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Background

1. The strategic priorities, policies and guidelines of the Adaptation Fund (the Fund), as well as its operational policies and guidelines include provisions for funding projects and programmes at the regional, i.e. transnational level. However, the Fund has thus far not funded such projects and programmes.

2. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), as well as its Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) and Ethics and Finance Committee (EFC) considered issues related to regional projects and programmes on a number of occasions between the Board’s fourteenth and twenty-first meetings but the Board did not make decisions for the purpose of inviting proposals for such projects. Indeed, in its fourteenth meeting, the Board decided to:

   (c) Request the secretariat to send a letter to any accredited regional implementing entities informing them that they could present a country project/programme but not a regional project/programme until a decision had been taken by the Board, and that they would be provided with further information pursuant to that decision

   (Decision B.14/25 (c))

3. At its eighth meeting in March 2012, the PPRC came up with recommendations on certain definitions related to regional projects and programmes. However, as the subsequent seventeenth Board meeting took a different strategic approach to the overall question of regional projects and programmes, these PPRC recommendations were not included in a Board decision.

4. At its twenty-fourth meeting, the Board heard a presentation from the coordinator of the working group set up by decision B.17/20 and tasked with following up on the issue of regional projects and programmes. She circulated a recommendation prepared by the working group, for the consideration by the Board, and the Board decided:

   (a) To initiate steps to launch a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, not to exceed US$ 30 million;

   (b) That the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes will be outside of the consideration of the 50 per cent cap on multilateral implementing entities (MIEs) and the country cap;

   (c) That regional implementing entities (RIEs) and MIEs that partner with national implementing entities (NIEs) or other national institutions would be eligible for this pilot programme, and
(d) To request the secretariat to prepare for the consideration of the Board, before the twenty-fifth meeting of the Board or intersessionally, under the guidance of the working group set up under decision B.17/20, a proposal for such a pilot programme based on consultations with contributors, MIEs, RIEs, the Adaptation Committee, the Climate Technology Centre and Network (CTCN), the Least Developed Countries Expert Group (LEG), and other relevant bodies, as appropriate, and in that proposal make a recommendation on possible options on approaches, procedures and priority areas for the implementation of the pilot programme.

(Decision B.24/30)

5. The proposal requested under (d) of the decision above was prepared by the secretariat and submitted to the Board in its twenty-fifth meeting, and the Board decided to:

(a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;

(b) Set a cap of US$ 30 million for the programme;

(c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and

(d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.

(Decision B.25/28)

6. Based on the Board Decision B.25/28, the first call for regional project and programme proposals was issued and an invitation letter to eligible Parties to submit project and programme proposals to the Fund was sent out on 5 May 2015.

7. At its twenty-sixth meeting the Board decided to request the secretariat to inform the Multilateral Implementing Entities and Regional Implementing Entities that the call for proposals under the Pilot Programme for Regional Projects and Programmes is still open and to encourage them to submit proposals to the Board at its 27th meeting, bearing in mind the cap established by Decision B.25/26.

(Decision B.26/3)
8. At its twenty-seventh meeting the Board decided to:

(a) Continue consideration of regional project and programme proposals under the pilot programme, while reminding the implementing entities that the amount set aside for the pilot programme is US$ 30 million;

(b) Request the secretariat to prepare for consideration by the Project and Programme Review Committee at its nineteenth meeting, a proposal for prioritization among regional project/programme proposals, including for awarding project formulation grants, and for establishment of a pipeline; and

(c) Consider the matter of the pilot programme for regional projects and programmes at its twenty-eighth meeting.

(Decision B.27/5)

9. The proposal requested in (b) above was presented to the nineteenth meeting of the PPRC as document AFB/PPRC.19/5. The Board subsequently decided:

a) With regard to the pilot programme approved by decision B.25/28:

(i) To prioritize the four projects and 10 project formulation grants as follows:

1. If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do not exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, all those proposals would be submitted to the Board for funding;

2. If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, the proposals to be funded under the pilot programme would be prioritized so that the total number of projects and project formulation grants (PFGs) under the programme maximizes the total diversity of projects/PFGs. This would be done using a three-tier prioritization system: so that the proposals in relatively less funded sectors would be prioritized as the first level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same sector: the proposals in relatively less funded regions are prioritized as the second level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same region, the proposals submitted by relatively less represented implementing entity would be prioritized as the third level of prioritization;

(ii) To request the secretariat to report on the progress and experiences of the pilot programme to the PPRC at its twenty-third meeting; and
b) With regard to financing regional proposals beyond the pilot programme referred to above:

(i) To continue considering regional proposals for funding, within the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2: ones requesting up to US$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US$ 5 million, subject to review of the regional programme;

(ii) To establish two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals: one for proposals up to US$ 14 million and the other for proposals up to US$ 5 million, and place any technically cleared regional proposals, in those pipelines, in the order described in decision B.17/19 (their date of recommendation by the PPRC, their submission date, their lower “net” cost); and

(iii) To fund projects from the two pipelines, using funds available for the respective types of implementing entities, so that the maximum number of or maximum total funding for projects and project formulation grants to be approved each fiscal year will be outlined at the time of approving the annual work plan of the Board.

(Decision B.28/1)

10. At its thirty-first meeting, having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

(a) To merge the two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals established in decision B.28/1(b)(ii), so that starting in fiscal year 2019 the provisional amount of funding for regional proposals would be allocated without distinction between the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, and that the funding of regional proposals would be established on a ‘first come, first served’ basis; and

(b) To include in its work programme for fiscal year 2019 provision of an amount of US$ 60 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:

(i) Up to US$ 59 million to be used for funding regional project and programme proposals in the two categories of regional projects and programmes: ones requesting up to US $14 million, and others requesting up to US$ 5 million; and

(ii) Up to US$ 1 million for funding project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concepts or fully-developed project and programme documents.

(Decision B.31/3)
11. According to the Board Decision B.12/10, a project or programme proposal needs to be received by the secretariat no less than nine weeks before a Board meeting, in order to be considered by the Board in that meeting.

12. The following pre-concept document titled “Increasing the resilience of displaced persons (DPs) to climate change-related water challenges in urban host settlements” was submitted for Jordan and Lebanon by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat), which is a Multilateral Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund.

13. This is the first submission of the regional project pre-concept proposal using the one-step submission process. The current submission was received by the secretariat in time to be considered in the thirty-second Board meeting. The secretariat carried out a technical review of the project proposal, with the diary number ASI/MIE/Urban/2018/PPC/1, and completed a review sheet.

9. In accordance with a request to the secretariat made by the Board in its 10th meeting, the secretariat shared this review sheet with UN-Habitat, and offered it the opportunity of providing responses before the review sheet was sent to the PPRC.

10. The secretariat is submitting to the PPRC the summary and, pursuant to decision B.17/15, the final technical review of the project, both prepared by the secretariat, along with the final submission of the proposal in the following section. In accordance with decision B.25.15, the proposal is submitted with changes between the initial submission and the revised version highlighted.
Project Summary

Jordan, Lebanon – Increasing the resilience of displaced persons to climate change-related water challenges in urban host settlements

Implementing Entity: UN-Habitat
Project/Programme Execution Cost: USD 1,225,806
Total Project/Programme Cost: USD 12,903,226
Implementing Fee: USD 1,096,774
Financing Requested: USD 14,000,000

Project Background and Context

The two countries are located in arid regions and are particularly vulnerable to rising temperatures, declining rainfall, frequent and long-term droughts. As a result, the region has significant challenges with water scarcity.

The Mashreq region is already experiencing the impacts of climate change, with temperatures expected to continue to rise and rainfall to decline, leading to more frequent and longer droughts. There are consistent and significant warming trends across the Arab region as a whole with clear increased frequencies of warm days and warm nights, higher extreme temperature values, fewer cold days and nights and shorter cold spells since the early 1970s. Based on outcomes from Jordan’s Third National Communication Report to UNFCCC (2014), serious vulnerability and impacts results are expected based on modeling and projections analyses. The climate models give a more consistent trend towards a drier climate. Predicted trends indicated that the annual precipitation tends to decrease significantly with time. In addition, the dynamic projections predicted more extremely likely heat waves and likely drought events, dry days, and potential evaporation among other potential impacts.

In recent years, millions of people have been displaced and migrated from Syria. Lebanon and Jordan are among the top DP host countries: Lebanon is the third largest hosting country in the world (and first if compared to the size of its national population) and Jordan the seventh. Although some moved to camps, most (85 percent in Lebanon and 83.3 percent Jordan) settle in cities, often in informal communities. This movement is impossible to stop as people search for security, livelihood opportunities and a decent life. Unfortunately, due to lack of planning and resources, many find themselves in communities that lack basic infrastructure and services, of which water challenges are seen as a major problem, often leading to health and livelihood issues, social unrest and further migration.

The overall objective of this project is to help increase the resilience and adaptive capacities of displaced persons (DPs) to climate change-related water challenges in urban host settlements. This will indirectly increase the resilience of hosting communities where the project interventions will take place.

Component 1: Title (USD 2,000,000)

Increasing institutional resilience of cities in a regional context: Managing urban risks and vulnerabilities and managing rapid urbanization and city’s physical form. This would include
collecting evidence and developing an integrated development approach to regional migration / DPs crisis and climate change challenges, such as regional and sectoral studies, monitoring and planning. It would also involve forward-looking, pro-active urban and land use planning, for instance planning for future influx of people and climate change impacts in an integrated manner (that allows for coordinated investment in infrastructure and services).

Component 2: Citizen engagement and livelihood support (USD 1,500,000)

This would involve enhancing ownership of citizens, inclusion of DPs, creating jobs and supporting livelihoods of DPs, minimizing risks to social tensions through citizen engagement and enhancing opportunities for social exchange between host-city inhabitants and DPs. Livelihood support such as skill building, training, and access to finance to build people’s self-reliance would be devised.

Component 3: Resilient water service sub-projects at community level (USD 7,100,000)

This component would help increase community-level resilience to water scarcity. Addressing adaptation challenges, by improving living conditions and expanding the coverage and quality of basic infrastructure services and settlement upgrading, which would take a settlement-based approach for upgrading basic services that also provides opportunity to target people living in the area for complementary social, economic and other interventions. It would also support infrastructure and services projects: Expanding and strengthening water infrastructure and services that are strained and/or damaged with an aim to expand coverage, improve quality of services and increase adaptive capacity to climate-induced water challenges.

Component 4: Improvement of policies and plans and knowledge management (USD 1,000,000)

This component would help improve policies and plans to increase urban resilience (in the region), improve policies and plans by developing a ‘regional’ DPs and climate change management and monitoring approach and model for type 2 cities and by considering gender and climate change in (regional) migration policies and strategies and the other way around.
ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL REVIEW OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY: Pre-Concept for a Regional Project

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Countries/Region:</th>
<th>Asia: Jordan, Lebanon</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Title:</td>
<td>Increasing the resilience of displaced persons (DPs) to climate change-related water challenges in urban host settlements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thematic focal area:</td>
<td>Disaster risk reduction and early warning systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementing Entity:</td>
<td>United Nations Human Settlements Programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executing Entities:</td>
<td>Lebanon: Ministry of Environment; Ministry of Energy and Water; Ministry of Social affairs; Line departments in Zahle Jordan: Ministry of Environment, Ministry of Water and Irrigation; Ministry of Planning and International Cooperation; Line departments in Irbid and Mafrad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AF Project ID:</td>
<td>ASI/MIE/Urban/2018/PPC/1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE Project ID:</td>
<td>Requested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars): 14,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reviewer and contact person:</td>
<td>Saliha Dobardzic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IE Contact Person(s):</td>
<td>Co-reviewer(s): Asha Bobb-Semple</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review Criteria</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>Comments on September 10, 2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country Eligibility</td>
<td>1. Are all of the participating countries party to the Kyoto Protocol?</td>
<td>Yes, Jordan and Lebanon are party to the Kyoto Protocol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Are all of the participating countries developing countries particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change?</td>
<td>Yes, Jordan and Lebanon are developing countries. The two countries are located in arid regions and are particularly vulnerable to rising temperatures, declining rainfall, frequent and long-term droughts. As a result, the region has significant challenges with water scarcity.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Eligibility</td>
<td>1. Have the designated government authorities for</td>
<td>Yes, endorsement letters are enclosed.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AFB/PPRC.23/34</strong></td>
<td><strong>the Adaptation Fund</strong> from <strong>each of the participating countries endorsed the project/programme?</strong></td>
<td>Not fully.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Has the pre-concept provided necessary information on the problem the proposed project/programme is aiming to solve, including both the regional and the country perspective?</strong></td>
<td><strong>CAR 1:</strong> Additional details should be provided on the climate change vulnerabilities and impacts faced by the region, both countries and the target cities in particular. A summary of the trends and predictions related to the rising temperatures, declining rainfall, frequent and long-term droughts as well as details on this date in relation to the populations affected in the target cities would provide an idea of the scale of the problem. The population size of each city should also be provided. <strong>CAR 2:</strong> This section should refocus on the problems associated with vulnerabilities and adaptation challenges being faced in this particular urban setting, and how this impacts the population in general as well as the parallel challenge of a growing displaced persons population and their unique vulnerabilities to climate change. In general, the vulnerabilities and climate change adaptation challenges in general are not presented strongly. Please elaborate and develop in more depth. <strong>CR 1:</strong> The pre-concept has not presented a clear project objective. Please clarify.</td>
<td><strong>CAR 1:</strong> Addressed as per information provided on Pg 1-5. <strong>CAR 2:</strong> Addressed as per information provided on Pg 1-5. <strong>CR 1:</strong> Addressed as per information provided on Pg 6.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3. Have the project/programme</strong></td>
<td>Not fully.</td>
<td><strong>CR 2:</strong> Not addressed. Please provide additional details on project components 1, 2, 3, &amp; 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR 2: Please provide additional details on each component. In addition, specific comments are below:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Component 2- please provide additional details on the platforms and the skill building that would take place. Are the platforms going to specifically focus on adaption actions related to water, or broadly on adaptation and resilience at the urban level? How will the most vulnerable populations have access to this platform? In addition, adaption, reduction of vulnerabilities and resilience are not mentioned as an objective in this component. Please explain.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Please clarify if all activities will be carried out in both countries and what portion of the funding is estimated to be needed in each country. We note that in Lebanon 1 city is targeted and in Jordan 2 cities are targeted.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Please provide an estimate of the target population size for each component would also be useful.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iv) There is no mention on the status of availability of climate data. Is there which would demonstrate concrete adaptation actions in response to the problems identified.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>i) Addressed as per information provided on Pg 6-7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ii) Addressed as per information provided on Pg 7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iii) Addressed as per information provided on Pg 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v) Addressed as per information provided on Pg 5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Has the project/programme been justified in terms of how: - it supports concrete adaptation actions? - it builds added value through the regional approach? - it promotes new and innovative solutions to climate change adaptation? - it is cost-effective? - it is consistent with applicable strategies and plans? - it incorporates learning and knowledge management? - it will be developed through a consultative process with particular reference to vulnerable groups, including gender considerations, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation</td>
<td>Not fully. In terms of concrete adaptation actions, please see comments under questions 2 &amp; 3. The project has the potential to build added value through a regional approach which will allow for sharing of lessons among countries with similar contexts. It also provides an opportunity to inform other countries within the region who are a part of the 3RP framework. <strong>CR 3</strong>: The project does not clearly state how the interventions are innovative. Please provide details. <strong>CR 4</strong>: The cost-effectiveness is demonstrated through the regional approach. However as indicated in Question 2 &amp; 3 information on the target population size and the allocation of funds for each country will help provide a better picture. The project is consistent with climate and water related strategies of both countries. The consultative process will be inclusive. The project has accounted for sustainability.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>CR 3</strong>: Addressed as per information provided on Pg 9. <strong>CR 4</strong>: As above, addressed as per information provided on Pg 1-5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fund? - it will take into account sustainability?</td>
<td>We expect additional details on sustainability related to maintenance of water related equipment as well as continued knowledge and learning at the national, city and community level at the next stage.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Does the pre-concept briefly explain which organizations would be</td>
<td>Yes, the project provides a brief explanation of the role of each partner agency. There is much less information provided on coordination of the project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>involved in the proposed regional project/programme at the regional and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>national/sub-national level, and how coordination would be arranged?</td>
<td>CR 5: Please provide information on the coordination of the project.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>does it explain how national institutions, and when possible, national</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implementing entities (NIEs) would be involved as partners in the</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource Availability</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Is the requested project / programme funding within the funding</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>windows of the pilot programme for regional projects/programmes?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Are the administrative costs (Implementing Entity Management Fee and</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project/ Programme Execution Costs) at or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility of IE</td>
<td>8. Is the project/programme submitted through an eligible Implementing Entity that has been accredited by the Board?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Technical Summary**

The final review finds that the pre-concept document has addressed most of the requests and provided sufficient information at this stage. It is expected that during the development of the concept document the following observations will be taken into account:
- provide sufficient details on each of the components of the project, with specific emphasis on concrete adaptation actions in response to the problems identified; and,
- consider systemic actions that can be implemented such as through the enabling environment (e.g. building in adaptation into regional/municipal/national land use planning frameworks.)

**Date:** 9/7/2019
PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION

Title of Project/Programme: Increasing the resilience of displaced persons (DPs) to climate change-related water challenges in urban host settlements

Countries: Lebanon, Jordan

Thematic Focal Area: Disaster risk reduction and early warning systems

Type of Implementing Entity: Multilateral

Implementing Entity: United Nations Human Settlements Programme

Executing Entities: Lebanon: Ministry of Environment; Ministry of Energy and Water; Ministry of Social affairs; Line departments in Zahle

Jordan: Ministry of Environment, Ministry of Water and Irrigation; Ministry of Planning and International Cooperation; Line departments in Irbid and Mafraq

Amount of Financing Requested: USD 14 million

Project / Programme Background and Context

Problem: Most urban settlements in the Mashreq (and MENA) region suffer from water challenges – which are compounded by a combination of rapid influx of DPs and climate change impacts.

The Mashreq region is already experiencing the impacts of climate change, with temperatures expected to continue to rise and rainfall to decline, leading to more frequent and longer droughts. There are consistent and significant warming trends across the Arab region as a whole with clear increased frequencies of warm days and warm nights, higher extreme temperature values, fewer cold days and nights and shorter cold spells since the early 1970s.

The general change in temperature in the Arab region for RCP 4.5 shows an increase of 1.2 °C–1.9 °C at mid-century and 1.5 °C–2.3 °C by end-century. For RCP 8.5, temperatures increase to 1.7 °C–2.6 °C for mid-century and 3.2 °C–4.8 °C towards end-century. Future precipitation projections show that changes vary considerably across the Arab region with some areas showing increasing trends such as as the south-eastern Arabian Peninsula and some parts of the Sahel while other areas show declining trends such as the Atlas Mountains in the west and upper Euphrates and Tigris rivers in the east. According to the World Bank, the Arab region, including Jordan and Lebanon, suffer from droughts / ‘chronic water scarcity. Vulnerability to climate change impacts on water resources for both mid- and end-century projections is nearly equally divided between areas of moderate and high vulnerability.

---

1 Thematic areas are: Food security; Disaster risk reduction and early warning systems; Transboundary water management; Innovation in adaptation finance.
3 UN-ESWA et al. (2017) Arab Climate Change Assessment Report (RICCAR initiative)
4 UN-ESWA et al. (2017) Arab Climate Change Assessment Report (RICCAR initiative)
5 World Bank (2012) Adaptation to a Changing Climate in the Arab Countries
6 UN-ESWA et al. (2017) Arab Climate Change Assessment Report (RICCAR initiative)
Jordan and Lebanon exhibit Mediterranean climate of warm, dry summers and rainy, cool winters. Jordan has experienced a change in temperature. Mean annual temperatures in Amman have increased by more than 1.5 degrees over the past half century. Precipitation has already decreased in the region and also in Jordan itself (by more than 50 mm per year over the past half century in Amman), and the number of heat extremes and days with extremely high temperatures has increased. Climate change vulnerability of Jordan and impacts climate change are expected to affect sustainable development, economic growth and society.

Based on outcomes from Jordan’s Third National Communication Report to UNFCCC (2014), serious vulnerability and impacts results are expected based on modeling and projections analyses. The climate models give a more consistent trend towards a drier climate. Predicted trends indicated that the annual precipitation tends to decrease significantly with time. The report shows that the mean and maximum temperatures over the full country of Jordan will be 2-4 degrees higher, precipitation will be 15-20 percent lower and potential evapotranspiration about 150 mm higher by the end of the century. According to the report, in 2070-2100 the cumulated precipitation could decrease by 15 percent. The decrease would be more marked in the western part of the country. Simultaneously, the mean, maximum and minimum air temperature tends to increase significantly by 0.02, 0.01, and 0.03 °C/year, respectively. On the other hand, the relative humidity tends to increase significantly by an average of 0.08 percent/year. In addition, the dynamic projections predicted more extremely likely heat waves and likely drought events, dry days, and potential evaporation among other potential impacts.

In Jordan, water demand distinctly exceeds supply as water availability per capita has declined significantly, from 3,600 m³ per capita in 1946 to only 145 m³ in 2008. This will make some areas unliveable, reduce agriculture lands and put more pressure on already scarce water resources, potentially increasing displacement, the continuous risk of social unrest and conflicts and migration to host settlements already struggling to provide basic services. Water resources in Jordan are vulnerable to climate change. Previous studies, strategic documents (i.e. Jordan’s SNC (2009) and National Climate Change Policy (2013)) have identified scarcity of water resources as one of the major barrier facing sustainable development in Jordan; a situation that will be magnified by climate change. The sector will be most heavily affected by climate change impacts will impose further stress on national water resources. Water-related impacts include reduced total water availability, less reliable seasonal patterns, increasing intensity of droughts during which reservoirs are not refilled, groundwater is not recharged and rain fed agriculture suffers damages, increasing intensity of flood events during which water and other infrastructure experiences overflow and damages. High rainfall events also increase erosion which causes losses of soil water storage and siltation of reservoirs. Higher temperatures cause higher evaporative demand and hence higher irrigation water demand. Higher temperatures also affect the efficiency of wastewater treatment plants. Jordan has been subjected to additional water stress due to the influx of displaced peoples from neighbouring States. Since 2011, Jordan has received approximately 657,000 Syrian DPs who are situated in urban settlements and placing additional pressures on Jordan’s scarce water resources. There have also been indications of risks of pollution of the main aquifer lying beneath the Zaatari camp due to wastewater leakages. Another risk is the overpumping of the Amman-Zarqa aquifer.

In Lebanon, climatic changes are expected to have diverse implications for Lebanon’s environment and socio-economic structure. Extreme weather events can have adverse impacts on public heath, human settlements, transport infrastructure, agriculture production and power supply. The fragile
biodiversity, ecosystems, and natural habitats will be threatened by increased forest fires, pest outbreaks and sea level rise. The country’s vulnerability assessment identifies the agriculture, forestry, water resources, human health, coastal zone, and tourism sectors as most vulnerable with distinctive social, economic and environmental implications\textsuperscript{13}.

Projections results show an increase of 1.2°C and 1.7°C by mid-century and a decrease in precipitation by 4 to 11 percent by the end of the century. For Zahle, projections show a 6-15 percent decrease in the annual total rainfall (mm)/number of days by 2098 under the SRES A1B scenario \textsuperscript{14}. Droughts will occur 15 days to 1 month earlier, and countrywide drought periods will extend 9 days longer by 2040 and 18 days longer by 2090. The already dry regions, such as the Bekaa, Hermel, and the South, will experience the sharpest effects. Climate change will cause a decline in water availability in Lebanon as snow will melt earlier in spring affecting spring recharging and decreasing water availability for irrigation in summer. The decline in precipitation will also negatively affect the recharge of rivers and groundwater. Anticipated changes in climate would reduce the nation’s exploitable supplies of water by about 1 percent in 2020, 8 percent in 2040, and 29 percent in 2080\textsuperscript{15}. (This is even aggravated by the fact that water demand in Lebanon increased 28 percent between 2011 and 2017, which is directly linked to the Syrian crisis.\textsuperscript{16})

There are adaptation challenges for the water sector in Lebanon, ranging from institutional to political and technical obstacles. The lack of coordination between ministries on water issues and flat rates tariffs policies coupled with weak technical knowledge of Integrated Water Resources Management, lack of awareness and financial constraints represent barriers towards adaptation to climate change for the water sector that have been aggravated by border conflicts and DPs crisis\textsuperscript{17}. Based on available national level data focused on target areas, the target cities were selected because of a combination of existing and projected climate change-related water challenges, high pressure on water resources due to high influx of DPs and lacking resources and capacities to address these climate change-related water issues and specific needs of DPs (which is the justification for the funding request).

In recent years, millions of people have been displaced and migrated from Syria\textsuperscript{18}. Lebanon and Jordan are among the top DP host countries: Lebanon is the third largest hosting country in the world (and first if compared to the size of its national population) and Jordan the seventh.\textsuperscript{19} Although some moved to camps, most (85 percent in Lebanon\textsuperscript{20} and 83.3 percent\textsuperscript{21} Jordan) settle in cities, often in informal communities. This movement is impossible to stop as people search for security, livelihood opportunities and a decent life. Unfortunately, due to lack of planning and resources, many find themselves in communities that lack basic infrastructure and services, of which water challenges are seen as a major problem,\textsuperscript{22} often leading to health and livelihood issues, social unrest and further migration.\textsuperscript{23} Moreover, the majority of DPs from Syria live under the poverty line\textsuperscript{24} and lack legal residency making it difficult for them to find a job. In Lebanon, 33 percent of households have no working members and this directly affects affordability of water as 34 percent of households rely on bottled water\textsuperscript{25}. There is a decline in funding for support to countries like Jordan and Lebanon
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that face DPs crisis\textsuperscript{26}. For example, US$ 2.035 billion is needed to support Syrian DPs in Lebanon of which 30 percent only is funded\textsuperscript{27}. This will make adaptation to climate change in areas where DPs reside even more difficult.

**Need:** The scale and nature of the Syrian crisis and climate change challenges in Mashreq (and MENA) region requires a shift in development approach - a need for better and more effective regional, national and local programming focused on addressing resource scarcity issues in cities exacerbated by both the influx of DPs and climate change impacts\textsuperscript{28}. For an overview of needs, see annex 1. There is enough evidence that water challenges will likely grow for Irbid, Mafraq and Zahle in the future due to climate change impacts. There is also a clear link between influx of Syrian DPs and increasing pressure on water resources in these areas. Both challenges are coupled with adaptation challenges in both countries. Common adaptation challenges for the two countries are financial constraints to implement climate action. For example, the financial deficit in the municipality budget for Greater Mafraq has reached 107 percent due to the impact of the influx of Syrian DPs\textsuperscript{29}. Also, the lack of awareness at the community level, weak coordination between relevant authorities and need to spend more on research and capacity building to apply low-cost innovative solutions\textsuperscript{30}. It can also be observed that there is a city-level knowledge gap needed for sound adaptation interventions at the local and municipal levels.

As most DPs live in cities, solutions focused on their needs and negative climate change impacts must target host cities and towns\textsuperscript{31}. The shift from a focus on camps to cities and towns means changing the paradigm for how humanitarian and development agencies work with DPs. Instead of providing stand-alone solutions to DPs in camps or rural areas, the challenge is to support host communities to adapt / scale up existing services, shelter and jobs to meet the needs of both the original residents and DPs,\textsuperscript{32} considering the impacts of climate change, especially increasing water challenges, on these services.

Syrian DPs in Jordan and Lebanon are specifically vulnerable to climate-induced water challenges. Many of the DPs have now been in the host country for four or more years. While the vast majority of Syrian DPs continue to be geographically integrated with host communities in urban, peri-urban and rural areas, they are increasingly vulnerable. Currently, over 85 percent of Syrian DPs, living outside of camps in Jordan\textsuperscript{33}, are below the poverty line and more than 76 percent of Syrian DPs are below the poverty line in Lebanon\textsuperscript{34}. In Jordan, many DPs in non-camp settings are Shelter, Education and Health vulnerable not because these services are not available but because they are not able to afford them or because of the associated costs (e.g. Transportation costs). The Jordan Refugee Response Plan identifies 64 percent of cases in the Northern region as highly vulnerable (including Irbid) while the East (Mafraq) is the second highest region in the percentage of DPs rated highly vulnerable or above\textsuperscript{35}. Poor Syrian families remain vulnerable to losing access to WASH services. The Jordan Refugee Response Plan survey shows that 32 percent of cases are identified as severely vulnerable due to spending over 25 percent of their expenditure on WASH items\textsuperscript{36}. In Zahle, more than 50 percent of male refugees were not working. Most Syrian refugee women (93 percent) were not working. Zahle had the highest share among Lebanese cities (42 percent) of

\textsuperscript{26} UN 3RP: Regional Refugee & Resilience Plan 2018-2019.
\textsuperscript{27} UNHCR, UNICEF and WFP (2017): Vulnerability Assessment of Syrian Refugees in Lebanon
\textsuperscript{28} World Bank et all (2017, policy note September 14): Refugees in the middle east. Bringing an urban lens to the forced displacement challenge
\textsuperscript{29} ILO (2016) Local Economic Development Strategy For Mafraq Governorate (2016-2018)
\textsuperscript{30} Jordan Third National Communication on Climate Change and Lebanon Third National Communication on Climate Change
\textsuperscript{31} Idem page 21
\textsuperscript{32} Idem
\textsuperscript{33} UNHCR fact sheet, August 2018.
\textsuperscript{34} UN 3RP: Regional Refugee & Resilience Plan 2018-2019
\textsuperscript{35} UNHCR (2015) Jordan Refugee Response Plan
\textsuperscript{36} Idem
households relying on cash from humanitarian organizations as a source of income and 80 percent of households depended on informal loans as a source of income. This leaves most of the DPs susceptible to impacts of climate change and with weak adaptive capacity.

In addition, there are a number of specific challenges across the region, including limited job access and livelihoods opportunities, exhaustion of savings, and the adoption of negative coping mechanisms, which further exacerbate the residual protection risks they face. Broader political and social pressures can also affect stability between displaced populations and host communities in both countries. There are over 10,000 Syrian displaced children recorded in the Arab region as either separated, unaccompanied or in institutional care. The loss of social networks further decreases the adaptive capacities and make DPs more vulnerable to climate change.

The project addresses adaptation challenges to the climate-induced increase in droughts, and pressure on water services due to influx of DPs. The project identifies DPs as the most vulnerable due to socio-economic challenges that could affect affordability to access water in the target areas.

Target area:

- Type 2 DPs host cities: cities under widespread stress from displaced persons – which significantly impacted the overall absorption capacity, including urban systems and services such as water supply (exacerbated by climate change), sanitation, education, and health services.
  - Lebanon: Zahle and surrounding 3 municipalities with focus on poorest / informal communities with large number of DPs. The total population of Zahle (including 25,409 Syrian DPs) is 80,282. The population of targeted surrounding municipalities: Qobb Elias: 33,680 (21,391 Syrian DPs), Taalbaya: 22,436 (9,102 Syrian DPs) and Saadnayel: 24,374 (17,266 Syrian DPs).
  - Jordan: Irbid and Mafraq with focus on poorest / informal communities with large number of DPs. The population of Irbid is estimated at 950,000 and the population of Mafraq is estimated at 206,920 in 2017. Number of Syrian DPs in Iribd is 135,132 and in Mafraq is 157,446.

Figure 1: typology of settlements
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Target groups:

- DPs as main vulnerable group within host cities\(^{47}\) with consideration of gender (women, girls, boys and men), youth and people with specific needs (e.g. disabled).
- The 4 components of the project will target all of the Syrian DPs in Zahle and its surrounding 3 municipalities (73,168) and 25 percent of the total Syrian DPs in Irbid and Mafrqa (73,168) as direct beneficiaries. The indirect beneficiaries are the hosting communities in the targeted areas who will benefit indirectly from the intervention in those cities. Since the number of DPs targeted will be the same, then the amount of funding requested is equal.

**Project / Programme Objectives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges / objectives</th>
<th>Development approach applicable to DPs crisis and climate change context</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall objective: Increasing the resilience and adaptive capacities of displaced persons (DPs) to climate change-related water challenges in urban host settlements. This will indirectly increase the resilience of hosting communities where the project interventions will take place.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Increasing institutional resilience of cities in a regional context: Managing urban risks and vulnerabilities and managing rapid urbanization and city's physical form</td>
<td>Collecting evidence and developing an integrated development approach to regional migration / DPs crisis and climate change challenges: regional and sectoral studies, monitoring and planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Enhance ownership of citizens, inclusion of DPs and livelihood support:</td>
<td>Citizen engagement: minimizing risks to social tensions through citizen engagement and enhancing opportunities for social exchange between host-city inhabitants and DPs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{47}\) In line with Jordan NAP
Bridging the divide city and creating jobs and supporting livelihoods of DPs.

Livelihood support: providing livelihood support such as skill building, training, and access to finance to build people's self-reliance

3. Increase community-level resilience to water scarcity: Addressing adaptation challenges, improving living conditions and expanding the coverage and quality of basic infrastructure services

Settlement upgrading: Area-based approach for upgrading basic services that also provides opportunity to target people living in the area for complementary social, economic and other interventions

Infrastructure and services projects: Expanding and strengthening water infrastructure and services that are strained and/or damaged with an aim to expand coverage, improve quality of services and increase adaptive capacity to climate-induced water challenges.

4. Improve policies and plans to increase urban resilience (in the region)

Improvement of policies and plans by developing a 'regional' DPs and climate change management and monitoring approach and model for type 2 cities and by considering gender and climate change in (regional) migration policies and strategies and the other way around.

---

### Project / Programme Components and Financing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project/Programme Components</th>
<th>Expected Outcomes</th>
<th>Expected Outputs</th>
<th>Countries</th>
<th>Amount (US$) (very rough estimation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Managing urban risks and vulnerabilities and managing rapid urbanization and city’s physical form</td>
<td>City-level and regional Institutional capacity to manage both urban climate change risks / vulnerabilities and influx of DPs</td>
<td>- Regional emergency action plan for urban drought management&lt;br&gt;- Urban land use strategies for target cities (considering DPs influx and climate change impacts&lt;br&gt;- Trainings provided at city and national level.</td>
<td>Lebanon, Jordan</td>
<td>2 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Project Duration: 4 years

### PART II: PROJECT / PROGRAMME JUSTIFICATION

**Project components and the regional and innovative approach**

As mentioned above, there is a need for better and more effective regional, national and local programming focused on addressing water challenges in ‘host’ cities exacerbated by both the influx...
of DPs and climate change impacts. There is an opportunity to do this in the region (i.e. Lebanon and Jordan, but also Turkey, Iraq and Egypt) through an existing single planning and resource framework called 3RP (i.e. Regional, Refugee and Resilience Plan 2018-2019). This project will work with ministries in Lebanon and Jordan responsible for 3RP coordination, other 3RP partners and ministries responsible for climate change and water resources, to develop an integrated development approach focused on addressing water challenges in cities, exacerbated by both the influx of DPs and climate change impacts. Apart from the WASH sector, the project will also foster cooperation between Jordan and Lebanon in other sectors of the 3RP through enhancing coordination between the relevant ministries. This will be done through the development of a ‘regional’ DPs and climate change management and monitoring approach and model for (type 2) cities (by understanding drivers, pressures, impacts and responses) (component 1) and by sharing good and innovative practices (component 4), including the use of innovative techniques for water harvesting and waste water reuse (component 3) through community and vulnerable groups involvement (component 2), which are priorities in both Jordan and Lebanon (and in the region). Component 2 on citizen engagement and livelihoods support targets increased adaptive capacity and resilience and reduced vulnerability of DPs to climate change. The platform will be open to everyone and mechanisms will be put in place for equal access and benefits of different groups (DPs, host community members, women, youth, etc.) and to express needs and concerns and to plan interventions. Additional to online platforms and mobile phone applications (if possible), the platform will be a physical place where both DPs and host community members are welcome and exchange ideas and concerns. The focus of the platforms will be on resilience building in an urban context with a focus on water challenges. Moreover, an emergency action plan for urban drought management will be developed and linked to a regional drought early warning system (component 1). Climatic data in Jordan and Lebanon is available, sufficient and provided on a regular basis. Jordan’s and Lebanon’s Third National Communications on Climate Change Report submitted to The United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), include climatic trends and climate change projections. The addressed climate variables include temperature, precipitation, drought, humidity and GHG emissions. This data was used to support the project concept and the project rationale is evidence-based. The project has consulted regional and national plans and strategies as shown in the section below to ensure that the activities are well aligned with regional and national priorities. The project will also address data gaps wherever needed- especially at the city level- through stakeholder consultations with the national authorities involved.

All the project activities will be carried out in both countries and the funding will be equal as the project targets the same number of direct beneficiaries in both countries. Efforts of the different regional and national committees working on these issues will be consolidated and integrated into one emergency plan. The project will monitor the trends of influx of Syrian DPs into the target areas and the patterns of them returning home to continue adapting the approach and interventions of the project accordingly within the framework of the approved project document.

The regional approach will support cost-effectiveness through the development of a regional approach (versus smaller, not connected plans) and through the development and sharing of cost-effective and innovative techniques, which will benefit communities and vulnerable groups in the region. Under component 1, the regional emergency action plan for urban drought management is innovative in a way that it assesses adaptation needs and provides adaptation options to water scarcity both at the regional and national levels specifically for urban areas, taking into account changes in climate change and water needs in cities due to influx of DPs. The urban land use strategies for target cities would be innovative decision-making tools for municipal governments (but also national government) to plan cities for future climate change impacts and influx of people in an integrated manner (that allows for coordinated investment in infrastructure and services). Under component 2, initiating
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community-level platforms for social exchange is an innovative way of exchanging views between DPs and hosting community members to express and discuss needs and concerns and to avoid potential tension related to resilience building of communities, especially if it comes to scarce resources such as water. Especially women and youth groups will be encouraged to participate in this exchange and planning process. Moreover, it will give DPs and host community members a sense of ownership for the project. Under component 3, the project will use internationally proven state of the art technologies that are innovative yet cost-efficient for water harvesting and waste water reuse at community / household level that have not been used properly in both countries. This will be elaborated in the concept note phase. The cost to provide water per person in a sustainable way is estimated around 50 USD. Under component 4, the integration between the regional DPs and climate change management and “continuous” monitoring approach for (type 2) cities and 3RP programming is innovative and provides synergy between climate and DPs action.

**Consistency with (inter)national strategies**
Internationally, the project aligns with international development agenda 2030 (especially SDGs: 5, 6, 9, 11, 13), the Paris Agreement (COP21) and COPs after; the New Urban Agenda and the 3RP (regionally). In Jordan, the project aligns with 1) national climate change strategies (Jordan INDC – especially residential water supply measures; Jordan 3rd national communication on climate change (2014) – especially adaptation in water sector (rainwater harvesting and wastewater treatment) and in urban areas (land use planning); Jordan NAP – especially reduced total water availability; Jordan National climate change policy (2013-2020), 2) national development strategies (Jordan 2025 economic blue print – extreme poverty rate and Jordan economic growth plan 2018-2022 – electricity and water – alignment with focus on investments that can reduce the external vulnerability of the country such as renewable energy and water capture/efficiency programs) and 3) sectoral strategies (Jordan National Water Strategy 2016-2025 – especially Water Management for Climate Change Adaptation. And Jordan land use project (2007). In Lebanon the project also aligns with 1) national climate change strategies (Lebanon INDC – especially improving water security. The INDC states that climate change is one of many challenges to national development, besides population growth, rapid urbanization and geopolitical location and addressing these should be pursued simultaneously; Lebanon 3rd national communication on climate change (2016) – especially adaptation in water sector (rainwater harvesting, wastewater reuse, water monitoring and refugees’ crisis); Lebanon NAP with territorial/ city level perspective (forthcoming) – with focus on water, 2) national development strategies National Physical master Plan (2005) and 3) sectoral strategies (Lebanon National water sector strategy (2012) – especially water supply / conservation and wastewater treatment; Lebanon crisis response 2017-2020 – especially safe water for drinking and domestic use with reduced health and environmental impact from unsafe wastewater management for refugees. During the concept note development phase, consistency with sub-national strategies will be elaborated upon.

**Learning and knowledge management**
The project will capture and disseminate lessons related to use and implementation of innovative low-cost city- and community-level water harvesting and water reuse techniques and management of cities considering high influx of DPs and climate change impacts. Where possible, lessons will be integrated in 3RP programme plans, UN-ESCWA’s SDGs platform, RICCAR, ACWUA, Arab Centre on Climate Change Studies, the State of the Environment Reports in Lebanon and Jordan in addition

---
49 Jordan INDC page 12
50 Jordan 3rd National communication on climate change page 147
51 Idem page 183
52 Jordan national water strategy 2016-2025 page 47
53 Lebanon INDC page 4
54 Idem page 3
55 Lebanon 3rd National communication on climate change page 149
to reporting to UNFCCC (National Communications, NDCs, etc.). Lessons would also be very relevant to include in regional assessments (e.g. UN Environment’s Global Environment Outlook). Moreover, project outcomes can be showcased by Jordan and Lebanon governments at major climate change events (such as the COP and Cities and Climate Change conferences). During the concept note development phase, information on specific knowledge products will be provided.

Consultative process
For the pre-concept note, meetings were held with AF focal points and different ministries focal points to align with national priorities. For the concept note stage, consultations in both Lebanon and Jordan will be held with National and local governments, UN agencies, NGO’s, local communities and vulnerable groups and other relevant stakeholders to identify vulnerabilities, needs and priorities. For the full proposal, consultations will focus on identifying and selecting the specific interventions needed with communities and vulnerable groups based on adaptation benefits, cost effectiveness, feasibility and environmental and social impacts and risks, especially for the most vulnerable groups (DPs, women, youth, elderly, disabled people, indigenous groups, etc.).

Sustainability of the project
The project will be sustained by the strong linkage to national priorities (i.e. national buy-in), by mainstreaming outcomes into (inter)national and city-level strategies and their monitoring framework and through the engagement of local affected communities in planning, maintenance, monitoring and training activities. Alignment with regional plans and strategies, such as the 3RP and the Arab Strategy for Water Security, continued cooperation on the issues addressed through this project after it comes to an end is guaranteed. It is also sustained through the involvement and capacity building of national and municipal governments, local communities and vulnerable groups (e.g. skills development) and other stakeholders during the processes and through development of knowledge products and sharing of lessons. The process of monitoring the trends of influx of Syrian DPs into the target areas and the patterns of them returning home will continue to identify if the pressure on water resources is growing or declining. This is part of the continuous learning principle that will be established throughout the project in order to ensure that the objectives are achieved and to feed it into future projects at the local and national levels in both countries. The learning products will be shared as mentioned in the “Learning and Knowledge Management Section” on the global, regional and national levels. As for the concrete interventions, management and maintenance arrangements will be identified at the concept note development phase including guidelines to maintain water harvesting and waste water treatment equipments.

Economic, social and environmental benefits
The project will address water challenges at the local level for the groups most in need, which in turn will reduce health risks and enhance food security and livelihood opportunities (through skill building). The urban management and planning approach and community involvement will contribute to reducing city- and community-level climate change risks and potential social unrest between DPs and host communities. At the (inter)national level, lessons can be used to apply low-cost innovative water harvesting and water reuse techniques and city management and planning approaches considering high influx of DPs and climate change impacts, which will contribute to reducing country-level vulnerabilities. During the concept note phase, benefits per activity will be elaborated upon.

Compliance to national technical standards
The project will fully align with national technical standards, including standards for environmental and social impacts, land use planning, drought early warning systems, water supply / harvesting / reuse, etc. If environmental and social impacts are required for proposed interventions, this will be done during the full project development phase. During the concept note development phase, compliance procedures and information about authorizing offices will be elaborated upon.

Duplication with other funding sources
The project will avoid overlap with other projects and use lessons learned where possible. During the concept note development phase, all projects and their lessons learned, complimentary potential and non-duplication will be mapped. At this stage, government officials at the ministry and municipality level confirmed there is no overlap, such as with a rehabilitation of Jordan Badia project in Ma'afra.

**Justification for funding requested**

The project will support implementation of national priorities as well as responding to local needs, especially of the most vulnerable, and will provide added value to national plans and approaches through implementation of innovative and low-cost technical interventions. There is a need for concrete adaptation interventions for the water sector in the targeted cities in Jordan and Lebanon focusing on the most vulnerable groups. The interventions are crucial for the cities to cope with current and future climate change impacts exacerbated by influx of Syrian DPs. The Third National Communications to the UNFCCC of Jordan and Lebanon stated clearly that financial constraints are among the barriers to adaptation and that there is a clear need for funding to support national and municipal climate action. As mentioned earlier, the target cities were selected because of a combination of existing and projected climate change-related water challenges, high pressure on water resources due to high influx of DPs and lacking resources and capacities to address these climate change-related water issues and specific needs of DPs, which includes access to affordable water.

From a regional perspective, the programme will support the 3RP regional and national programming, for which budget gaps exist for the development of an integrated regional approach focused on addressing especially WASH and social cohesion and livelihoods issues,\(^{57}\) in 'host' cities exacerbated by both the influx of DPs and climate change impacts.

**The environmental and social impacts and risks identified**

The proposed project seeks to fully align with the Adaptation Fund’s Environmental and Social Policy (ESP) and Gender Policy (GP). For the concept note, the entire project and all project components and activities will be screened to identify potential environmental and social risks and impacts using the 15 Adaptation Fund Principles. A gender approach / baseline will also be developed, with a focus on DPs, women and youth. For the potential risks and impacts identified, mitigation measures will be proposed to reduce risks to manageable levels. For the full proposal, an ESMP will be developed, which will include management and monitoring arrangements for dealing with potential risks. With the information available at this stage, the project is expected to fall into medium risk category B because interventions (water harvesting and water reuse interventions will be implemented at the community level – thus will be small and localised. Information required to further assess this classification, also for each intervention / activity, will be provided at the concept stage. This information will include detailed information per intervention / activity so that these can be regarded as Identified sub-projects.

**PART III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS**

UN Habitat will be the implementing entity for the project providing specific technical support in urban development and resilience related areas. With support from the Regional Office for Arab

\(^{57}\) 3RP Regional Quarterly Dashboards March 2018. Online: [https://data2.unhcr.org/fr/documents/download/63820](https://data2.unhcr.org/fr/documents/download/63820)
States (ROAS), UN-Habitat country offices in Jordan and Lebanon will facilitate the coordination between the government entities. In Jordan and Lebanon, national executing entities will be the ministries responsible for climate change, water resources and DPs:

Lebanon: Ministry of Environment; Ministry of Energy and Water; Ministry of Social affairs; Jordan: Ministry of Environment, Ministry of Water and Irrigation; Ministry of Planning and International Cooperation, of which the last is a National Implementing Entity.

At the city level, partners will be municipal line departments in target cities. For the execution of community-level concrete interventions and community involvement, local partners will be identified during the concept note phase. There will be coordination between municipal authorities in both countries on technical issues (e.g. spatial planning) and communication of lessons learned during implementation.

The two countries are already under a number of common frameworks by the League of Arab States such as the Arab Strategy for Water Security and the Arab Strategy for Housing and Sustainable Urban Development 2030. On DPs specifically, Jordan and Lebanon are already cooperating under the 3RP framework. This ensures that the coordination of the project will be continuous, efficient and sustainable.

PART IV: ENDORSEMENT BY GOVERNMENTS AND CERTIFICATION BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY

A. Record of endorsement on behalf of the government

Provide the name and position of the government official and indicate date of endorsement for each country participating in the proposed project/programme. Add more lines as necessary. The endorsement letters should be attached as annexes to the project/programme proposal.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nayef Hmeidi Al-Fayez, Secretary general, Ministry of Environment, Jordan</td>
<td>Date: August 5, 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tarek El Khatib, Ministry of Environment, Lebanon</td>
<td>Date: August 6, 2018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each Party shall designate and communicate to the secretariat the authority that will endorse on behalf of the national government the projects and programmes proposed by the implementing entities.
To: The Adaptation Fund Board  
c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat  
Email: Secretariat@Adaptation-Fund.org  
Fax: 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement for “Increasing the resilience of displaced persons (DPs) to climate change-related water challenges in urban host settlements”

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in Jordan, I confirm that the above regional project proposal is in accordance with the government’s National Adaptation Plan including the priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in the country. It is also well aligned with Jordan’s Climate Change Policy and Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC). The regional approach shall also enhance management of water challenges and pressure on resources regionally and foster our cooperation with Lebanon under the Regional Refugee and Resilience Plan 2018-2019.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project will be implemented by UN-Habitat and executed by the relevant local executing entities.

Sincerely,

Minister of Environment

Nayef Hmeidi Al-Fayez

Eng. Ahmad Al-Qatarneh  
Secretary General
The Adaptation Fund Board  
c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat  
Email: Secretariat@Adaptation-Fund.org  
Fax: 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement for project: Increasing the resilience of displaced persons to climate change-related water challenges in urban host settlements

Beirut, 6/08/2018  
Our Ref: 9206/1

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in Lebanon, I confirm that the above national project/programme proposal is in accordance with the government’s national and regional priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Lebanon.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project/programme proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project/programme proposal will be implemented by the UN Habitat and executed by the Ministry of Environment.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Tarek El Khatib  
Minister of Environment

Cc:  
- Mrs. Nancy Khoury, Acting Head, Department of Public Relations & External Affairs, MoE  
- Mrs. Samar Malek, UNFCCC focal Point, Service of Environmental Technology
A. Implementing Entity certification

I certify that this proposal has been prepared in accordance with guidelines provided by the Adaptation Fund Board, and prevailing National Development and Adaptation Plans, including Jordan INDC, NAP, National climate change policy, Jordan 2025 economic blue print, Lebanon INDC, NAP, TNC, Lebanon 2025 and the regional 3RP, subject to the approval by the Adaptation Fund Board, commit to implementing the project/programme in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund and on the understanding that the Implementing Entity will be fully (legally and financially) responsible for the implementation of this project/programme.

Rafael Tuts
Director, Programme Division
UN-Habitat
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Annex 1: Overview of needs / approach:59

☐ Moving from emergency approaches to more development-oriented, medium-to-long term approaches is pivotal. Emergency approaches to displacement and natural disasters and climate change are necessary but insufficient. The protracted nature of displacement and climate change, and the fact that host areas and communities often face similar challenges in terms of living conditions and opportunities, require medium-term solutions that target both the displaced and the host communities.

☐ Urban displacement and climate change and their associated trends and impacts need to be integrated into urban planning and policies. Forced displacement and climate change are increasingly important factor driving urban growth trends. Taking into account the scale, scope and impacts of displacement and climate change in the existing urban planning and policies will help local governments respond to the challenge effectively.

☐ Managing urban growth is beneficial in the long run. Large influxes of refugees often lead to sub-optimal patterns of urban growth that will determine long-term urban resilience and sustainability of cities, since housing, street, and public spaces are not easily changed once established.

☐ Urban service provision is extremely critical for improved living conditions and building trust with local authorities. Local governments should invest in urban services, considering most cities in Mashreq are already suffering from inadequate service provision. Displacement and climate change exacerbate the situation by adding extra pressure on services, often becoming a source of tension with discontent and competition around services.

☐ Promoting social cohesion is crucial for sustaining positive development outcomes. Rising social tensions between host communities and refugees, and among the displaced, pose risks and threats to development gains. Therefore, inclusive approaches that promote social cohesion should be integral part of displacement responses.

☐ Urban resilience provides a comprehensive response framework. Although there is little exploration of how urban systems respond to a rapid influx of new and often long-term residents by conflict and climate change, it is manifest and critical to build resilient communities and institutions that are equipped to respond to shocks and stresses arising from displacement.

Annex 2: Climate change adaptation interventions to be considered (and a selection to be made):

Residential water supply:

- Introduction of water saving technologies such as low-flow toilets and showers and efficient appliances.60
- Collection of rainwater for gardens, toilets, and other applications and storage dams and hill lakes.62

(Urban) agriculture:

- Irrigation efficiency, e.g. through water saving technologies63
- Using groundwater more efficiently64
- Reuse of treated wastewater65
- Rainwater harvesting66

Possible institutional / planning adaptation activities:

- Increased water metering67
- Reform of water pricing
- Promotion of water saving through awareness campaigns68
- Developing river protection and sanitation zones69
- Urban ecosystem management / protection to increase water supply.
- Introducing policy measures to ensure the equity in access to water70
- Integrating gender considerations and the interest of vulnerable group in climate change policies and strategies.71

Possible concrete interventions when in border areas:

- Activities concerning water quality, e.g. groundwater protection (technical innovation)
- Reuse of treated wastewater (for green spaces) (technical innovation)
- Improvement of water quality, e.g. water treatment (technical innovation)

---

60 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12 and in line with Lebanon INDC, page 4 and Jordan economic growth plan 2018-2022, page 14 and 52-55
61 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12
62 In line with Lebanon INDC, page 4 and Jordan economic growth plan 2018-2022, page 14 and 52-55
63 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12 and Jordan economic growth plan 2018-2022, page 14 and 52-55
64 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12 and in line with Lebanon INDC, page 4 and Jordan economic growth plan 2018-2022, page 14 and 52-55
65 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12 and in line with Lebanon INDC, page 4 and Jordan economic growth plan 2018-2022, page 14 and 52-55
66 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12 and Jordan economic growth plan 2018-2022, page 14 and 52-55
67 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12 in line with Lebanon INDC, page 4
68 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12
69 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12
70 In line with Jordan INDC, page 12
71 In line with Jordan INDC, page 17