



## ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.24-25/13/Add.1  
10 June, 2019

---

Adaptation Fund Board  
Project and Programme Review Committee

## **PROJECT FORMULATION GRANT FOR EL SALVADOR, HONDURAS**

## Background

1. The Board at its eleventh meeting discussed the document "Funding for Project Formulation Costs" (AFB/11/6) and agreed, in its Decision B.11/18, that:

- i. project formulation grants (PFG) should be given once a project concept has been approved
- ii. consideration should be given in terms of differentiating between NIEs and MIEs, since some NIEs might have financial difficulties in trying to formulate project or programme proposals;
- iii. a flat rate should be given for project formulation costs;
- iv. a list of eligible activities and items still needed to be prepared; v. the grant should be additional to the project cost; and
- v. the fate of funds if the final project document was rejected should be determined.

2. There was consensus that a three-tiered system should be considered for project formulation grants: endorse a project concept with a PFG amount, endorse a project concept without a PFG amount, or reject the project concept.

3. Following the discussion, the Board decided:

To request the secretariat to reformulate the document, to include a comparison of eligible activities provided by other funds for project formulation grants, to take into account guidance provided by the Board at the present meeting, and to submit the document to the Board at its twelfth meeting, through the EFC. The EFC should review and finalize the process and policy of the project formulation grant focusing, in particular, on: the issue of unspent project funds; the procedures followed by other funds in that regard; and the determination of a flat-rate.

4. A document was prepared by the secretariat in response to the above mandate and presented at the third EFC meeting, which made specific recommendations to the Board at its twelfth meeting. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board, in its Decision B.12/28, decided that:

*(a) Project Formulation Grants (PFGs) will only be made available for projects submitted through NIEs. The Board would continue reviewing the question of PFGs for projects submitted through MIEs and would solicit comments from members and alternate members by February 14, 2011; the views would be compiled by the secretariat for presentation to the Board at its March 2011 meeting;*

*(b) If a country required a project formulation grant, a request should be made at the same time as the submission of a project concept to the secretariat. The secretariat*

- will review and forward it to the PPRC for a final recommendation to the Board. A PFG could only be awarded when a project concept was presented and endorsed;*
- (c) A PFG form, reproduced in Annex V, should be submitted;*
  - (d) Only activities related to country costs would be eligible for PFG funding;*
  - (e) A flat rate of up to US\$30,000 shall be provided, inclusive of the management fee, which cannot exceed 8.5 per cent of the grant amount. The flat fee would be reviewed by the Board at its thirteenth and all subsequent meetings;*
  - (f) If the final project document is rejected, any unused funds shall be returned to the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund;*
  - (g) Once a project/programme formulation grant is disbursed, a fully developed project document should come to the Board for approval within 12 months. No additional grants for project preparation can be received by a country until the fully developed project/programme document has been submitted to the Board; and*
  - (h) The Trustee was instructed to remove the set-aside of US\$100,000 for project preparation that had been decided at the June 2010 meeting, as project preparation would be approved on a project-by-project basis.*

5. In its twenty-fourth meeting, the Board had initiated steps to launch a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, not to exceed US\$ 30 million and had requested the secretariat to prepare for the consideration of the Board a proposal for such a pilot programme (Decision B.24/30). In its twenty-fifth meeting, the secretariat submitted such document and the Board decided to:

- (a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;*
- (b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;*
- (c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and*
- (d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.*

*(Decision B.25/28)*

6. The approved document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2 contained provisions for the approval of project formulated grants for regional project and programme proposals, at different development stages, as follows:

*“It is proposed that the Board open a structured call for MIEs and RIEs to submit pre-concepts for regional projects and programmes. The optional pre-concepts would be very brief proposals of maximum 5 pages that would explain the proposed regional adaptation project/programme. The pre-concepts would be screened and technically reviewed by the secretariat, and subsequently reviewed by the PPRC. Together with the pre-concept, the proponent could submit a Phase I PFG request, up to the maximum level of US\$ 20,000. While endorsing the pre-concept, the Board could also approve the Phase I PFG request. The endorsement of the pre-concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding. As the next step, the proponent would submit a concept, and with it the proponent could submit a Phase II PFG request. The maximum AFB/PPRC.18/25/Add.1 level of the Phase II PFG would be US\$ 80,000 for proposals that had been previously granted Phase I PFG, and US\$ 100,000 for proposals that bypassed the optional pre-concept stage. While endorsing the concept, the Board could also approve the Phase II PFG request. The endorsement of the concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding, as it is the case for the national projects. The final stage of the proposal process would be the submission of the fully-developed regional project document”.*

## **II. The Project Formulation Grant Request**

7. This addendum to the document AFB/PPRC.24-25/13 “Proposal for El Salvador, Honduras” includes a request for a Project Formulation Grant, requesting a budget of US\$ 80,000, which was received by the secretariat along with the concept for the project LAC/MIE/Food/2018/PD/1 *“Improve livelihood resilience through community-based climate change adaptation in the transboundary watershed of Goascorán in El Salvador and Honduras*

”. This proposal was submitted by World Food Programme, which is a MIE Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund, in time for consideration by the Adaptation Fund Board during the intersessional period between the thirty-third and thirty-fourth Board meetings.

8. In accordance with Decision B.12/28, paragraph (b), the secretariat carried out an initial review of the PFG request and found that the document provided detailed information on the use of the requested funds. The proposed activities were aligned with the goal of the project and would develop the project document taking into consideration inputs from the stakeholders, develop a gender assessment, develop an environmental and social risk assessment and management plan to be included in the full proposal, carry out and produce a report on consultations and on community targeting.

9. Therefore, the PPRC may want to consider and recommend to the Board to approve the PFG Request, provided that the related concept proposal is endorsed.



**Project Formulation Grant (PFG) – Phase II**Submission Date: 14<sup>th</sup> of May 2019**Adaptation Fund Project ID:****Countries:** El Salvador, Honduras (Central America)**Title of Project/Programme:** Improve livelihood resilience through community-based climate change adaptation in the transboundary watershed of Goascorán in El Salvador and Honduras**Type of IE (NIE/MIE):** Multilateral Implementing Entity (MIE)**Implementing Entity:** United Nations World Food Programme (WFP)**Executing Entities:** El Salvador: Ministry of Environment and Natural Resources (MARN) and National Center for Agricultural and Forestry Technology (CENTA), Ministry of Agriculture (MAG). Honduras: Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment (MiAmbiente), Ministry of Agriculture and Livestock (SAG), the Institute of Forest Conservation and Development, Protected Areas and Wildlife (ICF), Presidential Office for Climate Change (Clima+)**A. Project Preparation Timeframe**

Start date of PFG	<b>July 15<sup>th</sup> 2019</b>
Completion date of PFG	<b>March 31<sup>st</sup> 2020</b>

**B. Proposed Project Preparation Activities (\$)**



Describe the PFG activities and justifications:

List of Proposed Project Preparation Activities	Output of the PFG Activities	USD Amount
Development of the full project proposal, including the following activities: - Coordinate inputs from technical teams in El Salvador and Honduras. - In coordination with project stakeholders and based on results of consultations, refine the project design, including project outcomes and outputs, and define project activities - Design of the project logical framework, with relevant indicators - Definition of implementation arrangements - Development of a detailed budget  To perform these activities, a Climate Change Adaptation specialist will be hired.	Final project proposal developed incorporating technical climate change adaptation inputs as well as all stakeholders' considerations	32,000

Carry out a gender analysis and assessment to inform project design. A gender and age specialist will be hired to carry out the following activities: i) elaborate the gender-specific cultural and legal context in which the project will operate; ii) identify differentiated climate change impacts on men and women and their different capabilities to adapt to these; iii) Gathering and Collecting Gender-Disaggregated Data; iv) support project design to ensure gender consideration are taken into consideration; v) select gender-responsive indicators and to design gender-responsive implementation and monitoring arrangements	A gender assessment developed and included in the full proposal	6,000
Environmental and social risk assessment. Environmental and social specialists will be hired to develop and undertake the ES assessment and support the development of an Environmental and Social Risk management Plan (ESMP)	Environmental and Social Risk assessment and management plan developed and included in the full proposal	4,000
Consultations with communities and key stakeholders: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Binational consultation meetings with national government, local actors and relevant stakeholders</li> <li>2. Community consultations</li> <li>3. Free, Prior and Informed Consent (FPIC) Process</li> <li>4. Validation of full proposal with national and territorial entities and targeted communities</li> </ol>	Reports produced on the binational consultative process	30,000
Identification and targeting of communities and micro-watersheds in the binational territories based on climate vulnerability and food security	Report produced on community targeting	8,000
<b>Total Project Formulation Grant</b>		<b>80,000</b>

### C. Implementing Entity

This request has been prepared in accordance with the Adaptation Fund Board's procedures and meets the Adaptation Fund's criteria for project identification and formulation

Implementing Entity Coordinator, IE Name	Signature	Date	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email Address
<i>Andrew Stanhope Representative &amp; Country Director, WFP El Salvador</i>		April 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2019	<i>Marco Selva, Deputy Country Director</i>	+503 7919 1118	<a href="mailto:marco.selva@wfp.org">marco.selva@wfp.org</a>
<i>Judith Thimke, Representative &amp; Country Director, WFP Honduras</i>		April 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2019	<i>Etienne Labande, Deputy Country Director</i>	+504 3190-7452	<a href="mailto:etienne.labande@wfp.org">etienne.labande@wfp.org</a>



