved pit										
		toilet or straight pipe to										
		sea/river/etc.)										
ľ	3	Main water resource for	Surface v	vater, unde	erground w	vater, pond	ls, wells, a	nd rainwa	ter	•		
		livelihood			U							
ĺ	4	# of households that	872	598	905	1,955	877	965	698	1,225	95	3,043
		own (not shared) formal										
		water connection with										
		meter										

8. Waste and waste infrastructure

No.	Municipality/ District	Prey No	b		Sihanoukville						
	Name of Sangkat/commune	Tuek	Tuek	Sa-	Veal	Sam-	Prey	Ou	Boeng	Koh	Sangkat
		Thla	L'ak	makki	Rinh	rong	Nob	Oknha	Taprom	Rong	Muoy
						-		Heng	_	_	-
1	Existence of regular waste	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
	collection by council or pri-										
	vate organization										

2	% of households to dispose	20%	20%	20%	10%	20%	20%	20%	20%	10%	15%
	waste in river, creek, or sea										
3	% of households to burn or	80%	80%	80%	90%	80%	80%	80%		90%	85%
	bury waste										

9. Natural assets protected or rehabilitated

No.	Municipality/ District	Prey Nob								Sihanoukville		
	Name of Sangkat/	Tuek	Tuek	Sa-	Veal	Sam-	Prey	Ou	Boeng	Koh	Sangkat	
	commune	Thla	L'ak	makki	Rinh	rong	Nob	Oknha	Taprom	Rong	Muoy	
								Heng	_		-	
1	Does this settlement report is-	Yes, loc	al settlen	nent repo	rt issues	with pollu	ution and	environme	nt degradat	tion that a	ffected to	
	sues with pollution/ environ-	majority	of peopl	e in the c	communi	ties.						
	mental degradation (e.g. coral											
	or mangroves)?											
2	Has any steps been taken in	There is	very lim	ited impl	ementati	on becaus	se no fund	support. (Community	people ha	ave taken	
	this settlement to improve/	care for	themselv	es. There	e is arour	nd 50% of	populatic	n affected	their liveli	hood.		
	maintain/reduce impacts on											
	natural assets?											
	Main environmental prob-	•	Decline i	n Mangro	ve areas					Defor	restation	
	lems (Choose Top 3)	•	Drainage	(e.g., blo	cked drain	ıs)				Pollu	tion/ Rub-	
	1) River flooding	•	River floc	oding, coa	stal flood	ing (saltwa	ater intrusi	on), surface	e flooding	bish/	Drainage/	
	2) Coastal Flooding (saltwa-		(rainwate	er)						Sanit	ation	
	ter intrusion)									 Coast 	al Erosion	
	3) Surface Flooding (rain-											
	water)											
	4) River Bank Erosion (soil											
	disappearing)											
	5) Inland erosion											
	6) Coastal Erosion (beach											
	disappearing)											
	7) Pollution (dirty air, dirty											
	water, dirty soil)											

8) Rubbish (waste manage-	
ment)	
9) Drainage (e.g. blocked	
drains)	
10) Sanitation (problems	
with toilet)	
11) Decline in Mangrove ar-	
eas	
12) Plant Disease	
13) Insects or bugs (flies,	
mosquitoes)	

10. Improved policies & regulations

No.	Municipality/ District	Prey No	Prey Nob Sihanoukville								
	Name of Sangkat/commune	Tuek	Tuek	Sa-	Veal	Sam-	Prey	Ou	Boeng	Koh	Sangkat
	_	Thla	L'ak	makki	Rinh	rong	Nob	Oknha	Taprom	Rong	Muoy
						-		Heng	-	-	
1	Does the sangkat/commune has the necessary building regula- tions for resilient development? Are they enforced properly in this community?	No, they houses b Urban P nationw	don't ha based on t lanning a ide imple	their expe and Const mentatio	l buildin erience a truction h n. But th	g regulation nd practice as issued a ose regular	n. So peo e. Howeve all necess tions may	ple in the er, the Min ary buildi not inclu	communit nistry of La ng regulati de the resil	y to build and Managons that a ient devel	their gement, pplied for opment.
2	Have any policies been intro- duced or adjusted in your municipality to address cli- mate change?	There is change a also add	no local action pla ressed cl	policy to in and NA imate cha	address APA. Counge and	climate ch nmune de disaster ris	ange, but velopmer sk reducti	they impl t plan and on.	ement the n l investmen	national c it progran	limate 1me have



Household with unsafe water of Preah Sihanouk Province by

11. Community vulnerability and risk map Poverty Map of Preah Sihanouk Province by Commune

B. Action Planning

Prognoses of interventions based on in-depth community consultation in target provinces. Information in below tables established the basis to identify the catalogue of intended sub-projects.

I. In Kep Province

Commune/ Sangkat of	Main Climate Change Impact issue	Activities		
Kep Province				
Angkaol	1. Strong winds (more than 100 HH in 2013 and 20-30 per year)	1.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	1.2. Demonstration of resil- ient housing design	
	2. Sea water floods	2.1. Protective infrastructure (road or dam)		
	3. SLR and beach ero- sion	3.1. Erosion vulnerability as- sessment and hazard map	3.2. Protective infrastruc- ture (road)	
Pong Tuek	1. Strong winds (20-30 HH per year)	1.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	1.2. Demonstration of resil- ient housing design	
	2. SLR and saliniza- tion	2.1. Advocacy on reforestation of the coast-line	2.2. Protective infrastruc- ture (canal, fresh water res- ervoir)	2.3. Salt-resilient crops for agriculture
	3. Beach erosion	3.1. Erosion vulnerability as- sessment and hazard map	3.2. Protective infrastruc- ture (road)	
Prey Thom	1. Drought	1.1. Fresh water reservoir		
	2. Lack of water supply	2.1. Rain water harvesting	2.2. Piped water supply	2.3. Advocacy esp. to chil- dren and women about health issues of unsafe wa- ter
	3. Strong wind (60 HH	3.1. Advocacy on planting more	3.2. Demonstration of resil-	
	destroyed per year)	trees	ient housing design	
Кер	1. Flood	1.1. Improvement of flood-pro- tective 3-4 km long canal		

			-	
		(shared with Ou Krasar com-		
		mune)		
	2. Drought	2.1. Water supply from Kampot		
		is a goal of the CIP for 2022, but		
		water shortage is an urgent issue		
		of today		
	3. Strong wind (20 HH	3.1. Advocacy on planting more	3.2. Demonstration of resil-	
	destroyed per year)	trees	ient housing design	
Ou Krasar	1. Strong wind	1.1. Advocacy on planting more	1.2. Demonstration of resil-	
	-	trees	ient housing design	
	2. Unsafe water	2.1. Awareness on health issues		
		to unsafe water and how to		
		avoid		
	3. Drought	3.1. Rehabilitation of irrigation	3.2. Drought-resilient crop	
		and capacity to harvest water	for agriculture	
		during dry season	-	

II. In Preah Sihanouk Province61

Com-	Main Climate Change	Activities		
mune/Sangkat of	issue			
Preah Sihanouk				
Province				
Tuek Thla	1. Drought	1.1. Rehabilitate reservoir located in		
	_	one village to improve the water sup-		
		ply for the whole year		
	2. Flood	2.1. Build water gate for existing res-		
		ervoir		
	3. Strong wind	3.1. Advocacy on planting more	3.2. Weather station,	3.3. Demonstration of
	-	trees	broadcasting extreme	resilient housing design

⁶¹ Because the project will not implement the concrete component in Koh Rong and logistical constrains, the mission from 11th to 16th of December 2017, where actions were identified, did not visit the Koh Rong commune, an island about 27 km from the mainland

			weather events and EWS	and training of local craftsmen
Tuek L'ak ⁶²	1. Drought	1.1. Build a reservoir or dam with water gate to keep water		
	2. Flood	2.1. Assess possible infrastructure like canals to channel rain water		
	3. Strong wind	3.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	3.2. Weather station, broadcasting extreme weather events and EWS	3.3. Demonstration of resilient housing design and training of local craftsmen
	4. Decline of man- groves	4.1. Make eco-tourism areas accessible	4.2. Demarcation of ar- eas for eco-tourism	
Samakki	1. Flood	1.1. Repair the water gate		
	2. Strong wind (100 HH per year de- stroyed in Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak and Sa- makki)	2.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	2.2. Weather station, broadcasting extreme weather events and EWS	2.3. Demonstration of resilient housing design and training of local craftsmen
	3. Drought (Jan-May no drinking water. It needs to be bought costly from neigh- bouring communes)	3.1. Build dam and water gate that keeps water for 100 ha of land dur- ing the dry season		
	4. Decline of man- groves	4.1. Make eco-tourism areas accessible	4.2. Demarcation of ar- eas for eco-tourism	
Veal Rinh	1. Strong wind	1.1. Advocacy on planting more trees	1.2. Weather station, broadcasting extreme weather events and EWS	1.3. Demonstration of resilient housing design and training of local craftsmen

⁶² Natural protected area of Kampong Smach involving 6 communes of Prey Nob District (Tuek Lak, Samakki, Veal Renh, Ou Oknha Heng, Samrong and Boeng Taprom).

	2. Drought (Jan-May	2.1. Improve access to drinking wa-		
	no drinking water. It	ter by building dam or channel water		
	needs to be bought	through canals		
	costly from neigh-			
	bouring communes)			
	3. Flood	3.1. Channel floods through canals		
		and water gates		
	4. Decline of man-	4.1. Make eco-tourism areas accessi-	4.2. Demarcation of ar-	
	groves	ble	eas for eco-tourism	
Samrong	1. Drought	1.1. Build water gate to channel and harvest rain water		
	2. Flood	2.1. Repair roads that were damaged	2.2. Build water gate to	
		by floods	channel rain water dur-	
			ing heavy rainfalls	
	3. Strong winds	3.1. Advocacy on planting more	3.2. Weather station,	3.3. Demonstration of
		trees	broadcasting extreme	resilient housing design
			weather events and	and training of local
			EWS	craftsmen
	4. Decline of man-	4.1. Make eco-tourism areas accessi-	4.2. Demarcation of ar-	
	groves	ble	eas for eco-tourism	
Prey Nob	1. Drought	1.1. Rehabilitation of canals in Ok-		
		nha Heng could keep the water chan-		
		nelled in Prey Nob		
	2. Flood (affects esp.	2.1. Rehabilitation of canals in Ok-	2.2. Build drainage sys-	
	the market, the source	nha Heng can avoid floods in Prey	tem and sanitation sys-	
	of regular income of	Nob	tem esp. around the	
	the people)		market	
	3. SLR	3.1. Improve 8km of road to protect		
		the road to the garment factory from		
		SLR		
Ou Oknha Heng	1. Salinization	1.1. Rehabilitation of protected dam	1.2. Improvement of	
		along 3 villages in order to avoid	canals across the com-	
		sea-water intrusion of the rice fields	munes	

	2. Drought	2.1. Rehabilitation of canal to pro-	2.2. Build barriers for	
		vide fresh water during dry season	animals to avoid con-	
			tamination of fresh wa-	
			ter reservoirs	
	3. Decline of man-	3.1. Make eco-tourism areas accessi-	3.2. Demarcation of ar-	
	groves	ble	eas for eco-tourism	
Boeng Taprom	1. Flood	1.1 Rehabilitate the canal to channel		
• •		floods and harvest fresh-water in the		
		dry season		
	2. Salinization	2.1. Rehabilitate the canal to protect	2.2. Build dam (or pro-	
		fresh-water from sea-water intrusion	tective infrastructure)	
			to mitigate SLR	
	3. Decline of man-	3.1. Make eco-tourism areas accessi-	3.2. Demarcation of ar-	
	groves	ble	eas for eco-tourism	
Sangkat Muoy	1. Drought	1.1. Build water pipelines. Esp. peo-	1.2. Wastewater sew-	
		ple living on the hill-side cannot ac-	age system can also	
		cess water during the dry season.	avoid contamination of	
		Approx. 500 HH have no access to	rain water, which other-	
		safe drinking water.	wise goes straight into	
			the sea. But difficult to	
			implement due to land	
			ownership issues.	
	2. Strong wind	2.1. Advocacy on planting more	2.2. Demonstration of	
		trees	resilient housing design	
			and training of local	
			craftsmen	
	3. Lack of drainage	3.1. Build wastewater treatment	3.2. Channel drainage	
	system and	plant	to redirect the water	
	wastewater manage-		flow	
	ment system			
Annex 2:

I. Compliance with National technical standards and NDC

Key challenges of project	Priorities of National Climate Change	Priorities of Nationally Determined Contribution
There is a need to develop an inte- grated approach and policy and op- erational level to effectively address climate change.	 NSDP (2014-2018) Prepare necessary policies and legal frameworks, such as the Law on Roads, Law on Ports, and the Law on Road Transport. Prepare a Master Plan for Urban Infrastructure Development. Adopt and use the Royal Decree and SubDecree on the Establishment of a Committee for Land Management and Urban Planning at all levels for land management plan in municipalities and provinces. Push to adopt and implement integrated strategy for developing Cambodia's coastal zones and Preah Sihanouk Master Plan. 	 NDC (and its future revisions) are to be an integral part of the climate change architecture of Cambodia. Hence its implementation will be aligned with that of Cambodia's national climate change policy, and not create unnecessary duplication. Cambodia intends to support the initial delivery of the NDC mainly through the implementation of the Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan (CCCSP) (2014 – 2023). There are a number of existing and planned domestic processes for delivering, supporting, and monitoring climate change policy in Cambodia. It is clear that these strategies and plans will need to be revised once the timeframes expire, after having assessed the progress achieved under them.
There is a need to ensure that build- ings constructed in urban and rural areas are cyclone resistant.	 NSDP (2014-2018) Continue to adopt the National Housing Policy. Prepare and adopt the Construction Law, construction standards, sub-decrees, and legal policy documents related to the construction work. 	-
There is a need to strengthen the role of local governments in building resilience.	 NSDP (2014-2018) Strengthen technical and institutional capacity to promote the mainstreaming of climate 	 Promoting and improving the adaptive capacity of communi- ties and restoring the natural ecology system to respond to climate change.

	change response into the policies, laws and plans at national and sub-national level.	- Strengthening climate information and early warning systems.
There is a need for greater under- standing of the impacts of climate change in order to better plan for long term development.	CCCSP (2014-2023) - Enhance awareness for climate change re- sponse.	 Promoting groundwater research in response to drought and climate risk. Developing crop varieties suitable to Agro-Ecological Zones (AEZ) and resilient to climate change (include coastal zones).
There is a need to ensure climate change mitigation and adaptation be- come a part of the national and sub national development planning and budgetary process.	 CCCSP (2014-2023) Promote integration of the CCCSP into other national strategies (e.g. NSDP) Mainstream climate change into national and sub-national development plans and the NSPS. 	 Promoting and improving the adaptive capacity of communities, especially through community based adaptation actions, and restoring the natural ecology system to respond to climate change. National grid connected renewable energy generation (solar energy, hydropower, biomass and biogas) and connecting decentralised renewable generation to the grid. Off-grid electricity such as solar home systems, hydro (o, mini and micro). Reducing emissions from waste through use of bio-digesters and water filters.
There is a need to increase the re- sourcing of adaptation and mitigation measures.	 CCCSP (2014-2023) National climate change financing mechanisms shall support CCCSP. The Climate Finance Sub-group of the Climate Change Technical Team (CCTT) leads the development of a national climate change. CCAP (2016-2018) The multi-donor modality consisting of SIDA, UNDP and EU (USD 12,8 M for 2014-2019) The dedicated/global funds for climate change (i.e. CIF, GEF, AF, and UN-REDD) Dedicated/global funds for climate change are expected to play a more important role as 	 Cambodia requires support in the form of financing, capac- ity building, and technology transfer to implement the ac- tions set out in this NDC.

	 their funding scales are expected to get larger. Key bilateral partners supporting MOE (i.e., GIZ, USAID, JICA, KOICA, UKAID, and SIDA) 	
There is a need to strengthen part- nerships at all levels for building resil- ience for climate change.	 NSDP (2014-2018) Continue to provide technical support to the councils of all municipalities, districts, khans, communes, and sangkats in the preparation of master plans and land use plans. CCCSP (2014-2023) Strengthen partnerships between development partners, civil society, the private sector and the Government. Improve the national weather monitoring and forecasting systems and develop partner- 	 Strengthening technical and institutional capacity to conduct climate change impact assessments, climate change projec- tions, and mainstreaming of climate change into sector and sub-sector development plans.
	 ships for creating downscaled models of fu- ture climate. Develop a knowledge management centre for facilitating access to up-to-date infor- mation for climate change responses. 	

C. Locations of target Communes and Sangkats in Preah Sihanouk and KepProvince



1. Location of Preah Sihanouk Province in Cambodia.



2.All target communes and sangkats in Preah Sihanouk.



3. Commune Tuek Thla commune in Prey Nob District.

s

4. Location of Tuek L'ak commune in Prey Nob District.





6. Location of Veal Renh commune in Prey Nob District.

5. Location of Samakki commune in Prey Nob District.



7. Location of Samrong commune in Prey Nob District.



8. Location of Prey Nob commune in Prey Nob District.



9. Location of Ou Oknha Heng commune in Prey Nob District.



10. Location of Boeng Taprom commune in Prey Nob District.







12. Location of Koh Rong in Preah Sihanouk Province.



14. Location of Ou Krasar commune in Kep Province..

15. Location of Prey Thom in Kep Province.



16. Location of Kep commune in Kep Province.

II. The National Strategic Development Plan (NSDP) (2014-2018)

1. Planned actions on environmental sustainability

- Sustainable management of natural resources,
- Intensifying efforts to reduce the impact of climate change by strengthening the adaptation capacity and resiliency to climate change, particularly by implementing the "Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan 2014-2023", "National Policy on Green Development" and the "National Strategic Plan on Green Development 2013- 2030".
- Continuing to strengthen technical and institutional capacity to promote the mainstreaming of climate change responses into the policies, laws and plans at national and sub-national levels.
- Continuing to introduce measures to control environment and ecosystems.

2. Planned actions on environmental protection and conservation and climate change

Produce maps, install boundary poles, demarcate the boundary of controlled areas, and carry out data management in Protected Areas (PA)

- Establish National Flora Park and National Marine Park
- Demarcate potential areas for enhancing livelihood of the communities living in PAs
- Strengthen management and conservation of wetlands, biosphere, and coastal zones
- Continue to organize Wetland Day
- Strengthen the capacity of the secretariat of the National Committee for Climate Change Management, in coordinating inter-ministerial activities and also in managing national climate change funds
- RGC is committed to full implementation of Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan 2014-2023
- 3. Planned actions on road and road safety
- Improve more 3,500 Km of road infrastructure in the next 5 years
- Continue the preparation of 10 years road safety action plan
- Strengthen environmentally friend urban transportation

4. Planned actions on water resource and irrigation system management

- Promote studies on floods
- Construct flood-control and drainage structures for minimizing natural disasters caused by floods
- Undertake actions to mitigate floods areas having high economic potential, through imparting education to communities via mass media
- Respond to the needs of people residing in areas affected by drought, flood and other calamities caused by water,
- Encourage people and institutions to participate in flood mitigation: identifying flood-safe grounds, providing materials and machineries, education; and disseminating new technologies
- Participate in national and international programs aimed at mitigating the impact of flood disasters
- Forecast and announce emergencies to people living in areas affected by droughts, floods and other fragilities
- Develop geographical map for irrigation systems, flood control systems, polders, river basins, inundated land areas, and water resource management

5. Planned actions on land management and urban planning

- Land Management and Urban Planning.
- Make and approve the Law on Land Management and Urban Planning, and related legal policy documents for implementing this Law.
- Adopt and use effectively, according to the hierarchy as set by the Policy on Land Management of the Kingdom of Cambodia, the Royal Decree and Sub-decree on the Establishment of a Committee for Land Management and Urban Planning at all levels for land management plan in municipalities and provinces, especially for Phnom Penh City and provinces that lie along the coastal areas; master plans and land use plans for municipalities and urban areas of provinces lying next to the borders of Cambodia, Vietnam and Laos.
- Enhance the effective implementation of Cambodia's coastal zones management and development through the Circular on Coastal Zones Management and Development, and to push for adoption and implementation of integrated strategy for developing Cambodia's costal zones and Preah Sihanouk Master Plan aiming to sustainably maintain the prestige of the most beautiful beach in the world and the green environmental zones of the Cambodian Sea.
- Continue to adopt the National Housing Policy in order to resolve housing problems for poor people so they can live in safety, welfare, and in dignity.
- Continue to provide technical support to the councils of all municipalities, districts, khans, communes, and sangkats in the preparation of master plans and land use plans.

- Strengthen the effectiveness of work on collection, compilation, and production, as well as the dissemination of data and statistics for land management and urban planning.

6. Planned actions on management development and construction

- Coordinate and facilitate the investments in the construction sector by paying attention to the strengthening of partnership with the private sector to boost the country's economy and create employment opportunities for citizens.
- Prepare and adopt the Construction Law, construction standards, sub-decrees, and legal policy documents relating to the construction work for effective implementation.
- Strengthen mechanism and capacity of technical staff in order to effectively improve the administrative services, and continue to implement the de-concentration policy in the construction sector.
- Continue to widely disseminate to the general public the legal policy documents relating to construction work and the procedures on requesting a permit for building constructions.
- Enhance the capacity of physical persons and legal persons who make professions in the construction sector, and to better uphold the local construction industry to be able to compete, study/implement the construction project, and build mega constructions by ourselves, as well as to provide reliable services with quality and effectiveness both in the country and in the regions.
- Strengthen the effectiveness of work on collection, compilation, and production, as well as the dissemination of data and statistics for the construction sector.

III. The Cambodia Climate Change Strategic Plan (CCCSP) (2014-2023)

1. Strategic Objectives and Strategies

Strategic Objective 2: Reduce sectoral, regional, gender vulnerability and health risks to climate change impacts

- Strategies
 - Use existing vulnerability and risk assessments, and conduct new ones where necessary, to prioritize adaptation measures for key regions of Cambodia, such as coastal zones, highlands, rural and urban areas.
 - Implement key actions identified in the Sectoral Climate Change Strategic Plans (SCCSPs) of the line ministries for addressing climate change impacts.

- Promote integration of the CCCSP into other national strategies such as the National Strategic Development Plan (NSDP) and the National Social Protection Strategy (NSPS).
- Promote community-based adaptation approaches and strengthen partnerships between development partners, civil society, the private sector and the Government.
- o Promote the use of appropriate technologies on livestock and crop production for vulnerable farmers.
- o Improve the efficiency of the fisheries sector management.
- o Improve water and forest ecology, mangrove ecosystems, coastal zones and protected areas.
- o Promote natural rubber production in a sustainable way by focusing on both adaptation and mitigation measures.
- o Promote livestock production and protection of animal health in sustainable ways.
- Improve healthcare infrastructure and capacity of health personnel to cope with vector-borne and water-borne diseases in the context of climate change.
- Introduce technologies in water work development and rehabilitation in response to the negative impacts of climate change.
- o Promote capital-intensive urban transport infrastructure planning and development.
- o Enhance the quality of rural infrastructure (roads, irrigation, wells and culverts) to be resilient to flood and drought.
- Promote early warning systems.
- \circ $\;$ Prioritize women's needs in climate change adaptation and mitigation actions.

Strategic Objective 3: Ensure climate resilience of critical ecosystems (Tonle Sap Lake, Mekong River, coastal ecosystems, highlands, etc.), biodiversity, protected areas and cultural heritage sites

- Strategies
 - o Strengthen biodiversity conservation and restore ecosystems threatened by climate change.
 - Promote and encourage community-based, ecosystem-based approaches and ecotourism as cost-effective ways of addressing climate change.
 - Promote payment for ecosystem services including REDD+.
 - o Promote participatory land-use planning.

Strategic Objective 5: Improve capacities, knowledge and awareness for climate change responses

- Strategies

- Enhance the implementation of Article 6 of the UNFCCC on education, training, awareness, participation and access to information by the people, and international cooperation for climate change response.
- Strengthen existing channels for promoting awareness on climate change through government service providers, teachers, journalists, extension services, religious leaders and community elders.
- Develop targeted awareness programmes aimed at key audiences such as most vulnerable groups, women, children, youths and minorities.
- Facilitate public access to information on climate change through radio, television, newspapers, mobile and web technologies and targeted outreach materials.
- Sensitize the private sector on threats and opportunities of climate change (technical support, financing and technology transfer), and develop public-private partnerships for communication.
- \circ $\;$ Integrate climate change into curricula for all levels of education.
- Strengthen education quality of teachers and build capacity of planning officers on teaching and learning methodologies of climate change.
- Strengthen the capacity for collection, analysis, modelling and interpretation of climate data and information dissemination to various end-users, including seasonal forecasting for adaptation and community early-warning facilities for disaster risk management.
- Improve the national weather monitoring and forecasting systems and develop partnerships for creating downscaled models of future climate.
- o Develop early-warning systems and programmes for climate-related disaster management and recovery.
- Strengthen the role of universities in training, research and technology development by building international partnerships for climate research.
- Capitalize on lessons learned, local knowledge and good practices for development of policies and actions for adaptation and mitigation.
- Develop a 'knowledge management centre' for facilitating access to up-to-date information for climate change responses.

Strategic Objective 7: Strengthen institutions and coordination frameworks for national climate change responses

- Strategies
 - o Mainstream climate change into national and sub-national development plans and the NSPS.

- o Reinforce the national institutional framework and inter-ministerial coordination in policy development.
- Strengthen roles and capacities of the NCCC Secretariat for coordination of climate financing and as a national implementing entity for global climate funds.
- Develop a national monitoring and evaluation framework for climate change responses and integrate it into the NSDP and the NSPS.
- Encourage all ministries to develop Sectoral Climate Change Strategic Plans and action plans, and to engage in the CCCSP process.

2. Partnerships

It is important to recognize that development partners, NGOs (both national and international), the private sector and local communities and organizations are important actors in the downstream implementation of climate change activities, as well as in research and development and learning associated with climate change.

3. Financing resources

- National climate change financing mechanisms shall support this strategic approach, The application of the following principles:
- Alignment with national priorities: The use of financial resources shall respond to national priorities through funding
 programmes and projects identified in action plans under the CCCSP. All proposed climate change financing shall be
 subject to NCCC review and approval.
- Pooling resources: In order to minimize transaction costs, climate change finance shall be provided whenever possible through pooled funding mechanisms. These include any existing pooled funding mechanisms in relevant sectors, and the possibility of a dedicated climate change fund.
- Use of national systems and procedures: The RGC's preferred modality for climate change financing over the medium to long term is direct budget support. However, as climate change is a relatively new field, a transitional period will be required to put in place adequate monitoring, evaluation and financial tracking systems to effectively assess the impact and efficiency of climate change budget support. A national climate fund may be set up to receive domestic and external financial support and allocate it to high priority climate change projects.

Subsidiarity: While climate change financing will need to be coordinated by the NCCC to ensure alignment with national priorities, financing mechanisms shall ensure that the resources are managed by the most qualified line ministries or

local governments. The NCCC Secretariat shall act as an implementer only for strategic or cross-cutting projects, which do not naturally fit within the mandate of another line ministry or sub-national administrations.

Management and Institutional Arrangements for Implementation

- The Climate Finance Sub-group of the CCTT is composed of the Ministry of Economy and Finance (MEF), MoE, Ministry
 of Planning, Council for the Development of Cambodia / Cambodia Rehabilitation and Development Board (CDC/CRDB)
 and the National Committee for Sub-National Democratic Development Secretariat (NCDD-S). This group shall lead the
 development of a national climate change financing framework, to be submitted to the NCCC by 2014.
- While the exact financing mechanisms remain to be determined, it is clear that domestic finance and the three main external sources of climate finance (global climate funds, bilateral climate funds and climate change related activities integrated in traditional sector projects) will need to be coordinated and aligned with the CCCSP. Coordination between 'vertical' projects and pooled funding mechanisms will also be required.

IV. Climate Change Action Plan (CCAP)

A total of 17 priority actions to the different strategic objectives of CCCSP will be implementing by MOE during the period 2016-2018, representing MOE's contribution to the first period of implementation of CCCSP.

1. Strategic Objectives

- o Strategic Objective 1: Promote climate resilience through improving food, water and energy (FWE) security
 - Action 1: Establish a Resilient Low Carbon Technology Hub for Food, Water, and Energy Security
- o Strategic Objective 2: Reduce sectoral, regional, gender vulnerability and health risks to climate change impacts
 - Action 2: Conduct national and sectoral vulnerability assessments
- Strategic Objective 3: Ensure climate resilience of critical ecosystems (Tonle Sap Lake, Mekong River, coastal ecosystems, highlands, etc.), biodiversity, protected areas and cultural heritage sites
 - Action 3: Conduct an assessment of climate change impact on biodiversity and test specific management options to cope with climate change
 - Action 4: Develop preliminary studies for the establishment of natural capital accounting
- o Strategic Objective 4: Promote low-carbon planning and technologies to support sustainable development

- Action 5: Develop the national GHG inventory system and preparation of contributes to Biennial Update Reports (BURs)
- Action 6: Facilitate GHG emission reduction through project and program carbon finance crediting mechanisms
- Action 7: Develop and test low carbon resilient approaches and options in urban areas
- o Strategic Objective 5: Improve capacities, knowledge and awareness for climate change responses
 - Action 8: Establish a knowledge management System on CC & GG
 - Action 9: Integrate CC and environmental issues into the curriculum at all Levels
 - Action 10: Engage and raise awareness of different target groups on CC and GG/sustainable consumption and production
- Strategic Objective 6: Promote adaptive social protection and participatory approaches in reducing loss and damage due to climate change
 - Action 11: Promote and improve the adaptive capacity of communities to respond to climate change
- o Strategic Objective 7: Strengthen institutions and coordination frameworks for national climate change responses
 - Action 12: Launch and Roll Out of the National and Sectoral M&E System
 - Action 13: Capacity building of national institutions coordinating the implementation of climate change response
 - Action 14: Support to line ministries to mainstream climate change into development planning and budgeting
 - Action 15: Strengthen legal and regulatory framework for resilient low carbon development
 - Action 16: Establish a national carbon finance framework
- Strategic Objective 8: Strengthen collaboration and active participation in regional and global climate change processes
 - Action 17: Institutionalize UNFCCC reporting

2. Potential Sources and Volume of Finance Climate Change Actions

Large part of the funds for the CCAP will be financed through the current development partners of MoE. The multi-donor modality consisting of SIDA, UNDP and EU are supporting the Cambodia Climate Change Alliance with total current funding of USD 12,8 Million for 2014-19. The dedicated/global funds for climate change i.e., CIF, GEF, LCDF, AF, FCPF, and UN-REDD are supporting projects in MoE. Dedicated/global funds for climate change are expected to play a more important role as their funding scales are expected to get larger. In addition, key bilateral partners supporting MoE on climate change work are Germany (through GIZ), USAID, JICA, KOICA, UKAID, and SIDA.

Annex 3: The Climate change vulnerability and disaster risk assessment: expected outcomes and methodology

I. Purpose and expected outcomes

In order to ensure that this project and related activities reduce the climate change vulnerability and disaster risks of communities/ethnic groups, we need to understand exactly what people and what areas are most vulnerable to its impacts and why. This information can be used to:

- 1. Identify low risk areas in which resilient infrastructure could be construction; and
- select and prioritize adaptation/resilient infrastructure options (in combination with community-based/ethic specific selection criteria for sub-projects.

1. Safeguards/AF ESP alignment

Conducting these assessments in this project also includes collecting information for (sub-) project compliance with safeguards/AF ESP (e.g. vulnerable people, natural habitats and land) and involving vulnerable and marginalized groups in the process.

II. The methodology

The climate change vulnerability and disaster risk assessment methodology used for this project will build on the existing governance structures in the settlements, workshop methods used by the Executing Entities, partners (e.g. social analysis, financial literacy) and key UN Habitat vulnerability assessment frameworks. These methods have all been recently and successfully employed in recent partnership projects between the project partners (UN Habitat, MoE and NCDD). It will also provide a framework for UN-Habitat, the national government and local authorities to engage in a dialogue with local communities/vulnerable groups. To do so, it provides a set of guiding approaches and questions for mobilizing communes, and collecting and analysing information at the community/vulnerable group level.

These methods are designed to feed into and strengthen planning processes on the commune, district and provincial level, by providing the most important, context-specific information about the impacts of climate change and local specific vulnerability and risks. It will include a focus on supporting broader participation by vulnerable groups in the plan making process, and thus to strengthen commune-level governance generally. This will include a combination of group-specific (young people, women, minority groups, people with a disability, older people) research and planning (including via existing committees) to sensitively identify key issues. This will be followed by measures to then encourage leadership and champions to bring these concerns into commune-wide governance processes and decision making at the plan making phase. Specifically, it will feed into local development plans (with a sectoral focus on land use and water use and infrastructure development) at the commune, district, provincial and national level by ensuring that these plans contribute to building the resilience of communities/vulnerable groups. The method is participatory/community based (i.e. part of UN Habitat's people's process⁶³) in the way that it assists communities/vulnerable groups to utilize UN-Habitat and governmental guidance and knowledge in their decision-making, rather than base interventions on it. Instead, the Project Team (comprised of the <u>UN-Habitat</u>_Project Manager, the Technical Advisor, NCDD, and the Director of the Department of Climate Change, MoE) acts as facilitators of group discussions that aim to analyse issues in the community/vulnerable group jointly. The result is that communities/vulnerable groups understand the nature of the problem and UN-Habitat and the Cambodian government understand the level of knowledge in the communities/vulnerable groups and how it can be used to achieve project outcomes, including conducting vulnerability and risk assessments at the provincial and district level. Whereas the method at the commune level is focused on community processes (the people's process), the method used for conducting assessments at the provincial and district level focuses more on institutional processes.

Understanding vulnerability at a commune level requires an approach that looks at both the physical (external hazard/risk) and social dimensions (internal susceptibility/coping of different groups) of vulnerability. Consequently, vulnerability is best understood as an aggregation of three components, exposure; sensitivity; and adaptive capacity (see key concepts below and example in Annex I. C.).

The approach for sangkats/communes, districts & provincial and national assessments are different as shown in the table below.

Level of as- sessment	Focus	Method	Output	Expected outcome
Sangkat/Com- mune	Community processes/ people's pro- cess	Commu- nity-based; group dis- cussion with ques- tions (see below) ¹ Separate discussions for vulnera- ble groups, particularly women and young peo- ple.	Filled question- naire; vulnerability and risk map; list of adaptation/ re- silient infrastruc- ture options and prioritized options	Understanding of communities'/vul- nerable groups' perceptions of cli- mate change vulnerability and disas- ter risks in the present and in the fu- ture. Based on this information, activ- ities (including infrastructure projects) to reduce vulnerabilities and risks can be identified and prioritized.
Province	Institutional: Guiding local	UN-Habitat vulnerability	Province level vul- nerability and risk	Climate change vulnerability and dis- aster risks in the present and in the

Sangkats/communes, provinces and national assessments approach.

⁶³ Development driven by people/Support Paradigm: when people stays at the center of development planning process, the resource can be optimized with greater utility impacting larger number of people: http://sopheapfocus.com/wp-content/uploads/2010/06/Picture-31.png People's process of development can be witnessed through the evolvement of people's desire to improve their lives. Humans developed their settlement from living in caves, then building shelters, and now home. Along this settlement evolution, they had also established certain norms, standards, and a mutual understanding surrounding their community. That is called the people's process of development.

	level pro- cesses and aligning as- sessment out- comes	assess- ment method ²	assessment re- ports, including maps; list of ad- aptation/ resilient infrastructure op- tions and priori- tized options	future mapped and analysed, includ- ing ways to cope with climate related risks as well as identifying and strengthening the sustainability of re- sources that local communities con- tinually use in coping and adapting to climate change impacts.
National	Institutional: Guiding local level pro- cesses and aligning as- sessment out- comes			Based on above information, barriers that stand in the way of increasing community level resilience to climate change can be identified and re- moved from national plans and poli- cies

¹ Based on UNDP (2015) Implementing the vulnerability reduction assessment – practitioner's handbook.
² Based on UN-Habitat (2014) Planning for climate change: strategic values-based approach for urban planners.

III. Key concepts

- Exposure nature and degree to which a system is exposed to significant climatic variations.
- **Sensitivity** responsiveness of a system to climatic influences (shaped by both socio-economic and environmental conditions).
- Adaptive capacity ability of communities to cope, reorganise and minimise loss from climate change impacts at different levels. The key determinant of adaptive capacity is access to resources/capital (natural, financial, social, human and physical).
- Climate change: A change of climate that is attributed directly or indirectly to human activity that alters the composition of the global atmosphere and that is in addition to natural climate variability observed over comparable periods.
- **Vulnerability:** Refers to the degree to which people, places, institutions and sectors are susceptible to, and unable to cope with, climate change impacts and hazards.

Sources:

IPCC, 2007, Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability, Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Parry, M.L., Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden, P.J., Hanson, C.E. (Eds.), Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 976 pp.

UN-Habitat planning for climate change guide, including vulnerability assessment methodology: http://unhabitat.org/books/planning-for-climate-change-a-strategic-values-based-approach-for-urbanplanners-cities-and-climate-change-initiative/

IV. Preparing for and planning the vulnerability and disaster risk assessment at the community level

When conducting the assessments, the Project Team will ensure that:

- There will be at least two trained facilitators per group (i.e. community/vulnerable group); one to ask the questions and the other to record the answers;
- Communities/vulnerable groups will be briefed about climate change at the start of the assessment;
- There will be a diverse cross section of participants by considering a 1) diverse geographic spread, 2) a good demographic spread (age, sex, status, income) and 3) good representation. Depending on the circumstances, assessment will be conducted with 'whole' groups, 'focus' groups or individuals. There will be specific
- A second round of participatory enquiry will be facilitated with women, young people, ethnic minority groups, and older people/people with a disability (those with mobility constraints/health conditions). Issues specific to these groups will be sensitively discussed to identify group-specific concerns. These will both inform the broader vulnerability assessment process and feed back into the general community planning process, so these concerns (where appropriate) can be voiced to build general community awareness.

V. Conducting the vulnerability and disaster risk assessment at the community level

1. Ethical Briefing

Purpose:

• To ensure communities/ethnic groups understand expectations and the process

Expected outcome:

• The communities/ethnic groups understand expectations and the process

The process:

- The briefing will include at least an explanation of:
 - Purpose of the session and what kind of information we are looking for
 - o What will the data collected be used for and who will see it
 - The process: collection, verification and confidence

2. Trend analysis

Purpose:

To understand community/vulnerable group perception of climate change in the past and for communities to become aware of changes and how climate change differs from weather change.

Expected outcome:

• Community member's agreement upon:

- A vulnerability/risk score for each time period:
 1. Not at all vulnerable
 2. Not very vulnerable
 3. Some vulnerability

 - 4. Vulnerable
 - 5. Very vulnerable
- One or two climatic hazards, which have most impacted them
 High vulnerable/risk areas in and around the community (on a map)

Climate Change	Before	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	Vulnerability/risk
Risks	1990	1995	2000	2005	2010	2015	score + comments
Droughts fre-							
quency/risks							
Drought duration							
Damage cause							
by drought							
(crops)							
Flood fre-							
quency/risks							
Flood duration							
Damage cause							
by flood							
Landslide fre-							
quency/risks							
Damage cause							
by landslides							
Strong							
wind/storms fre-							
quency/risks							
Damages caused							
by strong							
winds/storms							
Diseases fre-							
quency/risks							
Impact of dis-							
eases							
.							
Rain level							
Rain predictability							
		1		1	1		

3. Questionnaire (incl. adaptation activities/resilient infrastructure selection)

To analyse current and future climate risks, barriers to adaptation and factors/resources facilitating the coping strategies used by commune and way of improving their vulnerability:

- 1. The vulnerability of the community/vulnerable group to existing climate change and or climate variability
- What problems do you face because of the one or two most problematic climatic hazards (see result trend analysis) and how do these affect men and women in your commune?
- 2. The vulnerability of the community/vulnerable group to developing climate change risks
- If the most problematic climatic hazards (see result trend analysis) would occur twice as often, what would be the effect on men and women in your community/vulnerable group?
- The magnitude of barriers (institutional, policy, technological, financial, etc.) to adaptation
- What stops your commune from coping with current impacts of the most problematic climatic hazards (see result trend analysis)? These can be e.g. lack of skills, lack of irrigation, water supply, health, etc. related infrastructure, lack of natural resources like forests, water, etc.).

Climate Change	Factors stopping your commune	Ranking per climatic
NISK5	from coping with current impacts	Tiazaiu
The most problematic		
climatic hazards (see		
result trend analysis)		
The most problematic		
climatic hazards (see		
result trend analysis)		

- 4. The priorities to be addressed in strengthening the adaptive capacity of the commune
- What activities/infrastructure should be prioritized in order to improve your adaptive capacity to droughts, floods, landslides, heat/diseases, strong winds? What is most important for the commune?

Activities	Ranking

4. Community vulnerability and risk map

To understand where the vulnerable/risk areas are and where activities/infrastructure should be implemented/constructed in the commune a commune map should be developed showing at least:

- o Location of houses and critical infrastructure
- Location of poorest people
- Elevation levels (if possible)
- o Flood risk area
- o Poorly lit and otherwise unsafe areas for women
- Areas where older people and those with mobility restrictions have particular access issues
- o Areas that pose particular health risks to children, e.g. with effluent overspill
- o Poor surface drainage, including resulting from poor solid waste management
- o Drought risk area
- o Landslide risk area
- Dengue and malaria risk areas

The map will be drawn by hand on transparent paper to enable free symbolic representation of issues by place that are drawn to scale.

Community map	

5. Environmental and social problems and needs

The vulnerability and risk assessment can be used to get a better understanding of the environmental and social problems and needs in communes. This information can feed into the risk assessments of sub-projects. Community relevant Adaptation Fund safe-guard areas are discussed below.

Human rights

• Have you ever been mistreated or are you worried you will be mistreated by the UN, the government, other communities, other ethnic groups or anyone else?

Gender Equity and Women's Empowerment

 Have you ever felt discriminated as a woman or are you worried you will be discriminated? Is it difficult as a woman to participate in decision-making processes? If so, why?

Protection for Indigenous people and Marginalized and Vulnerable groups

 Have you ever experienced or seen discrimination against indigenous peoples or elderly, disabled people or youth?

Access and Equity

 Are different groups (ethnic, women, elderly, disabled, youth) in the community treated differently? If so, how? Who is normally responsible for taking care of elderly, disabled people and children? Who normally takes care of money, water and food in the household?

Promoting better labour and working conditions

• How much do you earn on average during a day? Do children also work/help in the community? If so, what do they do?

Enhancing community health, safety and security

 Have you ever experienced dangerous situations during work or in the community? Have people been injured? If so, what was the cause? What diseases do community members suffer from? Have unexploded ordinances been found? If yes, where? What are the main causes of death in the community? What do you do against malaria, dengue and diarrhoea?

Safeguarding land, housing, resettlement and rights

o Have you ever been asked to resettle or sell your land? If so, by whom and why?

Conserving biodiversity, Protection of Natural Habitats and lands and soil conservation

• Are there conserved or protected areas in or around the community? What areas should be protected to secure clean water and food/agriculture/fish/cattle?

Annex 4: Demonstrating compliance with the Adaptation Fund Environmental and Social Policy

A. SUMMARY DESCRIPTION

The proposed project's main objective is "to enhance climate change adaptation and resilience of the most vulnerable coastal human settlements of Cambodia through concrete adaptation actions, particularly in areas where eco-tourism has the potential to sustain such interventions." To achieve above objective, this project focuses its actions on highly vulnerable coastal settlements in Kep and Preah Sihanouk provinces. In Kep province the project will target five Sangkats/communes with a total of 36,684 beneficiaries. In Preah Sihanouk province the project will target ten Sangkats/communes with a total of 47,902 beneficiaries.

The project is structured around the following components:

- □ Component 1: Comprehensive vulnerability / baseline assessment and action plans completed in the target communes and provinces (USD 500,000).
- □ Component 2: Capacity built to design, monitor and manage infrastructure and natural assets, while also increasing capacity to plan for replication in other areas (USD 500,000).
- □ Component 3: Resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service interventions (USD 3,000,000).
- Component 4: Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured (USD 170,512).

B. SCREENING AND CATEGORIZING

The proposed project will fully comply with international and national laws and the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy. In line with UN-Habitats Environmental and Social Safeguards System and in line with the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy, UN-Habitat completed an initial risk analysis, screening and assessing potential environmental and social impacts for the proposed project.



Fig A.4.1. Screening and Assessment Process (from AF ESP Guidance Document, p. 5)

In line with the Adaptation Fund's guidelines all activities were screened against international and national laws and policies as represented in the left flow chart in Fig A.4.1. above and documented (see table 11 and 12 in Section II.E.). At this stage, significant risks were not identified and it is very unlikely that national ESIA procedures will be triggered. However, given that some of the intended sub-projects of the identified catalogue of sub-projects (see Annex 5) may pose environmental and social risks that could potentially result in the need for national ESIA procedures, the ESMP for the project implementation is taking this into consideration in terms of screening, assessment and responsibilities. At this stage all activities were also screened against the ESP principles.

Further, in line with the Adaptation Fund's ESP guidelines (flow chart on the right in Fig A.4.1.) the entire project has been screened and assessed (and mitigation measures proposed) against the 15 environmental and social principles as presented in Annex 5, and Table 6 in Section II.A. This reflects the knowledge and information available at the project design stage and does not exclude that other risks may arise once all sub-projects are re-confirmed. During project implementation, all project activities will be further screened for environmental and social risks applying the ESMP. Actions to mitigate such risks will also be planned through the ESMP, according to the procedures presented in this Annex.

In compliance with UN-Habitat's Environmental and Social Safeguards System (ESSS)a screening and assessment report was prepared based on the above screening and presented to UN-Habitat's Project Review Committee⁶⁴.

Based on UN-Habitat's ESSS this screening exercise and following the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund the overall risk ranking for this project is Category B, Project Components 1, 2 and 4 consist of studies, workshops, community consultations, training events, information sharing through print and web-based means. Thus, they are not expected to have environmental or social impacts. The only potential risk related to these activities is the unequal involvement of different groups in processes. This will be mitigated through quota systems, where possible, transparency of processes and thorough editorial review where applicable. Component 3 "Resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service infrastructure and natural assets", primarily comprises of concrete adaptation measures that will be further identified through community-based adaptation plans identified in Output 1.3. and based on the vulnerability assessment conducted in Output 1.2. The communities will be fully briefed on the ESMP, the project management will certify compliance, the local steering committees will approve the projects and the Project Management committee will provide oversight.

Potential social and environmental risks identified in Table 18 in Section K will be monitored from project outset. Further risk assessments will be conducted according to the procedure established in the latter part of this Annex (in line with the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP). Risk management will be integrated in the project management structure and in all assessment, planning and implementation elements of the project.

C. ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL MANAGEMENT PLAN

1. Introduction

The ESMP lists all potential risks identified and the preventive / mitigation measures proposed to reduce potentially adverse environmental and social impacts to acceptable levels. The plan also shows how these potential risks and mitigation measures will be further motored, including responsibilities. Specifically, the ESMP:

- (i) Identifies and summarizes all anticipated adverse environmental and social risks and impacts in line with the Adaptation Fund's ESP principles.
- (ii) Provides information about the significance of the risks of interventions.
- (iii) Describes mitigation measures, both from the perspective of mitigating risks at each activity and from the perspective of upholding all ESP principles.
- Refers to responsibilities and sections where responsibilities for further screening and monitoring is discussed.
- (v) Takes into account, and is consistent with, other mitigation plans required for the project in particular those that relate to national law.

⁶⁴ According to UN-Habitat's guidelines this report is not approved for public disclosure but a copy is made available to the Adaptation Fund Board / and Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat.

Sections II.A, E and II.K provide an overview of the 15 principles, the initially screened and assessed risks and potential need for further screening, assessments and monitoring throughout the project.

2. Foundation of Risk Mitigation

A detailed environmental and social assessment will be conducted as part of the comprehensive climate change vulnerability and disaster risk assessments in the target communes. (These assessments will themselves be approved for their compliance with the 15 ESP Principles). The reasoning for this is that the assessment will be much more comprehensive/detailed, including the involvement of vulnerable and marginalized groups, women, youth, elderly, etc., in all target communes, as could be done in the proposal development phase.

This approach is in line with the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy: "in some Category B projects where the proposed activities requiring an environmental and social assessment, represent a minor part of the project, and when the assessment and/or management plan cannot be completed in time or where mitigation measures extend into project implementation. The Board can approve the project subject to assurances included in the agreement signed between the Board and the implementing entity that any environmental and social risks will be adequately and timely addressed through a management plan or changes in project design."⁶⁵

The result of this approach (a detailed environmental and social assessment being part of the climate change vulnerability and disaster risk assessments) will be the production of detailed information on community level climate change vulnerabilities and disaster risks (including community maps) in combination with detailed information on:

- Cultural/ethnic, gender, elderly, disabled people, youth specific needs and user practices regarding houses and different infrastructure types/services (e.g. water supply/collection, irrigation, sanitation).
- □ Cultural/ethnic, gender, elderly, disabled people, youth specific needs and user practices regarding health and hygiene (e.g. related to dengue, malaria, water and sanitation).
- Other information regarding safeguards at community level (e.g. mapping of biodiversity, natural habitats, Lands and Soil, cultural heritage and human rights situation for certain ethnic groups.

Based on this information (i.e. community and climate change adaptation criteria) and the assessment of environmental and social risks the most appropriate sub-projects per commune of the catalogue of intended sub-project will be selected.

3. Additional Risk Mitigation

Additional to the risk mitigation measures identified below, the following elements will be put in place to ensure the compliance with the ESP:

(i) All MoUs and Agreements of Cooperation with Executing Entities will include detailed reference to the ESMP and in particular the 15 ESP Principles.

⁶⁵ Adaptation Fund Environmental and Social Policy (March 2016), paragraph 9, Page 3

- (ii) The ToR of Committees and Advisory Groups, project personnel and focal points will include detailed reference to the ESMP and in particular the 15 ESP Principles.
- (iii) All key Executing Entity Partners will receive training / capacity development to understand the 15 Principles, the ESMP and in particular their responsibilities. This will include members of the Project Management Committee, the Local Steering Committees and the Communities.
- (iv) A Monitoring and Evaluation Framework, including monitoring of risks and mitigation measures, will be developed by the project management team and presented for approval to the Project Management Committee.
- (v) The UN-Habitat Human rights officers and PAG will check project compliance to the AF ESP and the Environmental and Social Safeguard System of UN-Habitat during the project (besides the project manager).
- 4. Risk Screening and Management Procedure

All project activities will be screened against the 15 environmental and social risks. This will be done in spite of any previous screening that may have already been done during the project design phase. In addition to upholding the ESP of the Adaptation Fund and to familiarize all project stakeholders with the 15 ESP principles, this will also ensure that all stakeholders fully take ownership of the environmental and social safeguards procedures of the project and that any activity that may have been altered or not yet assessed in full detail.

The following flow chart (Fig x) represents the risk management and safeguarding process during the project.



- ** In consultation with Technical Advisory Group
- *** All after activities to be approved by Project Management Committee
- Fig x Activity approval in the context of environmental and social risk management

Step 1: Activity/sub-project design at the project management level or through Els or in close consultation with Communities is to take all 15 ESP principles into consideration.

Step 2: Project screening will be conducted under the direct responsibility of the national Team Leader. The risk screening can be found below in Annex 5 as catalogue of intended sub-projects.

Step 3: In consultation with environmental authorities and affected population, those responsible for the project design, the national Team Leader will confirm or identify and plan for mitigation measures.

Step 4: If and when needed additional monitoring mechanisms will be developed. Ongoing project monitoring will always be implemented.

Step 5: The project manager will clear the screening and assessment report after the local authorities and will submit it to the Project Management Committee.

Step 6: With additional information, activities may be rejected and thus a new project design will be required. Project activities may be approved with conditions, requiring either assessments in line with national procedures, minor design changes and additional mitigation measures or further monitoring. Such changes will have to be resubmitted for approval. Only approved activities can proceed to implementation and will be monitored. Where activity specific monitoring arrangements are needed, risk mitigation measures for all identified risks will include:

- ✓ A baseline and risk indicators
- A monitoring plan, developed in a participatory manner (in the case of community projects – the People's Process), which emphasizes the role of communities as front-line monitor-ing agents.
- Minutes will be compiled from all meetings with communities and reviewed by the Technical Committee.
- ✓ Ongoing monitoring exercises and an end of year review will be carried out and included in the annual progress reports.

The UN-Habitat Project Manager will ensure that screening and assessments adequately include and/or reflect the following:

✓ The 15 ESP Principles

- ✓ Utilize strategic, sectoral or regional environmental assessment where appropriate.
- Assess adequacy of the applicable legal and institutional framework, including obligations under Applicable Law and confirm that the activities / sub-project would not be supported if it contravenes (inter) national obligations.
- Assess feasible investment, technical, and siting alternatives, including the "no action" alternative, as well as potential impacts, feasibility of mitigating these impacts, their capital and recurrent costs, their suitability under local conditions, and the institutional, training and monitoring requirements associated with them.
- ✓ Enhance positive impacts and avoid, minimize, and/or mitigate adverse impacts through environmental and social planning and management. Develop a management plan per concrete intervention that includes the proposed measures for mitigation, monitoring, institutional capacity development and training (if required), an implementation schedule (including maintenance), and cost estimates.

- ✓ Ensure compliance with international standards and, where appropriate, use independent advisory panels during preparation and implementation of sub-projects that contain risks or that involve serious and multi-dimensional social and/or environmental concerns.
- ✓ Examine whether particular individuals and groups may be differentially or disproportionately affected by the sub-project potential adverse impacts because of their disadvantaged or marginalized status, due to such factors as race, ethnicity, gender, age, language, disability, sexual orientation, religion, political or other opinion, national or social or geographical origin, property, birth or other status including as an indigenous person or as a member of a minority. Where such individuals or groups are identified, recommend targeted and differentiated measures to ensure that the adverse impacts do not fall disproportionately on them.
- ✓ All proposed concrete interventions with environmental and social risks will be assessed and managed with the purpose to identify potential application of requirements of the Overarching Environmental and Social Policy (ESP) and Principles.
- 5. Project Grievance mechanism

UN-Habitat will implement a grievance mechanism in the target areas, which will allow an accessible, transparent, fair and effective means of communicating if there are any concerns regarding project design and implementation. Employees, and people affected by the project will be made aware of the grievance mechanism for any criticism or complaint of an activity.

This mechanism considers the special needs of different groups as well as gender considerations. A combination of mailboxes (at Commune level), confidential persons in the community and telephoning options offer an immediate way for employees and people affected by the project to express their concerns. The options will allow local languages and offer the opportunity for and people affected by the project to complain or provide suggestions on how to improve project design and implementation.

Project staff will be trained in procedures for receiving messages and on the reporting of any grievances. Community chiefs will also be briefed how to obtain feedback from community members on a regular basis. In addition, monitoring activities allow project participants to voice their opinions or complaints as they may see fit.

The address and e-mail address of the Adaptation Fund will also be made public (i.e. project website, Facebook and mailbox) for anyone to raise concerns regarding the project:

Adaptation Fund Board secretariat Mail stop: MSN P-4-400 1818 H Street NW Washington DC

I. Annex 5:

Annex 5: Environmental and Social Screening of the Catalogue of intended Sub-Projects

I. Resilience to strong winds
1. Resilient Housing 189
2. Weather Station with enhanced broadcasting and early warning system 198
II. Adaptation to droughts by enhancing freshwater supply 204
3. Water gates on existing reservoirs to improve water management of freshwater reservoir
4. Rainwater harvesting 212
5. Enhancing the coverage and quality of the piped water supply network 221
III. Flood prevention measures 230
6. Canal
7. Dam
8. Water gates on canals to channel floods 230
IV. Adaptation through enhanced Eco-tourism 239
9. Demarcation of and access to natural assets 239
10. Reforestation
V. Sea-level Rise, salinization and beach erosion247
11. Protective infrastructure in the coastal area to build resilience to SLR and salinization 247
12. Beach erosion 257
VI. Wastewater flooding, bank and soil pollution268
13. Enhanced wastewater management and drainage systems 268

ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL RISK ASSESSMENT FOR EACH SUB-PROJECT

The following catalogue of intended sub-projects is based on community and vulnerable group consultations of all target communes, which established the action planning presented in Annex I B. In this Annex, all suggested interventions of the action planning are screened for compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund based on the methodology described in Annex 4. The project proposal reflects in Part II. the sub-projects that were screened as compliant with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

I. RESILIENCE TO STRONG WINDS

SUB-PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET:

1. Resilient Housing



TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION		
1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Adaptation to strong winds through resilient housing Ensuring that people, and especially the most vulnerable groups, are safe during strong winds and storms	
2. Project number (if relevant)	1	
 Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination) 	 4 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sammeakki, Veal Renh 1 Sangkat in Sihanoukville Municipality: Sangkat Muoy 5 communes of Kep Province: Angkaol, Pong Tuek, Prey Thom, Kep and Ou Krasar. 	
4. Person who filled the form	Liam Fee and Cerin Kizhakkethottam	

5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017
6. Signature	
	TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS
TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BI	E DEVELOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)
 Activity description and or asse be developed 	 Assess quality of housing in target areas during Component 1 Build capacity of local craftsmen to implement resilient housing t to Design a work plan for each commune indicating the start and duration of the activity enhancing the construction in each target commune based on the vulnerability assessment and the participation of the beneficiaries. Start a pilot project in each target commune for a resilient housing design
8. Materials to be used	□ Wood, metal and ropes.
9. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed
10. Who owns the land the activity planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	At this stage it is thought that all houses targeted under this intervention are on pri- vate (owner-occupied) land. This will be re-confirmed during the action planning stage under Component 1, as the ownership status may change between now and then. The activity is planned only on land where the ownership status is cleared.
11. Start date of activity / works	Year 1
12. End date of activity / works	Year 3
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)
13. How will the asset be used	The safety of the most vulnerable suffering from poor housing will be guaran- teed through adapting to frequent strong winds through resilient housing de- sign.
14. Interventions required for appro ate use of the asset(s)	ppri- To ensure ownership with the activity, the intervention will be based on UN- Habitat's People's Process methodology, building upon a cost-effective par- ticipatory process. This means that local craftsmen and beneficiaries, where possible, will be trained on modifying the existing house construction into a resilient housing design.

 15. Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s) 	□ Form a management committee per commune to manage people's equitable access to hardware and to support maintenance and upgrading.			
16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 Twice during consultation in May and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discussions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: Issues relating to strong winds identified by vulnerable groups: Poorest of the poor: Financial difficulties to re-construct houses in a resilient way after being impacted by strong winds Woman: Destruction of houses and household goods. Elderly and disabled people: limited ability to evacuate in time Identified needs vulnerable groups: Poorest of the poor need immediate emergency relief Affected poor families need an emergency accommodation for the initial days and weeks after impact Pagodas/Mosques of each commune need to be designed for catering approx. 50 people after an impact and contain essential medicines. Needs of the community: Training on resilient housing design and a sustainable knowledge sharing platform helping people to follow a resilience plan and access trained craftsmen. 			
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 The Provincial Government in each province have been consulted in May, June and December 2017. Commune chiefs in the target area were consulted twice in 2017 in June and December. Preach Sihanouk, and Kep Provinces agreed on the proposed target com- munes and interventions and confirmed to facilitate the People's process. 			
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT				
 Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area 	 4 communes of Prey Nob District are affected by strong winds Strong wind corridors along the mountain chain Deforestation led to exposure to strong winds 			
	 Crops and agriculture is highly affected by storms Poor design of fishing boats led to boats capsizing during storms 	on the sea.		
--	---	---	--	--
19. Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area	All the land in the target areas is public. There are no involuntary resettle sues. Prey Nob District and the target communes consist of an almost ev of women (49%) and men. As described in II. A. there is a large number %) of Cham Muslims at the coastal line of Cambodia, that are not conside indigenous group. 19.4 % of the population in the target area lives below line and are dependent on fishery and agriculture. Strong winds led to los houses, boats and agricultural land and hence to a decrease of the source income. Additionally, there is poor quality housing, because most of the house used to re-construct the house.	ment is- ren number (up to 50 ered as an the poverty as of lives, se of regular nouses are are then		
20. Is an ESIA required by law?	No ESIA requirements are enforced by National law yet.			
TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK ARE	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES	Answer (Y/N)		
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance	with the Law			
1. Is there a risk that the activity does no	t comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	Ν		
Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and e	equity			
Is there a risk that the activity would e decisions that may affect them?	xclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in	Y		
 3. Is there a risk that the activity would impede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and sanitation, energy, education, housing, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? 4. Is there a risk that the activity does not provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all affected stakeholders? 		Ν		
		Ν		
5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerbates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul- nerable groups?				
Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and	nd marginalized groups			
6. Are there any marginalized or vulnera	ble groups present among project beneficiaries?	Y		
7. Is there a likelihood that the activity would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?				
 Could the activity potentially restrict av alized individuals or groups? 	vailability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin-	Ν		

Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights	
9. Could the activity lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population?	Ν
10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ- ation of women and girls?	Y
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	Ν
13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	Ν
17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	N
18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Ν
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Ν
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Ν
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats)	Ν

 and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? 	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Ν
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	N
27. Is monoculture foreseen? 28. Would the activity pose risks to endengered species?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	IN
20. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladan-	
tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	
30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	Ν
31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	Y
32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	Ν
33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health	
34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne dis- eases?	Ν
35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials?	Ν
36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage	
37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion	
38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots?	Ν
39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest?	N
40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation?	N

41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N						
TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE	POTENTIAL	ENVIRONMEN	TAL AND SOCIAL RISKS	Proposed Risk Mitig	ation Measu	res
AF principle number and description of risks	Probabil- ity (P) and Impact (I) Score 1 - 5	Signifi- cance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25	Comment (also to identify signif- icance of risk, i.e. evi- dence)	Mitigation measures proposed	Monitoring indicators	Frequency and responsibility for monitoring
4. Human Rights: 10. Possible affects to land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources	P= 1 I = 3	Low (3)	Resilient housing is de- signed to enhance ex- isting poor housing, where tenure arrange- ments are already clear, through strengthening the roof, walls and foun- dations of a house. Hence, the activity does not get involved with the question of land and/or tenure arrangements. Providing a resilient housing design is in compliance with the Na- tional Strategic Devel- opment Plan (2014- 2018)	The tenure status will be re-confirmed during the activities of compo- nent 1. The Project Manage- ment Committee will screen all finalized ac- tivities to ensure, <i>inter</i> <i>alia</i> , compliance with the law and upholding human rights.	Consultation with benefi- ciaries and commune chief	Baseline, regu- lar and end Project Man- agement Com- mittee

 Access and Equity: Risk that the activity would exclude any poten- tially affected stakehold- ers from fully participating in decisions that may af- fect them. And marginalized or vulnerable groups: Existence of marginal- ized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries. And Gender equality and women empow- erment: Likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situation of women and girls? 	P= 1 I = 4	Low (4)	Risk that the activity will exclude an unacknowl- edged stakeholders. Risk that marginalized and vulnerable group, especially women, are not included in decision making processes. Risk of adverse impact on gender equality and/or the situation of women	Participatory process (People's Process) and design will promote training to resilient housing widely. Resilient housing de- sign will be trained to local craftsmen, which was a specific joint re- quest of the vulnerable groups. Quotas for female par- ticipation in decision making at all levels.	Training report	Throughout the project Project leader
6. Core labour rights 14. Activity involve sup- port for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour stand- ards (i.e. principles and	P=2 I=3	Low (6)	i ne implementation of resilient housing design involves employment of local craftsmen. As the minimum wage in Cam- bodia is below ILO standards, there can be	knowledge of resilient housing design will be trained to local crafts- men to strengthen the local capacity and economy, which was a joint request of the vul- nerable groups.	Contract and payroll	vvnile formulat- ing contracts and disburse- ment of pay- ments Project Team

standards of ILO funda- mental conventions).			a risk of low or insuffi- cient salaries.	UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards through legal agree- ments with sub-con- tractors.		
12. Pollution and resource efficiency31. Activity potentially results in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous).	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	The materials used for resilient housing are mainly out of wood and metal. Construction/ re- habilitation will inevita- bly generate non-haz- ardous waste associ- ated with house` con- struction	Training on resilient housing will also con- tain capacity built on conservative waste pro- duction and the 3R. Contractors will be con- tractually obliged to re- move waste from the site and dispose of it in the proper facilities	Oversight of sites and pho- tos	While imple- menting Site manager
13. Public Health 36. Elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning poses potential safety risks to lo- cal communities.	P=1 I=3	Low (3)	There is limited knowledge of safe work conditions	Training on resilient housing will include ca- pacity building on safe working conditions. The local sub-contrac- tor will be instructed to provide safety features and equipment.	Identify work equipment	While hiring people Site manager

2. Weather Station with enhanced broadcasting and early warning system

SUB-PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET



TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION				
1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Resilience to strong winds through established wind early warning systems based on data developed from an automatic weather station. Ensuring that peo- ple, and especially the most vulnerable groups, are safe during strong winds			
2. Project number (if relevant)	2			
 Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination) 	Weather station in Prey Nob District; early warning systems/automatic sirens in all 8 communes of Prey Nob			
4. Person who filled the form	Liam Fee and Cerin Kizhakkethottam			
5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017			
6. Signature				

TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS

TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BE DEVELOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)

Activity description and or asset to	Establish wind early warning systems in all 8 communes of Prey Nob District based
be developed	on collected data of and automatic weather station in Prey Nob District

	 Establish one weather station being used by the department of Water Resources and Meteorology in Prey Nob District Broadcast early warning system through radio and TV Establish automatic sirens in 8 target communes of Prey Nob District and repair the existing early warning system in Sankat Muoy. If findings of the weather assessments make it possible, identify wind corridors and integrate hazard zones into a land use map.
8. Materials to be used	 Weather station: mainly metal Automatic sirens: mainly metal
9. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Com- ponent 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed
10. Who owns the land the activity is planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	The location for the weather station will be on public land within the compound of Prey Nob Provincial Hall The locations for automatic sirens has to be identified through activities in component 1 and 2. It is understood that the intervention will only be implemented on state public land which will be re-confirmed under component 1.
11. Start date of activity / works	Year 1
12. End date of activity / works	Year 2
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)	
13. How will the asset be used	 Weather station: Collects short-term weather forecast data of rainfall, storms, winds and temperature and alerts in case of an emerging climate hazard Automatic sirens: Will be installed in appropriate locations in all 8 communes of Prey Nob and will be repaired in Sankat Muoy.
14. Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s)	 Communication strategy and training on the use of weather station, warning systems, including automatic alarm and/or radio, phones and megaphones (where appropriate) Awareness raising campaign on the operation of the warning system and regular drillings.
 Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s) 	 Weather stations and early warning sirens will operate under coordinated supervision of the Director of the Provincial Department of Water Resources and Meteorology in corporation with the commune councils. If assessment of weather patterns allows a reliable zoning of hazards, training

	 of communities to avoid future urban development (i.e. houses construction) in hazard zones. Draft community by-laws that identify (maintenance) responsibilities for automatic sirens and hazard risks communication, if accepted by the community.
16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 Twice during consultation in May and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discussions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: Issues strong winds identified by vulnerable groups: Poorest of the poor: Financial difficulties to re-construct resilient housing after impact Woman: Destruction of houses and household goods. Elderly and disabled peoples: limited ability to evacuate themselves in time Identified needs vulnerable groups: Needs of the community: An early warning of strong winds could help to protect and cover household goods and shelter animals and inhabitants adequately. Needs of farmers: Broadcasting of weather patterns could help timing harvest-ing cycle accordingly.
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department of Water Resources and Meteorology of Preach Sihanouk Province twice times in May and December 2017. Commune chiefs of target area twice in 2017 in June and December. Preach Sihanouk Province agreed on the proposed target communes and interventions and confirmed that all target areas are on public land. The status of land ownership will be re-confirmed during Component 1.
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT	
18. Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area	 4 communes of Prey Nob District are affected by strong winds: Strong wind corridors along the mountain chain Deforestation led to exposure to strong winds Crops and agriculture are highly affected by storms Poor design of fishing boats led to boats capsizing during storms on the sea.

All the land in the target areas is public. There are no involuntary resettlence Prey Nob District and the target communes consist of an even number (49%) and men. As described in II. A. there is a large number (up to 50% Muslims at the coastal line of Cambodia, that are not considered as an in group. 19.4 % of the population in the target area live below poverty lin dependent on fishery and agriculture. Strong winds led to loss of lives, hou and agricultural land and hence to a decrease of regular income. Addition is poor quality of housing, because most the houses are built on steels with roofs. All savings are than used to re-structure the house.	ent issues. of women) of Cham ndigenous le and are ses, boats nally, there h thatched	
20. Is an ESIA required by law? No ESIA requirements are enforced by National law yet.		
TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREAS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES	Answer (Y/N)	
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance with the Law		
1. Is there a risk that the activity does not comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	Ν	
Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and equity		
Is there a risk that the activity would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?		
 Is there a risk that the activity would impede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and sanitation, energy, education, housing, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? Is there a risk that the activity does not provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all affected stakeholders? 		
Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups		
6. Are there any marginalized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries?	Ν	
7. Is there a likelihood that the activity would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected pop- ulations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?		
8. Could the activity potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin- alized individuals or groups?	Ν	
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights		
9. Could the activity lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population?	Ν	

10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	Ν			
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment				
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ- ation of women and girls?	Ν			
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?				
13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν			
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights				
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Ν			
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people				
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν			
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?				
		18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?		
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement				
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Ν			
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Ν			
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν			
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats				
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Ν			
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	Ν			
24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Ν			

Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Ν
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	Ν
27. Is monoculture foreseen?	Ν
28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	
29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	
30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	Ν
31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	Ν
32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	Ν
33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health	
34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne dis- eases?	Ν
35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials?	Ν
36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage	
37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion	
38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots?	Ν
39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest?	Ν
40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation?	Ν
41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability?	Ν

II. ADAPTATION TO DROUGHTS BY ENHANCING FRESHWATER SUPPLY

3. Water gates on existing reservoirs to improve water management of freshwater reservoir



1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Adaptation to droughts through establishing freshwater reservoir and water gates on existing reservoirs to improve water management during the dry season
2. Project number (if relevant)	3
3. Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination)	7 communes in Prey Nob District (Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sammeakki, Veal Renh, Samrong, Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng) and 1 Sangkat in Sihanoukville (Sangkat Muoy). 3 communes in Kep Province: Prey Thom, Kep and Ou Krasar
4. Person who filled the form	Liam Fee and Cerin Kizhakkethottam
5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017
6. Signature	fran Hee

	TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS
TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BE DEVE	ELOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)
 Activity description and or asset to be developed 	 Assess required capacity and best accessible location for intervention in target areas vulnerable to droughts and shortage of drinking water under component 1 Design freshwater management plan to improve channelling and distribution of freshwater Based on freshwater management plan, build/rehabilitate full automatic-sensor-based water gates, where possible
8. Materials to be used	□ Stone and metal for water gates. PV-System for electronical water gates.
9. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed
10. Who owns the land the activity is planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	At this stage, it is thought that all locations for water gates are to be entirely on state public land. As per Cambodia's Land Law – all bodies of water (the sea, rivers, and lakes) are classified as 'state public land'. This will be re-confirmed during the action planning stage under Component 1.
11. Start date of activity / works	Year 1
12. End date of activity / works	Year 3
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)	
13. How will the asset be used	The rehabilitation/building of water gates is in line with the Commune Invest- ment Plan and channels the freshwater especially in case of overflow during the rainy season to canals used by the communes.
 Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s) 	Capacity built on controlling opening of water gates based on freshwater management plan.
 Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s) 	Capacity building on maintenance and monitoring of the water gates based on the freshwater management plan under Component 2.

16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 During consultation in May, June and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discussions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: Uncontrolled opening of water gates led to contamination of channelled freshwater especially with salt and brackish water. This effected the access to drinking water for the most vulnerable poor, who depend on freshwater canals and made contaminated rice fields unfertile. Due to lack of piped water systems, the target communes face serious water shortage during the dry season from January to May. During the dry season drinking water has to be bought in tanks from adjacent communes. This led to pricing of water and financial restrains for the poorest households. Water became an unaffordable trade good that exacerbated the financial situation of the poorest households. Needs: Proper management of water gates based on a freshwater management plan to avoid contamination of drinking water
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department of Environment and Department of Water Resource and Meteorology in May and December 2017. Commune chiefs of target area in May, June and December 2017. Provinces agreed on the proposed target communes and interventions and confirmed that implementation is on public land.
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT	
18. Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area	 The target communes suffer from serious droughts during the dry season from January to May, which is causing chronic water shortages and a lack of drinking water. Additionally, droughts lead to salinization of surface and groundwater resources and low agriculture production
19. Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area	 Due to lack of basic services, neither of the rural communes are connected to water piped systems. Lack of irrigation systems and water shortage leading to low agricultural production declines the source of regular income.

The intervention is based on a project of the Commune Investment Plan. No ESIA requirements are enforced by National law yet.

TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREAS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES	Answer (Y/N)
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance with the Law	
1. Is there a risk that the activity does not comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and equity	
2. Is there a risk that the activity would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	Ν
3. Is there a risk that the activity would impede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and sanitation, energy, education, housing, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.?	Ν
4. Is there a risk that the activity does not provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all affected stakeholders?	Y
5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerbates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul- nerable groups?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups	
6. Are there any marginalized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries?	Y
7. Is there a likelihood that the activity would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	Ν
8. Could the activity potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin- alized individuals or groups?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights	
9. Could the activity lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population?	Ν
10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ- ation of women and girls?	Ν
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	Ν

20. Is an ESIA required by law?

13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services? N Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights 14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)? Y Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people N 15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area? N 16. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them? N 18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned? N Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity wotentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N		
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights 14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)? Y Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people N 15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area? N 16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples? N 17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples concerned? N Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N 19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats N 22. Is the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 23. Would the activity nove changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the a	13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)? Y Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people N 15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area? N 16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples? N 17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples concerned? N Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N 19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g., nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and	Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people N 15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area? N 16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples? N 17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them? N 18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned? N Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N 19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood? N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity poset arises	14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Y
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area? N 16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples? N 17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them? N 18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned? N Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats (e.g. could the activity pose a risk of introducing	Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and traditional livelihoods of indigenous peoples? N 17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them? N 18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned? N Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N 19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to conomic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse? N N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N 25. Coul	15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them? N 18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned? N Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N 19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity? N 25. Could the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive	16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	Ν
18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned? N Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N 19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats (e. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 25. Could the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement N 19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N 25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N	18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	Ν
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement? N 20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N 25. Could the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N 27. Is mo	Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions? N 21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats N 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity N 25. Could the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Ν
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)? N Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity 25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity? N 25. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N 29. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats 22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity N 25. Could the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of endangered species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? N 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity N 25. Could the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services? N 24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity N 25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Ν
24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods? N Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity 25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity 25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Ν
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity? N 26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species? N 27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Ν
27. Is monoculture foreseen? N 28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change N	26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	N
28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species? N Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	27. Is monoculture foreseen?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	N
	Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	

29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladaptation (e.g., negative effects in other areas)? N 30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water? N 31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of was materials, energy, and/or water? N 32. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)? Y 33. Will the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts? N 33. Will the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 35. Would the activity result in othertrains or dangerous materials? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? N 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N N N				
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency N 30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water? N 31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)? N 32. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of wates (both hazardous and non-hazardous)? N 33. Will the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts? N 34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 35. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? N 37. Will the principle 13: Physical and cultural heritage N 37. Will the principle 14: Physical and coil erosion N 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity lead to to conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of	29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Ν		
30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water? N 31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)? Y 32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts? N 33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides? N Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health N 34. Would the activity pose potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? N Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage N 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity leads to soil degradation? N 39. Will the activity leads to soil degradation? <td>Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency</td> <td></td>	Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency			
31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)? Y 32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts? N 33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides? N Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health N 34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 35. Would the activity pose potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? N Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage N 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N AF principle number and description of risk.	30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	Ν		
32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts? N 33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides? N Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health N 34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 35. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? N Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage N 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N AF principle number and description of risk. (e. evi-dence) Comme	31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	Y		
33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides? N Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health 34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? Y Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage Y 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N AF principle number and description of risks Comment (also to identify significance (= impact x, its or identify significance (= impact x, its or identify significance (= impact x, its	32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	Ν		
Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health 34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? 35. Would the activity cost potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? Y Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage Y 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N AF principle number and description of risks Probability (P) and Impact (I) Score 1 - 5 Comment (also to identify significance (= impact x probability) for monitoring posed <td>33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?</td> <td>Ν</td>	33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?	Ν		
34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases? N 35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? Y Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage Y 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g., knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity class the clearing of natural vegetation and/or does not match soil capability? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N AF principle number and description of risks Significance (= impact x probability for monitoring cance of risk, i.e. evidence) Mitigation measures proposed for the corver and responsibility for monitoring cance of risk, i.e. evidence)	Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health			
35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials? N 36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? Y Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage N 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N AF principle number and description of risks Significance (= impact x) pochality, Low: 1-7, Med: 8-14, High: 15-25 Comment (also to identify significance (action of rest), Low: 1-7, Med: 8-14, High: 15-25 Mitigation measures proposed not responsibility for monitoring indicators	34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne dis- eases?	Ν		
36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities? Y Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N AF principle number and description of risks Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5 Significance (= impact x) probability Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25 Mitigation measures proposed Mitigation measures proposed indicators Frequency and responsibility for monitoring indicators	35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials?	Ν		
Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage 37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion N 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N AF principle number and description of risks Probability (P) and Im pact (I) Score 1 - 5 Comment (also to identify significance of risk, i.e. evidence) Mitigation measures proposed Monitoring indicators	36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	Y		
37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)? N Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N N 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL Environmental AND-Social Risks?PROPOSED Risk MITICATION MEASURES Frequency and responsibility? AF principle number and description of risks Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25 Comment (also to identify significance of risk, i.e. evidence) Mitigation measures proposed Monitoring indicators	Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage			
Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion 38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? 39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N ABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL Environmental AND Social Risks? PROPOSED Risk MITICATION MEASURES AF principle number and description of risks Probability Nonitoring Med: 8-14 High: 15-25	37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)?			
38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots? N 39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL RISKS? PROPOSED RISK MITIGATION MEASURES Significance (= impact x, probability) Comment (also to identify significance of risk, i.e. evidence) Mitigation measures proposed AF principle number risks Probability (P) and Impact (I) Score 1 - 5 Comment (also to identify significance of risk, i.e. evidence) Mitigation measures proposed Monitoring indicators	Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion			
39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? N 40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL RISKS?PROPOSED RISK MITIGATION MEASURES AF principle number and description of risks Probability (P) and Impact (I) Score 1 - 5 Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25 Comment (also to identify significance of risk, i.e. evidence) Mitigation measures proposed Monitoring indicators Frequency and responsibility for monitoring	38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots?	N		
40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation? N 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability? N TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL RISKS?PROPOSED RISK MITIGATION MEASURES AF principle number and description of risks Probability (P) and Impact (I) score 1 - 5 Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25 Comment (also to identify significance of risk, i.e. evidence) Mitigation measures proposed Monitoring indicators Frequency and responsibility for monitoring	39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest?	N		
AF principle number and description of risks Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5 Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25 Comment (also to identify signifi- cance of risk, i.e. evi- dence) Mitigation measures pro- posed Monitoring indicators Frequency and responsibility for monitoring	40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation?	N		
AF principle number and description of risks Probability Score 1 - 5 AF principle number and description of risks Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5 AF principle number (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5 AF principle number (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5 AF principle number (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5 AG Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25 AG Comment (also to identify signifi- cance of risk, i.e. evi- dence) AG State Also AG				
AF principle number and description of risks Score 1 - 5 Ned: 8-14 High: 15-25 Score 1 - 5	TABLE 4. WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL RISKS? PROPOSED RISK WITIGATION MEASURES			
	AF principle number and description of risks AF principle number and description of risks AF principle number (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5 AF principle number (I) Score 1 - 5 AF principle number (I) AF principle number (I) AF principle number (I) Score 1 - 5 AF principle number (I) Score 1 -	equency and sponsibility r monitoring		

 2: Access and equity 4. A risk that the activity does not provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all affected stakeholders. And 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups 6. Existence of marginalized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries. 	P=2 I = 2	Low (4)	Risk that the activity will exclude an unacknowl- edged stakeholders. Risk that marginalized and vulnerable group, es- pecially women, are not included in decision mak- ing processes.	Participatory pro- cess (People's Pro- cess) and design will promote the inter- vention and will reach out broadly. Quotas for female participation in deci- sion making at all levels.	Training report And commu- nity consulta- tion reports	Throughout the design and im- plementation phase Project leader
6: Core labour rights 14. The activity in- volves support for employment or liveli- hoods that may fail to comply with na- tional and interna- tional labour stand- ards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)	P= 1 I = 3	Low (3)	The implementation of the intervention involves employment of local craftsmen. As the minimum wage in Cambodia is below ILO standards, there can be a risk of low or insufficient salaries. Training on monitoring and maintenance of intervention will include capacity building on safe working conditions. The local sub-contractor will	UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards through legal agree- ments with sub-con- tractors. Training on monitor- ing and maintenance of intervention will include capacity building on safe working conditions. The local sub-con-	Contract and payroll Identify work equipment	While formulat- ing contracts and disburse- ment of pay- ments While hiring people, Project leader

And 13: Public health 36. Elements of ac- tivity construction, operation, or decom- missioning pose po- tential safety risks to local communities.			be instructed to provide safety features and equip- ment.	tractor will be in- structed to provide safety features and equipment.		
12: Pollution and resource efficiency31. The activity may potentially result in the generation of waste (primarily non-hazardous)	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	Construction/rehabilitation will inevitably generate waste associated with in- frastructure construction.	Training on monitor- ing and maintenance will also contain ca- pacity built on con- servative waste pro- duction and the 3Rs. Contractors will be contractually obliged to remove waste from the site and dispose of it in the proper facilities	Oversight of sites and pho- tos	While imple- mentation

4. Rainwater harvesting

SUB-PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET



TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION				
1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Adaptation to droughts through rainwater harvesting			
2. Project number (if relevant)	4			
 Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination) 	7 communes in Prey Nob District (Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sammeakki, Veal Renh, Samrong, Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng) and 1 Sangkat in Sihanoukville (Sangkat Muoy). 3 communes in Kep Province: Prey Thom, Kep and Ou Krasar			
4. Person who filled the form	Liam Fee and Cerin Kizhakkethottam			
5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017			
6. Signature	chan the			
TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS				
TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BE DEVELOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)				
 Activity description and or asset to be developed 	 Assess frequency, capacity and best accessible location for intervention in target areas vulnerable to droughts and shortage of drinking water through Component 1 Assess capability of houses (esp. roofs as catchment area) to be used for rainwater harvesting 			

	Build and place rainwater collecting ponds, jars and rain gutter, where as- sessed as most effective
8. Materials to be used	 Concrete collecting pond Plastic jars Plastic or metal pipes Plastic rain gutter
9. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed
10. Who owns the land the activity is planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	At this stage it is thought that all locations for collective ponds and jars targeted un- der this intervention are on private (owner-occupied) land and will only be imple- mented with the agreement of the owner. This will be re-confirmed during the action planning stage under Component 1, as the ownership status may change between now and implementation. The activity is planned on land where the ownership status is cleared.
11. Start date of activity / works	Year 1
12. End date of activity / works	Year 3
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)	
13. How will the asset be used	 Rainwater harvesters can collect about 80% of the annual rain that falls on a catchment area. About 2500 litres of rainwater can be collected in 1 hour of moderate rainfall. Rainwater harvesting includes a filter system to provide drinking water and to avoid chronic water shortages.
 Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s) 	Capacity building on the usage of rainwater harvesting and safety measure on sustaining drinking water guality.
 Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s) 	 Capacity building to manage, operate and maintain the collecting ponds and jars (as per outputs 2.2 and 2.3 of the project)
16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	During consultation in May, June and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discus- sions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions

	 and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: Drought: Due to a lack of piped water systems, the target communes face serious water shortages during the dry season from January to May. During the dry season drinking water has to be bought in tanks from adjacent communes. This led to pricing of water and financial restraints for the poorest households. Water has become an unaffordable trade good that exacerbated the financial situation of the poorest households. Vulnerable families started using contaminated water ponds for farming and animals as drinking water, which infected 20% of the children with diarrheal. Needs: Rainwater harvesting measures to avoid chronic drinking water shortages during the dry season. If possible, policy on free drinking water during emerging drinking water shortages for the most vulnerable. Awareness on safe drinking water and health issues of contaminated water.
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department of Environment and Department of Water Resources and Meteorology in May and December 2017. Commune chiefs of target area twice in 2017 in June and December. Targeted province agreed on the proposed target communes and interventions and confirmed that all target areas are on land where the ownership status is clear and the willingness of the people is given.
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT	
18. Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area	 The target communes suffer from serious droughts during the dry season from January to May, which is causing chronic water shortages, especially a lack of drinking water. Additionally, droughts lead to salinization of surface and groundwater resources and low agriculture production
19. Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area	 Due to a lack of basic services, neither of the rural communes is connected to water piped systems. Lack of irrigation systems and water shortage leading to low agricultural production declines the source of regular income.

20. Is an ESIA required by law? No I	ESIA requirements are enforced by National law yet.	
TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREAS OF ANI	NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL	Answer (Y/N)
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance with t	he Law	
1. Is there a risk that the activity does not com	nply with an applicable domestic or international law?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and equity	/	
Is there a risk that the activity would exclud decisions that may affect them?	e any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in	Ν
 Is there a risk that the activity would impede sanitation, energy, education, housing, safe 	e access of any group to basic health services, clean water and a and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.?	Ν
Is there a risk that the activity does not prov fected stakeholders?	vide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af-	Y
Is there a risk that the activity exacerbates nerable groups?	existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul-	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and ma	arginalized groups	
6. Are there any marginalized or vulnerable gi	roups present among project beneficiaries?	Y
Is there a likelihood that the activity would h ulations, particularly people living in poverty	nave inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected pop- y or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	Ν
Could the activity potentially restrict availab alized individuals or groups?	ility, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin-	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights		
Could the activity lead to adverse impacts or or cultural) of the affected population?	on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social	Ν
 Would the activity possibly affect land tenur ary rights to land, territories and/or resource 	re arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- es?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality a	nd women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activ ation of women and girls?	ity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ-	Ν
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce dis participation in design and implementation	criminations against women based on gender, especially regarding or access to opportunities and benefits?	Ν
 Would the activity potentially limit women's account different roles and positions of wor 	ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into nen and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν

Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	Ν
17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	Ν
18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Ν
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Ν
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Ν
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	Ν
24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Ν
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	N
27. Is monoculture foreseen?	N
28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	
29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	

30. Does the activity	require signifi	cant consump	otion of raw materials, ene	rgy, and/or water?		N
31. Would the activity	v potentially re	esult in the ge	neration of waste (both ha	zardous and non-haza	rdous)?	Y
32. Would the activity circumstances wi	v potentially re th the potentia	esult in the released for adverse	ease of pollutants to the e local, regional, and/or trar	nvironment due to rout nsboundary impacts?	ine or non-routin	e N
33. Will the activity in	volve the app	lication of pes	sticides?			Ν
Adaptation Fund princi	ple 13: Publi	c health				
34. Would the activity eases?	result in pote	ential increase	ed health risks (e.g. from w	vaterborne or other vec	tor-borne dis-	Y
35. Would the activity and/or disposal o	/ pose potenti f hazardous c	al risks to con or dangerous r	nmunity health and safety naterials?	due to the transport, st	torage, and use	Ν
36. Would elements o communities?	of activity con	struction, ope	ration, or decommissionin	g pose potential safety	risks to local	Y
Adaptation Fund princi	ple 14: Phys	ical and cultu	ural heritage			
37. Will the proposed objects with histo knowledge, innov	l activity resul rical, cultural, rations, practio	t in interventic artistic, traditi ces)?	ons that would potentially a ional or religious values or	adversely impact sites, r intangible forms of cu	structures, or lture (e.g.	Ν
Adaptation Fund princi	ple 15: Land	and soil eros	sion			
38. Will the activity le	ad to the con	version of wet	lands, waterways, or woo	dlots?		Ν
39. Will the activity ca	ause the clear	ring of natural	vegetation and/or forest?			N
40. Is there a risk tha	t the activity l	eads to soil de	egradation?	and/ar daga not motob	aail aanabilitu?	N
41. IS there a risk tha	t the activity is	s designed wi	thout proper soil analysis	and/or does not match	soli capability?	N
TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THI	E POTENTIAL	Environmen [.]	TAL AND SOCIAL RISKS?	PROPOSED RISK MITIG	ATION MEASURE	<u>s</u>
AF principle number and description of risks	Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5	Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25	Comment (also to identify signifi- cance of risk, i.e. evi- dence)	Mitigation measures proposed	Monitoring indicators	Frequency and responsi- bility for mon- itoring
2: Access and equity	P= 2 I = 4	Low (8)	Rainwater can be har- vested best through a rain gutter fixed to condu- cive surfaces and catch- ment areas. The most	The assessment un- der Component 1 will identify which rainwa- ter harvesting method and construction	Action plan under Compo- nent 1 and	During imple- mentation Sub-Contrac- tor based on

4. A risk that the ac- tivity does not pro- vide fair and equita- ble access to bene- fits from the project to all affected stake- holders.			vulnerable and poor peo- ple live in poor housing with thatched roofs, on which the capacity to col- lect water will be limited. Hence, the method to collect rainwater has to be adapted to the most conducive surface.	needs are required to have the best results for harvesting rainwa- ter (e.g. stand-alone- rainwater harvesting jars).	photo docu- mentation of sites.	supervision of Team Leader.
And						
3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups						
6. Existence of mar- ginalized or vulnera- ble groups present among project bene- ficiaries.						
4: Human rights 10. The activity pos- sibly affect land ten- ure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territo- ries and/or re- sources.	P= 3 I = 2	Low (6)		The intervention is understood to be im- plemented, where tenure arrangements are already clear. The assessment in Com- ponent 1 will re-con- firm the ownership- status of each pro- posed intervention site.	Action plan under Com- ponent 1.	During as- sessment and again while imple- mentation Team leader.
6: Core labour rights	P= 1 I = 3	Low (3)	The implementation of in- tervention involves em- ployment of local crafts- men. As the minimum	UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards	Contract and payroll Identify work	While formulat- ing contracts

 14. The activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions). And 13: Public health 36. Elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities. 			wage in Cambodia is be- low ILO standards, there can be a risk of low or in- sufficient salaries. Training on monitoring and maintenance of inter- vention will include ca- pacity building on safe working conditions. The local sub-contractor will be instructed to provide safety features and equipment.	through legal agree- ments with sub-con- tractors. Training on monitor- ing and maintenance of intervention will in- clude capacity build- ing on safe working conditions. The local sub-contractor will be instructed to provide safety features and equipment.	equipment	and disburse- ment of pay- ments While hiring people, Project leader
12: Pollution and resource efficiency31. The activity may potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	The materials used for rainwater harvesting are mainly out of concrete and plastic. There can be a risk of non-hazardous waste generation. Con- struction/rehabilitation will inevitably generate waste associated with in- frastructure construction.	Training on monitor- ing and maintenance will also contain ca- pacity built on con- servative waste pro- duction and the 3R. Contractors will be contractually obliged to remove waste from the site and dispose of it in the proper fa- cilities.	Oversight of sites and photos.	During imple- mentation.

13: Public health 34. The activity may result in potential in- creased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne diseases or acci- dent/injury).)	P=3 I= 4	12 (Med)	There is a risk that inade- quate maintenance of collecting ponds and wa- ter jars will lead to con- tamination of drinking water and can cause the spread of waterborne dis- eases.	Cascading filter sys- tems, installed within the rain gutter, and ahead of the entrance to the water tank and tab, will clear the wa- ter. First filter will clear out leaves and other debris. Second filter will contain a sieve with a mesh size of 200µm: The third level contains an active carbon filter that filters out any mi- cro-contamination due to storage and piping. Additional capacity building on monitoring and maintaining the intervention will be provided to the end- user	Cascading fil- ter system with indicator for water-qual- ity and mainte- nance plan.	During imple- mentation and manual for maintenance. Filter-system: Sub-contractor based on Agreement. Training: Pro- ject team and commune chiefs.

5. Enhancing the coverage and quality of the piped water supply network

Introduction

According to the Commune Investment Plan, this intervention (construct and install water supply network) is planned for the whole Kep Province by 2020. The sustainable water supply network will improve the health and living conditions of 20,694 (10,655 women) beneficiaries. The initial screening conducted by NCDD (Executing Entity) estimated the cost of this intervention to be 3,000,000 USD, which is 72% of the requested fund and the entire budged calculated for the hard Component 3 of this project proposal. Hence, this project requested in line with



the Commune Investment Plan is deemed to be not in line with UN-Habitat's cost-effectiveness methodology and the purpose of this proposal focussing on small-scale infrastructure. Because of the urgent need of piped drinking water, UN-Habitat will recognise the need for actions for the communes Prey Thom, Kep, Tuek Thla and Sangkat Muoy based on the action planning conducted in Annex I. B. This screening will focus on possible small-scale intervention to support the construction of a water supply network by e.g. reducing the number of kilometres of a water supply network that focus on the most vulnerable assessed under component 1.2. And the rehabilitation of existing pipelines, where possible. These interventions will be re-screened under Component 1.

SUB-PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET

TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION			
1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Enhancing the coverage and quality of the piped water supply network to adapt to droughts.		
2. Project number (if relevant)	5		
 Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination) 	In Kep: Prey Thom and Kep In Preach Sihanouk: Tuek Thla and Sangkat Muoy		
4. Person who filled the form	Cerin Kizhakkethhottam and Liam Fee		
5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017		
6. Signature	chan Hee		

TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS			
TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BE DEVE	LOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)		
 Activity description and or asset to be developed 	 Construct and rehabilitate piped water supply network in target communes Assess and design piped water supply network Build capacity to design water supply network to upscale and enhance potential for replication Rehabilitate damaged piped water supply infrastructure, where upgrading work is possible 		
8. Materials to be used	 □ Concrete □ Metal □ Plastic 		
9. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed.		
10. Who owns the land the activity is planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	The location of the water supply network is thought, at present, to be entirely on public land, as per Cambodia's Land Law – all bodies of water (the sea, rivers, lakes) are classified as 'state public land'. How far the water supply system connects to private households and as such affects private land, will be re-confirmed and rescreened under Component 1.		
11. Start date of activity / works	Year 2		
12. End date of activity / works	Year 3		
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)			
13. How will the asset be used	Providing safe drinking water throughout the year.		
14. Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s)	 Consultation (once detailed engineering design has been drafted) with local people to re-screen environmental and social principles and for compliance with the environmental and social management plan Capacity building for government at the Provincial level (per Output 2.1). 		
 Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s) 	Capacity building to manage, operate and maintain water supply system (as per Outputs 2.2 and 2.3 of the project).		

16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 Twice during consultation in May and December 2017 Consultation included focus groups (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discussions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: Unsafe water/no water supply: In Sangkat Muoy: People living on the hill-side particularly can't access water during the dry season. Approximately. 500 households have no access to safe drinking water. There is a steep slope from high-land to the sea, which causes that polluted water and rain water go straight into the sea. General in target communes: Due to lack of piped water systems, the target communes face serious water shortages during the dry season from January to May. During the dry season drinking water and financial restraints for the poorest households. Water became an unaffordable trade good that exacerbated the financial situation of the poorest households. Vulnerable families started using contaminated water ponds for farming and animals as drinking water, which infected 20% of the children with diarrheal. Needs: Sustainable access to safe drinking water through piped water network to avoid chronic drinking water shortages during the dry season. If possible, policy on free drinking water during emerging drinking water shortages for the most vulnerable households. Awareness on safe drinking water and health issues of contaminated water.
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department of Water Resources and Meteorology of Preach Sihanouk Province in May and December 2017. Commune chiefs of target area in June and December 2017. The provinces agreed on the proposed target communes and interventions

	and confirmed that all target areas are on public land as far as the water sup- ply network is not connected to private households.			
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT				
 Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area 	 The target communes suffer from serious droughts during the dry from January to May, which is causing chronic water shortages an drinking water. Additionally, droughts lead to salinization of surface and groundware sources and low agricultural production 	r season nd a lack of ater re-		
19. Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area	 Due to lack of basic services, neither of the rural communes is cowater piped systems. Lack of irrigation systems and water shortages leading to low agrip production declines the source of regular income. Many parts of Sangkat Muoy are classified as informal settlement high youth population of 39 %. Hence children under 17 are participated by unsafe and/or limited access to water. 	nnected to icultural ts with a cularly af-		
	No ESIA requiremente are enforced by National low yet			
20. Is an ESIA required by law?	NO ESIA requirements are enforced by National law yet.			
20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK ARE	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES	Answer (Y/N)		
20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREA Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES with the Law	Answer (Y/N)		
20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREA Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES with the Law of comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	Answer (Y/N)		
20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREA Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does no Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and of	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES with the Law ot comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	Answer (Y/N) N		
20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREA Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does no Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and of 2. Is there a risk that the activity would end decisions that may affect them?	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES with the Law ot comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in	Answer (Y/N) N		
 20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREA Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and complete a complete a risk that the activity would e decisions that may affect them? 3. Is there a risk that the activity would in sanitation, energy, education, housing 	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES with the Law ot comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.?	Answer (Y/N) N Y N		
 20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREA Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and 6 2. Is there a risk that the activity would endecisions that may affect them? 3. Is there a risk that the activity would in sanitation, energy, education, housing 4. Is there a risk that the activity does not fected stakeholders? 	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES with the Law ot comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? ot provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af-	Answer (Y/N) N Y N N		
 20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREA Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and of 2. Is there a risk that the activity would endecisions that may affect them? 3. Is there a risk that the activity would in sanitation, energy, education, housing 4. Is there a risk that the activity does not fected stakeholders? 5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerts nerable groups? 	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES with the Law ot comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? ot provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af- pates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul-	Answer (Y/N) N Y N N N		
 20. Is an ESIA required by law? TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREA Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and of 2. Is there a risk that the activity would e decisions that may affect them? 3. Is there a risk that the activity would in sanitation, energy, education, housing 4. Is there a risk that the activity does not fected stakeholders? 5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerbinerable groups? Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and the activity and the activity for the article and the activity exactly for the article and the article and the activity exactly for the article and the arti	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES with the Law of comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? of provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af- pates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul- nd marginalized groups	Answer (Y/N) N Y N N N		

7. Is there a likelihood that the activity would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected pop- ulations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	Ν
8. Could the activity potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin- alized individuals or groups?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights	
9. Could the activity lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population?	Ν
10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ- ation of women and girls?	Ν
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	Ν
13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	Ν
17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	Ν
18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Y
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Ν
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	

22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Ν
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	Ν
24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Ν
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	N
27. Is monoculture foreseen?	N
28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	
29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	
30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	Ν
31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	Y
32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	Ν
33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health	
34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne dis- eases?	Ν
35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials?	Ν
36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage	
37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)?	Ν

Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion						
38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots?39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest?40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation?						N N N
41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability?						
TABLE 4. THAT ARE IN	IE PUIENHAL	Signifi-	HAL AND BUGAL KISKST	PROPOSED RISK WITH	SATION WEASUR	<u>E3</u>
AF principle number and description of risks	Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5	cance (= impact x probabil- ity) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15- 25	Comment (also to identify signifi- cance of risk, i.e. evi- dence)	Mitigation measures proposed	Monitoring indicators	Frequency and responsibility for monitoring
 2: Access and equity 4. A risk that the activity does not provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all affected stakeholders. And 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups 	P= 3 I = 4	Medium (12)	Due to cost restrains, an enhanced piped water supply cannot be imple- mented for the whole tar- get communes, as re- quested.	Based on the vulnera- bility, sensitivity and adaptive capacity the assessment under Component 1 will identify the most vul- nerable to droughts and lack of drinking water. During this as- sessment all 15 Prin- ciples will be recog- nized to cover fore- most vulnerable and marginalized groups.	.Vulnerability Indices per target area and vulnera- bility assess- ment.	During Vulnera- bility Assess- ment and imple- mentation Team Leader
marginalized groups						
6. Existence of mar- ginalized or vulnera- ble groups present among project bene- ficiaries.						
--	---------------	----------------	--	--	---	---
And						
The activity poten- tially restrict availa- bility, quality of and access to resources or basic services to marginalized individ- uals or groups						
4. Human Rights: 10. Possible affects to land tenure arrange- ments and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources			The intervention is under- stood to be implemented, where tenure arrange- ments are already clear. The assessment in Com- ponent 1 will re-confirm the ownership-status of each proposed interven- tion site However, this can	The tenure status will be re-confirmed dur- ing the activities of component 1. This will also ensure fully participatory planning and design processes that re-	Consultation with benefi- ciaries and commune chief	Baseline, regu- lar and end The Project Management Committee will screen all final- ized activities to ensure, inter
And 8. Involuntary reset- tlement 19. The activity po- tentially involve tem- porary or permanent and full or partial physical displace- ment.	P= 3 I = 4	Medium (12)	be particularly difficult in target area where informal settlements are built on public land. (Sangkat Muoy).	confirms the status of the land used, and, if people are living in- formally on state public land, follows the People's Process methodology.		alia, compliance with the law and upholding hu- man rights.

6. Core labour rights 14. Activity involve sup- port for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conven- tions).	P=2 I=3	Low (6)	The implementation of the intervention involves employment of local craftsmen. As the minimum wage in Cambodia is below ILO standards, there can be a risk of low or insufficient salaries.	UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards through legal agree- ments with sub-con- tractors.	Contract and payroll	While formulat- ing contracts and disburse- ment of pay- ments Project Team
12. Pollution and resource efficiency31. Activity potentially results in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous).	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	The materials used for the intervention are mainly out of concrete, metal and plastic. Construction/ re- habilitation will inevitably generate non-hazardous waste associated with house` construction	Contractors will be contractually obliged to remove waste from the site and dis- pose of it in the proper facilities	Oversight of sites and pho- tos	While imple- menting Site manager
13. Public Health36. Elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning poses potential safety risks to local communities.	P=1 I=3	Low (3)	There is limited knowledge of safe work conditions	The local sub-con- tractor will be in- structed to provide safety features and equipment.	Identify work equipment	While hiring people Site manager

III. FLOOD PREVENTION MEASURES

6. Canal

7. Dam

8. Water gates on canals to channel floods



TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION				
1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Flood prevention measures (canal, dam and water gates on canals)			
2. Project number (if relevant)	6, 7, 8			
 Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination) 	Sammeakki, Tuek Thla and Tuek L'ak communes, Prey Nob District, Preach Sihan- ouk province			
4. Person who filled the form	Liam Fee and Cerin Kizhakkethottam			

5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017						
6. Signature	chan the						
TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS							
TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BE DEVELOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)							
 Activity description and or asset to be developed 	 Construct and rehabilitate the flood prevention dam in Sammeakki, Teuk Thla and Teuk L'ak communes in Prey Nob District, Preach Sihanouk Province Survey the site of the partial existing dam and the area for new construction Community consultation regarding siting, safeguards, management and enduse Construct and rehabilitate the dam Assess flood prone area and establish hazard map Create by-laws to avoid future urbanization in flood prone area, if possible Construct/rehabilitate automatic water gates on canals to channel flash floods and to avoid contamination with salt- and brackish water. 						
8. Materials to be used	 Concrete and metal Wood PV for automatic water gate, where possible 						
9. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed.						
10. Who owns the land the activity is planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	The location of the dam and canals is thought, at present, to be entirely on public land, as per Cambodia's Land Law – all bodies of water (the sea, rivers, lakes) are classified as 'state public land'						
11. Start date of activity / works	Year 2						
12. End date of activity / works	Year 3						
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)							
13. How will the asset be used	Dam: Prevents water from the sea and river estuary entering surrounding settlements and agricultural land.						

	 Canal: Channels flash floods caused by heavy rains. Water gates: Channels flash flood and avoids saltwater intrusion of freshwater.
14. Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s)	 Consultation (once detailed engineering design has been drafted) with local people to re-screen environmental and social principles and for compliance with the environmental and social management plan. Capacity building for government at the Provincial level (per Output 2.1).
 Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s) 	□ Capacity building to manage, operate and maintain the dam, canals and water gates (as per Outputs 2.2 and 2.3 of the project)
16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 Twice during consultation in May and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discussions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: Flooding: Poorest of the poor: Financial difficulties to re-construct resilient housing after impact. In almost all cases, the poorest take the longest amount of time to re-construct their houses after flooding Women: Destruction of houses and household goods. Elderly and disabled people: limited ability to evacuate themselves in time All people involved in agricultural livelihoods, or who rely on groundwater, noted the increasing presence of salinity
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department of Water Resources and Meteorology of Preach Sihanouk Province in May and December 2017. Commune chiefs of target area twice in June and December. Preach Sihanouk Province agreed on the proposed target communes and interventions and confirmed that all target areas are on state public land.
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT	
18. Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area	Several communes of Prey Nob District are already affected by floods and a larger land area has the potential to be affected in the future. Flooding and salinity is par-

	 der one another and share the same environmental, topographical and so nomic features: Coastal Riparian Flat topography with few natural defences (aside from the Kampor mangrove area) Agrarian economy High poverty rate Many people living in poor quality housing in flood-prone areas 	unes bor- ocio-eco- ng Smach			
19. Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area	There is a high poverty rate (19.2, 20.1 and 20.2 per cent are considered Sammeakki, Tuek L'ak and Tuek Thla, respectively). There are no ethnic in the area. There are a small number of households living informally in T Across the three communes, almost 40% of the population is under 17, so considerations are prominent.	poor in minorities euk Thla. o youth			
20. Is an ESIA required by law? No ESIA requirements are enforced by National law yet.					
TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK ARE	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES	Answer (Y/N)			
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance	with the Law				
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not	with the Law of comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	N			
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and e	with the Law ot comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity	N			
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and e 2. Is there a risk that the activity would e decisions that may affect them?	with the Law of comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity xclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in	N Y			
 Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and a decisions that may affect them? 3. Is there a risk that the activity would in sanitation, energy, education, housing 	with the Law at comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity xclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.?	N Y N			
 Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and a decisions that may affect them? Is there a risk that the activity would in sanitation, energy, education, housing 4. Is there a risk that the activity does not fected stakeholders? 	with the Law at comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity xclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? at provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af-	N Y N N			
 Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and a decisions that may affect them? 3. Is there a risk that the activity would ir sanitation, energy, education, housing 4. Is there a risk that the activity does not fected stakeholders? 5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerbox nerable groups? 	with the Law at comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity xclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? at provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af- bates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul-	N Y N N N			
 Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and e 2. Is there a risk that the activity would e decisions that may affect them? 3. Is there a risk that the activity would ir sanitation, energy, education, housing 4. Is there a risk that the activity does not fected stakeholders? 5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerb nerable groups? 	with the Law at comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity equity xclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? at provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af- pates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul- nd marginalized groups	N Y N N N			
 Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance of 1. Is there a risk that the activity does not Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and e 2. Is there a risk that the activity would e decisions that may affect them? 3. Is there a risk that the activity would ir sanitation, energy, education, housing 4. Is there a risk that the activity does not fected stakeholders? 5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerb nerable groups? Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and 6. Are there any marginalized or vulnerable 	with the Law at comply with an applicable domestic or international law? equity equity xclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in mpede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.? at provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af- bates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul- nd marginalized groups ble groups present among project beneficiaries?	N Y N N N			

8. Could the activity potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin- alized individuals or groups?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights	
9. Could the activity lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population?	Ν
10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ- ation of women and girls?	Ν
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	Ν
13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	Ν
17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	Ν
18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Y
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Ν
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by	Y

authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities? 23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	N
24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Y
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	N
27. Is monoculture foreseen?	N
28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	
29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	
30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	Ν
31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	Y
32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	Y
33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health	
34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne dis- eases?	Ν
35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials?	Ν
36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage	
37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion	
38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots?	Ν

39. Will the activity ca 40. Is there a risk that 41. Is there a risk that	use the clear the activity le the activity is	se the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest? ne activity leads to soil degradation? he activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability?				
TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE		ENVIRONMENT.	AL AND SOCIAL RISKS?P	ROPOSED RISK MITIGA	TION MEASURE	<u>is</u>
AF principle number and description of risks	Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5	Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25	Comment (also to identify signifi- cance of risk, i.e. evi- dence)	Mitigation measures proposed	Monitoring indicators	Frequency and responsi- bility for mon- itoring
 4. Human Rights: 10. Possible affects to land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to land, territories and/or resources. And 8 : Involuntary reset- tlement: 19. The activity poten- tially involve tempo- rary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement. 	P= 1 I = 3	Low (3)	It is understood that the intervention is entirely on state public land. How- ever, there is a small chance that informal set- tlers may be present along the rehabilita- tion/building of canals when the intervention is built. This will be re- checked as the situation can change quickly.	The tenure status will be re-confirmed during the activities of compo- nent 1. The Project Manage- ment Committee will screen all finalized ac- tivities to ensure, <i>inter</i> <i>alia</i> , compliance with the law and upholding human rights.	Consultation with benefi- ciaries and commune chief.	Every meeting Project leader.
2: Access and equity2. A risk that the activity would exclude any potentially affected	P= 1 I = 4	Low (4)	Risk that the activity will exclude an unacknowl- edged stakeholders. Risk that marginalized and vulnerable group, es- pecially women, are not	Participatory process (People's Process) and design will pro- mote the intervention and will reach out broadly.	Training re- port	Throughout the project Project leader

stakeholders from fully participating in decisions that may af- fect them.			included in decision mak- ing processes.	Quotas for female par- ticipation in decision making at all levels.		
And						
3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups						
6. Existence of mar- ginalized or vulnera- ble groups present among project benefi- ciaries.						
6. Core labour rights 14. Activity involve support for employment or liveli- hoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour stand- ards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO funda- mental conventions).	P=2 I=3	Low (6)	The implementation of the flood prevention inter- ventions involves em- ployment of local crafts- men. As the minimum wage in Cambodia is be- low ILO standards, there can be a risk of low or in- sufficient salaries.	UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards through legal agree- ments with sub-con- tractors.	Contract and payroll	While formulat- ing contracts and disburse- ment of pay- ments Project Team
9. Protection of natural habitats22. The activity could cause damage to environmentally sensitive lands	P= 1 I = 4	Low (4)	The proposed infrastruc- ture is close to the Kam- pong Smach protected (mangrove and biodiver- sity) area.	'Utilization of natural resources', defined in the Cambodian 'Pro- tected Area Law' shall be in accordance with the Management Plan and technical Guidelines, developed	MoE con- trols utiliza- tion of natu- ral re- sources. Project Leader will re-confirm	Regularly MoE focal point.

			_	by the MoE, to ensure sustainability of natural resources within the community protected areas.		
12. Pollution and resource efficiency31. Activity potentially results in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous).	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	The materials used for the intervention are mainly out of concrete, metal and plastic. Con- struction/ rehabilitation will inevitably generate non-hazardous waste as- sociated with house` con- struction	Contractors will be contractually obliged to remove waste from the site and dispose of it in the proper facilities The local sub-contrac- tor will be instructed to provide safety features and equipment.	Oversight of sites and photos	While imple- menting Site manager
 Public Health Elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning poses potential safety risks to lo- cal communities. 	P=1 I=3	Low (3)	There is limited knowledge of safe work conditions		Identify work equipment	While hiring people Site manager

IV. ADAPTATION THROUGH ENHANCED ECO-TOURISM

9. Demarcation of and access to natural assets

10. Reforestation



	TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION				
1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Adaptation through enhanced Eco-Tourism: Demarcation of protected natural assets and reforestation				
2. Project number (if relevant)	9 and 10				
 Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination) 	6 communes in Prey Nob District: Tuek Thla, Tuek L'ak, Sammeakki, Veal Renh, Samrong, Boeng Taprom could benefit of eco-tourism in the Kampong Smach pro- tected area. 1. Mangrove forest in the in Kep: Angkaol				
4. Person who filled the form	Liam Fee and Cerin Kizhakkethottam				
5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017				
6. Signature	fran Hee				
	TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS				
TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BE DEVELOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)					
7. Activity description and or asset to be developed □ Demarcation of protective natural assets □ Demarcation of protective natural assets □ Access to protected natural assets for eco-tourism					

	 Assessment on areas in need for reforestation Establishing community-based tree nurseries through eco-tourism engagement Establish local business group for women operating eco-tourism
8. Materials to be used	 Polls for demarcation are mainly out of concrete. Signs to identify boundaries of protected area are mainly out of metal or wood Mangroves for reforestation
9. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 1 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed
10. Who owns the land the activity is planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	The location of the protected area in Kampong Smach in Prey Nob and in Angkaol commune in Kep Province is, at present, entirely on state public or protected land, as per Cambodia's protected natural asset law.
11. Start date of activity / works	Year 1
12. End date of activity / works	Year 3
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)	
13. How will the asset be used	 Demarcation will classify natural asset as protected area and conserves the biodiversity, flora and fauna of Kampong Smach and Angkaol. The rehabilitation of the mangrove forest serves as natural barrier to salt-water intrusion and bank erosions and protection of SLR and strong winds for the adjacent communes and livelihoods. Eco-tourism in compliance with conservation of the natural protected assets creates awareness for the benefits of a stable eco-system and can enhance the GDP of the target areas.
14. Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s)	 Zoning and mapping of protected area during Component 1. Identifying a suitable operator and activities for eco-tourism during component 2.
15. Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s)	Capacity built of operators (if possible, local business group for women) of eco-tourism to ensure ecological management and conservation of biodiver- sity, and sustainable use of natural resources in protected areas in line with Cambodia's 'Protected Areas Law'.

	□ Founding a patrolling unit and provide training on patrolling measure
16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 Three times during consultation in May, June and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discussions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: Commune representative: Awareness about eco-tourism and protective natural assets. Women: Access to natural assets and its benefits (controlled fishery and planting of rice fields in the protected area for own consumption). Ground water salinization due to deforestation of the mangrove forests.
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department Environment and Water Resources and Meteorology of Preach Sihanouk and Kep Province in May, June and December 2017. Commune chiefs of target area twice in June and December 2017. Preach Sihanouk and Kep Province representative agreed on the proposed target communes and interventions and confirmed that all target areas are on public land.
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT	
 Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area 	The target area around Kampong Smach and the Angkaol commune in Kep Prov- ince recognize tourism as an important industry and have a great potential for eco- tourism, with its nature-, livelihood- and community-based tourism activities. How-
19. Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area	ever, the tourism sector is also affected by climate change, especially bank erosion, salinization of ground water and decline of eco-systems as described in the Environ- mental section of this proposal (Section II, Part B). For adaptation to climate change natural resource enhancement, preservation and reforestation is therefore neces- sary, as well as access to the benefits of the protected eco-system and channelling of wastewater, where possible. This will benefit tourism potential directly but also the poor and vulnerable, especially from livelihoods and basic services perspective.
20. Is an ESIA required by law?	No. (Small interventions and checked with the provincial government). ESIA requirements are enforced by National law yet.

TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREAS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES	Answer (Y/N)
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance with the Law	
1. Is there a risk that the activity does not comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and equity	
Is there a risk that the activity would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	Ν
Is there a risk that the activity would impede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and sanitation, energy, education, housing, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.?	Ν
4. Is there a risk that the activity does not provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all affected stakeholders?	Ν
5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerbates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul- nerable groups?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups	
6. Are there any marginalized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries?	Y
7. Is there a likelihood that the activity would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	Ν
8. Could the activity potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin- alized individuals or groups?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights	
9. Could the activity lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population?	Ν
10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ- ation of women and girls?	Ν
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	Ν
13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	

14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter-	
national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	Ν
17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	Ν
18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Ν
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Ν
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Y
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	Y
24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Ν
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	N
27. Is monoculture foreseen?	<u>N</u>
28. would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	
29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	
30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	Ν

31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?				N		
32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?				° N		
33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?				N		
Adaptation Fund princip	le 13: Public	health				
34. Would the activity eases?	result in poter	ntial increased	health risks (e.g. from v	vaterborne or other vect	or-borne dis-	N
35. Would the activity and/or disposal of	pose potentia hazardous or	l risks to comr dangerous ma	munity health and safety aterials?	due to the transport, sto	orage, and use	N
36. Would elements of communities?	activity cons	truction, opera	ition, or decommissionin	g pose potential safety	risks to local	Ν
Adaptation Fund princip	le 14: Physic	cal and cultur	al heritage			
 Will the proposed a objects with histori knowledge, innova 	activity result cal, cultural, a tions, practice	in intervention artistic, traditio es)?	s that would potentially a nal or religious values of	adversely impact sites, s r intangible forms of cult	structures, or ure (e.g.	Ν
Adaptation Fund princip	le 15: Land a	and soil erosi	on			
38. Will the activity lea	d to the conv	ersion of wetla	inds, waterways, or woo	dlots?		Ν
39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest?			N			
40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soll degradation? 41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability?				N		
TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE	POTENTIAL E	NVIRONMENT/	AL AND SOCIAL RISKS?	PROPOSED RISK MITIGA	TION MEASURE	<u>s</u>
AF principle number and description of risks	Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5	Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25	Comment (also to identify sig- nificance of risk, i.e. evidence)	Mitigation measures proposed	Monitoring indicators	Frequency and responsi- bility for mon- itoring
3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups	P– 1	Low	Vulnerable families de- pendent on rice farming and fishery for their	The activities aim of protecting the natural assets is seen in a ho-	Quotas for fe- male partici- pation moni-	Every training unit
6. Existence of marginal- ized or vulnerable groups present among project	l = 4	(4)	own consumption live along the banks of Kampong Smach.	listic and anthropocen- tric way to benefit es-	tored through attendance sheets.	Trainer

beneficiaries.			These mostly childless groups have to be rec- ognized in an inte- grated and participatory way.	pecially the most vul- nerable. Hence, train- ing on activities for eco-tourism will be with a focus for local busi- ness opportunities for women, where possi- ble.		
6. Core labour rights 14. The activity involves support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour stand- ards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO funda- mental conventions)?	P= 1 l = 4	Low (4)	The implementation of resilient housing design involves employment of local craftsmen. As the minimum wage in Cam- bodia is below ILO standards, there can be a risk of low or insuffi- cient salaries.	Knowledge of rresilient housing design will be trained to local crafts- men to strengthen the local capacity and economy, which was a joint request of the vul- nerable groups. UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards through legal agree- ments with sub/con- tractors.		
 9. Protection of natural habitats 22. The activity is within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities. 	P= 1 I = 4	Low (4)	Activities for eco-tour- ism will be within the protected natural area and could affect the eco-system, if not moni- tored.	As by Article 23 of Cambodia 'Protected Area Law' utilization of natural resources in ac- cordance with articles of this law may only be allowed in the Sustainable use zone of the areas designated as community pro- tected area. Utilization of natural resources shall be in accordance with the Management Plan and technical guidelines, developed by the MoE to ensure	MoE controls utilization of natural re- sources.	Regularly MoE focal point.

And	sustainability of natural resources within the
23. The activity potentially	community protected
causes adverse impacts to	areas.
habitats (e.g. natural, mod-	
ified, and critical habitats)	
and/or ecosystems and	
ecosystem services.	

V. SEA-LEVEL RISE, SALINIZATION AND BEACH EROSION

11. Protective infrastructure in the coastal area to build resilience to SLR and salinization



TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION			
1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Protective infrastructure for Sea-level Rise and salinization such as roads, dams etc.		
2. Project number (if relevant)	11		
 Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination) 	In Prey Nob District: Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng and Boeng Taprom. In Kep Province: Angkaol and Pong Tuek		
4. Person who filled the form	Cerin Kizhakkethottam and Liam Fee		
5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017		
6. Signature	fran Hee		
TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS			

ELOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)
 Construct and rehabilitate the protective infrastructure, such as roads and dams in the target communes to protect against sea-level rise and salinization Survey the site and assess the quality of the partial existing protective infrastructure, such as roads and dams as well as the area for new construction. Develop a vulnerability and hazard map of land and water resources (including ground water resources) affected by SLR and salinization under Component 1. Community consultation regarding siting, safeguards, management and enduse under Component 1. Construct and rehabilitate the road and dam and other necessary protective infrastructures under Component 3.
 Concrete and metal Portland cement, coarse aggregate, and sand
The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed.
The location of the intervention is thought, at present, to be entirely on public land, as per Cambodia's Land Law – all bodies of water (the sea, rivers, lakes) are classified as 'state public land'.
Year 2
Year 4
 Prevent SLR and salinization affecting surround low-lying areas such as coastal settlements, seaports, coastal fisheries, mangrove forests, and tourism facilities. Linked with protective infrastructure to floods (project no. 6 and 7) this will

Г

	 also prevent the community from flooding, storms, etc. Prevent sea-water intrusion of ground water and fresh-water reservoirs. Co-benefit: Avoid flooding of the national highway to improve infrastructure and mobility.
14. Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s)	 To ensure ownership with the activity, the intervention will be based on UN-Habitat's People's Process methodology building upon a cost-effective participatory process. This means that local authorities and beneficiaries, where possible, will participate in decision-making as well as construction. Consultations (once detailed engineering design has been drafted under Component 1) with local people to re-screen environmental and social principles and for compliance with the environmental and social management plan Capacity building for government at the Provincial level (under Output 2.1).
 15. Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s) 	Capacity building to manage, operate and maintain the road and dam (under Outputs 2.2 and 2.3 of the project)
	☐ Twice during consultation in May and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discus- sions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include:
16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 Sea-level rise Loss of land and agricultural land due to seal-level rise washing out crops and nutrition of fertile land. This affects most the poorest of the poor dependent on rice farming as their primary source of regular income. Limited protective infrastructure and flooded national highway limits mobility between communes, especially for elderly and disabled people. Loss of public land used and attractiveness to tourism, which is one of the major source of income, declines the economic capacity to resilience to SLR and salinization (measurable through coastal GDP rate). Salinization of rice fields transforms soil into irreversible unfertile soil. Hectares of rice fields contaminated are fallow. Loss of unique habitats and eco-systems due to seal-level rise.

	Decline of tourism as major source of income for certain commun	es.	
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department of Water Resources and Meteorology of Preach Siha ince and Kep Province two times in May and December 2017. Commune chiefs of target area twice in 2017 in June and Decem Preach Sihanouk Province and Kep Province agreed on the prop- communes and interventions and confirmed that all target areas a lic land. 	inouk Prov- ber. osed target are on pub-	
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT			
18. Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area	 Sea-level rise and salinity is particularly acute in the target communes. T munes share the same environmental, topographical and socio-economic - Coastal Riparian Flat topography with few natural defences (aside from the Kampo mangrove area) Agrarian economy High poverty rate Many people living in poor quality housing in flood-prone areas Hectares of fertile land became fallow after salinization. Deforestation of the protective mangrove forest Poor maintenance of existing water gates. 	his com- c features: ong Smach	
 Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area 	The target communes, affected by sea-level rise and salinization are main ent on fishery and rice farming. Loss of crops and decline of harvest exact the financial situation of the poor having no savings to overcome loss of it	inly depend- cerbates income.	
20. Is an ESIA required by law?	No ESIA requirements enforced by law yet		
TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREAS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL ANSWER AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES (Y/N)			
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance	with the Law		
1. Is there a risk that the activity does not comply with an applicable domestic or international law? N			
Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and e	equity		

Is there a risk that the activity would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participat decisions that may affect them?	ting in Y
Is there a risk that the activity would impede access of any group to basic health services, clean wate sanitation, energy, education, housing, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.?	r and N
4. Is there a risk that the activity does not provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project t fected stakeholders?	o all af-N
5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerbates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized nerable groups?	d or vul-
Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups	
6. Are there any marginalized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries?	Y
7. Is there a likelihood that the activity would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affect ulations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	cted pop- N
 Could the activity potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to alized individuals or groups? 	o margin- N
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights	
Could the activity lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic or cultural) of the affected population?	, social N
10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/o ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	custom- Y
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or ation of women and girls?	the situ- N
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially r participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	regarding N
13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, takin account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and servire	ng into N
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national an national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	nd inter- Y
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, a tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	nd tradi- N

 17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them? 18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned? 	N N
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Ν
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Ν
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Y
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	Ν
24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Y
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	N
28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	
29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	
30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	Ν
31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	Y
32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	Ν
33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health	

34. Would the activity eases?	result in pote	ntial increased	d health risks (e.g. from wa	aterborne or other vecto	r-borne dis-	Ν
35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials?						Ν
36. Would elements o communities?	f activity cons	struction, opera	ation, or decommissioning	pose potential safety ri	sks to local	Y
Adaptation Fund princip	ole 14: Physi	cal and cultu	ral heritage			
37. Will the proposed objects with histor knowledge, innova	activity result ical, cultural, ations, practic	in interventior artistic, traditic es)?	ns that would potentially ac onal or religious values or i	dversely impact sites, st intangible forms of cultu	ructures, or re (e.g.	Ν
Adaptation Fund princip	ole 15: Land	and soil eros	ion			
38. Will the activity lea	ad to the conv	version of wetla	ands, waterways, or wood	lots?		N
39. Will the activity ca	use the clear	ing of natural v	/egetation and/or forest?			N
40. Is there a risk that 41 Is there a risk that	the activity le	ads to soil de	gradation? pout proper soil analysis a	nd/or does not match so	nil canability?	N
TABLE 4. THAT AND THE		Cignificance	ALAND OCCIAL MONOT	KOPUSED MISK MITIGAT	TION MEASURE	<u>.0</u>
AF principle number and description of risks	Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5	(= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25	Comment (also to identify signifi- cance of risk, i.e. evi- dence)	Mitigation measures proposed	Monitoring indicators	Frequency and responsi- bility for mon- itoring
2: Access and equity 2. There is a risk that the activity would ex- clude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in decisions that may affect them. And	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	Risk that the activity will exclude an unacknowl- edged stakeholders. Risk that marginalized and vulnerable group, es- pecially women, are not included in decision mak- ing processes.	Participatory process (People's Process) and design will pro- mote the intervention and will reach out broadly. Quotas for female par- ticipation in decision making at all levels.	Meeting at- tendance sheets and make pic- tures	Every meeting Project leader

 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups 6. Existence of mar- ginalized or vulnera- ble groups present among project benefi- ciaries. 						
4: Human rights 10. The activity possi- bly affects land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights to land, territories and/or resources.	P= 1 I = 4	Low (4)	It is understood that the intervention is entirely on state public land. How- ever, the status of land- ownership will be re- screened and confirmed under component 1.	The intervention is un- derstood to be imple- mented where tenure arrangements are al- ready clear. The as- sessment in Compo- nent 1 will re-confirm the ownership-status of each proposed in- tervention site.	Vulnerability assessment	During assess- ment, before implementation and implemen- tation Project leader
6: Core labour rights 14. The activity in- volves support for em- ployment or liveli- hoods that may fail to comply with national and international la- bour standards (i.e. principles and stand-	P=2 I=3	Low (6)	The implementation the interventions involves employment of local craftsmen. As the minimum wage in Cambodia is below ILO standards, there can be a risk of low or insufficient salaries.	UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards through legal agree- ments with sub-con- tractors.	Contract and payroll	While formulat- ing contracts and disburse- ment of pay- ments Project Team

ards of ILO funda- mental conventions).						
9. Protection of natu- ral habitats			The intervention will be partly within the protected natural area, and could	'Utilization of natural resources', defined in the Cambodian 'Pro-	MoE con- trols utiliza- tion of natu-	Regularly MoE focal point.
22. The activity is within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sen- sitive areas, including legally protected ar- eas (e.g. nature re- serve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recog- nized as such by au- thoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local com- munities.	P= 1 I = 3	Low (3)	affect the eco-system, if not monitored.	tected Area Law shall be in accordance with the Management Plan and technical guide- lines, developed by the MoE, to ensure sus- tainability of natural re- sources within the community protected areas.	rai re- sources. Project Leader will re-confirm	
And						
10. Conserving biodi- versity						
25. The activity could lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity.						

12: Pollution and resource efficiency31. The activity potentially results in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous).	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	Construction/rehabilitation will inevitably generate waste associated with in- frastructure construction.	Contractors will be contractually obliged to remove waste from the site and dispose of it in the proper facilities.	Agreement with con- tractor	Site manager
13: Public health 36. Elements of activ- ity construction, oper- ation, or decommis- sioning pose potential safety risks to local communities.	P=1 I=3	Low (6)	There is limited knowledge of safe work conditions	Contractors will be contractually obliged to provide safe work equipment and con- duct safety training at the site.	Identify work equip- ment	While hiring people

12. Beach erosion

Introduction

The requested intervention based on consultation of the commune council (see Annex 1 B.) to protect the coastal line of the target communes from beach erosion is for now screened as being not compliant with the Social and Environmental of the Adaptation Fund. The screening hereunder will outline a high risk of involuntarily resettlement to approx. 45 informal settlement areas along the coastal and affected sites. The people of these settlements belong to the poorest of the poor and are highly dependent on fishery as main source of income. The suggested hard interventions to protect the beach from erosion through filling up the beach with sand and restore the natural habit, will affect this settlements. We recommend capacity building on waste management to the community and sustainable maintenance of the beach through responsible authority under component 2, but are not considering hard interventions under component 3 for now. However, the situation will be re-confirmed and assessed in detail for other possible approaches during the assessment and action planning under component 1.



TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION

21. Activity / Sub-Project title	Protection from Beach Erosion in the Coastal Area
22. Project number (if relevant)	Not yet assigned
23. Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination)	In Prey Nob District: Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng and Boeng Taprom. In Kep Province: Angkaol and Pong Tuek
24. Person who filled the form	Cerin Kizhakkethottam, Liam Fee
25. Date of screening	11th to 16.December 2017
26. Signature	from thee
	TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS
TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BE DEVE	LOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)
27. Activity description and or asset to be developed	 Protect the beach areas and land immediately surrounding the coast from erosion by building embankments, extending beach areas and buffer zones, and build/rehabilitate roads to prevent further encroachment of sea water. Survey the site, including assessing the quality of current infrastructure, topography/bathymetry survey to identify areas that are highly prone to erosion/where urgent protection is needed Community consultation regarding siting, safeguards, management and enduse under Component 1 Construct and rehabilitate the necessary infrastructure
28. Materials to be used	 Concrete, earth (imported from other areas) Portland cement, coarse aggregate, and sand
29. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed
30. Who owns the land the activity is planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	While the entire intervention is thought to be on public land – all beaches in Cambo- dia are classified as state public land – there are 45 informal settlements currently living on the area to be surveyed.

31. Start date of activity / works	Year 2 (proposed)
32. End date of activity / works	Year 4 (proposed)
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)	
33. How will the asset be used	 The intervention(s) will be used to prevent erosion, SLR and coastal flooding They will also prevent the on-shore impact of SLR and coastal flooding, such as salinization of land and groundwater Co-benefit: Avoid flooding of the national highway and improve infrastructure. Second co-benefit – improve (or maintain) productivity
34. Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s)	 To ensure ownership with the activity, the intervention will be based on UN-Habitat's People's process methodology building upon a cost-effective participatory process. This means, that local authorities and beneficiaries, where possible, will participate in decision-making as well as construction. Consultation (once detailed engineering design has been drafted under component 1) with local people to re-screen environmental and social principles and for compliance with the environmental and social management plan Capacity building for government at the Provincial level (per Output 2.1).
35. Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s)	Capacity building to maintain beaches in a way that prevents erosion (as per Outputs 2.2 and 2.3 of the project)
36. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 Twice during consultation in May and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discussions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: SLR Loss of land and agricultural land due to SLR washing out crops and nutrition on fertile land. This affects most the poorest of the poor depended on rice farming as source of regular income. Limited protective infrastructure and flooded national highway limits mobilization between the communes, especially elderly and disabled people.

	 Loss of public land used and attractive to tourism as one of the major source to the coastal GDP. Salinization Salinization of rice fields transforms soil into unfertile soil. Hectares of rice fields contaminated are fallow.
	 Erosion Heavy beach erosion and loss of unique habitats and eco-systems due to SLR. Decline of tourism as major source of income for certain communes.
37. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department of Water Resources and Meteorology of Preach Sihanouk Province and Kep Province two times in May and December 2017. Commune chiefs of target area twice in June and December 2017. Preach Sihanouk Province and Kep Province agreed on the proposed target communes and interventions and confirmed that all target areas are on public land.
ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL CONTEXT	
38. Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area	 Several communes of Prey Nob District have already been affected by SLR, erosion and salinization. Besides that, a larger land area has the potential to be affected in the future because of simultaneous occurrence of changes of the mangrove systems and sea level rise in target provinces. SLR and salinity is particularly acute in Prey Nob, Ou Oknha Heng, and Boeng Taprom in Preach Sihanouk province, and Angkaol and Pong Tuek communes in Kep province. The five communes share the same environmental, topographical and socio-economic features: Coastal Riparian Flat topography with few natural defences (aside from the Kampong Smach mangrove area) Agrarian economy High poverty rate Many people living in poor quality housing in flood-prone areas

	In many coastal areas, including in each of the aforementioned five commuter there are beach and mudflat areas that are prone to, and have already ex heavy erosion.	nunes, perienced,	
39. Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area	The target communes score 4 in overall vulnerability index. These five tar munes consist of an even number of women (around 50%) and men. The indigenous people in the area. There is a high percentage of people unde of 17 in each commune; (36.6, 41.0, 27.4, 38.3, and 41.6 per cent in Prey Oknha Heng, Boeng Taprom, Angkaol, and Pong Tuok). So youth consid are prominent.	get com- re are no r the age v Nob, Ou erations	
40. Is an ESIA required by law?	No ESIA requirements are enforced by law yet.		
TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK ARE	AS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES	Answer (Y/N)	
Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance	with the Law		
1. Is there a risk that the activity does not	ot comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	Ν	
Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and a	equity		
Is there a risk that the activity would e decisions that may affect them?	exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in	Y	
 Is there a risk that the activity would in sanitation, energy, education, housing 	npede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and g, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.?	Ν	
4. Is there a risk that the activity does no fected stakeholders?	t provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all af-	Ν	
Is there a risk that the activity exacers nerable groups?	bates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul-	Y	
Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable a	nd marginalized groups		
6. Are there any marginalized or vulnera	ble groups present among project beneficiaries?	Y	
7. Is there a likelihood that the activity would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected pop- ulations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?		Ν	
Could the activity potentially restrict a alized individuals or groups?	8. Could the activity potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin- alized individuals or groups?		
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human right	5		
9. Could the activity lead to adverse imp	acts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social	Y	

or cultural) of the affected population? 10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ- ation of women and girls?	Ν
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	Ν
13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	
17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	Ν
18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Y
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Y
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Y
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	Y

24. Do tat	bes the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- ts, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Y
Adaptatio	on Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Co	ould the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Y
26. Wo	ould the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	Ν
27. ls i	monoculture foreseen?	Ν
28. Wo	ould the activity pose risks to endangered species?	Ν
Adaptatio	on Fund principle 11: Climate change	
29. Wi tati	ill the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tion (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Ν
Adaptatio	on Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	
30. Do	pes the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	Ν
31. Wo	ould the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	Y
32. Wo cire	ould the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine rcumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	Y
33. Wi	ill the activity involve the application of pesticides?	Ν
Adaptatio	on Fund principle 13: Public health	
34. Wo eas	ould the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne dis- uses or communicable infections such as HIV/AIDS)?	Ν
35. Wo an	ould the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use nd/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials?	Ν
36. Wo coi	ould elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local ommunities?	Y
Adaptatio	on Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage	
37. Wi obj kne	ill the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or jects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. owledge, innovations, practices)?	Ν
Adaptatio	on Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion	
38. Wi	ill the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots?	Ν
39. Wi	ill the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest?	Ν
40. ls t	there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation?	N
41. ls 1	there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability?	N
TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL RISKS? PROPOSED RISK MITIGATION MEASURES

AF principle number and description of risks	Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5	Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25	Comment (also to identify signifi- cance of risk, i.e. evi- dence)	Mitigation measures proposed	Monitoring indicators	Frequency and responsi- bility for mon- itoring
 2: Access and equity: 2. Rrisk that the activity would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in decisions that may affect them. And. 5. Risk that the activity exacerbates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vulnerable groups And 	P=3 l=4	Medium (12)	Filling up the beach with sand and rehabilitate the natural asset will protect the nature but will ex- clude the inhabitants liv- ing along the beach from access to, and in particu- lar living on the beach. The existing inequity these people already face due to poverty, lack of access to basic ser- vices and dependency on fishery and therefore to the beach, would exacer- bate.	Soft measures: Com- munity beach clean- ups and capacity build- ing on responsible utili- zation of the affected area.	Monitoring of alterna- tive: Through training re- port, survey and photos of the beach	Of the alterna- tive: After train- ing and photos every half a year. Project Man- agement Team (training) Commune council (pho- tos)
And 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups: 6. There are any marginal- ized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries.						

 4: Human rights 9. The activity leads to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population And 10. Effect on land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/customary rights to 			While the activity(ies) would be entirely on land that is classified as 'state-public', there are approximately approx. 45 informal settlement on the land, who would be at risk of involuntary resettlement in the activ- ity were implemented	Ensure fully participa- tory planning and de- sign processes, that re-confirms the status of the land used, and, if people are living in- formally on state public land, follows the Circu- lar 3 process to find al- ternate arrangements. Involuntary resettle- ment is of high political sensitivity. Mitigation recommended through soft intervention See	Meeting at- tendance sheets and make pic- tures	Every meeting Project leader
land, territories and/or re- sources?	P= 3 l = 5	High (15)		above		
And						
8: Involuntary resettle- ment						
19. The activity potentially involve temporary or per- manent and full or partial physical displacement						
And						
20. The activity would lead to forced evictions						
6: Core labour rights 14. The activity involves support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and	P=2 I=3	Low (6)	The implementation the interventions involves employment of local craftsmen. As the mini- mum wage in Cambodia is below ILO standards, there can be a risk of low	UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards through legal agree- ments with sub-con- tractors.	Contract and payroll	While formulat- ing contracts and disburse- ment of pay- ments Project Team

international labour stand- ards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO funda- mental conventions).			or insufficient salaries.	
 9. Protection of natural habitats The activity could cause damage to environmentally sensitive lands 22. The activity is within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities. 	P= 2 I = 4	Medium (8)	The infrastructure neces- sary would be close to several critical ecosys- tems – the ocean and the beach, and would close to agricultural land and human settlements	
And				
23. The activity potentially causes adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services.				
And				
24. The activity involves changes to the use of lands and resources that				

						-
may have adverse impacts on habitats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods						
10: Conserving biodi- versity As above	P= 1 I = 4	Low (4)				
12: Pollution and re- source efficiency: The activity generates waste and pollutants	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	Construction/rehabilita- tion will inevitably gener- ate waste associated with infrastructure con- struction. contractors wi contractually of remove waste site and dispose the proper faci	Contractors will be contractually obliged to remove waste from the site and dispose of it in the proper facilities	Agreement with con- tractor	Site manager
13: Public health : Elements of the construc- tion cause damage to peo- ple's health	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	There is limited knowledge of safe work conditions	As above, with health conditions	Identify work equipment	While hiring people

The assessment concludes that implementing this activity would represent a risk to people living informally in the target area. While the activity would be entirely implemented on land classified as 'state public', there are up to 45 informal settlements living in the area. The project could not guarantee that there would be no involuntary resettlement during the course of implementation, due to the proximity of settlements to the beach and coastal areas that would be targeted. It is the conclusion of the screening that this activity should not be considered further at this stage. However, it will be kept under consideration during the vulnerability assessment and climate change adaptation action planning under Component 1. If the situation changes, and in particular, if an effective and low-risk management strategy can be found at this stage to protect the informal settlers, and to ensure they benefit from the activity, it will be reconsidered.

VI. WASTEWATER FLOODING, BANK AND SOIL POLLUTION

13. Enhanced wastewater management and drainage systems



SUB-PROJECT RISK ASSESSMENT SHEET

TABLE 1: GENERAL INFORMATION					
1. Activity / Sub-Project title	Enhanced wastewater management and drainage system (to reduce wastewater flood risks to vulnerable people and assets and to reduce soil and bank pollution due to contaminated and poorly drained surface water)				
2. Project number (if relevant)	13				
 Project location (village, districts, ge- ographical coordination) 	In Sihanoukville: Sangkat Muoy (informal settlement)				
4. Person who filled the form	Cerin Kizhakkethottam and Liam Fee				
5. Date of screening	11th to 16th December 2017				
6. Signature	fran Ace				

TABLE 2: ACTIVITY / SUB-PROJECT DETAILS						
TECHNICAL INFORMATION (WHAT WILL BE DEVELOPED / CONSTRUCTED AND LOCATION DETAILS, LENGTH, SIZE, ETC.)						
7. Activity description and or asset to be developed	 Assess location for most effective wastewater management and drainage system. Design and mapping wastewater management and drainage system based on developed flood hazard map. Build capacity to design wastewater management and drainage to upscale and enhance potential for replication. Construct wastewater management and drainage infrastructure based on developed flood hazard map. 					
8. Materials to be used	 Concrete Metal Sand and soil of the construction site Plastic 					
9. Other technical specifications	The full technical specifications have not yet been developed and will be under Component 2 of the project. This screening will be re-done once the specifications have been developed.					
10. Who owns the land the activity is planned on and / or who uses the land and why?	The location of wastewater management and drainage system is thought, at pre- sent, to be entirely on public land. This will be re-confirmed during the action plan- ning stage under Component 1, as the ownership status, especially within the infor- mal settlements of Sangkat Muoy may change between now and then. The activity is planned only on land where the ownership status is cleared.					
11. Start date of activity / works	Year 1					
12. End date of activity / works	Year 4					
USE OF ASSETS (BENEFITS AND ACCESS)						
13. How will the asset be used	The wastewater management and drainage system will prevent from water- logged informal settlements and spread of waterborne diseases due to heavy rain. It aims to prevent contamination of soil and river banks and avoids wastewater flowing unfiltered into the sea.					
 14. Interventions required for appropri- ate use of the asset(s) 	 Vulnerability assessment and wastewater flood hazard map. Capacity building on mapping and designing of wastewater management 					

	 and drainage system Capacity building on community awareness of health risks and hazards of wastewater
 Interventions required for sustaina- ble management and maintenance of the asset(s) 	Capacity building of provincial and commune authority on management and maintenance of the wastewater management and drainage system.
16. Was the community (and specific groups) consulted	 Twice during consultation in May and December 2017 Consultation included focus group (women, elderly, poorest of the poor) discussions to understand specific issues and needs regarding proposed interventions and to validate risks and impacts and mitigation measures. Main climate change impacts were confirmed. Outcomes include: Wastewater and surface flooding Waterlogged informal settlements Spread of waterborne diseases affecting the most vulnerable poor and children Soil and river bank pollution through untreated waste and surface water Mixed untreated waste and surface water streaming into the sea and contaminating sea water and ecosystem of the coastal zone in Sangkat Muoy. Need: Build mobile wastewater treatment plant, where possible Channel drainage to re-direct the surface water flow Find adequate solution to channel wastewater and to avoid contamination of freshwater through untreated wastewater.
17. Have relevant local authorities been consulted	 Department of Land Management, Urban Planning and Construction at the provincial level and Department of Water Resources and Meteorology of Preach Sihanouk Province in May and December 2017. Commune chief of target area in June and December 2017. The province agreed on the proposed target Sangkat and interventions and confirmed that all target area is on public land. This will be re-confirmed under component 1 as ownership status within informal settlement are difficult to define.

 Description of the environmental context and the main environmental issues on the site / in the area 	Sankat Muoy is a coastal (mainly) informal settlement where 55, 6 % of the total pop- ulation have no access to safe water.20 % of the streets are paved but with no inte- grated drainage system. Toilets of 70 % of the target households are channelling
19. Description of the social context and the main social issues on the site / in the area	wastewater through straight pipes directly into the sea. 30 % of the toilets are draining into septic tanks. The lack of urban basic services exacerbates the climate change impacts of extreme weather events like seasonal heavy rains and droughts. Through dense urbanization within the target area heavy rains create flash floods/surface floods that lead to waterlogged informal settlements, spread of waterborne diseases affecting the most vulnerable poor and children. Mixed untreated waste and surface water streams into the sea and contaminates on its way soil, river banks, sea water and the ecosystem of the coastal zone.
20. Is an ESIA required by law?	No ESIA requirements are enforced by National law yet.

TABLE 3: CHECKLIST OF POTENTIAL RISK AREAS OF NON-COMPLIANCE WITHIN THE ADAPTATION FUND'S ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL PRINCIPLES Answer (Y/N) Adaptation Fund principle 1: Compliance with the Law Compliance with the Law

1. Is there a risk that the activity does not comply with an applicable domestic or international law?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 2: Access and equity	
2. Is there a risk that the activity would exclude any potentially affected stakeholders from fully participating in decisions that may affect them?	Y
3. Is there a risk that the activity would impede access of any group to basic health services, clean water and sanitation, energy, education, housing, safe and decent working conditions, land rights, etc.?	Ν
4. Is there a risk that the activity does not provide fair and equitable access to benefits from the project to all affected stakeholders?	Y
5. Is there a risk that the activity exacerbates existing inequities, particularly with respect to marginalized or vul- nerable groups?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 3: Vulnerable and marginalized groups	
6. Are there any marginalized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries?	Y
7. Is there a likelihood that the activity would have inequitable or discriminatory adverse impacts on affected populations, particularly people living in poverty or marginalized or excluded individuals or groups?	Ν
8. Could the activity potentially restrict availability, quality of and access to resources or basic services to margin- alized individuals or groups?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 4: Human rights	

9. Could the activity lead to adverse impacts on enjoyment of the human rights (civil, political, economic, social or cultural) of the affected population?	Ν
10. Would the activity possibly affect land tenure arrangements and/or community based property rights/custom- ary rights to land, territories and/or resources?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 5: Gender equality and women's empowerment	
11. Is there a likelihood that the proposed activity would have adverse impacts on gender equality and/or the situ- ation of women and girls?	Ν
12. Would the activity potentially reproduce discriminations against women based on gender, especially regarding participation in design and implementation or access to opportunities and benefits?	Ν
13. Would the activity potentially limit women's ability to use, develop and protect natural resources, taking into account different roles and positions of women and men in accessing environmental goods and services?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 6: Core labour rights	
14. Does the activity involve support for employment or livelihoods that may fail to comply with national and inter- national labour standards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO fundamental conventions)?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 7: Indigenous people	
15. Are indigenous peoples present in the project area?	Ν
16. Would the proposed activity potentially affect the human rights, lands, natural resources, territories, and tradi- tional livelihoods of indigenous peoples?	Ν
17. Would the activity adversely affect the development priorities of indigenous peoples as defined by them?	Ν
18. Has there been an absence of culturally appropriate consultations on matters that may affect the rights and interests, lands, resources, territories and traditional livelihoods of the indigenous peoples concerned?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 8: Involuntary resettlement	
19. Would the activity potentially involve temporary or permanent and full or partial physical displacement?	Y
20. Is there a risk that the activity would lead to forced evictions?	Y
21. Will the activity lead to economic displacement (loss of assets or access to assets that leads to loss of income sources or other means of livelihood)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 9: Protection of natural habitats	
22. Is the activity within or adjacent to critical habitats and/or environmentally sensitive areas, including legally protected areas (e.g. nature reserve, national park), areas proposed for protection, or recognized as such by authoritative sources and/or indigenous peoples or local communities?	Ν
23. Would the activity potentially cause adverse impacts to habitats (e.g. natural, modified, and critical habitats) and/or ecosystems and ecosystem services?	Ν

24. Does the activity involve changes to the use of lands and resources that may have adverse impacts on habi- tats, ecosystems, and/or livelihoods?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 10: Conserving biodiversity	
25. Could the activity lead to the reduction or loss of biological diversity?	Ν
26. Would the activity pose a risk of introducing invasive and/or non-native species?	Ν
27. Is monoculture foreseen?	Ν
28. Would the activity pose risks to endangered species?	N
Adaptation Fund principle 11: Climate change	
29. Will the activity result in significant greenhouse gas emissions or may it exacerbate climate change / maladap- tation (e.g. negative effects in other areas)?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 12: Pollution and resource efficiency	
30. Does the activity require significant consumption of raw materials, energy, and/or water?	N
31. Would the activity potentially result in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous)?	Y
32. Would the activity potentially result in the release of pollutants to the environment due to routine or non-routine circumstances with the potential for adverse local, regional, and/or transboundary impacts?	Ν
33. Will the activity involve the application of pesticides?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 13: Public health	
34. Would the activity result in potential increased health risks (e.g. from waterborne or other vector-borne dis- eases?	Ν
35. Would the activity pose potential risks to community health and safety due to the transport, storage, and use and/or disposal of hazardous or dangerous materials?	Ν
36. Would elements of activity construction, operation, or decommissioning pose potential safety risks to local communities?	Y
Adaptation Fund principle 14: Physical and cultural heritage	
37. Will the proposed activity result in interventions that would potentially adversely impact sites, structures, or objects with historical, cultural, artistic, traditional or religious values or intangible forms of culture (e.g. knowledge, innovations, practices)?	Ν
Adaptation Fund principle 15: Land and soil erosion	
38. Will the activity lead to the conversion of wetlands, waterways, or woodlots?	Ν
39. Will the activity cause the clearing of natural vegetation and/or forest?	Ν
40. Is there a risk that the activity leads to soil degradation?	Ν
41. Is there a risk that the activity is designed without proper soil analysis and/or does not match soil capability?	Y

TABLE 4: WHAT ARE THE POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL AND SOCIAL RISKS? PROPOSED RISK MITIGATION MEASURES

AF principle number and description of risks	Probability (P) and Im- pact (I) Score 1 - 5	Significance (= impact x probability) Low: 1-7 Med: 8-14 High: 15-25	Comment (also to identify signifi- cance of risk, i.e. evi- dence)	Mitigation measures proposed	Monitoring indicators	Frequency and responsi- bility for mon- itoring
2. Access and Equity:			Risk that the activity will exclude an unacknowl-	Participatory process (People's Process)	Training re-	Throughout the
2. Risk that the activity would exclude any poten- tially affected stakeholders from fully participating in decisions that may affect them.			edged stakeholders. Risk that marginalized and vulnerable group, es- pecially women, are not included in decision mak- ing processes.	throughout all phases of this project Capacity building to raise awareness on health risk of unsafe water and wastewater	port	Project leader
And			Risk of adverse impact	to the community will recognize guotas for		
3. marginalized or vul- nerable groups:			on gender equality and/or the situation of women	female and marginal- ized peoples'participa- tion in decision making		
6. Existence of marginal- ized or vulnerable groups present among project beneficiaries.	P= 1 I = 3	Low (3)		at all levels.		
And						
5. Gender equality and women empower- ment:						
11. Likelihood that the pro- posed activity would have adverse impacts on gen- der equality and/or the sit- uation of women and						

girls?						
6. Core labour rights 14. Activity involve support for employment or liveli- hoods that may fail to comply with national and international labour stand- ards (i.e. principles and standards of ILO funda- mental conventions).	P=2 I=3	Low (6)	The implementation of wastewater management and drainage systems in- volves employment of lo- cal craftsmen. As the wage for craftsmen is be- low ILO standards in Cambodia, there can be a risk of low or insuffi- cient salaries.	UN-Habitat ensures payments according to the ILO standards through legal agree- ments with sub-con- tractors.	Contract and payroll	While formulat- ing contracts and disburse- ment of pay- ments Project Team
11: Climate change 29. The activity results in significant green- house gas emissions or may exacerbate cli- mate change / mala- daptation (e.g. nega- tive effects in other ar- eas).	P=3 I=4	Medium (12)I	According to the IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inven- tories ⁶⁶ wastewater col- lection and disposal at wastewater treatment plants contribute to the emission of GHG in the atmosphere.	Action Planning under component 1 will iden- tify the lowest emitting wastewater treatment plant alternative. Mo- bile and small-scale wastewater tanks with a treatment system are mainly designed as a circulation system without risking GHG emissions.	Identify Wastewater treatment method	During design and implemen- tation Project leader
12. Pollution and resource efficiency31. Activity potentially results in the generation of waste (both hazardous and non-hazardous).	P= 2 I = 3	Low (6)	The materials used for the intervention are mainly out of concrete, metal and plastic. Con- struction/ rehabilitation will inevitably generate non-hazardous waste as- sociated with house` con- struction	Contractors will be contractually obliged to remove waste from the site and dispose of it in the proper facilities The local sub-contrac- tor will be instructed to provide safety features	Oversight of sites and photos	While imple- menting Site manager

⁶⁶ Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, prepared by . National Greenhouse Gas Inventories Programme, Eggleston H.S., Buendia L., Miwa K., Ngara T. and Anabe . (eds).

	P= 1 I = 3	Low (3)	There is limited knowledge of safe work conditions	and equipment.	Identify work equipment	While hiring people Site manager
15: Land and soil ero- sion There a risk that the activ- ity leads to soil degrada- tion	P=2 l=4	Low (8)	Overflow of inefficient wastewater management or drainage system can pollute soil and river bank	Appropriate design during action planning is based on vulnerabil- ity assessment and hazard maps. Hence capacity of wastewater management and drainage system will be adapted to the need.	Identify ap- propriate systems	During action planning, de- sign and imple- mentation. Project Team

Annex 6. Monitoring and Evaluation Framework

Results framework

Expected Result	Indicators	Baseline data	Targets	Risks & as- sumptions	Data col- lection method	Fre- quency	Re- spon- sibilitv					
Project objective: enhanced climate and disaster resilience of the most vulnerable rural and emerging urban human settlements in Southern Lao PDR by increasing sustainable access to basic infrastructure systems and services, emphasizing resilience to storms, floods, droughts, landslides and disease outbreaks Project component 1: Institutional level strengthening to reduce vulnerability in human settlements												
Outcome 1 Institutional capacity in- creased at the provincial and commune level to re- duce vulnerability of tar- get communities through vulnerability and disaster risk reduction assess- ments, action planning and training that will ena- ble adaptation actions in infrastructure, natural as- sets and livelihoods (in- cluding eco-tourism) (Aligned with AF outcome 2)	No. and type of tar- geted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks (Aligned with AF indicator 2.1.)	0 provinces and communes devel- oped vulnerability and disaster risk reduction assess- ments ⁶⁷ , action planning and train- ing that will enable adaptation action for the target com- munity.	2 provinces and 15 com- munes have generated assessments and plans to address cli- mate change and risk re- duction vul- nerability (AF indicator 2.1)	R – General planning capac- ity limitations prevent the inte- gration of cli- mate change concerns A – Core team ensures aware- ness on as- sessing sys- tems, including infrastructure and natural as- sets, and plan- ning for adapta- tion	Review of all provin- cial and commune level plans and actions	Base- line, and end	UN- Habitat and Exe- cuting entities					
Strengthened capacity at provincial and commune level to conduct vulnera- bility assessment and cli- mate change action plans	No. and type of train- ings conducted to strengthen capacity on vulnerability as-	0 No training con- ducted to strengthen capac- ity on vulnerability assessments and	2 trainings on provincial and 15 train- ings on com- mune level conducted	R – Trained offi- cials retire or leave the provin- cial/commune level govern- ment.	Training re- ports	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and					

⁶⁷ Vulnerability assessments have been produced for Sihanoukville municipality (UN-Habitat, 2011) and Prey Nob District (UNEP, 2015), but none target the provinces as a whole or the commune level

in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.	sessments and cli- mate change action planning on com- mune and provincial level (Aligned with AF Indicator 2.1.1)	climate change ac- tion planning on commune and pro- vincial level		A – core of offi- cials from sub- national govern- ment can be re- tained, trained throughout the project and will continue to im- plement beyond the life of the project		D	Exe- cuting entities
Output 1.2. Integrated climate change vulnerability and disaster risk reduction assess- ments (incl. maps) to in- form evidence basis ac- tion panning in provincial and commune level in tar- get areas including mar- ginalized groups (e.g. women) disaggregated, where possible.	Number of climate change vulnerability and disaster risk re- duction assessments produced (AF indica- tor 2.1)	1 VA (from 2011) in Sihanoukville City, and 1 in Prey Nob district. No VA for Kep	2 Provinces (including 15 communes have devel- oped vulner- ability as- sessments	 R – Limited human resource capacity and high workloads delay vulnerability assessment A – Output 1.1. as a training module to enhance expertise 	Collect and review doc- umentation from prov- ince and commune authorities	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Exe- cuting entities
Output 1.3. Provincial and commune level climate change ad- aptation plans developed officially approved to en- sure most appropriate, cost-effective and envi- ronmental and social con- crete adaptation actions in line with the 15 Princi- ples of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP.	No of provincial and commune level cli- mate change adapta- tion plans completed identifying the most cost-effective and en- vironmental and so- cial actions, actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Ad- aptation Fund and the ESMP. This includes, as ap- propriate, actions on	0 action plans devel- oped or approved at provincial and commune level	2 provincial 15 commune level climate change ad- aptation ac- tion plans	R – Limited ca- pacity on com- mune level to undertake com- plex planning A – Support by Implementing Entity can be provided to plan	Review of completed plans	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Exe- cuting entities

water infrastru	icture					
and natural as	ssets,					
use and mana	igement					
of protective in	nfra-					
structure, livel	ihoods,					
needs to enha	ince					
eco-tourism a	nd gen-					
der and inclus	ivity					
considerations	3					
These action	olans					
will include a	oriori-					
tized short list	of ac-					
tions.						
(AF Indicator 3	3.1.1)					
1.1.1 Conduct province/commune wide trai	 Trainings 	on vulnerabil	ity assessn	nent and		
sessment and climate change adaptation p	climate	change action	n plans c	onducted		
of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP	(month 4)	-				
			, ,			

Outcome 1, Table 1: Review of activities and milestones

Activity	AoC -	Implementation	Budget items	Budget	Ti	melines ar	d Mileston	es
	Partner	Modality		(detailed budget lines per budget item)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
1.1.1. Conduct prov- ince/commune wide train- ings on vulnerability and risk reduction assessment and climate change adap- tation planning actions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adaptation Fund and the ESMP	MoE/NCS D	Training material to be developed. However, Plan- ning for Climate Change and ex- isting VRA tool will be used	International consult- ant – climate change National consultant, climate change and national consultant, capacity building	150,000	X			

1.2.1. Conduct vulnerability assessments on provincial and commune level that identify the most vulnerable people and places, and pro- vide an evidence basis for action planning, while also considering the adaptation potential of eco-tourism	MoE/NCS D	Planning for cli- mate change and the VRA Tool	 International Con- sultants (Climate Change, Planning) National Consult- ants (Climate Change, GIS, com- munity consultations, socio-economic/fi- nance) Consultations 	200,000	×						
1.3.1. Develop prov- ince/commune wide climate change adaptation plans, including cost-benefit anal- ysis, -rescreening against the environmental and so- cial management plan and which prioritise the most cost-effective adaptation in- vestments.	MoE/NCS D	Planning for Cli- mate Change	 International Consultant (Climate Change, Tool Development) National Consultants (tool development, design) Printing 	150,000		X					

Outcome 1, Table 2: Review of indicators

Indicator	Baseline	Targets	Qualification	Means of Veri-	Frequency	Observation
	data		of targets	fication	Responsibility	
Outcome Indicator 1: No. and type of targeted in- stitutions with increased capacity to minimize expo- sure to climate variability risks (Aligned with AF indi- cator 2.1.)	0	2 provinces and 15 com- munes have generated as- sessments and plans to address cli- mate change and risk re- duction vul- nerability (AF indicator 2.1)	To be devel- oped	Collect infor- mation from MoE and NCDD	Annual – pro- ject team	

Output Indicator 1.1 - No. and type of trainings con- ducted to strengthen ca- pacity on vulnerability as- sessments and climate change action planning on commune and provincial level (Aligned with AF Indi-	0	2 trainings on provincial and 15 trainings on commune level con- ducted	To be devel- oped	Collect infor- mation from MoE and NCDD	Annual – Pro- ject team	
Output Indicator 1.2 - Num- ber of climate change vul- nerability and disaster risk reduction assessments produced (AF indicator 2.1)	1 VA from 2011 in SHV City, and 1 in Prey Nop	2 Provinces (including 15 communes) have devel- oped vulnera- bility assess- ments	To be devel- oped	Collect infor- mation from MoE/NCDD	Annual – Pro- ject team	
Output indicator 1.3 - No of provincial and commune level climate change adap- tation plans completed identifying the most cost- effective and environmen- tal and social actions, ac- tions in line with the 15 Principles of the Adapta- tion Fund and the ESMP.	0 action plans de- veloped or ap- proved at provincial and com- mune level	2 provincial 15 commune level climate change adap- tation action plans	To be devel- oped	Collect infor- mation from MoE, NCDD and provincial governments	Annual – Pro- ject team	

Outcome 1 Table 3: Monitoring of risks, environmental, social and human rights issues and verification of application of ESSS / ESMP

Observations on Risks, Markers, ESS	Baseline	Observations
Risks – review identified risks, have mitigating measures been put in place?		
Environmental, Social, Human Rights issues		

(disaggregation of data,	
participation, focus on	
people with vulnerabilities	
etc.)	
ESMP – was it applied in	
support of achieving this	
outcome	

for replication in other areas											
Expected Result	Indicators	Baseline data	Targets	Risks & as- sumptions	Data collec- tion method	Fre- quency	Re- spon- sibility				
Outcome 2 Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to design, monitor, manage and maintain climate resili- ent community assets with maximum eco- nomic co-benefits in- cluding leveraging eco- tourism potential, envi- ronmental and social co- benefits with particular emphasis on women, youth, older people and other people in vulnera- ble situations	Number of com- munity, commune and provincial level training on capacity to plan, construct and maintain resilient water and protec- tive infrastructure and natural as- sets enhanced (in line with eco-tour- ism enhancement potential) (AF indicator 3.)	0 trainings have been conducted at any level on designing, monitoring and main- taining climate resili- ent infrastructure 0 conducted capacity training on commu- nity, commune and provincial level on plan, construct and maintain protective infrastructure and natural assets (in line with eco-tourism en- hancement potential)	45x commu- nity/commune-level trainings and two provincial level trainings 20% of total bene- ficiaries will be trained 200 government of- ficials trained	R – No con- sistency in qual- ity of trainings. A – Focal point on community, commune and provincial level can assure quality of train- ing	Post- training survey	Base- line, mid- term and end	Exe- cuting entities				
Output 2.1. Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to design/ plan/ rehabilitate infra- structure and to build protective natural as- sets. (Aligned with AF output 2.2.)	No of beneficiar- ies covered by adequate climate change adapta- tion and risk-re- duction systems identified in the action plans de- veloped under 1.3.	0 people of commu- nity level covered by adequate risk-reduc- tion systems	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 govern- ment officials from the provincial and commune level trained on climate change adaptation and risk reduction systems identified in the action plans developed under 1.3.	R – Limited basic knowledge of communities means technical training ineffec- tive A – Focal point on community level can assure quality of train- ings, mentoring, and that training	Post- training survey	Base- line, mid- term and end	Exe- cuting entities and UN- Habitat				

Project Component 2: Capacity built to design, monitor and manage infrastructure and natural assets, while also increasing capacity to plan

				has the appro- priate technical			
				content			
Output 2.2 Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to monitor and manage community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets designed under 2.1.	No. of staff on commune level trained to re- spond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events assessed under 1.2	00 staff on commune level have been trained to monitor and manage commu- nity infrastructure	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 govern- ment officials from the provincial and commune level trained on climate change adaptation and risk reduction systems identified in the action plans developed under 1.3.	R – Provincial staff workloads diminish their ability to attend training A – Focal point on commune level can assure quality of train- ings and men- toring	Post- training survey	Base- line, mid- term and end	UN- Habitat and Exe- cuting entities
Output 2.3. Community, commune and provincial level ca- pacity built to maintain community infrastruc- ture and to build protec- tive natural assets de- signed under 2.1.	No. of staff on provincial level trained to re- spond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events assessed under 1.2	0 staff on provincial level have been trained	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 govern- ment officials from the provincial and commune level trained on climate change adaptation and risk reduction systems identified in the action plans developed under 1.3.	 R – No consistency in quality of trainings. A – Focal point on provincial level can assure quality of trainings and mentoring 	Post- training survey	Base- line, mid- term and end	UN- Habitat and Exe- cuting entities
Activities				Milestones			
2.1.1. Training to design/	pian/ rehabilitate infra and	astructure and to build pr	otective natural as-	 I raining to plans of ir 	o design, pl	an and reha	ctive nat-
2.2.1. Training to monitor	ural asset	s (month 12	2)				
ural assets designed under	 Design, p for physic 	blan and re	habilitation	strategy			
designed under 2	.1.			natural as	set (month	15)	
2.3.2 Produce a guidel	ine/manual covering	all the training elements	in Component 2	 Training t 	o monitor a	nd manage	commu-
C C	nity infras	tructure an	d to build p	rotective			

 natural assets (month 21) Training to maintain community infrastructure and to build protective natural assets (month 21) Guideline produced covering all the training components (month 24) Assessments conducted / awareness (month 24 (50%), 36 (100%))
 Households and communities trained (month 24-40%, 36-80%, 48-100%)

Outcome 2 Table 1: Review of activities and milestones

Activity	AoC -	Implementation	Budget items	Budget		Timelines and Milestones											
	Partner	Modality		(detailed	Yea	r 1		Yea	ar 2	2	Y	ea	r 3		Ye	ear ·	4
				budget													
				lines per													
				budget													
		- · · · · · ·		item)					_								4
2.1.1. I raining to design/	MOE/NCS	I raining materi-	International consult-	150,000			<										
ture and to build protective	D	ans to be devel-	ant, halional consult-														
natural assets assessed		opeu	and manuals														
under 1.2 and																	
																	_
2.2.1. Training to monitor	MoE/NCS		International consult-	150,000					Х								
frastructure and to build	D		ant, national consult-														
protective natural assets			and manuals														
designed under 2.1.																	
2.3.1. Training to maintain	MoE/NCS	Training materi-	International consult-	200,000					Х								
community infrastructure	D	als and guideline	ant, national consult-														
and to build protective nat-		to be developed	ant, training events														
ural assets designed under			and manuals														
2.1.	MoE/NCS		International consult-				-	-		X	_			_			
line/manual covering all the	D		ant (writing)/ national							^							
training elements in Com-	-		consultant (writing),														
ponent 2.			translation														

Indicator	Baseline data	Targets	Qualification of targets	Means of Verification	Frequency Responsibil- ity	Observation
Number of commu- nity, commune and provincial level train- ing on capacity to plan, construct and maintain resilient wa- ter and protective in- frastructure and natu- ral assets enhanced (in line with eco-tour- ism enhancement po- tential) (AF indicator 3.)	0 trainings have been conducted at any level on designing, monitoring and maintain- ing climate re- silient infra- structure 0 conducted ca- pacity training on commu- nity, com- mune and provincial level on plan, construct and maintain pro- tective infra- structure and natural assets (in line with eco-tourism enhancement potential)	45x community/com- mune-level trainings and two provincial level trainings 20% of total benefi- ciaries will be trained 200 government offi- cials trained	To be devel- oped	Collect infor- mation from MoE and NCDD	Annual – pro- ject team	
Output 2.1 - No of beneficiaries covered by adequate climate change adaptation and risk-reduction systems identified in the action plans de- veloped under 1.3.	0 people of community level covered by adequate risk-reduction systems	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 gov- ernment officials from the provincial and commune level trained on climate change ad-	To be devel- oped	Training re- ports, and in- formation. Col- lect information from MoE and NCDD	Annual – Pro- ject team	

Outcome 2, Table 2, Review of Indicators

		aptation and risk re- duction systems identi- fied in the action plans developed under 1.3.				
Output 2.2. No. of staff on com- mune level trained to respond to, and miti- gate impacts of, cli- mate-related events assessed under 1.2	0 staff on commune level have been trained to monitor and manage com- munity infra- structure	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 gov- ernment officials from the provincial and commune level trained on climate change ad- aptation and risk re- duction systems identi- fied in the action plans developed under 1.3.	To be devel- oped	Training re- ports, and in- formation. Col- lect information from MoE and NCDD	Annual – Pro- ject team	
Output 2.3. No. of staff on provin- cial level trained to re- spond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-re- lated events assessed under 1.2	0 staff on pro- vincial level have been trained	20% of total project beneficiaries (16,917 people) and 200 gov- ernment officials from the provincial and commune level trained on climate change ad- aptation and risk re- duction systems identi- fied in the action plans developed under 1.3.	To be devel- oped	Training re- ports, and in- formation. Col- lect information from MoE and NCDD	Annual – Pro- ject team	

Outcome 2 Table 3: Monitoring of risks, environmental, social and human rights issues and verification of application of ESSS / ESMP

Observations on Risks, Markers, ESS	Baseline	Observations
Risks – review identified risks, have mitigating measures been put in place?		
Environmental, Social, Human Rights issues (disaggregation of data, participation, focus on		

people with vulnerabilities etc.)	
ESMP – was it applied in	
support of achieving this	
outcome	

Project component 3: Resilience built through small-scale protective and basic service interventions									
Expected Result	Indicators	Baseline data	Targets	Risks & assump- tions	Data col- lection method	Fre- quency	Re- spon- sibil- ity		
Outcome 3 At least 84,586 peo- ple have access to protective natural and social assets and /or benefit from physical infrastruc- ture to reduce the cli- mate vulnerability. (AF outcome 4 and 5)	No. of people that benefit from climate change resilient in- frastructure, access to natural assets and improved liveli- hood options to withstand conditions resulting from cli- mate variability and change	84,586 peo- ple have been as- sessed as vulnerable to climate change im- pact	100% of the vulnerable population (84,586 peo- ple) of which at least 50 per- cent women have access to resilient infrastructure and/or protective natural assets	 R – Delay in implementing infrastructure A – Agreement of Cooperation will stipulate timeframe for implementing infrastructure 	Field site inspec- tions photo documen- tation and data base and geo- tacked community monitoring report	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habi- tat		
Output 3.1. Protective natural and social assets and /or physical in- frastructure strength- ened/built to reduce climate vulnerability in line with the action plans under Output 1.3 and designs un- der Output 2.1.	No. of physical as- sets strengthened or constructed to with- stand conditions re- sulting from climate variability and change (by asset types) (AF indicator 4.1.2.) No. and type of pro- tective natural re- source assets cre- ated, maintained or improved to with- stand conditions re- sulting from climate variability and change (by type of assets) (AF indica- tor 5.1.)	3 protective infrastruc- tures in Kep Province, 8 protective infrastruc- tures in Preah Si- hanouk	At least 20 pieces of in- frastructure and 500 re- silient houses con- structed/rehabilitated to protect people and sup- port resilience The infrastructure inter- ventions can include pro- tective dams, canals, wa- ter infrastructure, weather, broadcast and early warning infrastruc- ture and protective natu- ral assets. (for further in- formation see the cata- logue of intended sub- projects)	 R – Divergent out- comes of priori- tized intervention between Com- mune Investment Plan and commu- nity needs A – Assessment and action plan- ning conducted un- der component 1 and joint provincial and community consultation will identify the most appropriate inter- vention 	Assess- ment re- port of the vulnerable assets	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habi- tat		

Activities	Milestones
3.1.1. Constructing and rehabilitating infrastructure and protective natural assets in the two provinces and 14 of the 15 communes ⁶⁸ that the project will implement in	 Infrastructure/natural assets constructed / de- veloped (month 12 (2 pilot projects), 24 – 30%, 36-80%, 48-100%)

Outcome 3, Table 1 – Review of Activities and Milestones

Activity	AoC -	Implementa-	Budget items	Budget	Timelines and Milestones			
	Partner	tion Modality		(detailed budget lines per budget item)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4
3.1.1. Constructing and re- habilitating infrastructure and protective natural as- sets in the two provinces and 14 of the 15 com- munes ⁶⁹ that the project will implement in	NCDD	TBD	National and Local engineers, equip- ment/hardware for resilience building measures	3,000,000				X

Outcome 3, Table 2 - Review of Indicators

Indicator Bas data	ta	Targets	Qualification of targets	Means of Verification	Frequency Responsibil-	Observation
			9		ity .	
Outcome 3 84,5 84,586 of people that benefit from climate change resilient infra- structure, access to natural assets and im- proved livelihood op- tions to withstand con-	,586 people ve been as- ssed as vul- rable to cli- ate change pact	100% of the vulnera- ble population (84,586 people) of which at least 50 percent women have access to resilient in- frastructure and/or protective natural as-	To be devel- oped	Data gathered by NCDD	Bi-annual from Y2 onwards, Project team	

⁶⁸ There will be no concrete interventions funded directly in Koh Rong
⁶⁹ There will be no concrete interventions funded directly in Koh Rong

climate variability and change						
Output 3.1 No. of physical assets strengthened or con- structed to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change (by asset types) (AF indicator 4.1.2.) No. and type of protec- tive natural resource assets created, main- tained or improved to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change (by type of assets) (AF indicator 5.1.)	3 protective infrastructure in Kep Prov- ince, 8 protec- tive infrastruc- tures in Preah Sihanouk	At least 20 pieces of infrastructure and 500 resilient houses con- structed/rehabilitated to protect people and support resilience The infrastructure in- terventions can include protective dams, ca- nals, water infrastruc- ture, weather, broad- cast and early warning infrastructure and pro- tective natural assets. (for further information see the catalogue of intended sub-projects)	To be devel- oped	Data gathered by NCDD	Bi-annual from Y2 onwards, Project team	

Outcome 3, Table 3 - Monitoring of risks, environmental, social and human rights issues and verification of application of ESSS / ESMP

Observations on Risks, Markers, ESS	Baseline	Observations
Risks – review identified risks, have mitigating measures been put in place?		
Environmental, Social, Human Rights issues (disaggregation of data, participation, focus on people with vulnerabilities etc.)		
ESMP – was it applied in support of achieving this outcome		

Project component 4: Knowledge and awareness enhanced and sustainability ensured											
Expected Result	Indicators	Baseline data	Targets	Risks & as- sumptions	Data col- lection method	Fre- quency	Re- spon- sibility				
Outcome 4 Project implementation is fully transparent and na- tional capacity to pilot cli- mate change adaptation projects and establish ca- pacity for climate adaptive policy making strength- ened. All stakeholders are informed of activities, re- sults and best practice and have access to these for replication.	All stakeholders are fully informed about a transpar- ent project imple- mentation process	84,586 people in the target area have experi- enced climate change related hazard but are unaware of the infrastructure and protective natural assets require to protect them	100% of project beneficiaries (84,586 people) can identify cli- mate change hazards and un- derstand how in- frastructure and protective natural assets benefit them	R – Narrow dis- semination of project activities A – Government supports roll out	Media cov- erage of project online, print and broad- casted through TV and radio. Stakeholder group meet- ings and workshops	Base- line, mid-term and end	UN- Habitat and Ex- ecuting entities				
Output 4.1. Project activities, results and best practice regard- ing community resilience to climate change are generated, captured and disseminated to benefi- ciaries, policy makers and stakeholders and the pub- lic in general.	No of project ac- tivities and results are captured and disseminated through appropri- ate information for the beneficiaries, partners and stakeholders and the public in gen- eral	0 regular dissem- ination of resili- ence building ac- tivities	At least daily broadcasts of weather infor- mation as well as at least 1 plan- ning guideline, web presence, 3 case studies and 10 newspaper articles produced	R – Narrow dis- semination of project activities A – Government supports roll out	Online and in print	Regular	UN- Habitat and Ex- ecuting entities				
Output 4.2. Capacity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation enhanced	No of national staff with in- creased capacity to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation in- creased.	NCDD and MoE has <10 staff with capacity to replicate	30 staff have the capacity to repli- cate the project, and at least 1 further funding proposal devel- oped	R – Other do- nors withdraw support for MoE/NCDD A – There will be a conducive economic and fi- nancial climate to enable repli- cation and up- scaling	Training re- ports, pro- posals	Base- line, mid-term	UN- Habitat and Ex- ecuting entities				

Activities	Milestones
A 4 4 Develop suidelines with presence and studies and sticles detailing the pre-	- Web process established (month 40)
4.1.1. Develop guidelines, web presence, case studies and articles detailing the pro-	 web presence established (month 12)
ject's implementation and benefits.	 Advocacy material produced (regularly -
4.2.1. Capacity training to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementa-	months 12, 24, 36, 48)
tion '	 Training on capacity to replicate project's ob-
4.2.2. Developing further funding proposals to support the replication and upscaling of	jective in line with NDC implementation -
the project's benefits	month 42



Activity	AoC -	Implementa-	Budget items	Budget	Timelines and M				Milestones									
	Partner	tion Modality		(detailed budget lines per budget item)	Yea	ar 1		Y	ea	r 2		Ye	ar	3	Ň	Year	r 4	
4.1.1. Develop guidelines, web presence, case stud- ies and articles detailing the project's implementa- tion and benefits.	MoE/NCSD	TBD	International and na- tional consultants	102,307			х			X				Х			X	ſ.
4.2.1. Capacity training to replicate the project's objective in-line with NDC implementation '	MoE/NCSD	TBD	International and na- tional consultants and training event(s)	68,205												Х		
4.2.2. Developing further funding proposals to sup- port the replication and up- scaling of the project's benefits	MoE/NCSD	TBD	International and na- tional consultants and training event(s)															

		aloatoro				
Indicator	Baseline data	Targets	Qualification of targets	Means of Verification	Frequency Responsibil- ity	Observation
Outcome 4 All stakeholders are fully informed about a transparent project implementation pro- cess	84,586 people in the target area have ex- perienced cli- mate change related hazard but are una- ware of the in- frastructure and protective natural assets require to pro- tect them	100% of project bene- ficiaries (84,586 peo- ple) can identify cli- mate change hazards and understand how infrastructure and pro- tective natural assets benefit them	To be devel- oped	Data to be gathered by NCDD	Bi-annual from Y2 onwards	
Output 4.1. No of project activities and results are cap- tured and dissemi- nated through appro- priate information for the beneficiaries, part- ners and stakeholders and the public in gen- eral	0 regular dis- semination of resilience building activi- ties	At least daily broad- casts of weather infor- mation as well as at least 1 planning guide- line, web presence, 3 case studies and 10 newspaper articles produced	To be devel- oped	Data to be gathered by NCDD	Bi-annual from Y2 onwards	
Output 4.2. No of national staff with increased capac- ity to replicate the pro- ject's objective in-line with NDC implementa- tion increased.	NCDD and MoE has <10 staff with ca- pacity to repli- cate	30 staff have the ca- pacity to replicate the project, and at least 1 further funding pro- posal developed	To be devel- oped	Data to be gathered by NCDD	Bi-annual from Y2 onwards	

Outcome 4, Table 2 - Review of Indicators

Outcome 4, Table 3 - Monitoring of risks, environmental, social and human rights issues and verification of application of ESSS / ESMP

Observations on Risks, Markers, ESS	Baseline	Observations
Risks – review identified risks, have mitigating measures been put in place?		
Environmental, Social, Human Rights issues (disaggregation of data, participation, focus on people with vulnerabilities etc.)		
ESMP – was it applied in support of achieving this outcome		

ANNEX 7:

A. Commune Investment Plan identifying projects in adaptation to climate change

I. CIP of Kep Province

List	List of required projects and priority actions in Kep Province											
No.	Name of Project/Action	Location	Expected Outputs	Period	Beneficia	ries	Estimated					
1		****	.	-	Total	Females	Costs (US\$)					
	supply networks	Whole province	and living condi- tion of the people	3 yrs	20,694	10,655	3,000,000					
2	Build flood protected drain- age system in Kep city	Кер	1,000m	1 yr	20,694	10,655	500,000					
3	Making the demarcation of mangrove conservation areas	5 communes (50 polls)	Increase and con- serve marine re- sources and biodi- versity in order to improve people livelihood	1 yr	1,500	900	37,500					
4	Planting mangroves in Kep's conservation areas	5 communes (15,000 trees)	Increase and con- serve marine re- sources and biodi- versity in order to improve people livelihood	1 yr	1x400	700	15,000					

II. CIP of Preah Sihanouk

List	List of required projects and priority actions of Prey Nob District, Preah Sihanouk Province											
No	Name of Project/Action	Location Expected Pe		Period	Benefic	iaries	Esti-					
٠			Outputs		Total	Fe- males	mated Costs (US\$)					
1	Build/rehabilitate a protected dam from sea water	Tuek L'ak, Tuek Thla, Samakki Communes	12 km	3 yrs	12,200	6,112	162,500					
2	Rehabilitate water gates	Ou Oknha Heng, Tuek L'ak Com- munes	5 places	1 yrs	15,000	7,560	75,500					
3	Rehabilitate flood protected canal	Prey Nob, Veal Rinh, Communes	6,000 m	3 yrs	20,200	10,300	62,500					

4	Rehabilitate drainage system around the	Veal Rinh	, Prey	8,000 m	3 yrs	12,700	6,320	60,000
	markets and urban areas	Nob, Com	nunes					

A. Budget of cost-effectiveness screening in Part II. A. Table 6*

Activity	Unit	Cost per	No. of Units	Total Cost	Assumed Bene-	Cost per benefi-
Pasiliant Housing	1 house	1 500	600	900.000		
Weather Station	1 mouse	20,000	1	300,000	10 100	1 65
weather Station	tion	30,000	1	30,000	10,100	1.03
EWS	1 EWS sys-	3 000	8	24 000	18 180	1 32
Ling	tem	5,000	0	21,000	10,100	1.52
			WS+EWS sub- total	54,000	18,180	2.97
Water gate (fresh wa- ter)	1 water gate	15,100	5	75,500	30,453	2.48
Rainwater harvesting	1 Jar per HH	140	2,000	28,000	10,000	28
Piped water	1 HH connec-	368	2,000	73,600	10,000	73.60
-	tion					
Flood protection: Canal	1,000m of ca-	10,500	6	63,000	19,752	3.19
-	nal					
Flood protection: Dam	1,000m of	13,500	6	81,000	4,725	17.14
-	dam					
Flood prevention: Wa-	1 water gate	15,100	6	90,600	8803	10.29
ter gate	-					
Ecotourism	1 pole	100	500	50,000	14,468	3.46
Reforestation	1 tree	1	15,000	15,000	14,468	1.04

Coastal Protective in-	1,000m dam	13,500	6	81,000	18,257	4.44
frastructure						
Drainage system	1,000m	10,500	10	10,5000	2,070	50.72
&wastewater						
			Total	2,531,100		
			Labour Costs	468,900		
			Grand Total	3,000,000		

* Note: rows, 2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13 are based on half of the population without water.

Modality of Channel Funding to Sub-National Account

1. NCDDS builds upon a pilot of Performance Based Climate Resilience Grants (PBCRG) currently being conducted by NCDD-S. Districts identified as highly vulnerable to climate change will be eligible to receive grants which will be used to finance investments identified through a participatory process of vulnerability reduction analysis (VRA) and District Climate Resilience Strategy (DCRS) mainstreamed in the sub-national development planning process. Each participating District will receive three annual PBCRG and will allocate the proceeds of the grants to the highest priority investments proposed by the Commune Councils. Implementation will be by the Commune Councils and will be co-financed by the Commune-Sangkat Fund resources. Based on demonstrated performance in implementation of the PBCRG resources, Districts will be eligible to receive a single, larger grant. Subject to suitable procurement and financial management arrangements being in place (to be confirmed at Mid-Term Review) these specific project grants will be implemented through the budget of the District Administration.

2. Vulnerability Reduction Analysis (VRA) will be integrated with the participatory Farmer Needs Assessment in Districts identified as vulnerable to climate change. Prioritisation of investments will take into account climate vulnerability of beneficiary communities measured by an indicator linked to the M&E framework of the Cambodia Climate Change Strategy (currently under development) and expected benefits for climate resilient agricultural production.

3. The Performance Based Climate Resilience Grants (PBCRG) will be allocated to priority projects proposed by the Commune Councils and co-financed by Commune-Sangkat Fund resources. Therefore, the PBCRG will be transferred through the National Treasury to the Provincial Treasury accounts of the beneficiary Communes. A small amount of administrative costs will be transferred to the Provincial Treasury accounts of the District Administrations.

4. The intention is that the Specific Climate Resilience Investment Grants (SCRI) will be implemented through the budget of the District Administrations. However, the budget execution procedures of the Districts are not yet fully developed and tested. The first SCRI grants will not be disbursed until 2019. Therefore, the ASPIRE Mid-Term Review will provide an opportunity to review the implementation modality for these grants.
5. Engineering design and construction supervision services for the climate resilient infrastructure will be provided by technicians contracted by the Districts and funded from the grants. Engineering advisers contracted directly by NCDD-S will play a quality assurance role. As a temporary measure, it may be necessary to procure and contract the technical consultants under NCDD-S Finance and Administration Manual procedures (rather than through the budget execution modalities of the District Administrations).

6. NCDD instead will open a project account for operational expenditures, while the portion of funds dedicated for infrastructure-related activities (Performance Based Climate Resilience Grants) at District and Commune levels will be channelled through the national treasury system. Based on the AWPB, NCDD will transfer required funding to the national treasury system.

7. NCDD-S will implement in accordance with the NCDD-S Administration and Financial Manual with specific reference to the updated Commune/Sangkat Fund Project Implementation Manual, and the regulations on the procurement by subnational administrations (SNA), which includes Guidelines No. 2/MEF -Guidelines on Procurement Methods and Procedures of District/Municipality/Khan Administrations as per Sub-Decree 324 MEF-BRK dated 01 April 2013.

