



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.23/31/Add.1
24 September, 2018

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Twenty-Third Meeting
Bonn, Germany, 9-10 October, 2018

Agenda Item 7 e)

PROJECT FORMULATION GRANT FOR ARMENIA, GEORGIA

I. Background

1. The Board at its eleventh meeting discussed the document "Funding for Project Formulation Costs" (AFB/11/6) and agreed, in its Decision B.11/18, that:

- i. project formulation grants (PFG) should be given once a project concept has been approved
- ii. consideration should be given in terms of differentiating between NIEs and MIEs, since some NIEs might have financial difficulties in trying to formulate project or programme proposals;
- iii. a flat rate should be given for project formulation costs;
- iv. a list of eligible activities and items still needed to be prepared; v. the grant should be additional to the project cost; and
- v. the fate of funds if the final project document was rejected should be determined.

2. There was consensus that a three-tiered system should be considered for project formulation grants: endorse a project concept with a PFG amount, endorse a project concept without a PFG amount, or reject the project concept.

3. Following the discussion, the Board decided:

To request the secretariat to reformulate the document, to include a comparison of eligible activities provided by other funds for project formulation grants, to take into account guidance provided by the Board at the present meeting, and to submit the document to the Board at its twelfth meeting, through the EFC. The EFC should review and finalize the process and policy of the project formulation grant focusing, in particular, on: the issue of unspent project funds; the procedures followed by other funds in that regard; and the determination of a flat-rate.

4. A document was prepared by the secretariat in response to the above mandate and presented at the third EFC meeting, which made specific recommendations to the Board at its twelfth meeting. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board, in its Decision B.12/28, decided that:

(a) Project Formulation Grants (PFGs) will only be made available for projects submitted through NIEs. The Board would continue reviewing the question of PFGs for projects submitted through MIEs and would solicit comments from members and alternate members by February 14, 2011; the views would be compiled by the secretariat for presentation to the Board at its March 2011 meeting;

(b) If a country required a project formulation grant, a request should be made at the same time as the submission of a project concept to the secretariat. The secretariat will review and forward it to the PPRC for a final recommendation to

the Board. A PFG could only be awarded when a project concept was presented and endorsed;

- (c) A PFG form, reproduced in Annex V, should be submitted;*
- (d) Only activities related to country costs would be eligible for PFG funding;*
- (e) A flat rate of up to US\$30,000 shall be provided, inclusive of the management fee, which cannot exceed 8.5 per cent of the grant amount. The flat fee would be reviewed by the Board at its thirteenth and all subsequent meetings;*
- (f) If the final project document is rejected, any unused funds shall be returned to the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund;*
- (g) Once a project/programme formulation grant is disbursed, a fully developed project document should come to the Board for approval within 12 months. No additional grants for project preparation can be received by a country until the fully developed project/programme document has been submitted to the Board; and*
- (h) The Trustee was instructed to remove the set-aside of US\$100,000 for project preparation that had been decided at the June 2010 meeting, as project preparation would be approved on a project-by-project basis.*

5. In its twenty-fourth meeting, the Board had initiated steps to launch a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, not to exceed US\$ 30 million and had requested the secretariat to prepare for the consideration of the Board a proposal for such a pilot programme (Decision B.24/30). In its twenty-fifth meeting, the secretariat submitted such document and the Board decided to:

- (a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;*
- (b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;*
- (c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and*
- (d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.*

(Decision B.25/28)

6. The approved document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2 contained provisions for the approval of project formulated grants for regional project and programme proposals, at different development stages, as follows:

“It is proposed that the Board open a structured call for MIEs and RIEs to submit pre-concepts for regional projects and programmes. The optional pre-concepts would be very brief proposals of maximum 5 pages that would explain the proposed regional adaptation project/programme. The pre-concepts would be screened and technically reviewed by the secretariat, and subsequently reviewed by the PPRC. Together with the pre-concept, the proponent could submit a Phase I PFG request, up to the maximum level of US\$ 20,000. While endorsing the pre-concept, the Board could also approve the Phase I PFG request. The endorsement of the pre-concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding. As the next step, the proponent would submit a concept, and with it the proponent could submit a Phase II PFG request. The maximum AFB/PPRC.18/25/Add.1 level of the Phase II PFG would be US\$ 80,000 for proposals that had been previously granted Phase I PFG, and US\$ 100,000 for proposals that bypassed the optional pre-concept stage. While endorsing the concept, the Board could also approve the Phase II PFG request. The endorsement of the concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding, as it is the case for the national projects. The final stage of the proposal process would be the submission of the fully-developed regional project document”.

II. The Project Formulation Grant Request

7. This addendum to the document AFB/PPRC.23/32 “Proposal for Armenia, Georgia” includes a request for a Project Formulation Grant, requesting a budget of US\$ 100,000, which was received by the secretariat along with the concept for the regional project EAP/MIE/DRR/2018/PPC/ “Increased climate resilience of South Caucasus mountain communities and ecosystems through wildfire risk reduction”. This proposal was submitted by United Nations Development Programme (UNDP), which is a Multilateral Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund, in time for consideration by the Adaptation Fund Board at its thirty- second Board meeting.

8. In accordance with Decision B.12/28, paragraph (b), the secretariat carried out an initial review of the PFG request and found that the document provided detailed information on the use of the requested funds. The proposed activities were aligned with the goal of the project and would include a technical review with baseline analysis and barrier analysis, site-specific data gathering for vulnerability profiles, stakeholder analysis and stakeholder engagement plan, environmental and social screening report,, inclusion of a logical results framework and a validation stakeholder workshop.

9. Therefore, the PPRC may want to consider and recommend to the Board to approve the PFG Request, provided that the related pre-concept proposal is endorsed.



Project Formulation Grant (PFG)

Submission Date: August 6th, 2018

Adaptation Fund Project ID:
 Country/ies: Republic of Armenia, Republic of Georgia
 Title of Project/Programme: Increased climate resilience of South Caucasus mountain communities and ecosystems through wildfire risk reduction
 Type of IE (NIE/MIE): MIE
 Implementing Entity: UNDP
 Executing Entity/ies: UNDP in cooperation with the Ministry of Nature Protection and the Ministry of Emergency Situation of Armenia, the Ministry of Environment Protection and Agriculture and the Emergency Management Service of Georgia

A. Project Preparation Timeframe

Start date of PFG	November 2018
Completion date of PFG	April 2019

B. Proposed Project Preparation Activities (\$)


Describe the PFG activities and justifications:

List of Proposed Project Preparation Activities	Output of the PFG Activities	USD Amount
Detailed project baseline analysis that will collect and analyze data and information on current and future climate risks and vulnerability, current DRM and adaptation practices, will reveal relevant gaps in policies and regulations, as well as will identify critical barriers to climate resilience of South Caucasus communities and ecosystems to climate-induced wildfires.	Technical review (report) with baseline analysis and barrier analysis	\$ 24,000
Assessment of the ecological and structural characteristics of areas under the high risk. The detailed description of general climate conditions in forest and surrounding areas to identify trends and projections of climate change induce wildfires.	Site-specific data gathering that would present more precise vulnerability profiles and help to identify specific sites for intervention and scope of adaptation measures	\$ 38,000
Mobilizing and engaging stakeholders in	Stakeholder analysis and	\$ 8,000

the project design to ensure sustained political commitment and broad-based public support as the main requirements for successful implementation of the project. Undertaking consultations to secure agreement(s) on project implementation arrangements. Consultation with representatives from line ministries, regional and local administration, scientific institutions, NGOs and related international organizations to ensure the project's consistency with the other ongoing development processes in the country.	stakeholder engagement plan specifying stakeholders' role and comparative advantage in relations to the project components. Alignment of the proposed project with on-going initiatives and baseline projects.	
Screening and assessment of social and environmental risks and development of risk mitigation strategy.	Environmental and Social screening report aligned with the AF policies.	\$ 5,000
Developing detailed list of the project activities and finalizing results framework with appropriate objective-level and outcome-level quantitative and qualitative indicators, and end-of-project targets. Special attention will be -paid to inclusion of socio-economic and gender disaggregated indicators.	Logical framework with specified outcome and outputs indicators, as well as quantified targets, combined with baseline values supplied by thematic consultants.	\$ 16,000
A validation stakeholder workshop engaging representatives from all relevant stakeholders at national and local levels to present and discuss project design framework, major outcomes and outputs, as well as resource allocation.	Validated project design	\$ 9,000
Total Project Formulation Grant		\$ 100,000

C. Implementing Entity

This request has been prepared in accordance with the Adaptation Fund Board's procedures and meets the Adaptation Fund's criteria for project identification and formulation

Implementing Entity Coordinator, IE Name	Signature	Date (Month, day, year)	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email Address
Adriana Dinu Director, Sustainable Development (Environment) a.i. Executive		, August 6 th , 2018	Natalia Olofinskaya, Regional Technical Advisor	+90 (543) 5323046	nataly.olofinskaya@undp.org

Coordinator, UNDP-GEF					
--------------------------	--	--	--	--	--