



# What's next after accreditation? Overview of the AF project/programme cycle

Readiness Workshop on Accreditation and the Readiness Support Package

Nairobi, Kenya, 4-6 April 2018







#### What to keep in mind - General

- Concrete activities: visible and tangible impacts
- No prescribed sectors or approaches: country-driven process that accommodates countries' circumstances
- No co-financing requirements
- Total allocation for projects/programmes submitted by MIEs cannot exceed
   50% of cumulative resources available in the trust fund
- Currently, there is a country cap of USD 10 million discussions are underway in the Board on this topic

## Access modalities and implication on project responsibilities



## What to keep in mind – Role of Implementing Entities




- One that is responsible for the oversight role for projects/programmes funded through the Adaptation Fund (AF)
  - The AFB cannot oversee all projects directly, therefore it entrusts this role to IEs
  - The IE will be responsible for all funds received from the AF for a project or programme

## What to keep in mind - Designated Authority (DA)

- Responsible for endorsing each project/ programme submitted for AF funding from the country
  - Understanding of the needs and priorities
  - Knowledge of adaptation-related and sector strategies and policies
  - Understanding of other adaptation activities taking place (avoidance of duplication)
- OPG: "The Designated Authority shall confirm that the endorsed project/programme proposal is in accordance with the government's national or regional priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks posed by, climate change in the country or region."

### Steps from accreditation to implementation



#### 1. Project identification

- NIEs have followed different approaches, e.g.:
  - NIE, together with Designated Authority, identifies key national adaptation projects for development
  - NIE opens a call for proposals, and best ideas are selected for developing proposals to the AF as individual projects
  - NIE opens a call for proposals, and best ideas are selected for developing a programme to the AF
- Regardless of approach:
  - Consultation is mandatory
  - Project idea has to align with national priorities
- Some NIEs have piloted different sectors, areas

## 2. Submission of the project or programme proposal

- Implementing entities have to use the project proposal materials (including instructions and template for preparing a request for project/programme funding) - available at: <a href="https://www.adaptation-fund.org/apply-funding/project-funding/project-proposal-materials/">https://www.adaptation-fund.org/apply-funding/project-funding/project-proposal-materials/</a>
- Project/programmes proposals (and endorsement letters) are submitted by implementing entities to the AFB Secretariat
- Implementing Entities can submit proposals twice a year, for consideration at the respective bi-annual Board meetings
- In addition, an intersessional review cycle allows certain proposals to be submitted 3 times a year:
  - Resubmissions of concepts + formulation grant requests
  - New submission of full proposal for which the concept had been endorsed
  - Resubmissions of full proposals
  - Delay avoided by proponents with intersessional review: 3 months

#### 3. Technical review by the AFB secretariat

- Once received, proposals are reviewed by the AFB secretariat
- Reviews are done using review criteria (incl. ESP/gender policies-related)

Concreteness and appropriaten ess of activities Socioeconomic
and
environment
benefits

Consistency
with national
strategies
and national
technical
standards

CostEffectiveness
&
sustainability

Identification and management of risks covered by the ESP

Stakeholder Consultation Duplication with other funding sources

Implementation arrangements

Forward the proposals with the technical reviews to the PPRC

## 4. Review by the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC)


Considers and reviews projects and programmes submitted to the Board, technical reviews prepared by the AFB secretariat, and makes recommendation

## 5. Decision-making by the AFB

 Takes decision on projects, following recommendation by the PPRC




## Timeline of the review process



AF process of approving proposals

- For all projects/ programmes (below USD 1M or larger than USD 1M), a choice of a one step (full proposal) or two step process (concept approval and project/programme document)
- For regional projects/ programmes, additional choice of a three step process (pre-concept, concept endorsement and project/programme document)



## 6. Contracting by the AFB - the agreement



N-AR-2

#### **AGREEMENT**

(The Enhancing the Adaptive Capacity and Increasing Resilience of Small-scale Agriculture Producers of the Northeast of Argentina Project in Argentina)

between

THE ADAPTATION FUND BOARD

and

**UNIDAD PARA EL CAMBIO RURAL (UCAR)** 

16 April 2013

#### 7. Project implementation

- Role of the <u>implementing entities</u>: to administer the AF financing and supervise the project
  - Full responsibility for the overall management of a project or programme financed by the AF
  - Within the project, specific responsibilities may be delegated to Executing Entities (project partners) but IE bears ultimate responsibility of EE actions
  - All financial, monitoring and reporting responsibilities
  - No differentiation between MIE, RIE and NIE
- Role of the <u>executing entities</u>: executes and manage day-to-day project operations and activities

## 7. Project implementation (2)

- Role of the <u>DA</u>: Responsible for observing project/programme during implementation
  - Can provide comment and rating of project/programme on an annual basis (in Project Performance Reports)
  - Reviewing mid-term report
  - Ensuring that government continues to endorse
    - The Implementing Entity
    - The project or programme being implemented
  - Eligible to call off project implementation if it ceases to endorse the IE or the project

#### 8. Reporting requirements

- Project Performance Reports: on an annual basis
- Project completion report, including any specific Project implementation information, within six (6) months after Project completion
- Mid-term review or evaluation report, for projects of 4 year duration or more
- Final evaluation report, prepared by an independent evaluator. Shall be submitted within 9 months after Project completion. Copies of these reports shall be forwarded by the IE to the Designated Authority for information; and
- Final audited financial statement, prepared by an independent auditor or evaluation body, within 6 months of the end of the IE's financial year during which the Project is completed
- The reporting process fits into a broaden Results Based Management scheme at Fund's level

## Policy for projects/programmes delays

- 4 months to sign the standard legal agreement after Board approval. Risk: cancellation;
- 6 months from first cash transfer to project start date (inception workshop); if the IE does not start: notify the secretariat and DA!
- Project Performance Reports due no later than 2 months after the end of the reporting year (project inception date + X year);
- Request for extension of project completion date is possible; should be requested no later than 6 months before official completion date;
- Extension can be granted for up to 18 months (renewable) if no additional funds are required, original scope has not changed and IE provides reasons and justification;
- IE not submitting final evaluation, completion report or audited report may be temporarily non eligible to receive funding from AF.





**ADAPTATION FUND** 

Thanks for listening!

