



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.24/9
28 February 2019

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Twenty-Third Meeting
Bonn, Germany, 12-13 March 2019

Agenda Item 9 b)

PROPOSAL FOR ARMENIA

Background

1. The Operational Policies and Guidelines (OPG) for Parties to Access Resources from the Adaptation Fund (the Fund), adopted by the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), state in paragraph 45 that regular adaptation project and programme proposals, i.e. those that request funding exceeding US\$ 1 million, would undergo either a one-step, or a two-step approval process. In case of the one-step process, the proponent would directly submit a fully-developed project proposal. In the two-step process, the proponent would first submit a brief project concept, which would be reviewed by the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) and would have to receive the endorsement of the Board. In the second step, the fully-developed project/programme document would be reviewed by the PPRC and would ultimately require the Board's approval.

2. The Templates approved by the Board (Annex 5 of the OPG, as amended in March 2016) do not include a separate template for project and programme concepts but provide that these are to be submitted using the project and programme proposal template. The section on Adaptation Fund Project Review Criteria states:

For regular projects using the two-step approval process, only the first four criteria will be applied when reviewing the 1st step for regular project concept. In addition, the information provided in the 1st step approval process with respect to the review criteria for the regular project concept could be less detailed than the information in the request for approval template submitted at the 2nd step approval process. Furthermore, a final project document is required for regular projects for the 2nd step approval, in addition to the approval template.

3. The first four criteria mentioned above are:

- (i) Country Eligibility,
- (ii) Project Eligibility,
- (iii) Resource Availability, and
- (iv) Eligibility of NIE/MIE.

4. The fifth criterion, applied when reviewing a fully-developed project document, is:
(v) Implementation Arrangements.

5. It is worth noting that since the twenty-second Board meeting, the Environmental and Social (E&S) Policy of the Fund was approved and since the twenty-seventh Board meeting, the Gender Policy (GP) of the Fund was also approved. Consequently, compliance with both the ESP and the GP has been included in the review criteria both for concept documents and fully-developed project documents. The proposals template was revised as well, to include sections requesting demonstration of compliance of the project/programme with the ESP and the GP.

6. At its seventeenth meeting, the Board decided (Decision B.17/7) to approve "Instructions for preparing a request for project or programme funding from the Adaptation Fund", contained in the Annex to document AFB/PPRC.8/4, which further outlines applicable review criteria for both concepts and fully-developed proposals. The latest version of this document was launched in October 2016 following an update of the Operational Policies and Guidelines in March 2016.

7. Based on the Board Decision B.9/2, the first call for project and programme proposals was issued and an invitation letter to eligible Parties to submit project and programme proposals to the Fund was sent out on April 8, 2010.

8. According to the Board Decision B.12/10, a project or programme proposal needs to be received by the secretariat no less than nine weeks before a Board meeting, in order to be considered by the Board in that meeting.

9. The following fully developed project document titled “Strengthening land-based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia” was submitted for Armenia by the Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU) of the Ministry of Nature Protection of Armenia, which is a National Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund.

10. This is the fifth submission of the proposal using the two-step submission process. It was first submitted in the twenty-ninth Board meeting and was not approved by the Board.

11. It was resubmitted in the thirty-second meeting as a fully-developed project and the Board decided:

(a) To not approve the fully-developed project as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU) of the Ministry of Nature Protection of Armenia to the request made by the technical review;

(b) To suggest that EPIU reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board’s decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The proponent should provide execution costs that do not exceed 1.5 per cent of the total budget requested, before the implementing entity fees;

(ii) The proposal should clarify whether solar water heaters are going to be installed in public buildings;

(iii) The proposal should clarify what value addition will be done and to what products;

(iv) The proposal should clarify why some social benefits cannot be described and estimated at the full proposal stage and an explanation of why the cost-benefit analysis mentioned in the proposal was excluded;

(v) The proposal should provide a clear analysis of project cost effectiveness; and

(vi) The proponent should undertake adequate identification of environmental and social impacts or risks, including measures for their management in line with the Adaptation Fund’s Environmental and Social Policy (ESP) and Gender Policy, and should also clarify how gender is mainstreamed under the project implementation arrangements, in particular providing adequate clarity on the following:

a. A clear timeline for the environmental impact assessment;

- b. The risks and impact of irrigation water extraction;*
- c. Information on how marginalized and vulnerable groups may be disproportionately at risk of negative environmental impacts;*
- d. The risks triggered by the ESP principle on core labour rights, with particular attention paid to the risk of child labour and identification of relevant risks related to the conservation of biological diversity as triggered by the ESP principle on biodiversity; and*
- e. Information to substantiate provided information on cultural heritage; and*

(c) To request EPIU to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Armenia.

Decision B.32/39

12. The current submission was received by the secretariat in time to be considered in the thirty-third Board meeting. The secretariat carried out a technical review of the project proposal, assigned it the diary number ARM/NIE/Forest/2017/1, and completed a review sheet.

13. In accordance with a request to the secretariat made by the Board in its 10th meeting, the secretariat shared this review sheet with EPIU, and offered it the opportunity of providing responses before the review sheet was sent to the PPRC.

14. The secretariat is submitting to the PPRC the summary and, pursuant to decision B.17/15, the final technical review of the project, both prepared by the secretariat, along with the final submission of the proposal in the following section. In accordance with decision B.25.15, the proposal is submitted with changes between the initial submission and the revised version highlighted.

Project Summary

Armenia – Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia

Implementing Entity: *EPIU*

Project/Programme Execution Cost: USD 34,130

Total Project/Programme Cost: USD 2,309,710

Implementing Fee: USD 196,290

Financing Requested: USD 2,506,000

Project Background and Context:

The Republic of Armenia is a mountainous, landlocked country with 76.5% of its territory situated on altitudes of 1000-2500 m above sea level. The climate is continental, with hot summers and cold winters, and an annual average precipitation that varies from 200-600mm. Armenia hosts exceptionally rich and globally significant biodiversity, but due to intensive nature use the level of anthropogenic changes of natural landscapes in the country is high.

The objective of the project is to reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest” and “Dilijan” National Parks by strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector and reinforcing their institutional and planning capacity for climate change adaptation by implementing adaptation measures in selected communities.

Component 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zones. (USD 1,733,183)

This component will focus on increasing adaptive capacity by promoting climate smart agriculture and developing activities that promote restoration of natural ecosystems, water and soil conservation, organic agriculture, low cost technologies, and improved livestock forage quality. The component will introduce the following adaptation measures: Increase in water use efficiency by renovating the main irrigation water supply systems; Increase in soil organic carbon by promoting farming practices such as mulching, reduced tillage and compost management; Improving fodder management through the establishment of sowing areas of perennial plants such as Lucerne and sainfoin; Establishment of agroforestry systems on degraded slopes; promoting information sharing; and Strengthening monitoring systems for climate smart agriculture, land degradation neutrality, forest and ecosystem adaptation.

Component 2: Strengthening value chains and climate smart technology transfer for vulnerable communities (USD 342,397)

This component will complement the adaptation measures in the crop, livestock and forestry production systems by supporting the livelihoods and income earning opportunities of the target communities. Under this component, the project intends to install alternative hot water supply systems for the public sector, construct non-heated greenhouses, and construct solar dryers for fruits, vegetables and herbs, and to formulate community management and business plans for climate smart agricultural value chains.

Component 3: Awareness raising, capacity building, monitoring and decision making for climate smart agricultural practices (USD 200,000)

This component aims to support awareness raising and capacity building (authorities, farmer associations, civil society organizations, and the private sector) in climate smart agriculture as well as land degradation neutrality and support the decision-making and planning process in the target communities. Under this component, the project will provide training and awareness raising on efficient management of water resources, climate smart agriculture and land degradation neutrality and other relevant issues related to climate change adaptation. In addition, the project will develop a dissemination strategy to capture lessons learnt and make them available to other communities.



ADAPTATION FUND

**ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL REVIEW
OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL**

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY: Regular-sized Project Concept

Country/Region: **Armenia**
 Project Title: **Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia**
 Thematic Focal Area: **Agriculture**
 Implementing Entity: **Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU)**
 AF Project ID: **ARM/NIE/Forest/2017/1**
 IE Project ID: Requested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars): **2 506 000**
 Reviewer and contact person: **Farayi Madziwa** Co-reviewer(s): **Dirk Lamberts, Saliha Dobardzic**
 IE Contact Person: **Samvel Baloyan and Anush Lokyan**

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments 29 January 2019	Comments 13 February 2019
Country Eligibility	1. Is the country party to the Kyoto Protocol?	Yes	
	2. Is the country a developing country particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change?	Yes	
Project Eligibility	1. Has the designated government authority for the Adaptation Fund endorsed the project/programme?	Yes	

	<p>2. Does the project / programme support concrete adaptation actions to assist the country in addressing adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change and build in climate resilience?</p>	<p>Yes. However, the proposal document contains 180 pages of the project document and 53 pages of annexures, which exceeds the page limit for proposal documents set by the Board through decision B.32/6, which limits the number of pages for new or resubmitted project/programme proposals to 50 pages for the project/programme concept, including its annexes; and 100 pages for the fully-developed project document, and 100 pages for its annexes.</p> <p>CAR1: Please limit the number of pages for the project document to 100 pages.</p>	<p>Not addressed. However, as the current proposal is a re-submission of a previous proposal document that was not approved by the Board, and the proposal had been submitted soon after the Board decision to limit the number of pages for submitted proposal documents, the requirement could be waived for this submission.</p>
	<p>3. Does the project / programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

	4. Is the project / programme cost effective?	Yes. Initial costs for the proposed project interventions are high but the costs of doing business as usual/ the traditional approach become increasingly higher over time.	
	5. Is the project / programme consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications and adaptation programs of action and other relevant instruments?	Yes	
	6. Does the project / programme meet the relevant national technical standards, where applicable, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund??	Yes	
	7. Is there duplication of project / programme with other funding sources?	No.	
	8. Does the project / programme have a learning and knowledge management component to capture and feedback lessons?	Yes. The project will develop a knowledge management plan.	

	9. Has a consultative process taken place, and has it involved all key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups, including gender considerations in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	Yes	
	10. Is the requested financing justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning?	Yes	
	11. Is the project / program aligned with AF's results framework?	Yes	
	12. Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been taken into account when designing the project?	Yes.	
	13. Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	Yes. It should be noted however, that in applying the Adaptation Fund's principle on Core Labour Rights, the labour principles and elements highlighted under this principle should be enforced diligently, particularly those to do with the use of child labour.	
Resource Availability	1. Is the requested project / programme funding within the cap of the country?	Yes	
	2. Is the Implementing Entity Management Fee at or below 8.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget before the fee?	Yes. The fee is 8.5%.	

	<p>3. Are the Project/Programme Execution Costs at or below 9.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget (including the fee)?</p>	<p>Yes. As the IE intends to also act as the EE, the execution costs are capped at 1.5% of the total budget requested, before the implementing entity fees. The execution costs in the proposal are at 1.4% the total project/programme budget (including the fee).</p>	
<p>Eligibility of IE</p>	<p>4. Is the project/programme submitted through an eligible Implementing Entity that has been accredited by the Board?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>	
<p>Implementation Arrangements</p>	<p>1. Is there adequate arrangement for project / programme management, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	
	<p>2. Are there measures for financial and project/programme risk management?</p>	<p>Yes</p>	

	3. Are there measures in place for the management of for environmental and social risks, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	Yes. The initial environmental and social risks have been considerably reduced by integrating safeguard measures in the description of the project activities (e.g. p. 116, 117). Activities overall have been identified to the point where a sufficient degree of compliance with the ESP has been demonstrated. The ESMP (p. 158) is commensurate in scope and effort to the risks and impacts that have been identified. It contains clear roles and responsibilities and an implementation schedule. The grievance mechanism proposed is adequate.	
	4. Is a budget on the Implementing Entity Management Fee use included?	Yes.	
	5. Is an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs included?	Yes	
	6. Is a detailed budget including budget notes included?	Yes.	
	7. Are arrangements for monitoring and evaluation clearly defined, including budgeted M&E plans and sex-disaggregated data, targets and indicators, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?	Yes	

	8. Does the M&E Framework include a break-down of how implementing entity IE fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&E function?	Yes.	
	9. Does the project/programme’s results framework align with the AF’s results framework? Does it include at least one core outcome indicator from the Fund’s results framework?	Yes	
	10. Is a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones included?	Yes.	

Technical Summary	<p>The project aims to reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest” and “Dilijan” National Park by strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector and reinforcing their institutional and planning capacity for climate change adaptation. This includes implementing community based, climate smart agricultural practices, strengthening value chains for climate smart agriculture and enhancing awareness, planning, monitoring and decision-making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and land degradation neutrality in the target communities.</p> <p>The initial technical review found that the proposal document was over the 100-page limit for the fully-developed project documents, and 100 pages for annexes as set by the Board through decision B.32/6. However, it was noted that the proposal is a re-submission and had been submitted very soon after the Board decision, and the requirement could be waived for this submission.</p> <p>The final review finds that the proposal has adequately addressed outstanding issues raised by the Board at its 32nd meeting and that the project is in compliance with the environmental and social policy and gender policy of the Fund.</p>
Date:	13 February 2019

RESPONSE SHEET PROVIDED BY EPIU TO ADDRESS THE OBSERVATIONS MADE BY THE BOARD AT ITS 32nd MEETING



ADAPTATION FUND

**ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL
REVIEW OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL**

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY: Regular-sized Project Concept

Country/Region: **Armenia**

Project Title: **Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia**

Thematic Focal Area: **Agriculture**

Implementing Entity: **Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU)**

AF Project ID: **ARM/NIE/Forest/2017/1**

IE Project ID:

Requested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars): **2 506 000**

Reviewer and contact person: **Farayi Madziwa**

Co-reviewer(s): **Dirk Lamberts, Saliha Dobardzic**

IE Contact Person: **Samvel Baloyan and Anush Lokyan**

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments 24 August 2018	Comments 13 September 2018	EPIU response
Country Eligibility	1. Is the country party to the Kyoto Protocol?	Yes		
	2. Is the country a developing country particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change?	Yes		
Project Eligibility	1. Has the designated government authority for the Adaptation Fund endorsed the project/programme?	Yes		

	<p>2. Does the project / programme support concrete adaptation actions to assist the country in addressing adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change and build in climate resilience?</p>	<p>For the most part, yes. However, installation of alternative hot water supply systems for the public sector is a mitigation activity with non-adaptation benefits. The assumption is that financial savings in electricity costs from the installation would be remitted towards adaptation activities (community-based environmental activities that will contribute to enhancing the adaptation of landscapes). There is no clear explanation or guarantee that the savings from the community budget will be spent towards such activities, and if at all, which specific project activities have been earmarked for this budget?</p> <p>In addition, page 100 introduces the aspect of eco-clubs under economic benefits, but these clubs are not included in any of the project components. Further, it is not clear how the proposed land monitoring system will work, who will be responsible for its upkeep and how it is related to the specific project activities.</p>	<p>CR1: Unclear. The updated text seems to suggest that following stakeholder consultation and expert advice, the proposed installation of solar water heaters is no longer going to be undertaken. However, the text in the second paragraph on page 54, and the text at the end of paragraph 40 seems to suggest that the installation would still go ahead. In addition, solar heaters are still mentioned on pages 100, 128, and 137. If the former is true, then the proponent should remove all text related to the solar water heaters.</p> <p>CR2: Not addressed. The proposal has not provided information on what value addition will be done and to what products. Page 101 mentions drying of berries and wild herbs for the market. The proposal should explain these and other planned products that will be produced under the relevant section on value addition. It is also not clear whether there has been any consultation or expressed willingness to purchase by the mentioned end- users in the value chain, that is, the hotels, restaurants, recreational and food facilities.</p> <p>CR3: Addressed.</p>	<p>CR1: We have reviewed the text and excluded the “installation of solar water heaters”. We apologize for this editorial mistake.</p> <p>CR2: Please see text in red, pages 65-69. The output 2.4 title is changed and additional information on climate smart agricultural value chain has been added. Page 106-107, please find text in red.</p>
--	--	--	---	--

		<p>CR1: Please provide a clear adaptation benefit of the proposed installation of solar water supply systems for the public sector. see page 54</p> <p>CR2: Please provide detailed information on the value chains to be developed, including what products will be produced and the identified markets. Please describe how these value chains will be sustained. see page 65-66</p> <p>CR3: Please clarify how the proposed land monitoring system is related to the identified project activities and how it will be maintained, and by whom. see page 98.</p>		
--	--	---	--	--

<p>3. Does the project / programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>Partially. The proposal includes statistics on the demographics for each targeted community but does not include adequate gender considerations in the description of project benefits. The project includes activities related to creation of work and opening up access to pastures but does not describe related social and economic benefits of these and other concrete project activities. In addition, whilst the proposal identifies certain laws to safeguard gender equity and address gender imbalances, there is no clear description of how these will be applied in the context of the project.</p> <p>CR4: Please provide disaggregated information by gender on the number of beneficiaries mentioned in paragraph 56. see page 100 text in red.</p> <p>CR5: Please clarify how the welfare of the target community and other indirect beneficiaries will increase due to implementation of identified concrete project activities under social benefits. Please provide a quantitative description where possible. see page 100-102 text in red.</p> <p>CR6: The proposal states that “An economic cost benefit analysis would be conducted during project preparation” (page 97). Please clarify why the analysis has not been done and results included in the current proposal submission. see pages 100-</p>	<p>CR4: Addressed.</p> <p>CR5: Partially addressed. The proponent should provide clarification on the statement that “<i>A detailed quantification of these welfare benefits will be provided during project implementation</i>” (page 101) and clarify why these cannot be estimated at this stage. The proposal should also clarify whether there are other identified social benefits besides increased income.</p> <p>CR6: Not addressed. Page 102 mentions that “<i>the section on Economic cost benefit analysis was excluded for technical reasons</i>”. Please</p>	<p>CR5- Paragraph 57 under Social Benefits have been amended, pages 104-107 text in red.</p> <p>CR6- There was an editorial mistake in the previous version of the proposal. We have reviewed the section, see page 107-108.</p>
---	---	---	--

	102 text in red	clarify this assertion.	
--	-----------------	-------------------------	--

<p>4. Is the project / programme cost effective?</p>	<p>Unclear. Whilst the proposal describes the benefits of implementing each project component, it is not clear what the alternative activities that could have been implemented to achieve the same outcome could be, and in addition, no clear description of why the chosen components are least cost.</p> <p>CR7: Please provide a clear description of alternative options and explain how chosen project activities are more cost effective than an identified alternative that would achieve the same outcome. see pages 108-110 text in red.</p>	<p>CR7: Not addressed. The tracked changes provide information that does not provide an explanation of cost effectiveness.</p>	<p>CR7. See text in red pages 114-124</p>
<p>5. Is the project / programme consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications and adaptation programs of action and other relevant instruments?</p>	<p>Unclear: Whilst the proposal identifies relevant plans, strategies and policies, it is not clear what specific sections or content from these speak to or are relevant to the proposed project e.g. there is reference to mitigation.</p> <p>CR8: Please describe how the project is relevant to the identified national plans, strategies and policies. see page 117-119</p>	<p>CR8: Addressed.</p>	

<p>6. Does the project / programme meet the relevant national technical standards, where applicable, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund??</p>	<p>For the most part. However, the concrete activities such as upgrading of the road network to open up access to pastures will require significant work, and it is not clear what permits could be required, including any environmental and social impact assessment that may be required.</p> <p>A number of standards are listed, and the intent to comply with all relevant standards is stated. The list of relevant standards seems incomplete e.g., technical standards for roads are not mentioned but surely exist in Armenia, and these may be applicable to the 39.5 km of roads that the project will rehabilitate.</p> <p>It is not clear why the process to comply with the national 2014 EIA Law is only planned to be carried out during project implementation, while the environmental and social risks and impacts identification as per the ESP is a funding requirement for the AF. The proposal does not explain how national EIA law compliance would be achieved or what the requirements for compliance are for the project. In addition, it is not clear what steps have been taken to secure the necessary permits for water extraction, e.g., page 30 mentions that a water use license will be required, although there is no mention of this in the section on national technical standards.</p> <p>CR9: Please clarify (1) what all the relevant national technical standards are, and (2) how the project will comply with each one of these. see page 122</p> <p>CR10: Please clarify whether an environmental and social impact assessment would be required, or the timeline for completing such assessment for activities that have the potential to cause</p>	<p>CR9: Addressed.</p> <p>CR10: Partially addressed. The determination of the need for a national EIA will only be done during detailed design of the works. The timeline is not clear.</p>	<p>CR10: See text in red, page 132.</p>
---	---	---	---

	environmental or social harm. see page 122		
--	--	--	--

	7. Is there duplication of project / programme with other funding sources?	No.	
	8. Does the project / programme have a learning and knowledge management component to capture and feedback lessons?	Yes. The project will develop a knowledge management plan.	
	9. Has a consultative process taken place, and has it involved all key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups, including gender considerations in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	<p>Unclear. The proposal identifies stakeholders that were consulted but does not provide a clear description of the consultation techniques (tailored specifically per target group), nor the key consultation findings (in particular suggestions and concerns raised).</p> <p>CR11: Please describe the consultation techniques (tailored specifically per target group) and the key consultation findings (in particular suggestions and concerns raised). see pages 124-126</p>	CR11: Addressed.
	10. Is the requested financing justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning?	<p>Unclear. For Urtsadzor community the source of water for irrigation is envisaged to come from another project funded by the French Development Agency and co-funded by the Government of Armenia which will see the construction of a dam, the Vedi reservoir and ancillary structures and which is expected be completed in the year 2020. In addition, the project proposes to only introduce the drip irrigation system but not facilitate the availability of irrigation water to this community. This presents a significant risk to achievement of project outcomes</p>	

		<p>in the event that the dam construction is not completed or gets delayed.</p> <p>CR12: Please clarify how water supply for the Urtsadzor community will be ensured in order to realize project outcomes in the event that the planned dam construction project is not completed or is delayed. see page 27</p>	CR12: Addressed.
	11. Is the project / program aligned with AF's results framework?	<p>No. The project objective indicator on page 142 does not directly link to the project objective and is inconsistent with the project indicators stated in the project results framework on page 136.</p> <p>CR13: Please include a project objective indicator and identify relevant Adaptation Fund outcomes and indicators that the project aligns. Please provide gender disaggregated indicators and targets in the project results framework where possible. See also CR and CR below. See page 149</p>	CR13: Addressed.
	12. Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been taken into account when designing the project?	Yes.	
	13. Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified, in compliance with the Environmental and	<p>The table in section II.K with an overview of ESP risks has been completed. The identification of ESP risks for the project activities is incomplete, and the risks findings generally lack substantiation. Some examples of issues that have been identified:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The risks associated with the rehabilitation of 39.5 km of field roads - which includes 	

<p>Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>excavation, landfill, use of heavy equipment - in proximity of protected areas and in “natural ecosystems” are incompletely identified.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The location of the field roads that will be rehabilitated is shown on separate maps but their location with respect to the protected area is not clear. The maps of their location are of low resolution, have no scale and are not very informative (p. 38-41). This does not allow to appreciate the risk associated with the improvement and facilitation of access to areas that may be at risk of poaching or logging – the main challenges for the protected areas involved. • Output 1.5 entails application of fertilizers over sloping areas of in total nearly 14 km². No risk is identified for run-off into adjacent, probably oligotrophic natural habitats. <p>In addition, specific clarifications are sought on the following:</p> <p>CR14: Please clarify the sources of irrigation water for the project activities in Dilijan, and what the risks and impact of the extraction of the envisaged quantities of irrigation water may be. see pages 30, 41,51</p> <p>CR15: Marginalised and vulnerable groups have not been specifically identified or quantified beyond generic terms such as women, young, elderly and poor. Please clarify who the marginalised and vulnerable groups are and how they may be disproportionately experiencing adverse impacts of the project. see page 124-126</p>	<p>See text in red pages 30-31.</p> <p>CR14: Partially addressed. The sources of irrigation water are listed on p. 30 – ‘small springs’, not used for drinking water. The risks and impact of irrigation water extraction are not clarified.</p>	<p>CR14- See text in red pages 30-31.</p>
---	---	---	---

	<p>CR16: The risk identification for ESP principle Gender equality and women’s empowerment is said to be subject to further assessment (table on p. 122), which is contradicted in para 72 (“naturally there is no need for further assessment.”). In line with the ESP, which requires comprehensive risk identification prior to funding approval, please clarify what the risks are, based on evidence. In addition, please clarify if an initial gender assessment was carried out and, the case being, what the outcome is. see page 133 text in red.</p> <p>CR17: The principle of Core labour rights is also said to need further assessment, which is required prior to project approval. Please fully clarify the risks, with particular attention to the risk of child labour. see p-121</p> <p>CR18: The risks identification for the principle on biodiversity only refers to positive project outcomes but does not identify relevant risks. Please clarify what the risks are to conservation of biological diversity.</p> <p>CR19: The Khosrov Forest State Reserve is widely known for its rich cultural heritage. The map referred to on p. 131 outlining cultural heritage sites and the project location is missing. Please include a map or other substantiating information. p.131</p> <p>As the sizes of the maps are big, we are going to send the maps in separate mail.</p>	<p>CR 15: Partially addressed. The marginalised and vulnerable groups are not really identified beyond the categories already mentioned earlier, albeit that the proposal now includes quantitative information on these groups. No further information is included on how marginalised and vulnerable groups may be disproportionately at risk of negative impacts.</p> <p>CR 16: Not adequately addressed. The additional information provided defers assessments to the implementation phase, which is not consistent with the ESP or GP.</p> <p>CR 17: Not addressed. CR 18: Not addressed.</p> <p>CR 19: Not adequately addressed. The maps provided do not include information on cultural</p>	<p>CR 15- see page 142-143 in the table Marginalized and vulnerable groups column, text in red. See Page 153, in the table Marginalized and vulnerable groups column.</p> <p>CR16- See page 143, in the table: Gender Equity and Women’s empowerment column and the same column at page 154.</p> <p>CR 17- The entry in front of the <i>Core Labour Rights</i> in the table under section <i>K</i> is amended and moved to its correct position. Corresponding explanations have been added under the table in paragraph 77, see text in red, pages 144-145</p> <p>CR 18- See page 155 in the table section of conservation of biological biodiversity.</p> <p>CR 19- see page 156 in the table section of physical and cultural heritage</p>
--	--	--	--

		heritage and its location with respect to the project interventions.	
--	--	--	--

Resource Availability	1. Is the requested project / programme funding within the cap of the country?		
	2. Is the Implementing Entity Management Fee at or below 8.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget before the fee?	Yes. The fee is 1.5%	The updated figure based on IE fee is 8.36%.
	3. Are the Project/Programme Execution Costs at or below 9.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget (including the fee)?	<p>Unclear. As the IE intends to also act as the EE, the execution costs are capped at 1.5% of the total budget requested, before the implementing entity fees. The execution costs in the proposal exceed 1.5%. The execution costs and management fee indicated on pages 21 and 22 of the proposal seem to be reversed.</p> <p>CAR1: Please provide consistency throughout the proposal document in the articulation of execution costs that do not exceed 1.5% of the total budget requested, before the implementing entity fees. see page 22</p>	<p>CAR1: Not addressed. The project execution cost exceeds 1.5%. Changes are on page 22. Detailed budget on page 175. 176-178</p>
Eligibility of IE	4. Is the project/programme submitted through an eligible Implementing Entity that has been accredited by the Board?	Yes.	

Implementation Arrangements	1. Is there adequate arrangement for project / programme management, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?	<p>Unclear. The distinction between project management function and execution function is not clear. The implementation arrangements do not reflect gender responsiveness.</p> <p>CR20: Please clearly describe the proposed gender responsive execution services that will be executed by the implementing entity, including reflecting such services in the execution costs budget of the project. Social and Gender risk assessment will be implemented by specialist. see page 157</p>	CR20: Not addressed. There is no reference made	<p>CR20: In the section “A. Describe the arrangements for project / programme implementation” of PART III: Implementation arrangements, amendments have been made to paragraphs 77 (text in red) and 79, and a paragraph 80 was added.</p>
	2. Are there measures for financial and project/programme risk management?	<p>Partially. The proposed mitigation measure to the risk “Project outcomes, including properties such as, greenhouses, dryers, solar heaters, etc. are not well protected” is not satisfactory as it simply states that the community will protect its equipment. In addition, it has been established that some project activities e.g. road construction or rehabilitation and water extraction may require obtaining relevant permits, the failure to secure such permits or authorization poses a risk to the project which has not been included.</p> <p>CR21: Please identify all risks including an appropriate plan for monitoring and mitigating them.</p>	CR21: Not addressed	<p>CR21- The table involved under the section “B. Describe the measures for financial and project / programme risk management” was amended. See the amendments in red pages 149-151.</p>

<p>3. Are there measures in place for the management of environmental and social risks, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>Partially. The table on p. 128 contains additional risk identification information, some of which supplements but also contradicts information provided in the designated Section II.K. That information is out of place in Section III.C which should list measures for ESP risks management.</p> <p>CR22: Please consolidate the risks finding table of section II.K with relevant information from the table of III.C. In that table, please consolidate only risks management measures, including those currently presented separately in the ESMP.</p> <p>The proposal contains a generic ESMP that lacks several essential elements. The measures proposed in the ESMP are insufficiently or not linked to the risks and impact assessment findings. Some of the risks that have been identified and require management are not addressed in the ESMP.</p> <p>CR23: Please clarify how the ESMP measures are a response to risks and possible impacts that have been identified.</p> <p>Representatives of the management authorities of Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National Park were involved in the formulation of the project but seem to have no role during implementation or in the compliance with the ESP.</p> <p>CR24: Please clarify the role of the management authorities of Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National Park during implementation. see page129</p> <p>The IE will also be EE for the project but ensuring compliance with the ESP and the GP are not mentioned in the implementation services that EPIU will provide (p. 125).</p> <p>CR25: Please clarify how EPIU will perform the roles of both IE and EE in complying with the ESP and the GP. The grievance mechanism (p.126, para 75) is said to be organised externally, which is contradicted on p. 131. p.134. See page 136.</p> <p>CR26: Please clarify the grievance mechanism, ensuring that there are no access restrictions and that grievances may also be addressed directly to the AFB Secretariat at the address mentioned in the ESP (para 34).</p>	<p>CR 22: Not addressed.</p> <p>CR 23: Not addressed. Page 159</p> <p>CR 24: Partially addressed. The added information in the section on stakeholders identification (p. 129) explains that the management authorities will participate in the supervision of the project activities. On the other hand, there is no further information on what this entails, and their role in project implementation arrangements is not clarified.</p> <p>CR 25: Not addressed.</p> <p>CR 26: Not addressed.</p>	<p>CR 22: The section K is amended, relevant information has been added, see pages 142-145.</p> <p>CR 23: Environmental and Social management measures are demonstrated on section C, page 152. ESMP is from pages 158-161.</p> <p>CR 24: The roles of management authorities of Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National Park are demonstrated on page 140(text in red), and on pages 158-159.</p> <p>CR25: See page 147, paragraph under the organigram which explains the role of EPIU as IE and EE.</p> <p>CR 26: Grievance mechanism is outlined in paragraph 81 on page 148, and in the ESMP page 160-161</p>
---	---	--	--

	4. Is a budget on the Implementing Entity Management Fee use included?	Yes.	
	5. Is an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs included?	Partially. The execution services have not been clearly described. A budget for the execution costs has been included. See CR20.	
	6. Is a detailed budget including budget notes included?	Yes.	
	7. Are arrangements for monitoring and evaluation clearly defined, including budgeted M&E plans and sex- disaggregated data, targets and indicators, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?	<p>Partially. Whilst a budget for monitoring and evaluation has been included, it is not clear whether specific gender targets or gender- responsive outcomes will be monitored and reported. It is also not clear whether arrangements for gender responsive stakeholder consultation during project implementation has been included in the proposed monitoring and evaluation arrangements.</p> <p>CR27: Please clarify whether any specific gender targets or gender-responsive outcomes will be monitored and the plans for gender responsive stakeholder consultation during project implementation. see page 147</p>	CR27: Addressed.
	8. Does the M&E Framework include a break-down of how implementing entity IE fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&E function?	Yes.	

<p>9. Does the project/programme's results framework align with the AF's results framework? Does it include at least one core outcome indicator from the Fund's results framework?</p>	<p>No. The project objective indicators and outcome indicators stated in the project results framework and the table on page 142 are inconsistent. In addition, no budget is indicated in the table.</p> <p>In addition, the project results framework does not include any baseline data, and it is recommended that the proponent collect baseline data immediately once the project starts and to complete the baseline before obtaining any results for project activities.</p> <p>CR28: Please provide consistent indicators for the project outcomes and align with the Adaptation Fund results framework. see page 149</p> <p>CR29: Please explain the inconsistencies in the proposal which targets equal participation of men and women (page 24) and has some project aspects targeting 50% women but the target percentage of women beneficiaries in the project results framework, including in the ESP principle on gender equity and women's empowerment is 40%? see page 149</p> <p>CR30: Subsequent to addressing the above, please align the project results framework to the Adaptation fund's results framework. see page 149</p>	<p>CR28: Addressed.</p> <p>CR29: Mostly addressed. Please confirm if the end of project target under component 3 on page 152 is as stated "350%"?</p> <p>CR30: Addressed.</p>	<p>CR29: The 350% is a typo, we have corrected it, see page 167.</p>
<p>10. Is a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones included?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>		

Technical Summary

The project aims to reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest” and “Dilijan” National Park by strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector and reinforcing their institutional and planning capacity for climate change adaptation. This includes implementing community based, climate smart agricultural practices, strengthening value chains for climate smart agriculture and enhancing awareness, planning, monitoring and decision-making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and land degradation neutrality in the target communities.

The initial technical review found that the project execution costs exceeded the cap of 1.5% for entities that propose a dual role of IE and EE. The proposal was unclear on the relevance of some of the concrete project activities, on gender mainstreaming and the assessment and proposed management of environmental and social risks and gender related issues. In addition, the proposal did not provide an adequate cost effectiveness analysis and a clear alignment with the Adaptation Fund results framework.

The final review finds that the project still exceeds the cap for execution costs and that most of the clarification requests remain unanswered, particularly on gender mainstreaming and the assessment and proposed management of environmental and social risks and gender related issues. In addition, the proposal does not provide an adequate cost effectiveness analysis.

The following observations are made, to be addressed by the proponent:

- a) Please provide execution costs that do not exceed 1.5% of the total budget requested, before the implementing entity fees.
- b) Please clarify if solar water heaters are going to be installed in public buildings.
- c) Please clarify what value addition will be done and to what products.
- d) Please clarify why some social benefits cannot be described and estimated at the full proposal stage and an explanation of why the cost-benefit analysis mentioned in the proposal was excluded.
- e) Please provide a clear analysis of project cost effectiveness.
- f) Please provide an adequate identification of environmental and social impacts / risks including measures for their management in line with the Fund’s Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy, and also clarify

	how gender is mainstreamed under project implementation arrangements,
	<p>particularly addressing the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) Provide a clear timeline for the environmental impact assessment (ii) Clarify the risks and impact of irrigation water extraction (iii) Provide information on how marginalised and vulnerable groups may be disproportionately at risk of negative environmental impacts. (iv) clarify the risks triggered by the ESP principle on core labour rights, with particular attention to the risk of child labour and identify relevant risks related to the conservation of biological diversity as triggered by the ESP principle on biodiversity (v) Provide information to substantiate provided information on cultural heritage
Date:	13 September 2018



ADAPTATION FUND

REQUEST FOR PROJECT/PROGRAMME FUNDING FROM THE ADAPTATION FUND

The annexed form should be completed and transmitted to the Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat by email or fax.

Please type in the responses using the template provided. The instructions attached to the form provide guidance to filling out the template.

Please note that a project/programme must be fully prepared (i.e., fully appraised for feasibility) when the request is submitted. The final project/programme document resulting from the appraisal process should be attached to this request for funding.

Complete documentation should be sent to:

The Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
1818 H Street NW
MSN P4-400
Washington, D.C., 20433
U.S.A
Fax: +1 (202) 522-3240/5
Email: afbsec@adaptation-fund.org



PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL TO THE ADAPTATION FUND

PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION

Project/Programme Category:	Regular project
Country/ies:	Armenia
Title of Project/Programme:	Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia
Type of Implementing Entity:	NIE
Implementing Entity:	“Environmental project implementation unit” SA
Executing Entity/ies:	Ministry of Nature Protection of RA
Amount of Financing Requested:	2 506 000 (in U.S Dollars Equivalent)

Project / Programme Background and Context:

Provide brief information on the problem the proposed project/ programme is aiming to solve. Outline the economic social, development and environmental context in which the project would operate.

Introduction

1. Due to its climate and pronounced location in the South Caucasus with a mountainous landscape, fragile ecosystems and a vulnerable, agricultural based economy, the compounding effects of climate change and land degradation particularly affect livelihoods and economies of Armenia and its approximately 3 million inhabitants. In fact, climate trends over the previous 90 years have already indicated a significant warming trend. The summer season has become dryer and the number of extreme events, like hailstorms, has increased. Different climate change scenarios predict that this trend will continue to increase and substantially affect the marginal production areas. Crop and livestock production has already decreased in some areas, and if no additional climate adaptation measures were taken, will continue to decrease.
2. Notably areas and communities adjacent to protected areas and forests – like Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National Park - are vulnerable due to a persistent pressure on the remaining land and pasture resources, weak rural infrastructure and the lack of alternative income opportunities. The existing capacity to adapt to a changing climate and its increasing impacts on the rural livelihoods and their production systems is low, calling for concerted efforts to addresses the compounding challenges of land degradation and climate change impacts on rural livelihoods.



Country Context

3. The Republic of Armenia is a mountainous, landlocked country in the Southern Caucasus region neighboring Azerbaijan (East), Georgia (North), the Islamic Republic of Iran (South) and Turkey (West). The majority of its territory (76.5%) is situated on the altitudes of 1000-2500 m above sea level with the lowest point at 800m in the Ararat Valley and the highest point being Mount Aragats with 4090m. The country has an area of some 30,000 km² and a

population of approximately 3 million. The climate is continental, with hot summers and cold winters. Landscapes are mainly plateaus and mountain ranges separating narrow plains.

4. The Republic of Armenia is a lower middle-income country that went through a transition to a market based economy and parliamentary system since independence from the Soviet Union in 1991. Its economy is dominated by extractive industries and agriculture with Yerevan as its economic hub and capital city. Armenia has a per capita Gross National Income (GNI) of US\$ 3770¹ and a poverty headcount ratio at national poverty lines of 29.8%; It ranked 85th in the 2015 UNDP Human Development Index.
5. Despite economic progress over the last decade, disparities between women and men remain salient in Armenia, especially in dimensions that are powerfully influenced by social norms. In domains like education and health, gender equality in outcomes in Armenia are broadly comparable with those in Europe and Central Asia and better than those of lower-middle-income countries globally. However, barriers to women's access to economic opportunities persist and gender inequalities are manifest in demographic imbalances, and underrepresentation in leadership roles. Concerted policy efforts are required to close gender gaps that hamper growth of the overall economy.
6. Social norms and patriarchy continue to place barriers to economic participation by women, causing both a misallocation and underutilization of women's human capital. These barriers are manifest in occupational segregation of women, a gendered concentration in particular fields of study in tertiary education, a dip in female labor force participation during the childbearing years, and the underrepresentation of women among political leaders and entrepreneurs. Gender-selection of births in favor of boys also has far reaching demographic and economic effects. As Armenia strives to grow and become competitive in the global market, effectively addressing these gender gaps can bring tangible benefits to the country as a whole.
7. Agriculture has traditionally been the backbone of Armenia's economy; While its GDP contribution declined from 26% in 2000 to 18% in 2016 (World Bank, 2018²), the agriculture sector provides with 44.2% still the majority of the total employment (World Bank, 2018). Much of Armenia's population is poor and highly vulnerable to any event that affects the agricultural sector (Ahouissoussi et al., 2014³). The share of women engaged in informal employment in agriculture is about 82.1 % compared to 60.8% of informal workers in agriculture being men; There is a significant gender pay gap in agriculture with women average wages of approximately 65.9% of men's average wages (FAO, 2017⁴). Women head about 26.5 % of rural households, whereas most of the land is registered and managed by men limiting women's access to land. Women have less access to build their knowledge on agricultural technical knowledge and face barriers to participating in trainings.
 - Women's low levels of agricultural education mean that they are far less likely to hold decision making and management positions in agricultural spheres. Therefore, women's voices in and knowledge about agriculture are missing in policy development. This also

¹ Current US\$; Atlas method (World Bank, 2018: <https://data.worldbank.org/country/armenia>, accessed January 2018)

² World Bank, 2018: <https://data.worldbank.org/country/armenia>, accessed January 2018

³ ibid

⁴ FAO, 2017: Gender, Agriculture and Rural Development in Armenia; Budapest

- constrains innovation in the value chain, which is subsequently reflected across multiple dimensions in food security and nutrition.
- Women’s participation in training, and knowledge and information sharing, in agriculture and rural development is low. The reasons for their low participation in extension services’ training include:
 - Male-dominated channels of communication that control the flow of information and fail to reach and mobilize women farmers;
 - The identification of men as “heads of households and holdings”: as a result, women tend to be seen as “wives of farmers” instead of farmers in their own right;
 - Stereotypical linkages between machinery, technology and men;
 - Land registered in the name of men as “heads of households”;
 - Women’s reduced self-confidence in areas outside those that are socially attributed to them based on gender roles and stereotypes; ix
 - The location of training and meetings: women have limited access to means of transportation, some locations discourage women’s attendance and some women may be expected to ask for permission from their husbands to attend.
8. The country’s agricultural sector is mainly geared toward subsistence farming, but surplus production is marketed. Currently, the sector does not meet Armenia’s food needs and is still reliant on government subsidies. The household farms sector, which includes a large number of peasant farms, but also includes rural and urban household farming and gardening companies, produces over 90 % of agricultural output (Ahouissoussi et al, 2014⁵). The prevailing farming system is mixed farming, where crops and livestock are equally important and in some regions, either crops or livestock could be dominant. It should be noted that given the spatial variability of soils and climate, and access to water, many areas of Armenia outside of the lower-elevation areas are unsuitable for high-value vegetable production and produce more resilient, less input-intensive crops such as wheat, maize and forage in the more mountainous areas (Ahouissoussi et al., 2014⁶).
9. Armenia hosts exceptionally rich and globally significant biodiversity. 11.2% of the country’s territory is covered with forests. Due to intensive nature use the level of anthropogenic changes of natural landscapes in Armenia is high. Overexploitation has resulted in pollution and reduction of wild biodiversity, loss of habitats of certain species and changes in the services provided by ecosystems. Currently 3 reserves, 4 national parks and 27 sanctuaries have been established in the Republic of Armenia, restricting the use of natural resources by the residents of surrounding communities. Residents of communities living near specially protected natural areas have limited possibilities to use of land and water resources, and as a result anthropogenic and natural pressure to natural ecosystems near communities significantly increases. Under these conditions, degradation of natural ecosystems adjacent to communities is progressing gradually reducing the capacity to adapt to climate change. Progressing climate change conditions (increase of temperature, decrease of precipitation, flood and hail frequency) reduce agricultural productivity.

⁵ Ahouissoussi, Nicolas, James E. Neumann, Jitendra P. Srivastava, Brent Boehlert and Steven Sharrow. 2014. *Reducing Vulnerability of Armenia’s Agricultural Systems to Climate Change*. World Bank Studies. Washington, DC: World Bank. doi: 10.1596/978-1-4648-0147-1

⁶ ibid

10. Land degradation is a driver of vulnerability to climate change and, through the loss of soil organic carbon, contributing to climate change. Land degradation and the diminishing capacity of agro-ecological systems to adapt to climate change are closely related. The 2015 “National Strategy and Action Program to Combat Desertification in the Republic of Armenia” recognizes natural and anthropogenic desertification factors. Natural factors include: droughts that are frequent in the Ararat valley and some areas of Vayots Dzor and Syunik regions; Sandstorms are frequently observed in Ararat valley, Vayots Dzor and Syunik regions; Moisture deficit caused by unequal distribution of seasonal and regional rainfall, landslides and floods as well as salinization. Anthropogenic factors include: Urban development, agriculture practices, absence or inappropriate application of crop rotation techniques, ineffective use of irrigation water and nutrients, overgrazing of pastures; Road construction; Illegal logging and soil contamination. Nearly half of the cropland and forest-land are affected by water erosion (220,000ha and 186200ha respectively), while approximately 170,000 ha are affected by overgrazing. Armenia has set in its **Land Degradation Neutrality**⁷ National Strategy voluntary and ambitious targets to achieve land degradation neutrality, a process to which this project is contributing. It is estimated that interventions on 407.5 km² are require with an investment need of US\$ 210 million until 2040.

Climate and projected climate change impacts

11. Armenia has a highland continental climate with hot summers and cold winters. The mean temperature in Armenia is 5.5°C, with the hottest regions being the Ararat Valley with an average 12 to 14°C. Summers are warm with a mean temperature of 16 to 17°C; however, the hottest regions typically have a high around 24 to 26°C, and extremes there can reach 38 to 40°C (FAO, 2008). Average winter temperatures are approximately -7°C. On average, Armenia receives 592 mm of rainfall annually, but levels vary significantly by region. In the Ararat Valley and Meghri region, annual precipitation is only about 200 to 250 millimeters, while some mountainous regions can receive as much as 1,000mm each year.

Table 1. Annual mean temperature and precipitation changes in 1929-2012 changes relative to the 1961-1990 average (Yerevan)

<i>Time period</i>	<i>Air temperature, °C</i>	<i>Time period</i>	<i>Precipitation, mm (%)</i>
1929-1996	+0.4	1935-1996	-35(-6)
1929-2007	+0.85	1935-2007	-41 (-7)
1929-2012	+1.03	1935-2012	-59 (-10)

12. Long-term trends over the previous nearly 90 years indicate a change in annual ambient temperature and precipitation in Armenia for various time periods. These results show that, in recent decades, there has been a significant temperature increase (table 1; figure 1). In the period of 1929-1996, the annual mean temperature increased by 0.40C and in the period from 1929-2012by 1.030C. The spatial distribution of changes in precipitation amounts is fairly irregular. Over various seasons of the year ambient air temperature changes exhibit different

⁷ United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) defines land degradation neutrality as: “a state whereby the amount and quality of land resources necessary to support ecosystem functions and services and enhance food security remain stable or increase within specified temporal and spatial scales and ecosystems”

trends. Extremely hot summers have been observed over the last 17 years (1998, 2000, 2006, 2010) (figure 1). The comparison of changes in the assessment of precipitation amounts for different periods demonstrates that precipitation continues to decline. Observations showed that, in 1935-1996, there was a 6% decrease in annual precipitation, while in 1935-2012 it was close to a 10% decline (figure 2). The spatial distribution of changes in precipitation amounts is fairly irregular. Over the last 80 years, the climate in the northeastern and central (Ararat Valley) regions of the country has turned arid, while precipitation has increased in the southern and northwestern regions, as well as in the western part of the Lake Sevan basin.




Figure 1. Deviations of average annual air temperature in the territory of Armenia from the average values for 1961-1990




Figure 2. Deviation of annual average precipitation in the territory of Armenia from the average of 1961 -1990

13. In recent decades, the frequency and intensity of natural disasters has increased both in Armenia impacting economy and human lives. Extreme events (hail, frost, strong winds, heavy rainfall, floods, droughts, heat waves) may be contributing to the generation of natural calamities (or their escalation), such as landslides, avalanches, mudflows, forest wildfires, rock-falls, outbreaks of infectious diseases, etc. Between 1980-2012 most hazard events (frost,

hail, strong winds, and heavy precipitation) were observed in 2004 (245). The amount of hail is greatest in Shirak valley; heavy precipitation is most common in Tashir and Ijevan regions; more frost events are observed in Ararat Valley and pre-mountainous regions. The number of frost events has increased significantly as the annual mean temperature increase in Ararat Valley mostly occurs in March, which triggers the earlier start of vegetation; the sharp temperature fall in April consequently increases the frequency of frost events. Also the number of days with heavy precipitation and hail has increased. This is due to the higher frequency of penetration of high cyclones generating heavy rain and hail clouds.

14. Ex ante climate change scenarios have been studied for Armenia in line with the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) recommended RCP8.5 and RCP6.0 scenarios for CO₂ emissions. Therefore, as per the RCP6.0 scenario CO₂ concentration is estimated at 670ppm by 2100 and at 936ppm according to the RCP8.5 scenario. Accordingly forecasts for the year 2100 for ambient air temperature and rainfall indicate that the temperature continues to increase in all seasons of the year (table 2). However, according to the RCP8.5 scenario, starting from the mid-21th century (2041-2100) the temperature will rise at a more rapid rate. It is very likely that by 2100 the average annual temperature in Armenia will be 10.20C, which exceeds the baseline (1961-1990) by 4.7 0C. Figure 2 presents spatial distribution maps for annual mean temperature for the 1961-1990 baseline and projections for 2071-2100. Increased temperature in mountainous regions demonstrates an apparent retreat in negative temperatures (figure 2). For example in 2100 annual mean negative temperatures will be maintained only in the highlands of Aragats, Geghama, and the Zangezur mountains. In general, seasonal and annual temperature and precipitation change trends are similar. It should be noted that maximum temperature increase is observed during the summer.

Table 2. Projected changes in annual and seasonal average temperatures in the territory of Armenia compared to the average for 1961-1990, °C

<i>Seasons</i>	<i>1961-1990 average</i>	<i>Scenarios</i>	<i>2011-2040</i>	<i>2041-2070</i>	<i>2071-2100</i>
Winter	-5.3	RCP, 6.0	1.4	2.6	3.6
		RCP, 8.5	1.7	2.8	4.4
Spring	4.3	RCP, 6.0	1.3	2.4	2.7
		RCP, 8.5	1.4	2.7	3.9
Summer	15.7	RCP, 6.0	1.9	3.0	3.8
		RCP, 8.5	2.1	4.0	6.0
Autumn	7.2	RCP, 6.0	0.8	2.3	3.0
		RCP, 8.5	1.4	3.2	4.4
Year	5.5	RCP, 6.0	1.3	2.6	3.3
		RCP, 8.5	1.7	3.2	4.7

15. Although the results of different climate change models reproduce changes in temperature fairly well, there are large uncertainties in terms of precipitation. According to the RCP8.5 and RCP6.0 scenarios for the summer months there is an expected significant decrease in precipitation in all 3 periods in 2011-2040 summer precipitation is expected to decrease by about 23% compared to the baseline (1961-1990) period. The distribution of annual precipitation in Armenia will not undergo significant change; According to the model

projections, summers will become drier and hotter, leading to a variety of problems in water resources, agriculture, energy, healthcare and other sectors.




Figure 2. Distribution of annual average temperature in Armenia in (a) 1961-1990 and (b) projections for 2071-2100, RCP 8.5 scenario




Figure 3. Distribution of annual average precipitation (mm) in Armenia in (a) 1961-1990 and (b) projections for 2071-2100, RCP 8.5 scenario

16. *Potential climate change impacts on different economic sectors.* Climate change is likely to impact different sectors notably the water, agriculture, forestry and livestock, health sector in numerous ways, with substantial impacts on the different sectors of Armenia's economy.

This has implications for women in rural areas, who take on the main responsibility for domestic activities and are major users of water. When water is restricted or centralized availability is non-existent, women then are mainly responsible for fetching water, adding time and labor burden to women and decreasing their ability to participate in economic, educational, caretaking, and leisure

activities. From the national statistic service in Armenia: rural women spend 6 hours 6 minutes per day, from Monday to Sunday, on their domestic workload, while urban women spend 4 hours and 53 minutes and rural men spend 2 hours and 37 minutes.

Without implementing adaptation measures as part of the core development policy, strategies and plans, these consequences are likely to be significantly exacerbated over time. Table 3 summarizes the potential impacts of the main climate change effects and drivers.

- i. Agriculture:* Climate change may lead to a shift of agro-climatic zones, notably in the mountainous areas as well as agricultural land, pasture and grassland degradation. It is expected that that the climate impacts on precipitation and temperature (increased evapotranspiration) has impacts in increased soil salinity and reduction in crop and forage yields. The increased frost risk may particularly have impacts on horticulture and tree crop production;
- ii. Forestry:* In case of projected climate change scenarios the lower mountain belt forests (550-1200m) will be most vulnerable, where the conditions for forest growth will be sharply worsened. According to expert assessments (without adaptation measures), about 17,000 ha forests will disappear. Based on the biological peculiarities of leaf-eating insects, it can be assumed that the massive development of the area will be expanded by more than 2-fold and will reach 70-75000ha. Increase in forest fire intensity is also expected.
- iii. Natural ecosystems:* Boundaries of landscape zones are predicted to shift upward mountainous profile 200-300m. The surface of the desert-semi-desert belt will expand by 33%, and the surface of the steppe zone by 4%. The surface of subalpine belt will be reduced by 21%, while the alpine belt by 22%. In case of increasing temperature and falling precipitation projections, desertification processes are expected to accelerate. The total area of the pastures and their yield will be reduced by 4-10%, including the most valuable and yielding pasture areas of the subalpine and alpine zones by 19-22%. In this regard, it is expected that 30% and cattle breeding -by 28-33% will reduce the livestock.
- iv. Health:* Higher temperatures and the penetration of hot currents can contribute to the deterioration of people's health, especially among elderly and children. Because of the direct impact of climate change (heat waves, thermal islands), the rate of increase in cardiovascular diseases will rise. Indirect effects will be expressed by the increase in epidemic and seasonal infections, as well as by the increase in the frequency and spread of diseases associated with inadequate supply of clean water and food safety. Since 1994 a trend of frequent imported malaria cases is observed. In Armenia from 1998-2001 due to high summer temperatures the largest number of malaria cases was recorded. Raising temperatures and prolonging of warm and hot periods will also contribute to the spread and increase in intestinal infections.

Table 3. Potential climate change impacts in Armenia

Climate change effects	Potential Impacts
Overall increased temperatures - Reduction of negative temperatures	- Increase in evapotranspiration; - Earlier snow melts;

Climate change effects	Potential Impacts
in mountainous areas; - Higher peak temperatures in summer;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increased salinization of ground water resources; - Increased agricultural water stress in summer season with increased demands for irrigation; - Decreased crop productivity (crop yields), particularly heat intolerant crops (perennial, annual crops) - Decreased of forage production due to the early arrival of spring and increase in temperatures; - Decreased livestock productivity due to impacts on heat intolerant livestock species; - Higher energy consumption for air conditioning, cooling, pumping of water, etc; - Decreased forest cover and vegetation shift to dryer steppe type ecosystems; - Stressed aquatic ecosystems; - Increased health risks due to heat waves and air pollution; and increased malaria risks due to higher temperatures;
Decrease in precipitation during summer - Dryer and warmer summers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increased agricultural water stress with increased demands for irrigation; reduced water availability; - Reduced growing season and decreased agricultural productivity, impacts on drought intolerant crops; - Decreased of forage production due to limited water availability and reduced growing season; - Increased water demand for livestock; - Impacts on aquatic ecosystems and shift of ecosystems; - Decreased forest cover and shift of forest ecosystems;
Potentially marginal increase in precipitation in mountainous regions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Potential increase in run-off and increased flood risk - Changing patterns in mountainous ecosystems;
More extreme weather and climate events <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - droughts - floods - hail storms - frost event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Increase of frost events due to an earlier start of the crop growing season and potential sharp falls in temperature after the start of the growing season (e.g. April); - Increased number of days with heavy precipitation and hail due to the higher frequency of penetration of high cyclones generating heavy rain and hail clouds; - Increased peak run-offs in rivers leading - Increased erosion and land slide risk;

Priority areas for climate change adaptation

17. Armenia is affected by the compounding effect of climate change and land degradation and its impact on livelihoods and local economies. The project focuses therefore on the two hotspots of land and forest degradation and will address the intertwined issues related to climate change adaptation, land degradation and bio-diversity. Communities adjacent to protected areas and forest reserves are hotspots for land degradation and their rural livelihoods and

production systems thus particularly vulnerable to climate change impacts due to resource overexploitation and limited alternative income opportunities.

18. The project will therefore focus on areas adjacent to two remaining and protected forest areas: **Khosrov Forest State Reserve** in the Ararat Marz in south western Armenia (south east of the capital Yerevan) and **Dilijan National Park** in Tavush Marz in north-eastern Armenia. While the two protected sites are protected natural ecosystems, the adjacent communities are facing high rates of poverty and resource constraint livelihoods with limited capabilities to address land degradation, sustainably manage bio-diversity of the region and adapt the production systems and communities to the impacts of climate change. More specifically the project will target the **Urtsadzor community** located on the foothills of the western part of the Ararat valley close to Khosrov Forest State Reserve and **Dilijan, Margahovit and Fioletovo communities** located in the vicinity of the Dilijan National Park. "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park and their adjacent ecosystems are important migratory routes for the main species registered in the Red Book of Armenia and the involvement of communities in the management of routes will significantly improve the efficiency of species conservation.
 - i.* "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve occupies a territory of 23,359 ha. Reserve area is isolated from the basic infrastructure and only from south- west it borders the densely populated Ararat valley. The area is characterized by unique semidesert, phryganoid, sparse forest mountain-steppe landscape symbioses. Intrazonal wetland ecosystems are also represented in the area of the reserve along the riverbanks, as well as in vicinities of Mankuq and Gyolaysor dwellings. 1948 species of vascular plants and 1783 species of animals of which 1500 species of invertebrates and 283 species of vertebrates are preserved in the reserve.
 - ii.* "Dilijan" National Park occupies a territory of 33,765 ha typically covered with forests. Dilijan National Park is a unique site of Armenia's wildlife, which stands out by the wealth of original biodiversity, mesophile woodlands, separate ecosystems of scientific, educational and economic interest, as well as by its patrimonial, environmental, cognitive, curative and recreational assets. 1200 species of vascular plants and 1660 species of animals of which 1431 invertebrates and 229 species of vertebrates are preserved in the area.
19. Community adjacent to Khosrov Forest State Reserve - Urtsadzor community is located in the foothills of the western part of Ararat valley and consists of three rural settlements Urtsadzor (3320 inhabitants), Lanjanist (175 inhabitants) and Shaghap (1030 inhabitants). The combined population of 4525 inhabitants in 2017 (approximately 1000 households) is mainly engaged in cattle breeding, plant cultivation and fruit growing. Table 4 provides an overview of the main characteristics of the community. Farmers are engaged in horticulture, cattle breeding, crop production, vegetable growing, beekeeping and fodder production.
 - i.* The summers are warm, and the winters are moderately cold. Winters begin mid-December, average January temperature ranges from -3 to -5 ° C. Summer is long, from May to October, the average monthly temperature of the air reaches 24 to 26 ° C and maximum

39-40 ° C. Often heats with strong winds are observed that are causing considerable damage to agriculture. The annual precipitation is 250-300 mm. The rivers belong to the Caspian basin (Arax River).

- ii.* Natural landscapes are semi-deserts that have been transformed into a cultivated-irrigated landscape. From the agro-climatic point of view, the community lies in the absolute irrigation zone as the average annual precipitation does not exceed 32-36 mm in summer.
- iii.* The arable land in the community administrative area is 758ha, remote pastures are 550ha, community pastures 7767ha, perennial herbs 121ha, gardens 40ha and 163ha of land plots. In 1991, during the privatization of the lands in the country the size of one plot of land privatized in the community settlements made 0.45 ha. Crop production in the community is possible only with irrigation. On average between 2013 and 2017 250-300ha of fall wheat was produced, 40-60ha of spring barley, 40ha of cigarettes and 70ha of vegetables and melons.
- iv.* As of 2017 data, the community residents keep 3299 heads of cattle and 3760 heads of small cattle, 90 pigs and hens. Compared to 2013, the number of cattle decreased slightly. The average milk yield of one 1 cow decreased by 300 liters reaching from 2000 liters to 1700 liters.
- v.* Since the crops are cultivated only in irrigated areas of the community, their yield is mainly conditioned by the quantity of irrigation water supplied and natural hazards. The analysis of the collected data shows that during the previous 5 years no increase has been observed in harvesting, though the farmers have maintained the rules of cultivation of agricultural machinery. This is mainly due to the lack of irrigation water and efficiency of the deteriorated irrigation system, where 80% of water losses occur in the primary and secondary irrigation channels. Irrigation is done openly (irrigation dykes), the majority of which are disturbed and large water losses (see photo). Another factor is frequent hails, spring frosts, high summer temperatures, hot winds.
- vi.* Residents of rural communities live in socially unfavorable conditions. About 45% of the total annual income is received from salaries, 10% from farming, 5% from livestock, 33% from other sources (pensions, allowances, transfers from other countries, etc.).



20. Communities adjacent to Dilijan National Park – Dilijan, Margahovit and Fioletovo communities are located in the south-western part of Tavush Marz. Dilijan community was established in 2016 and comprises Haghartsin, Aghavnavanq, Gosh, Khachardzan and Teghut rural settlements. The total population of Dilijan and the rural communities belonging to it was 6813 people, 3551 people in the Margahovit community and 1279 people in the Fioletovo community.

- i.* The climate is moderately warm and humid. Dilijan, Margahovit and Fioletovo and adjacent rural communities are located in moderately damp areas with warm summers and mild winters. The rivers belong to the Caspian basin (Kura river).
- ii.* The average monthly temperature in January is -2°C and 18.2°C in July. Air dryness is particularly evident in the winter and spring months. The relative air humidity is 65-70%, the precipitation is 600-650 mm. Winters begin in early December. It is moderate hot in summer. The average temperature in July is $+18^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the maximum is $32-33^{\circ}\text{C}$. Occasionally, there are hot springs which can cause some damage to agriculture.
- iii.* The territory of the community is almost entirely surrounded by a forest, from the upper boundaries of which the mountainous pastures begin. The area is distinguished by the

great diversity of flora and fauna. Mixed forests occupy 61% of the total surface, which are distinguished by the diversity of flora and fauna.

- iv. The climatic conditions of the area (mild, mineral healing water, forests, highlands rich with herbs) are extremely beneficial for the recreation of the population, restoration of health and international tourism. The territory is rich in historical and cultural monuments, monasteries, fortresses, khachkars (cross-stones), bridges, tombs, monuments, and memorials.
- v. Farmers are engaged in horticulture, livestock breeding, crop production, bee-keeping and feeding. The area is relatively poor with minerals but is rich in mineral water. There are two mineral water plants operating in Dilijan. From the agro-climatic point of view, the community lies in the moderate irrigation zone as the average annual precipitation does not exceed 250-300 mm in summer.
- vi. Autumn and spring wheat and barley can be cultivated in the community under dry conditions. Whereas the cultivation of orchards and vegetables and melons is only possible in case of irrigation. The analysis of the collected data shows that the yield of all crops is considerably lower than the national average, mainly due to the insufficient quantity of irrigation water supplied and natural hazards (e.g. hail storms). This is mainly due to the lack of irrigation water as the irrigation network is completely demolished. Another factor is the frequent recurrent hails, spring frosts, high summer temperatures, and hot winds.
 - Dilijan community has vast areas of remote pastures (5879ha) and community pastures (1330ha), whereas the community keeps largely cattle, small ruminants and poultry and pigs. Compared to 2013 the number of cattle has substantially decreased by more than 40% in 2017, whereas average annual milk production decreased by 150 liters with an average between 1250 liters and 1100 liters annually.
 - Margahovit community has vast areas of remote pasture (2840ha) and community pastures (990ha), hay meadows, plots and horticultural land cultivating predominately potatoes, melons, and vegetables. In the highlands of the community only autumn and spring wheat and barley can be grown in dry conditions. The community keeps mainly cattle and small ruminants, whereas the number of cattle has decreased since 2013 by about 14%. The average milk yield of one 1 cow decreased marginally by 20 liters reaching from 1520 liters to 1500 liters.
 - Fioletovo community is the smallest of the priority communities and has remote pastures (90ha) and community pastures (194ha) and has a relatively large crop and horticultural production area dominated by potatoes, cabbage, beet and other annual and perennial crops. Cattle are predominately kept by the community and has seen a slight increase (approximately 5%). The average milk yield of one 1 cow amounts to 1,700 liters.
- vii. The presented data indicate that in all communities there are high poverty level and low birth rates, which are close to the republic's average level. Socially vulnerable target groups make up about 25 percent of the population.



Table 4: Key indicators for priority communities


Key indicators	Aragat Marz		Tavush Marz		
	Adjacent to Khosrov Forest State Reserve		Adjacent to Dilijan National Park		
	Urtsadzor		Dilijan	Margahovit	Fioletovo
Socio-economic indicators					
Permanent residents	4525		6813	3551	1279
Male	2096		3423	1794	646
Female	2429		3390	1757	633
Preschool age (0-6 years)	383		299	315	108
School age (7-17 years)	604		890	835	154
Middle age (18-63 years)	3195		4389	2297	890
Over 63 years	389		760	525	127
Number of the households	1027		1995	1376	365
Agricultural indicators					
Arable lands [ha]	758		743	440	25
Pastures total [ha]	8317		7209	3830	135
Remote pastures [ha]	550		5879	2840	950
Community pastures [ha]	7767		1330	990	194
Land plots [ha]	163		388	387	119
Hay meadows [ha]	2000		1125	1800	50
Cattle [heads]	3299		1686	1901	1640
Small ruminants [head]	3760		343	1575	280
Pigs [head]	90		933	295	-
Municipal / Community finance indicators					

2016 budget [US\$]	249,624	1,280,898	172,894	112,545
2016 budget, gov. subsidy [US\$]	86,566 (34.7%)	903,483 (70.5%)	65,060 (37.6%)	42,778 (38%)
2017 budget [US\$]	250,554	1,469,888,	123,345	97,267
2017 budget, gov. subsidy [US\$]	131,741 (33.7%)	901,235 (63.3%)	50,919 (41.3%)	42,778 (43.9%)
% directed to salaries	52.9%	17.3	30.2%	39.6%
% directed to environment	3.7%	10.3	2.7%	-

Projected climate change effects in the priority areas and adaptive capacity

21. Current long term climate trends for the Ararat Marz, where Khosrov Forest State Reserve is located, and Tavush Marz, where Dilijan National Park is located, point at increased summer temperatures and reduced overall precipitation in line. This is expected to further increase in line with the overall projected climate trends for Armenia for the year 2100.

- i. Communities adjacent to Khosrov Forest State Reserve. From 1935 to 1996 average annual temperature increased by 0.40C, from 1935 to 2007 0.85 0C, from 1935 to 2016- 1,030C. Whereas in 1935-2016, the average summer temperature rose to around 1.10C and the winter was 0.40C. From 1935 to 1996 6% of the average annual precipitation was recorded, and by 10% in 1935-2016. Late spring and early autumn frosts, strong frosts observed in winter and strong winds are mainly due to Scandinavian anticyclone, the frequency of which has increased by 71%, which indicates that the repeatability of hazardous meteorological phenomena also increases in the area. The number of cases of Iranian anticyclone formation has increased by about 63% in the area. As a result heat waves repeatability increased as well as the average duration of dry spells.
- ii. Communities adjacent to Dilijan National Park. From 1935 to 1996 the average annual temperature has increased by 0.30C, from 1935 to 2007 - 0.650C, during 1935-2016 - 0.950C. Whereas in 1935-2016, the average summer temperature has risen to around 0.850C, and the winter temperature - 0.20C. In 1935-1996 the average annual precipitation has decreased by 5%, and from 1935 to 2016 about 9%. Late spring and early autumn frosts, strong winter frosts, strong winds are mainly due to Scandinavian anticyclone, whose frequency has increased by 31%, which indicates that there is a certain increase in the area's repeated meteorological phenomena. The average number of dry days following each other has increased by 3 to 21 days.



22. The vulnerability of the target communities to climate change is driven by (i) land and biodiversity degradation and marginal production systems, (ii) weak infrastructure, water inefficient irrigation systems and limited climate technology adoption and (iii) poverty, lack of alternative income opportunities putting additional pressure on natural resources. Community pastures are 3-9 km away from the community, which are used by cattle breeders from March to late November. Shift grazing is not used at all. In many areas there are no watering points, as a result of which animals have to cross-large areas for drinking water. Since remote pastures are often not used due to the poor condition of the roads and the inadequate social conditions of the majority of the residents, the whole load falls on the community pastures. Due to global warming, low rainfall in summer and prolonged high temperatures the pastures are not able to recover after grazing. This is by the fact that from early spring to late autumn continuous grazing does not allow plants to undergo generative development and restore the area through seeds. Pastures near the community are extremely degraded. Topsoil with high soil organic carbon has diminished and the vegetation got poorer. In these conditions many types of dry-resistant plants are intensively spreading that are not eaten by animals. Animals have to cross vast areas and additionally contribute to the strengthening of degradation. The adaptive capacity of pastures has severely diminished and is expected to be further reduced with progressing climate change. Without any further pasture management measures it is expected that 5 % of community pastures may be further degraded and transformed into unproductive landscapes. In the course of the next 10 years nearly 30% of community pastures will likely lose their adaptation potential to climate change and will turn into semi-desert ecosystems if surface improvement measures are not undertaken.

23. This has in return significant effects on the population's living standards. Reduction in incomes from agriculture and cattle breeding does not allow part of the residents to use enough gas and electricity for household needs. Wood and dried manure is used as a fuel. Under these conditions there are two main negative results.
- The pressure on the forest ecosystem increases, as a result of which the climatic and water absorbing properties of the forest decrease. As a result of felling clearings, light forests, not valuable shrubs non-specific to ecosystem emerge where the sprouting of seeds of special tree species and the development of the new forest are worsening. The ecosystem is gradually weakening and losing its adaptive capacity to climate change.
 - The use of organic fertilizers in agriculture decreases. Gradually, the quality of soil degrades and often they are out of cultivation, turning into semi-desert or very sparse grasslands.
24. At the same time, illegal activities—such as logging, grazing, and gathering of useful plants—occur between the two protected areas in the impact zone of the community, due in large part to high levels of poverty and low-level of knowledge on the values of protected areas. As a result of these and other illegal activities, vegetative cover is degraded, decreasing overall ecosystem resilience to climate change. Since the specially protected nature areas are important areas for enhancing ecosystem and landscape resilience to climate change and have environmental, social, health and great scientific value, the establishment of effective cooperation and further development between the communities and organizations implementing protected area management is highlighted and will increase the adaptation level of protected natural ecosystems. At the same time it is clear that it is not possible to enhance efficiency of specially protected nature areas without improving social conditions of communities' population and implementation of operations on increasing community awareness on the importance of protected areas. This is especially important for women living in poverty, as they do not have the same access and opportunities as men to alternative forms of income generation, and could be participating in illegal activities for household subsistence. Communities must be considered not as impeding but contributing factors to protected area.
25. Community self-governing bodies are unable to provide adequate financial resources to promote and implement adaptation measures as well as energy efficient technologies and value chain diversification (solar water heaters installation, construction of modern greenhouses with lightweight constructions of fruit and vegetable seedlings, solar water heaters, etc.) and support measures for sustaining agriculture and livestock production (irrigation system repairs, diversification of agriculture, reconstruction of waterway roads, construction of watering points in pastures, etc.) enhancing the stability of natural ecosystems and agricultural landscapes and increase of product volumes. Table 4 provides an overview of the 2016 and 2017 budget situation of the communities. Analyzing the budgets of the all communities in the project, it shows that the budgets are composed of communities' own revenues and government subsidies.

Project / Programme Objectives:

List the main objectives of the project/programme.

26. The objective of the project is to reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest” and “Dilijan” National Park by strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector and reinforcing their institutional and planning capacity for climate change adaptation.

27. The project has three expected outcomes:

- Outcome 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices are implemented in degraded areas to reduce climate risks vulnerability of production systems and sustain protected areas;
- Outcome 2: Value chains for climate smart agriculture are strengthened and climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities, including equally for women and men;
- Outcome 3: Awareness, planning, monitoring and decision making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and land degradation neutrality has increased in target communities;

Project / Programme Components and Financing:

Fill in the table presenting the relationships among project components, activities, expected concrete outputs, and the corresponding budgets. If necessary, please refer to the attached instructions for a detailed description of each term.

Project Components	Expected Outcomes	Expected concrete outputs	Amount (US\$)
Component 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zones	Outcome 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices are implemented in degraded areas to reduce climate risks vulnerability of production systems and sustain protected areas;	Output 1.1: Irrigation water supply systems are rehabilitated increasing water use efficiency; Output 1.2: Water efficient drip irrigation systems are installed in selected community orchards; Output 1.3: Existing field tracks to remote pastures degraded lands are rehabilitated; Output 1.4: Sowing areas of perennial plants are created reducing rangeland degradation; Output 1.5: Community pastures and hay meadows are rehabilitated and improved their adaptive capacity; Output 1.6 Livestock watering points are constructed; Output 1.7: Degraded slopes are rehabilitated by belt planting of perennial, drought resistant plants;	1 733 183
Component 2 Strengthening value chains and climate smart technology transfer for vulnerable communities	Outcome 2: Value chains for climate smart agriculture are strengthened and climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities, including equally for women and men;	Output 2.1: Implementation of <<Climate smart agriculture>> technologies Output 2.2: Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are constructed in priority community areas Output 2.3: Solar dryers are installed in priority community areas Output 2.4: Community management and business plans are formulate for climate smart agricultural value chains.	342 397

Component 3 Awareness raising, capacity building, monitoring and decision making for climate smart agricultural practices	Outcome 3: Awareness, planning, monitoring and decision making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and LDN has increased in target communities;	Output 3.1: Farmer field schools and extension services have been provided to share best practices of climate smart agriculture and LDN for the targeted communities; Output 3.2 Best practices examples and training material on climate smart agriculture are formulated, disseminated and made accessible; Output 3.3 Community based adaptation planning is conducted for target communities; Output 3.4 Strategies for sustaining climate smart and gender-responsive agriculture and LDN in target areas have been formulated. Output 3.5: A monitoring system for land based adaptation measures and land degradation neutrality has been established for the target communities;	200 000
3. Total components			2 275 580
4. Project execution cost*			34130
5. Total Project Cost			2309710
6. Project Cycle Management Fee charged by the Implementing Entity (if applicable)			196290
Amount of Financing Requested			2 506 000

* Copyright and technical supervision includes midterm and final external monitoring of the project, midterm and final external audit, midterm and completion missions of the AF experts.

Projected Calendar:

Indicate the dates of the following milestones for the proposed project.

Milestones	Expected Dates
Start of Project/Programme Implementation	2019
Mid-term Review (if planned)	2020
Project/Programme Closing	2021
Terminal Evaluation	2022

The total duration of the project is 3 years (36 months).

PART II: PROJECT / PROGRAMME JUSTIFICATION

- A. *Describe the project / programme components, particularly focusing on the concrete adaptation activities of the project, and how these activities contribute to climate resilience. For the case of a programme, show how the combination of individual projects will contribute to the overall increase in resilience.*

Approach and objectives

28. The **objective** of the project is to reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest” and “Dilijan” National Parks by strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector and reinforcing their institutional and planning capacity for climate change adaptation by implementing adaptation measures in selected communities.
29. The proposed project will develop adaptive strategies in response to climate change effects on the agricultural and natural landscapes. It will sustainably strengthen livelihoods of affected communities including with considerations to not exacerbate marginalization of vulnerable communities without furthering land degradation by introducing climate smart agricultural technologies, improving the value chain of targeted products (for example dried fruits (abricots, berries, apples), vegetable, and herbs) and strengthening their planning capacity to increase the adaptive capacity of the local communities. The project seeks to include the priorities and participation of vulnerable communities, including women, poor households, etc, to ensure the project empowers these communities and does not exacerbate existing inequalities, as well as brings together the concepts of land degradation neutrality and climate change adaptation.
30. The project would focus on three main adaptive strategies: (i) adaptation of agro-ecological landscapes and maintaining agricultural productivity under increasing climate change, (ii) sustaining climate smart agricultural value chains through the promotion of low cost, energy saving technologies and (iii) improved planning capacity of local communities and reinforcing their local adaptive capacities. The project would bring together the principles of land degradation neutrality (LDN) and climate smart agriculture and thereby be an important building block toward land degradation neutrality (LDN). The project would thereby be an important building block toward land degradation neutrality (LDN). The implementation of "Climate Smart Farming" practices/techniques that will help reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase adaptability to natural and agricultural ecosystems is of huge importance. Clearly, in conditions of temperature rise, precipitation and other climatic changes, agriculture cannot struggle against the global warming. Agriculture differentiates between a number of key areas to increase adaptability.
31. The project is organized into three main components with three primary outcomes. Component 1 of the project will focus on community-based, equitable and gender-responsive interventions for strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector. Component 2 will support climate smart agricultural value chains and thereby create and sustain income generating activities of the involved communities. Component 3 covers topics regarding capacity building, awareness, local training, as well as knowledge and information

management, and to facilitate information to strengthen national strategies and policies on climate change adaptation. The main project interventions will be implemented in the adjacent communities of "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park.

32. The project concept has been developed taking into consideration both the Adaptation Fund policies and the best international practices. During the formulation of the concept note, community consultations were held in all target communities allowing a participatory planning and formulation of priority interventions for climate change adaptation. Questionnaires and other data were collected and community meetings held to identify the key factors that could adversely affect the adaptation of natural ecosystems and agricultural landscapes and to formulate the priority interventions for each community. Ecosystems and communities' adaptability measures are primarily aimed at adapting to climatic emergencies (high and low temperatures, dry and hot winds, spring and autumn frosts, strong hails and rains, increased air temperature, etc.).
33. It is a pilot or incubator project, which is aimed to be scaled-up along vulnerable areas and buffer zones of protected areas and forests in Armenia and test relevant models. The project follows thereby a bottom up and community focused approach, with local action defined, prioritized and implemented by the vulnerable communities, including with equal participation from women and men.

Project Components

34. Component 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zones:

The component aims to increase the adaptive capacity through promoting climate smart agriculture and developing activities that promote restoration of natural ecosystems, water and soil conservation, organic agriculture, low cost technologies, and improved livestock forage quality. It further aims to strengthen the adaptive measures that improve livelihood and the social conditions of the target population. This component targets all local producers (mainly micro and small scale producers) located in the project areas that are highly vulnerable to extreme hydro-meteorological events and to gradual climate change effects. The interventions are supported by local capacity building (authorities, farmer associations, civil society organizations, and the private sector) in climate risk management, through community based adaptation and empowerment of local producers by increasing their capacity to deal effectively with the impacts of climate change. 1.

35. Outcome 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices are implemented in degraded areas to reduce climate risks vulnerability of production systems and sustain protected areas:

Component 1 addresses critical adaptation issues in the crop, livestock and forestry production systems and natural habitats in the target communities adjacent to Khosrov Forest State Reserve (1 community) and Dilijan National Park (3 communities). (Details on the production systems, livelihoods and adaptation challenges in the target communities are provided above.) The project will establish demonstration sites (on community land, schools and community champions) in the

four targeted communities, provide training on specific farming practices through training of trainers and community champions, provide farm inputs and material (e.g. seed and seedlings of drought tolerant crops), rehabilitate community infrastructure notably and directly support the most vulnerable communities. All activities will be planned and implemented in a participatory, bottom up approach by the local communities with the support of extension services and external partners, where necessary prioritizing the equal participation of marginalized groups, including women, youth, poor households, in these opportunities. It is expected that the activities will be further rolled out in all parts of the communities and other vulnerable communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia.

36. Taking into account current and projected climate change scenarios a project concept aimed at increasing the level of adaptation of natural ecosystems and agricultural landscapes has been developed based on the following interrelated chain of events:

- 1. Adaptation level of degraded natural ecosystems could be raised by restoring their integrity,*
- 2. The level of adaptation of natural ecosystems can be increased by reducing anthropogenic pressure on them,*
- 3. The level of adaptation of natural ecosystems can be increased by their proper exploitation and conservation,*
- 4. The level of adaptation of agricultural landscapes can be increased through efficient irrigation water management and the introduction of the latest technologies in agriculture,*
- 5. The level of adaptation of natural ecosystems and agricultural landscapes is more effective when it is combined with measures to improve the livelihoods of the population,*
- 6. Increasing the effectiveness of the conservation of specially protected natural areas is possible by improving the socio-economic situation of the adjacent communities.*
- 7. The adaptation of ecosystems and agricultural landscapes to climate change contributes to multiple benefits, including its commitment to land degradation neutrality*

Summarizing the results of studies and proposals from communities the program has focused on the main activities that the majority of the population believes can contribute to achieving the program objectives. Clearly, during the concept development phase it was impossible to calculate and discuss all alternative measures that would contribute to the conservation of project's outcomes.

The project will focus on developing a broader spectrum of actions as a number of professionals of the sector will be involved in this stage. It is expected that there will be new more effective proposals, as well as innovative changes for already proposed actions.

It is clear that anthropogenic pressure on other areas will increase causing decrease in ecosystem adaptation to climate change. This will spread by a chain reaction principle on other ecosystems and landscapes reducing their sustainability and adaptation potential.

In its turn land degradation will lead to a decrease in water resources and a reduction in adaptability of other agricultural sectors.

Adapting ecosystems and landscapes to the adverse effects of climate change can only be achieved through the implementation of complex measures. This should include not only improvement of

the social conditions of women and men in the population, enhancement of agricultural productivity, foreseeing of financial resources for environmental measures in the community budget, planting of heat-tolerant crops considering the optimal use of irrigation water, but also enhancing adaptability to disturbed ecosystems.

37. The project aims at implementing a number of activities and would introduce the following adaptation measures:

- **Increase water use efficiency** to address projected climate change induced reduction in water availability and makes crop production systems less vulnerable to climate change impacts, through the rehabilitation of irrigation systems, introduction of drip irrigation and promotion of water and climate smart agricultural practices.
 - Renovation of main irrigation water supply systems, where water loss reaches up to 80%. The demand of water for irrigation is a critical element to maintain important crops along the marz. Besides the area is highlighted by the scarcity of water. The anticipated change will save water, the irrigated area will be expanded, will promote diversified agriculture and crop yield will be increased thus increasing the incomes of the population.
 - Establishment of drip irrigation intensive orchards in communities. This system will also save water, new orchards will be created, soil degradation will be prevented, saved water will be used for the irrigation of new lands, new fruitful orchards will be established thus increasing populations' income

- **Increase soil organic carbon** through (i) promotion mulching, reduced tillage, compost management, prevention of soil erosion and rehabilitation of soils and measures for reducing soil erosion (ii) the establishment of agroforestry systems on degraded slopes and (iii) prevention of erosion of slopes by the planting of dry-resistant species, as well as berries of high demand in the market.
 - Introduce and promote more **heat and drought resistant pasture crops** and **climate smart livestock management** while providing better access to remote pastures (including rehabilitation of rural community field track) to **reduce pressure on community pastures**, increase pasture rotation and rehabilitation of livestock watering points. Rehabilitation of community pasturelands and grasslands by means of surface improvement and construction of livestock watering points. This activity will undertake improvement of the management of natural grasslands and hay meadows in the project area, including rehabilitation of hay meadows, indigenous reseeding, rotational grazing and restoration of degraded pasturelands, construction of livestock watering points and re-introduction of forage legumes into crop rotations. Stock watering points will be located to make better use of pasture resources to utilize pastures, which are underused because of lack of drinking water for lives.

- **Improve fodder management** through the establishment of sowing areas of perennial plants (lucerne, sainfoin) to create a sustainable base for fodder. This activity will extend the wintering period of livestock and will promote degradation of adjacent pasturelands, as well as this will increase the fertility of the soil.

- Improve **agricultural micro-climate** through the promotion and rehabilitation of agroforestry systems in targeted degraded slopes areas. Prevention of erosion of slopes by the planting of dry-resistant species, as well as berries of high demand in the market.

- Promote more **drought and salinity tolerant crop/pasture** varieties and **establish seed banks** with drought tolerant crop seeds and easy access of local communities to those seeds;
- Promote information sharing, **farmer field schools** and the promotion of global best practices;
- Strengthened monitoring system for climate smart agriculture, land degradation neutrality, forest and ecosystem adaptation;

38. The following concrete outputs have been formulated for this component.

- Output 1.1: Irrigation water supply systems are rehabilitated increasing water use efficiency;
- Output 1.2: Water efficient drip irrigation systems are installed in selected community orchards;
- Output 1.3: Existing field tracks to remote pastures degraded lands are rehabilitated;
- Output 1.4: Sowing areas of perennial plants are created reducing rangeland degradation;
- Output 1.5: Community pastures and hay meadows are rehabilitated and improved their adaptive capacity;
- Output 1.6: Livestock watering points are constructed;
- Output 1.7: Degraded slopes are rehabilitated by belt planting of perennial, drought resistant plants;

Output 1.1: Irrigation water supply systems are rehabilitated increasing water use efficiency;

Urtsadzor Community

The lands within the administrative territory of Urtsadzor village of Urtsadzor community are envisaged to be irrigated with the water resources of Vedi reservoir, the construction of the dam and ancillary structures of which started in 2017 and will be completed by the mid 2020. Exploitation of the reservoir will allow saving 18 million cubic meters of water resources of the Lake Sevan.

The project on the construction of the reservoir and irrigation system costing 90 million Euros is implemented through the loan funded by the French Development Agency and co-funded by the Government of Armenia.

Vedi reservoir is located in Ararat marz. The reservoir is fed by Vedi and Khosrov rivers. The total volume of the reservoir is 29 million m³, while the height of the dam is H = 72 m. It will be possible to irrigate an area of 3200 hectares.

The goal of the project is to improve the sustainability of water resources and irrigated agriculture through the rehabilitation and modernization of irrigation networks in the Ararat Valley. The project aims at reversing the tendency of abandonment of agricultural land in the Ararat Valley through elimination of restrictions imposed by water and irrigation networks.

Currently, Urtsadzor community is provided with irrigation water. Over the past 25 years, the lack of irrigation water is observed during the summer months due to increased temperatures,


decrease of precipitation and water quantity in deep water wells and the destruction of the irrigation network. Our project will contribute to the saving of existing irrigation water. The unused water during the autumn-winter-spring period will be accumulated in Vedi reservoir and will provide additional water supply to Urtsadzor and other communities in the summer. The Shaghap village of the Urtsadzor community is not located in the impact zone of Vedi reservoir and, the irrigation water-saving technologies are extremely urgent here especially in the conditions of climate change.

The following works will be carried out on irrigation networks within the framework of the project:

- Construction of branches to the main canal that will connect the pressure pipe to the network, thus ensuring the pressure networks;
- Repair of dam-fed irrigation system's damaged parts and reconstruction of the secondary and tertiary canals;
- Expansion of the irrigation network;
- Decommission of the existing pumping stations;
- Establishment of pilot areas equipped with efficient irrigation equipment to demonstrate the technologies to the farmers and, thus, modernize the agricultural processes in the area.

Based on the above mentioned, some changes have been made to the administrative area of Urtsadzor community. Only orchards with drip irrigation system are planned to be established.

Irrigation Improvement Areas of Urtsadzor Village



Shaghap administrative area of Urtsadzor community


This administrative area is not included in the impact zone of Vedi reservoir and most of the land is not cultivated. The following activities are planned to be implemented in the area:

1. Improvement of irrigation network:

From the five observed pumping stations three were selected for the improvement of irrigation in Shaghap village. The first PS was not selected because of unstable water yield, while the second was not selected, as only the building was present. Thus, the main water sources are the second, fourth and fifth pumping stations (Figure 1.1) that will be improved.

Figure 1.1

Scheme of the irrigation improvement site of Shaghap village



The targeted irrigation area is about 120 hectares. It is envisaged to equip the existing pumping stations with solar panels, as well as to improve the conduits. Equipment and activities for irrigation improvement are presented in Table: 1.1

Table: 1.1

N	Equipment
1.	Culverts of different diameters (D = 100 mm, D = 40 mm)
2.	Culverts separator D = 100 mm
3.	Crossing parts for culverts from 100 mm to 40 mm
4.	Valve D = 40 mm
5.	Diesel fuel
N	Activities
1	Installation of irrigation system
2.	Purchasing and installation of solar batteries
3.	Transportation costs
4.	Design works
Contingency costs 6%	
Total	

Dilijan community

Though Tavush marz where Dilijan community is located has a relatively mild climate as compared to Ararat marz, the establishment and further care of orchards is impossible without irrigation. Taking into account the abovementioned, it is envisaged to build an irrigation system, in case of establishing fruit orchards in the community. The orchard is located in the administrative area of the town of Dilijan and nearby villages. There are small springs away from the orchard areas. The waters of these springs are not used for drinking purposes. It is envisaged to collect the water through tapping and via plastic pipes bring it to the orchard area.

Dilijan community is located in the mountain forested area, there are temporary water flows and numerous small springs.

Temporary water flows. Mainly they are formed from snowfalls and rains, in the spring. The main part of the water flows into the small rivers in the lower areas. During summers, water flows are emerging only because of heavy rains. This kind of water flows do not provide the moisture for the forest lands throughout the year, as water is temporary, fast flowing and it is not accumulated in forested slopes.

Small springs. These small springs are typical for mountain forests. Some of the springs get dry in the summer and the water in some parts is diminishing. All springs do not fill the global lack of moisture of soil the summer months, but they create a certain microclimate in the surrounding area. Dense moist plants and shrubs grow around the springs.

Activities in the frameworks of the project do not have environmental risks and negative impacts on the environment because of the irrigation of the orchard.

1. According to the estimates of the orchard's specialist, the orchard in Dilijan should be watered every 4-6 times a year.
2. According to the project's hydrologist estimates, 15-20% of the total volume of temporary water flows and small springs will be used for each watering of the orchard.

3. In the water intake section a small side structure will be installed that will increase the water level by 20-25 cm, which will allow the water to flow to the irrigation pipe.
4. Water will be transported to the fruit garden area through irrigating pipe, and the irrigation of the fruit garden will be carried out.
5. Irrigation water loss and water flow to the other areas will not occur.
6. During irrigation, most of the water will flow through its natural course,
7. When the orchard is not irrigated, the entire volume of water will flow through its natural course.

Equipment and works for the construction of irrigation network are presented in Table1.2.

Table: 1.2


N	Equipment
1.	Culverts of different diameters
2.	Culvert separators and discharge valves
3.	Crossing parts for culverts
4.	Parts connecting polyethylene culvert to metal tube
5.	Valves
6.	Metal disk
7.	Metal for intake-valve system
8.	Wire wiper disc
9.	Cement
10.	Sand
11.	Electrode 4 mm
12.	Paint, soil, solvent
13.	Diesel fuel
N	Activities
1	Construction of captation structures
2.	Earthwork
3.	Installation of irrigation system
4.	Transportation costs
5.	Design works
6.	Water Use Permit
Contingency costs 6%	

All the basic equipment and activities required for these operations have been clarified by the experts at the development stage of the project. The expenditures associated with the activities have been calculated serving as basis for calculation of the project budget. Contingency costs of 6% have been included in the budget, due to some possible deviations in equipments, list of activities and prices during the implementation of the project.

It will be possible to establish fruit orchards after the construction of the irrigation network.



Irrigation Scheme in Khachardzan Village



Irrigation Scheme in Gosh village



Irrigation Scheme in Dilijan city

Establishment of fruit orchards would contribute to the employment and income generation of the community population, as well as has an ecological significance, while the establishment of agroforests would contribute to the restoration of degraded lands and would have an anti-erosion, soil conservation and ameliorative significance.

It is planned to establish about 5.2 hectares of apple, pear, quince and peach orchards in the territory of Urtsadzor community of Ararat marz. It is also envisaged to establish 5 hectares of semi-dwarf walnut orchard in Dilijan village of Tavush marz, 4 hectares of semi-dwarf apple and pear orchard in Gosh village, 1.4 hectares of mulberry, blackberry and raspberry orchards / planting material will be provided to the residents for the cultivation of household plots/ in Aghavnavank, 0.2 hectare of strawberry and 0.5 hectare of semi-dwarf walnut orchard in Khachardzan village, 2 hectares of semi dwarf walnut and hazelnut, 0.5 hectares of apple and pear orchard and 0.5 hectares of berry orchards in Haghartsin village and 2.0 hectares of semi dwarf walnut and hazelnut orchard in Teghut village. Overall 6.1 km of irrigation network will be built and repaired.

In Margahovit community of Lori marz, it is envisaged to establish 0.5 hectares of mulberry and blackberry orchards /planting material will be provided to the residents for the cultivation of the residential lands/, and 0.1 hectare of strawberry orchard in Fioletovo community /planting material will be provided to the villagers for cultivation in the residential lands/.

It is important to use the drip irrigation system in Ararat marz and ditch irrigation in Tavush marz to save water in the newly established orchards.

The activities aimed at the establishment of fruit orchards are presented in Table: 1.3

Table:1.3

№	Title of the activity	Agrotechnical period

1.	Removal of stones, shrubs and scrubs from the plot	Autumn
2.	Preparation of the layout	Autumn
3.	Road smoothing	Autumn
4.	Preparation of irrigation ditches	Autumn
5.	Acquisition of seedlings	Spring or autumn
6.	Transportation to the area	Spring or autumn
7.	Preparation of planting pits /50kg deep / and planting of seedlings /50m depth/	Spring or autumn
8.	Irrigation after planting	Spring or autumn
9.	Purchase and transportation of planting material to the area for the purpose of supplementation	Spring
10.	Replacing dead trees with new seedlings	Spring
11.	Watering	Immediately after planting
12.	Irrigation during vegetation	Spring-Summer-Autumn
13.	Contingency costs 6%	

Output 1.2: Water efficient drip irrigation systems are installed in selected community orchards;

The main drip irrigation works are envisaged to be carried out in Urtsadzor community, where climate change has caused problems with irrigation water.

Overall, the irrigation of 5.2 hectares of orchards established in this community is envisaged to be carried out by drip irrigation. Works will be carried out in 2 directions.

1. Already established orchards where irrigation water deficit is observed during the last 5 years. A drip irrigation system will be built that will allow to effectively use the constantly decreasing volumes of water.
2. Establishment of new orchards with drip irrigation. Fruit orchards will be established in the areas that are currently not being cultivated due to scarcity of irrigation water.

Urtsadzor community, administrative territory of Urtsadzor village

Selected land plots are located within the impact zone of Vedi irrigation improvement project and will soon have a closed irrigation system that allows the water to reach up to the upper zone of the land plots. The farmers should bring the irrigation water to their arable lands and orchards at their own expense. Our task in this village is to introduce the drip irrigation system, which will operate until the construction of Vedi reservoir and will be connected to the general irrigation system by the villagers in the future.

Equipment and works for drip irrigation are presented in Table 1.2.1.

Table: 1.2.1

Nº	Equipment
1.	Culvert with drip cocks
2.	Culverts
3.	Stoppers
4.	Drip cocks
5.	Separator
6.	Crossing parts
7.	Valves
8.	Water filters
9.	Water tank connector parts
10.	Water tank
11.	Metallic crutches
12.	Diesel fuel
13.	Metal disks
14.	Electrode
15.	Paint, soil, solvent
16.	Water supply pipes
Nº	<i>Works</i>
1.	<i>Installation of a drip system</i>
2.	<i>Installation of water supply pipe</i>
3.	<i>Transportation costs</i>
<i>Contingency costs 6 %</i>	

Dilijan community, administrative area of Aghavnavank village

It is planned to establish a berry orchard in an area of 0.4 hectares and build a drip irrigation system. Since there is already a water tank and culverts are installed, only installation of water filtration system and drip cocks is necessary to be carried out. The works are presented in the Table 1.2.2.

Table: 1.2.2

N	Equipment
1.	Culvert with drip cocks
2.	Stoppers
3.	Drip cocks
4.	Separator
5.	Crossing parts
6.	Water filters
7.	Valves
10.	Metallic disks
11.	Electrode
12	Paint, soil, solvent
Nº	<i>Works</i>

1.	<i>Installation of a drip system</i>
2.	<i>Transportation costs</i>
<i>Contingency costs 6 %</i>	

All the basic equipment and activities required for these operations have been clarified by the experts at the development stage of the project. The expenditures associated with the activities have been calculated serving as basis for calculation of the project budget. Contingency costs of 6% have been included in the budget, due to some possible deviations in equipments, list of activities and prices during the implementation of the project.


Output 1.3: Existing field tracks to remote pastures degraded lands are rehabilitated:

Numerous meetings with local community heads and residents were organized aiming to clarify the condition of the field tracks subject to repair. The field surveys results helped identifying current state of the field tracks leading to pastures, hay meadows, orchards and arable land and the necessary measures at the next stage. Schematic plans for these areas were drawn up with the mapping expert included in the program, while the possibilities of improving the natural and agricultural ecosystems of each community and the expediency of repairing the field tracks were studied by the agronomist.

The priority is the repair of field tracks leading to natural ecosystems, which will reduce the pressure on natural and agricultural ecosystems adjacent to communities.

According to the results of the study it was found out that the field tracks are divided into 4 types according to their degree of damage and physical properties of the soil. Repair works have been developed for each type.

Type 1.



Envisaged activities

№	Title of the work
1	Road smoothing with a bulldozer
2	Construction of roadside rainwater drainage culverts with a machinery, lateral filling
3	Installation of metallic culverts crossing roads for rainwater discharge
4	Soil backfill
5	Soil compaction with watering

Type 2.

*Envisaged activities*

№	Title of the work
1	Road smoothing with a bulldozer
2	Construction of roadside rainwater drainage culverts with a machinery, lateral filling
3	Installation of metallic culverts crossing through road for rainwater discharge
4	Filling of a 20cm thick sand-rubble layer and compaction

Type 3.



Envisaged activities

№	Title of the work
1	Road smoothing with a bulldozer

Type 4.





Envisaged activities


Nº	Title of the work
1	Extraction of 40-60 cm thick topsoil
2.	Filling with imported soil
2	Construction of roadside rainwater drainage culverts with a machinery, lateral filling
3	Installation of metallic culverts crossing through road for rainwater discharge
4	Filling of a 20cm thick sand-rubble layer and compaction

Experts have studied more than 80,0 kilometers of field tracks within the project area, including 18,25km in Urtsadzor community, 44,5km in Dilijan community, 9,5km in Fioletovo community and 9,44km in Margahovit community. It is envisaged to carry out renovation works only on 39.5 km length sections of the road based on the results of study on the road sections. This will result in complete usage of more than 82 kilometers of roads. 50 pipes for snowmelt and rainwater disposal will be installed.


All the basic equipment and activities required for these operations have been clarified by the experts at the development stage of the project. The expenditures associated with the activities have been calculated serving as basis for calculation of the project budget. Contingency costs of 6% have been included in the budget, due to some possible deviations in equipments, list of activities and prices during the implementation of the project.




Urtsadzor community





Dilijan community





Fioletovo community



Margahovit community



Most of the 39.5 km of field roads are within the administrative boundaries of the communities, and only a small part goes through Dilijan National Park. According to the Law of the Republic of Armenia "On Specially Protected Areas of Nature" adopted in 2006, Article 17 on "National Park Maintenance Regime" the traffic of motor or caterpillar transport out of roads of general use and streams and parking out of the road net or in the places not planned for that purpose is prohibited.


This issue has been repeatedly discussed with the Dilijan National Park and community professionals. Based on the requirements of the law, a common agreement has been reached to avoid using heavy equipment that will damage surface of the roads. This also applies to parts of the roads within the administrative boundaries of communities where repairing heavy equipment can cause environmental risks. This requirement will be fixed for design and implementing organizations. Aiming to exclude possible environmental risks road rehabilitation designs will be discussed with the Dilijan National Park, Khosrov Forest State Reserve and community specialists.

Output 1.4: Sowing areas of perennial plants are created reducing rangeland degradation;

These works are planned to be implemented in the village of Lanjanist of Urtsadzor community. Over the past 20 years, residents have left the village because of lack of irrigation water. Arable land and orchards are not cultivated. Residents are engaged in cattle breeding, mainly using community pastures. Residents of Shaghap and Urtsadzor villages also use these pastures. Pastures and hay meadows which are relatively far from the village are not used because of the bad field tracks in the area. The micro-climatic conditions of the village do not allow for the cultivation of the sweet clover in arid conditions non-cultivated arable lands. This measure will somehow contribute to the improvement of livestock forage provision during winter season and prevention of arable land degradation. It is planned to plant 10 hectares of sweet clover for the

purpose of raising soil fertility and establishing a stable forage base. It was explained to the residents that when they are convinced on their own experience that sweet clover is a highly productive perennial crop, they can allocate some areas for the production of seeds.

1. Autumn 25-30 cm deep tillage with a tractor
2. Spring 15-20cm deep cultivation with a cultivator
3. Spring seed sowing with a seed drill.



Output 1.5: Community pastures and hay meadows are rehabilitated and improved their adaptive capacity

The main problem of development of livestock breeding sector is conditioned by the organization of production of high quality forage and the formation of a stable forage base. The significance of the natural hayfields (meadows, pastures) is the most important in establishing forage base. Herbage can ensure the receipt of more than 60% of the forage demand and around 70-75% of digestible protein by agricultural animals per year. The increase of the overall productivity of agricultural animals in the pasture period, in particular, the increase in dairy and milk quality is conditioned by this circumstance. The main part of livestock products (about 60-70% of the annual milk) is obtained in the pasture period.

Currently, the main part of the necessary annual forage (about 75-80% of grass and pasture forage) of individual and collective livestock farms derives from natural pastures which is of great

importance in the formation of forage base. Despite their importance the biological and economic effectiveness of the natural pastures has dramatically reduced in the past few years in the country and especially in the neighborhoods of the protected areas and is far from being sufficient. The major cause of this is climate change and anthropogenic factors. Degradation of pastures and hay meadows is more pronounced in community areas where indefinable, irregular and abusive use, as well as the care and improvement needed to maintain the vegetation are virtually absent. This is perhaps the main reason why pasture degradation and erosion phenomena has developed and evolved in almost all the studied communities. The subsequent development of this phenomenon will adversely affect not only the solution of feeding and general productivity problems of agricultural animals, but also can create serious environmental problems, even with irreversible consequences. That is why today's priority is the development and sustainable implementation of community-based pasture management systems that will enable not only addressing issues of conservation and restoration of natural pastures, but also contribute to the creation of forage base and the protection of the environment.

Table: 1.5.1

Agrochemical indicators of agricultural lands of Urtsadzor community (Urtsadzor, Shaghap, Lanjanist)

The name of the community and administrative territory	Depth of soil sampling (cm)	Arable land					Hay meadow					Pasture				
		Humus, %	pH in soil water solution	quantity of available nutrients in 100 g soil (mg)			Humus, %	pH in soil water solution	quantity of available nutrients in 100 g soil (mg)			Humus, %	pH in soil water solution	quantity of available nutrients in 100 g soil (mg)		
				N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O			N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O			N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O
Urtsadzor	0-25	1.4	7.4	3.10	2.85	28.0	1.5	7.5	2.62	2.60	29.0	1.2	7.4	2.16	2.42	27.0
Shaghap	0-25	1.1	7.5	2.75	2.62	27.0	1.2	7.4	2.47	2.60	28.0	1.1	7.6	2.20	2.30	26.0
Lanjanist	0-25	1.2	7.5	2.80	3.4	24.0	1.1	7.4	2.05	2.80	30.0	1.1	7.5	2.37	2.45	28.0
Non-degraded land	0-25	2.3	7.1	5.61	5.25	33.0	1.9	7.0	4.92	4.40	34.0	1.9	7.2	4.65	4.84	32.0

Table: 1.5.2

Agrochemical indicators of agricultural lands of Dilijan and Margahovit communities

The name of the community and administrative territory	Depth of soil sampling (cm)	Arable land					Hay meadow					Pasture				
		Humus, %	pH in soil water solution	quantity of available nutrients in 100 g soil (mg)			Humus, %	pH in soil water solution	quantity of available nutrients in 100 g soil (mg)			Humus, %	pH in soil water solution	quantity of available nutrients in 100 g soil (mg)		
				N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O			N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O			N	P ₂ O ₅	K ₂ O
				Dilijan	0-25	-			-						1.7	7.3
Margahovit:	0-25	1.9	7.3	2.95	2.82	28.0	1.8	7.3	2.67	2.85	32.0	1.5	7.2	2.40	2.80	30.0
Non-degraded land	0-25	2.8	7.2	5.91	5.65	35.0	2.6	7.0	5.12	4.75	35.0	2.2	7.1	5.05	5.04	34.0

Table: 1.5.3

Areas subject to improvement were prioritized and selected based on laboratory results and field surveys in communities.

Community	Arable lands subject to improvement/ha	Pastures subject to improvement/ha	Hay meadows subject to improvement/ha	Sowing of sweet clover to ensure sable forage base
Urtsadzor	40	120	7	
Shaghap	27	101	50	
Lanjanist	10	30	14	10
Aghavnavank	-	-	145	
Gosh	-	-	-	
Khachardzan	-	-	-	

Community	Arable lands subject to improvement/ha	Pastures subject to improvement/ha	Hay meadows subject to improvement/ha	Sowing of sweet clover to ensure sable forage base
Haghartsin	-	108	-	
Teghut	-	472	11	
Margahovit	26	136	85	
Fioletovo	-	-	-	
Total	103	967	312	10

Improvement of pastures will contribute to increasing their productivity giving the communities opportunity to focus on the improvement of approximately 520 hectares of pastures.

The improvement of hay meadows will contribute to ensuring livestock forage in winter and early spring in which case these stakeholders will not use pastures in the early spring.

Improvement of arable land will increase crop yield, while the stakeholders will receive additional income, will be able to buy the necessary amount of forage during winter and, as a result, reduce the pressure on the pastures.

The following group of activities is planned within the measures aimed at the rehabilitation and enrichment of degraded community adjacent vegetation cover of 967 hectares of pastures and 312 hectares of hayfield.

1. Required fertilizers to be used in communities

a) Requirements for mineral fertilizers;

Ammonium nitrate (NH_4NO_3) should contain at least 34.4% nitrogen. It should be packed in 50 kg double-layered waterproof bags. Ammonium nitrate should be supplied in white particles and have high percentage of solubility. It should be 100% free of harmful substances.

Superphosphate should contain at least 17% of total phosphorus. It should be packed in 50 kg double-layered waterproof bags. Superphosphate should have high solubility and be supplied in granular form.

Potassium chloride should contain at least 58% of potassium. Potassium chloride should be of high quality and excellent solubility. It should be packed in 50 kg double-layered waterproof bags. Potassium chloride should have high solubility and be supplied as granular product.

“Organomix” is an ecologically clean, biologically active organic fertilizer, which is a mixture of a biological humus, peat and compost.

Fertilizer requirements:

Chemical Composition:

- Content of organic substances -38-45%
- Total nitrogen (N) 2.5 g / 100 g
- Total phosphorus (P) 0.52g / 100g
- Potassium (K) 1,7 g / 100 g
- Calcium (Ca) 8.2 g / 100 g
- Magnesium (Mg) 0.53 g / 100 g
- Sulphate (SO_4) 31000 mg / kg
- PH 7.7

2. Fertilization of pastures and hay meadows

The degradation level of pastures and hay meadows in the communities of Urtsadzor, Dilijan and Margahovit was studied during the field visits. Extensive exploitation of community adjacent areas for grazing by the community dwellers is combined with climate warming and precipitation reduction. These two factors adversely impact the adaptability of these natural landscapes. The selected areas of community adjacent pastures and hay meadows are characterized by less than 10-25% vegetation cover and violated range and percentage relationship of grass cover formed for centuries.

Combined fertilization will include the application of mineral and organic “Organomix” fertilizers to improve not only soil composition and plant nutrition, but also to eliminate the formation of all possible adverse impacts in the environment.

Implementation of complex adaptation measures for the improvement of natural landscapes under conditions of climate change require 2 years. Moreover, the use of mineral fertilizers will be significantly reduced within the second year of the planned activities. The proposed activities are based on the peculiarities and methodologies of pasture monitoring and management systems in the Republic of Armenia, as well as the procedures established in accordance with relevant decisions adopted by the government of the Republic of Armenia on sustainable pasture management (Dec. N1477 from 28.10.2010 and decision N389-N from 14.04.2011)

It is envisaged to carry out the activities through surface improvements. It has been studied and proved that it is best to organize combined fertilization in the early spring during plant regrowth period by applying the fertilizers on the moist soil surface. In this case, grazing should be stopped for 2 months.

It is also acceptable: to organize fertilization in autumn, in which case the plants rapidly grow in the early spring. The fact that grazing in Armenia's pastures continues until late autumn is resulting in poor enrichment of perennial herbs with nutrients and their subsequent poor wintering.

Final fertilization period /spring or autumn/ will be clarified in communities based on the climatic conditions of the year and the recommendations of the cattle breeders. Fertilization of pastures and hay meadows is envisaged to be implemented by scattering fertilizers on the surface of the soil by hand. Mechanized fertilization is not desirable because it will contribute to further degradation of these areas.

3. Purchase of herb seeds and their transportation to communities

Seed requirements

Seeds must be packed in 25-50kg bags. They should be of first reproduction, with no less than 98% of assortment purity, up to 14% of moisture content, weed content not exceeding 0.5%, no less than 80% of germination capacity and disinfected.

In the conditions of Ararat, Tavush and Lori regions it is appropriate to do seed-sowing in early spring or late autumn. In the case of early spring sowing, grazing in the area should be prohibited for 1 year. In the case of late autumn sowing, grazing should be forbidden for 6 months.

Final sowing period / spring or autumn / will be clarified in communities based on the climatic conditions of the year and the recommendations of the cattle breeders.

Enrichment of pastures and hay meadows is envisaged to be implemented by hand sowing. Mechanized sowing is not recommended as it will contribute to further degradation of those areas. Sowing and fertilization activities are envisaged to be combined and simultaneously implemented. In which case, the seeds will mix with “organomix” increasing the germination capacity.

Fertilizers and seeds will be stored in each area with the involvement of community population in fertilization and sowing activities. Workers will be provided with buckets, laces and gloves.

Preparation and implementation of fertilization and sowing will be controlled by a relevant specialist /agronomist /.

Improvement of arable land

The following group of activities is envisaged within the frames of the rehabilitation measures of 103 hectares of degraded arable land.

1. Purchase of mineral and organic fertilizers and transportation to communities

The requirements for mineral and organic fertilizers are described in the section on the improvement of pastures and hay meadows.

Complex measures to increase the adaptability of arable lands in the conditions of climate change are envisaged to be implemented within 2 years. Moreover, in the second year of the activities it is planned to significantly reduce the application of mineral and organic fertilizers.

The amount of phosphoric and potassium fertilizers calculated for the first year is envisaged to be applied in the autumn /October-November/, in the period of deep tillage (25-30 cm) of soil. Nitrogen fertilizer, and organomix are envisaged to be applied in spring, /April/ May/ during the cultivation of soil. The amount of phosphoric and potassium fertilizers calculated for the second year is envisaged to be applied in the autumn of the same year /October-November/, during the deep tillage (25-30 cm) of soil. Nitrogen fertilizer, Cesiolites and Organomix are envisaged to be applied in spring /March-April/, during cultivation of soil. Fertilization will be carried out in a mechanized manner.

Preparation and application of fertilizers will be resumed by a relevant specialist /agronomist/. Requirements for Cesiolites;

Cesiolites should be of 24,87-32,36 % porosity with 61-84% water holding capability and adsorption capacity calculated by CaO reaching 150-175 mg per 1 gram. The content of K₂O should be 11.0%, CaO content should be 5-6%, the content of content should be 05-0.7%, MgO content should be 0.35%, SiO₂ content is 23.0%.

Cesiolites should be of high quality. It should be packed in 50 kg double-layered waterproof bags.

Exposure / Expected Outputs:

Implementation of the planned activities will ensure the following:

1. Increase in the level of adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes that have been violated as a result of natural and anthropogenic impacts.
2. Prevention of further degradation of natural and agricultural landscapes.
3. Raising the level of knowledge among the population on adaptation measures under the conditions of climate change.
4. Generation of additional income and improving the socio-economic situation.
5. Involvement of the population in the activities aimed at adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes, increasing public participation in environmental decision-making process.
6. Changing the attitude of the population to the environment.

7. Reduction of anthropogenic pressure on approximately 520 hectares of degraded pastures adjacent to 967 hectares of improved pastures.

All the basic equipment and activities required for these operations have been clarified by the experts at the development stage of the project. The expenditures associated with the activities have been calculated serving as basis for calculation of the project budget. Contingency costs of 10% have been included in the budget, due to some possible deviations in equipments, list of activities and prices during the implementation of the project.

Output 1.5 envisages improving 967 hectares of pastures and 312 hectares of hay meadows through fertilization. Hay meadows are located in areas with weak sloping and their improvement with mineral and organic fertilizers does not include environmental risks. Some of the pastures are on steep slopes and there is a risk that mineral fertilizers can flow through the rainwater to the inner parts. This issue was discussed in communities, Dilijan National Park and Khosrov Forest State Reserve. There are no rivers and oligotrophic natural habitats in the inland part of the pastures and hay meadows:

However, for the purpose of excluding all risks, options with minimal fertilizer quantity were chosen for improving pastures and hay meadows (Table: Comparative analysis of environmental risk and cost-effectiveness of interventions). Combining mineral and organic fertilizers with seed sowing will rapidly restore the grass cover of degraded pastures and hay meadows and increase their adaptability to climate change.

Improvement of 103 hectares of arable land, provided by this Output, does not contain environmental risks as they are in flat areas; fertilizers are covered with 10-15 cm of soil during import, and there is no danger that fertilizers may pass through rain or irrigation water to other areas.

Output 1.6 Livestock watering points are constructed

The construction of a watering point in natural pastures is especially important in the conditions of increasing climate dryness and air temperature in the summer. This will help to:

1. Reduce the movement of the livestock;
2. Decrease degradation of natural pastures;
3. Restore natural pastures;
4. Increase livestock production;
5. Obtain additional income.

It is envisaged to build 3 watering points in Urtsadzor community of Ararat marz and 9 watering points in Dilijan community of Tavush marz.

In Margahovit community of Lori marz, it is envisaged to build 2, while in Fioletovo community 1 watering points.

The construction of watering points and the list of necessary equipment are presented in the Table 1.6.1.

Table: 1.6.1

N	Equipment
1.	Metal barrier
2.	Metal barrier top
3.	Water filter
4.	Metal water pipe
5.	valve
6.	Pipe connection parts
7.	Polyethylene pipe
8.	Parts for connecting polyethylene pipe to metal tube
9.	Metal tube
10.	Metal valve with fittings
11.	Cement
12.	Sand
13.	Metal disk
14.	Electrode 4 mm
15.	Crushed stone
16.	Diesel fuel
17.	Gravel
N	Works
1.	Earthwork
2.	Installation of polyethylene pipe parts
3.	Rubble correction
4.	Construction of captation
5	Transportation costs
<i>Contingency costs</i> 6%	
<i>Total</i>	

All the basic equipment and activities required for these operations have been clarified by the experts at the development stage of the project. The expenditures associated with the activities have been calculated serving as basis for calculation of the project budget. Contingency costs of 6% have been included in the budget, due to some possible deviations in equipments, list of activities and prices during the implementation of the project.

Output 1.7: Degraded slopes are rehabilitated by belt planting of perennial, drought resistant plants;

The works will be performed on a 3 ha degraded slope.

The activities aimed at enhancing the adaptation of degraded steep slopes in the conditions of climate change are presented in table 1.7.1.

Table: 1.7.1

Orchard establishment on degraded steep slopes

№	Title of the activity	Agrotechnical period
1.	Gathering of stones	Autumn
2.	Planning of the area	Autumn
3.	Road smoothing	Autumn
4.	Preparation of irrigation ditches	Autumn
5.	Acquisition of planting material	Autumn
6.	Transportation of the planting material to the area	Autumn
7.	Preparation of the planting holes /30-50cm deep/ and planting of the material	Autumn
8.	Irrigation after planting	Autumn
9.	Purchase and transportation of planting material for filling in	Spring
10.	Replacement of dry trees with new planting material	Spring
11.	Irrigation	Spring
12.	Irrigation during vegetation	Spring-Summer- Autumn
13.	Contingency costs 6%	

Component 2: Strengthening value chains and climate smart technology transfer for vulnerable communities

39. Component 2 will complement the adaptation measures in the crop, livestock and forestry production systems by supporting the livelihoods and income earning opportunities of the target communities including with specific provisions to extend access to opportunities to marginalized groups, including women, youth, etc. Sustaining climate smart agricultural practices and reducing the pressure on natural forests, rangelands and protected areas (Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National Park) will be enhanced by through agricultural value chain development and the introduction new climate smart technologies to the target communities. The goal of this output at community level is to strengthen population's livelihood by creating new jobs, diversify agriculture, and decrease energy costs in the community and farmers' budget. In the result the

overexploitation of agricultural and natural ecosystems will decrease and the resilience and adaptive capacity of landscapes on which the communities strongly depend will thus be enhanced. This component is based on the idea that ecosystem adaptation to climate change is possible to enhance by decreasing the pressure on them and their vulnerability and building alternative methods of resilience to climate change.

The presented data indicate that in all communities there are high poverty level and low birth rates which are close to the Republic's average level. Socially vulnerable target groups make up about 25 percent of the population. Based on community social and demographic situation and the preliminary consultations with community leaders, we have created a chain of activities each link of which will solve important social and environmental issue. The project highlights energy saving activities enabling communities to direct the saved funds to ensure the continuity of the project results.

Similar programs implemented both in Armenia and in other countries were studied. Our consultations with community leaders mentioned those main activities that under climate change conditions can contribute to ecosystem resilience. Naturally, the program cannot solve all the problems, but these measures can significantly reduce the anthropogenic pressure on protected areas and natural ecosystems adjacent to communities.

40. Outcome 2: Value chains for climate smart agriculture are strengthened and climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities;

It is expected that the project would facilitate the adoption of new technologies and strengthen climate smart agricultural value chains. The proposed activities will promote income generation of the population, improvement of livelihood, and thus decrease of anthropogenic pressure on natural ecosystems and in the result increasing the adaptation capacity to climate change in the agricultural sector. The component will focus on the dissemination of best practices in the farm enterprise and public sector, which will improve their opportunities and as a result will contribute to the reduction of anthropogenic pressure on ecosystems under climate change

41. The effectiveness of most climate change adaptation measures is largely conditioned by improving the social conditions of the residents, obtaining additional income and agriculture diversification.

During the discussions of project activities in communities, it was a common belief that lightweight constructions of greenhouses and solar dryers would best meet the community's adaptability to climate change.

- Construction of non-heated greenhouses with lightweight constructions.

The greenhouses will enable growing vegetable crop seedlings in spring and selling them to residents at low prices. The residents can grow seedlings of such crops that are not traditional for the country but are of high demand in the market. It will be possible to purchase new dry resistant and heat-resistant varieties and hybrid seeds from specialized stores and seed research centers. In the summer and autumn the greenhouse has the potential to grow vegetable crops, part of which will be provided to the community kindergarten. The other part of the harvest will be sold in the

market and will provide financial resources for future operation of the greenhouse. If desired, the residents can also heat up the greenhouses until late autumn and grow vegetables that are sold at a relatively high price in the market.

In Armenia the cost of 1 square meter of greenhouse construction with glass, zinc-coated structures is \$ 94-110 and in the case of polycarbonate- \$83-100. High-quality polyethylene membranes are currently imported to Armenia with the price ranging from \$1.1-1.2 per square meter. This type of membranes are mainly used for the construction of non-heated greenhouses. The greenhouses can be covered with either one-layer or double-layer polyethylene membranes. The cost of construction of one-layer polyethylene membrane greenhouse is ranging from \$ 20.8-27.1, while in case of double-layer \$ 27.1-31.3.

This activity will promote production of seedlings, increase in crop areas, introduction of non-traditional crops and which will create an opportunity for early crop yield, as well as creation of new jobs for women.

- Construction of solar dryers for fruits, berries, vegetables and herbs.

42. These types of structures are very important for communities as during the massive maturing of the crops their market price equal to the production costs. Since Armenia's nature is rich in wild berries, herbs, plants used in teas and in food, most of which are dried and used in other seasons of the year, it is very important to have solar dryers in the communities. In this case, the residents will be able to quickly and accurately dry crops and plants/herbs and sell them in the market or to the processing industry in the future. The use of solar dryers reduces the raw material loss by 10 to 30 percent compared to home-based drying, and the product comes in much higher quality. Demand for the use of chemical preservatives and disinfectants also disappear. In the conditions of efficient drying of raw materials, the volumes of wild species gathering will be reduced, which will enhance the efficiency of their protection.

The cost of one square meter of solar dryers ranges from 31.3 to 41.7 US dollars in Armenia.

Benefits:

- Additional jobs,
 - Additional income opportunities,
 - Diversification of fresh and recycled crops,
 - Involvement of women in works,
 - Improvement of working and hygienic conditions of women,
 - Provision of additional food to kindergarten,
 - Improvement of sanitary condition and quality of products,
 - Tangible savings for the use of electricity and other fuel,
 - Improving the maintenance of project outcomes,
 - Provision of part of the profit to the implementation of community-based environmental works
- Beneficiaries-community residents.

Major purpose for these activities would be the reduction of crop loss, storage improvement and creation of new jobs for women to increase their income and economic opportunities in the communities. In this way climate smart agricultural value chains will be developed for products such as dried apricots, other fruits, berries and herbs, which would help to increase and sustain income opportunities for the farming communities. The project will therefore partner with

community organizations, women's organizations and groups, schools and local administration to install and promote solar driers. Training will be provided on these value chains and the marketing of products on the regional and national market.

- Community development, management plans and support for the formulation of climate smart agricultural business plans will be provided to target communities and champions and will include participation from both women and men.

43. The following concrete outputs have been formulated for this component.

Output 2.1: Implementation of <<Climate smart agriculture>> technologies

Output 2.2: Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are constructed in priority community areas;

Output 2.3: Solar dryers are installed in priority community areas;

Output 2.4: Community management plans are formulated, including marketing plans for climate smart agricultural value chains;

Output 2.1: Implementation of <<Climate smart agriculture>> technologies

Under current climate change scenario, the implementation of "Climate Smart Farming" practices/techniques that will help reduce greenhouse gas emissions and increase adaptability to natural and agricultural ecosystems is of huge importance. Clearly, in conditions of temperature rise, precipitation and other climatic changes, agriculture cannot struggle against the global warming.

Agriculture differentiates between a number of key areas to increase adaptability.

1. Land management

It is important to maintain or improve the "health" of the land. The main aspects of the "healthy" soil include the following:

- Develop crop varieties which are low water demanding, and resistant to drought, high temperatures, pests, and/or soil salinity, as well as livestock breeds climate-adapted, disease-resistant and highly productive.
- Comprehensive vegetation cover
- The level of soil carbon: comprehensive soil type and climate
- Minimal loss of soil nutrients through soil washing
- Minimal or zero level of soil erosion and heavy rainfalls
- Absence of soil contaminants.

Climate change predictions suppose that the frequency and weight of heavy rainfalls and erosion cases continue growing. There are broad ranges of soil management interference, which foster the decrease of risks of heavy rainfalls and soil erosion starting from forest or farming level interference to landscape level approaches.

2. Crop production

Adaptive measures provide for the cultivation of varieties and hybrids created by new or popular selection methods that are drought-resistant, dew-resistant, heat-resistant, relatively short growing, which can significantly reduce the risk of crop loss or failure. In all communities

in the 3,6 ha territories there will be implemented drought, arid, heat, resistant new sorts and hybrids of tomatoes, egg-plant, pepper, and cabbage with relatively short vegetation.

3. Water Management

The most important and required adaptation measures for the water sector in relation to the irrigation facilities are

1. Use modern methodologies for an integrated management planning of water resources
2. Follow modern efficient irrigation systems (drip irrigation, sprinklers, deficit irrigation), raise awareness of farmers to adopt them.
3. Assess the performance of the irrigation and drainage infrastructure, and research and development programmes to prevent pollution and losses
4. Find different patterns of agriculture on the basis of availability of water, such as covered agriculture, to rationalize water consumption and dispose of prevailing salinity in soil.

Adaptation measures provide for water management innovations that are specifically designed to reduce or eliminate the risk of crop erosion and crop yield reduction.

4. Forests and agro forests

Adaptation measures provide for the recovery of ecosystems, resulting in more stable natural disasters and contributing to the prevention of landslides, floods, stabilization of river beds and mitigation of soil erosion. Within the framework of the project, the most appropriate methods for "Climate Smart Agriculture" acceptable for the country have been selected.

2. Drip irrigation, which yields maximum results in areas with insufficient moisture. It is widely used both in greenhouses and in open-air land use.



2. Construction of irrigation network from lightweight composite materials which in addition to water savings, the construction of such irrigation network is relatively cheaper and faster.



3. Mulching is one of the most effective methods for growing healthy plants. During the application of this method, evaporation of moisture from the soil is significantly reduced and, as a result, the irrigation frequency is reduced as well. The upper layer of the soil always remains fragile. Within the framework of the project, the efficacy of mulching will be demonstrated on the area of 1.0 hectares in the community of Urtsadzor.



4. Anti-hail networks that are one of the most effective ways to fight hail. Within the framework of the project, 2.5 ha drip irrigation garden in the community of Urtsadzor will be protected by anti-hail network.



5. New effective organic fertilizers containing essential nutrients for plants. Within the framework of the project, the efficiency of the newest (Organogenic and Bioaccumulation) Organic Fertilizers will be shown on the area of 4.0 hectares.

6. Solar water pumps the use of which use will reduce the costs of irrigation and, as a result, it will be possible to prevent land degradation, to increase the cultivated land, to increase crop yields and profits of farm households.



Solar panels are to be used in the village of Shaghap in Urtsadzor community.

The activities associated with the establishment of a berry orchard (currant, gooseberries, raspberry, blackberry) are presented in Table 2.1.1.

Table: 2.1.1

Nº	Title of the activity	Agrotechnical period
1	Gathering of stones (if required)	Spring or Autumn
2	Removal of scrubs and residues (if required)	Spring or Autumn
3	Cleaning of weeds	Spring or Autumn
4	Dispersal of organic fertilizers	Spring or Autumn
5	Deep tillage	Spring or Autumn
6	Earth smoothing	Spring or Autumn
7	Road smoothing	Spring or Autumn
8	Preparation of irrigation ditches	Spring or Autumn
9	Drawing of row and pit places	Spring or Autumn
10	Digging of rows and pits	Spring or Autumn

11	Purchase and transportation of the seedlings to the area of orchard	Spring or Autumn
12	Transplantation of seedlings	Spring or Autumn
13	Pruning	Spring or Autumn
14	Watering of seedlings	Immediately after planting
15	Replacement of dried shrubs with new planting material	Spring
16	Watering	Immediately after planting
17	Irrigation during vegetation 6%	Spring-Summer- Autumn
18	Contingency costs 6%	

The activities associated with the establishment of a Strawberry orchard are presented in Table 2.1.2.

Table: 2.1.2


Nº	Title of the activity	Agrotechnical period
1	Gathering of stones (if required)	Spring or autumn
2	Removal of scrubs and residues (if required)	Spring or autumn
3	Cleaning of weeds	Spring or autumn
4	Dispersal of organic fertilizers	Spring or autumn
5.	Deep tillage	Spring or autumn
6	Earth smoothing	Spring or autumn
7	Road smoothing	Spring or autumn
8	Preparation of irrigation ditches	Spring or autumn
9	Drawing of row and pit places	Spring or autumn
10	Digging of rows and pits	Spring or autumn
11.	Purchase and transportation of the seedlings to the area of orchard	Spring or autumn
12	Transplantation of seedlings	Spring or autumn
13.	Watering	Immediately after planting
14	Replacement of dried shrubs with new planting material	Spring
15	Watering	Immediately after planting
16	Irrigation during vegetation	Spring-Summer-Autumn
17	Weeding- hoeing	3 times during the vegetation period
18.	Contingency costs 6%	

7. Improvement of herbs habitat and creation of testing areas on the field. The works will be carried out on 2,3 ha of land.

Output 2.2: Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are constructed in priority community areas

It is planned to build 50, 100 and 150 square meters of greenhouses in the communities. In total, 3000 m² of greenhouse will be built . For these greenhouses, it is expected to install a drip irrigation system, the feeding of which will be placed in the tank (Figure 2.2.1).

Figure 2.2.1



The required equipment and activities for building greenhouses and implementing drip irrigation system is presented in the table 2.2.1

Table 2.2.1

N	Equipment
1.	Greenhouse carcass
2.	Polyethylene shell
3.	Connecting devices for greenhouses
4.	Organic fertilizer

5.	Blacksoil
6.	Pipe with a pipette
7.	Pipe
8.	Cork of a pipe
9.	Divisor
10.	Pipe transition
11.	Valve
12.	Water filter
13.	Valve
14.	Water tank connecting detail
15.	Water Tank
16.	Metal stand
17.	Diesel fuel
18.	Metal cutting disc
19.	Electrode
20.	Paint, ground, solvent
21.	Pipe for water supply
N	Activities
1.	Territory smoothing and preparation for greenhouse construction
2.	Pillar Installation
3.	Building of greenhouse carcass
4.	Strengthening of Polyethylene shell
5.	Preparation and transfer of soil mixture to greenhouses
6.	drip system mounting
7.	Transportation expenses
8.	Mounting of water supply pipe
Unplanned Expenses 6%	



Output 2.3: Solar dryers are installed in priority community areas;

30 portable solar dryers will be installed. Based on community requirements, it is predicted to install 100 kg of fresh fruit dryer and 250 kg of fresh fruit drying Solar dryers. In Urtsadzor community the drying season will take 4-5 days, and 6-7 days for Dilijan, Margahovit and Fioletovo communities. In these portable Solar dryers can also be dried herbs, because the drying process is going without direct sunlight exposure.

Output 2.4: Community management plans are formulated, including marketing plans for climate-smart agricultural value chains

As was mentioned above in each community involved in the project specific adaptation measures will be introduced, such as installation of unheated light-weight greenhouses, establishment of orchards and berry fields, installation of solar driers, as well as cultivation of new vegetable varieties and hybrids that are drought-resistant, heat-resistant and have relatively short growing cycle. All these measures will contribute to income diversification and secured livelihoods, increased adaptability of involved population to the impact of climate change and to increased rehabilitation of natural assets. So in order to effectively plan, manage and measure the project activities and effectiveness *Community management plans* will be developed for each of the involved communities, where detailed project interventions, expected outputs and outcomes, intervention schedules, socio-economic and demographic characteristics of involved direct and indirect beneficiaries, corresponding maps will be provided. At the same time, it should be noted, that the introduced adaptation measures will result in the production of agricultural products that are not traditional for the target communities and also in increased yield of products traditionally grown in this area. Thus, to facilitate the marketing of new products and excess amounts of traditional products, all the *community management plans* will include a specific section related to marketing. This will support the development of climate-smart agricultural value chains and will assist the further adaptation of the introduced technologies among other neighbouring communities.

Following is the list of the climate-smart agricultural value chains that will be supported through the project.

- **Open field production of vegetables:** In all the communities on territory of 3,6 ha a production of new *drought-resistant and heat-resistant sorts and hybrids of tomato, eggplant, pepper, and cabbage* with relatively short vegetation will be implemented. Under the conditions of climate warming in target marzes, the new heat and drought resistant vegetable varieties will enable the residents to get guaranteed high yields of products that are in a high demand in the market.
- **Greenhouse production of vegetables and seedlings:** In total, 3 000 square meters of non-heated greenhouses will be built, where *seedlings and vegetable crops* such as tomatoes, cucumbers, peppers, as well as non-traditional crops such as broccoli will be produced. It is planned that the greenhouses will start to operate in Spring, when some of them will be involved in the production of vegetables and the others – first in the production of seedlings for the open field, and then in the production of vegetables. Greenhouse production of vegetables will allow to have off-season production in Spring and Autumn – harvesting the crops 1 month earlier then in the open field and up until October-November, when it is already cold for the open-field-production of vegetables.

From 100 square meter of unheated greenhouse it is possible to get up to 2 000-3 000kg of tomatoes, 900-1 200kg of cucumbers, and 1 200kg of peppers annually. According to our estimations, income from 1 square meter of unheated greenhouse in the target communities will be around USD 14-20.

- **Fruit and berry orchards:** Establishment of orchards is an effective way to combat the degradation of uncultivated agricultural land. It is planned to establish fruit and berry orchards on the total territory of around 20,4 ha.
 - *apple, pear, peach and quince* orchards will be established in Ararat marz;
 - *semi-dwarf walnut, hazelnut, apple and pear orchards, traditional apple and pear orchards mulberry, blackberry, raspberry and strawberry* orchards in Tavush marz; and
 - *mulberry, blackberry and strawberry* orchards in Lori marz.

The productivity of orchards and the average annual income from sale corresponding production is presented in Table 2.4.1. below. For instance the beneficiaries that will be involved in the cultivation of Pear orchard annually will be able to ensure at least an income of about USD 13 300, while those that will be involved in cultivation of berries will have an annual income of USD 19 500 from 1 ha.

Table 2.4.1.

Orchard types	Average annual sale price, 2013-2017 (USD/kg)	Productivity of mature orchard (tonnes/ha)	Average minimal income per year (USD/ha)
Apple and peach orchard	0,4	20-22	8 400
Pear orchard	0,7	18-20	13 300
Quince orchard	0,6	19-20	11 700
Walnut and hazelnut orchard	2,7-3,5	10-15	38 750
Berry orchard	1,9-2,0	8-12	19 500

- **Cultivation of herbs:** The target communities are adjacent to specially protected natural areas and forests and their administrative areas are abundant in various wildy grown herbs and plants which are traditionally harvested by residents of the target communities either for household consumption or for sale, as those herbs are rich in flavour and nutrients and are traditionally used for making herbal tea. The herbs available in surroundings of target communities include thyme, mint, oregano, St John's-wort, cornflower etc. So to reduce the harvesting pressure on the surrounding territories of specially protected natural areas and forests it is planned to introduce on-farm cultivation


of some of these herbs. On-farm cultivation will provide higher yields and will provide more income to households.

In order to be able to withstand the potential impacts of climate change the project will also focus on strengthening the processing capacities and capabilities of the target communities. For this purpose solar driers will be provided to the beneficiaries from target communities, which will enable to diversify and strengthen the climate-smart agricultural value chains. According to our observations in the markets of Yerevan and other cities of Armenia, depending on the type of fruit 1 kg of dried fruit is sold within the range of 5-10 USD. Wild herbs are sold at around 1 USD per 50g, while the packed ones are sold at price of more than twice expensive.

The marketing plan included in the *community development plans* will elaborate the marketing strategy to be used in each community in detail, however, at this stage of project development the following model (see Diagram 2.4.1.) of value-chain is envisioned for each type of intervention.

Diagram: 2.4.1.

Value chain model for each type of product group



Fruits will be sold fresh and dried, using the solar driers provided in the framework of the project – dried apples, pears and peaches will be produced. By utilizing the possibilities of cold-storage facilities available in the target marzes off-season sales of fresh fruits would also be possible. Vegetables will be sold mainly fresh – only tomato will be dried. Berries are perishable and high-value crops and will be sold fresh. Herbs will be sold mostly dried, though it is possible to sell them also fresh.

Depending on a season, type and quality of the produce, sales will be organized through different channels. These include:

- Wholesale markets in Hovtashat (Ararat marz) and Yerevan during high seasons for fresh fruits, vegetables and berries.
- Farmers’ markets, supermarkets and shops in Yerevan, Vanadzor, Sevan, Dilijan and Ijevan cities for fresh and dried fruits, vegetables and berries. A preliminary agreement was obtained with the Ministry of Agriculture to provide free of charge stalls to the target community representatives on the Weekend Farmers’ Market organized by the Ministry on a weekly basis from June to December.
- Hotels and Bed&Breakfasts in Tavush, Lori and Yerevan for dried fruits, tomatoes and herbs.
- Processing companies both for fresh fruits, berries and vegetables during a high season and for dried fruits and herbs. Often producers of dried fruits and herbs are ready to ready dried produce of a given quality and sell it under their brand name. It should be noted that a preliminary agreement for sourcing dried apples, pears and peaches to the processing unit located in Urtsadzor (www.holani.am) was made.

In addition to these, it should be mentioned, that several HORECA representatives from the project target area have been contacted, who expressed their willingness to buy locally grown fresh produce. Especially taking into account that the greenhouses planned in the framework of the project are quite small and the daily harvest will be around 10-20kg, the sales of the daily yield would not create any problems for the beneficiaries. Currently the local HORECA representatives make purchases from resellers and have to buy large quantities for weekly consumption, while in case of locally available fresh fruits and vegetables, HORECA representatives will enthusiastically buy from the local producers with whom they can arrange daily supply of freshly harvested produce. See the letters from the contacted HORECA representatives in Annex 6.

The Table 2.4.2. represents the the list of HORECA sector representatives that are potential customers for fresh and processed produced by the project beneficiaries.

Table 2.4.2.

Name	Location	Contacts
Eco Lodge in the Caucasus Wildlife Refuge	Urtsadzor, Ararat region	Tel.: +374 77 444 184 , e-mail: anna@set.am
Gor’s B&B	Tumanyan Str., Urtsadzor, Ararat region	Tel.: +374 10 585 884
Tufenkian Old Dilijan Complex	Sharambeyan St, Dilijan Tavush region	Tel.: +374 94 030 883, e-mail: dilijan@tufenkian.am
Best Western Plus Paradise Hotel Dilijan	156 Kamo Str Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 268 24016
Hotel Dilijan resort & spa	66 Getapnya str. Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 55 04 77 55, e-mail: info@hoteldilijan.am
Casa Nova Hotel	63 Hovsepian st., Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 268 2 40 37, e-mail: casanovagh@yandex.ru
Dili Villa Guest House	12 a Myasnikyan St., Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: + 374 268 27059
Art Guest House	Kalinini Str., Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 93 486882

Restaurant Flying Ostrich by Dolmama	6, Sayat Nova St, Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 60 655080
Kchuch Restaurant	Myasnikyan St, Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 41 886010
Getap Restaurant and Hotel Complex	Tblisyan Hayway, Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 99 888-334, email: getap@getap.am
Haleb Restaurant	38/2 Tbilisyan Highway, Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 41 722270
Dilijan Park Resort and Villas	20 Parz Lich St., Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 44 604 604, e-mail: info@dilijanpark.com
EcoKayan Boutique Hotel & Camping	51 Hovk Street, Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 99 513101, e-mail: info@ecokayan.com
Haghartsin hotel and restaurant	Kamo Str. 121, Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 41 044 707, e-mail: info@haghartsin.com
Diligence Hotel	Sayat-Nova St. 69a, Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 94 407761
Hotel and restaurant "Villa Jrvezh"	Kamo Str. 146, Dilijan, Tavush region	Tel.: +374 55 099402, e-mail: lhambaryan@gmail.com
Tezh Ler resort	24thkm of Dilijan - Vanadzor highway, Lori region	Tel.: +374 91 925999, email: info@tezhler.am
Avan Dzoraget Hotel	1st street, building 127, Dzoraget, Lori region	Tel.: +374 93 947889, email: dzoraget@tufenkian.am
Ler Mont Guest House	12/1-2/3 M8 highway, Lermontov village, Lori region	Tel.: +374 98 901910
Hotel Laguna	68a Zoravar Andranik St, Vanadzor, Lori region	Tel.: +374 322 50009
Anahit Guest House	52 Zoravar Andranik St, Alaverdi, Lori region	Tel.: +374 94 089909
B&B Maghay	21 Azatamartikner Street, Vanadzor, Lori region	Tel.: +374 91 380305

In order to sustain the created value chains and facilitate integration of beneficiaries into them a marketing specialist will be involved in the project and on continuous basis will work on facilitating market linkages and assisting beneficiaries in organizing sales process. The marketing specialist will serve also as a coach, who will build the marketing and negotiating skills of the beneficiaries.

The project aims to create a sustainable functioning value chain through improving the arable lands, irrigation water savings, new crop cultivation, increased crop yields, recycling opportunities and increased knowledge that will increase the population's income and knowledge and ultimately contribute to the sustainability of natural and agricultural ecosystem adaptation measures.

The project highlights the importance of ecological education of schoolchildren and demonstration of experience to a great extent. Increasing the professional knowledge level of the stakeholders will enable to effectively manage planned activities and continue activities after completion of the project.

These works will be carried out within the frames of Output 3.2-3.3-3.4 of the Component 3, particularly within the frames of the funds allocated under budget line "Determine the existing

non-governmental organizations, women, youth, environmental and other unions in the communities and develop capacity building plan for them".

44. Component 3 Awareness raising, capacity building, monitoring and decision making for climate smart agricultural practices and land degradation neutrality

This component aims to support awareness raising and capacity building (authorities, farmer associations, civil society organizations, and the private sector) in climate smart agriculture as well as land degradation neutrality and support the decision-making and planning process in the target communities. As such component 3 bundles all capacity building, awareness raising and monitoring and evaluation activities supporting the interventions proposed under component 1 and 2. The component supports furthermore the community based planning and monitoring of climate smart agriculture and land degradation neutrality practices and interventions and supports the development of an LDN monitoring framework..

45. Component 3 has one main outcome.

Outcome 3 Awareness, planning and decision making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and LDN has increased in target communities;

46. The project will provide training and awareness raising on efficient management of water resources, climate smart agriculture and land degradation neutrality and other relevant issues related to climate change adaptation. Capacity building and awareness raising will be conducted through the training of trainers or community champions, structured information exchanges on best practices, farmer field schools and traditional lecturer-listener models of knowledge transfers. To increase work efficiency highly qualified specialists will be involved both from higher education institutions and regional centers of agricultural assistance. Guidance and training material will be developed through the project, which will be targeted to the local communities and support community groups and local self government board to adapt the training program. The trainings will also be promoted to include equitable participation from women and men. Structured evaluations of the learning events will be conducted after each training course and thereby support the continuous improvement of the training program.

47. In addition, in order to sustain the created value chains and facilitate integration of beneficiaries into them a marketing specialist will be involved in the project, as a member of training/consulting company that will be hired in the framework of the project. On continuous basis the marketing specialist will work on facilitating market linkages and assisting beneficiaries in organizing sales process. The marketing specialist will serve also as a coach, who will build the marketing and negotiating skills of the beneficiaries.

48. A dissemination strategy to capture lessons learnt and make them available to other communities will be developed during the project. Information will be disseminated through public information leaflets and booklets in the communities of the Marz and across the country

to roll out climate smart agriculture and land degradation neutrality in other parts of the Marz and the country. This output will focus on the dissemination of best practice through mass media and local self-government bodies. Modern information dissemination tools will be used for this. Regular information on the progress and outcomes of activities will be provided through the websites of the Ministry of Nature Protection, regional administrations and Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU). Grievance redress mechanisms and effective governance measures will be in place of Ministry of Nature Protection, regional administrations and EPIU will make it possible rapidly respond to all complaints with the participatory problem solving approach.

49. In line with the national legislation, policies and national targets⁸, the project would support initiatives for sustaining climate smart agriculture and in this context support the process for promoting land degradation neutrality in line with the voluntary targets set. More specifically, this project component would support the establishment of a dedicated **monitoring system for land degradation and land related climate change indicators** and support the enabling environment for sustaining sustainable land management practice. More specifically the following interventions are planned and will be implemented with technical support from the international community, such as UN Convention on Combatting Desertification (UNCCD) and its Global Mechanism (GM):

- Strengthen target setting and monitoring systems for land degradation neutrality and land related climate change indicators to support the monitoring of land degradation and land related climate change indicators, such as soil carbon and vegetation cover conform international standards and applying state of the art techniques, such as remote sensing. Monitoring sites would be established and the currently available baseline information would be updated to support the contribution to climate change adaption and LDN target setting process;
- Strengthen capacity building for climate smart agriculture and sustainable land management practices with activities specifically addressed to the needs of female headed households, women groups, etc. It is expected that the training courses would have at least 50% female participation, whereas tailored courses would be made available to women groups and youth.
- Support the development of climate smart agriculture and LDN investment strategies, which would allow farmer groups, unions of producers, small and medium enterprises, NGOs and other related stakeholders to provide private investments for sustaining climate smart agricultural practices and thereby sustaining the proposed interventions. The project would support the targeted capacity building activities, e.g. for micro, small and medium enterprises and may support small prefeasibility studies in support of these interventions.

Knowledge and Needs of the Population of targeted communities on Climate Change and Ecosystem Adaptation

⁸ The main goal of the LDN strategy, proposed for the period until 2040, is to reestablish the loss of soil organic carbon in the period from 2000 to 2010, and an increase of carbon sequestered by 2.8%. To achieve this goal, the following actions will be taken.

An important outcome of the project is raising awareness and knowledge among the community population which will contribute to ensuring the continuity and sustainability of the Project outputs, both on national and local levels.

It should be noted that some work has been already done to raise awareness of the population on climate change during the period of 2005-17, but it has not been linked to the adaptation measures of natural and agricultural ecosystems.

Implementation of the curricula in the project affected communities pursues the following goals:

1. Promotion of community capacity building on global climate change challenges;
2. Promotion of awareness raising of the population and the level of knowledge on effective adaptation measures under the conditions of climate change;
3. Promotion of the adaptation of natural and agricultural ecosystems and ensuring the effectiveness of the envisaged measures;
4. Support the decision -makers in acquiring the skills necessary to analyze the current situation of natural and agricultural ecosystems under climate change conditions, to develop and revise the list of necessary measures and to establish effective and cooperative relationships with the stakeholders;
5. Increase pupils' environmental awareness and knowledge on the importance of adaptation of agricultural and natural ecosystems under climate change through specially designed courses carried out at schools.
6. Involve mass media and non -governmental organizations for the purpose of implementation of the project, promotion of the results and ensuring sustainability, as well as methods and forms of providing public information.

The surveys were conducted in Urtsadzor (Urtsadzor and Shaghap rural settlements), Dilijan (Dilijan, Aghavnavank, Khachardzan, Gosh, Haghartsin and Teghut rural communities), Margahovit and Fioletovo communities.

Participants at community level trainings

The results of our demographic study revealed that the main layers of the community population include:

- Land users
- Cattle breeders
- Schoolchildren (middle and high school)
- Individual entrepreneurs
- Retirees
- Employees
- Students

More than 80% of the total population in all communities, except for Dilijan, is predominantly land users and cattle breeders. In this sense it is natural that the community-level course participants should be mostly selected from these groups.

It is also important to involve employees, especially municipal staff in the trainings. The theoretical and practical knowledge gained will assist in active involvement in the process of adaptation of agricultural and natural ecosystems. It is desirable to include representatives of the students from different HEIs who will be able to increase the level of knowledge and skills that

will contribute to the solution of personnel issues and the sustainability of the results of the planned work. In our opinion, it is very important not only to involve high school pupils in the courses, but also to organize a separate course for them. Strengthening capacity of these target group members will provide a good basis for increased work efficiency and increased opportunities for professional orientation of the younger generation.

In order to effectively organize the courses, it is advisable to include 15-20 participants. This number of participants will enable effective discussions on the material provided and increase the knowledge through questions and answers addressing other topics of interest.

It should be noted that involvement of too many (25 or more) participants the direct link between the lecturer and the audience is severed and the opportunities to respond to questions at full range are minimized.

Based on the objectives of the component and the issues that require solution, the activities will mainly focus on the awareness and knowledge of vulnerable groups that directly relate to the objectives of the program, the ways to achieve them, and their sustainability and continuity. For all target groups, the idea is that mankind can fight not only to alleviate climate changes, but also to develop effective measures aimed at the prevention of natural disasters, increasing the adaptation potential of natural and agricultural ecosystems.

The study includes:

- Development of questionnaires for knowledge assessment (Annex 1, 2).
- Questionnaire analysis, which includes:
 - Assessment of the knowledge of participants through questionnaires on the issues provided
 - Assessment of the needs of the participants on the proposed trainings;
 - Assessment of the needs of the participants on preferred topics (separate points of the questionnaire give an opportunity to indicate the preferred topics that the respondent finds most important in raising his or her knowledge level).

Methods of implementation of the curriculum

Based on the needs of the participants, the level of knowledge and the topics provided, it is expedient to apply knowledge, awareness-raising and dissemination of information through the "Simplified Learning", "Explanatory Exposition", "Targeted Learning" and "Case Studies". Such an approach enables the unity of the provision, acceptance, accumulation, maintenance and application of the information.

Questionnaires are based on the principle of:

1. Revealing the awareness and knowledge level of the stakeholders in the result of the response analysis on the raised issues,
2. Identifying the needs of the training course topics;
3. Organizing trainings according to individual stakeholders and target groups.

The surveys were conducted in the form of questionnaires and partial interviews.

The questionnaires have been completed in the communities in advance, explaining the importance of knowledge and needs assessment and relevant training in achieving the project goals. Moreover, the questionnaires were filled on a voluntary basis, in order to be realistically open to those who would like to participate in the trainings in the future, so the survey participants will be able to answer the questionnaire freely and honestly.

The questionnaires have been analyzed in Microsoft Excel package, allowing the participants to input, analyze, summarize and get the average and per community data.

The completed questionnaires are kept in the "Environmental Projects Implementation Unit" State Agency. The participants of the survey included 70 adults and 18 schoolchildren from Urtsadzor community of the RoA Ararat marz, 120 adults and 29 schoolchildren from Dilijan community of the RoA Tavush marz and 40 adults and 15 schoolchildren from both Margahovit and Fioletovo communities of Lori Marz of the RoA.

Data on the participants according to marzes is presented in |Tables 1-3.

Table1: Demographic data of survey participants in Urtsadzor community of Ararat marz

Gender						
Male			Female			
42,9%			57,1%			
Educational qualification						
Higher		Secondary special		Secondary		
48,6%		10,0%		41,4%		
Age Group						
Up to 25 years old		26-35 years old		36-50 years old		51 and older
13,3%		11,7%		28,3%		46,7%
Social group						
Employed	Farmer	Student	Pensioner	Beneficiary	Unemployed	
51,4%	35,7%	4,3%	7,1%	1,4%	0,1%	

Table 2: Demographic data of survey participants in Dilijan community of Tavush marz

Gender			
Male		Female	
41,7%		58,3%	
Educational qualification *			
Higher	Secondary special		Secondary
41,1%	35,5%		23,4%
Age Group			
Up to 25 years old	26-35 years old	36-50 years old	51 and older
24,6%	25,4%	28,1%	21,9%

Social group					
Employed	Farmer	Student	Pensioner	Beneficiary	Unemployed
51,7%	29,2%	7,5%	7,5%	4,1%	0,0%

Educational qualification * - 14 respondents did not answer:

Age Group ** - 6 respondents did not answer

Table.3: Demographic data of survey participants in Margahovit and Fioletovo communities of Lori marz

Gender						
Male		Female				
42,9%		57,1%				
Educational qualification						
Higher	Secondary special		Secondary			
55,8%	11,5%		32,7%			
Age Group						
Up to 25 years old	26-35 years old	36-50 years old	51 and older			
25,7%	10,0%	24,3%	40,0%			
Social group						
Employed	Farmer	Student	Pupil	Pensioner	Beneficiary	Unemployed
41,0%	35,0%	4,1%	8,1	8,2%	1,6%	2,0%

It should be noted, that in the rural communities surveyed participants who noted that they did not work have privatized lands and keep large and small cattle.

Table 4: The answers of the survey participants in Urtsadzor community to the questions included in the questionnaire

N	The questions included in the questionnaire	Answers
1.	Do you find that climate change is evident in your region?	Yes- 68 No -2
2.	If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)	Early spring frosts- 43 Summer high temperatures - 41 Torrential downpours - 12 Decrease in precipitation -29 Reduction of river discharge - 40 Increasing drought period -19

		Increasing frequency of hot winds-5 Other - hails
3.	How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield	Positive -1 Negative 64 Did not answer - 5
4.	Do you find that at present there are measures aimed at the improvement of adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural lands to climate change?	Yes 14 No-24 Did not answer -32
5.	If yes, please list the known measures	Construction of deep wells, repair of irrigation canals, construction of watering points, introduction of new technologies for irrigation water use
6.	What is your attitude towards a grant project on adaptation to climate change of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands to be carried out in your community	Positive -59 Negative - 0 Did not answer -11
7.	If positive, what activities would you prefer? /please specify/	Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields – 12 Increased fertility of arable land-26 New technologies for saving irrigation water -48 Creating a stable feedstuff base-4 Midfield road repairs-30 Construction of watering points - 27 Other- solar water heaters
8.	How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?	Positive - 63 Negative - 0 Did not answer 7
9	If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?	Establishing stakeholder groups -19 Signing an agreement with the community administration -17 Providing schools -2 Did not answer -2 Other
10	Do you know that there is a Specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ near your community?	Yes -54 No- 16
11	Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?	Yes -29 No – 31

12	If yes, please highlight the ways of usage	Grazing -14 Haymaking -14 Gathering of edible plants and fungi -21 Firewood harvesting-3
13	What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in Armenia?	Good-6 Satisfactory -44 Did not answer -20
14	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?	Good- 12 Satisfactory -31 Did not answer -27
15	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?	Good- 9 Satisfactory -37 Did not answer -24
16	Did your community previously organize informational trainings on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?	Yes -17 No -32 Did not answer -21
17	How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the climate change conditions?	To a great extent -22 To some extent -35 Did not answer -13
If you believe that raising the level of knowledge will help improving the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes, please answer which training topics would you choose		
1	The causes of global climate change and expected Impacts in Armenia	Yes -44 No -26
2	Adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, forest areas, orchards, etc.) under the conditions of global climate change	Yes -48 No -22
3	International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia	Yes -53 No -17
4	Tourism and ecotourism	Yes -41 No -29
5	Efficient livestock management techniques	Yes -48 No -22
6	Other topics	

7	How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project's knowledge and awareness raising component.	Positive -48 Did not answer -22
7.1	If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?	Involvement in the teaching staff - 6 Involvement as a participant - 64 Other -

The analysis of the survey results shows that:

1. To the question "Do you find that climate change is evident in your region?" 97,1% of respondents answered "yes" and 2.9% answered "no"
2. To the question "If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)" the following answers were given:
 - Early spring frosts- 61.47%
 - Summer high temperatures - 58.6%
 - Torrential downpours - 17.1%
 - Decrease in precipitation - 41.4%
 - Reduction of river discharge - 57.1%
 - Increasing drought period -27.1%
 - Increasing frequency of hot winds-7.1%
 - Other - hails
3. To the question "How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield" 1,4% of respondents gave a positive answer 91,4% a negative one and 7,2% Did not answer the question.
4. To the question "Do you find that at present there are measures to improve the adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural land to climate change?" 20,0% of the respondents answered "yes", 34, 3% answered "no" and 45, 7% didn't answer the question.
5. To the question "If yes, please list the known measures" the respondents specified the following: construction of deep wells, rehabilitation of irrigation ditches, application of closed pipelines, introduction of new irrigation water use technologies, solar water heaters.
6. "How will you react, if a grant project on adaptation to climate change of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands is carried out in your community" positive answer was given by 84,3% and 15,7% did not answer.
7. To the question "If positive, what activities would you prefer? / please specify/" the following answers were given:
 - Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields - 17, 1%
 - Increase in fertility of arable land- 37, 1%
 - New technologies for saving irrigation water - 68,6%
 - Creating a stable feedstuff base - 5,7%
 - Midfield road repairs- 42,9%
 - Construction of watering points- 38,6%
 - Other- solar water heaters
8. To the question "How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?" 90, 0% of respondents gave a positive answer, 0% gave a negative answer and 10, 0% did not answer the question.

9. To the question “If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?” the following answers were given:
 Establishing stakeholder groups -27,1%
 Signing an agreement with the community administration -24,3%
 Providing schools -2,9%
 Did not answer -2,9%
 Other- providing to individuals.
10. To the question “Do you know that there is a specially protected area of nature /SPAN/near your community?” the answer “yes” was given by 77,1% and “no” by 22,9% of the respondents.
11. The question “Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?” was given to 60 respondents /”yes” 48,3%, “no” 51,7%/.
12. To the question “If yes, please highlight the ways of usage” the following answers were given:
 Grazing - 48,2%
 Haymaking - 48,2%
 Gathering of edible plants and fungi -72,4%
 Firewood harvesting-10,3%
13. To the question “What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in Armenia?” 8,6% of the respondents answered “good”, 62,9% “satisfactory” and 28,5% did not answer the question.
14. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?” 17,1% of the respondents answered “good”, 44,3% “satisfactory” and 38,6% did not answer the question.
15. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?” 12,9% of the respondents answered “good”, 52,9% “satisfactory” and 34,2% did not answer the question.
16. To the question “Did your community previously organize informational trainings on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?” 24,3% of respondents gave a positive answer, 45,7% gave a negative answer and 30,0% did not answer the question.
17. To the question “How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the climate change conditions?” the following answers were given:
 To a great extent -31, 4%
 To some extent -50, 0%
 Did not answer - 18, 6 %:
18. To the question “How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project’s knowledge and awareness raising component.” 68,6% answered positive and 31, 4% did not answer.
19. To the question “If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?” the following answers were given:
- 19.1 8,6%- involvement in the teaching staff

19.2 91, 4% - involvement as a participant.

Table.5: The answers of the survey participants in Dilijan community to the questions included in the questionnaire

N	The questions included in the questionnaire	Answers
1.	Do you find that climate change is evident in your region?	Yes - 112 No -8
2.	If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)	Early spring frosts- 55 Summer high temperatures - 62 Torrential downpours - 62 Decrease in precipitation -13 Reduction of river discharge - 14 Increasing drought period -20 Increasing frequency of hot winds-10 Other - hails
3.	How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield	Positive -2 Negative - 92 Did not answer - 26
4.	Do you find that at present there are measures aimed at the improvement of adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural lands to climate change?	Yes- 14 No -65 Did not answer -41
5.	If yes, please list the known measures	repair of irrigation canals, introduction of new technologies for irrigation water use, increase in fertility of arable land
6.	How will you react, if a grant project on adaptation to climate change of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands is carried out in your community	Positive -99 Negative -0, Did not answer -21
7.	If positive, what activities would you prefer? / please specify /	Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields - 31 Increase in fertility of arable land -54 New technologies for saving irrigation water -66 Creating a stable feedstuff base-24 Midfield road repairs-75 solar water heaters - 29

		Other- Introduction of new technologies
8.	How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?	Positive - 97 Negative - 0 Did not answer 23
9	If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?	Establishing stakeholder groups -14 Signing an agreement with the community administration - 32 Providing schools - 5 Did not answer - 69 Other
10	Do you know that there is a Specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ near your community?	Yes -96 No -24
11	Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?	Yes -74 No -46
12	If yes, please highlight the ways of usage	Grazing -24 Haymaking -36 Gathering of edible plants and fungi -59 Firewood harvesting-39
13	What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in Armenia ?	Good-16 Satisfactory -37 Did not answer -67
14	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, meadows, forest areas) under global climate change conditions?	Good-16 Satisfactory -28 Did not answer -76
15	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?	Good-13 Satisfactory -32 Did not answer -75
16	Did your community previously organize informational trainings on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?	Yes -13 No -55 Did not answer -52
17	How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the conditions of climate change?	To a great extent -44 To some extent -49 Did not answer -27

If you believe that raising the level of knowledge will help improving the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes, please answer which training topics would you choose		
1	The causes of global climate change and expected Impacts in Armenia	Yes - 78 No -42
2	Adaptation to natural and agricultural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, forest areas, orchards, etc.) under the conditions of global climate change	Yes - 84 No -36
3	International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia	Yes -93 No -27
4	Tourism and ecotourism	Yes -86 No -34
5	Efficient livestock management techniques	Yes -76 No -44
6	Other topics	Anti-hail activities, energy-saving technologies
7	How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project's knowledge and awareness raising component.	Positive -73 Did not answer -47
7.1	If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?	Involvement in the teaching staff - 10 Involvement as a participant - 110 Other -0

The analysis of the survey results shows that:

- To the question "Do you find that climate change is evident in your region?" 93,3% of respondents answered "yes" and 6,7% answered "no".
- To the question "If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)" the following answers were given:
 - Early spring frosts- 45,8%
 - Summer high temperatures - 51,7%
 - Torrential downpours - 51,7%
 - Decrease in precipitation - 10,8%
 - Reduction of river discharge - 11,7%
 - Increasing drought period -16,7%
 - Increasing frequency of hot winds-8,3%
 - Other - hails
- To the question "How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield" 1,6% of respondents gave a positive answer, 76.7% gave a negative answer and 21,7% did not answer the question.

4. To the question “Do you find that at present there are measures to improve the adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural land to climate change?” 11,7% of the respondents answered "yes", 54,2% answered "no" and 34,1% didn't answer the question.
5. To the question “If yes, please list the known measures” the respondents specified the following: rehabilitation of irrigation ditches, introduction of new irrigation water use technologies and fertilization of arable land.
6. To the question “How will you react, if a grant project on adaptation of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands under the conditions of climate change is carried out in your community” positive answer was given by 82,5% and 17,2% did not answer.
7. To the question “If positive, what activities would you prefer? / please specify/” the following answers were given:
 - Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields – 25,8%
 - Increase in fertility of arable land - 45,0%
 - New technologies for saving irrigation water -55,0%
 - Creating a stable feedstuff base- 20,0%
 - Midfield road repairs-62,5%
 - Solar water heaters – 24,2%
 - Other – introduction of new technologies.
8. To the question “How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?” 80.8% of respondents gave a positive answer, 0% gave a negative answer and 20,0% did not answer the question.
9. To the question “If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?” the following answers were given
 - Establishing stakeholder groups -11,7%
 - Signing an agreement with the community administration -26,6%
 - Providing to schools -4,2%
 - Did not answer -57,5%
 - Other- providing to individuals.
10. To the question “Do you know that there is a specially protected area of nature /SPAN/near your community?” the answer “yes” was given by 80,0% and “no” by 20,0% of the respondents.
11. To the question “Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?” the answer “yes” was given by 61,7% and “no” by 38,3% of the respondents.
12. To the question “If yes, please highlight the ways of usage” the following answers were given:
 - Grazing – 48,2%
 - Haymaking -48,2%
 - Gathering of edible plants and fungi -72,4%
 - Firewood harvesting-10,3%
13. To the question “What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in Armenia?” 13,4% of the respondents answered “good”, 30.8% “satisfactory” and 55,8% did not answer the question.
14. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?”

- 13,3% of the respondents answered “good”, 23,3% “satisfactory” and 63,4% did not answer the question.
15. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?” 10,8% of the respondents answered “good”, 26,7% “satisfactory” and 62,5% did not answer the question.
16. To the question “Did your community previously organize informational training on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?” 10,8% of respondents gave a positive answer, 45,8% gave a negative answer and 43,4% did not answer the question.
17. To the question “How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the climate change conditions?” the following answers were given:
 To a great extent -36, 7%
 To some extent -40,8%
 Did not answer 22,5 %:
18. To the question “How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project’s knowledge and awareness raising component?” 60,8% answered positive and 39,2% did not answer.
19. To the question “If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?” the following answers were given:
 19.1 18,3%- involvement in the teaching staff
 19.2 91,7% - involvement as a participant.

Table6: The answers of the survey participants in Margahovit community to the questions included in the questionnaire

N	The questions included in the questionnaire	Answers
1.	Do you find that climate change is evident in your region?	Yes - 18 No -2
2.	If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)	Early spring frosts- 5 Summer high temperatures - 16 Torrential downpours - 12 Decrease in precipitation -3 Reduction of river discharge - 4 Increasing drought period -10 Increasing frequency of hot winds-10 Other - hails
3.	How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield	Positive -0 Negative - 18 Did not answer - 2

4.	Do you find that at present there are measures to increase the adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural land to climate change?	Yes-1 No -19 Did not answer -0
5.	If yes, please list the known measures	Introduction of new technologies for irrigation water use, increasing fertility of arable land
6.	How will you react, if a grant project on adaptation to climate change of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands is carried out in your community?	Positive -20 Negative- 0, Did not answer -0
7.	If positive, what activities would you prefer? / please specify /	Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields - 2 Increase in fertility of arable land -5 New technologies for saving irrigation water -6 Creating a stable feedstuff base- 4 Midfield road repairs-17 solar water heaters - 9 Other- Introduction of new technologies
8.	How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?	Positive - 17 Negative - 0 Did not answer - 3
9	If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?	Establishing stakeholder groups -4 Signing an agreement with the community administration - 3 Providing schools - 6 Did not answer - 7 Other -0
10	Do you know that there is a Specially protected area of nature /SPAN/near your community?	Yes -14 No -6
11	Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?	Yes -14 No -6
12	If yes, please highlight the ways of usage	Grazing -10 Haymaking - 6 Gathering of edible plants and fungi -11 Firewood harvesting-3
13	What is your knowledge of the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in Armenia?	Good- 3 Satisfactory -5 Did not answer -12

14	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, meadows, forest areas) under global climate change conditions?	Good- 1 Satisfactory -2 Did not answer -17
15	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?	Good- 1 Satisfactory -3 Did not answer -16
16	Did your community previously organize informational training on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?	Yes -1 No -15 Did not answer -4
17	How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the conditions of climate change?	To a great extent -14 To some extent -4 Did not answer -2
If you believe that raising the level of knowledge will help improving the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes, please answer which training topics would you choose		
1	The causes of global climate change and expected Impacts in Armenia	Yes - 18 No -2
2	Adaptation to natural and agricultural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, forest areas, orchards, etc.) under the conditions of global climate change	Yes - 14 No -6
3	International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia	Yes -19 No -1
4	Tourism and ecotourism	Yes -16 No -4
5	Efficient livestock management techniques	Yes -14 No -6
6	Other topics	Anti-hail activities, greenhouses, new technologies
7	How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project's knowledge and awareness raising component	Positive -17 Did not answer -3
7.1	If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?	Involvement in the teaching staff - 1 Involvement as a participant - 19 Other -0

The analysis of the survey results shows that:

1. To the question "Do you find that climate change is evident in your region?" 90, 0% of respondents answered "yes" and 10.0% answered "no".
2. To the question "If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)" the following answers were given:
 - Early spring frosts- 25, 0%
 - Summer high temperatures - 80, 0%
 - Torrential downpours - 60, 0%
 - Decrease in precipitation - 15, 0%
 - Reduction of river discharge - 20, 0%
 - Increasing drought period -50, 0%
 - Increasing frequency of hot winds-50, 0%
 - Other - hails
3. To the question "How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield" 0% of respondents gave a positive answer, 90.0% gave a negative answer and 10,0% did not answer the question.
4. To the question "Do you find that at present there are measures to improve the adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural land to climate change?" 5, 0% of the respondents answered "yes", 95, 0% answered "no" and 0% did not answer the question.
5. To the question "If yes, please list the known measures" the respondents specified the following: introduction of new technologies for irrigation water use and fertilization of arable land.
6. To the question "How will you react, if a grant project on adaptation to climate change of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands is carried out in your community" positive answer was given by 100% of the respondents.
7. To the question "If positive, what activities would you prefer? / please specify/" the following answers were given:
 - Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields - 10,0%
 - Increase in fertility of arable land 25,0%
 - New technologies for saving irrigation water -30,0%
 - Creating a stable feedstuff base20,0%
 - Midfield road repairs-85,0%
 - Solar water heaters - 45,2%
 - Other- introduction of new crops and technologies
8. To the question "How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?" 85.0% of respondents gave a positive answer, 0% gave a negative answer and 15,0% did not answer the question.
9. To the question "If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?" the following answers were given:
 - Establishing stakeholder groups -20,0%
 - Signing an agreement with the community administration -15,0%
 - Providing schools -30,0%
 - Did not answer -35,0%
 - Other

10. To the question “Do you know that there is a specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ near your community?” the answer “yes” was given by 70,0% and “no” by 30,0% of the respondents.
11. To the question “Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?” the answer “yes” was given by 61,7% and “no” by 38,3% of the respondents.
12. To the question “If yes, please highlight the ways of usage” the following answers were given:
 - Grazing – 71,4%
 - Haymaking -42,9%
 - Gathering of edible plants and fungi -78,6%
 - Firewood harvesting-21,3%
13. To the question “What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in Armenia?” 15,0% of the respondents answered “good”, 25,0% “satisfactory” and 60,0% did not answer the question.
14. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?” 5,0% of the respondents answered “good”, 10,0% “satisfactory” and 85,0% did not answer the question.
15. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?” 5,0% of the respondents answered “good”, 15,0% “satisfactory” and 80,0% did not answer the question.
16. To the question “Did your community previously organize informational training on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?” 5,0% of respondents gave a positive answer, 75,0% gave a negative answer and 20,0% did not answer the question.
17. To the question “How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the climate change conditions?” the following answers were given:
 - To a great extent -70, 0%
 - To some extent -20, 0%
 - Did not answer 10,0 %:
20. To the question “How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project’s knowledge and awareness raising component.” 85,0% answered positive and 15,0% did not answer.
21. To the question “If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?” the following answers were given:
 - a. 5,0%- involvement in the teaching staff
 - b. 95,0% - involvement as a participant.

Table 7: The answers of the survey participants in Fioletovo community to the questions included in the questionnaire

N	Questions included in the questionnaire	Answers
1.	Do you find that climate change is seen in your region?	Yes - 16 No -4
2.	If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)	Early spring frosts- 3 Summer high temperatures - 13 Torrential downpours - 10 Decrease in precipitation -2 Reduction of river discharge - 6 Increasing drought period -11 Increasing frequency of hot winds-7 Other - hails
3.	How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield	positive -1 negative - 16 difficult to answer ` - 3
4.	Do you find that at present there are measures to increase the adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural land to climate change?	Yes - 0 No -17 Did not answer -3
5.	If yes, please list the known measures	Introduction of new technologies for irrigation water use
6.	How will you react, if a grant project on adaptation to climate change of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands is carried out in your community	Positive -17 Negative - 0 Did not answer -3
7.	If positive, what activities would you prefer? / please specify /	Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields - 2 Increase in fertility of arable land -4 New technologies for saving irrigation water -2 Creating a stable feedstuff base- 5 Midfield road repairs-18 solar water heaters - 15 Other- introduction of new crops and technologies
8.	How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?	Positive - 11 Negative - 0 Did not answer - 9

9	If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?	Establishing stakeholder groups -2 Signing an agreement with the community administration - 11 Providing schools - 3 Did not answer - 4 other -0
10	Do you know that there is a Specially protected area of nature /SPAN/near your community?	Yes -15 No -5
11	Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?	Yes -11 No -9
12	If yes, please highlight the usage type	Grazing - 5 Haymaking - 1 Gathering of edible plants and fungi -11 Firewood harvesting-1
13	What is your knowledge of the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in Armenia?	Good- 2 Satisfactory -3 Did not answer -15
14	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, meadows, forest areas) under global climate change conditions?	Good- 1 Satisfactory -2 Did not answer -17
15	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?	Good- 1 Satisfactory -2 Did not answer -17
16	Did your community previously organize informational training on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?	Yes -0 No -19 Did not answer -1
17	How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the conditions of climate change?	to a great extent -15 to some extent -3 Did not answer -2
If you believe that raising the level of knowledge will help improving the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes, please answer which training topics would you choose		
1	The causes of global climate change and projected impacts in Armenia	Yes - 15 No -5
2	Adaptation to natural and agricultural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, forest areas, orchards, etc.) under the conditions of global climate change	Yes - 13 No -7

3	International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia	Yes -16 No -4
4	Tourism and ecotourism	Yes -13 No -7
5	Efficient livestock management techniques	Yes -15 No -5
6	Other topics	New technologies
7	How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project's knowledge and awareness raising component.	Positive -16 Did not answer -4
7.1	If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?	Involvement in the teaching staff - 0 Involvement as a participant - 15 other -0

The analysis of the survey results shows that:

- To the question "Do you find that climate change is evident in your region?" 80,0% of respondents answered "yes" and 20.0% answered "no".
- To the question "If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)" the following answers were given:
 - Early spring frosts- 18,8%
 - Summer high temperatures - 81,3%
 - Torrential downpours - 62,5%
 - Decrease in precipitation - 12,5%
 - Reduction of river discharge - 37,5%
 - Increasing drought period -68,8%
 - Increasing frequency of hot winds-43,8%
 - Other - hails
- To the question "How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield" 5.0% of respondents gave a positive answer, 80.0% gave a negative answer and 15,0% did not answer the question.
- To the question "Do you find that at present there are measures to improve the adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural land to climate change?" 0,0% of the respondents answered "yes", 85,0% answered "no" and 15.0% did not answer the question.
- To the question "If yes, please list the known measures" the respondents specified the following: introduction of new irrigation water use technologies.
- To the question "How will you react, if a grant project on adaptation to climate change of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands is carried out in your community" positive answer was given by 85.0% of the respondents and 15.0% Did not answer the question. .
- To the question "If positive, what activities would you prefer? / please specify/" the following answers were given:

- Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields – 10,0%
 Increase in fertility of arable land 20,0%
 New technologies for saving irrigation water -10,0%
 Creating a stable feedstuff base 25,0%
 Midfield road repairs-90,0%
 Solar water heaters – 75,0%
 Other- introduction of new crops and technologies
8. To the question “How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?” 55.0% of respondents gave a positive answer, 0% gave a negative answer and 45,0% Did not answer the question.
 9. To the question “If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?” the following answers were given:
 Establishing stakeholder groups -10,0%
 Signing an agreement with the community administration -55,0%
 Providing schools -15,0%
 Did not answer -20,0%
 Other
 10. To the question “Do you know that there is a specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ near your community?” the answer “yes” was given by 75,0% and “no” by 25,0% of the respondents.
 11. To the question “Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?” the answer “yes” was given by 55.0% and “no” by 45.0% of the respondents.
 12. To the question “If yes, please highlight the ways of usage” the following answers were given:
 Grazing – 45,5%
 Haymaking -9,1%
 Gathering of edible plants and fungi -100,0%
 Firewood harvesting-9,1%
 13. To the question “What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in Armenia?” 10,0% of the respondents answered good, 15.0% Satisfactory and 75.0% did not answer the question.
 14. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?” 5.0% of the respondents answered “good”, 10.0% “satisfactory” and 85.0% did not answer the question.
 15. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?” 5.0% of the respondents answered “good”, 10.0% “satisfactory” and 85.0% did not answer the question.
 16. To the question “Did your community previously organize informational training on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?” 0.0% of respondents gave a positive answer, 95.0% gave a negative answer and 5.0% did not answer the question.
 17. To the question “How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation

of pastures and hayfields under the climate change conditions?” the following answers were given:

To a great extent -75,0%

To some extent -15,0%

Did not answer 10,0 %:

18. To the question “How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project’s knowledge and awareness raising component?” 80.0% answered positive and 20.0% did not answer.

19. To the question “If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?” the following answers were given:

c. 0,0%- involvement in the teaching staff

d. 75,0% - involvement as a participant.

Table 8: The answers of the survey participants community schools to the questions included in the questionnaire

N	Questions included in the questionnaire	Answers
1.	Do you know that there is a functioning Specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ adjacent to your community?	Yes -46 No -16
2.	What are the values you know in the territory of the protected areas? Please underline (plants, animals, hydrographic, cultural, etc.)?	Plants– 52 Animals – 42 Hydrographic – 4 Historical and Cultural – 0 Other – 0
3	Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?	Yes - 42 No – 20
4	If yes, please highlight the ways of usage	Grazing - 22 Haymaking - 0 Gathering of edible plants and fungi - 29 Firewood harvesting– 18
5.	What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in the Republic of Armenia?	Good-- 6 Satisfactory - 27 Did not answer – 29
6	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?	Good-- 6 Satisfactory - 8 Did not answer – 48
7.	What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate	Good-- 6 Satisfactory - 16 Did not answer – 40

	change conditions?	
8.	How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the climate change conditions?	to a great extent – 31 to some extent -15 Did not answer -16
If you believe that raising the level of knowledge will help improving the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes, please answer which training topics would you choose		
1	The causes of global climate change and projected impacts in Armenia	Yes - 40 No -22
2	Adaptation to natural and agricultural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, forest areas, orchards, etc.) under the conditions of global climate change	Yes - 51 No -11
3	International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia	Yes - 39 No -23
4.	Efficient livestock management techniques	Yes - 16 No -46
5.	Other topics	New water-saving technologies, protection and use of herbs

The analysis of the survey results shows that:

- To the question "Do you know that there is a functioning specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ adjacent to your community?" 74,2% respondents answered "yes" and 25.8% answered "no".
- To the question "What are the values you know in the territory of the protected areas? Please underline (plants, animals, hydrographic, cultural, etc.)?" the following answers were given:
Plants- 83,9%
Animals - 67,8%
Hydrographic - 6,5%
Historical and Cultural - 0
Other - 0
- To the question "Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?" 67,8% of the respondents answered "yes" and 32,2% "no".
- To the question "If yes, please highlight the type of usage" the following answer were given:
Grazing - 52,4%
Haymaking -0%
Gathering of edible plants and fungi -69,0%

Firewood harvesting-42,9%

5. To the question “What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in the Republic of Armenia?” 9,7% of the respondents answered “good”, 43.5% “satisfactory” and 46.8% did not answer the question.
6. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?” 9,7% of the respondents answered “good”, 12.9% “satisfactory” and 77.4% did not answer the question.
7. To the question “What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?” 9,7% of the respondents answered “good”, 25.8% “satisfactory” and 64.5% did not answer the question.
8. To the question “How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the climate change conditions?” the following answers were given:
 - To a great extent -50,0%
 - To some extent -24,2%
 - Did not answer 25,8%:

COMMENTS

Surveys among residents

1. Most of the respondents believe that climate change is seen in the region, which is mainly expressed by early spring frosts, torrential downpours, increase of temperature and decrease of precipitation.
2. The majority of respondents believe that the productivity of the natural and agricultural ecosystems is adversely affected by climate change.
3. Most respondents find that there are currently no effective measures to increase the adaptability of natural and agricultural ecosystems to climate change.
4. Most respondents have a positive attitude towards the implementation of programs aimed at the adaptation of natural and agricultural ecosystems in their communities and mainly prefer improvement of arable land, introduction of new irrigation technologies, and road rehabilitation works.
5. The survey participants have a low level of knowledge about the opportunities for adaptation to natural ecosystems.
6. The survey participants are positive about the idea of building solar dryers, non-heating greenhouses and solar water heaters in their communities, but they find it difficult to find common mechanisms for their joint use.
7. The vast majority of respondents know that their community borders to a specially protected area of nature and use the natural resources of the area.
8. Up to one-fifth of the respondents found that their knowledge of the measures aimed at the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes under the conditions of global climate change is “satisfactory”, while 1/3 of the respondents found it difficult to answer the question.

9. Most of the interviewees are confident that there have not been previously organized informational trainings on measures for adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes under the conditions of climate change in their communities.
10. 53.3% of respondents believe that raising awareness of the population will greatly assist in the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes to climate change, 31.4% believe that it will assist to some extent, and 15.3% have found it difficult to answer the question.
11. The survey participants generally find that the proposed teaching topics are acceptable.
12. Most participants want to participate, however, the topics selected by the communities and the age group of participants is somewhat different.
13. The following topics are most preferable in Urtsadzor community:
 - i. Increasing the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes (pasture, hayfield, forest areas, arable land, orchards, etc.) under the global climate change;
 - ii. International experience of climate-wise "clever" methods of agriculture management under the conditions of climate change and investment opportunities in Armenia;
 - iii. Efficient livestock breeding practices.
14. The following topics are most preferable in Dilijan community:
 - i. Increasing the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes (pasture, hayfield, forest areas, arable land, orchards, etc.) under the global climate change;
 - ii. International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia;
 - iii. Tourism and Ecotourism
15. The following topics are most preferable in Margahovit community:
 - i. The causes of global climate change and projected impacts in Armenia
 - ii. International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia;
 - iii. Tourism and Ecotourism
16. The following topics are most preferable in Fioletovo community:
 - i. The causes of global climate change and projected impacts in Armenia
 - ii. International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia;
 - iii. Efficient livestock breeding practices.

Survey among high school students

1. About the ¾ of respondents know that the community borders to a Specially protected area of nature and 67,8% uses the natural resources of the area.
2. 83.9% of pupils believe that the natural values of the Specially protected areas of nature are plants, while 67.8% that the animal world.
3. 67.8% of the respondents find that they use natural resources within the SPAN borders.
4. About 10.0% of the respondents are convinced that they have good knowledge of the causes of global climate change, as well as increasing the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes under the global climate change conditions.
5. 50.0% of respondents believe that raising awareness of the population will greatly assist in the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of natural and agricultural

landscapes to climate change, 24.2% of respondents believe that it will assist to some extent, and 25.8% have found it difficult to answer the question.

6. Generally the survey participants find that the proposed teaching topics are acceptable.
7. However, most of the participants are interested only in courses on the following topics “The causes of global climate change and projected impacts in Armenia”, “Increasing the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes under the global climate change conditions; and International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia;
8. The training on the topic “Efficient livestock breeding practices” was mostly of no interest to the pupils.

50. The following concrete outputs have been formulated for this component.

Output 3.1: Farmer field schools and extension services have been provided to share best practices of climate smart agriculture and LDN for the targeted communities;

Output 3.2 Best practices examples and training material on climate smart agriculture are formulated, disseminated and made accessible;

Output 3.3 Community based adaptation planning is conducted for target communities;

Output 3.4 Strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas have been formulated.

Output 3.5: A monitoring system for land based adaptation measures and land degradation neutrality has been established for the target communities;

Output 3.1: Farmer field schools and extension services have been provided to share best practices of climate smart agriculture and LDN for the targeted communities;

Farmer field school is an effective way to provide farmers with the skillset necessary for the modern market economy. Farmers are trained to analyze the environmental, natural and agricultural ecosystems at farmer field school which provides the opportunity for more rational decisions on climate change adaptation measures. Sustainable management of natural and agricultural ecosystems requires a sustainable knowledge and practice base that will enable future generations to develop an improved resource base. An integrated agricultural crop product aims to find better farming practices to generate higher returns, preserving the environment, natural ecosystems surrounding communities, and improving community health. To achieve this goal, farmer field schools will focus on the following four principles:

- Healthy product growth,
- Preservation of natural and agricultural ecosystems,
- Periodic observations in natural and agricultural ecosystems,
- Training of farmers by relevant experts.

Farmer field schools are mainly focused on cultivating healthy agricultural crops, which means:

- Use of species that are relatively stable towards diseases and pests and adapted to local conditions;
- Joint use of appropriate fertilizers (chemical and organic)

- Application of modern irrigation methods;
- Sustainable management of natural ecosystems.

The effectiveness of farmer field schools is largely conditioned by the development of monitoring and decision making skills. From this point of view, it is envisaged to carry out regular monitoring of natural ecosystems and cultivated areas of participants in farmer field schools.

Training will be carried out at all stages of plant growth.

Although there are no complementary training plans for farmer field schools, there are common elements on which the learning plans can rely on. These include:

- Analysis of natural and agricultural ecosystems;
- Special topics,
- Field experiments,
- Small field experiments,

The following topics are preferable for farmer field schools:

- Physiology and development of agricultural crops,
- Agrotechnical methods of healthy and profitable agriculture,
- Effective methods of protection against plant diseases and pests;
- Soil fertility management;
- Natural enemies of pests and diseases;
- Field observations
- Pesticides, including environmental and human security,
- Sustainable management of natural ecosystems.

An organization will be selected through a tender to carry out the works.

Output 3.2-3.3-3.4;

The selected organization will carry out the following works:

- Explore communities' needs and capacities;
- Develop a training and awareness-raising program,
- Develop topics for the project,
- Implement knowledge and skills training program,
- Develop a plan for dissemination of project materials, results, best practices,
- Disseminate project materials, results, best practices,
- Develop strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas.
- Determine the existing non-governmental organizations, women, youth, environmental and other unions in the communities and develop capacity building plan for them.

Output 3.5: A monitoring system for land based adaptation measures and land degradation neutrality has been established for the target communities;

LAND DEGRADATION INDICATORS FOR THE MONITORING SYSTEM UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE CONDITIONS

I. FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO LAND DEGRADATION AND THEIR CONSEQUENCES

Each year anthropogenic impacts along with the climate change phenomena (stable increase of temperature, decrease of precipitation, increase of aboveground temperature, increase of temperature in 0-30 cm soil layer, decrease of absolute and relative air humidity level, etc.) lead to the exclusion of thousands of hectares of arable land and the decrease of forests and pastures in Armenia. This is conditioned by the following factors:

1. Urban development, which is particularly evident in the recent decades, in the light of active appropriation of new lands for urban development resulting in emergence of a large number of new disturbed sites. Geological processes such as landslides are significantly activating in the old disturbed sites;
2. Agricultural factors are related to the violation of agro-technical rules of cultivation leading to degradation of ten thousands of hectares of arable land and water erosion resulting in the exposure of slopes. According to preliminary estimates, the cultivable land area will decrease, due to poor condition of the irrigation system and high financial costs associated with its operation;
3. Disruption of irrigation and drainage networks (including abstraction of water by small HPPs during summer months) and failure of their renewal. The needs of the aquatic fauna are mostly not taken into account during the design and operation of small hydropower plants. While the impact of water regime changes on coastal and aquatic ecosystems and biodiversity has neither been assessed nor studied;
4. Road erosion- when erosion centers are formed at car wheel spaces of steep slopes;
5. Illegal logging, which is aggravated by relative availability of timber, increases in energy prices, low solvency of socially vulnerable population. The timber remains the main source of fuel for forest adjacent community population;
6. Mining, especially in case of open pit mining;
7. Pollution of soil with industrial and household waste materials; and
8. Violation of biological diversity.

The results of the studies conducted in the last decade by the Ministries of Nature Protection, Agriculture, Territorial Administration and Development, Energy Infrastructures and Natural Resources, Emergency Situations of the Republic of Armenia, regional administrations, National Academy of Sciences and other institutions have shown that, as compared to the previous period, the threats to ecosystems due to anthropogenic impact have not undergone major changes.

It should be noted that the loss of natural resources and the changes in ecosystem services are a result of cumulative impacts rather than an effect of one factor only.

II. LAND DEGRADATION NEUTRALITY

In recent years, the international community has been promoting the application of the principles of Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN). LDN implies improving land resources, targeted at increasing economic, social and environmental benefits. Land Degradation Neutrality is a state whereby the amount and quality of land resources, necessary to support ecosystem functions and services and enhance food security, remains stable or increases within specified temporal and spatial scales and ecosystems.

There are many options for achieving LDN. The combination of these options should take into account land degradation type, degree, course, size, land-based resources and the degree of self-renewal (natural properties) and national priorities, capacity priorities as well as prevention of land degradation, sustainable land management and rehabilitation of degraded lands.

Prevention and reduction of land degradation can be achieved through land use planning and implementation of international land management practices, taking into account the potential of land resources and self-rehabilitation capacity. The following interconnected actions are important for achieving land degradation neutrality:

1. Determine the spatial units and functional groups of land degradation neutrality.
2. Evaluate the type, size and degree of degradation, based on baseline data.
3. Determine the causes of land degradation and ways of its prevention, reduction and elimination.
4. Develop land degradation neutrality indicators
5. Develop institutional and technical capacities, incentive mechanisms and cooperation to address land degradation neutrality.
6. Ensure intersectoral cooperation and appropriate flow of financial resources to achieve land degradation neutrality.

III. IMPROVEMENT OF LAND MONITORING SYSTEM

At present, many organizations are engaged in the implementation of environmental monitoring at different levels and there is a need to coordinate data collection and processing process of these organizations.

The field of environmental monitoring is regulated by a number of legal acts, in particular: Decision No 198-N of the Government of the Republic of Armenia from January 25, 2007, Decision No. 1440-N of the Government of the Republic of Armenia from November 13, 2008, Decision No. 1441-N of the Government of the Republic of Armenia from November 13, 2008, Decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia No 120-N from January 22, 2009, Decision No 121-N of the Government of the Republic of Armenia from January 22, 2009, Decision No. 276-N of the Government of the Republic of Armenia from February 19, 2009 and

Order No. 234-N approved by the Minister of Agriculture of the Republic of Armenia from 11.10.2007 "On approving the forms of collecting information required for State Forest Monitoring".

It is necessary to formulate a unified and systematic information database of monitoring data, which will provide a comprehensive assessment of the state of the environment and natural resources. Such information will coordinate public administration bodies in policy-making and governance decision-making.

Improvement of the environmental monitoring system will enable the definition of the ecological tension standards in Armenia and development of the appropriate assessment scale.

From this point of view, spatial imaging of land degradation, generation of integral spatial models, and compilation of information database can be done by introduction of geographic information systems. When developing a new method of environmental monitoring, it is necessary to place a great deal of attention on the use of remote sensing data, which can provide operative monitoring and prediction of droughts in arid and semiarid regions. Effective organization and implementation of land monitoring is of primary importance in improving the land degradation monitoring system, the key components of which are:

1. Implementation of laboratory testing of land monitoring by accredited laboratories.
2. Organization of land monitoring by the authorized state body in the field of land conservation and use, cooperation with territorial bodies and local self-governing bodies;
3. Monitoring of land pollution by chemical and radioactive materials, weathering, water logging, salination, landslides and other impacts contributing to land degradation.
4. Entry of monitoring data and summary information in the land monitoring database maintained by an authorized state body, which is a constituent part of the integrated environmental information database.
5. Ensuring accessibility of land monitoring data;

Monitoring of land degradation should be based on official data of statistical services that should be classified based on satellite imagery and be independent of data obtained from inquiries that should be updated and analyzed every year. It is essential to carry out land monitoring surveys and establish a land degradation rapid response system.

From this point of view, the criteria for monitoring are as follows:

1. The time frame for each action;
2. Compliance of actions to the objectives;
3. Annual financial support for action;
4. A report on the implementation of each action for a specified period and format;
5. The level of involvement of stakeholders in actions being implemented.

"Environmental Monitoring and Information Center" State Non-Commercial Organization (SNCO), which operates under the Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia, is monitoring and evaluating the quality of atmospheric air, surface and groundwater resources, precipitation, soil and bottom sediments. The results are quarterly summarized and posted on the

Ministry's website. The PIU plans to closely cooperate with the "Environmental Monitoring and Information Center" SNCO and conduct general monitoring of the lands where improvements will be made. The results are summarized and posted on the Ministry's website on quarterly basis. PIU plans to closely cooperate with the "Environmental Monitoring and Information Center" SNCO and conduct general monitoring of the lands where improvements will be performed.

Outputs 3.1 and 3.5 of the Project Component 3 envisages organization of field school groups, knowledge enhancement, demonstration field experiments and establishment and implementation of Monitoring System for land based adaptation measures and land degradation neutrality. Within the framework of these outputs, a training course on monitoring characteristics will be organized for farmer field school and other community members.

Land quality change demonstrations will be organized in the arable lands where soil improvements with organic fertilizers are to be carried out.

Laboratory studies of soil quality will be conducted prior to fertilization (spring or fall). The obtained results will be compared with that received after fertilization and harvesting.

Members of the farm field school will monitor the amount of crops and will be taught to calculate the macro elements required for a variety of crops.

In the areas with drip irrigation stakeholders will be trained to monitor irrigation water. A monitoring and a comparative assessment of ditch and drip irrigation will be conducted.

Stakeholder livestock owners who will benefit from improved pastures and hay meadows will be trained and will carry out monitoring of the grass covered territories, based on which the assessment will be conducted.

The project also envisages monitoring of the effectiveness of new crops and varieties, which will enable beneficiaries to evaluate the economic effectiveness of crops and varieties.

B. Describe how the project / programme provides economic, social and environmental benefits, with particular reference to the most vulnerable communities, and vulnerable groups within communities, including gender considerations. Describe how the project / programme will avoid or mitigate negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

51. As highlighted above, the many environmental services and benefits that will be derived from this project will go beyond the country level and will contribute to a number of global environmental benefits. By promoting greater coordination, collaboration and enhancing capacity, the project will promote an exemplary enabling policy environment which will reduce many of the barriers to the successful mainstreaming of ecosystem resilience to climate change adverse effects. This will be done by developing principles for effective capacity building and institutional frameworks for sustainable management of natural and agricultural ecosystems. The protection of Armenia's rich portfolio of globally important wild relatives, and associated evolutionary processes represents a global good of vital importance to the future of the planet and its inhabitants. Such unique germplasm harbours important genetic traits that can help the world cope with climate change and contribute to future food security. In this respect it will identify and test 'best practices' which strengthen adaptability, stability and resilience of the natural resources. By generating local income and economic development that rewards the provision of ecosystem services in some of the most impoverished areas of Armenia the project will contribute to

reducing poverty and enhancing well-being and thus reduce future pressure on vulnerable ecosystems.

52. The project will create age and social groups (i.e. youth, women) endowed with the necessary amount of knowledge was upon completion of the program will be able to disseminate their knowledge in other communities concerned. The project will strengthen the capacity of local media and environmental NGOs.

53. The programme will pursue a gender-sensitive approach whereby women's participation in planning will be strongly promoted (through targeted training within each component and other means) and thereby increasing the beneficiary families and communities ability to adapt and support each other in the process. Monitoring of this approach will be the responsibility of the Gender Specialist.

54. The programme will provide significant economic, social and environmental benefits to selected communities. Armenia faces multiple hazards and shows a wide variety of vulnerabilities to climate change, which will result in rural communities and ecosystems negatively affected. Rural vulnerability is due to low human and infrastructure conditions related to poverty.

An important analysis was made, in order to choose the most vulnerable regions in the country regarding each of the components selected. Aspects such as: poverty, provision of basic services, basic dimensions of human development, productive activities, important biodiversity spots, and current-future vulnerability. As a result, vulnerable groups benefiting from this programme include:

Beneficiaries

55. The target communities were selected in a participatory manner focusing on poor and vulnerable communities as well as women and women headed households. The beneficiaries of the project are amongst the most vulnerable population of the country: rural communities with low human development indicators, highly dependent on natural resources taking into account restrictions of protected areas. It's expected through the project to integrate appropriate considerations of climate change and variability into daily practices among beneficiaries. The project will particularly target:

- Rural communities: The livelihoods on livelihoods are highly dependent on climate, particularly for those communities that are considered the most vulnerable. Support to the development of agricultural value chains and energy saving technologies will help sustaining and improving income opportunities for local communities.
- Small scale farmers: The project will help improving their production systems using a low cost/organic/nontraditional approaches that would contribute to increase their productivity, maintain their income and their resilience to climate change.
- Women: specifically women-headed households will benefit from improvements on the supply of irrigation water, implementation of sustainable and organic measures for agricultural sectors.
- Civil society organizations: Civil society and community based organizations, such as farmers' organizations and associations of local producers, women's groups and schools as well as local government administration will benefit from the project through capacity

building support and training, as well as support to better plan, manage and monitor climate smart agricultural interventions.

56. The number of direct and indirect project beneficiaries is estimated as follows:

- Total number of beneficiaries (direct and indirect): 16000 people (55% - women, 45%-men).
 - Beneficiaries in communities adjacent to Khosrov Forest State Reserve: 4500 people (45% - women, 55%- men).
 - Beneficiaries in communities adjacent to Dilijan National Park: 12500 people (53% - women, 47%- men).
- Beneficiaries benefitting from targeted capacity building: 300 people (60% - women, 40%-men).

Social benefits

57. The project has clear social benefits due to its holistic approach on supporting climate-smart agricultural practices and supporting the livelihoods of the local communities through improved income generation opportunities and capacity building.

Following are expected detailed social benefits of the project. Where possible their quantitative description is provided.

- Increase of production capacities and climate change adaptation capacities in all the target communities of the project.
 - It is expected to rehabilitate and enrich 967 ha of community pastures, 312 ha of community hayfields and 103 ha of arable land. As a result of project activities more than 80km of field tracks will become operational and 3 ha of degraded slopes will be rehabilitated. 6.1 km of irrigation water supply systems will be rehabilitated.
 - It is expected to train more than 300 beneficiaries on adaptation measures, which will the level of their understanding of climate risks and improve their adaptation capacities to climate change. At least 40% of training beneficiaries will be women.
- Active community participation. At least 4 communities and 11 rural settlements are beneficiaries of the adaptation measures implemented. Each of the activities involves the participation of organizations at the local level. In addition to this, the beneficiaries who will be involved in greenhouse production will be required to provide part of their produce to community kindergartens. This will increase social bonds within the community residents and will create a new culture in the communities.
- Capacity building among social groups. The project will improve the levels of understanding of climate risk and adaptation to climate change to:
 - More than 200 stakeholders
 - 4 community representatives (traditional leader, women and young groups)

- Higher and more diversified employment and income generation opportunities in the target communities through creating, supporting and promoting climate-smart agricultural value chains and providing training and coaching sessions on marketing of agricultural produce to the residents of local communities. In addition to creation of new value chains in target communities, the proposed interventions are also expected to have a positive impact on production yields of crops and fodder and reduction in use of fertilizers and other agro-chemicals. Thus the project will result in additional income for the farming communities. At the same time, community residents will have an opportunity to diversify their employment and have a direct employed in the project, as all the contractors of the project will be requested to involve community residents in transportation, construction and rehabilitation activities implemented in the framework of the project. Moreover, better employment and income generation opportunities will additionally result into:
 - Improvement of food and nutritional security in rural communities, through support to family farms, small and micro scale farms;
 - Community members equipped with new skills in sustainable agricultural production, operation of new cultivation methods (mulching, drip irrigation, greenhouse production, dry fruit production) and agro marketing;
 - The project is expected to impact general community well-being by increasing economic opportunities in the target communities.
- Improved social inclusion for marginalised groups of target communities. The selection of pilot sites and beneficiaries will follow a pro-poor approach and will particularly target women-headed households, single-parent households, people with disabilities, extended households, families with labour migrants, young farmers and families included in the Family and Social Benefit state programmes and smallholders (less than 1 ha land) – at least 60% of beneficiaries will belong to these groups.
- Women empowerment and increased self-confidence. Given that women are the most economically vulnerable group of rural population project has set specific targets to ensure women’s equal access to project activities (See Paragraph 55) and especially to income generating opportunities. Through promotion of economic opportunities through provision of new production technologies, provision of improved socialising and education opportunities through participating in training sessions, the project will provide a positive impetus for the improved gender relations in the target communities, where women will be able to increase their economic independence of women, enhance their role in a village and within their families.
- Efficient management of water resources and healthier environment through limited pollution of soil and water bodies (e.g. notably through avoided soil erosion) and reduced exploitation of water resources through rehabilitated irrigation systems for the benefit of

the community. 6.1 km of irrigation system will be rehabilitated which will result in reduction of convenience losses and will annually save 80% irrigation water;

- Improved cooperation among residents of target communities is expected as a result of the project. Introduction of new production technologies, crop varieties and production methods will increase cooperation and information exchange among target community residents.
- The project is expected to have long term social benefits through training and coaching sessions planned by the project. The provided capacity building activities will not only help to achieve project expected outcomes and sustain its results, but will help the participants to extend their activities beyond the project activities and time-frame.

As mentioned before, during the project development phase, we had meetings with the project stakeholders, especially women, smallholders, extended families, pensioners and families receiving State social and family benefits⁹, etc. At the meetings, we presented the actions envisaged by the project and identified a list of stakeholders who can and want to work and earn extra income. Stakeholders expressed their readiness to build solar greenhouses and fruit drying solar dryers at their land plots within the framework of the Project, which will enable to increase incomes. The quantification of these welfare benefits are as follows:

- We have studied the effectiveness of solar greenhouses in the area and found out that it is possible to have an income of about 14-20 USD per 1 square meter of greenhouse. It is envisaged to build 100-150m² greenhouses where annual income will be about 1400-3000 USD.
- Preservative-free dried fruit and herbs sales markets in Yerevan and Dilijan cities were studied. Depending on the type of fruit, at supermarkets and markets 1 kg of dried fruit is sold within the range of 5-10 USD. Wild herbs are sold at the range of 1USD per 50g. The productivity of a solar dryer is at least 10-13 kg of ready-made products on weekly basis depending on the fruit type. Drying of fruit in the village of Urtsadzor begins in mid-June and continues till the end of October, so beneficiary families will be able to produce up to 200-250 kg of dried fruits, with average market value of around 1700 USD and more per season. In Dilijan and Margahovit communities the season for drying starts at the end of June and continues till the end of October. Here the beneficiary families will be able to produce up to 200-250 kg of dried fruits, with averaged market value of 1 500 USD and more.
- The administrative areas of the project communities are abundant in various herbs and plants. Taking into account the sales opportunities at the initial stages, each stakeholder can produce up to 10 kg of dry herbs and plants in parallel to the preparation of the dried

⁹ Families receiving social benefits are the families that are extremely poor and receive around 40 USD per month from the government.

fruits. This quantity is realistic in terms of sales opportunities and will bring additional 500 USD income per year.

- From the introduction and cultivation of new orchards the project beneficiaries will be able to get on average 1833 USD from 1000 square meters of orchards. See Table 2.4.1.

58. It is expected that the project will not have any negative social effects such as the resettlement of some communities, impact on the access to land and water resources or any discrimination due the participation (or exclusion) in project activities.

1. In Urtsadzor, some of the agricultural land is irrigated with water wells from which water is pumped out by electric pumps with a capacity of 5-10 kilowatts per hour. The pump can supply 9m³ water in an hour. Within the framework of the project, power supply of 38 kW/hour capacity pumps is envisaged to be carried out by solar panels. For 1 kilowatt of electricity, the population pays 0.49 USD, which amounts to 18.62 USD per hour. If the pumps work 10 hours a day, the daily cost will amount to 186.62 USD. Orchards and vineyards are watered 8-9 times, while the vegetable crops about 10-13 times per year. Thus, the electricity price for irrigation of orchards and vineyards amounts to 1586.27 USD and 2146.13 USD for vegetable crops. Therefore, the receipt of electricity through solar panels and the operation of the pumps will allow for the reduction of the cost to 1586,27-2146,13 USD resulting in the income increase.
2. In the Republic of Armenia the water consumption for irrigation purposes on 1 hectare is calculated by crops. For orchards and vineyards it is 5000-6000m³/ha per year, and for vegetable crops - 6000-7000m³/ ha. The average irrigation cost of 1 hectare is around \$ 50.0. Irrigation water losses in the Project area are 50% to 70% in main canals and in the domestic network, and irrigation water providers are forced to take more water from the main canals, the value of which is added to the cost of irrigation water. In case of decrease of losses, the cost per 1 hectare will drop by about 15 USD. The reduction of irrigation water volume in the conditions of climate change is even more acute. Due to insufficient irrigation water large areas in the lower parts of the country are not cultivated. Agricultural lands are rapidly degrading and losing adaptability to climate change. From this point of view, the improvement of irrigation systems and introduction of drip irrigation system is economically and environmentally justified.
3. Improvement of hay meadows and pastures has a great ecological and economic significance.
 - (a) The ecological significance is that the improvement of these natural ecosystems improves the quantitative and qualitative composition of the vegetative cover, reduces land degradation and, as a result, increases the adaptability potential in climate change conditions.

The economic significance is that the productivity of pastures and hay meadows increases by 15-30%. If we take into consideration that 50-60% of the annual quantity of milk is produced in the pastoral season, this measure can contribute to 20.0% increase in milk production. The average milk yield of 1 cow in the Republic is 1250 liters. The price of 1 liter is \$ 0.3. The measure will result in generation of additional 35USD income generation from 1 cow. The mass and the meat quality will also increase greatly.

Hay meadows ensure more than 75-85%, and in some of the farms even 100% of the winter feed demand. Increasing the yield of hay meadows will contribute to the formation of a stable feedstock by 20.0% on an average which will save about 85 USD per cow, which the owner would have to spend to buy food. 1 ton of fodder for the season is 75 USD. According to our estimates, due to the improvement of the meadows, productivity will increase by about 20%.

Establishment of new fruit orchards is an effective way of preventing degradation of non-cultivated agricultural lands. The cultivation of vegetable crops in Armenia is not sufficiently mechanized and requires huge human intervention. For the cultivation of tomatoes, pepper, cucumber, eggplant, watermelon, melon and other crops for one year the soil must be cultivated 3-4 times, watered 10-12 times, fertilized 2-3 times, harvested 3-10 times and more. 80% of the work will be carried out by hand. Most of the villagers do not have enough financial resources for hiring working force and doing the work. The next problem is that many vegetable crops are to be sold as quickly as possible, which is possible only in case of existence of canned food production and large volumes of export. Often the crops are bought at a very low price, which greatly reduce farmers' interest in cultivation of these crops. Clearly, in case of intensive production, it is possible to sell about 30-40% of the harvest in the city markets, while the rest can be recycled or exported. Fruit orchards are relatively profitable because of the following:

- a) In Dilijan region irrigation is carried out 4-6 times, while in Urtsadzor region 8-10 times,
- b) Cultivation and fertilization are mainly done by tractors,
- c) Harvesting is done 2-3 times depending to the maturation rate;
- d) a part of the harvest is sold to canning factories,
- e) another part of the harvest is sold to exporting organizations,
- f) while some of the harvest can be stored and sold on the market in late autumn and winter,
- g) Some of the crops will be sold at urban markets.

Within the framework of the project, it is envisaged to increase the adaptability of non-cultivated arable land by establishing apple, pear, quince, walnut and hazel gardens.

The average yield of apple, peach, pear and quince is 198,0 c/ha, and the average market value of 1 kg of fruit is \$ 0.57. The income of the beneficiaries from established gardens will amount to \$ 11 286 per hectare.

The yield of walnut and hazel is 100-150 c/ha, while the average market value of 1 kg is 2.7-3.5 \$. The average income of the beneficiaries from established gardens will amount to \$ 38 750 per hectare.

Economic benefits

59. Climate smart agriculture and sustainable land management projects - like this project - have different economic benefits, but in many cases some higher production costs than traditional agricultural projects. The quantification of the economic costs and benefits from sustainable land management are difficult to determine as the valuation of land, soil, forest, water resources and bio-diversity is challenging notably in data constraint environments. To capture the full economic value of land, soil, water resources and bio-diversity beyond the direct agricultural and production functions (e. crop and livestock related yields) their supporting and regulatory functions should be taken into account. Different initiatives from UNCCD, Global Environmental Facility and the Economic of Land Degradation project (ELD Initiative, 2013¹⁰) have tried to establish

¹⁰ ELD Initiative (2013). The rewards of investing in sustainable land management. Interim Report for the Economics of Land Degradation Initiative: A global strategy for sustainable land management. Available from: www.eld-initiative.org/

comprehensive cost benefit analysis for sustainable land management projects and have pointed at highly positive economic cost benefit ratios. An economic cost benefit analysis would be conducted during project preparation. All in all economic benefits will arise from:

- Yield increase for fodder, crops, livestock and related products. Without any intervention measures, it is expected that yields will further decrease by 10% in the next decade. A reduction in crop and milk yields (5% for milk yield in the target areas) has already been recorded in the previous decades.
- Reduced production costs for example due to the reduced need of agricultural inputs;
- Reduced costs for processing and value addition of agricultural products, e.g. through solar driers for fruit, vegetables and berries substantial energy savings and possibly higher market prices.
- Increased efficiency of the production system, e.g. through the rehabilitation of parts of the irrigation system and thus reduced operating costs.
- Secondary economic benefits for example from increased local tourism potential and increased bio-diversity in the communities adjacent to Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National Park.

Environmental benefits

60. The environmental services and benefits that will be derived from this project will go beyond the country level and will contribute to a number of global environmental benefits. Notably the protection of Armenia' rich and globally important bio-diversity will be an important environmental benefit. By support the livelihoods of communities adjacent to natural reserves and protected areas the land use pressure on the protected areas (such as uncontrolled grazing and wood collection) would reduce and help protecting the pristine biodiversity. Capacity building and awareness raising activities will contribute to a sustainable management of the protected areas. Environmental benefits include:

- Soil preservation: One of the most important environmental benefits in the agricultural sector is soil conservation and decreased erosion, this will contribute to a reduction loss and increase of soil organic carbon.
- Improved pasture management will increase the biodiversity in community and remote pastures.
- Improved availability of water resources (groundwater and surface water) through an increased water use efficiency and reduced water losses in the irrigation system. Improvement of the protection of ecosystems protected areas;
- Increased biodiversity and reduced pressure on biodiversity and land at Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National park
- Carbon sequestration through the conservation and increase of soil organic carbon.
- Contribution to the voluntary target for land degradation neutrality;

61. Article 30 of the Constitution of the Republic of Armenia adopted in 2015 defines the equality of men and women. Article 86 of the Constitution defines that the main purpose of the state policy is to promote equality between men and women.

The main tool for achieving gender equality in the Republic of Armenia is the Law "On Ensuring Equal Rights and Equal Opportunities for Women and Men" adopted on 20 May 2013.

The Law "On Equal Rights and Equal Opportunities for Women and Men" defines main directions of the state policy aimed at ensuring gender equality, including gender equality guarantees within the state governance and public service, clarifies the forms of gender discrimination, policy development.

Based on provisions of the Law, the Government has initiated the development of a new Strategy on Providing Equal Rights and Equal Opportunities for Women and Men for 2017-2021. In relation to this programme Chapter VI. Funding for the implementation of the State Policy on Ensuring Gender Equality, Article 20: Funding for the implementation of the state policy on ensuring gender equality defines: Financing of programs and activities provided by the state policy on ensuring gender equality is implemented through the state budget of the Republic of Armenia.

For the implementation of programs and activities by the state policy on gender equality, allocations from other sources not prohibited by law, may also be made from foreign states, international organizations, as well as allocations from other organizations (regardless of organizational and legal form) and individuals, donations and allocations, which are used exclusively to finance state, regional, and community development programs and measures to ensure gender equality.

According to the new Constitution Article 210, the new Electoral Code became effective on 1 June 2016. It provides clearer mechanisms for protection of women's rights in the political sphere which would contribute to their more active participation in the political life of the country. More effective quota for women's representation has been prescribed (25% representation of women in elective bodies instead of 20%). At the same time, a gradual approach has been stipulated, and a higher quota has been prescribed for 2021 (30%).

Moreover, the rotation mechanism is also applied, according to which in case a female deputy quits her position or assumes another one, the latter should again be replaced by a woman. As a result of implementation of Action Plan "On Promoting Gender Balance among Candidates for Judges" the tangible results targeted at increasing the level of involvement of women in the judicial system of the Republic of Armenia are already noticed.

UNDP Armenia Country Office has developed Gender Equality Strategy (2016-2020) document. The objectives of this document are twofold:

- i) introduce in UNDP Armenia a formal, standardized system to apply gender mainstreaming (GM) across programme and operations, in line with corporate gender equality policies and benchmarks; and
- ii) "de-mystify" for the staff the practical application of "gender mainstreaming", "gender equality", and other gender-related concepts and policies.

The law on "On Ensuring Equal Rights and Equal Opportunities for Women and Men" guides the project's outcomes and promotes equality amongst all the population in the project impact zone. The project is in line with Adaptation Fund's gender policy¹¹. This project prioritizes women and vulnerable groups within population, which is in line with the Paris Agreement and the Constitution of Armenia. Gender equity and equality are well promoted in the project as women and men both are direct beneficiaries of the project outcomes. The project will contribute to gender equality, through strategies to empower women and girls with concrete commitments to ensure equal rights, access and opportunities for participation and leadership in the project, and in community decision making.

A gender sensitive approach will be integrated in all training modules and awareness campaigns. Knowledge and awareness raising activities will make sure that women and vulnerable group members have enough knowledge and can build resilience towards climate change.

Moreover, women and vulnerable group members will have direct economic benefits from adaptation measures. As a part of project components, the recovery of agricultural and natural landscapes, women will benefit from increasing productivity and efficiency of agricultural lands, as women are the main workforce in agriculture industry. Also increasing knowledge and awareness about climate change as well as adaptation would help women to plan their work more efficiently.

Activities envisaged by other component would also bring benefits to women and vulnerable groups. The establishment of eco-clubs in schools will have its contribution towards promotion of gender equality and equity. Boys and girls will attend the classes and trainings in the eco-clubs and the existence of the eco-clubs will promote equal participation and access to knowledge resources.

Through these actions the project will generate the following social and economic benefits for women:

1. Increased participation of women in decision-making process in community's development strategies
2. Increased awareness and knowledge for women on climate change and adaptation mechanisms.
3. Increased productivity and efficiency of agricultural lands, which will lead to increased income and improvement of livelihood for women.

Gender Mainstreaming

The gender mainstreaming approach for the project will therefore be focused on maximizing and ensuring women's active participation as well as engagement during consultations, trainings, and awareness programs.

¹¹ Annex 4 TO OPG GENDER POLICY AND ACTION PLAN.
www.adaptation-fund.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/04/OPG-ANNEX4_Gender-Policies-and-Action-Plan_approved-in-March-2016-1.pdf.

A Gender Action Plan will be prepared during Inception to outline proposed activities and targets in line with the gender mainstreaming approach and maximize benefits to both men and women during project implementation and an outline is attached.

The Project's GAP will be implemented by Project Management Unit (PMU) which will contract a social Gender specialist in the Project team. The specialist will be responsible for incorporating the GAP into project planning and program, including awareness workshops and establishment of gender-disaggregated indicators for project performance and monitoring. PMU will include reporting on progress of GAP activities in quarterly progress reports to the NIE.

C. Describe or provide an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the proposed project / programme.

Cost-effectiveness

62. A strong focus on capacity building that involves the participation of relevant stakeholders at several levels, from government officials to technical experts and the communities itself will support the adoption of new technologies in the target communities and will thus ensure a cost effective implementation of the project. Multiplier effects and the training of trainers or community champions will make it possible to have a relevant impact on a wider number of people who are indirectly involved in the project: especially through the dissemination of information, structured as a methodological tool, a wide number of citizens and civil society organizations will acquire new skills to better participate in the life of the community. Farmer field schools and peer-to-peer learning, that is a powerful way to share, replicate, and scale up what really works, by learning from the practical experiences of those who have gone through similar challenges. As an important knowledge management approach, knowledge exchange mechanisms are promoted among communities and organizations as well as capacity building, which will ensure adaptation on local planning processes as well as better decision-making by involving local stakeholders on topics such as climate change, resilience and adaptation in agriculture, water management. At the same time, the exchange of knowledge will lower the operational costs and increase benefits due the opportunity of replicating best practices and lessons learned amongst communities.

63. The project will be implemented in a highly cost effective manner through the application of competitive procurement of goods and services where this would be required (e.g. the competitive procurement of agricultural inputs such as seeds and tools) conform the rules for public procurement of the government and guidance for fiduciary management of the Adaptation Fund. More importantly, the project will mainly involve community works, the local sourcing of input and labor and will limit, where this would be feasible, the costs for international consultants or import of material. The installation of locally produced light weight green house, and local construction of solar driers are cost effective and positive value for money on the local market. A detailed analysis will be conducted during project preparation.

Table 5: Benefits from proposed interventions, alternatives and reasons for not adopting

Benefits from the proposed intervention	Alternative measures and reasons for not adopting
--	--

Benefits from the proposed intervention	Alternative measures and reasons for not adopting
<p>Component 1</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The mentioned interventions can build climate resilience through managing competing land-use systems, while at the same time reducing poverty, enhancing biodiversity, increasing yields and lowering greenhouse gas emissions as well as, increases nutrient cycling, water redistribution, provides shade, controls erosion, increases carbon stocks. • Capacity building for diversifying agriculture, food production through practices such as agroforestry, drip irrigation system for orchards, sustainable base for fodder, improvement of crop yield of pastures and hay-meadows etc., which will increase agricultural productivity. • The participatory approach involving local women and men in managing natural resources and adaptation planning will lower management costs and will sustain the outcomes over time. • Renovation of main irrigation water supply systems which has several benefits: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Reduction in the water leakages in the system -Crop yield increase -Production cost price reduction -Reduced cost for the maintenance -Increased water use efficiency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conventional farming systems share many characteristics: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Large capital investments in order to apply production, investments that local communities are not able to apply. - Lack of financial resources for the improvements of lands and soil quality etc - External energy inputs; among others - Conventional techniques increased problems as the growing pressure on land, and rapid deforestation. - Surface irrigation increases water usage and losses - Contributes to soil erosion
<p>Component 2</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Introduction of energy saving technologies such as, solar dryers and non-heated greenhouses include the following benefits: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - promote energy saving, decrease the use of gas and wood, decrease the number of greenhouse gas emissions - They are efficient. Approximately 80% radiation is turned into heat energy. - Reduction in the costs for electric energy, community and population's budget - Promotion of job creation for women - Reduction of crop loss, storage improvement 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conventional methods by the use of gas and electric energy, wood etc. are 4-5 times expensive; • The implementation of conservation measures through a top down approach limiting for example grazing without the provision of alternative income opportunities has proven not to be successful in the past.
<p>Component 3</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The participatory approach involving local women and men in managing natural resources and adaptation planning will lower management costs and will sustain the outcomes over time. • Strengthening the farmers and community groups' organizational capability and increasing their knowledge on issues related to climate change and variability will allow the beneficiaries to adapt to new climate scenarios if needed and ultimately reduce their dependence on external interventions. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Top down introduction of new technologies has proven not to be successful in most farming communities. Instead of a prescription of different farming methods, the training, participatory planning and provision of different options for the farmers to choose from would very likely be more successful.

64. Comparative analyses of environmental risks and cost-effectiveness of interventions

EPIU specialists and experts are tasked to make a comparative analysis of the environmental and cost effectiveness of the proposed activities, which is based on the agro-technical and construction measures applied so far.

In the meantime, the project offers several options, which although different in cost effectiveness, carry zero or minimal environmental risks.

Based on beneficiaries' financial capabilities the project also offers options beyond the scope of the project, which are relatively expensive, but applicable for organic farming.

The project relies on the idea that communities are to be provided with as many options of activities as possible, which will contribute to raising knowledge level on climate change adaptation measures and enable choosing their own options for the future activities.

The project enables the implementation of those options that are relatively cost effective, lack environmental risks and ensure its sustainability and further continuity.

At the same time, the program is based on the principle that beneficiaries with different financial capacities will be involved in activities aimed at raising awareness and knowledge level. These beneficiaries may be interested in relatively costly but up-to-date technologies. Such an approach will provide further development of the project.

1. Increasing adaptive capacity of arable lands to climate change

The quantity of macro elements assisting in the improvement of the efficiency of arable lands has been calculated /Table: Comparative analysis of environmental risks and cost-effectiveness of interventions, OPTIONS: Traditional 1 and Traditional 2 / based on the agrochemical analyzes of degraded arable land in the project area /Table: 1.5.1, 1.5.2/. Subsequently, quantities of organic, mineral + organic fertilizers that can have the same outcome have been calculated. Based on the effects of fertilizers, a comparative analysis of environmental and cost effectiveness has been performed for each of the OPTIONS.

1. Traditional 1 (N300P300K150 kg/ha). Although this option is relatively inexpensive (280 US\$/ha), but carries great environmental risks. Phosphoric and potassium fertilizers imported into the Republic contain some amounts of heavy metals and unresolved ballast materials that accumulate in the soil during long-term use and pass through the root system through plants. Phosphoric fertilizers (due to apatite species) contain: As -1,2-1,4 mg/kg, Co-0,4-4,5mg/kg, Ni-7-32mg/kg, Cu-9,2-14,5mg/kg, Pb-5,8-20,0mg/kg, Cd-0,8-1,7mg/kg and Zn-12,1-23,0mg/kg, and potassium fertilizers contain the following: Pb-4,9-6,5mg/kg, Cd-1,1-4,5mg/kg, Cu-12,5-18,4 mg/kg, Zn-12,1-39,9mg/kg, Co-0,1-0,3mg/kg, As-1,1-2,0mg/kg and Hg-0,075mg/kg: In order to neutralize the harmful effects of these substances, it is necessary to use 20-25 t/ha of manure in autumn each year. However, there are no large livestock farms in the country, from which it would be possible to obtain large quantities of manure, as well as fresh manure recycling facilities. Under such conditions, farmers use very little recycled manure and mainly use mineral fertilizers.

2. Alternative 1 (5t/ha biohumus). Although this option does not carry environmental risks, it is relatively expensive (400 US \$ / ha). Since 90-95% of the biohumus nutrients are available for the plants, it is necessary to repeat the fertilization by the same quantity each year, which is not affordable for most of the farmers.

3. Alternative 2 (N100P100K50+ 1.5 t/ha, biohumus). This option is relatively inexpensive (228US\$/ha) and contains very little environmental risks. This is due to the fact that the amount of potassium and phosphate fertilizers polluting soil with heavy metals has been reduced 3 times. The required quantity of nutrients is compensated with 1.5 t/ha biohumus.

4. Alternative 3 (1.0t/ha Biohumus + 1t/ha zeolites). This option is relatively inexpensive (225US\$/ha) and contains very little environmental risks. However, it can only be used in less degraded arable lands as it does not completely compensate for the exclusion of mineral fertilizers. We recommend applying this option in the arable land, which contain enough nutrients, but need to recover the amount of nutrients used by plants each year.

5. Alternative 4 (3t/ha "ORGANOMIX"). Although this option is inexpensive (295US\$/ha) as compared to Alternative 1, but is also inaccessible for most farmers. We recommend this option to the farms, which can use this option for the first year and Alternative 2 or Alternative 6 during the second year.

6. Alternative 5 (N100P100K50 kg/ha + 1.0 t/ha, "ORGANOMIX"). While this option is the cheapest (210US \$/ha), but it contains some environmental risks. In the case of long-term use, some heavy metals and ballast materials can accumulate in the soil. We recommend combining this option with Alternative 4 or Alternative 6 and use it for one year, while next year use Alternative 4 or Alternative 6.

7. Alternative 6 (N100P100 kg/ha + 1.5 t/ha, "ORGANOMIX" + 0,6 t/ha zeolites). Although this option somewhat exceed Alternatives 2, 3 and 5 with its value (245US \$ / ha), but it does not contain environmental risks and has a number of advantages. Since Armenian soils are relatively rich in potassium, and zeolites contain available potassium, potassium fertilizers are not used in this option. The quantities of nitrogen and phosphoric fertilizers have been reduced about 3 times as compared to option Traditional 1. The use of organic fertilizer "ORGANOMIX" and zeolites will saturate the soil with nitrogen, potassium and phosphorus, as well as neutralize the negative effects of heavy metals. "ORGANOMIX"-ը and zeolites contain a number of substances that can convert heavy metals into materials which are insoluble and unavailable for plants.

8. Traditional 2 (Plow in autumn (not to cultivate for 1 year) + 25t/ha manure + cultivation in spring and leave the land to rest until autumn). This option is effective for recovering soil fertility, but is very expensive (377US\$/ha) and difficult for application at small farms. It can be acceptable for large (10 or more ha) farms that can leave some of the arable land uncultivated and get income from the other part.

Options Alternative 2 and Alternative 6 have been selected to improve the arable lands. In case of Alternative 2 environmental risks are minimal, since the recommended quantities of mineral fertilizers are 3 times lower as compared to Traditional 1, and the amount of nutrients is supplemented in the quantity of 1.5 t / ha bio-humus.

Alternative 6 does not contain environmental risks and can maintain soil moisture through zeolites in Urtsadzor's dry climatic conditions.

Alternative 6 is recommended for the Urtsadzor community and Alternative 2 for Lori region, as the precipitation quantity in this region is about 2 times higher than that of Urtsadzor region.

2. Increasing adaptive capacity of PASTURES and HAY MEADOWS TO CLIMATE CHANGE

The quantity of macro elements and herb seeds the import of which to the soil can improve the efficiency of pastures and hay meadows has been calculated based on the results of agrochemical analyzes of degraded pastures and hay meadows of the project area and the degradation of the grass

cover / Table: 1.5.1, 1.5.2 //Table: Comparative analyses of environmental risks and cost-effectiveness of interventions, Options: Traditional/.

Since there are 2 types of organic fertilizers currently being produced in Armenia (Organomix and Biohumus), the project recommends using one of them.

1. Traditional (N200P300K150 kg/ha). This option contains both environmental and social risks. It is not recommended to use this option for adaptation enhancement of pastures, despite its relatively inexpensive (230US \$ / ha) cost. This amount of mineral fertilizers will contribute to the contamination of natural resources (water, soil), intensive growth of harmful plants, change of grass cover species composition of the pastures, increase of content of nitrates and heavy metals in the grass, accumulation of residual amount of mineral fertilizers in adjacent areas and as a result disturbing the ecological balance of the environment.

Through the food chain (grass - farm animals - milk –meat) harmful substances can pass on human organism and adversely affect the health of the residents.

Harmful (species with low nutritional value or inedible for animals) vegetation can adversely affect pastures and livestock productivity.

2. Alternative 1 (4 t/ha “ORGANOMIX”). Though the option does not contain environmental risks, it is relatively expensive (392US\$/ha). The deficiency of this option is the slow temp of grass cover recovery. This option can be used in the pastures with low degradation level.

3. Alternative 2 (N50P100K50 kg/ha +1,0 t/ha, “ORGANOMIX”). The option does not contain environmental risks and is relatively inexpensive (189US\$/ha). Mineral fertilizers are thoroughly used by plants and do not spread to adjacent areas. The deficiency of this option is the slow temp of grass cover recovery. This option can be used in pastures with low degradation level.

4. Alternative 3 (N50P70K50+1,0t/ha “ORGANOMIX” + additional sowing). The option does not contain environmental risks and is relatively inexpensive (217US\$/ha). The advantage of this option is the quick recovery of the grass cover.

5. Alternative 4 (4t/ha Biohumus). Though the option does not contain environmental risks, it is relatively expensive (368US\$/ha): The disadvantage of this option is the slow temp of grass cover recovery. This option can be used in pastures with low degradation level.

6. Alternative 5 (N50P100K50+ 1,0 t/ha biohumus). The option does not contain environmental risks and is relatively inexpensive (178US\$/ha): Mineral fertilizers are completely used by plants and do not spread to adjacent areas. The disadvantage of this option is the slow temp of grass cover recovery. This option can be used in the pastures with low degradation level.

7. Alternative 6 (N50P70K50+ 1,0 t/ha+Biohumus additional sowing). The option does not contain environmental risks and is relatively inexpensive (213US\$/ha): The advantage of this option is the quick recovery of the grass cover.

Based on the characteristics of the vegetation of the pastures, the same options are proposed within the framework of the project, but with some modifications to the quantities of the used mineral and organic fertilizers.

Subsequently, quantities of organic, mineral + organic fertilizers and mineral + organic + seeds that can have the same outcome have been calculated. A comparative analysis of environmental and cost effectiveness has been performed for each of the OPTIONS based on the effects of fertilizers. In the result of the performed analyses Alternative 3 and Alternative 6 have been chosen. Though other alternatives do not have environmental risks, they are relatively ineffective, as grass cover restoration

is risky. In the case of these alternatives grazing will be prohibited in pastures for 1-1.5 years in order to restore the grass, and in the case of Alternative 3 and Alternative 6, grazing will be forbidden for 6 months.

It is recommended to apply Alternative 3 and Alternative 6 for the project area, which do not contain environmental risks.

3. Increasing adaptive capacity of DEGRADED SLOPES TO CLIMATE CHANGE

This measure refers to areas that have lost their self-renewal capacity as a result of degradation. While increasing adaptation of areas under conditions of climate change requires radical interventions.

1. Traditional (1000m³ blacksoil + additional sowing). This option was sometimes used to restore degraded pastures on steep slopes during the Soviet era (1970-1980). There are no environmental risks for the degraded steep slopes. However, as it requires a large quantity of blacksoils, it can cause problems at the areas of soil extraction and transportation. The effectiveness of this option is low, especially in dry climatic conditions, as it is likely that in case of heavy rainfalls erosion will take place and the most degraded segments will become denuded again. This option is costly (56 000 US\$/ha), while the effectiveness is inadequate to the spending.
2. Alternative 1 (500 m³ black soil + 5t/ha biohumus + additional sowing). This is the upgraded option of the Traditional option which is distinguished by reduced blacksoil usage. There are no environmental risks for regenerated area. However, it is probable that in the case of heavy rainfalls the land will eroded and the most degraded sections will be denuded again. There are no environmental risks for the rehabilitated area but it is likely that in case of heavy rainfalls erosion will take place and the most degraded segments will become denuded again. Despite the fact that the option is two times more inexpensive as compared to Traditional option (21 960 US\$/ha), but the level of efficiency is not sufficient.

These options can only be applied to restore landscapes that are relatively weakly degraded and do not require radical changes.

During the last 30 years, the degradation of pastures in Urtsadzor community of the project has reached a level where their restoration as pastures is no longer appropriate. The complete erosion of soil and the destruction of vegetation can be prevented through landscape transformation. This can be accomplished by planting relatively drought resistant trees and shrubs, in which case a mild microclimate will be formed halting the area's degradation. In this case, it is expedient to use species of trees and shrubs that are in demand on the market and can create additional income opportunities for the residents. Such an option of enhancing landscape adaptation will increase the stakeholders' interest in landscape conservation and, if possible, its expansion. For this purpose, the project proposes improvement of landscape adaptation through establishment of orchards (Alternative 2).

3. Alternative 2 (Creation of forest park (2500 plants) and 5 times manual irrigation).

This option demonstrates the possibility of increasing the adaptability of the areas which are degraded to the extent that does not allow their recovery in their former form. This option has both environmental and social benefits. From ecological prospective soil erosion on steep slopes will stop while the forest park will create a relatively mild microclimate increasing water accumulation capacity of the area and thus creating favorable conditions for the growth of different species. From a social point of view, the forest park will allow residents to benefit from the harvest of trees and shrubs and

receive additional income. Later, the area may also be used for recreational purposes. The option is relatively inexpensive (10 500 US\$/ha) and basically excludes risks of Traditional, Alternative 1 and Alternative 2 options (use of large quantities of black soil and organic fertilizers, spring rainfall, destruction of grassland at summer, etc.).

All the proposed alternatives are void of environmental risks, but differ from each other by effectiveness and implementation cost. The first two variants include indirect environmental risks, as the transportation of black soil negatively affects the areas from where the black soil is brought. This is largely unacceptable for the project because it contributes to the degradation of other areas and sharp decline in adaptive capacity in conditions of climate change.

It is recommended to use Alternative 2 for the project area that is cost effective and does not contain environmental risks.

4. REHABILITATION OF field tracks

The Traditional option (No work is done in the area or at the pile of gravel which is poured into some parts of the area) is relatively affordable (depending on work volume up to 200 US\$/ km). It will be widely used in the country since communities lack financial resources, while it is risky and ineffective as the residents do not use arable land and hay meadows. The arable lands are turned into pastures. Pressure on the relatively accessible areas increases and the degradation of pastures and hay meadows is accelerating as a result of overexploitation.

In case of Alternative 1, (Only smoothing/leveling is done) which is relatively affordable for the communities (depending on work volume up to 500 US\$/km).The result will be positive, but short-term as access to arable lands and hay meadows is limited because heavy rainfall observed due to climate change disturbs the road soil cover while the trucks cause deep gaps along the road. The pressure on natural and agricultural ecosystems will increase for the following years as well.

In case of Alternative 2, (Leveling is done metalling in some parts and installation of rainwater removal pipes) (depending on work volume up to 7000- 7900 US\$/km) the result is positive and provided roads are properly operated and maintained and can be used for long periods of time.

While Traditional and Alternative 1 options are relatively less costly, we suggest Alternative 2, in which case the pressure on community-based natural and agricultural ecosystems increases. The communities may use the savings on the rehabilitation of roads to remote pastures and create conditions for the adaptation of community's degraded areas.

5. IRRIGATION WATER SAVING MEASURES

1. Current state (Irrigation water system is not being rehabilitated or is done partly in the most damaged parts). It carries a number of environmental risks, despite the relatively chip cost (up to 500 US\$/km). In this case the irrigation water loss is constantly increasing. Agricultural lands are not cultivated and degraded. Residents increase the pressure on natural ecosystems to gain income.

2. Alternative 1 (Complete rehabilitation of irrigation network). The option is free of environmental risks and is relatively affordable (1000-1500 US\$/km). But, in conditions of dampness and high summer temperatures, irrigation water will not meet the needs of the residents.

3. Alternative 2 (Rehabilitation of the most damaged parts of irrigation system and introduction of drip irrigation system) does not contain environmental risks. As compared to Alternative 1 this option requires 2-2,5 times more investments (2000-2500 US\$/km):

However, The proposed Alternative 2 though relatively expensive, but is more acceptable for the adaptation of agricultural landscape in the context of climate change, since irrigation water will be used rationally and the residents will have the opportunity to irrigate new areas, get more income, and reduce the pressure on natural ecosystems.

6. Investment in heat and drought resistant new crops and seeds

1. Traditional (No work is done in the area. People in the rural communities proceed cultivating old sorts and don't diversify the assortment): Although the traditional practice is disposable for the residents (850-930 US \$ / ha), it carries direct social and indirect environmental risks. In the case of Traditional option, the residents will cultivate the same crops and old varieties that are not suitable for climate change. As a result, each year, yields and farmers' revenues will decrease leading to increasing dependence on natural resources rapidly depleting from the intensive use. At the same time, anthropogenic pressure on natural ecosystems will increase.

2. Proposed Alternative 1 (People in rural communities cultivate new crops and sorts, while using organic fertilizers): Stakeholders allow taking advantage of the new scientific results of selection and seeding and gradually adapt to climate change. Although this option requires some investment (1150-1350 US\$/ha), it has a quick reparation period as the surplus harvest and the new range of crops have a greater consumer market.

Table: Comparative analyses of environmental risks and cost-effectiveness of interventions

Options	Fertilization	COST (USD)	Environmental risks	Opted version	Note
Increasing adaptive capacity of arable lands to climate change (calculation is done for one ha)					
Traditional 1	N ₃₀₀ P ₃₀₀ K ₁₅₀ kg/ha	280	Contamination of natural resources (water, soil), intensive growth of harmful plants, increased the content of nitrates in the harvest, deterioration of health of the population, violation of ecological balance of environment.	Alternative 2 Alternative 6	The opted version is environmentally safe as the mineral fertilizers are selected with the amount that cannot adversely affect the quality of the crops and the health of people. At the same time, these options will help restore the balance of macro-elements in the soil that has been disturbed over the last 30 years since phosphoric and potassium fertilizers have not been used. During irrigation or rainfalls celiolite absorbs water, which later is used by the plants. Celiolite compasses available calium, which in its turn is again utilized by the plants.
Alternative 1	5t/ha biohumus	400	No environmental risks		
Alternative 2	N ₁₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₅₀ + 1.5 t/ha, biohumus	228	Environmental risks are minimized		
Alternative 3	1.0t/ha Biohumus + 1t/ha zeolites	225	No environmental risks		
Alternative 4	3t/ha "ORGANOMIX"	295	No environmental risks		
Alternative 5	N ₁₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₅₀ kg/ha + 1.0 t/ha, "ORGANOMIX"	210	Environmental risks are minimized		
Alternative 6	N ₁₀₀ P ₁₀₀ kg/ha + 1.5 t/ha, "ORGANOMIX" + 0,6 t/ha zeolites	245	No environmental risks		
Traditional 2	Plow in autumn (not to cultivate for 1 year) + 25t/ha manure +	377	No environmental risks		In terms of soil fertility, the option is effective, but cannot be applied because farmers

	cultivation in spring and leave the land to rest until autumn				will be deprived of harvest and livelihood during that year.
Increasing adaptive capacity of PASTURES TO CLIMATE CHANGE (calculation is done for one ha)					
Traditional	N ₂₀₀ P ₃₀₀ K ₁₅₀ kg/ha	230	Contamination of natural resources (water, soil), intensive growth of harmful plants, risk of animal poisoning, violation of the ecological balance of the environment, increased content of nitrates and heavy metals in milk and meat in spring	Alternative 3 Alternative 6	The opted version is environmentally safe, the effectiveness is high, the balance of macroelements is restored in the soil
Alternative 1	4 t/ha "ORGANOMIX"	392	There are no environmental risks, but the restoration of the grassland is very slow		
Alternative 2	N ₅₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₅₀ kg/ha +1,0 t/ha, "ORGANOMIX"	245	There are no environmental risks, but the restoration of the grassland is very slow		
Alternative 3	N ₅₀ P ₇₀ K ₅₀ +1,0t/ha "ORGANOMIX" + additional sowing	217	There are no environmental risks and the grass cover is quickly recovered		
Alternative 4	4t/ha Biohumus	368	There are no environmental risks, but the restoration of the grassland is very slow		
Alternative 5	N ₅₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₅₀ + 1,0 t/ha biohumus	198	There are no environmental risks, but the restoration of the grassland is very slow		
Alternative 6	N ₅₀ P ₇₀ K ₅₀ + 1,0 t/haBiohumus +additional sowing	203	There are no environmental risks and the grass cover is quickly recovered		
Increasing adaptive capacity of HAY MEADOWS TO CLIMATE CHANGE (calculation is done for one ha)					
Traditional	N ₂₀₀ P ₃₀₀ K ₁₅₀ kg/ha	285	Contamination of natural resources (water, soil),	Alternative 3	The opted

			intensive growth of harmful plants, increased content of nitrates in the harvest, violation of ecological balance of environment.	Alternative 6	version is environmentally safe, the effectiveness is high, the balance of macroelements is restored in the soil
Alternative 1	5 t/ha "ORGANOMIX"	490	There are no environmental risks, but the restoration of the grassland is very slow		
Alternative 2	N ₁₀₀ P ₁₅₀ K ₆₀ +2,0 t/ha "ORGANOMIX",	276	There are no environmental risks, but the restoration of the grassland is very slow		
Alternative 3	N ₁₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₆₀ + 1,0 t/ha "ORGANOMIX" + additional sowing	246	There are no environmental risks and the grass cover is quickly recovered		
Alternative 4	5 t/ha biohumus	470	There are no environmental risks, but the restoration of the grassland is very slow		
Alternative 5	N ₁₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₆₀ +1,0 t/ha biohumus	216	There are no environmental risks, but the restoration of the grassland is very slow		
Alternative 6	N ₁₀₀ P ₁₀₀ K ₆₀ + 1,0 t/ha biohumus + additional sowing	227	There are no environmental risks and the grass cover is quickly recovered		
Increasing adaptive capacity of DEGRADED SLOPES TO CLIMATE CHANGE (calculation is done for one ha)					
Traditional	1000m ³ black soil + additional sowing	56 000	There are no environmental risks, but it is likely that in the case of heavy rains in spring, land will be eroded and the most degraded segments will be denuded again. Environmental risk refers to the areas where black soils will be extracted from.	Alternative 2	The version is opted for areas whose degree of degradation does not allow the landscape to be restored in its previous form
Alternative 1	500 m ³ black soil + 5t/ha biohumus + additional sowing	21960	There are no environmental risks, but it is likely that in the case of heavy rains in spring, land will be eroded and the most degraded segments will be		

			denuded again. Environmental risk refers to the areas where black soils will be extracted from.		
Alternative 2	Creation of forest park (2500 plants) and 5 times manual irrigation	10500	There are no environmental risks		
Rehabilitation of field tracks (calculation is done in 1 km)					
Traditional	No work is done in the area or a pile of gravel is poured into some parts of the area	0-200	Residents do not use arable lands and hay meadows. The arable lands are turned into pastures. Pressure on the areas that are relatively accessible increases. As a result of exploitation, degradation of pastures and grassland is accelerating.	Alternative 2	The pressure on community-based natural and agricultural ecosystems increased. The communities may use the savings on the rehabilitation of roads to remote pastures and create conditions for the adaptation of community degraded areas.
Alternative 1	Only smoothing/levelling is done	500	Access to arable lands and hay meadows is limited because heavy rainfall observed due to climate change disturbs the road soil cover while the trucks cause deep gaps along the road. Next year the pressure on natural and agricultural ecosystems increases. The pressure on natural and agricultural ecosystems would increase for the following year.		
Alternative 2	Levelling is done metalling in some parts and installation of rainwater removal pipes	7000-7900	There are no environmental risks		
IRRIGATION WATER SAVING MEASURES (calculation is done for 1 km)					
Current state	Irrigation water system is not being	0-500	Irrigation water lose is constantly increasing. Agricultural lands remain out of cultivation and	Alternative 2	Irrigation water is used

	rehabilitated or is done partly in the most damaged parts		degrade. Residents increase the pressure on natural ecosystems to gain income.		economically. Residents have the opportunity to irrigate new areas, get more incomes, and reduce the pressure on natural ecosystems.
Alternative 1	Complete rehabilitation of irrigation network	1000-1500	There are no environmental risks, but in conditions of climate dampness and high summer temperatures, irrigation water will not meet the needs of the residents.		
Alternative2	Rehabilitation of the most damaged parts of irrigation system and introduction of drip irrigation system	2000-2500	There are no environmental risks		
Investment in heat and dry resistant new crops and seeds (calculation is done for 1 Ha)					
Traditional	No work is done in the area. People in the rural communities proceed cultivating old sorts and don't diversify the assortment.	850-930	The human dependence from the natural resources increases, while the natural resources are rapidly decreasing from intensive use. At the same time, anthropogenic pressure on natural ecosystems will increase.	Alternative 1	People in rural communities get high income, hence improving their social-economic condition.
Alternative 1	People in rural communities cultivate new crops and sorts, while using organic fertilizers.	1150-1350	There are no environmental risks		

D. Describe how the project / programme is consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, including, where appropriate, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications, or national adaptation programs of action, or other relevant instruments, where they exist.

65. The aims and objectives of the program are consistent with the 3rd Communication on Climate Change and the Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) of the Republic of Armenia under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change¹² (UNFCCC), the government's National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP) under the UN Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), and the National Strategy and Action Plan under the UN Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD) in Armenia.

The development of the Third National Communication of the Republic of Armenia on Climate Change and submission to the Conference of the Parties to the Convention is the country's basic obligation. This Communication includes information on emission of greenhouse gases in the country and their removal from the atmosphere for the period covering 2007-2012, as well as vulnerability assessment and different adaptation measures in various sectors including water, agriculture, settlements and infrastructure, human health ecosystems and biodiversity.

Climate change adaptation is a priority issue for the Republic of Armenia. As a mountainous, landlocked country it is characterized by the vulnerability of ecosystems, arid climate, active exogenous and desertification processes, and frequent natural disasters. These make Armenia more sensitive to current and projected climate change impacts. This National Communication also describes the position of the Republic of Armenia for addressing climate change issues and measures implemented and planned, as well as the country's needs for further steps and activities.

Since the date of adoption of the UNFCCC, the accumulation of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere and the global temperature in lower layers of the atmosphere continue to grow; therefore, it is obvious that Armenia should take expeditious and stronger measures to reduce and limit greenhouse gas emissions.

Adaptation to climate change is a priority for Armenia because of the high vulnerability of its mountain ecosystems to climate change, and its geographic location in the arid zone with no access to the sea. Therefore, Armenia is involved in the informal negotiating group of 'landlocked mountainous countries'. The most vulnerable sectors are agriculture, water resources and natural ecosystems.

With respect to adaptation, Armenia has defined and continued to support 'ecosystem approaches'. These approaches, among other things, allow us to overcome uncertainties in predicting climate change, and provide synergies with other global and regional environmental conventions.

The latest official communication by Armenia in relation to climate change is the Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) of the Republic of Armenia under the UNCCC.

¹² UNFCCC Protocol Decision No 41, 10 September, 2015

The Republic of Armenia has ratified the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) on May 1993 and as a developing country not included in Annex I to the Convention. In December 2002, Armenia ratified the UNFCCC Kyoto Protocol.

The geographical location of the Republic of Armenia (landlocked mountainous country with vulnerable ecosystems) and the country's need to ensure its national security, necessitates the prioritization of climate change adaptation.

The Republic of Armenia stated its position on the limitation of greenhouse gas emissions in subsequent national communications to the UNFCCC and in the Republic of Armenia's Statement on Association with Copenhagen Accords:

1) In relation to low carbon development Armenia describes the term "fairness" by applying the UNFCCC definition of "common, but differentiated responsibility", which considers the different levels of historical responsibility among countries in contributing to the increase of greenhouse gas concentration in the atmosphere, leading to climate change.

2) The climate change mitigation actions should not reverse the social and economic trends, but contribute to the socioeconomic development of the Republic of Armenia. These actions must be based on an "ecosystem approach", which is preferred by the Republic of Armenia, since it allows to maximize the synergies between mitigation and adaptation actions in most sectors of the economy, facilitating fair regional cooperation and contributing to solidarity.

One of the underlying principles of Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) of Armenia is to apply an ecosystem-based approach to mitigation and adaptation actions, giving preference to balanced and combined actions.

The following are basis and approaches to adaptation:

1) Adaptation strategy and contributions are based on the requirement of the UNFCCC Article 2 "Objective", which stipulates to restrain climate change within timeframe sufficient to allow ecosystems to adapt naturally to climate change. Thus, the natural ecosystems adaptation approach in INDC is considered pivotal for Armenia's adaptation strategy and actions (contributions), and a basis for the development of the national adaptation plan.

2) The Republic of Armenia embraces the ecosystem approach for adapting to climate change. The approach is in harmony with the environmental policy of the country, can ensure synergy with other international environmental conventions and treaties, will lay the ground for inter-sectoral coordination, and will support establishment of cross-border cooperation and solidarity environment.

3) Adaptation activities will be prioritized based on the most vulnerable sectors to climate change:

- Natural ecosystems (aquatic and terrestrial, including forest ecosystems, biodiversity, and land cover)
- Human health
- Water resource management

- Agriculture, including fishery and forests
- Energy
- Human settlements and infrastructures
- Tourism

14 main strategic and other documents have been developed in Armenia, which are directly connected with biodiversity and agro-biodiversity conservation and to which the proposed project intervention relate:

- i.* 1. Republic of Armenia 2014-2025 Strategic Program of Prospective Development. The objective of the strategy in environmental sector comprises improvement and modernization of the legislative and regulatory framework for environmental policy, reduction of corruption risks in the field of environmental management, prevention of current negative trends in land degradation, carrying out works on improving the management system of the SPNAs, development and implementation of the National Forest Program, development of baseline water resources management plans and decentralization of management processes, identification of common approaches and standards for the improvement of the environmental monitoring system. (Paragraph 25 of the document)
- ii.* 2. Program of the Government of the Republic of Armenia (2017-2022). The objective of the strategy in environmental sector is that the activities of the Government of Armenia for the coming 5 years will mainly focus on continuous improvement and enhancement of the environmental management system, reduction of corruption risks, protection and sustainable use of water resources, atmospheric air, soil and underground resources. (Chapter 4, sections 4.1-4.4 of the program).
- iii.* 3. Second National Environmental Action Programme of the Republic of Armenia (2008), which includes a number of actions concerning biodiversity conservation (inventory of biodiversity valuable areas, establishment of biodiversity monitoring system and database, assessment of the resources of the most significant flora and fauna species, genetic resources management etc.); (Chapter 6).
- iv.* 4. Strategy of the Republic of Armenia on Conservation, Protection, Reproduction and Use of Biological Diversity (2015), the main goal of the strategy is to ensure conservation, sustainable use and regeneration of the landscapes and biological diversity of the Republic for sustainable human development; (Entire document).
- v.* 5. Strategy and state program of conservation and use of specially protected nature areas of the Republic of Armenia (2014). The main objectives of the in-situ conservation of biodiversity have been enlarged and clarified here. The action plan covers 5 chapters: improvement of legal field / legislation, improvement of management system, enlargement of PNAs network, improvement of financial- technical mechanisms, and improvement of staffing; (Entire document).
- vi.* 6. National Action Programme to Combat Desertification in Armenia (2014), which will address pressures from habitat loss, land use change and degradation, and unsteady water use, reduced. Minimise the rate of loss and degradation of natural habitats. Promote, conserve and restore the main forest ecosystems. Promote, conserve and restore the main wetland ecosystems. Restore the landscapes and their biodiversity degraded due to industrial activity; (Entire document).

- vii. 7. Community Agricultural Resource Management and Competitiveness Project (2015-2020), which aims to support the improvement of productivity of pasture and livestock systems in selected 100 mountainous and border communities, to support the capacity building of local producers and processors involved in the value chains of important agricultural products for the country, improve agricultural advisory and animal health services (Chapters 2 and 3).
- viii. 8. "National Strategy on Human Rights Protection (2012)". The strategy has the following main objectives: a) protection and development of human rights and fundamental freedoms, b) ensuring efficient mechanism for the protection of each person's rights and freedom under the jurisdiction of the Republic of Armenia c) Improvement of existing legislation and proper application ensuring in line with international standards d) public awareness rising on human rights and their protection methods, e) promoting the protection of one's own rights (Entire document).
- ix. 9. Social-Economic Development Program of the RA Ararat marz (2015-2018), RA Lori marz (2014-2017) and RA Tavush marz(2017-2025). The objective of these 3 programs is to ensure the continuous development of 3 marzes with modern approaches. Project implementation will contribute to facing the challenges by rural and urban communities of marzes and will ensure the solution of priority issues. Strategic directions of marzes' economy, their development mechanisms, prospects for development and expected outcomes are clearly outlined in the programs. Programs will serve as platforms to link all investors, stakeholders, international and local donor organizations in the marzes (Entire document).
- x. 10. GEF-6 National Portfolio (2015) – Country priorities have been clarified on which project package have been developed which is planned to be implemented under STAR and out of the system of transparent allocation of resources (STAR) (Entire document).
- xi. 11. Technology Need Assessment (TNA) (2015-2017). Armenia is actively involved in that should ensure adequate technological support and create a favorable environment for technology development and transfer. The process of TNA is the continuation of systematic research on climate change in the RA. The TNA Project provided a great opportunity for RA to perform country-driven technology assessment to identify environmentally sound technologies that might be implemented with a substantial contribution in addressing climate change mitigation needs of the country. The reports “Technology Action Plan for Mitigation Technologies” and “Technology Action Plan for Adaptation Technologies” have been developed. (Entire Document)
- xii. 12. Gender Equality Strategy (2016-2020) UNDP Armenia Country Office. - The objectives of this document are two fold: (i) introduce in UNDP Armenia a formal, standardized system to apply gender mainstreaming across programme and operations, in line with corporate gender equality policies and benchmarks; and (ii) “de-mystify” for the staff the practical application of “gender mainstreaming”, “gender equality”, and other gender-related concepts and policies (Entire document) .
- xiii. The Law "On Ensuring Equal Rights and Equal Opportunities for Women and Men" adopted 20 May 2013 - The Law "On Equal Rights and Equal Opportunities for Women and Men" defines main directions of the state policy aimed at ensuring gender equality, including gender equality guarantees within the state governance and public service, clarifies the forms of gender discrimination, policy development (Entire document).

Other projects.

- I. Solar powered irrigation systems for climate-smart farming. The project is implemented by Spitak's "Houso Luys" NGO and GEF (2017- 2018).
- II. Solar power for energy autonomy and forest conservation in Tavush region/SLM project funding. The project is implemented by "Bridge of Hope" NGO and GEF (2017- 2018).
- III. Implementation of "Intended Nationally Determined Contributions" of the Republic of Armenia in rural communities. The project is implemented by the "Khazer" ecological and cultural NGO and the GEF (2017- 2019).
- IV. The Urtsadzor Eco-training Center: Improving Capacity of Local Villagers for Sustainable Use of Biodiversity Resources. The project was funded by the GEF (2012-2014) funded by the Wildlife and Cultural Assets Fund.
- V. Improving living conditions through the use and protection of agro-biodiversity in rural communities of Armenia. The project is implemented by the Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia, funded by the Global Ecological Fund and with the support of the United Nations Environmental Program (2015-2019).
- VI. Oxfam in Armenia. Since 1994, the Oxfam Armenia office has been implementing a wide range of humanitarian and development programs in over 165 rural communities throughout the country, closely cooperating with dozens of local NGOs, local self-governing bodies and provincial authorities. Oxfam Armenia has established 19 agricultural cooperatives in Tavush and Vayots Dzor marzes for six decades, of which six are women's cooperatives. Two fruit and vegetable processing factories, 4 fruit and vegetable refrigeration complexes were built and put into operation, 21 greenhouses were set up.
The outcomes and outputs of the project are related to strategic documents and development programs directly as the environmental, social-economic, gender and knowledge inhencemnet issues are sloved in the project area. The outcomes of project can be used in other regions of RA as well, in order to elaborate and implement such projects.
- E. *Describe how the project / programme meets relevant national technical standards, where applicable, such as standards for environmental assessment, building codes, etc., and complies with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.*

66. There are no relevant national technical standards for tree planting, forest restoration or conservation agriculture related to climate change in Armenia. However, in the stage of the complete program elaboration, the Standardization Sphere Codes and Names should be based on the Armenian Standards Classifier. The following sections are included in the preliminary study program.

Standardization codes and names according to Armenian Standards Classifier

Code 13. Environment protection. Human protection from environmental impact. Security

Group Code	Name
13.020	Environmental protection
13.030	Waste
13.040	Air quality
13.060	Water quality
13.080	Soil quality, agronomics
13.100	Safety of professional activity. Industrial hygiene
13.120	Everyday life security

Code 65. Agriculture

Group Code	Name
65.020	Farming and forestry
65.020.30	Livestock and animal breeding
65.040.30	Greenhouses and other structures
65.060	Agricultural machinery, property and equipment
65.080	Fertilizers
65.120	Animal feed

Code 67. Food technology

Group Code	Name
67.020	Processes in food industry
67.040	Food in general
67.050	General methods for food checking and analysis
67.080	Fruits, vegetables
67.100	Milk and dairy products
67.220	Spice. nutritional supplements

As such, international best practice standards will be followed throughout the proposed project. Environmental impact assessment or social and environmental management frameworks will be formulated during project preparation in accordance with the law of the republic of Armenia. No items requiring significant mitigation measures were noted. Interventions designed to provide technology transfer, training or that include local community participation will be conducted in adherence with Armenia's labour codes and gender equality targets.

As mentioned previously, it is envisaged to carry out design and estimation during the implementation phase of the Project with the subsequent expertise of urban planning documents and EIA process.

The expertise of urban planning documents examines the compliance of the project with the RA laws, legislative acts and existing technical standards.

Article 16 of the RA Law on Urban Development regulates the system of regulatory-technical documents which is a means of state regulation of urban development activities. It defines the necessary norms, rules and indicators for ensuring reliability, environmental protection, fire protection, sanitary- hygienic conditions, mobility of disabled persons and others, as well as conditions of quality assurance during the design, implementation and operation phases together with requirements set for facilities, separate structures, buildings, constructions or construction materials.

The legal system of urban development includes the regulatory-technical documentation which serves as a basis for expertise, supervision of urban development activities and resolution of disputable issues. The implementation of the requirements of the regulatory-technical documents is mandatory for the entities involved in urban development activities.

The compliance of the designs with the technical standards of the Republic of Armenia is regulated by the decision of the Government of the Republic of Armenia N 96 “on approving the procedure for expertise of urban development documents” from February 2, 2002 . During the construction phase, the supervision is conducted by a certified technical supervisor who supervises the quality of the construction work, while the control is exercised by the designing organization which controls the compliance of the work to the design.

Technical supervision and copyright supervision is carried out under N-41-N decree of the Minister of Urban Development, 16 June 2008.

Improvement of arable lands, meadows and pastures is regulated by the RA Land Code and government decisions. Article 2 of the Land Code concerns the state regulation of land relations. The implementation of projects and integrated investment policies concerning increase of land fertility, land-use, protection and agricultural utilization is regulated by Item 5 of the abovementioned article. Improvement of pastures and meadows is implemented in accordance with the requirements of decisions N 1477-N from 28.10 2010 and N 389-N from 14.04.2011 of the government of the Republic of Armenia.

Restoration of landscapes is carried out within the framework of RA strategy on landscape conservation, management and planning and in accordance with RA Government protocol decision N29 from 19 July, 2012 on “Approving the Strategy of Landscape Protection, Management and Planning and Prior and Mid-term Activities Arising from it” arising from the requirements of the European Landscape Convention ratified by the RA National Assembly in 2004. EIA expertise is carried out according to the RA Law "On Environmental Impact Assessment and Expertise" adopted by the RA National Assembly on 21.06.2014 .

The renovation of midfield roads will be implemented due to the requests of the Construction Norms of the RA of Ministry of Urban Development of RA.

Due to the lows of curban development each construction work plans organization of the design-estimated documents, for which contest will be announced by EPIU and the designing organization will be chosen. Due to the lows of urban development and EIA the designing organization must present the project to the urban development and EIA expertise. Urban expertise determines the compliance of the project with the urban development regulations and the impact of the EIA on the designs on the environment.

According to Environmental Impacts Assessment (EIA) activities, and EIA law of the Republic of Armenia, some activities included in the project require a complete review and EIA expertise. It is envisaged to formulate project pre-estimation documents and managing plans during the implementing stage. The organizations which are envisaging and developing managing plans would conduct the EIA expertise. After signing the Agreement, the tender would be announced, and the organizations which are envisaging and developing managing plans would be selected /budget notes N2, N4, N6/. According to RA procurement law, the tender would last for approximately 1,5 months, after which the contracts would be reviewed. Four months after signing the contracts the organizations should present the projects and managing plans for constructing activities, which have passed the EIA and urban development expertise. After, reception of projects and managing plans, the organizations implementing activities would be selected, according to RA law of procurement.

F. Describe if there is duplication of project / programme with other funding sources, if any.

67. There is no duplication of project funding with activities or projects support by other funds or the government. Communities were asked questions about previous and ongoing support received from government and non-government organizations. The proposed project will not duplicate efforts, but rather capitalize on lessons learned and platforms created for uptake of the eco-agriculture approach.. The project aims however to build possible framework for land based adaptation measures in Armenia, which could be taken further by development partner, government or climate funds (such as Green Climate Fund). During project preparation consultations will be held with other government departments and development partners to avoid any risk of overlapping or weak coordination of activities.

68. In Armenia the GEF SGP officially started in November 2008 with appointment of the GEF SGP National Coordinator. In May 2009 the SGP country programme Strategy and Operational Frameworks were developed and adopted. For the current operational phase (2015-2018) the country programme addresses the following GEF thematic areas:

- Conservation and sustainable use of biological resources;
- Combating land degradation;
- Mitigation of climate change;
- Protection of international waters;
- Phase out of POPs and other harmful chemicals.

The SGP Armenia accepts applications from registered national NGOs without foreign founders regularly throughout the year. Maximum size of the grant cannot exceed US\$50,000 equivalent. The GEF SGP does not provide core funding of a project, i.e. – any grant provided by the

Programme should be additional, incremental in nature. It is a commitment on the part of SGP to the GEF Council to ensure 1:1 co-funding ratio, evenly divided between cash and in-kind (work, use of own machinery, materials etc.). Applications can be submitted from all regions of Armenia. These programs can only address local environmental issues that are presented in thematic areas. The SGP does not address complex measures to increase the adaptability of ecosystems and landscapes in climate change. The concept presented by us can not be repeated or similar to the GEF SGP programs as it incorporates complex activities that are targeted at enhancing the adaptability of ecosystems, natural and agricultural landscapes. To achieve this goal, various activities will be undertaken to improve the socio-economic situation of the population, to strengthen the capacity of knowledge, public organizations, energy efficiency in the public sector, diversification of agriculture and adaptation of degraded territories.

G. If applicable, describe the learning and knowledge management component to capture and disseminate lessons learned.

69. Component 3 of the project is related to the increase of knowledge and awareness of various target groups of the population. During the preparation of a full pledged project document the target groups will be finally specified along with their capacity building needs on which training programs will be developed. Particular attention will be paid on the dissemination of knowledge during and upon completion of the project. For this purpose individual target groups consisting of both women and men and youth will be formed in each community whose members (mainly teachers, specialists of regional agricultural support centers, municipal employees) will be able to disseminate their knowledge among other interested groups. For other target groups the training program will focus on the clarification of the practical problems that are more interesting and are most in-demand for wide layers of the population. After each training course evaluations will be carried out by participants and experts. Positive and incomplete aspects of the trainings will be revealed based on which recommendations will be developed to improve the effectiveness of such courses.

- Knowledge and awareness component will also focus on the dissemination of best practice through mass media and local self-government bodies. This event will be supported by the elaboration, publication and dissemination of public information leaflets and booklets in the communities of the marz. Since the program provides a wide variety of events, which ultimately should increase the level of adaptation of ecosystems to climate change specialists on agriculture, energy, sustainable management of natural and agricultural ecosystems will participate in the trainings whose involvement in the project will contribute to summarizing and disseminating best practice in other regions of the Republic.

At project development stage, EPIU has adopted the Adaptation Fund principle of that knowledge is the understanding of a reality based on people's experience, analysis, and exchange and to be transmitted, knowledge needs to be captured and systematized. Though Knowledge management (KM) activities can be carried out in a variety of ways based on the environment and resources available, the following key concepts; however, are essential for any KM path: A KM strategy sets the long-term direction, scope, and objectives (short- and long-term) that are systematically pursued and eventually achieved through proper resource planning. It includes an action plan to achieve the goal of learning from experience and sharing that knowledge with all stakeholders and with the global community as reference for future projects.

During the first stage of project implementation professional consulting organization will be selected which will address the provision of synergy, transparency, participation, and inclusion, flexibility, relevance, as well as cost-effectiveness of the activities.

The development of KM plan will include the following steps:

Step 1: Analyze existing knowledge, data, and communication products as well as media

Step 2: Design of the KM plan (Actions to be identified for completing

Step 3: Implementation and Monitoring of KM plan (Actions to be identified for completing

Step 4: Evaluate, generate lessons learned, and disseminate (Actions to be identified for completing

H. Describe the consultative process, including the list of stakeholders consulted, undertaken during project preparation, with particular reference to vulnerable groups, including gender considerations, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

70. EPIU along with the Ministry of Nature Protection, as well as Climate Change Information Center, UNDP Armenia office have worked in close coordination for the formulating this project concept. During the development of this concept proposal, the various stakeholders have been consulted and consensus has been reached with regard to specific needs on adaptation actions for each of the sectors selected. In each of the four target communities – Urtsadzor, Dilijan, Margahovit and Fioletovo – project preparation meetings and stakeholder consultations were conducted in 2017 with the local population. The heads of Eco-education and donor funded project implementation division of EPIU visited the possible project areas to present in the communities the goals of the Adaptation Fund, to have discussions on topics such as needs at the community level, the most vulnerable areas, the current actions regarding these issues and general information about the country's climate threats and the country's vulnerability. The majors and the community leaders assigned their assistants and advisors to maintain contacts and consultations with project partners throughout the project design in order to feed into technical design and to refine outputs and activities, as well as provide any information needed to EPIU for the design of the project. The consultation process started since the beginning of the concept proposal formulation. This first bottom-up approach allowed the NIE to establish the main adaptation activities that were considered effective and possible with the available funds.
71. The components of the concept proposal were selected at the National level, by the Third National Communication on Climate Change and the Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDC) of Armenia and the geographical scope was selected considering the vulnerability, adaptation capacity and other important aspects. By the order of EPIU director dated May 18, July 6 staff of EPIU headed by the Deputy director have been sent to communities to have consultations and discussion with all the stakeholders, vulnerable groups that are directly related to project objectives. Stakeholders involved in the consultation process were given drafts of the programme concept proposal, so that comments and suggestions of improvement were collected and addressed in the final draft.
72. All meetings, discussions, and presentation of project conceptual provisions were made in a way that all stakeholder groups in that community were represented. It became possible because we had previously studied the statistics of all communities and had a clear understanding of the social and demographic situation. Equal representation of women was also a mandatory condition. In

addition to women's equal involvement, we have paid great attention to their suggestions which clarified a number of actions in the concept of the project.

Before the elaboration of the complete project document, the EPIU developed an initial study plan of Social and economic benefits for Women and vulnerable groups, which envisaged the following:

1. Review and analysis of laws, government decisions, international legal documents relating to the sector,
2. Analysis of data provided by the national statistical service of the Republic of Armenia and the results of studies conducted by international and local organizations in Armenia;
3. Collecting and analyzing data on different social groups in the communities included in the project through questionnaires;
4. Meetings and outcome analysis with representatives of different social groups of the communities included in the project;
5. Clarification on how the project outcomes will affect women and vulnerable groups.

The analysis of the international legal documents shows that the Republic of Armenia has ratified international documents on the protection of human and women's rights, which are enshrined in the RA Constitution and the laws.

Analysis of the results of studies conducted by the international and local organizations in Armenia shows that even though human rights and gender equality are enshrined in the country's legislation, however, due to the lack of awareness and knowledge level, certain issues arise during the exercise of the fundamental human freedoms.

The analysis of the international legal documents shows that the Republic of Armenia has ratified international documents on the protection of human and women's rights, which are enshrined in the RA Constitution and the laws.

Analysis of the results of studies conducted by the international and local organizations in Armenia shows that even though human rights and gender equality are enshrined in the country's legislation, however, due to the lack of awareness and knowledge level, certain issues arise during the exercise of the fundamental human freedoms.

The analysis of data from the National Statistical Service on different social groups of the communities included in the project revealed the following:

1. Urtsadzor community

In 2017, the community population was 4525, of which 2096 were male, 2429 female. The number of families was 1027. There were 430 pensioners registered in the community, of which 7 were single. The number of socially vulnerable families made up 210. 46 disabled people of different degrees were registered in the community. There were 389 students attending school and 120 attending kindergarten.

2. Dilijan

In 2017, the community population was 19951 of which 7923 were male and 12028 female. The number of families was 4490. There were 4029 pensioners registered in the community, of which 210 were single. The number of low-income families was 1226. There were 708 disabled persons. There were 1777 students attending school and 539 attending kindergarten.

3. Margahovit

In 2017, the community population was 3551 of which 1794 were male and 1757 female. The number of families was 1376. There were 525 pensioners registered in the community, of which 285 were single. The number of low-income families was 242. There were 115 disabled persons. There were 350 students attending school and 55 attending kindergarten.

4. Fioletovo

In 2017, the community population was 1279 of which were 646 male and 633 female. The number of families is 365. There were 127 pensioners registered in the community, of which 4 were single. The number of low-income families was 1. There were 11 disabled persons. There were 136 students attending school and 0 attending kindergarten.

Analysis of the results of meetings, discussions and studies with representatives of different social groups in the communities included in the project shows that women mostly work at schools, hospitals, social services, shops, and private offices. Women's involvement is also great in agricultural work. Women are mainly engaged in the cultivation of vegetable crops. Cereal cultivation is mainly mechanized, while men are engaged in cattle breeding. Women perform 95% of housework (home care, cooking, child care, training of school-age children, etc.). During the meetings, women mentioned that these works are not compulsory. Most men help with the domestic chores, if necessary, but due to traditional perception it is considered woman's activity, which is better done by women.

All of the studies showed that families with children do not encourage performance of housework or other activities by children. In all even most poor families, priority is given to the provision of education for children at schools and higher education institutions. Parents provide the children with the opportunity to study at school and seek financial resources to further continue their children's education.

However, this does not mean that children do not help their parents with housework and the cultivation of their land plots. In many families, after completing their homework children (over 13 years old) help parents with housework and cultivation of land. This carries a learning element and gives children an opportunity to get acquainted with household and agricultural works.

During the June-August months, at the stage of developing the full package of the project, have been organized many meetings and discussions in the regions, which is presented in the table below:

Stakeholder Consultation Process

CONSULTATION	DATE	PLACE	Objective/Purpose	PARTICIPANTS
Meeting	13.06.2018	Urtsadzor	Discussion on objectives and planned activities of the project	10 participant, including 3 women
Meeting	13.06.2018	Urtsadzor school	Discussion on objectives and planned activities of the project	18 participant, including 17 women
Meeting	13.06.2018	Shaghap	Discussion on objectives and planned activities of the project	16 participant, including 5 women
Meeting	17.06.2018	Urtsadzor	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	11 participant, including 8 women
Meeting	18.06.2018	Urtsadzor	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	5 participant, including 5 women

Meeting	18.06.2018	Urtsadzor	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	19 participant, including 4 women
Meeting	23-24 .06.2018	Urtsadzor	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	12 participant, including 3 women
Meeting	27.05.2018	Haghartsin	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	8 participant, including 6 women
Meeting	27.05.2018	Haghartsin	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	12 participant, including 6 women
Meeting	22.06.2018	Aghavnavanq	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	10 participant, including 4 women
Meeting	23.06.2018	Khachardzan	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	8 participant, including 6 women
Meeting	06.07.2018	Aghavnavanq	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	5 participant, including 3 women
Meeting	06.07.2018	Dilijan	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	6 participant, including 5 women
Meeting	06.07.2018	Haghartsin	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	13 participant, including 13 women
Meeting	06.07.2018	Khachardzan	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	12 participant, including 12 women
Meeting	20.07.2018	Dilijan	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	12 participant, including 0 women
Meeting	06.07.2018	Margahovit	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	9 participant, including 1 women
Meeting	12.07.2018	Margahovit	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	5 participant, including 3 women
Meeting	18.07.2018	Margahovit	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	8 participant, including 4 women
Meeting	19.07.2018	Fioletovo	Discussion on the planned activities of the project	9 participant, including 0 women
Project campaign through personal conversations and phone calls	02.07-20.07.2018	All communities	Brief overview of the project, collection of feedback and identification of needs	68 participant, including 28 women
Presentation and discussion of the full package of the program for beneficiary communities	01.08.2018	Representatives of Communities, Ministry of Nature Protection, "Dilijan" National Park, "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve, Regional Administrations, NGOs, as well as the representatives of Dilijan newspaper, publishing in the region of Dilijan.	The EPIU employees and experts have presented the Project activities and targets according to the regions. There have been exciting discussions and suggestions, which were included in the project.	46 participant, including 10 women

Comments

1.254 participants were involved in the meetings, including:
- employees of the community administrations -30

- teachers – 22
- high school pupils -12
- employees of public and private organizations– 19
- housewives, farmers, cattle-breeders, pensioners, students, benefit recipients– 127
- NGOs, women, youth and members of environmental unions - 44

In total 129 women were engaged in the consulting processes, which makes up 50.78 % of the whole members.

2. Participants were presented with the project goals, objectives and their solutions.
3. Participants were involved in the discussions concerning activities designed in the project concept aimed at enhancing the adaptation of the natural and agroecosystems under climate change conditions.
4. Participants were involved in the discussions concerning activities designed in the project concept contributing to the receipt of additional income (construction of solar dryers for fruits and herbs, non-heated greenhouses, solar water heaters of general use).
5. Participants were involved in the discussions concerning opportunities designed in the project concept on the introduction of new relatively heat and dryness resistant, highly demanded vegetable crops.
6. Participants were involved in the discussions concerning opportunities designed in the project concept on establishment of fruit and berry orchards.
7. Participants were involved in the discussions concerning opportunities designed in the project concept on cultivation of typical herb species on a pilot area.
8. Names and social statuses of the participants were not taken into account during the individual interviews aiming to increase credibility. Only the attitudes towards the project and the list of activities they find appropriate to be implemented in their privatized land or natural ecosystems were considered.

Results

1. Participants are informed of the project and approve the proposed activities.
2. Participants highlighted the importance of the construction of greenhouses and drying facilities and irrigation water saving measures.
3. It is proposed to hand the solar dryers for fruits and herbs, as well as non-heated greenhouses to the communities. Besides, during the implementation phase of the project it is suggested to clarify the list of the stakeholders through the participatory approach.
4. It is recommended to take into consideration the fact that the solar dryers and non-heated greenhouses will be primarily provided to non-governmental organizations, women, youth, other unions, large families, schools and kindergartens that have adequate conditions for their construction.
5. Participants highlighted the importance of midfield road repair, improvement of pastures, meadows and arable land, as well as the application of new water saving technologies and establishment of feeding base.
6. It is recommended to pay a great deal of attention to raising awareness on the implemented activities.
7. Participants are interested in the idea of establishing agro-forest and improving natural herb habitats.
8. The participants are mostly ready to support the project at all stages of its implementation.

The lists of participants are provided in Annex 3

During the final Community meetings, were drawn up protocols, where were presented the principal works, which have been chosen throughout of discussions and must be implemented in communities (Annex 4)

Selection of stakeholders

73. Based on consultations with community leaders, socio-economic development programs of the Tavush and Ararat marzes, National Statistical Service data, the population structure of communities, as well as based on lessons learnt from the projects implemented by EPIU and other organizations initial stakeholder groups were identified and identified. The final list of target groups will be determined by the results of the needs assessment.

- *Community administration employees:* In conformity with the law of the Republic of Armenia on Local Self-government community administrations have rather extensive rights to carry out environmental, reconstruction, health protective, construction and other activities within their administrative boundaries. The program believes that raising the level of the knowledge of the municipal councils and staff members is a priority issue and will contribute to the effective implementation of the activities envisaged by the projects, outcome conservation and experience dissemination. At the same time decision-makers having the relevant knowledge will not make decisions in the future that would cause damage to the environment and in the result to community's interests.
- *Farm households:* The impact zone of the project is mainly agricultural which is carried out relatively on small plots/1200-2500m². There are very few large farms, which are able to organize awareness and knowledge raising events for their employees. The selected target group is the most polynomial and vulnerable as unsatisfactory social conditions restrict their opportunities to get sufficient knowledge on urgent environmental problems and effective measures to solve them.
- *A great deal of attention has been paid to the involvement of social groups in the development of the full project proposal stage. We have met with social services in the regions, have identified lists of social beneficiaries living in the project area, large families, pensioners, and we had individual meetings with them, we identified the privatized lands, land plots and actions that would improve their social status.*
- *NGOs, women, youth, environmentalist's voluntary unions:*
- *Teachers and high school students:* This target group is highlighted by the fact that they are the direct bearer and transmitter of knowledge. Teachers endowed with sufficient environmental knowledge (focusing the objectives of the project) can form stable mindset among students on the importance of environmental events and biodiversity conservation, while among high school students both to disseminate knowledge and to decide on getting professional education.
- *Media:* Great is the role of this target group on the dissemination of information on the project, coverage of events, outcome analyses, propagation of positive experience, transparency and mobilization of stakeholders. Special training program will provide mass media with the necessary knowledge and printed material for distribution ensuring continuity of the project.
- *The staff of protected natural areas:* The program emphasizes the importance of increasing awareness of this group and fruitful relationship with the surrounding communities. The training program will focus on solving conflicts between SPNA and community, their solution ways, participatory management and benefit distribution issues. This target group is directly connected with the surrounding communities and has all the possibilities to widely disseminate the results of the project and best practice. When drafting the preliminary draft project concept a number of meetings were held the employees of "Khosrov forest" State Reserve, "Dilijan" National Park and majors of adjacent communities and community council members. The management authorities of Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National Park will participate in the supervision of the project activities during the implementation period.

- The directors of the "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park SNCOs will be included in the Management board and will participate in the decision-making and implementation processes. The organizations which design and formulate managing plans will closely cooperate with SNCOs, will have discussions about the project pre-estimation documents and managing plans. Noting, that Dilijan community is located in within the national park, SNCO will be designate relevant specialists who will carry out biodiversity impact monitoring during the implementation of activities, it relates to field track renovation, which are in the national park area and adjacent ecosystems of pastures and hay meadows. The employees of SNCOs will also be included in the complaints and grievance discussing panel.

74. There are a number of NGOs in the project area mainly in Urtsadzor community, Dilijan city and Margahovit community. During the meetings with some of these organizations, opportunities for their involvement in the process of developing and implementing the full project proposal were discussed. All non-governmental organizations have expressed their desire to support the implementation of the project and to ensure sustainability of project results after its completion. Several questionnaires have been developed to collect reliable data on communities that have been submitted to the communities during visits or electronically the results of which are summarized in the Annex 2. In all discussions the principle of gender equity was ensured.

I. Provide justification for funding requested, focusing on the full cost of adaptation reasoning.

75. The total funding required for this project is US\$ 2, 506, 000 including project management and project execution fees. The funding requested is based on the available estimates of the cost of proposed technologies for climate smart agriculture, technology transfer and capacity building activities in four communities. Funding is being requested for the implementation of interventions to reduce the vulnerability and improve the resilience of the local populations of "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park adjacent communities, by focusing on critical sectors (degraded natural ecosystems, infrastructures, agriculture, water resources, energy efficiency, additional incomes and etc) in order to reduce the negative impacts of climate change including:

- Increasing the adaptation capacity to climate change in the agricultural sector (including agriculture and livestock),
- Improving the capacity of communities, producers, institutions, and other relevant stakeholders regarding adaptation to climate change

Table 4 (see above) provides further details on the financial resources available that the local administrative and community level indicating the very limited availability of resources at this level calling for a full provision of resources

J. Describe how the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes has been taken into account when designing the project / programme.

Sustainability

76. It is expected to impact the geographical areas selected and more than 18,000 inhabitants. The capacity building process of the project allows training local leaders who will be able to build capacity within the communities themselves.

- The project promotes initiatives that will continue to provide results beyond the year of implementation. As an example, the restoration and improvement of irrigation water systems, infrastructures, pastures and hay-meadows have long-term lifespan. However, those initiatives require regular maintenance after the implementation. The participation

of local organizations, community administrations, NGOs and specially the commitment of local beneficiaries (individuals and organizations) make possible to preserve and even continuously improve the initiatives. In the agricultural sector, the sustainability of the proposal depends on the new knowledge provided by the adaptation initiatives, the use of innovative cost-effective technologies, and the monitoring of the effects of climate change and its variations. In these cases, the fulfillment of the objective may be observed in terms of productivity and the profits of the agricultural sector, by having successfully included adaptation actions. Efforts will be made to capture the long-term sustainability of the proposed sustainable land management and adaptation measures by supporting an adequate monitoring system.

- Sustainability will be further supported through mainstreaming and cross-sectoral, multi-stakeholder recognition of the role that increasing public awareness and knowledge to farmers, community leaders, relevant district and provincial officers on climate change and alternate adaptation measures in agriculture and water management can play in addressing many of the development challenges Armenia faces. In line with the many activities including awareness raising on climate change, there are more measures will be undertaken to change people's attitude and practices in sustainable adaptation to climate change. The project will furthermore strengthen the sustainability of the proposed interventions by supporting the land related policies and legislation and facilitating further investments in support of sustainable land management and climate smart agriculture.
- In order to sustain project activities beyond the project implementation date Community management plans will be developed which will clearly define the responsibilities of all actors engaged in the implementation of the project at community level. Upon completion of the project delivery-acceptance acts will be signed with EPIU and relevant community leaders to transfer the project outcomes and relevant agreements will be signed with the community leaders for the further maintenance and management of project outcomes. Moreover, the savings generated from energy, gas etc in public sector will be used for this purpose. Additionally, communities' budgets will include additions from public sector in the articles of the environmental protection and incomes from other activities.
- Agreements on the maintenance of the sustainability of project outcomes will be developed and signed with all stakeholders during the full project development phase.
- Agreements will be signed with stakeholder groups as well for the mutual use and maintenance of project outcomes. The laws of the Republic of Armenia, lessons learnt from similar projects, measures necessary for the community, awareness of the population about the importance of ecosystem adaptation to climate change, network of non-governmental organizations and their capacity building, independent media and relatively high level of education of the population are basis for ensuring sustainability of project outcomes.
- Lessons learnt from WB, UNDP, UNEP, UNIDO and many other projects have been observed. In all programs, the sustainability of the results is largely due to:
 - Ensuring participatory approach,
 - The implementation of activities that are accessible to large groups of population
 - Involvement of non-governmental organizations and capacity building;
 - Close cooperation with community leaders and community members,
 - Public awareness on progress and outcomes of the project,
 - Raising population's awareness on the objective, results and maintenance benefits,

- The existence of a legally binding agreement with communities on the maintenance and sustainability of project results

K. Provide an overview of the environmental and social impacts and risks identified as being relevant to the project / programme.

Checklist of environmental and social principles	No further assessment required for compliance	Potential impacts and risks – further assessment and management required for compliance
<i>Compliance with the Law</i>	All activities of the project are in line with RA laws and normative acts and there is no need for additional assessment of conformity	No further assessment required for compliance
<i>Access and Equity</i>		Further assessment is required as the project may not be sufficiently accessible to all groups.
<i>Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups</i>	Project activities does not have negative impacts on vulnerable and marginalized groups. The project activities will not have negative impacts on the marginal and vulnerable groups. Affected community's population's 57,6% are women, 17,5% are pensioners, 2,0% are people with limited abilities, 23% are socially insecure families, and 16,8 % are families who receive state subsidies. The project activities will contribute to the improvement of the social conditions of the mentioned groups.	
<i>Human Rights</i>	Human rights in natural resources use, equity, education, health, and other relevant sectors are protected by constitution and other relevant laws. The project does not foresee any violation of human rights.	
<i>Gender Equity and Women's Empowerment</i>	Based on cultural peculiarities, in general, gender issues as in other developing countries as well as in Armenia are in a focus. Women are endowed with certain powers. In rural areas, they are involved in everyday activities and make decisions on family and rural issues. As a result of previous	

	studies, gender issues have not been disclosed. There might be some risks of women's involvement, further actions regarding mitigation measures will be provided in part 3.	
<i>Core Labour Rights</i>	The protection of Core Labour Rights in Armenia are guaranteed by RA national legislation, including international conventions ratified by the country	
<i>Indigenous Peoples</i>	Main population of the area consisted of Armenians who are the indigenous people of the area. Therefore, there are no indigenous people in the project area.	
<i>Involuntary Resettlement</i>	Project interventions does not provide for resettlement of residents	
<i>Protection of Natural Habitats</i>		Further assessment is required to ensure that the interventions will cause no harm to natural habitats.
<i>Conservation of Biological Diversity</i>	Project activities will not have a negative impact on biodiversity conservation as within project design activities will ensure that the flora and fauna within the project area is conserved.	
<i>Climate Change</i>	The project does not have a negative impact on climate change. No project interventions are expected to contribute to release of gases responsible for CC and thus are not expected to contribute to GHG emissions.	
<i>Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</i>	Project is not expected to generate any environmental pollution and aims for higher resource efficiency for better management of available natural resources.	
<i>Public Health</i>	The stability of ecosystem balance will contribute to the improvement of public health. Thus no adverse impact on public health related issues is envisaged.	
<i>Physical and Cultural Heritage</i> Implementation of the program contributes to the preservation of natural and cultural heritage	The activities envisaged by the Project are not implemented in such sites where there are physical and cultural heritage	
<i>Lands and Soil Conservation</i>	Project interventions will not create any damage to land and soil resources.	

77. Following the initial screening process the proposed project concept is expected to be possibly in Category B in accordance with the Adaptation Fund's ESP as it has very limited adverse environmental or social impacts.

- Republic of Armenia is a member of ILO since 1992 and has ratified all eight ILO Fundamental Conventions, meaning that the country is ensuring application of ILO Core

Labour Standards including freedom of association and the effective recognition of the right to collective bargaining; the elimination of all forms of forced and compulsory labour; the effective abolition of child labour and the elimination of discrimination in respect of employment and occupation. National legislation of Armenia includes laws and provisions that are ensuring the application of ILO Core Labour standards, of these particular interest represent the Law on Children's Rights (1996); the Governmental Decision on establishing the list of work that is found to be difficult and dangerous for persons below 18 years of age, women who are pregnant and for women caring for a child under one year of age (2005); Law on Guaranteeing Equal Rights and Opportunities for Women and Men (2013), Law on Employment (2013), as well as the Labour Code (2004).

- According to Armenian legislation general age for admission to employment is 16 and 18 for admission to hazardous work. Children between the ages of 14 and 16 may enter into an employment contract with the written consent of one of their parents or a legal guardian. The work should not be harmful to their health, safety, education or morals. Article 148 of the Labour code prohibits persons under the age of 18 from night work and article 17 prohibits persons under age 18 from work at weekends and during holidays.
- At the same time, it should be noted that the Labour Code and its provisions relating to the minimum age of admission to employment do not appear to apply to work performed outside the framework of a formal labour relationship, such as children performing unpaid work, work in the informal sector or work on a self-employed basis. However, the studies and consultations held in the target communities showed that families with children do not encourage performance of housework or other activities by children and priority is given to pursuing education. However, this does not mean that children do not help their parents with housework and the cultivation of their land plots. In many families, after completing their homework children (over 13 years old) help parents with housework and cultivation of land. This carries a learning element and gives children an opportunity to get acquainted with household and agricultural works. Thus, the project will take adequate legal measures in the form of written agreements/contracts with the beneficiaries in order to exclude the possibility of employing children under the age of 16 in a form that would contradict the ILO's Minimum Age and Forced Labour Conventions during and beyond the project framework
- There are not any cultural, traditional, religious or any other grounds in the Republic of Armenia and particularly in the project area that might result in differential allocation of benefits between men and women and naturally there is no need for further assessment. However relevant assessment will be carried out and if needed mitigating measures will be added. Based on culture of Armenia generally there are no issues related to gender similar to other developing countries. Women are empowered in villages and they are involved in day to day activities and decision makings in the field of family and village affairs. Assessments that have been conducted in the past have not revealed any major gender

issues. There might be some risks of women's involvement, further actions regarding mitigation measures will be provided in part 3.

- As a member of the Council of Europe, the Republic of Armenia has ratified all the conventions and treaties on gender equality and human rights.
- The number of other nationalities living in the project area is very few. Mainly ethnic Russians live in Fioletovo community and as project stakeholders they have been involved in the initial meetings and discussions on the Adaptation Fund, climate change adaptation and the program peculiarities held by EPIU. The objectives and activities of the project have been assessed positively by the community leaders and residents.
- It is envisaged by the project to renovate already existing field roads that are in a very poor condition. These activities will have limited negative impact on natural habitats, do not pollute the environment and do not harm the soil. It should be noted that, as a result of the activities, the greenhouse gas emissions from machinery and agricultural machinery will be reduced, and in case of improved roads, the cars will not bypass them and the natural habitat and landscapes of the area will not be harmed. The most damaged parts of the existing irrigation network will be repaired, in the result of which the land erosion, salinization and bogging processes the adjacent areas will diminish, it will enable to reduce water losses and to make the irrigation system effective in conditions of climate change under irrigation water reduction. Climate smart agriculture enables to increase adaptability by reducing irrigation water costs and it also increases women's employment time.
- Improvement of grassland and pastures with organic fertilizers will increase adaptability.
- Improvement of arable land with organic fertilizers and zeolite allows to accumulate moisture in arable lands and increase productivity and adaptability.
- The project envisages application of new technologies and lightweight constructions, during which the soil will not be damaged and the environment will not be polluted. Activities will be identified during the preparation of the fully-developed project proposal to allow for adequate risk identification and impact mitigation and prevention, as well Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) will be developed.

PART III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

A. Describe the arrangements for project / programme implementation.


78. The project will be implemented over a three-year period, beginning in September 2018. The implementing entity (IE) for the project will be EPIU, as the National Implementing Entity for the Adaptation Fund. Replicating the longstanding work and experience of EPIU in working directly with national stakeholders (public and private organizations, academy, NGO's), and considering past success of EPIU implementing programs and projects at national and international level, the Government of the Republic of Armenia has explicitly endorsed this AF project to be executed by EPIU.

- The Project Management Board (PMB) will be responsible for making management decisions for the AF project. In addition, the board will: i) undertake project assurance (monitoring and evaluation); ii) ensure performance improvement; and iii) ensure accountability and learning; iv) approve and closely monitor the multi-year and annual

work plan to ensure its fulfillment and that it contributes to achieving project objectives;
 (vi) approve the annual report, multi-year and final report.

- The PMB will comprise of designated representatives from relevant ministries and representatives from local self-government bodies and EPIU staff. The Project Management Board will choose a member from its composition to serve as secretary to the PMB. The PMB will approve annual work plans and procurement plans, and review project periodical reports as well as any deviations from the approved plans.
- The overall management of the AF project will be executed by EPIU staff as NIE. The following implementation services will be provided by EPIU for the AF project:
 - overall coordination and management of EPIU's NIE functions and responsibilities, and the facilitation of interactions with the AFB and related stakeholders;
 - oversight of portfolio implementation and reporting on budget performance;
 - quality assurance and accountability for outputs and deliverables at the project development phase, during implementation and on completion;
 - receipt, management and disbursement of AF funds in accordance with the financial standards of the AF;
 - information and communication management to track and monitor progress (financial and substantive) of project implementation;
 - oversight and quality assurance of evaluation processes for project performance and ensuring that lessons learned/best practice are incorporated to improve future projects; and
 - monitoring project activities, including financial matters, and preparing monthly and quarterly progress reports, and organizing monthly and quarterly progress reviews;
 - **ensuring gender responsiveness of the implementation of the project's activities in line with the Gender Policy and Action Plan of the AF**
 - supporting the PB in organizing PB meetings;
 - managing relationships with project stakeholders including donors, NGOs, government agencies, and others as required.

The following organigram shows the structure of project implementation arrangements:



EPIU has 2 functions:

As an implementing entity EPIU is going to implement the following tasks:

- develops terms of references for contracting companies, local and international experts and for audit.
- Coordinates the project activities, carries out monitoring, prepares Management Board's activities, carries out social, environmental and gender studies according to AF's ESP and GP, discusses complaints and submits recommendations, publishes quarterly, annual and final reports to the Secretariat.

As an executing entity EPIU is going to carry out the following tasks:

- organizes financial transfers, monitors the proper use of finance, makes sure uninterrupted work of the office and carries out procurement according to procurement plans.

79. The day to day project management will be conducted by EPIU project management unit in collaboration with Urtsadzor community (Khosrov Forest State Reserve) as well as Dilijan, Margahovit and Fioletovo communities (Dilijan National Park). Other than mentioned above, the project management unit will be specifically responsible for the development and carrying out of monitoring and evaluation processes in compliance with AF's social and environmental standards, including the use of gender-disaggregated indicators, for the procurement of goods and services, for the mobilization of technical expertise, where this is not yet available. Where necessary the project management unit will recruit a number of technical experts to support the project coordination, implementation and monitoring. These may include, but not be limited to (i) project coordinator or project manager, (ii) procurement specialist, (iii) accountant, (iv) social and gender

specialist, (v) social and environmental specialist, (vi) monitoring and evaluation specialist, (vii) marketing specialist and etc.

80. In order to ensure that both women and men are equally benefiting from the adaptation measures introduced in the framework of the project and that gender mainstreaming approach is used on each level of project management and implementation during an inception stage a Gender Action Plan (GAP) will be prepared for the project, including setting up gender-responsive indicators for project implementation and monitoring. The Project's GAP will be developed by contracted Social and gender specialist, who will be a permanent staff member at Project Management Unit (PMU). The Social and gender specialist will coordinate the GAP's implementation and its incorporation into project planning, implementation and monitoring processes. Among others, the GAP, will establish gender-disaggregated and gender-sensitive indicators for project performance and monitoring. The Social and gender specialist will be also responsible for conducting gender monitoring visits to the project sites and reporting on gender equality and gender-responsive activities within the project, implementing regular socio-economic and gender assessments and providing recommendations on measures to avoid, minimize and/or mitigate adverse gender impacts, as well as organizing awareness raising workshops. In addition, at the project inception stage the Social and gender specialist will organize a training on gender sensitivity for the staff involved in the management and implementation of the project. PMU will include reporting on progress of GAP activities in quarterly progress reports to the NIE.

81. Grievance redress mechanism. To facilitate a mechanism, which would allow stakeholders from the target communities of Urtsadzor, Dilijan, Margahovit and Fioletovo to submit complaints and concerns on the project and its implementation, an independent grievance redress mechanism would be established and managed by EPIU. The project would therefore follow international best practice for managing grievances and complaints. The Grievance mechanism has been developed (check under ESMP) for the beneficiaries of the project to address or report any complaints or discrimination directly to the Designated Authorities, Implementing entity and funding agency (Adaptation fund). The participants have been made aware of this Grievance Mechanism during several consultation carried out in the project preparation phase. There are several means (using emails, phone calls, social media) through which one can report the concerns they may have or find during activity design and implementation phase. Complaints/grievances books will be provided at the community halls. At the end of every activity, there will be a feedback mechanism through short survey questionnaire to receive comments or suggestions from the participants (individually or in groups) so as to improve the shortcomings in future activities. The response and feedback to any concerns will be carried out in a transparent and effective manner, making sure that the identity of the person will be kept confidential, if requested. These grievance mechanisms will be made available to all communities (or additional mechanism will be identified during the course of the project) especially to consider the special needs of different groups as well as have gender sensitive approach in the project area. At the EPIU level, the grievance mechanism will be regularly monitored for the complaints from the beneficiaries or stakeholders who will share their feedbacks directly through the email(info@cep.am), phone and to Adaptation Fund's information presented below in ESMP. The complaints reported or received will be handled by the project coordination, management unit and SNCO representatives, who will investigate firstly through an on-site visit. The visiting committee may invite other relevant agencies (local and national) to participate in the investigation. During the investigation, the root causes of the risks or issues will be identified and

the concerned individuals or agencies responsible for correcting or resolving the issue will be assigned. The committee will produce a report of its findings such as causes of issues, involvement of concern agencies, time taken to resolve, recommendations and actions. Complainants may request or will be sent a copy of the reports related to the complaint. All the complaints (if received any) and measures taken will be stored in a database of the project coordination and management unit and will also be reported to Adaptation Fund along with the yearly progress report

B. Describe the measures for financial and project / programme risk management.

Risk	Category	Level	Mitigation measures
<p>Not all necessary stakeholders may take part in the process with the capacity and commitment required (from the inception phase to completion) or might feel left out in the process. Afterwards, there can be resistance from some stakeholders in adopting the proposed measures.</p>	<p>Institutional</p>	<p>Low</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The project will build upon an active stakeholder engagement strategy and frequent consultation in the four target communities of Urtsadzor, Dilijan, Margahovit and Fioletovo. - To ensure the equal participation of women, youth, elderly and other potentially vulnerable groups, dedicated consultations and working groups with these groups will be organized to provide ample space for the consideration of th specific needs of these stakeholder groups. - Project beneficiaries will be selected through few phases, including (1) screening of potential beneficiaries during the community consultation meetings, (2) recommendations by the municipalities and the final beneficiaries will be selected as a result of (3) face-to-face meeting and visit to the farm of the beneficiary in order to assess her/his skills of farming and readiness to accept the project terms. - A grievance redress mechanism would support community members and stakeholders to submit any complaint.
<p>Project outcomes, including properties such as, greenhouses, dryers, etc. are not well protected</p>	<p>Institutional</p>	<p>Low</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Legally binding agreements will be signed with the beneficiaries who will be provided with assets (greenhouse, drier, etc) in the framework of the project. The agreements will stipulate the responsibility of the beneficiaries to maintain the provided assets in working condition and if necessary

Risk	Category	Level	Mitigation measures
			<p>cover all costs of repairs for maintaining them in working conditions. In cases of negligence, the contract will stipulate to the return the asset back to the project in a working order, so that the project transfers it to other beneficiaries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Informative leaflets/materials will be provided to the beneficiaries on good maintenance and operations of the provided assets. - The provided assets will hold the logos of the project and PIU.
Beneficiaries may feel left out at the implementation process and or have insufficient collaboration between implementing body and stakeholders;	Institutional	Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The project will be implemented in close consultation with different stakeholders and in collaboration with the affected communities. The roles and responsibilities would be clarified during an inception workshop whereas ownership building will contribute to better collaboration between all stakeholders. - The contacts of project implementing unit will be provided to all the beneficiaries and regular visits will be conducted by different specialists of the project, so that each beneficiary will have contact with the project representative at least once per month.
Delays in project implementation including those related to delayed procurement	Institutional	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -During the inception phase the working plan of the project implementation will be updated - The progress towards the timely implementation of the project will be monitored by bi-weekly meetings of the PIU staff. -PIU has to follow RA public procurement procedures, where the minimum days for certain phases of procurement process are established. In order to minimize the risk of delays because of delayed procurement, the maximum deadlines were included the project timeframe -Project activities are well prepared to be completed in proposed timeframe. -Monitoring activities will ensure implementation targets are kept

Risk	Category	Level	Mitigation measures
			during the project implementation.
Implementation capacity constraints with limited human resources in national and regional authorities to ensure a timely implementation and the sustainability of the project.	Institutional	Medium	<p>-Knowledge and awareness building is one of the key components of the project.</p> <p>- Project will equip all relevant authority workers, decision makers and local population will have sufficient knowledge on the landscape and ecosystem adaptation to climate change and efficient management of climate smart agricultural techniques.</p>
Delays in obtaining construction permits	Institutional	Medium	<p>- The project will contract only reliable and experienced construction companies that will ensure accurate filling out of request forms and timely obtaining of permits.</p>
Government is not supportive throughout the implementation phase and for the sustainability of the project	Political	Low	<p>-As EPIU is a state institution and the project implementation is in line with the mandate of Ministry of Nature Protection government will be supportive from the beginning of the project. Local and National authorities will be notified of the importance of the project and all the relevant support will be given to the project management unit.</p>
Project beneficiaries are not well chosen	Social	Low	<p>- Project beneficiaries will be selected through few phases, including (1) screening of potential beneficiaries during the community consultation meetings, (2) recommendations by the municipalities and the final beneficiaries will be selected as a result of (3) face-to-face meeting and visit to the farm of the beneficiary in order to assess her/his skills of farming and readiness to accept the project terms.</p> <p>-Initial consultation activities will ensure that all stakeholders are identified and well consulted; moreover consultations will include women, youth and elderly people and other vulnerable groups. The project would support the establishment of an independent grievance redress</p>

Risk	Category	Level	Mitigation measures
			mechanism.
Project beneficiaries are resistant to change and/or the new technologies applied are difficult to manage	Social	Medium	-During the implementation phase consultations of different stakeholders will ensure the ownership building for the project. -Project will ensure active participation of stakeholders -Awareness and knowledge raising activities will increase the capacity for managing the new technologies applied and will ensure that beneficiaries are not resistant towards adaptation activities.
Mismanagement of resources	Financial	Low	-Financial risk management will be possible by continuous evaluations, audits and reports as mentioned in M&E plan of the project.
Delays in the disbursement of funds.	Financial	Low	-EPIU will ensure that all the funds are properly managed; all procurement activities are completed in a timely manner. Dedicated procurement staff in the project management unit of EPIU will

C. Describe the measures for environmental and social risk management, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

Environmental and social principles	Assessment	Potential impacts and risks- further assessment and management required for compliance	
		Description of process for environmental and social and gender safeguards and mitigation measures	Responsible entity
<i>Compliance with the Law</i>	Very Low	It is very unlikely that the project will not be in compliance with relevant national laws. As a government entity EPIU is obliged to act under laws and regulations of the Republic of Armenia. Furthermore contractors and consultants recruited by EPIU for the implementation of the project will again act under the laws and regulations of Armenia. Technical specialists of EPIU will ensure the compliance with technical regulations, including for example gender specialists.	NIE, Technical and Gender specialists.
<i>Access and Equity</i>	Very Low	All the beneficiaries of the project will have fair and equitable access to project, as well as in decision making processes (Risk level-Low). Project Management Unit and the EPIU will ensure that the equity and benefits throughout the project is equally accessible to all stakeholders. Through M&E actions the	Gender and M&E specialists.


		<p>assessment of equity should be carried out throughout all stages of the project. The project implementation will follow a bottom up, participatory approach which is driven by the needs and preferences of the involved communities.</p> <p>During all implementing processes beneficiary groups will be chosen in participative way. Events will be announced thoroughly. There will be neither discrimination nor favouritism in accessing program benefits.</p> <p>A grievance redress mechanism will be established as an independent mechanism to objectively handle any concerns or complaints from stakeholders.</p>	
<i>Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups</i>	Low	<p>Marginalized and vulnerable groups, in particular elderly, women and poor families, in the project area are included in the project design phase, and the projects direct benefits will apply to them. A dedicated consultation mechanism will be established, where women, youth and elderly will be provided with a safe forum to contribute to the project design and implementation. An independent grievance redress mechanism would allow handling any kind of concerns of involved stakeholders.</p> <p>According to chapter IX of EPIU's Operational and Procedures Manual (OPM), all the proposed programs/projects must avoid imposing any disproportionate adverse influence on marginal and vulnerable groups, including children, women and girls, the elderly, indigenous people, tribal groups, displaced people, refugees, people with disabilities, as well as people with HIV / AIDS.</p> <p>At the initial stage of the project, in the affected communities the marginal and vulnerable groups would be defined using the categories that are properly determined. The process will also include the identification and description of possible impacts on marginal and vulnerable groups. During the initial inspection of any proposed project/program, the implementing agencies should evaluate and take into account the specific impacts on marginal and vulnerable groups.</p> <p>Marginal and vulnerable groups, especially the elderly, women and poor families, are included in the project design phase and should receive direct benefits from the program.</p> <p>A special consultative mechanism will be created through which women, young people and the elderly will get a safe platform to facilitate the development and implementation of the program, and will allow interested stakeholders to express their concerns.</p>	NIE, Gender specialist, M&E specialist

<i>Human Rights</i>	Very Low	Human rights in natural resources use, equity, education, health, and other relevant sectors are protected by constitution and other relevant laws. The program activities will not engage in any activity that may result in the infringement on the right of any person during implementation.	NIE
<i>Gender Equity and Women's Empowerment</i>	Low	The management of women's involvement in the project should be carried out from the inception of the project. Firstly, there is going to be profiling of stakeholders to ensure that women are direct beneficiaries of the project. During the project implementation women will be encouraged to take positions in the project. There are women and youth groups who are included in the project. As a process of women's empowerment the women groups will be given solar dryers and greenhouses. During the M&E processes, women groups will be targeted to be monitored in order to assess the direct and indirect benefits of the project towards women. Program design focuses on women as direct beneficiaries. During activity selection, project team will ensure that the project effectively responds to the unique needs of women and girls and promotes gender equity. Gender equity will be promoted during the trainings and knowledge awareness raising activities by ensuring up to 40% participation levels for women and youth. Their roles and needs have been considered during project design Women as climate change adaptation participants/leaders will be monitored from outset	NIE, Gender Specialist.
<i>Core Labor Rights</i>	Very Low	Labor rights are protected by Armenian constitution and laws. All persons employed under this program will follow Armenian legislation and will have proper employment contracts. Contractors and consultants hired by the EPIU for construction works will also follow the procedures prescribed in the labor law of Armenia.	NIE, Monitoring specialist.
<i>Indigenous Peoples</i>	Very low	The Constitution of the Republic of Armenia do not use the term 'indigenous Peoples', it refers to the Armenia population as having various 'ethnic groups' and in conformity with Article 56. Right to Preserve National and Ethnic Identity: 1. Everyone shall have the right to preserve his or her national and ethnic identity. Persons belonging to national minorities shall have the right to preserve and develop their traditions, religion, language and culture. Exercise of the rights prescribed in this Article shall be regulated by law.	NIE, Gender specialist
<i>Involuntary Resettlement</i>	very low	Project implementation does not include any resettlement of residents. There are no risks associated to any kind of resettlement. Any project actions, which could impact the movement of people (for example fencing of pastures for a more sustainable pasture management) would be conducted in close consultation	NIE, Environmental and social management specialist


		with all involved stakeholders in the communities to limit the impact on communities	
<i>Protection of Natural Habitats</i>	Low	The project has the objective to improve and sustain natural resources management and agricultural practices in areas adjacent to two national parks and protected areas. As such the expected impact of the project on Khosrov State Forest and Dilian National Park are expected to be positive. The project will for example improve pasture management in the areas adjacent to the protected areas and will thus limit the risk of communities to impact the protected areas through for example uncontrolled grazing. Within the project areas there are no specific protected areas or habitats (e.g. endangered species), which would require specific actions.	NIE, Environmental and social management specialist
<i>Conservation of Biological Diversity</i>	Low	The project will strengthen the capacity of farmers in pest management (e.g., Composition and quantities of pesticides and fertilizers) so that the biodiversity of pastures and grasslands should not be changed. The project recognizes the need to maintain or strengthen biodiversity and ecosystem services and is committed to integrating their sustainable management into climate-smart agricultural practices promoted under this project. The climate-smart agriculture approach will allow to preserve the biodiversity and enhance the effectiveness of usage by contributing to natural regulation methods: to the installation of grass buffer strips, and agroforestry. The project will promote capacity building and farmer-to-farmer learning to strengthen the responsible and efficient management of natural resources, including land, water, soils, pastures, and forests. The project will exclude invasive species or new pests and diseases into project sites, and all actions that will be implemented should correspond to relevant national and international laws and guidelines.	NIE, Environmental and social management specialist, additional support from IUCN, Specialist from SNCO
<i>Climate Change</i>	N.A.	The project does not have a negative impact on climate change. No project interventions are expected to contribute to release of gases responsible for CC and thus are not expected to contribute to GHG emissions. In addition the project would not create new climate risks, for example construction in areas affected by flooding; Instead the main objective of the project is to support the adaptation of communities living in areas adjacent to protected areas to the potential impacts of climate change.	NIE, Environmental and social management specialist,
<i>Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</i>	Low	The project will support the sustainable management of land and water resources to avoid, limit and reverse land degradation in the project area and support communities to adapt to the potential impacts of climate change. Of the proposed climate smart agricultural measures no pesticides or mineral fertilizer would be provided, which could have any potential impacts on the project area. During construction (for example rehabilitation of field tracks, construction of culverts), the necessary	NIE, Environmental and social management specialist,

		precautions would be made to avoid or limit any impact (for example compaction of soil, localized gully erosion at construction sites).	
<i>Public Health</i>	N.A	The project would have very likely no negative public health impacts; As indicated above the project will not use any pesticides or any other material with potential public health impacts, such as sulfides use in solar dryers.	N.A.
<i>Physical and Cultural Heritage</i>	N.A.	There are no any impacts on physical or cultural heritage. The project areas do not include "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve's area and will not have any impacts on its historic-cultural values. Pls. see the map https://www.google.com/maps/place/Khosrov+Forest+State+Reserve/@39.8796288,44.7484066,11z/data=!4m6!3m5!1s0x0:0xfefa8b57066f9923!4b1!8m2!3d40.0368696!4d44.9167865	N.A.
<i>Land and Soil Conservation</i>	very low	The project has the objective to avoid, limit and reverse any negative impacts on land water and soil resources in the project areas. In fact the project is expected to have a positive impact on soil conservation and will reverse land degradation through agroforestry and localized terracing of land.	NIE, Environmental and social management specialist, with support from UNCCD.

The project impact zone does not include the territory of Khosrov Forest State Reserve, where according to Article 16 of the RA Law "on Specially Protected Areas" any activity that violates natural systems and objects or threatens their protection is prohibited. The list and location of the works were previously discussed with the specialists of Khosrov Forest State Reserve.



Khosrov Forest State Reserve



An area adjacent to Urtsadzor community



Environmental and social management plan

82. The project has been identified as a “category b” project with limited environmental and social impacts resulting from the project. With regard to land and soil conservation as well as climate change impacts as well as protected areas the project would likely have positive impacts on the communities and project area. As a management instrument for addressing any social and environmental issues, an environmental and social management plan (ESMP) would be formulated in accordance with the laws and regulations of the Republic of Armenia and the policies of the Adaptation Fund. The ESMP outlines the preventive / mitigation measures proposed to reduce potentially adverse environmental and social impacts to acceptable levels. The plan also shows how these potential risks and mitigation measures will be further monitored, including responsibilities. The ESMP would include consultations with the potentially affected stakeholders and disclosure of the documentation before any works and activity related to the project would commence.

1. Risks management arrangements

- (i) Responsibilities: direct management responsibility of the ESMP will be under the EPIU project manager. The project manager will have oversight / final compliance responsibility. Changes or additional activities that arise during project implementation and add value/complement proposed sub-projects and fall within allowable limits set by Adaptation fund will need to be cleared by the project manager and approved by the project

management board depending on the scale and type of activity.

- (ii) The directors of the "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park SNCOs will be included in the Governing Council and will participate in the decision-making and implementation processes. The organizations which design and formulate managing plans will closely cooperate with SNCOs, will have discussions about the project pre-estimation documents and managing plans. Noting, that Dilijan community is located in within the national park, SNCO will be designate relevant specialists who will carry out biodiversity impact monitoring during the implementation of activities. The employees of SNCOs will also be included in the complaints and grievance discussing panel.
- (iii) Management and mitigation measures: All project activities have been screened against the 15 environmental and social risks areas during project preparation phase. Outcomes will be presented during the project inception to all stakeholders to confirm the management and monitoring arrangements.

2. General environmental and social risks management reduction measures

In addition to the risk management measures identified above, the following elements will be put in place to ensure the compliance with the ESP:

- (i) All contracts and agreements of cooperation with contracting entities will include detailed reference to the ESMP and GP, the 15 ESP Principles and especially compliance to law (principle 1), human rights compliance (principle 4), gender approach (principle 5) and labour and safety standards (principle 6 and 13):
 - Principle 1: References to standards and laws to which the activity will need to comply will be included in all legal agreements with all sub-contractors, including steps and responsibilities for compliance.
 - Principle 4: References to relevant Humans rights declarations will be included in all legal agreements with all sub-contractors.
 - Principe 6: Employment and working conditions following ILO standards will be included in legal agreements with all subcontractors.
- (ii) EPIU staff will check project compliance to the AF ESP during the project (besides the project manager) (principle 4).
- (iii) Continues coordination with technical supervisors responsible for compliance to national and local standards will take place.
- (iv) Social and gender and environmental specialists will carry out gender assessments; will carry out studies regarding projects compliance and risks (According to AF's ESP principle 5
- (v) Project Management Unit and the EPIU will ensure that the equity and benefits throughout the project is equally accessible to all stakeholders. Through M&E actions the assessment of equity should be carried out throughout all stages of the project. The project implementation will follow a bottom up, participatory approach which is driven by the needs and preferences of the involved communities.
- (vi) Capacity building and awareness raising: the management teams, executing entity partners and target communities, will receive training / capacity development to understand and manage the 15 Principles, the ESMP and in particular their responsibilities. This will be done during inception.

3. Risks monitoring arrangements:

- (v) Monitoring will be done to ensure that actions are taken in a timely manner and to determine if actions are appropriately mitigating the risk / impact or if they need to be modified in order to achieve the intended outcome.

- (vi) Annual reporting will include information about the status of implementation of this ESMP, including those measures required to avoid, minimize, or mitigate environmental and social risks. The reports shall also include, if necessary, a description of any corrective actions that are deemed necessary.

Direct monitoring responsibilities will be under the project manager and monitoring specialists. The overall project manager will have oversight / final compliance responsibility. When changes or additional activities are required, monitoring indicators will be changed or added as well.

4. The grievance resolution mechanism (GRM)

The Grievance Resolution Mechanism (GRM) will implement the policy and guidelines of EPIU GM. As set out in policy, the GRM will support the enhancement of environment and social well-being, including human rights and gender equality. The GRM will receive and facilitate the resolution of concerns, complaints, and grievances about the programme’s environmental, social, human rights, gender performance as well as other general complaints relating to the programme. When and where the need arises, this mechanism will be used for addressing any complaints that may arise during the implementation of the programme.

Concerns, complaints and grievances by affected persons will be directed to the Project Implementation Unit (PIU) where the Project Coordinator, Environmental and Social Safeguard (ESS) and Gender Specialists will be the focal points to receive, record, review, and address concerns in coordination with relevant stakeholders depending on the nature of the complaint. A complaints register will be maintained to record the date, details, and nature of each complaint, the name of the complainant, and the date and actions taken as a result of the follow-up investigation.

E-mail address, address and telephone of Adaptation fund will also be made public via our website, ministries website and LinkedIn for anyone who wants to raise any concerns regarding to project:

Adaptation Fund Board secretariat

Mail stop: MSN P-4-400

1818 H Street NW

Washington DC

20433 USA

Tel: 001-202-478-7347

afbsec@adaptation-fund.org

The register will also cross-reference any non-compliance report and/or corrective action report or other relevant documentation relating to the complaint.

Stage	Process	Duration
1	Any affected person or community head, representatives and other concerned party takes grievance to PMU.	Anytime
2	Project Coordinator, ESS or Gender Specialist reviews and finds solution to the problem in consultation with community heads.	1weeks

3	Project Coordinator, ESS or Gender Specialist reports back an outcome to affected person who submitted the grievance.	1 week
If unresolved or not satisfied with the outcome at PMU level or has received no report in the allotted time period		
4	Affected person takes grievance through relevant Municipalities or community halls or to MoNP or NIE.	Within 2 weeks of receipt of decision in step 3
5	NIE reviews and finds a solution which may include recommendation of dispute resolution, including an appropriate body to oversee.	2 weeks
6	NIE reports back to the affected person who made the complaint.	1 week
If unresolved or at any stage if concerned party is not satisfied		
Affected party can take the matter to Office of the Ombudsperson or appropriate court or law enforcement bodies		As per Office of the Ombudsperson or judicial system or law enforcement bodies

D. Describe the monitoring and evaluation arrangements and provide a budgeted M&E plan.

The project will be monitored through the M&E activities, M&E budget is provided below. The monitoring will be carried out by the Project Team verified by the NIE. Monitoring and evaluation progress will be based on targets and indicators set in Projects Results framework.

Project Management Unit will create system for project monitoring progress. Relevant data collection and recording process with participatory mechanisms will support the monitoring and evaluation of outcome and output indicators.

Project Launch workshop tasks will include:

1. Presentation and introduction of the project's results framework to all project stakeholders
2. Presentation of project team
3. Ownership building and planning of work plan, based on projects results framework. This will include of establishing roles, responsibilities, functions of NIE and Project Management team.
4. Review of M&E indicators
5. M&E budget and work plan will be agreed and scheduled.

Throughout the project, PMU and the division of monitoring and evaluation will be responsible for monitoring and their actions will be guided by Annual Operating Plan (AOP). Annual Operation Plan will display all necessary activities for current year and Quarterly Status Reports will present monitoring process on executed activities. AOP's will be agreed and scheduled annually during NIE meetings, and AOP will be guided by project results framework.

Following reports and evaluations will be developed throughout the project:

Inception Workshop Report- will be prepared after inception workshop, which will detail about roles, responsibilities, actions, and functions of all stakeholders. Furthermore, it will include first AOP and monitoring plan for the first year.

Annual Operating Plan (AOP)- Annual plan should be approved by the NIE before starting each operating period, and it will detail all activities to be executed, all milestones and goals which will be reached during the year, and dates for each indicator to be executed. AOP will include all the necessary financial activities relevant to the first period.

Quarterly Status Reports (QSR)- project management unit should submit QSRs to the NIE at the end of each operating quarter. QSRs will present how the indicators identified in project results framework are executed, what challenges PMU faces during the execution process and identify any constraints. Quarterly Status Reports will present monitoring process on executed activities.

Annual Management Reports (AMR)- Annual Management Report will cover last AOP, it will compare the actual results with the targets and milestones listed in AOP, and if necessary it will come up with improvements and corrective measures for the upcoming AOP.

External Audit Reports- with the periodic financial statements, external annual audit report will be prepared. Audit reports are made in accordance to Financial Regulations set by the government.

Mid-term Evaluation- Halfway through the project implementation the project will undergo an external mid-term evaluation, which will assess the project's progress of achieving outcomes. Effectiveness and efficiency of the projects will be taken into consideration, and if needed any corrective mechanisms will be applied after the mid-term evaluation.

Final Report- Final report will be presented three months prior to the end of the project. The main focus of the evaluation is to assess project's results with planned results. Moreover, the final evaluation will look to impacts of the projects and to the sustainability of the project.

Final External Evaluation- The main focus of the evaluation is the project impacts, project's sustainability and long-term effects. Final evaluation will also suggest any further actions to be implemented for project's sustainability.

Monitoring and Evaluation					
Quarterly and annual report	EPIU staff				
Final report	EPIU staff				
Project Management Board meetings	Project Manager	700	700	700	2100
Technical supervision	Expert		9423	9427	18850
Copyright Supervision	Expert		2190	2190	4380
Inception and final workshops	EPIU staff	2000		2000	4000
Midterm evaluation	International expert		20000		20000
Final Evaluation	International expert			20000	20000
External audit		3000	3000	3000	9000
Total		5700	35313	37317	78330

The monitoring and evaluation arrangements include gender responsive stakeholder consultation process. In case of identification of any specific gender targets or gender-responsive outcomes the relevant specialist will monitor this issue.

E. Include a results framework for the project proposal, including milestones, targets and indicators.

Result	Indicator	Baseline	Milestone (target, year 1)	End of project target	Means of verification	Responsibility
Objectives : Reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest” and “Dilijan” National Parks by strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector and reinforcing their institutional and planning capacity for climate change adaptation by implementing adaptation measures in selected communities.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total number of project beneficiaries (direct & indirect) Percentage of women beneficiaries LDN monitoring system established 	0 beneficiaries LDN monitoring system established (no)	5000 30% no	16000 40% yes	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU with support from UNCCD
Component 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zone						
Outcome 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices are implemented in degraded areas to reduce climate risks vulnerability of production systems and sustain protected areas;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total area of land rehabilitated and with increased adaptation capacity Water loss in irrigation systems reduced % of livestock benefitting adapted pasture management 	xx ha of land degraded in target communities Water losses in irrigation system (70%) 10% of livestock benefitting adapted pasture management	xx ha of land (xx%) rehabilitated Water losses in irrigation system (50%) 30% of livestock	xx ha of land (xx%) rehabilitated Water losses in irrigation system (30%) 50% of livestock	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
Output 1.1: Irrigation water supply systems are rehabilitated increasing water use efficiency;	# m of irrigation system rehabilitated # solar water pumps installed	Tbd	6100m rehabilitated 1 pumps installed	120m rehabilitated 3 pumps installed	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
Output 1.2: Water efficient drip irrigation systems are installed in selected community orchards;	# ha orchards equipped with drip irrigation	Tbd	1ha drip irrigation installed	5.2ha drip irrigation installed	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities

Result	Indicator	Baseline	Milestone (target, year 1)	End of project target	Means of verification	Responsibility
Output 1.3: Existing field tracks to remote pastures degraded lands are rehabilitated;	% Percentage of field tracks degraded / rehabilitated # km field tracks rehabilitated # culverts installed			30% of field tracks rehabilitated 39.5km rehabilitated 50 culverts installedn	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
1.4: Sowing areas of perennial plants are created reducing rangeland degradation;	# ha of perennial sowing areas of perennial plants are created		0ha of perennial sowing area established	10ha of perennial sowing area established	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
Output 1.5: Community pastures and hay meadows are rehabilitated and improved their adaptive capacity;	# ha hay meadows and arable lands rehabilitated # ha pastures rehabilitated		100 ha hay pastures, meadows and arable lands rehabilitated	1382 ha hay pastures, meadows and arable lands rehabilitated	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
Output 1.6 Livestock watering points are constructed;	# No of watering points constructed	No of watering points constructed	5 watering points constructed	15 watering points constructed	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
Output 1.7: Degraded slopes are rehabilitated by belt planting of perennial, drought resistant plants	# ha degraded slopes rehabilitated by the creation of agroforest		1ha of agroforestry planted	3 ha of agroforestry planted	Project technical reports, mid-term and final studies.	EPIU and target communities

Result	Indicator	Baseline	Milestone (target, year 1)	End of project target	Means of verification	Responsibility
Component 2 Strengthening value chains and climate smart technology transfer for vulnerable communities						
Outcome 2: Value chains for climate smart agriculture are strengthened and climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total number of beneficiaries benefitting from climate smart technologies Increased income, or avoided decrease in income Percentage of women beneficiaries benefitting from climate smart technologies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tbd 	<p>50 beneficiaries</p> <p>30% of beneficiaries of climate smart technology are women</p>	<p>350 beneficiaries</p> <p>50% of beneficiaries of climate smart technology are women</p>	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
2.1 Smart agricultural practices	<p>X ha sowing of herbs,</p> <p>X ha anti-hail nets Constructed</p> <p>X ha Planting shrubs and mulching</p>		<p>0.1 ha sowing of herbs,</p> <p>1ha anti-hail nets Constructed</p>	<p>0.5 ha sowing of herbs,</p> <p>2.5 ha anti-hail nets Constructed</p> <p>3.6 ha planting shrubs and mulching</p>	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
Output 2.2: Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are constructed in priority community areas	<p>m2 of green houses constructed</p> <p># no of beneficiaries with access to green houses</p> <p>% of beneficiaies with access to green houses, which are women</p>		<p>1000m2 of green houses constructed</p> <p>30 beneficiaries</p> <p>40 % of beneficiaies are women</p>	<p>3000m2 of green houses constructed</p> <p>100 beneficiaries</p> <p>70 % of beneficiaies are women</p>	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities

Result	Indicator	Baseline	Milestone (target, year 1)	End of project target	Means of verification	Responsibility
Output 2.3: Solar dryers are installed in priority community areas	m2 of solar dryers constructed # no of beneficiaries with access to solar dryers % of beneficiaies with access to solar dryers, which are women		100 m2 of solar dryers constructed 66 beneficiaries 53 % of beneficiaies are women	150 m2 of solar dryers constructed 100 beneficiaies 80 % of are women	6 monthly project reports, surveys	EPIU and target communities
Output 2.4: Community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains	# Community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains	No business plans formulated	2 business plans formulated	2 business plans formulated	Business plans are available	EPIU and target communities
Component 3 Awareness raising, capacity building, monitoring and decision making for climate smart agricultural practices						
Outcome 3: Awareness, planning, monitoring and decision making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and LDN has increased in target communities;	No of beneficiaries benefitting from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN % of beneficiaies benefitting from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN, which are women		200 beneficiaries 30% beneficiaries are women	300 beneficiaries 40% beneficiaries are women	Training reports	EPIU and target communities

Result	Indicator	Baseline	Milestone (target, year 1)	End of project target	Means of verification	Responsibility
Output 3.1: Farmer field schools and extension services have been provided to share best practices of climate smart agriculture and LDN for the targeted communities;	# number of beneficiaries aware of climate change impacts and appropriate responses to threats % of beneficiaries aware of climate change impacts and appropriate responses to threats, which are women		100 beneficiaries 30% women beneficiaries	200 beneficiaries 40% women beneficiaries	Training reports	EPIU and target communities
Output 3.2 Best practices examples and training material on climate smart agriculture are formulated, disseminated and made accessible;	# training programs and thematic topics Awareness raised at community level of climate change threats		4 training programs and thematic topics	4 training programs and thematic topics		
Output 3.3 Community based adaptation planning is conducted for target communities;	No of community based adaptation plans formulated	Not formulated	4 community based adaptation plans formulated	4 community based adaptation plans formulated	Community based adaptation plans	EPIU and target communities
Output 3.4 Strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas have been formulated.	Strategy for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas have been formulated.	Not available	1 community based adaptation plans formulated	3 community based adaptation plans formulated	Community based adaptation plans	EPIU and target communities

F. Demonstrate how the project / programme aligns with the Results Framework of the Adaptation Fund

Project Objective(s)¹³	Project Objective Indicator(s)	Fund Outcome	Fund Outcome Indicator	Grant Amount (USD)
1. The objective of the project is to reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest” and “Dilijan” National Park by strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector and reinforcing their institutional and planning capacity for climate change adaptation.	1. Threat level to ecosystems, related to climate change effects	Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress	5. Ecosystem services and natural assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress	
Project Outcome(s)	Project Outcome Indicator(s)	Fund Output	Fund Output Indicator	Grant Amount (USD)
1;.Community based, climate smart agricultural practices are implemented in degraded areas to reduce climate risks vulnerability of production systems and sustain protected areas	Climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities;	Output 5: Vulnerable physical, natural, and social assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability	5.1. No. and type of natural resource assets created, maintained or improved to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change	
2. Value chains for climate smart agriculture are strengthened and climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities	Productivity of agricultural products has increased.	Output 6: Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability	6.1.1.No. and type of adaptation assets (physical as well as knowledge) created in support of individual or community-livelihood strategies 6.1.2. Type of	

¹³ The AF utilized OECD/DAC terminology for its results framework. Project proponents may use different terminology but the overall principle should still apply

			income sources for households generated under climate change scenario	
3. Awareness, planning, monitoring and decision making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and land degradation neutrality has increased in target communities	The number of community workers, households, NGO representatives, Mass media representatives, school teachers and students participated in awareness and knowledge raising trainings.	Output 3: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities	3.1.1 No. and type of risk reduction actions or strategies introduced at local level 3.1.2 No. of news outlets in the local press and media that have covered the topic	

G. Include a detailed budget with budget notes, a budget on the Implementing Entity management fee use, and an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs.

Outcome/Output		Notes	Y-1	Y-2	Y-3	TOTAL		
Component 1		Sub-Total Component 1	507,041	1,170,627	55,515	1,733,183		
1	1.1	Construction Company 1	Irrigation system construction	150 000	482566	-	632.566	N1
			Installation of 38 kilowatt-hour solar pumps	2323 041	30 000	-	53.041	
			Establishment of parks without drip irrigation system	35 000	100 000	-	135.000	
	1.2	Construction Company 1	Construction of drip irrigation system	10 000	64 833	-	74.833	N1
	1.1-1.2	Design Company 1	Preparation of Design-Estimated Documents	50 000	-	-	50.000	N2
	1.3	Construction Company 2	Reconstruction of existing field tracks and Installation of water culverts	100 000	209 315	-	309.315	N3
		Design Company 2	Preparation of Design-Estimated Documents	31 000	-	-	31.000	N4
	1.4	Implementing Company	Rehabilitation of arable lands and	8 000	14 000	9205	31.205	N5
	1.5		Rehabilitation of community pastures and hay meadows	60 000	180 000	40310	280.310	
			Construction company 1	Establishment of parks with drip irrigation system	10 000	42 600	-	52.600
1.6	Construction	Construction of livestock	20 000	31 313	-	51.313	N1	

		Company 1	watering points					
	1.7	Implementing Company	Increasing adaptation of degraded slopes	10 000	16 000	6000	32.000	N5
Component 2		Sub-Total Component 2		114 000	192397	36 000	342397	
	2.1	Implementing Company	Smart agricultural practices, 0,5 ha sowing of herbs, Creation of testing areas on the fields	5 000	10 617	-	15.617	N5
	2.2	Implementing Company	Demonstration of land improvement with organic fertilizers on household lands	2000	3000	2200	7200	N5
	2.2	Construction Company 2	Construction of solar greenhouses with drip irrigation	20 000	50 000	20 000	90.000	N3
	2.3	Construction Company 2	Construction of solar dryers for fruits and vegetables and herbs	15 000	60 000	10 800	85.800	
		Implementing Entity	Construction of anti-hail nets	-	41 667		41.667	N5
			The introduction of heat-resistant, dry resistant new varieties and crops	2000	6 000	3000	11.000	
			Planting shrubs and mulching	5 000	21 113	-	26.113	
	2.4	Consulting Company 1	Community management and business plans, including for climate smart agricultural value chains and increasing adaptation of natural and agricultural ecosystems	65 000			65.000	N6

Component 3		Sub-Total Component 3		61000	60500	78500	200000	
	3.1	Consulting Company 2	Workshops	5 000	-	-	5000	N7
			Development of questionnaires and conducting surveys	4 000	-	-	4 000	N7
			Development of field schools training programs	8 000	-	-	8 000	
			Organization of field school groups, knowledge enhancement, demonstration field experiments	5000	15000	15000	35000	
	3.2	Consulting Company 2	Explore communities' needs and capacities;	12 000	-	-	12 000	N7
			Develop a training and awareness-raising program,	12000	-	-	12 000	
			Develop topics for the project	4000	-	-	4000	
			Implement knowledge and skills training program	2000	15 000	15 000	32 000	
	3.3	Consulting Company 2	Develop a plan for dissemination of project materials, results, best practices,	-	2500	2500	5 000	N7
			Disseminate project materials, results, best practices,	1500	3000	15000	19 500	

	3.4	Consulting Company 2	Develop strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas.	-	3000	8000	11 000	N7
			Determine the existing non-governmental organizations, women, youth, environmental and other unions in the communities and develop capacity building plan for them.	2000	12 000	12 000	26 000	
	3.5	Consulting Company 2	Establishment and implementation of Monitoring System for land based adaptation measures and land degradation neutrality	5500	10000	11000	26500	N7
Total: Project Components				682,041	1,423,524	170,015	2,275,580	
Project Execution costs (EPIU)1.5% of total budget)				11.376	11.378	11.376	34.130	
Total Project Cost				693.417	1,434.900	181.393	2,309.710	
IE Fee / Oversight Costs (*max 8.5% of total budget)				44.165	74.393	77.732	196290	
Total Project/Programme Cost				737.582	1,509.293	259.125	2,506.000	

Budget Notes:

N 1	Construction company 1 to carry out activities 1.1,1.2,1.6
N 2	Design company 1 to carry out the preparation of design documents for the activities 1.1,1.2
N 3	Construction company 2 to carry out activities 1.3,2.2,2.3,
N 4	Design company 2 to carry out the preparation of design documents for the activity 1.3
N 5	Implementing entity to carry out activities 1.4,1.5,1.7,2.1,2.2,2.3
N 6	Consulting company 1 to carry out activity 2.4
N 7	Consulting company 2 to carry out the activities envisaged in component 3

IE Fee / Oversight Costs (*max 8.5% of total budget)

		Y-1	Y-2	Y-3	TOTAL
Project Manager		8.990	8.990	9.010	26.990.
Coordinator		8.330	8.330	8.330	24.990
Monitoring Specialist		7.660	7660	7.670	22.990
Social and Gender risk assessment specialist		5830	5830	5840	17500
Environmental risk specialist		5830	5830	5840	17500
Monitoring and Evaluation 67685					
Quarterly and annual report	EPIU staff				
Final report	EPIU staff				
Project Management Committee meetings	Project Manager	700	700	700	2100
Technical supervision	Expert		9423	9427	18850
Copyright supervision	Expert		2190	2190	4380
Inception and final workshops	EPIU staff	2000		2000	4000
Midterm evaluation	International expert		20 000		20 000
Final Evaluation	International expert			20 000	20 000

External audit		3000	3000	3000	9000
Translation		1500	1500	2500	5500
Other expenses		830	830	830	2490
Total cost		44670	74.283	77337	196290

Project Execution costs (EPIU)1.5% of total budget

		Y-1	Y-2	Y-3	TOTAL
Finance Officer		4190	4190	4196	12576
Administrative support,		3100	3100	3120	9320
Procurement specialist		1980	1980	1960	5920
Field trips		1160	1160	1180	3500
Misc		930	930	924	2784
Total		11360	11360	11380	34130

H. Include a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones.

	Upon Agreement signature	One Year after Project Start	Year 2	Total
Scheduled Date	January 2019	January 2020	May 2022	
Project Funds	682,041	1.423.524	170.015	2275580
Project Execution costs	11.376	11.378	11.376	34.130
Total Project Cost	693.417	1.434.900	181.393	2.309.710
IE Fee / Oversight Costs	44.165	74.393	77.732	196290
Total Project/ Programme Cost	737.582	1.509.293	259.125	2.506.000

PART IV: ENDORSEMENT BY GOVERNMENT AND CERTIFICATION BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY

A. Record of endorsement on behalf of the government¹³ Provide the name and position of the government official and indicate date of endorsement. If this is a regional project/programme, list the endorsing officials all the participating countries. The endorsement letter(s) should be attached as an annex to the project/programme proposal. Please attach the endorsement letter(s) with this template; add as many participating governments if a regional project/programme:

Mr. Erik Grigoryan, Minister of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia	Date: 28 December 2018
--	------------------------

B. Implementing Entity certification Provide the name and signature of the Implementing Entity Coordinator and the date of signature. Provide also the project/programme contact person's name, telephone number and email address

I certify that this proposal has been prepared in accordance with guidelines provided by the Adaptation Fund Board, and prevailing National Development and Adaptation Plans ("Intended Nationally Determined Contributions of the Republic of Armenia under UN Framework Convention on Climate Change", "Second National Environmental Action Programme of the Republic of Armenia", "Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan of Armenia", "National Strategy and Action Plan of the Development of Specially Protected Nature Areas of Armenia (SPNAs)", "National Action Programme to Combat Desertification in Armenia", "the Land Degradation Neutrality National Strategy", "Community Agroresources Management and Competitive Project (2010-2020)") and subject to the approval by the Adaptation Fund Board, commit to implementing the project/programme in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund and on the understanding that the Implementing Entity will be fully (legally and financially) responsible for the implementation of this project/programme.

Name & Signature Mr. Meruzhan Galstyan
Implementing Entity Coordinator



Tel. and email: : +37410 651631;
info@cep.am

Project Contact Person: Samvel Baloyan, Edik Voskanyan, Rubik Shahazizyan

Tel. And Email: sbaloyan09@rambler.ru, edshw@yahoo.com ; rshahazizyan@yahoo.com



ՀԱՅԱՍՏԱՆԻ ՀԱՆՐԱՊԵՏՈՒԹՅԱՆ ԲՆԱԴԱՎՈՐՈՒԹՅԱՆ ՆԱԽԱՐԱՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ
ՆԱԽԱՐԱՐ
 MINISTRY OF NATURE PROTECTION OF THE REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA
MINISTER
 МИНИСТЕРСТВО ОХРАНЫ ПРИРОДЫ РЕСПУБЛИКИ АРМЕНИЯ
МИНИСТР

0010, ք. Երևան, Հանրապետության հր. Կառավարական 3-րդ տուն
 3 Government Bldg, Republic Sq, Yerevan, 0010, Armenia
 0010, Армения, г.Ереван, Дом правительства, здание №3
 էլ. փոստ/ E-mail/ эл.почта: min_ecology@mnp.am
 Web page: www.mnp.am
 (374 11) 818 501
 (374 11) 818 506

№ 1/34/12959
 «28» «12» 2018թ.

Letter of Endorsement by the Government of the Republic of Armenia

To: The Adaptation Fund Board
 c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
 Email: Secretariat@Adaptation-Fund.org
 Fax: 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement for project "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in Armenia, I confirm that the above national project proposal is in accordance with the Government's national priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Armenia.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project will be implemented and executed by "Environmental project implementation unit" State Agency.

Sincerely,
 Acting

Erik Grigoryan

Minister of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia
 National focal point of UNFCCC

Meruzhan Galstyan
 "EPIU" State Agency
 (+37410) 651-631



Annex 1-2

Annex 1

Project on “Increasing the Adaptation Potential of Lands in Communities Adjacent to Specially Protected Areas of Nature of Armenia”

Questionnaire

Assessment of Population Needs for Adaptation of Natural and Agricultural Landscapes under Climate Change conditions

Community

Date of filling in the questionnaire << _____>> _____

Social status:

Employed Farmer Pensioner Beneficiary Student Gender Male Female Age

Education (higher, secondary special, secondary) other _____

Please, answer the following questions:

1. Do you find that climate change is evident in your region?

Yes no

2. If yes, how is it expressed (please specify)?

early spring frosts, summer high temperatures, torrential downpours, decrease in precipitation, reduction of river discharge, Increasing drought period, Increasing frequency of hot winds and etc. _____

3. How is climate change affecting pastures and hayfields, arable land, orchards and vineyards, vegetable crops and grain yield?

Positive negative difficult to answer

4. Do you find that at present there are measures to increase the adaptation of pastures and hayfields, agricultural land to climate change?

Do you know that there is a Specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ near your community?

yes no difficult to answer

5. If yes, please list the known measures

6. How will you react, if a grant project on adaptation to climate change of pastures and hayfields and agricultural lands is carried out in your community?

Positive negative difficult to answer

7. If positive, what activities would you prefer? / please specify /– Improvement of degraded pastures and hayfields, increase in fertility of arable land, new technologies for saving irrigation water, creating a stable feedstuff base, midfield road repairs, solar water heaters

Other _____

8. How do you feel about the idea of constructing solar dryers, small unheated greenhouses and solar water heaters for general use in your community within the project?

Positive negative difficult to answer

9. If positive, how do you imagine the mechanism of their effective use?

Establishing stakeholder groups, signing an agreement with the community administration, and provision of schools, difficult to answer

Other suggestions _____

10. Do you know that there is a Specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ near your community?

yes no

11. Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?

yes no

12. If yes, please highlight the usage type (Grazing, haymaking, collection of edible plants and fungi, firewood harvesting and etc)

13. What is your knowledge of the causes of global climate change and the anticipated consequences in the Republic of Armenia?

Good- Satisfactory difficult to answer

14. What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?

Good Satisfactory difficult to answer

15. What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?

Good Satisfactory difficult to answer

16. your community previously organize informational training on adaptation of pastures, hayfields and agricultural lands under climate change?

Yes no difficult to answer

17. How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the conditions of climate change?

To a great extent to some extent difficult to answer

If you believe that raising the level of knowledge will help improving the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes, please answer which training topics would you choose?

1. The causes of global climate change and projected impacts in Armenia.

yes no

2. Increase of adaptation to natural and agricultural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, forest areas, orchards, etc.) under the conditions of global climate change

yes no

3. International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia

yes no

4. Efficient livestock management techniques

yes no

5. Tourism and ecotourism

yes no

6. Other topics –please specify

7. How do you see the chances of your involvement in the project's knowledge and awareness raising component?

Positive difficult to answer

If positive, how do you imagine your participation in the project?

1. Involvement in the teaching staff

2. Involvement as a participant

3. Other preferred status _____

Thank you

Annex 2

Project on “Increasing the Adaptation Potential of Land in Communities Adjacent to Specially Protected Areas of Nature of Armenia”

Questionnaire

Assessment of High school pupils’ Needs for Adaptation of Natural and Agricultural Landscapes under Climate Change conditions

Community

Date of filling in the questionnaire << _____>> _____

Gender Male Female Age

Please, answer the following questions:

1. Do you know that there is a specially protected area of nature /SPAN/ near your community?

Yes no

2. "What are the values you know in the territory of the protected areas? Please underline (plants, animals, hydrographic, cultural, etc.) _____

3. Do you use the natural resources within the area of the SPAN?

yes no

4. If yes, please highlight the type of usage (Grazing, haymaking, gathering of edible plants and fungi, firewood harvesting, other _____)

5. What is your knowledge on the causes of global climate change and the projected consequences in the Republic of Armenia?

Good- Satisfactory difficult to answer

6. What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of natural landscapes (pastures, hayfields and forest areas) under global climate change conditions?

Good Satisfactory difficult to answer

7. What is your knowledge on the adaptation measures of agricultural landscapes (arable land, orchards and vineyards) under global climate change conditions?

Good Satisfactory difficult to answer

8. How do you think the increase in the level of knowledge and awareness of the population will contribute to the improvement of the activities aimed at the adaptation of pastures and hayfields under the climate change conditions?

To a great extent to some extent difficult to answer

If you believe that raising the level of knowledge will help improving the adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes, please answer which training topics would you choose?

1. The causes of global climate change and projected impacts in Armenia.

yes no

2. Increase of adaptation to natural and agricultural landscapes (pastures, hayfields, forest areas, orchards, etc.) under the conditions of global climate change

yes no

3. International experience in climate-wise "clever" methods of farming under climate change conditions and investment opportunities in Armenia

yes no

4. Efficient livestock management techniques

yes no

5. Other topics –please specify

yes no

Thank you

Annex3

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«Չ» հունիս 2018

ք. Դիլիջան (Dilijan)

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/Էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Անարսյ Թադևոսյ	Քեղացի վարչակազմի ղեկավար	ար.	094000511	Ա.Թ. -
2.	Չեքրջա Չոչոբեյան	Խանայրի շենքի կարգավոր	ար.	077-07-07-55	Չ.Չ.
3.	Արմեն Գրիգորյան	Պեյզաճարարի ԴԻԲ փոխգործակալ	ար.	077.075004	Ա.Գրիգորյան
4.	Վարդ Գրիգորյան	Խանայրի ԴԻԲ կարգավոր	ար.	098-30-935	Վ.Գրիգորյան
5.	Վարդ Կարամյան	Վարդ Կարամյանի ցեղանի	ար.	094 943009	Վ.Կ.
6.	Գրիգոր Գրիգորյան	Խանայրի կարգավոր	ար.	093342298	Գ.Գ.
7.	Գրիգոր Եստեմիրյան	Խանայրի կարգավոր	ար.	093302953	Գ.Ե.
8.	Վարդան Գրիգորյան	Վարդան Գրիգորյանի ցեղանի	ար.	0944449-11	Վ.Գ.
9.	Զարկ Եստեմիրյան	Պարզարար - փոխգործակալ	ար.	091285507	Զ.Ե.
10.	Ռաֆիկ Զեյնալդյան	ԲՏԻՑ ԴԻ	ար.	091 227460	Ռ.Զ.

11.	Thyruozh 26/12/2019	92th no 28/11/2019	WSP	094190519	
12.	Rajkumar Kulkarni	Sri C. Sanyal, Secy. Secy.	WSP	094001906	

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«06» Խոյս 2018

Հաղարծին Haghartsin

Հ/Կ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Սեքս Արական/Իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/Էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Լիլիթ Թամբալյան	Քուսմետոլ	Իգական	094 493346 lilitambalyan@gmail.com	
2.	Անասյան Բարսեղյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	094244010	
3.	Նշան Թամբալյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	077 16 49 48	
4.	Լիլիթ Պողոսյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	077 260 862	
5.	Մարտինյան Գուրգենյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	077 1801 35 tatevgulninyan2002@mail.ru	
6.	Մարիամ Գալինյան	ռուսանոց	Իգական	093720237 mariam.galinyan1999@	
7.	Մերի Դասարյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	094-46-38-79	
8.	Գրիգոր Բեգարյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	098-523761	
9.	Լեւոն Գասարյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	098982484	
10.	Նվեր Գրիգորյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	09408046	
11.	Նարեկ Թամբալյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	077288933	
12.	Լիլիթ Խոսրովյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	098 03 3597	
13.	Ռոբերտ Թամբալյան	աշակերտ	Իգական	094-680-315	

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on “Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia”
 « 27 » 05. 2018 2018

Հաղարծին Haghartsin

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Սարգսյան Վրուժե	-	Մարդ	093.48.10.89	
2.	Գրիգորյան Վրնյա	ուսուցիչ	իգական	093.70.38.14	
3.	Բաճրազյան Վիշաթ	-	արական	094.78.43.26	
4.	Նորսիսյան Դսիկույ	բուժակ	իգական	093.60.77.21	
5.	Դարբինյան Բազուհ	բուժարարի օգնական	իգ.	093.79.99.26	
6.	Պարսիսյան Վանա	ուսուցիչ	իգ.	077.46.42.09	
7.	Դարբինյան Պարսյան	վարորդ	ար	093.73.74.71	
8.	Լազարյան	ճանապարհորդ բուժակ	իգ.	094.39.51.16	
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պաշտպանվող տարածքները ուղղակիորեն ազդող համայնքներում ուղղակիորեն ազդող համայնքներում ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 27 » 05 2018

Հաղարծին Հաղաբեկ

Հ/Կ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Սեռ Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/Էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Իսահակյան Երան	Գործախույժ, փարպուզար	իգական		
2.	Սարգսյան Երվանդ	(Լիպրոս)	արական	077-30-97-53	
3.	Պողոսյան Արթուր	- (թոշակառու)	իգական	093160851	
4.	Քոչարյան Նիկոլ	(փարպուզ)	արական	094426266	
5.	Իսահակյան Լուսինե	բուսաբույս	իգական	077230055	
6.	Բաբայան Կարեն	բուսաբույս	արական	093 722282	
7.	Պողոսյան Եսթրախիմ	բուսաբույս	իգական		
8.	Բաբայան Կարեն	փարպուզ	արական	094-01-95-55	
9.	Մուրադյան Աննա	բուսաբույս	իգական	094585917 xambaryan55@mail.ru	
10.	Մուրադյան Լուսինե	ԲՀ. ՊՄԳՊ համայնքի նախագահ	արական	094-39-49-45	
11.	Իսահակյան Նիկոլ	փարպուզ	արական	077893570	
12.	Բաբայան Նիկոլ	իսահակյան համայնքի նախագահ	իգական	093333766	

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 06 » 07 _____ 2018

Մարզահովիտ *Margahovit*

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	<i>Բերսեգյան Գեորգի</i>	<i>փոքրահատից - ջրային</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>091214146</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
2.	<i>Նունյան Ժամկո</i>	<i>համայնքի ղեկավար</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>091363325</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
3.	<i>Իսահանյան Զյլիս</i>	<i>թանգարանի աշխատակից</i>	<i>իգական</i>	<i>098963825</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
4.	<i>Գալստի Թանգարանյան</i>	<i>պարզաբույս - փոքրահատից</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>091285507</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
5.	<i>Ասլի Անանյան</i>	<i>Ինժեներ</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>099400401</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
6.	<i>Ժեմալ Գևորգյան</i>	<i>տեխնիկական աշխատակից</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>094.39.19.86</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
7.	<i>Ասրաթյան Զարեհ</i>	<i>գիտության մասնագետ</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>096063086</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
8.	<i>Բաղդասարյան Զարեհ</i>	<i>աջակցիչ</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>091-22-79-60</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
9.	<i>Ասրաթյան Զարեհ</i>	<i>Տնօրեն</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>097880967</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
10.					
11.					
12.					

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«06» հունիս 2018

Խաչարձան *Khachardzan*

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	<i>Գևորգյան Գևորգ</i>	<i>ստանդարտիզացիայի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>098 34 45 59</i>	<i>Գևորգ</i>
2.	<i>Շիրազյան Պարույր</i>	<i>Տարածաշրջանի ղեկավար</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>094934939</i>	<i>Շիրազ</i>
3.	<i>Վահանյան Բաբկ</i>	<i>համայնքի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>077-63-53-50</i>	<i>Բաբկ</i>
4.	<i>Վահանյան Բաբկ</i>	<i>համայնքի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>077 771676</i>	<i>Բաբկ</i>
5.	<i>Վահանյան Բաբկ</i>	<i>համայնքի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>093 181274</i>	<i>Բաբկ</i>
6.	<i>Գևորգյան Գևորգ</i>	<i>ստանդարտիզացիայի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>077 927779</i>	<i>Գևորգ</i>
7.	<i>Վահանյան Բաբկ</i>	<i>համայնքի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>094-032920</i>	<i>Բաբկ</i>
8.	<i>Գևորգյան Գևորգ</i>	<i>ստանդարտիզացիայի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>093031588</i>	<i>Գևորգ</i>
9.	<i>Վահանյան Բաբկ</i>	<i>համայնքի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>077898280</i>	<i>Վահան</i>
10.	<i>Վահանյան Բաբկ</i>	<i>համայնքի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>077 3029 59</i>	<i>Բաբկ</i>
11.	<i>Գևորգյան Գևորգ</i>	<i>ստանդարտիզացիայի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>		<i>Գևորգ</i>
12.	<i>Վահանյան Բաբկ</i>	<i>համայնքի մեթոդաբան</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>093951197</i>	<i>Վահան</i>

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«23» 06 2018

Խաչարձան Khacharadzor

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Բրեւիթի Աննա	Ջիբրիլի կես խորհուրդի նախագահ	կին	077-63-53-50	
2.	Լիլիթ Բաբայան	Խաչարձանի համայնքի նախագահ	արական	093 4684 76	
3.	Սուրեն Բաբայան	Խաչարձանի համայնքի նախագահի տեղակալ	կին	094934939	
4.	Եստիկան Գևորգյան	Խաչարձանի համայնքի նախագահի տեղակալ	արական	093502919	
5.	Արմեն Բաբայան	Խաչարձանի համայնքի նախագահի տեղակալ	կին	098005527	
6.	Գրիգոր Բաբայան	Խաչարձանի համայնքի նախագահի տեղակալ	կին	094-87 24 96	
7.	Պատիկ Գևորգյան	Խաչարձանի համայնքի նախագահի տեղակալ	կին	098632844	
8.	Նինա Գևորգյան	Խաչարձանի համայնքի նախագահի տեղակալ	կին	093470056	
9.					
10.					
11.					
12.					

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 06 » հունիս 2018

Աղավնավանք *Աղավնավանք*

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/Էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	<i>Մարտինկ Մանուկյան</i>	<i>Հին բանձի արագայրայր ճամբար</i>	<i>իգական</i>	<i>094/472 96 tatex.sakakyan_91@ mail.ru</i>	<i>C. Manukyan</i>
2.	<i>Էրանտոսի Մարգարյան</i>	_____	<i>իգական</i>	<i>098 24 08 95 erantosi.sargsyan@ yandex.ru</i>	<i>Erantosi</i>
3.	<i>Զեյնե Պիրոսյան</i>	<i>55 հայ փոստ 77 սեփ. Օւլ.</i>	<i>իգական</i>	<i>094.27-40-18</i>	<i>Zeyne</i>
4.	<i>Ջուլյան Բեկյան</i>	<i>Վոլոգդայի մարզի մարզպետի կառավարման կենտրոնի արտակարգ</i>		<i>09447-49-11</i>	<i>Julyana</i>
5.	<i>Նիկոլայ Ներսիսյան</i>		<i>արական</i>	<i>077-47-48-45</i>	<i>Nikolay</i>

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 22 » հունիս 2018

Աղավնավանք *Aghavnavang*

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	<i>Չիրյան Թամարյան</i>		<i>սպ.</i>	<i>094004199</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
2.	<i>Չիրյան Գրիգորյան</i>		<i>սպ.</i>	<i>093 5967 79</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
3.	<i>Պապե Աբրահամյան</i>		<i>սպ.</i>	<i>093 030 406</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
4.	<i>Մանուկ Գաբրիելյան</i>		<i>սպ.</i>	<i>077-24-92-28</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
5.	<i>Չեխուրյան Ներսիսյան</i>		<i>սպ.</i>	<i>077-47-48-95</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
6.	<i>Երանյանի Ասրաբյան</i>		<i>ի.Ց.</i>	<i>098-24-08-95</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
7.	<i>Պետրոս Ժամբասյան</i>		<i>ի.Ց.</i>	<i>094 02 12 54</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
8.	<i>Նուբար Գրիգորյան</i>		<i>ի.Ց.</i>	<i>094-27-40-19</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
9.	<i>Հայկա Պապուկյան</i>		<i>ի.Ց.</i>	<i>077-60-12-73</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
10.	<i>Գաբրիելյան Վահագն</i>		<i>սպ.</i>		
11.					
12.					

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«06» հուլիս 2018

ք. Դիլիջան *Dilijan*

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Սex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/հ/հuսցն/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	<i>Վահագն Բաղդասարյան</i>	<i>Երիտասարդական ինքնակազմի համակարգիչ</i>	<i>իգական</i>	<i>097180580 bvdaghyan</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
2.	<i>Քարատյան Բարսեղյան</i>	<i>Համայնքների համակարգիչ</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>sonka@gmail.com kharatyan.tapuhi@gmail.com</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
3.	<i>Զուրաբեկ Ասիսյան</i>	<i>Ընթացակալ</i>	<i>իգ</i>	<i>094880696 shushshahakyan@gmail.com</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
4.	<i>Մանուշ հովհաննիսյան</i>	<i>Չեզոքությունը կանաչի և կրկնաճեղքի մեջ</i>	<i>իգ</i>	<i>099967557 manushhovnanyan@gmail.com</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
5.	<i>Ներսիս Զարսյան</i>	<i>Հիմնական ձև շտաբի</i>	<i>սպան</i>	<i>034463534 nercessopetian@gmail.com</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
6.	<i>Արմինա Բեգլարյան</i>	<i>Գրասենյակի պետ/ՎՊ/համակարգիչ</i>	<i>իգ</i>	<i>099043942 arminchomina@mail.ru dilnet-service@mail.ru</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
7.					

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 13 » հունիսի 2018

Ուրցածոր /բնակիչներ/

ՄԻՏՏԱԸԸՕՐ

Հ/Ի/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Սex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Քարտիկ Վեդրեհայան	համայնքի ղեկավար	արական	094425842	
2.	Պարիջ Լաքսաբեյան	համայնքի խորհուրդ	արական	098102546	
3.	Վարդիկ Բարսեղյան	համայնքի ջրամուղ	իգական	093-750105	
4.	Գրիգոր Արևիկյան	գյուղացի	արական	093-74-39-67	
5.	Վերոնիկա Վեդրեհայան	համայնքի ջրամուղ	արական	077154466	
6.	Բաղդասար Բաղդասարյան	համայնքի գեղատնային	արական	09410-88-35	
7.	Նիկոլայ Վեդրեհայան	համայնքի	արական	09344428	
8.	Ներսիս Եսայան	Վճարատու	արական	093037939	
9.	Վերիկա Վարդանյան	գյուղացի	իգական	093552529	
10.	Գրիգոր Բաղդասարյան	համայնքի	արական	098-8012-08	

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 13 » հունիս 2018

Ուիդեո ցուցման /դպրոց/ Արտաշեսյան (Նիկոլ)

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Սեք Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/email	Ստորագրություն/Signature
1.	Ավարգյան Ջամբակ	պետ	իգ.	094316355	
2.	Գեորգյան Գրիգոր	օպերատոր	իգ.	027.123495	
3.	Գրիգորյան Իրենա	ուսուցիչ	իգ.	044-84-25-75	
4.	Արմենյան Գրիգոր	գրադարանագր	իգ.	9431-1358	
5.	Գրիգորյան Ջեյրա	ուսուցիչ	իգ.	091795860 094426873	
6.	Խաչատրյան Գրգոր	ուսուցիչ	իգ.	093-212350	
7.	Ավարգյան Ջամբակ	ուսուցիչ	իգ.	077 74 3216	
8.	Եսեկյան Աննա	ուսուցիչ	իգ.	094351268	
9.	Գաբրիելյան Նուհի	ուսուցիչ	ար.	094179214	
10.	Պիրոսյան Վարդան	ուսուցիչ	իգ.	094 71-24-34	

11.	Հայկական Մարտիկ	հոգեբան	իգ	098453436	ԱԶԻ
12.	Օրսթրալիական Վերականգնող	հայր և մայր Տարբերակային	իգ	088043554	ՕԲԶԵԸ
13.	Միջազգային Գրքերի	մանկաբույժ	իգ	077909045	ԹԱՄԻԼ
14.	Քույրական Ինստիտուտ	մանկաբույժ	իգ	0949581-18	Յ. ԹԱԵՆԻ
15.	Հայաստանի Հանրապետության Ընտանիքի	լուսավորիչ	իգ	094761686	ԿԱՏ
16.	Միջազգային Կրթության	մանկաբույժ	իգ	093094470	ՍԵՄԵՐ
17.	Հայաստանի Հանրապետության	հայր և մայր	իգ	093-939497	ԻԼԻՆ
18.	Միջազգային Վերականգնող	մանկաբույժ	իգ	077-12-62-94	ԹԱՄԻԼ

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 17 » հունիսի 2018

Ունիցավոր / Բնակիչներ/
 ԱՂՏՅԱԺՅՈՐ

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/Էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Ռեհեղեզ Գաբրիելյան	առաջիկ	արական.	034 179714	Ըսեմ
2.	Ծառիկ Գաբրիելյան	գրասենյակի գեղարվեստի	իգական	077 335800	
3.	Նոնա Մանուկյան.	առաջիկ	իգական.	094 209939	
4.	Գրիգորյան Մարիամ	Վճարահավաքի գրասենյակի	իգական	094 858299	
5.	Անդրանիկ Մանուկյան	արհեստագրասենյակի գրասենյակի	արական	088 858299	
6.	Վարդան Կարամանյան	գրասենյակի գեղարվեստի	իգական	8-6-5-41.	
7.	Պարգևուշ Վիրապյան	գրասենյակի գեղարվեստի	իգական	094 853882	
8.	Գրիգորյան Լուսին	գրասենյակի գեղարվեստի	իգական	8-6-4-78	
9.	Պարզև Վարդանյան	գրասենյակի գեղարվեստի	իգական	077-85-84-92	
10.	Պարզև Վիրապյան	Բանվոր	արական	077-85-84-95	
11.	Վերի Աղբաղյան	գրասենյակի գեղարվեստի	իգական	094-24-12-38	

12.					
13.		18 հունիսի 2018թ			
14.	Ներկայումս ՀԱԵԼԻԲ	ժողովրդագրություն և սոցիալական գիտություններ	հոգևորական	093-90-18-12	Զեյնա
15.	Գրասրահի ժողով	գրասրահի գործառնական	հոգևորական	077-02-32-10	Բյուր
16.	Կոմիտեի նախագահի Զեյնա	հոգևորական	հոգևորական	094-11-24-46	Զեյնա
17.	Կոմիտեի նախագահի Զեյնա	հոգևորական	հոգևորական	094-11-48-95	Զեյնա
18.	Զեյնա	հոգևորական	հոգևորական	094-85-28-03	Զեյնա










«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 18 » Հունիսի 2018 թ.

Ունիցանոր /ընակիչներ/
 ԱՆՇԱԺՅՈՐ

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Առյուծակ Վարդանյան	—	Արական	098-14.00-84	
2.	Վարդանյան Վարդանյան	Հիմնադրամ	Արական	099-60-29-34	
3.	Վարդանյան Քոչար	նախագահ	Արական	094-10-77-35	
4.	Վարդանյան Պավելի	(Արական) ԵՏԵԿԵԳԼԻՍ	Արական	093-60-29-34	
5.	Հովհաննիսյան Արմա	Վեցարար	Արական	877-90-38-41	
6.	Էդուարդյան Արարատ	—	Արական	096-07-44-41	
7.	Հովհաննիսյան Արմեն	—	Արական	044-24-23-13	
8.	Պրոսպերյան Պրոսպեր	Վեցարար	Արական	077-07-09-45	
9.	Վերքայան Վերքայ	—	Արական	055-20-62-61	
10.	Վերքայան Հովհան	ՂԵՄԵՏ	Արական	094455598	

11.	Միջնակարգ Վերջում	ԲՇում/Է	արտահան	098305905	
12.	Մանուկների ջրա	բնակիչ	արտահան	093707226	
13.	Հարցազնային Վրաստան	բնակիչ	իմացատի	093-21-0541	
14.	Գաղափարային Կրթություն	բնակիչ	իմացատի	098-49-2829	
15.	Գաղափարային Չ/Իրավունք	ԲՇում/Է	իմացատի	094-22-194	
16.	Արցախյան համար	բնակիչ	իմացատի	094-64-90-68	
17.	Վերականգնող Գրքեր	բնակիչ	արտահան	093-64-90-68	
18.	Հրահանգներ Արցախ	բնակիչ	արտահան	077-537-600	
19.	Տեղեկատվական Եզրակ	բնակիչ	արտահան	077-28-08-93	
20.					

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատնտեսական հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on “Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia”

«13» հունիսի 2018

Շահափ *Shaghap*

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Սեքս Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/Էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	<i>Սիմոնյան Զոհիկ</i>	<i>հսկիչ-հեղուկ</i>	<i>Արական</i>	<i>09410-2047</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
2.	<i>Սիմոնյան Զոհիկ</i>	<i>գյուղացի ցուցիչ</i>	<i>ս</i>	<i>099010129</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
3.	<i>Մանուկյան Ռուզիկ</i>	<i>գյուղացի պահակ</i>	<i>ս</i>	<i>094 66-31-26</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
4.	<i>Սարգսյան Արամիկ</i>	<i>Քեմերի մարզպետական</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>098898875</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
5.	<i>Սարգսյան Արամիկ</i>	<i>Քեմերի</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>077887244</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
6.	<i>Սարգսյան Արամիկ</i>	<i>2-րդ կայսր. Տարածքային</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>077-99-00-48</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
7.	<i>Սարգսյան Մարգարյան</i>	<i>Քեմերի</i>	<i>իգ.</i>	<i>094-93-65-50</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
8.	<i>Սարգսյան Արամիկ</i>	<i>Քեմերի</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>077780172</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
9.	<i>Սարգսյան Մարգարյան</i>	<i>Քեմերի</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>098997135</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>
10.	<i>Սարգսյան Արամիկ</i>	<i>Քեմերի</i>	<i>արական</i>	<i>094943369</i>	<i>[Signature]</i>

11.	Դիլիջանյան Ն. Վերքյա	ՔՇուկեր	արքայազ	098-56-2552	<i>[Signature]</i>
12.	Մալու Վերքյան	ՔՇուկեր	թղթաբեր	077-20-6991	<i>[Signature]</i>
13.	Չիքոն Վերքյան	ՔՇուկեր	արքայազ	093-752-159	<i>[Signature]</i>
14.	Արամիկ Վերքյան	ՔՇուկեր	արքայազ	093-94-84-32	<i>[Signature]</i>
15.	Արարիկ Վերքյան	Շուշուկ ՔՇ. Գաղաթյանի պ. Գաղաթյան	արք.	098-12-46-46	<i>[Signature]</i>
16.	Վարդան Գաղաթյան	ՔՇուկեր	թղթաբեր	094-70-39-39	<i>[Signature]</i>

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«23-24 հունիսի» 2018

Ունիտար շահագրգռող
ԱՐԵՏԱԺՈՐ

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Սex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Անուշավան	Ինֆորմացիոն / Բնակիչ	արական	094 85 28 06	
2.	Գրաբաբյան	Գրքագր / Արտադրող	արական	077-07 09 65	
3.	Միսյան	Արտադրող	իգական	034 45 70 96	
4.	Վահանյան	Պատվիրակ	արական	093 50 11 95	
5.	Պրոսպերյան	Ներմուծող	արական	077 41 05 41	
6.	Ասրուրյան	Հարկային	արական	096 31 51 60	
7.	Շահազադե Գրաբաբյան	Թոշակագրող	արական	093 82 64 30	
8.	Նիկոլայի Կարամյան	Թոշակագրող	իգական	094-06 55 85	
9.	Կարմիրյան	Ինժեներ	արական	077 83 42 11	
10.	Վարդան Վահանյան	Բնակիչ / Երաժիշտ	Արական	093 57 29 79	
11.	Արմենյան Վահանյան	Բնակիչ / Երաժիշտ	արական	094-45-68-71	
12.	Պրոսպերյան	Պատվիրակ	իգական	098-30-66-65	

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

« 01» օգոստոս 2018թ.

01 august 2018

Մարզպետարաններ

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
ՏԱՎՈՒՇԻ ՄԱՐԶՊԵՏԱՐԱՆ / TAVUSH MARZPETARAN					
1.	Վարդան Ալեքսանյան	Վերականգնողական տնօրեն	Կին	094 930 019 vardan.alexanyan	
2.					
3.					
ԼՈՐԻ ՄԱՐԶՊԵՏԱՐԱՆ / LORI MARZPETARAN					
1.	Պրոֆ. Վահագն Գրիգորյան	Քաղաքապետարանի քաղաքացիական տնօրեն	արական	093-24-56-86	
2.					
3.					
ԱՐԱՐԱՏԻ ՄԱՐԶՊԵՏԱՐԱՆ / ARARAT MARZPETARAN					
1.	Վահագնյան Արարատ	Վարչապետի տնօրեն	արական	0933559928	
2.	Մուշեղ Ասատրյան	Քաղաքապետարանի քաղաքացիական տնօրեն	արական	0-93) 93-89-81	
3.	Գրիգորյան Վահագն	Վարչապետի տնօրեն	արական	095045024	

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«01» օգոստոս 2018թ.

01 august 2018

Բնապահպանության նախարարություն / Ministry of Nature Protection

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Գրիգոր Գրիգորյան	ՁԱԳ. Միջառ. պրոեկտի ՔՄ Գրիգորյան	ԱՐ.	099865005 gmen@ecology.am	
2.	Նիկոլայ Կարամյան	Եկոլոգիա և անասնաբույժություն	ԱՐ.	093253030	
3.	Լուսինե Կարամյան	Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն. Միջ. հաս. Կար. Կար.	ԻՐ.	093696513	
4.	Վահագն Կարամյան	ՀՀ Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն.	ԻՐ.	091420424	
5.	Մանուկ Կարամյան	Ինժ. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն.	ԱՐ.	077780076	
6.	Նիկոլայ Նիկոլայի	ՔՄ. Տար. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն.	ԱՐ.	091203346	
7.	Նիկոլայ Կարամյան	ՔՄ. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն. Կ.Կ.Բ.Ն.	ԱՐ.	093189980	
8.					

«Հայաստանի բնության ռատուկ պառլամենտի տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարվարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«01» օգոստոս 2018թ.

01 august 2018

Դիլիջանի համայնքապետարան/ Dilijan

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հաղցի/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Գրիգոր Գևորգյան	Դոկտեր Լադրախան շեփարհ		093372248 horg.gevorgian@gmail.com	
2.	Վարդաշահ Չոչոբաբյան	Տեղական կամ. շեփարհ /սպիտակաբեկ		077-07-07-55	
3.	Գրիգոր Գևորգյան	Դաշտային ՏԻԻԻ ՎԵՆԵՐ ՇԵՓԱՐԻ		093302959	
4.	Գևորգ Բեքրյան	Խոշոր ՏԻԻԻ ՎԵՆԵՐ ՇԵՓԱՐԻ		098-30-93-50	
5.	Լևոն Գրիգորյան	Նշտամբանավոր ՎԵՆԵՐ ՇԵՓԱՐԻ		09444-49-11	
6.	Նիկոլայ Դանիելյան	Թղթաբի ՎԵՆԵՐ ՇԵՓԱՐԻ		094000511	
7.	Վահագն Գրիգորյան	Նշտամբանավոր կամ. ՎԵՆԵՐ ՇԵՓԱՐԻ	այր.	094.39.19.86	

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից հասակներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«01» օգոստոս 2018թ.

01 august 2018

Ուրցածոր / Urtsadzor

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Ռուբեն Նեղիսեան	համ. ղեկավար	ար.	094 425842	
2.	Բազումյան Դավիթ	հեռազգեստի ց. հասցեատեր փոխգործակալ	ար.	094108835	
3.	Դանիել Վեդիսյան	Պարզաչորի բնակիչ	ար.	09645 0980	
4.	Մարգարիտ Արսենյան	Մեղրացույի բնակիչ	կն.	093 037939	
5.	Բազումյան Էմմա	Պարզաչորի բնակիչ	ար.	094126519	
6.	Ռուբենյան Ռուբեն	Պարզաչորի բնակիչ	ար.	093 303449	
7.	Վահագնյան Նորայր	Պարզաչորի համայնքի հարց. փոխգործակալ	ար.	093 444796	
8.	Վահագնյան Վահագն	համայնքի ց. հասցեատեր փոխգործակալ	ար.	093 558529	
9.	Նեղիսեան Կարեն	Պարզաչորի համայնքի հարց. փոխգործակալ	ար.	099 787995	

«01» օգոստոս 2018թ.

01 august 2018

«Խոսրովի անտառ» պետական արգելոց» ՊՈԱԿ / "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve" SNCO

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/Էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Գալստյան Կարոյան	Քրոնապահ	իգ.	098322364	
2.	Լիլյա Բորոյան	Տարիտի մասնագետ	իգ.	074 85 31 43 lily.borosyan@gmail.com	
3.	Արմեն Չարիբյան	Քրոնապահ (հեռավար)	իգ.	093 94 48 55 armencharibyan@rambler.ru	
4.	Գեորգ Եսեմաչյան	Քրոնապահ	ար.	094 10 66 62 officekhosrov@mail.ru	
5.	Գևորգ Լաբանյան	Գեոմետրիկ քարտեզագրության մասնագետ	ար.	093 95 77 73 officekhosrov@mail.ru	

«Դիլիջան» ազգային պարկ» ՊՈԱԿ / "Dilijan" National Park" SNCO




1.	Լիլյա Բորոյան	Քրոնապահ	ար.	094 19 05 19	
2.	Վահագն Բաղդասարյան	Գեոմետրիկ քարտեզագրության մասնագետ	ար.	096 00 19 06	
3.	Ռոման Եսեմաչյան	Քրոնապահ	իգ.	094 04 09 94	
4.					

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»
 List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«01» օգոստոս 2018թ.

01 august 2018

Մարգահովիտ / Margahovit

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռը/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Քոչարյան Արշակուհի	Վարչության նախագահ	արական	033334477	
2.	Ավետիսյան Գրիգոր	Վարչության քարտեզագր	արական	094.39.19.86	
3.					
4.					
Ֆիոլետովո / Фиолетово / Fioletovo					
1.	Մարտիրոսյան Մարտիրոս	Վարչության նախագահ	արական	091651098	

«Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում»

List of participants for the discussion of fully-developed project document on "Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"

«01» օգոստոս 2018թ.

01 august 2018

Փորձագետներ / Experts

Հ/հ/ No	Անուն, ազգանուն/Name, surname	Պաշտոնը/Position	Սեռ/Sex Արական/իգական Male/female	Հեռախոս/էլ. հասցե/Telephone/ email	Ստորագրություն/ Signature
1.	Ռեյնաթ Բալասանյան	Վարչապետ	արքայ.	091214146	
2.	Գևորգ Վանքյան	Վարչապետ	արքայ.	091285507	
3.	Վահագն Գրիգորյան	Վարչապետ	արքայ.	093803228	
4.	Արմեն Գրիգորյան	Վարչապետ	արքայ.	098098006	
5.	Էստեր Արշակունի	Վարչապետ	իգ.	055236863	
6.	Արմենյան Զեյնալ	Վարչապետ	արքայ.	091130483	

Annex 4

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ

Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամին ներկայացվող «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի շրջանակներում Դիլիջան քաղաքում կազմակերպված քննարկումների արդյունքների վերաբերյալ

Dilijan

<<20>> հուլիս 2018թ.

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամին ներկայացված «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի հայեցակարգի հիմնադրույթների ներկայացում:
2. Դիլիջան, Մարգահովիտ և Ֆիոլետովո համայնքներում նախատեսվող աշխատանքների ներկայացում:
3. Քննարկումներ:

Որոշեցին՝

1. ~~Համայնքապետը կհանձնարի ֆուլթայմենտի ցանկում նշված համայնքներում կազմակերպել համայնքապետի կողմից համայնքների համարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացումը:~~
2. ~~Համայնքներին հանձնարելու շարժմանը հարակից, որոշ համայնքներում կազմակերպել համայնքապետի կողմից համայնքների համարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացումը:~~
3. ~~Վերջինիս համայնքապետի կողմից կազմակերպելու համայնքապետի կողմից համայնքների համարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացումը:~~
4. ~~Համայնքապետը կհանձնարի ֆուլթայմենտի ցանկում նշված համայնքներում կազմակերպել համայնքապետի կողմից համայնքների համարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացումը:~~
5. ~~Համայնքապետը կհանձնարի ֆուլթայմենտի ցանկում նշված համայնքներում կազմակերպել համայնքապետի կողմից համայնքների համարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացումը:~~

Դիլիջան համայնքի ղեկավար՝

Ա.Սանթրոսյան

Կ.Տ

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ

Թեղուտի ջարչարանի պատճառով «23» 06 2018թ.
Շեղանակ

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամին ներկայացված «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի հայեցակարգի ներկայացում:
2. Ծրագրով նախատեսված աշխատանքների քննարկում:

Որոշեցին՝

1. ~~Վարչապետից, Բնակիչ քաղաքացիների, շնորհակալության արար-
վածություն չկարգարարվել են բնակիչների: Դրանք հարգել-
րից 19 հունիսի և Երևանի քաղաքացիների հետ հարգարար
հարձուժելով արդարացիորեն ենթադրել են պարտք առ-
կալից (միջոց և ուժով) չէ զբաղվելու ծրագրով, որի
պատճառով պետք է իրականացվել մեծ ծախսերի քա-
րհանմանը արդարացիորեն:~~
2. ~~Մեծահասակներն ու Երևանի քաղաքացիների հարգարար-
արարներ (մեծահասակներ, հարգարարներ և այլն)~~
3. ~~Մեծահասակներն ու Երևանի քաղաքացիների հարգարար-
արարներ (մեծահասակներ, հարգարարներ և այլն)~~
4. ~~Յուրաքանչյուր 3 հարյուր հարյուր, 7 հարյուր հարյուր և
7 հարյուր հարյուր:~~

Թեղուտի վարչապետի նախագահությամբ
 Արցախի վարչապետի նախագահությամբ
 Ս. Կարամյան

Կ.Տ

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ

Աղավնականքի ջրաբանական կայանի վերաբերյալ <<23>> 06 2018թ.
Ազգաստան

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամին ներկայացված «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի հայեցակարգի ներկայացում:
2. Ծրագրով նախատեսված աշխատանքների քննարկում:

Որոշեցին՝

1. ~~Հարկազանցողի և քաղաքացիների զբաղմունքի կազմակերպման և կամ ձեռնարկում: Անհրաժեշտ է.~~
2. ~~Մեկնելու և քննարկելու ներքին 108 հա կամ քաղաքացիների կողմից ներդրումները:~~
3. ~~Արձարկել և ձեռնարկել կազմակերպման հարցերը -~~
~~պարհեր:~~
4. ~~1.4 հա ճանի կառուցումը և 0.4 հա շինարարական աշխատանքներ, 5005 ճանի կառուցումը և 5005 ճանի կառուցումը և 5005 ճանի կառուցումը:~~
5. ~~Հաստատել 1 ճանի կառուցումը, 1 ճանի կառուցումը և 1 ճանի կառուցումը:~~

4.5
 Ձեռնարկում ներկայացված ձեռնարկում
 ձեռնարկում ներկայացված ձեռնարկում
 ձեռնարկում ներկայացված ձեռնարկում

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ

Հաղարծինի ջրաբերական գաղարջի <<25>> 06 2018թ.
Haghartsin

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամին ներկայացված «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի հայեցակարգի ներկայացում:
2. Ծրագրով նախատեսված աշխատանքների քննարկում:

Որոշեցին՝

1. ջրաբերական գաղարջի/ն գտնվող մարտահոյեր բերիկացում մեկնաբանում, բախ կարգաբերություն կրկնայ բնապահումը ու բուսականությունը զբոսնու են կամ միջանում:
2. Աճեկումտերը և մեկերեկացիք ի արեկաբան մարզում (խորհրդարաններում, արարաբանում) համաբանումը- 1/15 հաս ղեգրաբանում ի նախաբանելիք մեքսիանեցում:
3. կարամել և մեքսիանեցիք ի անաբանիք (դարձաբանում) ներշնչ կարաբանումը ենք արեկ (կարաբան, մեք. նախ, երան և այլն):
4. հիմնել 2,0 հա պրոբան արջ և 0,5 հա կարաբանումը արջ:

Կ.Տ. 

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ

Խաչարձանի Բ ջարդախանի պատճախ << 23 >> 06 2018թ.
Khachardzan

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամին ներկայացված «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի հայեցակարգի ներկայացում:
2. Ծրագրով նախատեսված աշխատանքների քննարկում:

Որոշեցին՝

1. Վարչախանի պատճախում ազդեցիկ առարկաներում ծրագրի ներդրումը, իրականացումը և վարչախանի կողմից կատարված աշխատանքները քննարկել:
2. Կատարել և վերաբերել հարմարության ծրագրի ներդրումը, ներդրել ազդեցիկ առարկաներում ներդրումը, որոշել կատարվելիք աշխատանքները:
3. Հիշել Ս. Տեմ չանքանի ծրագրի մասին:
4. Վերաբերել հարմարության ծրագրի ներդրումը հարմարության ծրագրի մասին:
5. Հարմարել 2 ջարդախանի, ինչպես նաև ջարդախանի և ջարդախանի ջարդախանի:

Կ.Տ. Լ. Ս. Խաչարձանի ջարդախանի Կ.Տ. Լ. Ս. Խաչարձանի

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ

Գոշի վարչախմբի վարչաժողով

<< 01 >> VII 2018թ.

ԳՕՅԻ

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամին ներկայացված «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի հայեցակարգի ներկայացում:
2. Ծրագրով նախատեսված աշխատանքների քննարկում:

Որոշեցին՝

1. Արտաբյուջայից, խնայողական գումարներ զտելու և վարչախմբի կողմից վարչախմբի բյուջայից հատկացված գումարները և ֆինանսական օգնությունները կիրառելու և օգնությունները կիրառելու համար:
2. Ընդհանուր և մասնավոր դեպքերում հարմարեցրած հատկացումները և շուրջ 5 հա-ի մասին հիմնել արդյունավետ արտաբյուջայից ֆինանսավորման համար:
3. Կենտրոնացված:
4. Զեպարտի 2 հայ ցեղային, 2 հայ ցեղային և 1 հայ ցեղային շրջանում:

ԿՏ

[Handwritten signature]
 Գոշի վարչախմբի նախագահ

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ Թ_____

<< 05 >> 04 2018թ.

Ֆիլիետովոյի համայնքապետարան

Fioletovo

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամի խորհրդի քննարկմանը ներկայացվող «Հայաստանի քնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի քարտեզագրում» ծրագրի գործողությունների քննարկումներ:

Որոշեցին՝

1. *Մասնակցել Երևանի Երևանի քաղաքապետարանի կողմից կազմակերպվող համայնքների հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի քարտեզագրմանը:*
2. *Մասնակցել Երևանի քաղաքապետարանի կողմից կազմակերպվող համայնքների հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի քարտեզագրմանը (2 քաղաք):*
3. *Բյուջայից հատկացնել 1500 մլն ՀՀ դրամ (1500 մլն²)*
Բյուջայից հատկացնել 1500 մլն ՀՀ դրամ (1500 մլն²)



ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ Թ_____

<< 04 >> 07 2018թ.

Մարզահովիտի համայնքապետարան

Marghovit

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամի խորհրդի քննարկմանը ներկայացվող «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի գործողությունների քննարկումներ:

Որոշեցին՝

1. Համայնքի մեջ առկա 3837 հա-ից անսահման զբոսայգիներ են հիմնադրվել և հարակից տարածքներում կառուցվել են 137 հա տարածքներ: Երևի անհրաժեշտ է համայնքի տարածքում կառուցել հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության բարձրացման (արտաբերական, բնական և արհեստական) ծրագիր:
2. Դրան հարակից (1703 հա) առանձին զբոսայգիներ են 85 հա մասերի անհրաժեշտ է կառուցել և հարակից տարածքներում կառուցել հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության բարձրացման (արտաբերական, բնական և արհեստական) ծրագիր:
3. Համայնքի մարտահանգից 26 հա հիմնադրվել են զբոսայգիներ և հարակից տարածքներում կառուցվել են 5 հա տարածքներ: Երևի անհրաժեշտ է համայնքի տարածքում կառուցել հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության բարձրացման (արտաբերական, բնական և արհեստական) ծրագիր:
4. Արևմտային 2 զբոսայգի, 2 մարտահանգ և 5 զբոսայգի և 1 մարտահանգ զբոսայգիները համայնքի տարածքում կառուցել և հարակից տարածքներում կառուցել հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության բարձրացման (արտաբերական, բնական և արհեստական) ծրագիր:
5. 5000² մ² մարտահանգ զբոսայգի (508 15 մ² զբոսայգի) զբոսայգի կառուցել և հարակից տարածքներում կառուցել հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության բարձրացման (արտաբերական, բնական և արհեստական) ծրագիր:

4.Տ.

Մարզ. Ս. Ս. Ներսիսյան

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ
ՈՒրցածոր

Ուրցածորի համաթիվը համաթիվը Երասուն <<20>> 06 2018թ.
Արտաձև

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամի խորհրդի քննարկմանը ներկայացվող «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի հայեցակարգի ներկայացում:
2. Ծրագրով նախատեսված աշխատանքների քննարկում:

Որոշեցին՝

1. Անհատները 5 հարկամասում անհատները աշխատանքներ
իրականացնել ըստ հոդվածի 40, ինքնաբերական 7 և ար-
վանաբերական 100 հա լուսանկարներով, պատկերներով արդյու-
նաբերական համաթիվի վրա համարներ, արդյունաբերական և բնա-
2. հարկաբերական ինքնաբերական, հարկաբերական անհատները
և հարկաբերական անհատները հարկաբերական
հարկաբերական անհատները հարկաբերական և զեքստր
և զեքստր հարկաբերական և զեքստր հարկաբերական
3. Ինքնաբերական և զեքստր հարկաբերական և զեքստր
անհատները հարկաբերական և զեքստր
4. Ինքնաբերական և զեքստր հարկաբերական և զեքստր
և զեքստր հարկաբերական և զեքստր
5. Ինքնաբերական և զեքստր հարկաբերական և զեքստր
և զեքստր հարկաբերական և զեքստր

Կ.Տ

ԱՐՁԱՆԱԳՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ
Շաղափ

Շաղափի շաքարափառի քարածխի
Յաղափ <<21>> 06 2018թ.

Օրակարգ՝

1. Հարմարվողականության հիմնադրամի խորհրդի քննարկմանը ներկայացվող «Հայաստանի բնության հատուկ պահպանվող տարածքներին հարակից համայնքներում հողատարածքների հարմարվողականության ներուժի բարձրացում» ծրագրի հայեցակարգի ներկայացում:
2. Ծրագրով նախատեսված աշխատանքների քննարկում

Որոշեցին՝

1. ~~Բաժնեկրթիկներ կազմում առանձնապես Շաղափի շաքարափառի քարածխի ազդեցությանը կապակցված հողերի վերականգնման և կառուցման աշխատանքներ իրականացնելու նպատակով 27 հեկտարի տարածքում, բ) շաղափառի կառուցման նպատակով 50 հեկտար տարածքում և 2) 101 հեկտար տարածքում կառուցման նպատակով 50 հեկտար տարածքում:~~
2. ~~Կառուցման նպատակով 50 հեկտար տարածքում, Կառուցման նպատակով 50 հեկտար տարածքում:~~
- 3.

Կ.Տ.

[Handwritten signature]

**Annex 5
Draft**

AGREEMENT

**on On the maintenance of outcomes of the
“ Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to
protected areas in Armenia” project**

Between

**Ministry of Nature Protection of the
Republic of Armenia**

And

**Dilijan (including, Haghartsin, Aghavnavank, Gosh,
Khachardzan, Teghut villages) community of Tavush marz, and
Fioletovo and Margahovit communities of Lori marz**

« » « » 2018

Agreement on ensuring sustainability of project outcomes of “Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia” project

AGREEMENT

Preface

The Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia (hereinafter referred to as "the Ministry") and Dilijan community of Tavush marz (including the Haghartsin, Aghavnavank, Gosh, Khachardzan, Teghut Villages), and Fioletovo and Margahovit communities of Lori marz of the Republic of Armenia (hereinafter referred to as the Contracting Parties)

Take into account that the "Environmental Projects Implementation Unit" State Institution of the Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia was accredited by the Adaptation Fund of United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change according to fund board decision B.28-29.1 from November 4, 2016.

Considering that the vulnerability of the communities adjacent to "Dilijan" National Park under the conditions of climate change needs to be reduced through strengthening the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector, enforcing institutional and planning opportunities, as well as implementing climate change adaptation measures in the communities.

Recognizing that “Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia” pilot project is complete and meets the requirements of increasing the level of adaption of natural and agricultural landscapes that have been disturbed due to climate change and anthropogenic impacts.

Emphasizing that the implementation of the project will help the authorities of Dilijan, Fioletovo and Margahovit communities to learn lessons and develop and implement programs for the sustainability of project outcomes.

Agreed upon the following:

Article I
Objectives

1. Increase the level of adaptation of natural and agricultural landscapes in the administrative territory of the communities under the conditions of global climate change;
2. Create sustainable forage base;
3. Introduce non-traditional crops;
4. Promote the improvement of the living conditions of the stakeholders;
5. Increase the population's knowledge on adaptation under the conditions of climate change.

Article II

Cooperation

Contracting parties shall cooperate with each other to provide necessary assistance during the implementation of “Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia” pilot project, to exchange information and eliminate possible obstacles.

In the cases envisaged in this Article, consultation and exchange of information and documents shall be without prejudice to any procedures which may be required to ensure limitations on confidentiality and accessibility of certain information and documents.

Article III

Project Implementation

The project shall be implemented by the Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia acting through "Environmental Projects Implementation Unit" State Institution by means of a grant provided by the Adaptation Fund of United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

The realization of the project is carried out by "Environmental Projects Implementation Unit" State Institution through competitive selection of an appropriate organization in accordance with the legislation of the Republic of Armenia.

The “Environmental Projects Implementation Unit" State Institution” implements the monitoring of activities and informs the contracting parties about the results.

Reports on completed works are discussed among the contracting parties and an appropriate conclusion is given.

The “Environmental Projects Implementation Unit" State Institution” publishes reports and conclusions of the Contracting Parties on its official website, www.epiu.am. The final results of the activities envisaged by the Project shall be accepted by mutual agreement of the contracting parties.

Article IV

Sustainability of Project Outcomes

For the purpose of ensuring the sustainability of the project results, the contracting parties agree on the following:

1. Communities are in charge of the maintenance, operation, care and renovation of the results of the activities carried out within their administrative boundaries.
2. Communities may apply to the Government of the Republic of Armenia to receive certain assistance for the maintenance and repair of project results, if necessary.
3. The Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia implements permanent monitoring of the project results (quarterly) and reviews the received information with the communities.
4. The communities immediately apply to the Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia and law enforcement agencies in the event of finding any anthropogenic violations of the project results.
5. The elimination of consequences of violations of the project results shall be carried out by the joint efforts of the contracting parties, and, where appropriate, applying to the Government of the Republic of Armenia for the provision adequate resources.

Article V

Term of the Agreement

The Agreement shall enter into force on the date of its signature and shall remain in force for an indefinite period.

The Agreement is signed in Armenian, in five copies. Each contracting party will be given one copy of the agreement.

Amendments and supplements to the Agreement may be made by mutual consent of the Parties by concluding a supplementary agreement which will be an integral part of this Agreement.

Article

VI

Notification, addresses

Under this agreement any requested, permitted or presented notification or claim shall be in written form. Such notification or claim shall be deemed duly filed or submitted in the event that it is transmitted in hand, by registered mail, shipper, telegram or cable to the party to which it is required to be delivered or submitted to by the address given below or to any other address that will be announced.

Minister of the Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia_____

Dilijan community head_____

Fioletovo community head_____

Margahovit community head_____

Annex 6

To the director of "Environmental project
Implementation unit" SA (EPIU) under
RA Ministry of Nature Protection


Dear Mr. Galstyan,

Thank you for contacting us and offering cooperation in the purchase of fresh and dried agricultural products from the beneficiaries of *"Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"* project.

The production range to be produced in the framework of your project is interesting for us, and when you have ready products, please contact us additionally few months in advance to clarify the terms of cooperation. In case the products offered by your beneficiaries will meet our quality and price requirements we will be more than happy to make purchases from them.

Sincerely,

Gevorg Margaryan (name surname)
Art guesthouse (company name)
Dilijan Kalinin 12/1 (address)
+37493486882 (phone, email)

 G. Margaryan

To the director of "Environmental project
Implementation unit" SA (EPIU) under
RA Ministry of Nature Protection

Dear Mr. Galstyan,

Thank you for contacting us and offering cooperation in the purchase of fresh and dried agricultural products from the beneficiaries of *"Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia"* project.

The production range to be produced in the framework of your project is interesting for us, and when you have ready products, please contact us additionally few months in advance to clarify the terms of cooperation. In case the products offered by your beneficiaries will meet our quality and price requirements we will be more than happy to make purchases from them.

Sincerely,

Angin Martirosyan

Café #2

RA, Dilijan, M. Gorki 17/1

a.martirosyan@idea.am / 060700805 / 093797232

19.12.2018



To the director of "Environmental project
Implementation unit" SA (EPIU) under
RA Ministry of Nature Protection

Dear Mr. Galstyan,

Thank you for contacting us and offering cooperation in the purchase of fresh and dried agricultural products from the beneficiaries of "*Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia*" project.

The production range to be produced in the framework of your project is interesting for us, and when you have ready products, please contact us additionally few months in advance to clarify the terms of cooperation. In case the products offered by your beneficiaries will meet our quality and price requirements we will be more than happy to make purchases from them.

Sincerely,

Aleksan Nersisyan



Armenia Restaurant and Guest House "Aghavnatun"

Dilijan, Aghavnavank Village, 3rd street, 16

077 474845, nersisyan.aleqsan@mail.ru

To the director of "Environmental project
Implementation unit" SA (EPIU) under
RA Ministry of Nature Protection

Dear Mr. Galstyan,

Thank you for contacting us and offering cooperation in the purchase of fresh and dried agricultural products from the beneficiaries of "*Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia*" project.

The production range to be produced in the framework of your project is interesting for us, and when you have ready products, please contact us additionally few months in advance to clarify the terms of cooperation. In case the products offered by your beneficiaries will meet our quality and price requirements we will be more than happy to make purchases from them.

Sincerely,

Rima Matinyan

Magnit Guest House

86 Kalinin Street, Dilijan

093 22 47 25, bb_rima@mail.ru

To the director of "Environmental project
Implementation unit" SA (EPIU) under
RA Ministry of Nature Protection

Dear Mr. Galstyan,

Thank you for contacting us and offering cooperation in the purchase of fresh and dried agricultural products from the beneficiaries of "*Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia*" project.

The production range to be produced in the framework of your project is interesting for us, and when you have ready products, please contact us additionally few months in advance to clarify the terms of cooperation. In case the products offered by your beneficiaries will meet our quality and price requirements we will be more than happy to make purchases from them.

Sincerely,

Marusya Hakobyan



Guest House 121

Kalinini, house 121, Dilijan, Tavush region

094592885/095592885, marusya.hakobyan@bk.ru

Degraded steppe slopes, where adaptation is expected to be increased by the establishment of agroforest

Degraded community adjacent pastures



Meeting



Consultation



Orchard

