

REGIONAL PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL

PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION

Title of Project/Programme:

Countries: Thematic Focal Area: Type of Implementing Entity: Implementing Entity: Executing Entity: Amount of Financing Requested: Reducing vulnerabilities of populations in the Central Asia region from glacier lake outburst floods in a changing climate Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan¹ Disaster risk reduction and early warning systems MIE UNESCO UNESCO USD 6,500,000

Project / Programme Background and Context:

Provide brief information on the problem the proposed project/programme is aiming to solve, including both the regional and the country perspective. Outline the economic social, development and environmental context in which the project would operate in those countries.

Central Asia is facing important challenges to coping with the adverse effects of climate change. A 2009 study by the World Bank found that Tajikistan and Uzbekistan had the highest degree of sensitivity to climate change in Europe and Central Asia and the lowest degree of adaptive capacity.² In particular, the impacts of climate change on water-related disasters in the region have been recognised as a key threat.³ In Central Asia, socio-economically disadvantaged, indigenous groups, ethnic minorities, women, children and elderly are highly sensitive and particularly vulnerable to the impacts of climate change, as resilience and coping capacities are typically low.

¹ The project concept included three countries (Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan) with the understanding that Kyrgyzstan would participate if possible. The Government of Kyrgyzstan has now endorsed the project, and its participation is reflected in the project activities and revised budget.

² World Bank (2009). Adapting to Climate Change in Europe and Central Asia. Washington, DC: World Bank.

³ The declaration of the International conference on water-related natural disaster reduction, held in 2008 in Dushanbe, stated that research on the impacts of climate variability and change on water related disasters should be increased, in particular with the aim to develop adaptation strategies and mitigation measures. Water scarcity coupled with climate change related disasters has been recognized as a critical challenge in Central Asia region during the high-level international conference on the implementation of the "Water for Life" decade, held in Dushanbe, Tajikistan in June 2015. The pre-conference forum on Climate and Water dialogue, held in June 2018 in Dushanbe Tajikistan, at the occasion of the High Level International Conference on the International Decade for Action "Water for Sustainable Development" 2018-2028 recognized that melting glaciers pose threat to water security in CA at national and regional levels, and emphasized the necessity to showcase how implementing water resilient strategies can contribute to the adaptation and mitigation objectives set out in the Paris Climate Agreement and DRR in Sendai Framework for Action.

One of the most significant effects of global warming in Central Asia (CA) is glacial melting and the associated formation of glacial lakes. Around the beginning of the 1970s, accelerated glacier mass loss has been reported in the region (Sorg et al., 2012; Farinotti et al., 2015; Hoelzl et al., 2017). Today's rate of glacier loss in CA is 0.2–1% per year in volume. Furthermore, a 2017 analysis found that the impact of future climate change on glaciers in Central Asia is expected to be substantial: scenarios indicate that with a global temperature increase of 1.5°C, glacier mass in the Tien Shan range could decrease by 31%, while a 2° temperature increase could result in losses of up to 66%.⁴ Due to glacier melting and lake formation, there is an increased danger of **Glacier Lake Outburst Floods (GLOFs)**, which confound and exacerbate water-related threats to mountain communities, their settlements, livelihood, and infrastructure located on river floodplain areas.

GLOF Hazards and Exposure

In the past two decades, GLOFs have resulted in significant economic damages and loss of life. In 1998, a GLOF in the Shakhimardan River catchment in Uzbekistan resulted in 93 fatalities, and in 2002, a GLOF in Dasht, Tajikistan left dozens of people dead. More recently, high temperatures and rapid melting in July 2015 triggered mudflows in the mountainous regions of Tajikistan. In 2008, a GLOF at the Zyndan glacial lake in Kyrgyzstan killed three people and led to substantial economic losses. Meltwater outbursts from the Aksai glacier in northern Kyrgyzstan triggered a GLOF that damaged houses and roads in villages down the valley. The lake still poses a continuous threat to the capital city of Bishkek. In 2015, a GLOF near Almaty, Kazakhstan caused the evacuation of over 1,000 people and 78 injuries. In addition, 127 houses were damaged. Across the region, experts estimate that nearly 100,000 people in mountainous areas face GLOF threats, with many others at risk downstream. In addition, several mountainous areas are relatively popular tourist destinations, which also places visitors at risk.

The incidence of dangerous glacial lakes in Central Asia is also increasing. A 2015 study in Kazakhstan identified 32 lakes in the Ile Alatau region and 110 lakes in Zhetysu Alatau region that had a water volume exceeding 100,000 m³. In Kyrgyzstan, the latest inventory indicates that there are more than 350 glacial lakes in danger of outburst. Each year, there are twenty lakes that are in acute danger of failure, and approximately 300 settlements are exposed to potential GLOFs. Threats can appear rapidly; in the case of the Zyndan GLOF, the lake formed over a period of only two and a half months In Tajikistan, complex topography, high rainfall levels, and a large number of glaciers lead to a high level of exposure, and the south-western Pamir mountain range contains around 335 lakes with GLOF potential. In Uzbekistan, very large floods and mudslides are generally caused by the outburst of mountain lakes. According to Uzbekistan's hydromet agency, the country is threatened with 271 potential GLOFs, most of which are located outside its border.

⁴ Reyer et al. (2015) in Zholdosheva, E. et al. (2017). *Outlook on climate change adaptation in the Central Asian Mountains*. Mountain Adaptation Outlook Series. UN Environment, GRID-Arendal, RMCCA. Nairobi, Vienna, Arendal, Bishkek. www.unep.org, www.grida.no.



Figure 1: Glaciers of Central Asia

The number of glacial lakes and incidences of failure are expected to increase further as new lakes continue to develop and surrounding steep slopes destabilize in response to warming, particularly warmer summer temperatures. In Central Asia, regional scientific studies suggest that glacier shrinkage is causing more frequent hazards, including GLOFs (see Figure 1; Hoelzle et al., 2017). In addition to the large volume of water released by GLOFs, they present a significant transboundary hazard. Hence, the increasing risk of disasters from GLOFs is a significant threat to national and regional security and to sustainable development in Central Asia. In fact, during the international seminar co-organized by the UN Regional Centre for Preventive Diplomacy in Central Asia and UNESCO, *"The Impact of Glaciers Melting in Central Asia on National and Trans-Boundary Water Systems"* in Almaty, Kazakhstan, in April 2013, GLOFs were specifically highlighted as a key threat to the socio-economic development of the region. In June 2018, an international Climate and Water Forum held in Dushanbe, Tajikistan, reaffirmed the linkages between climate change, water resources, and disaster risk reduction in mountainous communities in Central Asia and highlighted the importance of partnerships between academia, hydromet agencies, ministries, and civil society in addressing threats.

Figure 2: GLOF Climate Risk Factors

Vulnerability: Exposed communities lack knowledge and means of reducing risks; DRR agencies focused on remediation

HIGH RISK

Exposure: Glacial lake locations are changing; # of lakes is increasing Hazara's: GLOFs cause loss of life, infrastructure damages; economic losses

Figure 2: GLOF Climate Risk Factors

DRR and Adaptation in Central Asia

With the global emergence of new commitments to disaster risk reduction (DRR) and climate change adaptation (CCA), the issue of monitoring, forecasting and early warnings of natural hazards (including on GLOFs) is gaining importance in the region. In 2015, representatives from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan endorsed a joint statement of support for a post-2015 framework for disaster risk reduction and expressed a commitment to "develop, assess, and monitor regional and national programs of disaster risk reduction in accordance with the post-2015 framework for disaster risk reduction."⁵ At a subsequent regional platform meeting for DRR in 2016 in Dushanbe, Tajikistan, participants called for the establishment of a regional forum to strengthen collaboration and provide important support to implementation of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 at local, national, regional levels.

In the Central Asian region, which was part of the Soviet Union, disaster response has been traditionally stronger than prevention and preparedness. At present, the relevant ministries/committees (the Committee for Emergency Situations in Kazakhstan, the Committee of Emergency Situations and Civil Defence in Tajikistan, and the Ministry of Emergency Situations in Uzbekistan) still focus primarily on disaster response.

With the emergence of DRR as an area of cooperation, several country-level and bilateral programs have begun to address the issue. Countries in Central Asia maintain some common legislative links through their membership in the Commonwealth of Independent States (CIS). For example, in 2014, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan, and Tajikistan adopted a model act

⁵ Joint Statement of the Countries of Central Asia and South Caucasus regarding the post-2015 framework for disaster risk reduction. Approved by the participants of the Regional Ministerial Meeting of Countries of Central Asia and South Caucasus for cooperation in the post-2015 Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction January 30, 2015, Bishkek, Kyrgyz Republic.

on international disaster assistance through the Inter-Parliamentary Assembly of the CIS. In January 2017, the four countries participated in a regional consultative conference on the legal aspects of disaster risk reduction.

In programming, the EU-funded Disaster Preparedness ECHO Programme (DIPECHO) has supported a variety of policy and education/training activities in Central Asian Countries. In 2016, DIPECHO also supported the establishment of the inter-governmental Kazakhstan-Kyrgyzstan Center for Emergency Situations and Disaster Risk Reduction (CESDRR) in Almaty, Kazakhstan. Non-governmental actors are also involved in DRR activities: the Aga Khan Agency for Habitat, for example, is currently cooperating with CESDRR on emergency response and DRR. However, country programming on DRR is at a relatively early stage, and it faces shortages of funding and qualified personnel. Furthermore, GLOFs have not been addressed explicitly in programming to date. Finally, research institutes have undertaken some work in GLOF monitoring in conjunction with partners from other regions. However, this research is not coordinated across Central Asian countries, and it does not necessarily feed into policy-making.

In addition, over the past three years, the UNESCO Almaty office has helped to build knowledge and capacities in Central Asian countries in sound water management and DRR. A total of 1,478 people have been trained in the areas of water research; governance and education; water diplomacy and cooperation; geohazard risk reduction; glacier research; and risk reduction related to glacial melting. These initiatives included training, workshops, and summer schools aimed at a variety of stakeholders: scientists and policy makers, managers, young civil servants, and young researchers. More than 268 young scientists were trained in field work in the areas of glacier mass balance measurements, landslide research and risk reduction, GLOFs, and other related topics.

The participating countries also recognize that building resilience and reducing societal vulnerabilities to climate related disasters is a key requirement for sustainable development. The United Nations 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development specifically pledges to reduce physical and economic losses caused from water-related disasters, with a focus on the most vulnerable communities, and furthermore highlights the need for improved education, awareness-raising, and capacity building in relation to climate change impacts and early warning (SDG targets 11.5, 13.1 and 13.3). All four countries are members of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate change (UNFCCC), and they have ratified the Kyoto Protocol and have signed the 2015 Paris Agreement. The participating countries in this project are on record that they "Confirm commitment to promotion of coordinated and mutually-supporting approach in the post-2015 framework for disaster risk reduction, the sustainable development goals, and the climate change agreements....^{*6}

Target Area of the Project

⁶ Ibid.



Figure 3: Map of the Target Area of the Project

The target area of the project covers vulnerable communities across several mountain ranges in Central Asia. Following discussions with government stakeholders, an initial group of pilot communities was identified based on representativeness of mountainous communities at risk of GLOFs, magnitude of exposure to GLOF threats, and vulnerability (e.g. communities with limited resources in need of assistance). The target communities are home to more than 85,000 people representing a number of different nationalities.

In Kazakhstan, the pilot villages of Esik and Talgar are located in the Almaty region in the foothills of the Tien Shan mountains. In Kyrgyzstan, the pilot villages of Tosh-Bulak and Yurevka are located in the north central part of the country in the Ala-Too Range. In Tajikistan, the pilot villages are located in the district of Shugnon, which is located in the southwestern part of the Pamir Range, and all are directly threatened by two glacial lakes in the upper reaches of the Varshez glacial lake. In Uzbekistan, the pilot communities, Pskem and Tepar, are located in the Pskem mountain range of the West Tien Shan near the border with Kyrgyzstan. Two glacial lakes are located in the upper reaches of the Pskem River: Shavurkul Lake and Ikhnach Lake, which contain 5 million and 4 million cubic meters of water, respectively. Detailed community profiles are provided in Annex 4 of the project, and baseline community consultation information is provided in Annex 2. The pilot communities for the project were selected on the basis of an ongoing dialogue with the participating governments. For the initial pilots, the governments identified communities that were currently exposed to an immediate GLOF threat and had a

relatively high level of vulnerability. The selected communities were also reviewed at the project stakeholder validation workshop.

Barriers to Adaptation

Multiple barriers prevent effective DRR and adaptation to climate threats at a national and regional level in Central Asia.

<u>Institutional barriers</u>: at the institutional level, there is a lack of a policy framework for day-to-day coordination between local and national authorities and between countries in the region. This means that there is no regional cooperation for the assessment and monitoring of transboundary GLOFs. The lack of formal cooperation makes it extremely difficult to deal with transboundary threats, and it prevents authorities from benefitting from knowledge and good practice in other areas within and adjacent to their own country.

Moreover, there is low coordination and synergy between existing institutional structures. At present, there is no way to consolidate the existing knowledge on glaciers, glacial lakes, and GLOF events, which could enhance the ability of policy makers in Central Asia to understand the associated risks.

<u>Organizational barriers</u>: At the organizational level, the capacity of relevant authorities to monitor and reduce risk is weak. An underlying lack of knowledge about the distribution and severity of GLOF threats makes it very difficult to identify communities that are at high risk. This is caused by insufficient monitoring. While lake monitoring exists to a certain extent in countries like Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan, it consists of regular helicopter flights over the glaciated areas, which is not cost-effective or sustainable.

Furthermore, disaster management authorities lack the funding and expertise to conduct a risk analysis of the communities affected by GLOFs, which hinders authorities in identifying the most vulnerable communities exposed to GLOF threats. Current initiatives do not have the capacity to manage the risks posed by melting glaciers, including issuing early warning of GLOFs. Institutions are poorly equipped with modern technologies for early warning systems (EWS). Furthermore, there are no mechanisms available among disaster experts, managers and planners to develop local risk reduction plans in response to GLOFs. In fact, DRR stakeholders at the national level do not have linkages with vulnerable groups at the community level that could inform their work.

In the research community, there are no formal links and very little cooperation on GLOF-related research across Central Asia, although joint research and technical exchange would be extremely beneficial, especially regarding transboundary hazards.

In addition, organizations lack the capacity to design and produce awareness-raising materials, such as educational materials for school-age children or maps and infographics for communities that are available in the relevant formats and languages.

<u>Individual-level barriers</u>: At the individual level, relevant authorities face a critical gap in knowledge concerning glacier lake distribution, risk mapping, and disaster prevention planning from GLOFs. They also lack information on how implement early warning systems and other adaptation measures. Furthermore, communities at risk are not trained in emergency planning or safety measures. In addition, young local scientists have not had an opportunity to acquire fundamental knowledge regarding the cryosphere, glacier lakes, and related hazards that will

allow them to make substantive contributions to mapping, monitoring, mainstreaming DRR into practice.⁷ All stakeholders lack a consolidated source of information on GLOFs and GLOF risks and risk reduction, and vulnerable groups cannot get the information they need through the formal and informal communication channels they use. Vulnerable groups also lack adequate awareness, education and training opportunities on GLOFs at the community level, especially in remote areas.

Project / Programme Objectives:

The objective of the proposed project is to strengthen adaptation to climate change in Central Asia by reducing societal risks and vulnerabilities associated with GLOFs. This objective also addresses SDGs 11 and 13 of the 2030 Agenda, particularly targets 11.5 and 13.1 and 13.3.

The project objective will be achieved by assessing societal risks and vulnerabilities associated with GLOFs and then addressing these risks and vulnerabilities. The approach will strengthen the monitoring, analytical and response capacities of institutions and government officials responsible for DRR, emergencies and CCA through community and gender-sensitive ground-level training and awareness campaigns, and through the establishment of early warning systems (EWS), supported with the necessary state-of-the-art monitoring strategies. The emerging and increasing risk associated with GLOFs, together with appropriate response and adaptation strategies will be brought to the forefront of attention for decision makers and communities in all of the participating countries.

The overall approach of the project is to assess vulnerability through work with technical experts and communities and then address vulnerability through targeted systems and measures while building capacity for prevention activities. The logic of the project intervention is provided in Figure 2. It is the regional approach that will contribute to improved coping with climate change and its consequences through information and experience exchange with regard to best practices in CCA and DRR. Discussion of the benefits of a regional approach is provided in Section II.A.

Project / Programme Components and Financing:

Project/Programme	Expected	Expected	Countries ⁸	Amount
Components	Outcomes	Outputs		(US\$)
1. Strengthening national and regional capacity to monitor and assess GLOF hazards	Authorities in participating countries have improved knowledge of potential GLOF	Appropriate mapping and monitoring strategies developed	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan	715,000

Table 1: Project Components and Financing

⁷ A separate stakeholder consultation was held with university students and early-career researchers focusing on DRR topics in Almaty on March 13-14, 2019. Findings are provided in Annex 2.

	hazards and a coordinated national and regional approach to mapping and monitoring potential GLOF sites.	Up-to-date atlas on glacier lakes for all participating countries based on remote sensing data developed and maintained. Organizational capacity to implement and oversee mapping and monitoring strengthened, with an emphasis on transboundary hazards		
2. Strengthening sub-national, national, and regional policies and approaches to meet needs of vulnerable communities	Decision-makers and vulnerable households are aware of GLOF threats and have the necessary information to plan measures to adapt to those threats.	Vulnerability assessment and exposure maps developed for endangered communities, including gender and sector-specific analyses. Local knowledge on GLOF risks and related adaptation needs documented and local risk reduction plans drafted for selected communities vulnerable to GLOFs. DRR and CCA concepts mainstreamed into sub-national development planning in the relevant country context	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan	1,300,000
3. Design and launch of EWS and risk reduction measures tailored to local contexts	A coordinated EWS network is designed and embedded in the institutional	Local to regional framework for EWS established and evaluated.	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan	1,040,000

	setting for disaster risk management at all levels.	Design and implementation plans for four site- specific EWS completed.		
4. Targeted demonstration projects to introduce EWS technology and low-cost adaptation measures in vulnerable communities.	Risk from GLOF hazards reduced in pilot communities and relevant agencies have the means to maintain adaptation measures and upscale them to other vulnerable communities.	EWS tested in selected vulnerable communities. Complementary adaptation measures implemented. Authorities and population trained through simulation exercises and other means as needed. Maintenance and financing strategy developed for ensuring long-term sustainability of the EWS and the expansion of adaptation activities to other vulnerable communities.	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan,	1,657,500
5. Knowledge exchange, stakeholder engagement, and communication.	Researchers, government authorities and communities have improved access to, and use, information on GLOF hazards and risk reduction measures to adapt to them.	Web-based knowledge- platform established on GLOF risks and adaptation strategies. Education and training programmes undertaken to equip stakeholders with knowledge and capacity to prepare for, respond to and recover from GLOF disasters.	Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan	910,000

1,400,020				
1 405 625	1,405,625	1,405,625	1,405,625	
Kazakhstan	Kyrgyzstan	Uzbekistan	Tajikistan	
Country budget breakdown				
 6. Project/Programme Execution cost 7. Total Project/Programme Cost 8. Project/Programme Cycle Management Fee charged by the Implementing Entity (if applicable) 				396,019 6,018,519 481,481
		Knowledge ar lessons learned from the targed demonstration projects disseminated within Central and across ot high mountain regions.	nd ed eted n Asia her n	

Table 1a: Project calendar of project milestones

Milestones	Expected Dates (tentative)	
Start of Project/Programme Implementation	December 2019	
Mid-term Review (if planned)	December 2021	
Project/Programme Closing	November 2024	
Terminal Evaluation	December 2024	

PART II: PROJECT / PROGRAMME JUSTIFICATION

A. Describe the project / programme components, particularly focusing on the concrete adaptation activities, how these activities would contribute to climate resilience, and how they would build added value through the regional approach, compared to implementing similar activities in each country individually. For the case of a programme, show how the combination of individual projects would contribute to the overall increase in resilience.

This project will reduce climate change induced risks and vulnerabilities from GLOFs in Central Asia through coordinated risk identification and community-embedded adaptation measures. More broadly, the project will build societal awareness and thereby resilience to the threat of

climate change, particularly water-related disasters. Adaptation measures, including EWS, are strongly promoted by the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030, to which Central Asian countries are committed. According to the United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNISDR), the following are four key elements of EWS: I. risk knowledge; II. monitoring and warning service; III. dissemination and communication; and IV. response capability. In addition, the project will enhance risk knowledge and response capability with activities that map potential threats and implement low-cost adaptation measures to reduce community risk. Capacity strengthening, highlighted in the 2030 Agenda under SDG 13 Target 13.1, will also be addressed in all project components through training, institutional twinning, participatory planning, and knowledge exchange. Finally, the project will support explicit measures to promote sustainability: the identification of post-project and expanded financing for EWS in vulnerable communities, and the dissemination of GLOF information and good practice in risk reduction in GLOF areas.

A core strength of this project is its focus on a *regional approach* to adaptation activities. This is crucial, as GLOFs represent far-reaching climate hazards that may originate in remote regions and cause damage in areas that are hundreds of kilometres downstream, possibly located in the territory of another country. Far-reaching GLOF disasters with transboundary impacts have already occurred in Central Asia; for example, the 1998 outburst event at the Archa-Bashy glacier in Kyrgyzstan caused the deaths of more than 100 residents of Shahimardan in neighboring Uzbekistan. The regional approach also takes advantage of the fact that the countries have similar government structures and share a common administrative and research past. Furthermore, a regional approach will allow the countries to utilize additional research capacity, such as the Central Asian Regional Glaciological Center under the auspices of UNESCO, based in Almaty and policy capacity, such as the Regional Center for Emergency Situations and DRR.

Therefore, this project emphasizes the development of common monitoring, assessment, and response strategies, while also recognizing that final implementation must be tailored to local physical, cultural and societal contexts. The project will facilitate several regional exchange workshops, enabling experiences and knowledge to be shared and transferred between partners, while the implementation of four distinct pilot demonstration projects will provide a basis for comparative evaluation, identifying successes and lessons learnt between countries. Such capacity building and development at multiple levels will ensure that the countries are well equipped and motivated to maintain long-term, sustainable adaptation strategies implemented under this project.

The project is directly aligned with four Adaptation Fund outcomes: Outcome 1 (Reduced exposure to climate change hazards and threats); Outcome 2 (Strengthened institutional capacity to reduce risks associated with climate-induced socioeconomic and environmental losses); Outcome 3 (Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes at local level); and Outcome 4 (Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience).

Component 1: Strengthening national and regional capacity to monitor and assess GLOF hazards

This project component recognizes that a comprehensive and successful adaptation project addressing water-related disasters must be built on a robust foundation of best available understanding of current and future glacier evolution, which is the basis of GLOF threats across

Central Asia. In a first step, knowledge on past and future variations in essential climate variables (primarily changes in temperature and precipitation, and the response of glaciers and permafrost), which in turn influence development and susceptibility of glacial lakes, will be reviewed, compiled and improved. GLOF threats can develop rapidly and have far-reaching effects. Hence, first-order monitoring strategies are best implemented at the regional-scale, using remotely sensed imagery, supported with available long-term ground-based measurements, and modelling approaches. Furthermore, anticipation of where new lakes may develop as glaciers continue to retreat, ensures adaptation strategies may be optimized for current, emerging, and future threats, and maladaptation can be avoided. Training of national responsible authorities in these methods and technologies will enable homogenous monitoring programs to be implemented across Central Asia.

The capacity building program will be broadened to include training modules on the fundamentals of glaciers, lakes, and hazards within national educational institutions with the subsequent goal of establishing inter-university cooperation and networking in the region in these sectors through the UNESCO UNITWIN program. While a common regional methodology framework will be applied, training will be tailored to the local context of each country, and it will help ensure the long-term sustainability of the adaptation measures implemented under Component 4. In addition, citizen science initiatives for monitoring glacier change will be considered in order to expand on-the-ground monitoring efforts. Finally, steps will also be taken to ensure that participation in GLOF monitoring and mapping, including supporting capacity strengthening, is gender-balanced.

Component 1 will encompass the following outputs and indicative activities:

Output 1.1: Appropriate mapping and monitoring strategies developed

Indicative activities under Output 1.1 will include:

1.1.1. Review and assessment of observed and projected changes in essential climate variables across Central Asia, providing context and basis for design of the lake monitoring programs, and establishing synergies with ongoing and future regional cryosphere initiatives (see Part II G).

1.1.2. Consultation with country authorities to develop a handbook and best-practice guidance documents, outlining a homogenous strategy for remote sensing and field-based monitoring of glacier lakes and surrounding periglacial terrain that uses common data sources and techniques. A citizen science component will be considered here.

1.1.3. User-friendly visualization and analytical toolbox for anticipating where new lakes and therefore threats will develop over the 21st century as glaciers retreat.

1.1.4. Monitoring strategies presented to governance structures at the national and regional level.

Output 1.2: Up-to-date atlas on glacier lakes for each country based on remote sensing data, supported by *in situ* measurements, developed and maintained.

Indicative activities under Output 1.2 will include:

1.2.1. Glacier lakes mapped across Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan for the most recently available satellite imagery (2018 – 2019); e.g., freely available Landsat or Sentinel images.

1.2.2. Retrospective mapping of previous lake distribution and extents for defined periods, to establish change in GLOF threat over past decades and identify rapidly emerging problems.

1.2.3. Estimation of key lake parameters (e.g. area, volume, mean depth), supported and validated with available *in situ* measurements.

1.2.4. Integration of findings into a user-friendly database (see Component 5) where mapped information on glacial lakes will be maintained by and available to local authorities along with the vulnerability assessment findings and community mapping carried out in Component 2.

<u>Output 1.3: Organizational capacity to implement and oversee mapping and monitoring</u> strengthened, with an emphasis on regional cooperation on transboundary hazards.

Indicative activities under Output 1.3 will include:

1.3.1. Training workshops with local authorities in each country to ensure understanding of mapping and monitoring strategies and to introduce adaptation response strategies.

1.3.2. Design and implementation of a capacity building and twinning program in national universities, ensuring next generation of young local scientists are equipped with fundamental knowledge regarding the cryosphere, glacier lakes and related hazards, as well as integrated DRM.

1.3.3. Regional workshops to facilitate exchange of knowledge and experience between countries, with a view to establishing a permanent ongoing exchange mechanism in the region.

Component 2: Strengthening local, national, and regional policies and approaches to address the needs of vulnerable communities

This component is framed by the concept of climate risk endorsed by the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change in their latest assessment reports (Special Report on Managing the Risk of Extreme Events and the Fifth Assessment Report). The concept encourages a holistic approach, recognizing that climate related risk results from a physical event or hazard (e.g. GLOF) intercepting with an exposed and vulnerable system (e.g. community). In this conceptual model, risk reduction strategies such as EWS are seen as a key tool for climate change adaptation.

There will be two scales to the risk assessment. Firstly, a *hazard assessment* for all lakes and associated downstream affected land areas will be conducted at the regional scale. While a core assessment procedure will be homogenised, some physical, societal, and economic drivers of risk may vary between countries, such that approaches will be tailored for the local context.

Secondly, the project will conduct *community assessments*, working with local authorities and community members to assess their baseline knowledge of risks, to gather local knowledge,

and to identify local patterns and behaviours that may affect vulnerability and access to DRR information. The project will liaise with national organizations for the advancement of women and local authorities to ensure meaningful participation in the community assessments by women.

As a result of the assessments, critical GLOF risk hot spots will be identified in each country. These hot spots will be evaluated together with high-priority sites identified by local authorities, leading to local-scale assessments supported by field studies and validation at the most critical sites. This multi-level approach ensures that subsequent monitoring, preparedness, and EWS strategies are targeted to those lakes and downstream areas where risk of disaster is greatest. In these hot spots, the project will support the development of Local Risk Reduction Plans in conjunction with the vulnerable communities.

Finally, Component 2 will support development of DRR and CCA concepts to be mainstreamed into local development plans. During the project preparation phase, the sub-national planning process for provinces and districts will be surveyed for the participating countries and entry points for mainstreaming will be identified. These findings will serve as a baseline for the project activities under Output 2.4.

Component 2 will encompass the following outputs and indicative activities:

Output 2.1: Vulnerability assessment and exposure maps developed for endangered communities, including gender and sector-specific analyses

Indicative activities under Output 2.1 will include:

2.1.1. Development of a common GLOF hazard and risk assessment procedure, elaborated for the physical, social and environmental context of each country.

2.1.2. Preliminary estimates of the likelihood of outburst established for every current and anticipated future glacial lake, and downstream flood-prone land areas identified.

2.1.3. Assessment of vulnerability and exposure of communities and infrastructure within floodprone land areas based on proxy indicators (e.g. population density, urban land area etc.) At least four women-only focus groups will be convened in the assessment process

2.1.4. Identification of hotspots (based on the findings from 2.1.1. - 2.1.3.) and compilation of comprehensive local-scale GLOF hazard assessment and maps for both current and future scenarios.

Output 2.2: Local risk reduction plans drafted for selected communities vulnerable to GLOFs.

Indicative activities under Output 2.2 will include:

2.2.1. Ground-level mapping and assessment of infrastructure and assets located within floodprone valleys.

2.2.2. Community-level studies of vulnerability through participatory surveys and interviews. Evaluation of the risk perception of men and women in local communities exposed to GLOF

hazards, including the local knowledge of current hazards and past events, and how this is affecting their daily lives.

2.2.3. Elaborated basket of hard and soft adaptation options, emphasising no-regret adaptation options. Hard options include artificial lake lowering, armouring of the lake dam and channel area etc, whereas soft options typically aim to reduce exposure and vulnerability of the community. This includes several no-regret options that are part of, and support a fully operational EWS, such as institutional training and capacity building, evacuation and response planning, training drills and community awareness raising.

2.2.4. Community level feasibility study to evaluate local adaptation needs and expectations.

2.2.5. Development of Local Risk Reduction Plans and discussion of plans with participating communities on the basis of information gathered under activities 2.2.1 and 2.2.4.

Output 2.3: DRR and CCA concepts mainstreamed into local development planning in the relevant country context.

Indicative activities under Output 2.3 will include:

2.3.1 Compilation of good practice in mainstreaming DRR and CCA into sub-national development planning, particularly in mountainous regions, and a review of its applicability for men and women in participating countries.

2.3.2 Preparation of policy/planning roadmaps for integrating DRR and CCA concepts into local planning documents.

2.3.3 Technical support for mainstreaming in selected districts, including training for local-level authorities on DRR and CCA concepts and CCA content for trainings such as those provided by UNISDR.

Component 3: Design and launch of EWS and risk reduction measures tailored to local contexts

Early Warning is "the provision of timely and effective information, through identified institutions, that allows individuals exposed to hazard to take action to avoid or reduce their risk and prepare for effective response". The importance and need to promote, invest in, develop, maintain and strengthen EWS is mentioned several times in the Sendai Framework. In Component 3 the institutional mechanisms will be evaluated and established if needed, and EWS concepts will be elaborated for the study sites in each country as defined by the needs of the participating governments and informed by the findings from Component 2. Information on potential pilot sites is provided in Annex 4 of this concept, based on national consultations with authorities in each of the participating countries, during the PPG phase. Specific activities to support gendersensitive EWS design and launch are listed in Annex 3.

Component 3 will encompass the following outputs and indicative activities:

Output 3.1: Local to regional framework of institutional DRR context established and evaluated

Indicative activities under Output 3.1 will include:

3.1.1. Evaluation of the process, roles and responsibilities of institutions and organizations involved in EWS as mandated by law in all project countries. Synchronization of GLOF specific aspects with existing mechanisms, institutional entities and platforms for other types of hazards for creating an institutional infrastructure for multi-hazard management of disaster risks.

3.1.2. Establishment of required institutional mechanisms and framework conditions (if necessary).

3.1.3. Evaluation and establishment of responsibilities and protocols for the dissemination of warnings, including the evaluation of potential means for the communication of alerts and warnings to ensure all members of the population, both men and women, receive the message.

3.1.4. Evaluation of long-term funding possibilities of EWS, including maintenance costs.

Output 3.2: Design and implementation plans for four site-specific EWS completed

Indicative activities under Output 3.2 will include:

3.2.1. For each study site: Identification of the environmental parameters critical for GLOF hazards and evaluation of monitoring methods (sensors and specifications).

3.2.2. For each study site: Elaboration of a data storage and access system, pre-definition of warning thresholds.

3.2.3. For each study site: Elaboration of institutional integration of EWS protocols with existing authorities and institutional entities

3.2.4. For each study site: Identification and design of complimentary adaptation measures to reduce GLOF hazard and exposure (see basket of adaptation options – Output 2.2). A focus will also be given to green adaptation options, such as tree plantation to stabilise slopes and reduce bank erosion.

3.2.5. Definition of community needs for GLOF early warning based on the documentation of local GLOF risk perception and adaptation needs. Who needs to be warned, why, when, and how.

3.2.6. Information and capacity building with involved authorities on EWS implementation, operation, and maintenance.

Component 4: Targeted demonstration projects to introduce technologies and best practices for EWS for glacier lakes

Based on the recommendation of the local authorities, and the results of the large-scale risk assessment (Component 2), this component will implement one EWS tailored to the requirements of each participating country. Communities will also implement complementary low-cost / no-cost adaptation measures to increase resilience, such as hazard zone demarcation, the identification of evacuation routes and safe zones, and the management of

drainage channels. The advantage of promoting these complementary measures is that they can be scaled up to communities even in the absence of an EWS, and the project will consider using peer educators to reach target groups.

Component 4 will encompass the following Outputs and indicative activities:

Output 4.1: EWS tested in selected vulnerable communities.

Indicative activities under Output 4.1 will include:

4.1.1. Identifying a company/institute, if possible local, to take over the technical engineering, including the acquisition of suitable equipment, the construction of the EWS stations, and the electronic and software engineering for the data transfer, processing and storage.

4.1.2. Detailed technical planning of the EWS: Identification of survey, monitoring, and communication stations; design of alerting and warning infrastructure and means of communication

4.1.3. Test phase of EWS (ca. 12 months) for system calibration and adjustment and familiarization by responsible authorities

4.1.4. Adoption of low-cost / no-cost measures such as hazard zone demarcation and identifying safe zones and evacuation routes in the EWS communities and possibly in additional communities.

Output 4.2: Complementary adaptation measures implemented

Indicative activities under Output 4.2 will include:

4.1.1. Identifying a local company to implement civil engineering, including the construction of spillways, slope stabilisation measures (including tree plantations), channel maintenance, flood protection and deflection structures.

4.1.2. Detailed technical planning of the engineering measures: Site identification; design specifications of the structural measures, environmental impact assessment, and other necessary permitting.

4.1.3. Elaboration of maintenance plans and technical handbooks to ensure long-term operability and sustainability of the adaptation measures.

Output 4.3: Authorities and local communities trained through simulation exercises and other means as needed.

Indicative activities under Output 4.3 will include:

4.3.1. Capacity building and information activities for EWS calibration and operation for the relevant authorities in conjunction with the EWS provider selected in Activity 4.1.1.

4.3.2. Simulations with authorities and potentially affected population (or portions thereof) based on the EWS and supporting measures (e.g. evacuation routes and safe zones).

Output 4.4: Maintenance and financing strategy developed for ensuring long-term sustainability of the EWS and the expansion of adaptation activities to other vulnerable communities

Indicative activities under Output 4.3 will include:

4.4.1. Elaboration of a maintenance plan in collaboration with the involved authorities

4.4.2. Evaluation of potential internal and external funding sources and financing schemes for ensuring long-term operation of the EWS

4.4.3. Development of specific recommendations for scaling up low-cost / no-cost measures to other communities exposed to GLOF risks

4.4.4. Development of a funding plan for long-term EWS maintenance and operation

Component 5: Knowledge exchange, stakeholder engagement, and communication

This component oversees the development and implementation of knowledge management, exchange, stakeholder engagement and communication activities arising out of components 1 - 4. The primary goal of this component is to ensure that these activities are harmonised across the region, through joint meetings and workshops, knowledge products (e.g. a web platform), and shared resources such as guidelines, policy briefs, and educational and outreach materials). In this manner, implementation of activities will be cost-effective, and duplication of efforts can be avoided.

The project will use the approach of building on *existing* UNESCO and other regional information portals in order to ensure that the information provided will have a sustained, post-project presence on the Internet. It will also share information with other regional information portals that focus on DRR and climate change adaptation to reach a wider audience. Furthermore, the project will develop strategies for ensuring access to information for communities and user groups with low rates of internet connectivity, such as a 3G / 4G option. Participants in the community consultations held during project formulation frequently mentioned text messaging as the means they used for receiving information and warnings about disasters.

Activities conducted under this component must draw on the strengths and diversity of the regional program while being sensitive to local and site-specific requirements, thereby providing the foundation for successful and sustainable adaptation interventions. The project will pay special attention on communication channels for different target groups, which vary by type of media, community influencers, and language; it will also bear in mind that men and women in a given community may use different channels of communication.

Component 5 will encompass the following outputs and indicative activities:

Output 5.1: Web-based knowledge-platform established on GLOF risks and adaptation strategies

Indicative activities under Output 5.1 will include:

5.1.1. Establishment/enhancement of modern, user-friendly, web-based knowledge platforms, where data, maps, information and guidance documents produced under components 1 to 3 and other project reports (workshops, meetings) will be available to stakeholders and authorities as a basis for awareness raising and adaptation planning.

5.1.2. Adoption of a common regional template for the platform, allowing each country to tailor a cost-effective set-up that best suits their local context and needs

5.1.3. Funding and technical strategy developed to ensure long-term maintenance of the knowledge platforms

Output 5.2: Education and training programmes undertaken to equip stakeholders with knowledge and capacity to prepare for, respond to and recover from GLOF disasters

Indicative activities under Output 5.2 will include:

5.2.1. Engagement with national universities in each of the participating countries to ensure knowledge and understanding emerging from this programme is transferred to the next generation of young scientists working in Central Asia, in a form of networking, capacity building and new educational programmes (see also Output 1.3).

5.2.2. Enhancement and fostering of regional collaboration through cost-effective joint training and education programmes (see also Output 1.3).

5.2.3. Implementation of community level training based on common agreed standards and best practices, elaborated according to local experiences and contexts. (see also Output 4.2)

<u>Output 5.3: Knowledge and lessons learned from the targeted demonstration projects</u> <u>disseminated within Central Asia and across other high mountain regions</u>

Indicative activities under Output 5.3 will include:

5.3.1. Scaling-up experiences and lessons learnt for other EWS implementations in CA.

5.3.2. Exchange workshops with authorities from other than CA regions, and communication of outcomes and experiences to relevant institutions across high mountains in Asia, such as ICIMOD, Himalayan University network, DRR Youth network in ASPAC region, STAG and others

5.3.3. Knowledge products (mobile aps, radio spots, infographics, outreach and training material) for communities at risk and to visitors to these communities, adapted to specific audiences ranging from tourists to school teachers and their pupils.

5.3.4. Support extended to organization of scientific conferences in the region.

5.3.5. Education on DRR and CCA promoted at the local level for schools in GLOF-prone regions in the form of educational materials.

Figure 1 on the following page provides an overview of the logic of the proposed intervention and how the proposed activities correspond with the barriers identified in the project scoping process.

Assess Vulnerability			Address Vulnerability	
Strengthening Capacity to Monitor GLOF Risks	Policies and Approaches for Vulnerable Communities	Development of EWS and On-the-Ground Measures for Sites	Targeted EWS Demonstrations	Knowledge Exchange and Communication
*National and Regional Mapping / Monitoring Strategies *Regional GLOF Atlas for Central Asia	*Exposure mapping and hotspot identification *Vulnerability assessments local risk reduction plans for endangered communities	*New local-to-regional coordination system for EWS *Design infrastructure and training for pilot EWS sites	*Piloting low-cost adaptation measures *Construction, testing, and training for EWS stations	*Web-based knowledge platform *Education and training programs
*Coordinated monitoring for region	*Mainstreaming DRR / CCA into regional development plans		*Financing and scaling-up for EWS, other measures	*Dissemination of knowledge, lessons learned
*No means of			*Communities lack	
coordination on transboundary GLOF monitoring	*DRR authorities lack information to prioritize and address GLOF risks	*Local warning needs are not known to DRR agencies	training in preparedness and practical DRR measures	*Lack of communication between researchers and practitioners
*Lack of Information on Changing GLOF Exposure Risks	*Communities are not aware of options to reduce and mitigate disaster risk from GLOFs	*Endangered communities lack EWS infrastructure	*DRR practitioners focus primarily on response.	*Low levels of information /awareness on GLOFs
*Lack of Regional Coordination on GLOF Monitoring	*DRR / CCA considerations are not mainstreamed into sub-national development plans	*Low coordination between regional DRR practitioners and local / regional authorities on risk reduction	*Lack of financial support for EWS systems	*Good practice is not disseminated
Lack of Capacity to Identify and Assess GLOF Lack of Capacity to Address Threats in Endangered Communities and				

Actions and Measures

Barriers

Lack of Capacity to Address Threats in Endangered Communities and Support Adaptation

B. Describe how the project /programme would promote new and innovative solutions to climate change adaptation, such as new approaches, technologies and mechanisms.

This project provides an innovative holistic approach to climate change adaptation in Central Asia, bringing together the latest scientific understanding of glacier changes and related GLOF threats, design of adaptation strategies and implementation of a technologically advanced EWS, and comprehensive strengthening of institutional and societal capacities, including for the most vulnerable communities. The new scientific understanding and baseline knowledge resulting from this program will be centred on state-of-the-art approaches used for monitoring glacier lakes and their surrounding terrain, hazard and risk assessment and adaptation. Project partners from Switzerland bring long-standing expertise in these fields and have been at the forefront of developing modern remote sensing, ground-based, and modelling approaches optimised for regional-scale GLOF hazard and risk assessments, which is essentially lacking in CA national and local institutions. This partnership will ensure that local authorities and institutions are best equipped to monitor and respond to the rapidly emerging GLOF threat. Hazard and risk assessment procedures will be implemented within a modern Geographic Information System (GIS), and integrated within an innovative web-platform that provides user-friendly, intuitive, and interactive access to all stakeholders.

As emphasized in the Sendai Framework, EWS are a key mechanism to achieve DRR and CCA, through reducing societal vulnerability. According to UN standards⁹, EWS comprise four inter-related elements as shown below, including detailed process understanding, communication aspects and capacity aspects, spanning far beyond the technical installations of measurement devices.



Figure 4: The four key elements of Early Warning Systems (Source: UNDP, 2018)

This project will implement a technologically advanced EWS that utilizes ground-based sensors and incorporates modern satellite-based earth observation, providing full system monitoring (Monitoring and Warning Component). Latest advances in communication

 UN/ISDR 2006: Developing Early Warning Systems: A Checklist. Bonn: UN/ISDR Platform of the Promotion of Early Warning (PPEW).

⁹ Cf. the following documents:

UNDP 2018: Five approaches to build functional early warning systems. United Nations Development Programme.

technology will ensure timely and reliable transfer of data and warning services to authorities and the communities. However, experiences have shown that technology needs to be balanced against local capacities, and hence there is heavy emphasis in this project towards education and training of local authorities and communities to ensure long-term success and sustainability of the adaptation measures. In this context it is of vital importance that local schools in GLOF-prone regions are actively involved in the project through learning exercises and also to ensure that parents and the rest of the community are informed about possible GLOFs and DRR measures (Dissemination and Response Capability Components).

Scientific and technological advances in modelling, monitoring and predicting capabilities would bring benefits to early warnings once science is translated into effective DRR actions (Risk Knowledge Component). Bridging the gap between scientific research and decision making will make it possible to fully exploit capacities of EWS technologies for societal benefit. Therefore, existing research networks, including of young researchers, who will pursue filed activities together with experts, will also be supported to allow for synergistic activities and interdisciplinary research. This will improve communication between scientists, and decision-makers, DRR experts, authorities in charge of emergencies and affected segments of the local population. Such coherent initiatives for collaborative action and adaptation to impacts of climate change in mountainous regions of CA, which are planned to be implemented in the project, are lacking in the region. Building capacities in DRR and early warning, as well as the related integration of disaster mitigation strategies into planning and policies, is one of the main recommendations of the UNEP state of the art analysis of EWS from 2012¹⁰.

In recognition of the potential for this innovative project to provide a reference and guidance for broader CCA and DRR activities across Central Asia, the Project Implementation Unit (PMU) will be supported by an Information and Experience Sharing Committee (IESC) (Part III A.). This committee will further contribute towards ownership, high visibility, transparency and improved exchange of knowledge, experiences and information among stakeholder across Central Asia. In the context of Central Asia, the IESC is a real innovation as currently there are no modern/technological mechanisms in place, which lead to a better understanding of DRR caused by GLOFs and climate change.

C. Describe how the project / programme would provide economic, social and environmental benefits, with particular reference to the most vulnerable communities, and vulnerable groups within communities, including gender considerations. Describe how the project / programme would avoid or mitigate negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

The adverse impacts of climate change and particularly water-related disasters are recognized by the United Nations as one of the greatest threats to sustainable development globally. Considering GLOFs, recent disasters highlight the significant direct and indirect economic impacts that these extreme floods can have, and thereby, the corresponding benefits that a well-developed EWS can provide. A 2016 study¹¹ of global societal impacts from GLOFs revealed that there have been 7 deaths in Iceland, 393 deaths in the European Alps, 5745 deaths in South America and 6300 deaths in high mountain Asia. Around 15% of the GLOF events in high mountain Asia have inundated farmland, destroyed homes, damaged roads and damaged infrastructure.

¹⁰ UNEP 2012: *Early Warning Systems – A State of the Art Analysis and Future Directions*. Nairobi: Division or Early Warning Assessment (DEWA), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).

¹¹ Carrivick JL, Tweed FS. 2016. A global assessment of the societal impacts of glacier outburst floods. *Global* and Planetary Change. Elsevier B.V. **144**: 1–16. DOI: 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2016.07.001.

During the 2010 outburst from lake 513 in Peru up to 700 animals were killed¹², significantly impacting the livelihoods of local famers. Major GLOF disasters such as occurred in the Northern Indian village of Kedarnath in 2013, can also have long-lasting indirect influences on the economy as the tourism industry can be negatively impacted for several years¹³. Early warning systems can also prevent damage to critical infrastructure such as hydropower stations, as the warning system allows reservoirs to be shut-down and water-lever lowered in advance of the GLOF. Frequently it is the most marginalised and vulnerable members of societies who live in the most exposed riverside locations, and therefore the approach to hazard and vulnerability mapping employed under Components 1 and 2 of this project will identify such risk "hot spots". Hence, targeted measures implemented in this project that aim to increase societal resilience, reduce vulnerability, and thereby minimise losses to future GLOF events will provide direct and significant economic and social benefits, to those sectors and members of society who are most threatened. From an environmental viewpoint, damage and loss of valuable ecosystem services can be reduced, through GLOF mitigation and sustainable management of floodplain areas. For example, emphasis will be given to the conservation of forested slopes which provide natural flood buffering and protection. In fact, by focussing on the implementation of GLOF EWS, this project provides an environmentally-friendly, and cost-effective adaptation measure that minimise permanent modification of the natural environment. In the design of complimentary measures that include hard engineering options, potential adverse environmental impacts will be carefully assessed and mitigated to the extent possible.

Bridging the gap between scientific knowledge and decision making will make it possible to fully exploit capacities of EWS technologies for societal benefit. The project will also bring indirect economic and societal benefits as a result of strengthened capacities, awareness, and engagement in CCA. For example, the hydro-meteorological and cryospheric monitoring that will primarily serve as a basis for the EWS, will also provide data for improved management of hydrological resources and agriculture. Education around the need for, and importance of an EWS will be framed within a broader context to raise community awareness of climate change and environmental issues. In the process of enhancing disaster preparedness, non-governmental and community-based organizations will benefit from strengthened communication and outreach capabilities, which will be crucial to the successful and sustainable implementation not only of the GLOF EWS, but also broader CCA initiatives. The proposed project would address such crucial shortcomings in disaster preparedness, and thereby significantly reduce societal vulnerabilities to future GLOF events, and ultimately minimise human and economic losses.

Finally, at the policy level, the project will provide an enabling environment for the integration of CCA and risk management considerations into GLOF-affected sectors, such as land use planning, agriculture, forestry and disaster management. The interface between the policy level and local level institutions will be enhanced, in order to ensure evidence-based policy making that is informed by community needs. Involvement of communities and other stakeholders throughout the planning and design to the implementation and monitoring stages of the project will further enhance the economic and social benefits of the project, and it will ensure that communities are empowered to take charge of their own protection from climate-induced risks.

¹²Carey M, Huggel C, Bury J, Portocarrero C, Haeberli W. 2012. An integrated socio-environmental framework for glacier hazard management and climate change adaptation: lessons from Lake 513, Cordillera Blanca, Peru. *Climatic Change*. Springer Netherlands **112**(3–4): 733–767. DOI: 10.1007/s10584-011-0249-8.

¹³ Ziegler AD, Wasson RJ, Bhardwaj A, Sundriyal YP, Sati SP, Juyal N, Nautiyal V, Srivastava P, Gillen J, Saklani U. 2014. Pilgrims, progress, and the political economy of disaster preparedness - the example of the 2013 Uttarakhand flood and Kedarnath disaster. *Hydrological Processes* **28**(24): 5985–5990. DOI: 10.1002/hyp.10349.

Environmental and Social Considerations

Environmental Considerations

Implementation of the project, and particularly the EWS, will strictly adhere to local environmental policies and best practices. A typical GLOF EWS does not contribute towards any foreseeable negative environmental impacts. It is important to note that *the project as designed will not involve construction or earthworks that would be subject to an environmental impact assessment.* The adaptation measures in addition to the EWS are instead focused on hazard zone demarcation and the identification of evacuation routes and safe zones.

Social Considerations

On the societal level, early engagement of the community and other stakeholders during the project preparation period has ensured that the needs, expectations and wishes of the community were addressed. The community consultations were conducted in such a way so that each step of the project implementation is complemented by the outcomes of these consultations with specific indicators to be jointly developed. Community leaders and women's group leaders participated in the organized meetings. Furthermore, consultation in affected communities will be ongoing throughout project implementation.

Gender. As women and men are affected differently by disasters and climate change, in particular due to the higher likelihood of women to be living in poverty, their different vulnerabilities and capacities will be analysed, and their gender-specific concerns and priorities will be addressed. In DRR, women "typically face greater mortality, health risks, and domestic and sexual violence in hazard events...." and may face greater challenges to accessing social protection mechanisms such as insurance and safety nets."¹⁴ The same study suggested "Increasing access to information and participation in risk management and early warning systems. During the project, women will be recognized for their resilience in the face of disaster and for the roles they play as active agents of change in helping communities to recover and adapt. The policy-related work is designed to contribute to gender equality by improving the balance of power between women and men in Central Asia to improve adaptation and resilience to climate change, thus contributing to SDG 5 of the 2030 Agenda.

Gender equality varies substantially across the region. For example, when measuring countries by their score on the Gender Development Index (GDI), Kazakhstan is ranked 56th globally, while Kyrgyzstan, Uzbekistan and Tajikistan are ranked 84th, 105th, and 129th, respectively.¹⁵ However, vulnerable communities in the region, especially in rural and mountainous areas, face common issues: women are responsible for maintaining households due to the outmigration of the working population, primarily men, in order to earn money in cities and abroad. The overall percentage of women in the villages participating in the community consultations ranged from 49% to 62%, while the percentage of working-age women to working age men was higher (see Annex 2).

While a majority of participants in the community consultations had a positive view of women's participation in community decision-making, the project will consider potential barriers to participation, particularly given women's roles in maintaining households and in child rearing (see Annex 3). Therefore, in the course of the project it will be essential

¹⁴ GFDRR 2016. Gender Action Plan: 2016-2020. Fall 2016 Consultative Group meeting. Washington: GFDRR:

¹⁵ UNDP 2017. Human Development Data. hdr.undp.org. Accessed May 2, 2019.

to support and increase women's participatory and leadership role in addressing GLOFs risk reduction in their communities. Women consultants will be used to obtain information in women-only community meetings if necessary,

During the project preparation phase, community consultations were used to gauge women's roles in potential pilot communities and explore the best means for involving and communicating with women and men. Of the 247 participants in the community consultations, for example, 38% were women. National machineries for the advancement of women and women's NGOs were involved in the stakeholder consultation, and an initial gender assessment and gender action plan for project implementation, which is included as Annex 3, addresses these issues in greater detail. Finally, the Full Proposal has been reviewed by the gender focal point at the UNESCO Natural Sciences Sector, HQ and the Cluster Office for Central Asia.

Indigenous Peoples and vulnerable groups: The project will also pay special attention to the most vulnerable communities, namely, indigenous and ethnic minorities in mountainous areas, by developing evidence-based adaptation practices. The project region is home to many indigenous cultures as well as ethnic minorities, which often belong to socially-disadvantaged groups. In Tajikistan alone, for example, the Pamir region is inhabited by Shughnis, Rushanis, Wakhis, Yazgulyamis, Ishkashimis and other groups (Gunt Valley and Shakhdara River valley) while another minority, Yaghnobi people inhabit Zeravshan valley. These communities are most directly affected by the climate change impact on glacier melting, which has resulted in frequent and vast mudflows, property damage, and the destruction of irrigation channels.

Community consultations during the project preparation period assessed the prevalence of vulnerable community groups, including the elderly (approximately 10% of populations of the 20 communities consulted), vulnerable children, women-headed households (nearly 10% of the pilot communities in Uzbekistan), and community members with disabilities. The vulnerability assessment under Component 2 will be carried out in close consultation with and involvement of these community members in each participating country to assess the existing exposure of households, ensuring that the most vulnerable groups in the most exposed locations receive the most benefit from the project.

D. Describe or provide an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the proposed project / programme and explain how the regional approach would support cost-effectiveness.

The cost-effectiveness of the proposed project was assessed in two ways: 1) A costeffectiveness analysis of the project approach;¹⁶ and 2) an assessment of the efficiency and cost-effective aspects of project management.

Cost effectiveness of the proposed approach

Baseline Costs

The damage caused by GLOFs under a "do-nothing" scenario can be very high, not only for primary damage (loss of life, damage to infrastructure, buildings and agricultural land), but also secondary effects (e.g., disruption of primary transportation routes, energy supply, tourism decline, and the economic isolation of entire regions). A prime example of this multi-faceted damage occurred in the July 2015 mudflow in the Gorno-Badakhshan Autonomous Oblast (GBAO) of Tajikistan, where 80% of the communities in

¹⁶ This analysis was informed by UNFCCC (2011) Assessing the Costs and Benefits of Adaptation Options: An Overview of Approaches. Bonn: UNFCCC.

GBAO lost electricity due to infrastructure damage, and a temporary lake threatened downstream hydropower plants and infrastructure, including in a neighbouring region.

Relief and rehabilitation costs related to GLOF disasters normally vastly exceed the cost of DRR measures, in particular if secondary damages are considered as well. Hence, the implementation costs of EWS can be significantly outweighed by the direct avoidance or reduction in damages caused by a GLOF event.

Intervention Costs

It is generally accepted that a DRR approach to GLOFs is more cost-effective than the baseline of disaster response and remediation. However, measures that are available under a "do something" scenario vary widely in cost. The costliest measure would be resettlement, which would also involve unacceptable amounts of social and economic disruption in affected communities. The next most costly measure would be community infrastructure retrofitting, which would be prohibitively expensive due to the need to retrofit in proximate communities and in downstream areas. In addition, retrofitting would still leave community members vulnerable to loss of life in the event of a GLOF. The next most expensive set of measures in terms of economic costs would be targeted infrastructure work, such as artificial drainage construction work or protective dams, which have been employed in Almaty.

EWS is commonly agreed upon as the most effective initial approach to DRR in communities that are exposed to GLOFs. Remote sensing can provide a means of tracking glacial lake formation and hot spots. Capacity building in exposed communities, including community monitoring to complement remote sensing efforts, is even less expensive and generates social benefits of community empowerment. Finally, low-cost / no-cost measures such as hazard zone demarcation, the identification of evacuation routes and safe zones, and the management of drainage channels represent the least expensive option.

Given the relative costs and benefits of possible DRR measures, the project has selected the three least-expensive interventions (EWS, capacity building, and low-cost / no-cost measures), which in combination will generate significant benefits in the form of increased safety and significant cost avoidance.

Cost-Effective Aspects of Project Management

On the implementation level, the regional approach of the program will enable methods and approaches to be replicated across countries, with lessons learned and best practices shared between partners. The hazard and risk assessment in Component I will be based on freely available remotely sensed imagery and datasets, using techniques that have been optimised for regional-scale applications. Following the identification of risk hot spots adaptation resources can then be targeted to the most critical locations, and implementation tailored to the local environmental and societal context. Tangible outputs emerging from the program (e.g., guidance documents, policy briefs, education and training materials, web portal) will be based on common templates, which are subsequently fine-tuned for each country, minimising the duplication of efforts and enhancing the cost-effectiveness of program implementation. Remote sensing is considered as the most effective first phase approach in GLOF risk reduction and preparedness. Under this project it will facilitate rapid and complete coverage of large and extremely remote mountainous areas, thus allowing to identify potentially dangerous localities, including at trans-boundary level, for closer study. In this manner, time and expense are reduced.

Where possible, links to existing regional programmes and activities will be established and strengthened. The project will utilize existing national institutions, NGOs working locally, including Aga Khan for Habitat (AKAH) in Tajikistan, which will reduce transaction costs. The project will make use of these already established projects, thus diversifying financial risks and increasing financial flexibility.

Furthermore, the integrated EWS implementations to be elaborated in this project can draw on the experience gained by the partners from the University of Zurich over the past several years in the Andes of South America, where pioneering GLOF EWS have been designed and implemented. This expertise is complemented by long-lasting experience in cryosphere monitoring with different techniques in many mountain regions worldwide, including glaciers in the Pamir and Tien Shan. In both South America and Central Asia, the University of Zurich serves as a long-term strategic partner with the Swiss Agency for Development and Collaboration (SDC). Integrating this know-how, directly into the proposed Central Asia project, including the experience in capacity building for the academic, public and private sectors, brings significant benefits and enhances cost-effectiveness.

In addition to the cost-effective benefits relating to the EWS, emphasis in this project is given to capacity building and training at multiple levels of society; these elements are incorporated into all of the project components. These relatively low-cost activities represent classic "no-regret" adaptation responses, which will bring immediate benefits to the communities, while also offering benefits over a range of possible future scenarios. In other words, even if in the best-case scenario a particular EWS is never activated by a GLOF event, the implementation and associated capacity building process will have led to a significant reduction in vulnerability of the threatened communities. As a by-product, the community builds awareness and resilience not only in relation to GLOFs, but more generally in relation to climate-driven changes and related hydro-meteorological threats. At the institutional level, regional workshops will provide a cost-effective opportunity to build and enhance collaboration across Central Asia, strengthening the capacity of the region to respond to the emerging threats of climate change, and particularly those related to the cryosphere. Engagement with local universities to ensure knowledge and understanding emerging from this programme is transferred to the next generation of local scientists working in CA is also a sustainable way of addressing knowledge on GLOF risks for future generations. Finally, outreach through schools will raise awareness among pupils and their families.

In conclusion:

- Using a DRR approach will reduce the substantial economic and social costs of GLOFs in the participating countries
- The proposed intervention is less costly than other possible approaches considered
- The development and application of coherent methods, procedures and activities across the region will strongly increase the cost-effectiveness, i.e. the impact per unit of investment, will thus be clearly larger as compared to a local or national effort.
- The application of good practices from similar communities in other regions will also contribute to the cost-effectiveness of the intervention
- E. Describe how the project / programme is consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, including, where appropriate, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications, or national adaptation programs of action, or other relevant instruments, where they exist. If applicable, please refer to relevant regional plans and strategies where they exist.

D. Describe how the project / programme is consistent with national or sub- national sustainable development strategies, including, where appropriate, national or subnational development plans, poverty reduction strategies, sector strategies, national communications, or national adaptation programs of action, or other relevant instruments, where they exist.

The project proposal has been prepared in accordance with prevailing National Sustainable Development Strategies and Adaptation Plans. A wide range of relevant national development programs and plans of participating regions were studied to identify the countries' main priorities and needs in the field of CCA, which forms the basis of the project concept. The provisions of the following documents were considered during the elaboration of the concept:

Kazakhstan:

- Kazakhstan 2050 Strategy;

- National Communications to the UNFCCC (III-VI and VII).

Kyrgyzstan:

- National Sustainable Development Strategy of the Kyrgyz Republic for 2013-2017;

- Program of the Kyrgyz Republic on Transition to Sustainable Development for 2013-2017;

- Priorities for Adaptation to Climate Change in the Kyrgyz Republic till 2017.

Tajikistan:

- National Action Plan for Climate Change Mitigation;

- National Communication of the Republic of Tajikistan under the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Uzbekistan:

- National Strategy of Sustainable Development of Uzbekistan.

In addition, current country activities on adaptation that are relevant to climate change adaptation were reviewed during project formulation. In *Kazakhstan*, the country's Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) under the Paris Agreement does not address adaptation. However, the country has received readiness funding under the Green Climate Fund that will include support for the following activities: "Strengthen knowledge, capacities, processes, systems and procedures for Climate Change Adaptation within... relevant key stakeholders, including the Ministry for Agriculture, Ministry for Health Care and Social Development, Ministry for Investment and Development, Office of the Prime-Minister and the local authorities, to enable them to fully comply with its role."¹⁷

The NDC submitted by *Tajikistan* includes language on climate change adaptation. Specifically, the country states its intention to reduce the impacts of dangerous weather events by implementing a set of policies that include the State Programme for Study and Preservation of Glaciers of the Republic of Tajikistan for 2030, the National Strategy for Disaster Risk Management of the Republic of Tajikistan, and the National Plan for Emergency Preparedness and Response.¹⁸ The NDC also states the country's intention to reduce "vulnerability to the impacts of climate change by means of full-scale integration of the climate resilience and adaptation measures into the planning and development of the green infrastructure" in areas that include resilience to

¹⁷ GCF Readiness Proposal (2017): 5.

¹⁸ Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) towards the achievement of the global goal of the UN Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) by the Republic of Tajikistan (2015): 2.

hydrometeorological hazards, disaster risk reduction, and glacier monitoring.¹⁹ Tajikistan has developed a National Adaptation Plan for 2016-2030 that focuses on the integration and mainstreaming of DRR planning and adaptation planning; the plan is awaiting government approval. In January 2018, the country received a readiness grant from the Green Climate Fund to support the identification of priorities for adaptation projects.

The NDC submitted by *Uzbekistan* states the country's intention to continue its efforts in capacity building to support adaptation. It establishes climate change adaptation as a priority direction, and the NDC specifically identifies "Development of early warning systems about dangerous hydrometeorological phenomena and climate risk management" as a priority activity under "Adaptation of social sector to climate change."²⁰

Relevant national strategies on DRR in the region were also considered, including the National Strategy for Comprehensive Safety of Population and Territories of the Kyrgyz Republic from Disasters and Emergencies; National Disaster Risk Management Strategy of the Republic of Tajikistan 2019-2030, and the State Programme of Uzbekistan on Forecasting and Preventing Emergency Situations. The DRR strategies of the CA countries are primarily in line with the priorities of the Sendai Framework, which also was instrumental for the project development. The Sendai Framework, which succeeded the Hyogo Framework of Action was adopted by UN Member States, including Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan, on 18 March 2015 at the 3rd World Conference on Disaster Risk Reduction. As a result of this Conference, the CA countries issued a joint regional statement, where the governments of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan confirmed their commitments to the implementation of the Sendai Framework, emphasizing the need to consolidate the efforts of all interested parties, including international organizations, to foster regional cooperation in DRR. The Sendai Framework has become the accepted approach in dealing with DRR in Central Asia, and Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan have all committed to the use of the Sendai Framework Monitor to improve disaster loss data collection. This step is consistent with the Plan of Action for the Implementation of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 and its milestones for the period of 2016-2020 in Central Asia and South Caucasus region.

In their national statements on DRR, the governments of Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan have highlighted water-related disasters. Considering their prevailing transboundary effects, cooperation between the countries of the CA region was recognized as the only rational way to address water-related disasters and risks associated with them. The need to increase the resilience of populations, communities and countries to disasters, especially water-related disasters, was emphasized as one of the main focuses in implementing the Sendai Framework. Strengthening global and regional cooperation in DRR was recognized as crucial for the region.

Finally, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Tajikistan are parties to the Convention on Access to Information, Public Participation in Decision-Making and Access to Justice (the Aarhus Convention). Project activities are consistent with the provisions of the Convention, such as elements that support environmental education increased awareness of environmental information.

The proposed project is also highly aligned with the priorities of participating countries regarding development cooperation. The following table summarizes the corresponding priority outcomes in country planning frameworks.

¹⁹ Ibid.: 2-3.

²⁰ Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) of the Republic of Uzbekistan (2017): 6.

Country	Priority Area	Outcome	Indicator
Kazakhstan	Pillar 1: reduced disparities and improved human development	Outcome 1.3: Ecosystems and natural resources protected and sustainably used, and human settlements resilient to natural and manmade disasters and climate change	Indicator 1: Percentage of settlements and cities that have implemented resilience-building measures as per international recommendations (Sustainable Development Goals, and Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction)
Kyrgyzstan	Environment, climate change, and disaster risk management	Outcome 3: By 2022, communities are more resilient to climate and disaster risks and are engaged in sustainable and inclusive natural resource management and risk-informed development	Indicator 3.1 Existence of national and local disaster risk reduction strategies, adopted and financed, in line with the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 (11.b.1;11.b.2)
Tajikistan	Resilience and Sustainability	Outcome 6: People in Tajikistan are more resilient to natural and man-made disasters and benefit from improved policy and operational frameworks for environmental protection and sustainable management of natural resources	Indicator 6.5. Emergency Preparedness Capacity Index Indicator 6.6. Number of disaster impact alleviation plans and policies (at all levels) Indicator 6.8. proportion of rural communities with increased capacity to manage shocks and risks
Uzbekistan	Environmental Protection, to ensure sustainable development	Outcome 6: By 2020, rural population benefit from sustainable management of natural resources and resilience to disasters and climate change	Indicator 6.8: % of rural communities in disaster prone areas are able to apply proactive disaster risk reduction activities (including through modern ICT) according to HFa and post-HFa framework

Table 2: Corresponding Development Priorities by Country, UNDAF²¹

F. Describe how the project / programme meets relevant national technical standards, where applicable, such as standards for environmental assessment, building codes, etc., and complies with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

The EWS will build on international standards regarding climate change adaptation activities, including the components of i) understanding risks, ii) monitoring and alert, iii) communication, iv) response. The project is in full compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund (see Section II.L and Section III.C).

The project concept is also in line with relevant national laws and standards of environmental protection, human safety and protection from the natural hazards, including the following:

- Civil Defense Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan (1997);
- Law of the Kyrgyz Republic on Civil Defense (#54 from 24 May 2018);

²¹ *Sources:* Partnership Framework for Development, Kazakhstan, 2016-2020; UNDAF for the Kyrgyz Republic 2018-2022; UNDAF for Tajikistan: 2016-2020; Uzbekistan UNDAF: 2016-2020.

- Law providing for legal conditions of disaster management of the Republic of Kazakhstan (1993);

- ST RT GOST R 14031-2010 – National standard of Tajikistan: Environment management. Assessment of ecological efficiency. General requirements;

- O'z DSt 1016:2002 – National standard of Uzbekistan: Safety in emergency situations. Monitoring and forecasting of emergency situations. Main provisions;

- O'z DSt 1017:2002 - National standard of Uzbekistan: Safety in emergency situations. Monitoring and forecasting of emergency situations. Damaging factors. Nomenclature of the parameters of damaging effects;

- O'z DSt ISO 14001:2009 - National standard of Uzbekistan: Environment management. Technical conditions and guidelines for use;

- Model Act on International Disaster Assistance through the Inter-Parliamentary Assembly of the CIS (2014 -- regional).

In addition, the project acknowledges the laws and regulations in Central Asia regarding environmental impact assessment:

- KAZ: Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan of 21 October 2000, No. 86-II "On the Adhesion of the Republic of Kazakhstan to the Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context"
- KAZ: Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan "On the Protection of the Environment"
- KAZ: Law of the Republic of Kazakhstan "On Environmental Expert Review"
- KYR: Law of the Kyrgyz Republic of 12.01.2001, No. 6 "On Ratification of the EIA Convention" in the Kyrgyz Republic;
- KYR: Instruction on Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Procedures for Proposed Activities in the Kyrgyz Republic;
- KYR: Law on Ecological Expertise (State Environmental Review) 1999 (2003, 2007)
- TAJ: Law on Environment Protection (2012)
- TAJ: Law on the State Ecological Expertise (2012)
- TAJ: Procedure of Environmental Impact Assessment (adopted by the Resolution of the Government of the Republic of Tajikistan No. 509 as of 01.08.2014)
- TAJ: Procedure to implement State Ecological Expertise (approved by the Resolution of the Government of the Republic of Tajikistan No. 697 as of December 3, 2012)
- TAJ: List of objects and types of activity for which preparation of documentation on Environment Impact Assessment is mandatory (adopted by the Resolution of the Government of the Republic of Tajikistan No. 253 as of June 3, 2013)
- UZB: Regulation on State Environmental Expertise, Resolution of the Cabinet of Ministers No. 491, 31 Dec 2001

At present, both Kazakhstan and Kyrgyzstan are signatories of the UNECE Convention on Environmental Impact Assessment in a Transboundary Context (the Espoo Convention). The project also acknowledges that legislation and regulations may be enacted in the course of project implementation that will update legal requirements involving EIAs and other related measures (e.g. draft legislation that has been developed in Kazakhstan with the support of UNECE, and the government of Tajikistan has recently analyzed the alignment of its legislation with the Espoo Convention, also with UNECE). All construction or earthworks undertaken under project activities will conduct all necessary assessments and obtain all required permits.

The project manager will work with the National Execution Teams and government stakeholders to monitor all relevant legislative and regulatory developments in order to ensure that all project activities comply with legislation that is in force. Additional information on safeguarding activities to comply with relevant legislation and regulations is provided in Sections II.L and III.C.

G. Describe if there is duplication of project / programme with other funding sources, if any.

At present, there is no national level project in any of the Central Asian countries which exclusively addresses the need for ground-level work on GLOF risk reduction, nor is there a regional project focusing on GLOFs. Even after comprehensive analysis of various water-hazard-related activities, the risk of duplication can be assessed as zero.

Therefore, this UNESCO-AF project will be the only regional initiative addressing GLOFs within the full context of CCA, extending from baseline knowledge and capacity building, monitoring and anticipation, through to development and implementation of adaptation strategies. The funding required from the Adaptation Fund thus will enable the implementation of first project in Central Asian countries with a tangible, science-, community- and evidence-based GLOF risk reduction effort.

The UNESCO-AF project will work to ensure that the assessment of GLOF hazards and risks, and the associated implementation of adaptation strategies, draw upon the best available hydro-meteorological and cryospheric baseline data from the region, and from existing knowledge platforms. Networking with global and regional research and resource centres working on GLOF issues will be established to institutionalize a well-connected knowledge base and analytical framework. As described under Component 1 of this proposal, synergies and links will be established with the following ongoing and planned projects in relation to glaciers, glacier melting-related risks, and climate change adaptation:

"Climate Adaptation and Mitigation Program for the Aral Sea Basin (CAMP4ASB)": (World Bank, 2015-2021; project cost: USD 44.78 million). CAMP4ASB does not cover risks associated with GLOFs and the establishment of EWS, but rather focuses on enhancing regionally-coordinated access to improved climate change knowledge services for key stakeholders (e.g., policy-makers, communities, and civil society) in Central Asian countries by establishing regional climate knowledge services. The project aims to provide technical assistance, as well as minor public works, goods (including software and equipment), and training, at both the regional and national levels, to develop a unified, integrated regional analytical platform for climate-resilient and low emission development, with improved data, information, knowledge, and decisionsupport tools. Component 5 of the UNESCO-AF project may be able to utilize knowledge platforms developed under the CAMP4ASB project for the dissemination of GLOFrelated information and project lessons learned, but the CAMP4ASB project will not have access to this type of information in the absence of the proposed UNESCO-AF project.

"Central Asia Hydrometeorology Modernization Project (CAHMP)": (World Bank, 2011-2021; project cost: USD 27.7 million). CAHMP is designed "to improve the accuracy and timeliness of hydromet services in Central Asia, with particular focus on Kyrgyz Republic and Republic of Tajikistan."²² The first component of the project, which is designed to ensure that countries in Central Asia can share, use, exchange, and archive common hydromet data and information, is highly relevant to the UNESCO-AF project for its activities on data collection and hazard forecasting. Two activities that will be undertaken in Kyrgyzstan are directly relevant to UNESCO-AF project activities include Activity B.2.4, which creates an automatic monitoring system for previously identified and assess high-mountain lakes with GLOF potential (USD 500,000) to provide on-line data on the state of high-risk lakes; and Activity B.2.5., which will create a mobile response unit to monitor high-risk lakes, glaciers, and mudflow hazard sites (USD 100,000). These activities and activities under the third component, which involves technical assistance to strengthen the capacity of the hydromet service in Tajikistan, will work in tandem with the

²² http://projects.worldbank.org/P120788/central-asia-hydrometeorology-modernization-project?lang=en

UNESCO-AF project, and the project will coordinate training and capacity-strengthening activities with CAHMP to avoid overlap or duplication. The UNESCO-AF project will utilize the CAHMP project's assessments where possible to avoid duplication, and it will liaise with the project management in order to identify which data can be utilized.

"Strengthening the resilience of Central Asian countries by enabling regional cooperation to assess high altitude glacio-nival systems to develop integrated methods for sustainable development and adaptation to climate change": (UNDP-GEF, under development; project cost: appr. USD 6.2 million). The proposed project, which will be executed by UNESCO, currently involves Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan, although Kyrgyzstan may also participate. The project has five components, and it focuses very broadly on glacio-nival systems and is expected to result in advances in knowledge about the impacts of climate change on glacio-nival systems in Central Asia and national and regional policies and strategies to address these impacts. The UNDP-GEF and UNESCO-AF projects are complementary: the UNDP-GEF project will generate research findings and high-level institutional capacity that will benefit the UNESCO-AF project. At the same time, the UNESCO-AF project will be able to contribute specific knowledge and capacity-strengthening related to glacial lakes and GLOFs. The UNESCO-AF project will coordinate closely with the UNDP-GEF proposed project in the following ways:

- Under UNDP-GEF Component 1, it will contribute data and project findings to the database on glacio-nival systems and permafrost for Central Asia;
- Under UNDP-GEF Component 2, the UNESCO-AF project will contribute findings from its atlas of glacial lakes to the broader catalogue of the status and changes of glacio-nival systems in the regions, and it will utilize research on the vulnerability of glacio-nival systems where relevant; The UNESCO-AF project will benefit from strengthening of the national and regional glacial centers, and it will coordinate closely with the project on the development of a regional Strategic Action Program on glacio-nival systems that can support institutional coordination in areas including glacial lake monitoring.
- Under UNDP-GEF Component 3, the UNESCO-AF project will provide input on monitoring protocols and on national monitoring programs for glacio-nival and permafrost monitoring.
- Under UNDP-GEF Component 4, the UNESCO-AF project will benefit from findings from demonstration projects in each of the participating countries on best practices in integrated management. It should be noted that the UNDP-GEF demonstration projects focus on mountain ecosystems rather than communities, and so it is expected that the activities the two projects will not overlap, but rather will provide helpful findings that may enhance results.
- Under UNDP-GEF Component 5, which focuses on information dissemination and awareness-raising, there are several activities where the projects could benefit from coordination on knowledge sharing and training.

"Debris flow and outburst flood hazard in Tian Shan under impact of changing climate (DEFenCC)" (SCOPES initiative; project cost: approximately USD 200,000) The DEFenCC project, which recently ended, was led by the University of Bern, Switzerland, and aimed primarily at improving the understanding of past and current GLOF and debris-flow processes at case study sites in the Tien Shan Mountains. Linkages between the Swiss university partners and participating experts from scientists from the Russian Federation will ensure that relevant scientific findings emerging from the findings of the DEFenCC project will feed into this larger, adaptation-focused project. This project finished in 2018.

"Climate Services: Enforcing and utilizing baseline data for DRR and WRM in Central Asia" (Swiss Development Cooperation, 2017-2020), project budget: CHF 800,000). Partnership will be established with this project, which is implemented by the World Glacier Monitoring Service (WGMS) and the University of Fribourg in Central Asian countries. Cooperation with UNESCO is already foreseen in the capacity development of young women and girls in glaciology and the development of education modules for universities. The UNESCO-AF project could also benefit from data obtained in the course of this project, thus linking it with Components 1 and 2 of the proposal. The UNESCO-AF project will liaise with this project in order to disseminate the educational materials that it produces, including through ministries and its network of universities.

Other UNESCO Projects: Linkages will also be established with two other ongoing UNESCO efforts at the global level: i) The impact of glacier retreat in the Andes: International Multidisciplinary Network for Adaptation Strategies, for exchange of information including links with the Snow Glacier Networks; and ii) Addressing Water Security: Climate Impacts and Adaptation responses in Africa, Asia and Latin America/Caribbean, on knowledge management, as well as sharing the experiences of this project with other similar initiatives in participating countries, the wider region and the international community.

"Regional Project on Ecosystem-based Adaptation (EbA) to Climate Change in high mountainous regions of Central Asia" (GIZ as part of the International Climate Initiative, or IKI, 2015-2020). The German Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety (BMU) supports this initiative, and the project is under implementation in Tajikistan, Kyrgyzstan and Kazakhstan in close cooperation with government partners and other development partners. The concept of EbA aims to increase the resilience of people reliant upon services provided by nature, by addressing the degradation of ecosystems and thereby reducing their vulnerability to climate change. The project's objective is therefore to test innovative and cost-efficient climate informed approaches and strategies for EbA, also addressing institutional, economic, technical and informational barriers. In the framework of the project, an EbA method has been developed based on piloting experiences in small watersheds in At Bashy (Naryn, Kyrgyzstan) and Bartang (GBAO, Pamir, Tajikistan). In order to scale up the method at national level, replication of the method is needed for fine tuning. The replication will be done until mid-2020. The proposed UNESCO-AF project will exchange information on good adaptation practices with this GIZ regional project.

Relevant country programming: "Tajikistan: National Disaster Risk Management Project," is a USD 10 million project that is funded by the Asian Development Bank (ADB). The project, which was launched in 2018, is designed to reduce economic losses in Tajikistan from natural hazards by mainstreaming DRM into government institutions and strengthening capacity to manage natural hazards and minimize losses. The ADB project will also involve the development of a roadmap for DRR financing, which will be important to Output 4.3 of the UNESCO-AF project.

Finally, the project has already established linkages with *community-level projects* in the participating countries. For example, the project will communicate with the AKAH in Tajikistan, which implemented a remote geohazard capacity building and monitoring project in 13 communities in Zaravshan Valley in Tajikistan. This team will be a crucial partner in collaborating in activities under Components 2 and 4 in Tajikistan.

H. If applicable, describe the learning and knowledge management component to capture and disseminate lessons learned.
Knowledge is defined as the understanding of reality based on people's experience, analysis and exchange. In the context of the proposed project, knowledge is recognized as the key to adapt successfully to climate change in the mountainous areas of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan. This knowledge has to be created, co-produced, compiled and made available for stakeholders and the interested public.

As described in Section A of Part II of this document, the project will develop an internationally, regionally, nationally and locally institutionalized knowledge base and analytical framework for long-term tracking and management of GLOF and related climate change risks in the target countries. A result-based KM system will be designed and implemented in consultation with all stakeholders using the latest technical expertise. A KM platform needs to tailored to the local context and is a strategic part of the project. It reflects the activities and outputs all five components of the project. Clear mechanisms to manage knowledge and share/disseminate experiences need to be defined and created from the beginning. These mechanisms need to be institutionalized at a national and regional level such that the benefits of the regional cooperation are sustainable.

Guiding principles and objectives for the KM system are:

- Keeping track of experience gained, presenting global, national and especially local knowledge on CCA with special reference to GLOFs, what kind of interventions work, identification of learning objectives and indicators;

- Develop an enabling environment for sharing the climate change knowledge amongst the CA stakeholders;

- Establish a climate change KM coordination framework, which engages all stakeholders;

- Develop the capacity of the coordinating unit to effectively lead the management of GLOF/climate change knowledge;

- Develop and implement a mechanism for monitoring the application of GLOF/climate change knowledge by policy makers and people at the frontline of climate change impacts;

- Maintain a robust and up-to-date GLOF/climate change KM system.

The KM system is a central part of the overall communication strategy of the project. It has to be developed with the participation of all project partners and stakeholders to identify the needs and capabilities of everybody directly involved and of all relevant target groups (media, politicians, public). The aim is to get strong identification with the project, to develop ownership and have a high visibility, which will eventually lead to sustainability.

As a regional project there will be challenges from a language standpoint (English, Russian, Kazakh, Kyrgyz, Tajik, Uzbek), as well as from a technological standpoint (webbased, multi-media, radio, TV, print). It will be crucial to communicate effectively and efficiently. Therefore, a communication strategy for the project will be developed and implemented, which will highlight dissemination of project experiences to communities, educational institutions, NGOs, Civil Society Organizations, private sector institutions with a stake in the issue, and the larger public. This strategy will detail the use of print and electronic media and other communication channels (roundtables, participative community workshops, posters, brochures, booklets, pamphlets, news articles, radio and TV broadcasts, and web-based items). Lessons learnt from the project will be provided via a number of national, regional and international communication channels to increase their outreach (including radio and TV news pieces). This will enable adoption of project experiences in the up-scaling of EWS and other response strategies outside of the immediate project area. In addition, the project website will serve as a workspace to be shared by project experts and stakeholders. Awareness campaigns through social media to the public and available communication tools for reaching the most remote populations are planned. Highest priority will be given to sustainability. Web site/resources beyond the duration of the project must be maintained. This can be achieved through a strong involvement of national governments, regional institutions and NGOs, including an approach that builds on existing information platforms and resources.

I. Describe the consultative process, including the list of stakeholders consulted, undertaken during project / programme preparation, with particular reference to vulnerable groups, including gender considerations, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

<u>Context</u>

The proposed project emerged from a multi-year dialogue with countries in Central Asia. The declaration of the International conference on water-related natural disaster reduction, held in 2008 in Dushanbe, stated that research on the impacts of climate variability and change on water related disasters should be increased, in particular with the aim to develop adaptation strategies and mitigation measures. Water scarcity coupled with climate change related disasters was also recognized as a critical challenge in the CA region during the high level international conference on the implementation of the "Water for Life" decade, held in Dushanbe in June 2015.

Similarly, UNESCO with partner agencies such as the UN Centre for Preventive Diplomacy in Central Asia (UNRCCA), the World Bank, International Fund for Saving the Aral Sea (IFAS) in 2013 started an initiative on *"The Impact of Glaciers Melting in Central Asia on National and Trans-Boundary Water Systems"*, bringing together scientists and policy makers to discuss the issue of climate change and agree on a joint roadmap. During the international seminar in Almaty, Kazakhstan, April 2013, it was highlighted that natural disasters like landslides and GLOFs will affect the socio-economic development of the region.

In a follow-up seminar, held in Dushanbe, Tajikistan in November 2014, with participation of delegations (national representatives) of five CA states and Afghanistan, a special action plan was designed on glacier monitoring and glacier-induced hazard risk reduction, in which the GLOFs were highlighted.²³ During the last seminar, held in Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan in November 2016, which discussed the progress made on the Dushanbe, and during which the project proposal was reviewed by all CA country participants and international experts in a group work, the following was agreed:

- To support UNESCO's regional project proposal "Reducing vulnerabilities of populations in the Central Asia region from glacier lake outburst floods in a changing climate" for submission to the Adaptation Fund.
- To support the interest of Kazakhstan and Afghanistan to participate in the above project.
- To develop uniform criteria of glacier lake outburst risk, their classification and the assessment of risk and vulnerability of the population living below the mountain valleys.
- To develop a methodology for glacier lake monitoring and EWS in the project countries.
- To facilitate the organization of glacier lake monitoring in the project countries.

²³ http://unrcca.unmissions.org/Portals/unrcca/Articles%20and%20Publications/Glacier_book_ENG.pdf

- Key areas for each participating country were suggested as potential project sites, but more information needs to be obtained *in situ*.
- To analyse and evaluate existing projects/data/situation in the field of GLOFs.
- To recommend undertaking a socio-economic analysis of risks for the population and infrastructure in the potential project sites.
- To promote education/training for local communities in the potential project sites, as well as strengthen the training of specialists in the field of glacier lake monitoring and installation of EWS.

The project proposal has been prepared in accordance with prevailing National Sustainable Development Strategies, Adaptation Plans and DRR strategies. Given the potential participation of all relevant Central Asian countries, a wide range of relevant national development programs and plans of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan were studied to identify the countries' main priorities and needs in the field of CCA, which form the basis of the project concept.

Stakeholder Consultations: Project Concept Stage

Capacity and needs assessments were conducted by UNESCO starting in late 2014, during and following the above UNESCO co-organized seminars held on the topic of Glacier Melting and Climate Change, implemented in close cooperation with relevant government and scientific counterparts in DRR and water from the region.

From September 2015 to July 2017 additional consultations were conducted with preselected potential project partners in countries and international partners/experts in the area in the course of various meetings. Ensuring that the identified area for action would meet the priorities and needs of the Governments and receive support from key national partners was crucial. For this purpose, UNESCO held consultative meetings with number of international actors involved in DRR and CCA active in each country, but notably national institutions that are involved in this subject (Ministries of Emergency Situations, State Agencies on Environment Protection and Forestry, Academy of Sciences, research institutions, NGOs). Separate consultations on specifically on the project proposal were held in Kyrgyzstan (including a discussion of potential pilot communities) in February 2017 and in Tajikistan in April 2017. All these consultations proved successful and received full support by key national partners and relevant government letter for the project concept was received by the Committee on Emergency Situations, Ministry of Internal Affairs of Kazakhstan in July 2016.

Stakeholder Consultations: Project Formulation Stage

Stakeholder consultations during the project formulation have been broad and robust. UNESCO has held multiple meetings with government stakeholders in participating countries in 2018 and 2019, and it has also met with a variety of CSOs, including regional organizations and national and regional environmental NGOs.

Community consultations in vulnerable communities were also held in each country in March and April 2019. The consultations covered a total of 20 villages in four countries with a combined population of more than 85,000. Nearly 250 people (including local government officials, NGOs representatives, and villagers) participated in 7 community consultations in mountainous areas: 2 consultations covering 2 villages in Kazakhstan; 2 consultations covering 2 villages in Kyrgyzstan; 1 consultation covering 14 villages in Tajikistan; and 2 consultations covering 2 villages in Uzbekistan. More than seven nationalities were represented among the participants. Most of the consultations included

awareness-raising presentations and question-and-answer sessions in addition to the completion of structured questionnaires by participants. Women formed 38% of the participants in the community stakeholder consultations.

In addition, the project consulted a group of 30 university students and young researchers in March 2019 at a DRR-related meeting in Almaty, administering the stakeholder questionnaire and discussing potential participation for emerging researchers under project activities.

It should be noted that the entire consultation and project drafting process was guided by the AF recommended approach as described in the AF documents: Results Framework and Baseline Guidance - Project Level, Environmental and Social Policy of November 1003 and the Instructions for Preparing a Request for Project Funding of November 2013. Thereby special attention has been given to the inclusion of marginalized groups, women, ethnic minorities, indigenous people.

Finally, on July 24, 2019, UNESCO organized a Stakeholder Validation Workshop in Almaty, Kazakhstan. A list of participants is included in Annex 2. Representatives from all participating countries provided current information on government and non-governmental initiatives, and representatives from the pilot communities also attended the workshop. Stakeholders expressed their support for the project, and no serious objections were raised. Participants emphasized the need for outreach and awareness raising at the level of governmental decision-makers, and several participants emphasized the importance of using the science-based assessments in the first two project components to underpin the activities in the other three components. The discussion also resulted in the identification of the CESDRR Centre as a conduit for information, and its annual inter-ministerial forums to act as a mechanism to exchange project findings for policy making.

Stakeholder Involvement

The following table presents a list of project stakeholder, their involvement in the project's design and preparation, and their proposed role in project implementation. Annex 2 provides additional, more detailed information about meetings with stakeholders, including formal consultations, and a summary of their input and feedback.

|--|

Stakeholder	Relevance to Project / Involvement in Project Design	Proposed Role in the Project
Country-Level St	akeholders	
Kazakhstan		
Ministry of Ecology, Geology and Natural Resources (Department of Climate Policy and Green Technologies)	Department of Climate Policy and Green Technologies of the Ministry of Ecology, Geology and Natural Resources is an institutional focal point for the UNFCCC in Kazakhstan. The Ministry has been consulted and has contributed to the formulation of the project.	As the designated authority for the AF, the Department will liaise with the project, as necessary, on matters related to the project implementation.
Committee for Emergency Situations,	Committee carries out functions in the field of civil protection related to Disaster Management and Emergency Response against natural and	Focal Point on Disaster Risk Reduction activities in the Republic of

Ministry of Internal Affairs	man-made emergencies, the provision of emergency medical and psychological assistance to the population, fire safety and civil defense organization of the Republic of Kazakhstan. The Committee is tasked with formation and implementation of state policy in the field of civil protection, as well as the implementation of intersectoral coordination in this area. The Committee was consulted on the development of the project.	Kazakhstan.
Kazhydromet	Kazhydromet is a national institution with a monitoring network including water observation points. This government agency is also authorized to issue disaster warnings. Kazhydromet is actively involved in projects related to climate change and contributes to the implementation of reporting under the UNFCCC. Kazhydromet has been consulted during formulation of the project.	The project will support communication, coordination and information sharing with Kazhydromet.
Kazseleza- shchita	Kazselzashchita is a state agency under the Committee for Emergency Situations with its regional departments. The agency focuses on disaster prevention and response for mudslides, avalanches, and landslides. It carries out preventive engineering measures and maintains and repairs public works designed to prevent natural disasters, including a focus on moraine and glacier lakes. The agency has been consulted and has contributed to the formulation of the project.	State agency "Kazselezashchita" will be involved in the development, launch and implementation of the EWS in Kazakhstan.
Institute of Geography of the Academy of Sciences of Kazakhstan	The Institute conducts several lines of research that are directly relevant to the project. The Institute also houses a Department of Glaciology, which conducts year-round monitoring and research at three remote stations in the Northern Tien Shen mountain range. Research focuses on snow-ice and water resources in a changing climate. The Institute has been consulted and has contributed to the formulation of the project	The Institute of Geography will be involved in conducting a scientific assessment of the hazards and risks of the GLOFs.
Civil Society Organizations (CSOs)	CSOs provide important links to local communities and have already played a role in project preparation. For example, the Public Fund "Center "Cooperation for Sustainable Development" (CSD) organized a series of community-based consultations for vulnerable communities at risk of GLOF, living in the Nauryzbai district of Almaty city, as well as in the Esik and Talgar towns of the Almaty region.	CSOs will be involved in conducting community- level activities in the pilot areas, in particular, trainings at the local level of the communities at risk from GLOFs.
Selected local governments	In the framework of the project formulation, consultations were held with local communities living in the Nauryzbai district of Almaty city, as well as in Esik and Talgar towns of Almaty region, which are at risk of GLOF.	These governments have immediate responsibility for the welfare of the pilot communities. Local authorities will be involved

	Consultations were held with the participation and assistance of representatives of local authorities in Almaty city, Esik and Talgar towns. Local governments in the potential pilot communities provided their active support for the community consultations, and they provided demographic data that has been used in the project documentation.	in risk reduction and climate change adaptation planning at the local level.
Participating vulnerable communities	As part of the project preparation, in 2018– 2019, consultations were held with local communities living in areas at risk of GLOF: in the Nauryzbai district of Almaty city, as well as in Esik and Talgar towns of Almaty region. Vulnerable communities in Almaty, Esik and Talgar took direct part in consultations through questionnaires, verbal and written interviews and, thus, made a significant contribution to the formulation of the project proposal. Vulnerable communities are in the focus of this project. Communities have participated actively in the communities have participated actively in the community consultations described in Annex 2, and a community representative attended the July 2019 Stakeholder Validation Workshop.	Participating communities and vulnerable groups are the main consumers and beneficiaries of project outcomes. They will help to shape concrete measures for vulnerable communities and will provide and receive information related to the hazards and risk reduction.
Kyrgyzstan		
State Agency on Environment Protection and Forestry under the Government of the Kyrgyz Republic	The state agency is responsible for implementing and ensuring compliance with environmental policies and regulations in Kyrgyzstan. It oversees international environmental cooperation. It houses focal point of the UNFCCC. The Agency was consulted during the formulation of the project.	As the designated authority for the AF, the State Agency will liaise with the project as necessary on matters related to the project implementation.
Ministry of emergency situations of the Kyrgyz Republic	The Ministry is the state body responsible for DRR and emergency response in Kyrgyzstan. In the structure of the Ministry, there is the Department of Monitoring and Forecasting of Emergencies, as well as the Department for Prevention and Response of Consequences of Emergencies, aimed at preventing and responding to disasters. Consultations were held with the Ministry during project formulation	Focal Point on Disaster Risk Reduction activities in Kyrgyz Republic
Kyrgyzhydromet	Kyrgyzhydromet under the Ministry of Emergency Situations of Kyrgyzstan performs such functions as forecasting, modeling and research. Consultations were held with Kyrgyzhydromet during formulation of the project	The project will liaise, coordinate and exchange information with Kyrgyzhydromet.
Central Asian Institute for Applied Geosciences (CAIAG)	CAIAG is a non-profit scientific institution in the Kyrgyz Republic. Climate, water and geo- ecology are among the areas of CAIAG activity. The Institute has compiled and published a series of maps on hazardous natural processes and phenomena in	The institute will be involved in conducting a scientific assessment of GLOF hazards and risks and implementation of the EWS.

	Kyrgyzstan, which include hazards to GLOFs. Consultations were held with CAIAG during formulation of the project	
Institute of Water Problems and Hydro-Power, National Academy of Sciences	The institute is focused on conducting fundamental research and applied research in the field of rational use of water and water- energy potential of the Kyrgyz Republic. The Institute has developed a National Policy Strategy on the use of transboundary water resources, and also conducted research in the field of a modeling various hydrological processes.	The Institute will be involved in conducting a scientific assessment of the GLOF hazards and risks in collaboration with CAIAG.
Kyrgyz National University	The University is a large, well-equipped scientific and educational center of Kyrgyzstan, which is relying in its activities on advanced development and technology. It has a widely branched infrastructure for numerous educational and scientific programs, educational and laboratory facilities, educational and methodological, organizational and educational activities. The university successfully conducts research in the field of basic natural sciences, as well as social and human sciences. Consultations were held with the University during project development.	The University will be involved in the transfer of knowledge gained through the project to students and young professionals in the form of networking, capacity building and new educational modules and programs.
Local governments	In the framework of the project formulation, consultations were held with local communities living in areas at risk of GLOF: in the villages of Tosh-Bulak, Sokuluk District and Yuryevka Issyk-Ata District. Consultations were held with participation and assistance of representatives of local authorities. Local governments in the potential pilot communities provided their active support for the community consultations, and they provided demographic data that has been used in the project documentation.	These governments have immediate responsibility for the welfare of the pilot communities. Local authorities will be involved in risk reduction and climate change adaptation planning at the local level.
Participating vulnerable communities	In the framework of the project formulation, consultations were held with local communities living in areas at risk of GLOF: in the villages of Tosh-Bulak, Sokuluk District, and Yuryevka Issyk-Ata District. Vulnerable communities in the villages of Tosh-Bulak and Yuryevka were directly involved in the consultations and contributed to the formulation of the project proposal.	Participating communities and vulnerable groups are the main consumers and beneficiaries of project outcomes. They will help to shape measures for communities and will provide and receive information related to the hazards and risk reduction.
Tajikistan		
Committee for Environmental Protection under the Government of the Republic of Tajikistan	The Committee coordinates the activities of state bodies in the field of environmental protection, as well as state control over the use of natural resources, protection of land, mineral resources, forests, water and other resources. In the field of climate change, the Committee oversees the activities of the	As the designated authority for the AF, the Committee will liaise with the project as necessary on matters related to the project implementation.

	Hydrometeorology Agency. The Committee was consulted during the formulation of the project.	
Committee of Emergency Situations and Civil Defence under the Government of the Republic of Tajikistan	The Committee is a government agency tasked with disaster risk reduction and response. The Committee reviews and analyzes disaster risk assessments in the context of climate change, and has a department responsible for evacuation and resettlement. The Committee is based in Dushanbe city and has representatives in every region and district of the country. The Committee was consulted during the formulation of the project.	Focal Point on Disaster Risk Reduction activities in the Republic of Tajikistan.
Agency on Hydrometeorolo gy of the Republic of Tajikistan	As a National Focal Point for the UNFCCC, the Agency contributes to addressing climate change issues and coordinates climate change activities in the country. The Agency operates the Center for the Study of Climate Change and the Ozone Layer. The Center processes information and reports on all aspects related to climate research, mitigation of its changes and adaptation to them, which led to the development of a National Action Plan on Adaptation Strategy 2012-2030 The Agency was consulted during the formulation of the project.	The project will liaise, coordinate and exchange information with the Agency.
State Scientific Institution "Center for the Glaciers Study under the Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Tajikistan"	The Center conducts research in the field of glaciology in order to study and carry out continuous monitoring of glaciers and other water sources in the Republic of Tajikistan. The Center was consulted during the formulation of the project	The Center for the Study of Glaciers will be involved in conducting a scientific assessment of the hazards and risks of the GLOFs.
Institute of Geology, Earthquake Engineering and Seismology under the Academy of Sciences	The institute is currently the leading organization of the republic in scientific research of geological structure and minerals, seismology and seismic resistant construction. The Institute was consulted during the formulation of the project.	The project will liaise and exchange information with the Institute.
Institute of Water Problems, Hydropower and Ecology of the Academy of Sciences	The Institute conducts scientific research in the field of water resources, as well as the development of general energy and hydropower industry in Tajikistan. The structure of the Institute consists of several departments and laboratories, including the Laboratory of Climatology and Glaciology. Consultations were held with the Institute during project formulation	The Institute will be involved in ensuring the transfer of knowledge gained through the project to students and young professionals in the form of networking, capacity building and new educational modules and programs.

Ministry of Energy and Water Resources	The Ministry carries out activities to regulate the use and protection of water resources, as well as functions in the implementation of the country's water and energy policy. In addition, the Ministry coordinates the activities of various ministries and departments on the management, use and protection of water resources. Consultations were held with the Ministry during project formulation	In the framework of the project, communication and information exchange will be maintained with the Ministry.
Tajik National University	The University is a major educational, scientific and cultural center, which plays a large role in the development of science, education and culture, enhancing national identity and training highly qualified personnel. The University has faculties, research and educational laboratories that provide training in various fields, including the natural sciences, both fundamental and applied. Consultations were held with the University during project formulation	The University will be involved in the transfer of knowledge gained through the project to students and young professionals in the form of networking, capacity building and new educational modules and programs.
Aga Khan Agency for the Habitat (AKAH)	The Aga Khan Agency for the Habitat in Tajikistan is a member of the Aga Khan Development Organization. In order to respond to the growing hazards, posed by natural disasters and climate change, the Agency conducts various activities aimed at enhancing the preparedness and response of people living in high-risk disaster-prone areas. Consultations were held with the Aga Khan Habitat Agency during project formulation, including on the communities involvement	The Agency will be involved in conducting an assessment of hazards and risks from the GLOFs, as well as in conducting community-level activities in pilot areas.
Local governments	In the framework of the project formulation, consultations were held with local communities living in Shugnan region of the Gorno- Badakhshan Autonomous Region, which is at risk of GLOFs. Consultations were held with the participation and assistance of representatives of local authorities. Local governments in the potential pilot communities provided their active support for the community consultations, and they provided demographic data that has been used in the project documentation.	These governments have immediate responsibility for the welfare of the pilot communities. Local authorities will be involved in risk reduction and climate change adaptation planning at the local level.
Participating vulnerable communities	In the framework of the project formulation, consultations were held with local communities living in Shugnan region of the Gorno- Badakhshan Autonomous Region, which is at risk of GLOFs. Vulnerable communities from eight settlements / villages participated directly in the consultations, and contributed to the formulation of the project proposal	Participating communities and vulnerable groups are the main consumers and beneficiaries of project outcomes. They will help to shape measures for communities and will provide and receive information related to the hazards and risk reduction.

Uzbekistan		
Center of Hydrometeorolo gical Service under the Ministry of Emergency Situations of the Republic of Uzbekistan (UzHydromet)	The mandate of this government agency focuses on hydro-meteorological forecasting, and it issues disaster warnings in case of extreme weather events. The tasks of the Center include the development and improvement of the state system of hydrometeorological observations, hydrometeorological support of economic sectors, research, improvement of short-term and long-term weather forecasting, river flow, climate change. UzHydromet also works in research related to climate change and reporting on the UNFCCC. Consultations were held with UzHydromet during project formulation.	As the designated authority for the AF, Uzhydromet will liaise with the project as necessary on matters related to the project implementation. The project will maintain communication, coordination and exchange of information with UzHydromet.
Ministry of Emergency Situations	The Ministry is the central government body that manages and coordinates work in the field of civil protection, prevention and response to emergency situations, caused by accidents and natural disasters. It is responsible for overseeing and coordinating government disaster relief efforts. Consultations were held with the Ministry during project formulation.	Focal Point on Disaster Risk Reduction activities in the Republic of Uzbekistan
Institute of Geology and Geophysics under the State Committee on Geology and Mineral Resources	The Institute is a multidisciplinary scientific institution in which scientists solve topical and applied problems of geology, glacial geology, geoecology, geophysics, and other related areas. The Institute continues to make a significant contribution to the development of the fundamentals of geology and the expansion of the mineral resource base of the country. Consultations were held with the Institute during project formulation.	The Institute will be involved in conducting a scientific assessment of the hazards and risks of GLOFs. In addition, the Institute will be involved in conducting activities at the local community level in the pilot areas.
National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek	The National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek is one of the leading higher educational institutions of the country. About fifty scientific schools operate in the University. For the implementation of the inextricable connection of theory and practice in the process of teaching at the University, the material and technical base of three sites for field practice, thirty-two research and training laboratories, three educational and experimental centers, one inter-university scientific laboratory and two rare objects is being strengthened. To date, the University has trained over one hundred thousand specialists in various fields of knowledge, making a significant contribution to the staffing of various sectors of the economy of Uzbekistan. Consultations were held with the University during project formulation.	The University will be involved in the transfer of knowledge gained through the project to students and young professionals in the form of networking, capacity building and new educational modules and programs.
Local government in the project site	As part of the project formulation, consultations were held with local communities living in the Tepar and Pskem villages that are at risk of	i nese governments have immediate responsibility for the welfare of the pilot

area	GLOFs. Consultations were held with the participation and assistance of representatives of local authorities. Local governments in the potential pilot communities provided their active support for the community consultations, and they provided demographic data that has been used in the project documentation.	communities. Local authorities will be involved in risk reduction and climate change adaptation planning at the local level.
Participating vulnerable communities	As part of the project formulation, consultations were held with local communities living in areas at risk of GLOFs - in the villages of Tepar and Pskem. In order to provide a better understanding and assessment of the vulnerability of local communities to the effects of climate change, including the hazards of glacier lakes, a survey of the local population was conducted. Thus, vulnerable communities of the villages of Tepar and Pskem were directly involved in the consultations and contributed to the formulation of the project proposal.	Participating communities and vulnerable groups are the main consumers and beneficiaries of project outcomes. They will help to shape measures for communities and will provide and receive information related to hazards and risk reduction.
Regional and Inte	ernational Stakeholders	
Center for Emergency Situations and Disaster Risk Reduction (CESDRR)	The Center is a permanent interstate body, an international organization, established to ensure effective mechanisms for mitigating risks of emergency situations and mitigating their consequences, as well as stimulating regional and international cooperation. The tasks of the Center also include mitigating disaster risk factors, identifying, assessing and monitoring disaster risks and predicting them. The Center was consulted during the formulation of the project. UNESCO supported the CESDRR in establishing the Regional Science and Technology Council for Emergency Situations and Disaster Risk Reduction in Central Asia, which is designed to bridge the gap between science and policy in the field of the DRR.	CESDRR will be engaged as a platform for distribution of knowledge and lessons- learnt about the risks, from targeted demonstration projects. Its annual inter-ministerial forums on DRR will be used as a regional mechanism to exchange project findings for supporting policy making
University of Central Asia (UCA)	The University, which has a branch in Dushanbe and Khorog cities, has a research unit of mountain communities that conducts interdisciplinary research to support the development of mountain regions in Central Asia. The University also created a knowledge center for data and information related to the Central Asian mountain communities.	The project will maintain communication with the University and exchange information.
University of Zurich (Switzerland)	The University has a wide profile and long-term experience in glacier lakes research, including aspects of their formation, assessment and monitoring, as well as in the field of GLOF modeling and assessment of associated hazards, vulnerabilities and risks. The formulation process of the project proposal was coordinated with the University specialists, who were consulted repeatedly.	The University will be responsible for the implementation project components related to the EWS and associated with it.

UN agencies involved in the DRR and CCA areas	The UN office for Disaster Risk Reduction (UNDRR) has worked with the European Civil Protection and Humanitarian Aid Operations to support the development of a Plan of Action for implementing the Sendai Framework in Central Asia. ²⁴ UN country planning frameworks provide an opportunity to coordinate DRR and CCA activities across a range of hazards. UN agencies also implement a variety of CCA and DRR projects at the country and regional level. From CCA/DRR perspective, the GLOF project is at the intersection of climate change and disaster risk reduction issues and viewed through the prism of rising temperature in the mountains – glaciers melting process - formation of the new glacial lakes, with a potential breakthrough (GLOFs) – hazard to downstream living communities. Other UN agencies whose activities are specifically related to the project are the UNRCCA, UNICEF, UN Development Program, UN-Environment and UN-Women.	UNESCO's efforts in regional cooperation towards application of science in Central Asia is coherent to the UNISDR Plan of Action on Implementation of the Sendai Framework for Central Asian and South Caucasus region (Chapter IV, article 6) UNESCO's efforts conforms the UNISDR "The Sendai Seven Campaign" in terms of installation of the GLOFs EWS and the year of 2022, dedicated to the Target (g): Substantially increase the availability of and access to multi- hazard early warning systems and disaster risk information and assessments to people by 2030. The activities and expertise of the UNRCCA, UNICEF, UN Development Program, UN- Environment and UN- Women to some extent relate to individual components of the project and can be used in its implementation.
International Financial Institutions (IFIs)	The World Bank is currently implementing two projects that have potential synergies, one on hydromet strengthening and one on climate change knowledge and capacity strengthening (see Section G). Relevant areas include EWS in Kyrgyzstan (under the WB project: "Central Asia Hydrometeorological Service Modernization Project (CAHMP)." This activity includes the following: Creating an automatic monitoring system for previously identified and assessing high mountain lakes with high risk of GLOF. Installation of a system for automatic monitoring of water levels in lakes and other meteorological parameters, which provides data on the on the state of risky lakes in the	Coordination of activities and synergies with IFI projects.

²⁴ UNISDR, ECHO (2016): Plan of Action: Implementation of the Sendai Framework for Disaster Risk Reduction 2015-2030 in Central Asia and South Caucasus Region.

	real time, helping to population in the lower territories. Real-time monitoring data will be available to both Kyrgyzhydromet and the Ministry of Emergency Situations, which, in turn, is responsible for preparedness and forecasting of the potential floods. This will be associated with preventive evacuation measures in case of an increased risk of flooding. Under component B.2.5, the following activities are envisaged: Establishment of a mobile response team for monitoring lakes, glaciers and dangerous places with increased risk. Purchase of laptops, mountaineering equipment, photo and video cameras, binoculars, GPS navigators, portable weather stations, steam drills for ice, communications equipment (radio stations, satellite phones), theodolites, tents, sleeping bags, camp utensils, special clothing and other devices life support. Staff training will also be conducted. Such a unit will allow quickly assess dangerous situations and assist in taking immediate preventive measures to reduce the hazards	
Bilateral development agencies	Bilateral development agencies, such as the German Society for International Cooperation (GIZ, Germany) and the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC).	The project will maintain constant communication with bilateral development agencies through direct communication and participation in donor forums. In addition, interaction will be established with ongoing GIZ and SDC projects and initiatives implemented in the region.
Research institutes and universities outside of the Central Asia	Moscow State University, University of Reading, University of Fribourg and others who have relevant data and experience in the project's objectives, taking into account their previous and current research initiatives.	Enhancement and fostering of regional collaboration through cost-effective joint training and education programmes (see Output 1.3).
International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD)	ICIMOD conducts research in Himalayan mountainous areas that is directly relevant to the project on topics ranging from climate change adaptation in mountain areas to the differentiated impacts of climate change on women and men. ICIMOD also has extensive experience in modelling glacier change and measuring glacier mass and loss.	The project will share information and best practices with ICIMOD on an ongoing basis
Private Sector Companies	The private sector manufactures equipment that is necessary for the pilot EWS systems.	The project will procure the hardware and communications

equipment necessary for the pilot EWS system from private sector
company/ies.

J. Provide justification for funding requested, focusing on the full cost of adaptation reasoning.

Component 1: Strengthening national and regional capacity to monitor and assess GLOF hazards

Baseline (without AF resources):

The Soviet Union had a long tradition in glaciology and a strong hydro-meteorological monitoring system with well-trained specialists. After the fall of the Soviet Union this system collapsed and the CA states found themselves left alone with the consequence that most research and monitoring of glaciers ceased for decades. With financial and technical support of IFIs and bi-lateral aid agencies the situation changed slowly with the result that knowledge about climate change and the effects of glacier melting in the CA mountains became available: "The situation is alarming - glaciers melt at a very fast rate". Today no comprehensive or homogenous first order mapping and monitoring program on the regional level has been implemented, and databases on glacier lakes remain unequally developed and maintained.

The lack of a regional approach to monitoring glacial lake development means that the threats are not addressed equally and there is no common basis for the design of response and adaptation strategies. Without a common regional approach, lakes which may provoke far-reaching or transboundary floods have not been systematically addressed. Monitoring systems are installed sporadically. The level of expertise within local responsible authorities remains unequal both between countries as well as between areas with different population levels. The involvement and capacity of the young scientists, especially women, remains extremely low.

Adaptation alternative (with AF funding):

In conjunction with greater regional cooperation in the framework of this project, the strengthening of relevant institutions will take place for developing strategies towards hazards of a trans-boundary nature, such as GLOFs. Responsible authorities extend their capabilities beyond simply monitoring known threats, to the anticipation of where new potentially hazardous lakes will emerge as glaciers continue to retreat, allowing adaptation planning to be forward looking, and avoiding possible maladaptation. Rapidly emerging threats are identified and a common approach to monitoring and reporting lake developments is implemented across the region, with particular emphasis given to transboundary catchments. The causes and processes leading to hazardous lake formation are understood and communicated to a wide-range of stakeholders. Engaging communities within the knowledge generation process enhances their understanding of the changing natural environment in which they live, and raises their awareness of the threats that are faced. In this manner, knowledge and awareness become the foundation for building resilience and reducing societal vulnerabilities. Regional workshops and joint training will enable knowledge to be exchanged and methodologies fine-tuned based on local experiences.

Capacity building of the next generation of young scientists ensures the long-term sustainability of the monitoring programmes across the region.

Component 2: Strengthening sub-national, national, and regional policies and approaches to address the needs of vulnerable communities

Baseline (without AF resources):

No comprehensive or homogeneous first order GLOF risk assessment has been completed for Central Asia, meaning that some significant threats could remain undetected. Without a common assessment framework, the regional distribution of GLOF risk remains unclear and transboundary threats have not been systematically assessed. This may have prevented capacity building programs and other adaptation initiatives from reaching the most endangered or marginal communities and sectors, where potential losses from GLOFs could be greatest. Consideration has not been given to the possible changes in frequency and magnitude of future GLOF events, or change in exposure of communities and infrastructure, that will result from the growth and formation of new lakes over coming decades.

Adaptation alternative (with AF funding):

A first homogeneous assessment of GLOF risk across the participating countries, providing the scientific basis for informed and collaborative adaptation planning. Risk hot spots are identified under both current and future climatic conditions, allowing adaptation resources to be targeted to those locations where society or sectors can benefit most. Within these hot spots, local hazard maps created for both current and future conditions, and exposed communities engaged with local authorities to develop a set of possible adaptation solutions, which are firmly embedded and supported within the local context. Transboundary risks identified and communicated across partner countries.

Component 3: Design and launch of EWS and risk reduction measures tailored to local contexts

Baseline (without AF resources):

The country preparedness and capacity of risk mitigation remains uneven including country capacity to react in case of the transboundary threats. Information for local communities is dispersed unevenly, and threats to remote and indigenous communities remain higher in all countries. Usually in CA the ministries have disaster management departments at national as well as province level and, in some cases, district level. However, a common problem is the general lack of equipment and finance available to these entities. Furthermore, despite the large amount of information that is available to them, resources and working practices are outdated. Another issue involves forecasting departments which although they are included within the ministries and have a good technical understanding of disaster prevention and preparedness, they lack a practical approach and coordination. As indicated by Tajik authorities, there is a lack of EWS on geohazards and water-related hazards. Moreover, those that exist are not properly translated into the local context, and local populations (local communities are not educated) especially the most vulnerable, remain at a highest risk from these hazards.

Adaptation alternative (with AF funding):

Institutional mechanisms and framework conditions for effective DRR are established in all four countries, enabling transboundary hazards to be addressed as well. For the selected sites (one in each country) an adequate EWS solution is designed and implementation plans are elaborated, based on the findings of component 2 and taking into account the local knowledge as well as the needs of the potentially affected

population. On the local and regional level, roles and responsibilities related to the designed EWS are clarified between involved authorities to ensure better coordination. The established institutional mechanisms not only allow for the successful implementation of the designed GLOF EWS, but also provide the required framework conditions for effective DRR in general. Local populations, especially the most vulnerable are engaged, informed, educated, and develop GLOFs resilience.

Component 4: Targeted demonstration projects to introduce technologies and best practices for EWS for glacier lakes

Baseline (without AF resources):

The capacity of local research and public institutions, as well as companies will continue to evolve only slowly and sporadically.

There is currently a lack of complex, comprehensive and coordinated EWS in Central Asia. Lake monitoring, for example, uses helicopters in Kazakhstan and Tajikistan. However, no complex and coordinated system exists up to date in each of the countries. Besides the EWS response protocols remains outdated and uncoordinated between the countries.

Adaptation alternative (with AF funding):

At one site a state-of-the-art EWS for GLOFs has been implemented in collaboration with the local private sector. The EWS is operated by the responsible authorities, which were capacitated and trained for the operational service and maintenance. Financing for longterm maintenance is assured. Authorities and local population are well-trained to respond immediately and appropriately if a warning is activated. This demonstration EWS serves as an example for information and capacity building of authorities from the other two countries, and lessons learnt from this implementation can be transferred and scaled up to other potential EWS implementation sites in CA and beyond.

Component 5: Knowledge exchange, stakeholder engagement, and communication

Baseline (without AF resources): Despite numerous international/regional meetings and conferences, institutionalised knowledge exchange between countries/stakeholders remains very low, especially between responsible government bodies. Modern communication media (e.g., web-based knowledge exchange platforms) have not been developed in the context of CCA and disaster risk management. Emergency preparedness remains uneven between communities, especially for those communities for which the level of threat is currently deemed low, but which might change in the future.

Adaptation alternative (with AF funding):

Risks associated with the rapidly changing cryosphere are elevated to be both a focus and stimuli for regional cooperation and exchange in the area of CCA across Central Asia. All key stakeholders have access to the knowledge and information needed for robust adaptation planning. Technical capacities and financial strategies are in place to ensure the long-term maintenance and sustainability of monitoring and adaptation strategies, and to ensure knowledge exchange mechanisms (e.g., the web-platform) remain active and accessible to all stakeholders. Permanent mechanisms are in place to ensure that local knowledge continues to feed into the decision-making process, and vice-versa, enhanced communication is maintained between the authorities and communities. Lessons learned and best practices established from the implemented project serve as a template for regional-scale CCA projects across high mountains in Asia, and more globally.

K. Describe how the sustainability of the project / programme outcomes has been taken into account when designing the project / programme.

The project idea and design is the result of a long process, which started during consultations with CA governments and discussions during conferences and national and local consultations over the last three years. From the very beginning sustainability was the guiding principle when defining objectives, expected outcomes, outputs and activities of this project.

The project builds on existing institutions and will work out accepted mechanisms for efficient DRR action. Involvement of and close collaboration with Governments and responsible regional and national and local authorities at all stages of the project are crucial as well as collaboration with and involvement of the local population from the beginning of the design throughout the entire implementation. The involvement of school children in local educational programmes, as well as strengthening knowledge of students - future researchers in Central Asia - also contributes towards sustainability in addressing GLOF risks.

The following strategies and lines of action are planned to secure sustainability of the EWS:

Sustainability must be ensured along three main components of the EWS: technical, institutional, and social components. Full sustainability can only be achieved when all three components are sustainable on their own but also in relation to the other two:

1. Sustainability on a technical level requires appropriate technical instruments for the local conditions, both in terms of physical high-mountain environment and local technical capacities available at the sites and in the region. The technical components of the EWS need to be adapted to local capacities, including to a level that capacities can be built and strengthened within the project.

2. Sustainability on an institutional level is particularly important and the frequent source of failure as past experience demonstrates. Technical capacities of the responsible institutions are again an important element but not sufficient. Clear responsibilities need to be defined during the process of the design and implementation of the EWS. Key is the long-term maintenance of the EWS and for this purpose financial and personnel resources need to be planned and secured in the budgets of the local or national institutions. Budget restrictions need to be taken into account from the beginning of the project.

3. Sustainability on the social level can only be achieved when the EWS has high acceptance within the local population. Their involvement from the beginning is critical to achieve this objective. The social conditions need to be carefully analysed from the beginning and strategies be defined to achieve long-term acceptance and support by the local affected population. Ownership is the ultimate objective.

4. The following aspects, derived from different project components, will also contribute to the sustainability of the project:

- Capacity development at multiple levels will ensure that the countries are well equipped and motivated to maintain long-term, sustainable adaptation strategies implemented under this project.
- Education, capacity building and twinning programmes developed and implemented in local universities, will ensure that young local scientists are equipped with fundamental knowledge regarding the cryosphere, glacier lakes, and related hazards.
- Identifying a company/institute, if possible locally, to take over the technical engineering, including the acquisition of suitable equipment, the construction of the EWS stations, and the electronic and software engineering for the data transfer, processing and storages, is also foreseen.
- A maintenance and financing strategy will be developed during the project for ensuring long-term sustainability of the EWS in the countries.

The urgency of the matter is also underlined by an increasing number of natural hazards, which for example took place in the Panj River basin along the Tajik-Afghan border in 2017. Entire villages were buried by mudflows, the river changed its course, and the Pamir highway was blocked for 10 days. The dangers are real and the governments in the region recognize that non-action is more expensive than action and they ask the international community to make knowledge, information and experience available. Because of more and more reoccurring water-related hazards the governments are increasingly concerned and therefore projects to monitoring of glacier lakes, thus adapt to climate change, will become a high priority and subsequently will lead to sustainability.

L. Provide an overview of the environmental and social impacts and risks identified as being relevant to the project / programme.

Checklist of environmental and social principles	No further assessment required for compliance	Potential impacts and risks – further assessment and management required for compliance
Compliance with the Law	The project is in compliance with all applicable domestic and international laws.	The project will comply with all local and national laws and has consulted with all participating governments and pilot communities regarding its proposed activities. The ESMP for the project takes explicit steps to safeguard project activities that involve construction and/or earthworks that may require environmental assessment and / or permitting.
Access and Equity	The project will contribute to access and equity in a positive way.	The project and its activities do not impede access of any group to the essential services and rights indicted in the principle, nor does it exacerbate existing inequities. On the contrary, marginalized and vulnerable groups in the targeted mountainous areas will benefit from the project through the provision of knowledge and participation in project activities.

Table 4: Overview of potential environmental and social impacts and risks

Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups		Impacts on these groups will be positive as particularly women and children and marginalised communities, such as socio-economically disadvantaged, ethnic minorities, will be strongly involved in activities. Vulnerable groups have been consulted in preliminary on- site community assessments (see Annex 2) and in Components 2, 3, 4, and 5 of the project. Project indicators include participation and quality of engagement by members of vulnerable groups in project activities to ensure that these groups realize an equitable share of project benefits.
Human Rights	Through knowledge, high visibility, participation and transparency the project will make a positive contribution to the promotion of international human rights	
Gender Equity and Women's Empowerment		Men and women will participate fully and equitably. Women will be consulted in on-site, in-depth community assessments at the project preparation stage. An Initial Gender Assessment and Gender Action Plan have been developed to ensure that women are meaningfully engaged in project activities and realize an equitable share of project benefits (see Annex 3). Specific project indicators will ensure that results-based management will cover meaningful participation of both women and men.
Core Labour Rights	Core labour standards will be respected.	The project will involve national experts, staff members, and partners. ILO standards will be respected in all aspects of employment for all personnel engaged with the project. The project manager will assume responsibility for ensuring that these standards are upheld.
Indigenous Peoples		The project staff and management will work with local authorities to guarantee participation by indigenous peoples. Indigenous peoples have been identified, and the project will utilize similar approaches to their involvement in activities and benefits as with other women and other vulnerable groups. During stakeholder consultations, it was confirmed that project intervention measures have been designed so as to guard against any effect on rights, property, and settlement, natural and cultural heritages of indigenous peoples. UNESCO's policy on engaging with indigenous people will be consulted and applied in all relevant contexts. The project will also build awareness on

		indigenous peoples' rights as applicable to this initiative, and it will document mutually accepted outcomes where relevant.
Involuntary Resettlement	There is no involuntary resettlement planned	
Protection of Natural Habitats	As a side effect of the project, the natural habitat will be protected.	
Conservation of Biological Diversity	There will be no reduction or loss of biological diversity due to project activities, and the project will not introduce known invasive species.	
Climate Change	Small, positive influences on climate change are anticipated due to flood risk reduction measures, such as flood-plain reforestation, green spaces, etc., which will have a positive CO ² balance.	
Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency	Project activities or impacts are not applicable to this principle.	
Public Health		Injuries and loss of life will be prevented through the flood DRR strategies implemented in this project.
Physical and Cultural Heritage	Project activities or impacts are not applicable to this principle.	Village and community infrastructure, including cultural assets will be protected through DRR strategies implemented in this project.
Lands and Soil Conservation	As a consequence of the project soil conservation will take place and the degradation of productive lands prevented.	

Based on a comprehensive screening and dialogue with stakeholders at the community and national level, none of the proposed activities in the project have the potential to generate negative impacts or risks that would fall into Category A of the Adaptation Fund's impact classification. Project activities with potential (limited) adverse impact are small-scale, community-based, and localized. They will be co-managed with local communities where possible. Communities will have a stake in avoiding negative environmental and social impacts. Cascading and/or cumulative negative impacts are highly unlikely. Proposed project activities requiring additional environmental screening represent a minor part of the project, and no-impact measures and measures with co-benefits for the environment (e.g. slope tree planting for slope stabilization) will be prioritized.

Based on an assessment of the impact of and risks associated with the proposed interventions as outlined above, the project is classified as "B" in accordance with the Adaptation Fund guidance on impact classification. Monitoring for unexpected environmental

or social impacts is included under project M&E activities (see ESMP 8 under Section III.C) and will be reported on annually.

PART III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

A. Describe the arrangements for project / programme management at the regional and national level, including coordination arrangements within countries and among them. Describe how the potential to partner with national institutions, and when possible, national implementing entities (NIEs), has been considered, and included in the management arrangements.

This project will be implemented by the UNESCO Cluster Office in Almaty in collaboration with the Governments of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan and in partnership with the University of Zurich, Switzerland, as well as the participation of local, national and regional institutions and authorities.

A unique strength of this project will be the integration of international expertise and experience from the Swiss partners, with regional and local experts in Central Asia under the auspices of UNESCO, thus highlighting the North-South cooperation. To optimise the exchange of knowledge and capacity building between partners, all project activities will be implemented with teams consisting of experts at various levels.

As the UN specialized agency in the sciences, UNESCO aims at developing a deeper scientific comprehension of the occurrence and distribution of natural hazards in time and space. In fact, UNESCO is mandated to facilitate and promote the use of science and technology to contribute to disaster risk reduction (DRR) and conflict resolution. Reinforcing scientific cooperation is a key element for improving capacity for disaster reduction. By operating at the interface between natural and social sciences, education, culture and communication, UNESCO plays a vital role in constructing a global culture of resilient communities. The Organization is closely involved in the conceptual shift in thinking away from post-disaster reaction to pre-disaster action. UNESCO has many scientific programmes in place that deal with the study of natural hazards and the mitigation of their effects. UNESCO is committed to the Sendai Framework and operates in accordance with its four Priorities for Action.

UNESCO also works to build the scientific knowledge base to help countries manage their water resources in a sustainable way through the UNESCO International Hydrological Programme (IHP). In close cooperation with scientists worldwide, the IHP plays a vital role to establish a scientific and technological base for the sustainable management of water resources threatened by global climate change. The IHP strategy (Phase VIII, 2014-2020), "Water Security: Responses to Local, Regional and Global Challenges" among other themes is focusing on water-related disasters. UNESCO is coordinating projects and activities at global level on scientific collaboration including monitoring glaciers, snow and permafrost conditions and evaluating the implications of climate change on water resources and will provide feedback to develop appropriate adaptive strategies that countries need. In particular, UNESCO is engaged in supporting capacity building activities in glacier monitoring. It has been co-organizing and cosponsoring trainings for young specialists from the CA (both in Tien Shan and Pamir mountains) and Andean region in the methods of glaciological monitoring for determining the parameters of glaciers mass balance. Two summer schools on "Glacier Mass Balance Measurements and Analysis for young researchers from Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan

and Russia" and "Permafrost and Potentially Dangerous Glacier Lakes", were held in July - August 2016 in Kyrgyzstan, supported by UNESCO.

The University of Zurich, which will execute designated activities and support in-country organizations in others. University of Zurich, due to its extensive and interdisciplinary research and teaching in the field of climate change, has been selected by the United Nations Academic Impact (UNAI) as the global hub for SDG 13 'climate action' (https://academicimpact.un.org/content/sdg-hubs). The group of the University of Zurich has longstanding experience and a scientific track record in glacier lake science, including aspects of past and future lake formation, lake and slope instability assessment and monitoring, both on the ground and by remote sensing methods, GLOF modelling, as well as assessment of hazards, vulnerabilities and risks. Recently, the University of Zurich has been instrumental in designing and implementing GLOF early warning systems in the Andes of Peru, in close collaboration with national and local partners from the public and private sectors and governmental authorities. Further recent expertise is also available from collaborations on GLOFs in Pakistan, India or Tajikistan, including capacity building of local experts, both governmental and non-governmental. Recognising this long-standing expertise, University of Zurich led in 2017 an international working group in the development of guidelines for glacier hazard and risk assessment²⁵ Currently the University of Zurich team is consulting the Government of India for the development of guidelines for the management of glacier related risks in the Indian Himalayan region.

Based on past experiences and accumulated knowledge, University of Zurich will ensure the design and implementation of the early warning system and associated training and capacity building components are in line with international best practices. Experts from Zurich will closely mentor the local engineering partners on a technical level, both in the field and through regular teleconferences. Furthermore, University of Zurich will have a lead role in various training workshops with local authorities, academic institutions, and during regional exchange workshops (see outputs 1.3 and 5.3), thus facilitating the transfer of technical knowledge to the ground level. The establishment of best-practice guidance documents/handbooks are highlighted across several components of the project, as part of the overall strategy to ensure expert knowledge is institutionalised, and to support the long term maintenance of the implemented adaptation actions.

The project technical and scientific activities will be conducted under the guidance of the UNESCO staff in UNESCO Almaty Office in consultation with UNESCO Headquarters in Paris and in cooperation with the UNESCO National Office in Tashkent (for Uzbekistan). UNESCO will have responsibility to secure the establishment and supervision of the Project Management Unit (PMU) that will be located in the UNESCO Almaty Office.

Financial management of the funding will be the responsibility of UNESCO. It will manage the funds in accordance with its financial rules and regulations, monitor expenditures, and maintain fiscal oversight of all expenditures.

The management structure will be as follows:

Project Steering Committee (PSC). The PSC will be established, which will provide strategic guidance for the implementation of the project. The PSC will be chaired by UNESCO and will include one senior government official from each country, UNESCO

²⁵ GAPHAZ 2017: Assessment of Glacier and Permafrost Hazards in Mountain Regions – Technical Guidance Document. Prepared by Allen, S., Frey, H., Huggel, C. et al. Standing Group on Glacier and Permafrost Hazards in Mountains (GAPHAZ) of the International Association of Cryospheric Sciences (IACS) and the International Permafrost Association (IPA). Zurich, Switzerland / Lima, Peru, 72 pp.

representatives, a representative of the main international implementing partners. The PSC will oversee project execution and will act as the main policy guidance body for the project.

The Project Management Unit (PMU) which will be based in UNESCO Almaty Office, will have the following tasks:

- co-ordinating institutional arrangements for management of the activities in the participating countries, the information sharing committee and the steering committee;
- co-ordinating policy and legislative development regarding GLOF;
- development of the KM and communication strategy;
- conducting and overseeing awareness and education activities;
- ensuring that possible partner agency programmes are fully integrated into the project framework;
- monitoring the results of the demonstration projects and supporting their integration into wider development programmes;
- monitoring technical assistance provided by the contracting agencies, including all institutional strengthening services provided to local communities and government bodies;
- conducting and monitoring all training activities;
- ensuring linkages to regional GLOF activities;
- reviewing annual work plans;
- developing the KM system.

An **Information and Experience Sharing Committee (IESC)** will be established as part of the project and will represent a hub for international cooperation within the project's context and beyond. It will have an inter-ministerial nature, formed by high level representatives of the various governmental agencies/ministries of the four countries involved in CCA and DRR. The IESC will be organized according to the following guidelines:

- The IESC will be convened on an as-needed basis (at least once annually) to exchange information on project approaches and findings in the four participating countries and from other projects in other regions as relevant.
- As both governments and CSOs will participate, the IESC will also be used to identify any situations in future projects where there may be potential overlap or duplication so that these situations can be resolved at a very early stage.
- The IESC will be kept informed on activities and outputs in all components of the projects with a view to their completeness and viability in current operating conditions.
- The IESC members will be nominated by the Project Board and the Project Manager.
- The UNESCO Almaty cluster office will function as the Secretariat of the IESC.
- Participation in the IESC will not be renumerated by the project and is considered an in-kind contribution by government agencies and other organizations.
- Participation in the IESC does not carry any expectation of employment with the project or with UNESCO.

National Execution Teams (NET) will be established in each of the four project countries. The NET will be headed by a country coordinator and one to four national experts. Country coordinators will assist the Project Manager in coordinating project activities, they will also assist in securing regular engagement and coordination with the regional and local organizations, institutions and authorities involved in project

implementation. They will be chosen among candidates nominated by the countries on the basis of agreed criteria.

B. Describe the measures for financial and project / programme risk management.

Mitigation measures against unexpected circumstances will be considered in the full project design, which will be defined through an inception phase undertaken with all involved stakeholders. Potential risks are summarized in the following table.

Risks:	Level	Mitigation measures/strategy
Financial and Economic		
 The overall economic situation is deteriorating, and migrant workers are returning to Central Asia and governments in the region do not consider DRR a high priority any more. Governments reduce their funding for DRR 	Low	The project will ensure that the necessity for DRR is continuously emphasized through public awareness campaigns, meetings with specialists and decision makers. Parliamentary committees will be kept educated through translation of project results into policy briefs.
Technical		
 The proposed technical solutions might prove to be too ambitious. The web-based management/content management system might face acceptance problems. There is a lack of internet access in rural areas. 	Low-Med	Early involvement of stakeholders in project planning will ensure that solutions will meet their expectations and requirements. The main EWS strategy will be planned in a way that a low-tech solution will be available, too. Instead of only internet-based solutions, a 3G / 4G solution will be considered. The project will lobby for affordable internet access in mountainous areas.
Social and Political	l	
• The political and security situation in pilot districts may affect project implementation or weaken the interest of stakeholders to address adaptation planning issues.	Low	Project implementation is based on a combination of field-based investigations and remotely based monitoring. Can be tailored in the event of political or security instability.
• Lack of incentives for local communities to cooperate in activities that do not yield immediate results, but aim at longer-term resilience, may reduce stakeholder engagement and		The project will emphasize DRR strategies that bring both immediate and longer- term benefits; e.g., "no- regrets" adaptation strategies. Early and ongoing engagement with

Table 5: Project Risks and Proposed Risk Mitigation Strategies

 strong participation. Implementing partners for local level initiatives and pilot sites for project implementation may shift during project implementation, due to unforeseen (e.g. political, lack of interest) reasons. Hazard and risk mapping can lead to marginalised and vulnerable communities being potentially victimised, when their land-holdings or habitations are identified as being located within high risk zones. 		communities will be critical, so that they are aware of the benefits to their lives and livelihoods. The project will engage and communicate with a wide-range of local experts and implementing partners, so that there is no dependency on a single agency. The comprehensive training undertaken with local authorities and decision-makers will highlight the full range of adaptation options available. EWS is a measure that protects exposed people and resources and enables inhabitants to live safely in high risk zones. This avoids their victimisation.
Institutional/Management/Governance		
 Delays in recruitment of qualified project staff may affect the timeframe of project activities. Government and non-governmental agencies do not contribute adequately to the project at different levels. Changing staff is slowing down project implementation. 	Low-Med	 Providing good perspective to collaborators in order to keep them in the project. Top government officials consider the project as a high priority Ensure local governments take ownership of the project through early engagement. Participation of local government officers to partner countries (e.g. Switzerland) where they may learn from common experiences with CCA. Capacity building at university level, to ensure next generation of young scientists is well qualified for future government positions.
Environmental		
 Adverse climatic conditions may damage adaptation measures being implemented. Technical construction of the EWS requires access and some potential disturbance to the natural landscape, at least during the installation phase. 	Low-Med	Project implementation will use latest best-practices and equipment used and proven in harsh environmental conditions. The technical equipment does not require heavy lifting or transportation, minimising disturbances to the natural environment during the installation

 Identified high risk flood zones may be considered of low importance for 	phases.
environmental protection, and therefore neglected.	EWS represent an environmentally friendly adaptation measure, with no permanent alteration of the natural landscape (compared to engineering measures for example).
	Training of communities and local authorities will highlight the importance of maintaining and even enhancing the natural environment in high risk flood zones. For example, vegetation stabilises river banks and reduces erosion.

Over the course of the project, a risk log will be regularly updated at intervals of no less than every six months in which critical risks to the project have been identified and addressed.

Consistent involvement of a diverse set of partners, including local government agencies/departments, NGOs and communities will further reduce these risks.

C. Describe the measures for environmental and social risk management, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

During the preparation stage of this proposal, UNESCO, as the applicant and designated IE, in collaboration with the participating governments of Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan, conducted a screening and self-assessment in order to determine if the project construct and scope will comply with the ESP principles of the Adaptation Fund. In the following section, measures for environmental and social risk management are described in line with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

The applicant notes that the Adaptation Fund finances climate adaptation projects and programs for vulnerable communities in developing countries that are Parties to the Kyoto Protocol. The project acknowledges, and has been designed in accordance with, the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy (AF ESP document; March 2016 documentation). Full adherence to the Policy will ensure that the project promotes positive environmental and social benefits, and that a maximum effort is made to mitigate and/or avoids adverse environmental and social risks and impacts.

The project's categorization and compliance with the ESP has been outlined in Part II, Section L. In line with AF guidelines, the project has followed a stepwise approach (depicted in the Figure below) towards setting up and applying an Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP). The proposed ESMP is further described below.

As the applicant, UNESCO has applied four key mechanisms to comply with the ESP:

 Programme-Level Quality Assurance; As elaborated in Part II, Section L. UNESCO's as accredited applicant and IE with oversight responsibilities and core policy to lead in application of environmental, gender and social principles.

- Project-Level Quality Assurance; As elaborated in Part II, Section L. Screening, by IE, EE and partners in the four countries, of proposed project scope and activities for potential harmful impacts and risks.
- Screening of impacts and possible risks of proposed project in relation to the 15 core principles of ESP; Categorization of the project as "B."
- Development and application of ESMP; As per guidelines of the Adaptation Fund. The ESMP is further elaborated below.

Finally, in accordance with the project Monitoring and Evaluation approach, progress reporting will pay specific attention to the compliance issues. And following from the project concept and set-up, there is already a high level of stakeholder involvement and this also ensures a low risk of non-compliance for several key principles. Whenever potential non-compliance issues arise, the Grievance mechanism can be activated.

Environmental and Social Management Plan

In line with the guidelines of the AF the project applicants have developed an ESMP. The risks recognized have been assessed for impact and mitigation and proper management measures are identified at project level and at pilot level. The ESMP includes the relevant components, i.e. mitigation plans, institutional arrangements, stakeholder consultation, capacity building, monitoring and evaluation and reporting. The ESMP, tailored for each pilot area will comply with the ESP of the AF and the national technical standards of the relevant country. Once formulated and approved, the status of ESP issues will be reported in the applicable progress and evaluation reports prepared for the AF and national stakeholders.

The proposed ESMP consists of a number of fixed core elements, and is also dynamic, e.g. it can be improved and adapted in the course of the project (especially after the Inception Phase). Core elements of the ESMP consist of the following:

	ESMP Component	Responsible Party	Timeframe
<mark>1</mark>	Project team awareness and training on compliance with ESP and gender guidelines, monitoring process and related issues	Core project team and partners, National Execution Teams (NETs)	During the Project Inception phase
2	Awareness and training for key project stakeholders, in particular: a) government partners, and b) pilot communities and associated project staff and consultants, with particular reference to vulnerable groups and indigenous peoples.	Core project team and partners, NETs	During the first year of project implementation, repeating as necessary.
<mark>3</mark>	Re-assessment of impacts and risks on two levels: 1) The project as a whole; and 2) The projects in each of the pilot sites	UNESCO and the Project Management Unit (PMU) and teams working in pilot communities	During the Project inception phase and upon the start of activities in the pilot communities
<mark>4</mark>	Updated reporting on compliance with ESP and gender guidelines and update of the monitoring system	UNESCO and project partners	In the project inception report
<mark>5</mark>	Validation of the monitoring and evaluation approach, and reporting with clear and verifiable indicators	UNESCO and project partners	In the project inception report

Table F1: Overview of the ESMP for the proposed project

	and means of verification		
<mark>6</mark>	Periodic progress reporting as prescribed in the project management plan	UNESCO and the PMU and teams working in pilot communities	Concurrent with scheduled M&E activities
<mark>7</mark>	Gender issues assessment and assurance of positive impacts and compliance	Dedicated gender experts engaged from/through UNESCO	Ongoing
8	Project M&E activities, including systematic progress monitoring, collection of stakeholder feedback, and reviews	UNESCO and project partners	Ongoing
9	Project Steering Committee assessment of compliance	Invited by UNESCO to assess and provide feedback	Concurrent with PSC meetings and additionally as required
10	Awareness and activation of grievance mechanism	UNESCO and the country project staff and experts	As needed.

Description of ESMP Components

ESMP 1: UNESCO, as the Implementing Entity, will provide an introduction and training to all project partners, staff, and experts at project inception in order to ensure that all principal project partners have the required knowledge and awareness level regarding their responsibilities with regards to the provision of the Environmental and Social Policy of the AF as well as the promotion of human rights, including specifically the grievance handling mechanism of the AF. The ESP of the AF will be used as the primary guidance to ensure compliance. The introduction and training on the relevant concept and tools for compliance will be used for the for all of the participants.

ESMP 2: In order to prevent the exacerbation of existing inequalities, the project will identify vulnerabilities in pilot communities during the project inception phase and will monitor the impact of the project during the entire implementation period. As part of participatory processes, community dialogues, training and close collaboration with national and local authorities will enable participation of vulnerable and marginalized groups and successful identification, management and mitigation of risks.

ESMP 3: for each pilot community, the comprehensive risk screening and mitigation plan will be re-visited, following further detailing of the work plans (i.e. project locations, target groups, specific activities related to effective community response to early warnings, and project interventions to be defined in greater detail during the EWS planning phase).

ESMP 4: As part of the compliance approach, ESMP and progress monitoring, the status and issues arisen will be reported at the end of the Inception Phase. The Inception Phase will re-visit and improve on any inadequacies in the proposed environment and social risk monitoring or mitigation.

ESMP 5: Validation of the monitoring and evaluation set-up, and reporting with clear and verifiable indicators and means of verification. The project team will build on the proposed M&E approach and, when required, can update the approach in accordance with the latest AF guidelines.

ESMP 6: Periodic progress reporting as prescribed in the project management plan, and as per AF guidelines. UNESCO, as the Implementing Entity, will prepare the final environmental and social assessment reporting for the AF and in a suitable format for people, communities, and other stakeholders involved in the project. A special section of the progress reports will be dedicated to stakeholders and vulnerable groups in each pilot area.

ESMP 7: Gender issues assessment and ensuring positive impacts and compliance. The Terms of Reference for a gender specialist engaged for the project will be prepared during the inception phase and the involvement of that specialist in M&E planning and monitoring will be ensured.

ESMP 8: M&E: Systematic progress monitoring and collection of stakeholder feedback and reviews. As the IE, UNESCO will establish a project M&E and reporting mechanism through which it will monitor and report on the following: 1) project progress and results (on the basis of verifiable indicators and means of verification) and 2) impact assessment and compliance with ESP Principles. This will be done throughout project implementation. As the project will focus on the implementation of activities in pilot communities, monitoring and reporting processes will place particular emphasis on the local level and will include the following:

For the project as a whole and for each of the four pilot EWS communities and other communities participating in the hard and soft adaptation measures described in Activity 4.1.4 and for activities under Output 4.2, the UNESCO and the PMU will undertake the following steps:

- Semi-annual workplan preparation and approval assessed by means of checklist on potential negative impacts and risks and for each of the fifteen Environmental and Social Core Principles (Table 4).
- Upon completion of semi-annual workplans, country teams will be specifically requested to report any issues pertaining to adverse environmental and social impacts, and/or mitigation actions implemented or considered.
- An annual summary statement / communique will be prepared on the basis of which further public consultations and associated activities can take place.
- In each pilot area, a small representative committee of local and national stakeholders will be involved. This committee will approve/endorse:
 - a. the overall outcome of the environmental and social impact assessments, and b. possible mitigation actions for unforeseen adverse impacts.

As the project will focus implementation in the pilot areas, consultation and mobilization of project support and understanding by local stakeholders and their representatives is essential. If necessary, a grievance mechanism can be utilized (see ESMP 10).

5. National partners, in their supporting roles for project implementation will be involved in and support steps 1-4. This process will be overseen by UNESCO as IE and reported on at semi-annual project meetings. The ultimate responsibility for implementation of the M&E mechanism rests with the IE.

UNESCO and the project partners have in the project formulation and initial screening process (Concept Note and Proposal stage) carefully considered any potential direct, indirect, transboundary, and cumulative impacts in the project's area of influence. This assessment is supported and substantiated by considerable earlier and ongoing work on GLOFs and DRR by the project partners in the countries and regions involved. On this basis, it is concluded that project interventions are unlikely to have any serious adverse environmental or social impacts. Hence the project has been classified as Category B. The monitoring approach outlined in the section above will ensure - in case of doubt or due to unforeseen developments - that any potential risks can be mitigated, and any negative impacts prevented.

If, against expectations, project implementation generates negative environmental or social impact, it will be addressed through the M&E mechanism and reflected in periodic project reporting. Annual reporting on the project will include a section detailing the status of the ongoing environmental and social impacts and risks, as well as a consideration of gender issues. Reports will include, where necessary, a description of any corrective actions taken during the reporting period. The mid-term review and terminal evaluation reports will also include a detailed evaluation of the project's performance with respect to gender and environmental and social risks mitigation.

ESMP 9: The Project Steering Committee assessment of compliance; following on country consultations and training regarding ESP compliance issues, the Project Steering Committee (which will be composed of representatives of all participating countries will be asked to pay specific attention to this subject.

ESMP 10: Awareness and activities of the Grievance Mechanism

All direct beneficiaries of the project and other related stakeholders will be informed about the grievance mechanism and the complaint-handling mechanism of the project. The IE with project partners will produce public information materials (leaflets and brochures) that explain the project, complete with detailed contact information of persons in charge (name, position, address, phone, email), and including access to information regarding the <u>ad hoc</u> <u>complaint handling mechanism</u> for the AF. These public information materials will be distributed during community consultations and general awareness-raising activities.

As part of the project's ESMP as well as progress and results monitoring, stakeholder feedback and reviews will be collected systematically. Focus will be placed on the results evaluation of tangible measures and activities in the four pilot areas (where the closest connections occur between stakeholder interests and needs and the intended effects and impacts of the project).

As part of the monitoring and evaluation process, a grievances modality will be set up - both for the project as a whole (as part of the project's website and information portal), and as part of the specific evaluation and progress data collection (M&E) in the pilot areas. This approach will allow concerned stakeholders to raise issues (anonymously if they wish), to the project management implementers at all levels of implementation.

The grievance mechanism process to be implemented in the project will be composed of five steps:

- Receipt and registration
- Acknowledgement
- Screening
- Investigation
- Response

In the event that the response leads to successful resolution of the grievance, the process will be closed out and the entire process will be documented. In the event that the response is not satisfactory to the affected parties, there will be an appeals process.

Overall, the grievance mechanism process will support receiving, evaluating, and addressing project-related grievances from local communities and other stakeholders. It will be possible to express grievances via submission on the website or by phone. Receipt of the grievance will always be acknowledged, recorded and subsequently investigated in a timely manner.

Where relevant, resolved grievances will be included among the Frequently Asked Questions on the project website in order to prevent any future misunderstandings.

D. Describe the monitoring and evaluation arrangements and provide a budgeted M&E plan.

The performance of the action will be monitored on a regular basis through missions, reporting and assessments by interviewing the people involved, as well as through questionnaires. Regular monitoring will include an analysis by UNESCO's Field Offices (FOs) of the comprehensive reports and assessments prepared by consultants conducting capacity-building activities. The action implementation team will follow the action through regular communication with the national officials, consultants and AF delegations and will be available to intervene or initiate corrective action, as needed.

Dedicated support by the PMU of the UNESCO Cluster Office in Almaty will be provided on a regular basis. A comprehensive Results Framework of the project will be defined with execution indicators for project implementation as well as the respective means of verification. An M&E system for the project will be established based on these indicators and means of verification. Targeted M&E activities for the proposed project include the following:

A Project Inception Workshop will be conducted within three months of project start up with the full project team, relevant government counterparts and UNESCO. The Inception Workshop is crucial for building ownership for the project and to finalize a work plan for the first year of the project. An objective of the Inception Workshop will be to present the modalities of project implementation and execution, document agreement for the proposed executive arrangements amongst stakeholders, and to assist the project team to understand and take ownership of the project's goals and objectives. Another key objective of the Inception Workshop is to introduce the project team which will be instrumental in project implementation. An Inception Workshop Report will be prepared and shared with participants to formalize agreements decided during the meeting.

A risk log will be regularly updated at intervals of no less than every six months in which critical risks to the project have been identified. Half yearly Progress Reports will be prepared by the PMU and verified by the Project Steering Committee. Annual Project Reports will be prepared to monitor progress made since the start of the project and in particular for the previous reporting period. These annual reports include, but are not limited to, reporting on the following:

• Progress made towards project objectives and project outcomes - each with indicators, baseline data and end-of-project targets;

- Project outputs delivered per project Outcome (annual);
- Lessons learned/good practices;
- Reporting on project risk management.

Government authorities, members of Steering Committees and PMU will conduct regular field visits to project sites based on the agreed schedule in the project's Inception Report/Annual Work Plan to assess first hand project progress.

The project will undergo an independent Mid-Term Evaluation (MTE) at the mid-point of project implementation, which will determine progress being made toward the achievement of outcomes and identify course correction if needed. It will focus on the effectiveness, efficiency and timeliness of project implementation; will highlight issues requiring decisions and actions; and will present initial lessons learned about project design, implementation and management. Findings of this review will be incorporated as

recommendations for enhanced implementation during the final half of the project's term. An external Terminal Evaluation (TE) will be conducted two months after project closure.

The following table provides a summary of the M&E plan and corresponding costs.

Table 6: Overview of M&E Activities

Type of M&E activity	Responsible Parties	Budget US\$ (excluding project team staff time)	Time frame
Inception Workshop (IW) and Report	PMU, UNESCO	Indicative cost: 10,000	Within first three months of project start up
Measurement of Means of Verification for project indicators	Measurement of Means ofPMU National ProjectVerification for project indicatorsCoordinators (NPC)		Start, mid and end of Project and annually when required
Annual and Quarterly Progress reviews	PMU, NPC	0 (staff time only)	Quarterly and Annually
Workplan Screening for Compliance with ESMP	PMU	0 (staff time only)	Bi-Annually
Steering Committee Meetings	PMU	Travel, indicative cost: 10,000	Following Project IW and subsequently at least once a year
Periodic status reports	PMU NPC	Indicative cost: <mark>12,000</mark>	To be determined by PMU but following UNESCO regulations
Technical reports	PMU and NET	Indicative cost: <mark>6,000</mark>	To be determined by PMU
Mid-term External Evaluation	PMU, NPC External Consultants (i.e. evaluation team)	Indicative cost: <mark>20,000</mark>	At mid-point of planned project implementation.
Terminal Report	PMU UNESCO Local Consultants	0 (staff time only)	At least 1 month before the end of the project

Audit	UNESCO, PMU, NPC	Indicative cost per year: <mark>90,000</mark>	At least 1 month before the end of the project
Visits to field sites	Project staff, Government representatives	Indicative costs: <mark>17,000</mark>	At all stages of project implementation
Final Evaluation	PMU, UNESCO, Independent external Consultants	Indicative costs: 30,000	At least 1 month before the end of the project
TOTAL indicative COST		USD 210,000	

E. Include a results framework for the project / programme proposal, including milestones, targets and indicators.

The project results framework is provided in Annex 1.

F. Demonstrate how the project / programme aligns with the Results Framework of the Adaptation Fund

Table 7: Pro	ject Alignment w	vith the Adaptation	Fund Results Framework

Project Objective(s) ²⁶	Fund Outcome	Fund Outcome Indicator	Grant Amount (USD)
Strengthen adaptation to climate change in Central Asia by reducing societal risks and vulnerabilities associated with GLOFs	Outcome 1: Reduced exposure to climate- related hazards and threats	1. Relevant threat and hazard information generated and disseminated to stakeholders on a timely basis	6,500,000
Project Outcome(s)	Fund Output	Fund Output Indicator	Grant Amount (USD)
Authorities in participating countries have improved knowledge of potential GLOF hazards and a coordinated national and regional approach to mapping and monitoring potential GLOF sites.	Output 2: Strengthened capacity of national and sub- national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events	2.1.1. No. of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate-related events (by gender) or 2.1.2 No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks (by type, sector and scale)	715,000
Decision-makers and vulnerable households are aware of GLOF threats and have the	Output 7: Improved integration of climate- resilience strategies into country	7.2. No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced	1,300,000

²⁶ The AF utilized OECD/DAC terminology for its results framework. Project proponents may use different terminology but the overall principle should still apply

necessary information to plan measures to adapt to those threats.	development plans		
A coordinated EWS network is designed and embedded in the institutional setting for disaster risk management at all levels.	Output 1.2: Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems	1.2.1. Percentage of target population covered by adequate risk- reduction systems	1,040,000
Pilot communities reduce risk from GLOF hazards and relevant agencies have a means of maintaining adaptation measures and upscaling to other vulnerable communities.	Output 1.2: Targeted population groups covered by adequate risk reduction systems Output 3: Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities	 1.2.1. Percentage of population covered by adequate risk-reduction systems 3.1.1 No. and type of risk reduction actions or strategies introduced at local level 	1,657,000
Researchers, government authorities, and communities can access and exchange information they need on GLOF hazards and risk reduction measures to adapt to them.	Output 2: Strengthened capacity of national and sub- national centres and networks to respond rapidly to extreme weather events	2.1.2 No. of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks (by type, sector and scale)	910,000

G. Include a detailed budget with budget notes, broken down by country as applicable, a budget on the Implementing Entity management fee use, and an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs.

						TOTAL
Expected Outputs	Y1	Y2	Y3	Y4	Y5	(USD)
Component 1: Strengthening r	national and	regional capaci	ty to monitor	and assess G	LOF	
<mark>hazards</mark>						
Outcome 1: Authorities in par	<mark>ticipating coι</mark>	<mark>Intries have im</mark>	proved knowl	edge of poter	ntial GLOF	
hazards and a coordinated nat	tional and reg	<mark>gional approac</mark> ł	<mark>n to mapping a</mark>	and monitori	ng potential	
GLOF sites						<mark>\$ 715 000</mark>
1.1 Appropriate mapping and						
monitoring strategies						
developed	<mark>\$ 32 500</mark>	<mark>\$ 32 500</mark>				<mark>\$ 65 000</mark>
1.2 Up-to-date atlas on						
glacier lakes for each						
country based on remote						
sensing data, supported by in						
<mark>situ measurements,</mark>						
developed and maintained	<mark>\$ 150 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 150 000</mark>				<mark>\$ 30</mark> 0 000

1.3 Organizational capacity to						
implement and oversee						
manning and monitoring						
strongthonod with on						
strengthened, with an						
emphasis on regional						
cooperation on						
<mark>transboundary hazards</mark>	<mark>\$ 70 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 70 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 70 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 70 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 70 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 350 000</mark>
Component 2: Strengthening l	ocal national	and regional	nolicies and a	nnroaches to	address	
the needs of vulnerable comm	unition		policies and a			
the needs of vulnerable comm	unities					
Outcome 2: Decision-makers a	nd yulnorahl	e households :	are aware of G	I OE throats a	nd have	
be accessory information to a		e nousenoius a	are aware of G	LOF threats a		
the necessary information to p	nan measure		nose threats			<mark>\$ 1 300 000</mark>
Output 2.1 Vulnerability						
assessment and exposure						
maps developed for						
endangered communities						
including gender and sector-						
specific analyses	¢ 20.000	<u>¢ 40 000</u>	<u>¢ co ooo</u>			
specific analyses	<mark>\$ 30 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 40 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 60 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 60 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 60 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 250 000</mark>
Output 2.2: Local risk						
reduction plans drafted for						
<mark>selected communities</mark>						
vulnerable to GLOFs	<mark>\$ 50 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 50 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 150 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 150 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 150 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 550 000</mark>
Output 2.3: DRR and CCA						
concepts mainstreamed into						
local development planning						
in the relevant country						
context	\$ 100 000	\$ 100,000	\$ 100 000	\$ 100 000	\$ 100 000	\$ 500,000
Context	<mark>\$ 100 000</mark>	3 100 000	3 100 000	3 100 000	3 100 000	5 300 000
Component 3: Design and laun	<mark>ich of EWS an</mark>	<mark>id risk reductio</mark>	on measures ta	<mark>ilored to loca</mark>	al contexts	
	_					
Outcome 3: A coordinated EW	S network is	designed and o	embedded in t	he institution	al setting	
for disaster risk management a	at all levels					<mark>\$ 1 040 000</mark>
Output 3.1: Local to regional						
framework of institutional						
DRR context established and						
evaluated	\$ 12 480	<u>\$ 12 480</u>	<u>\$ 12 480</u>	<u>\$ 12 480</u>	\$ 12 480	\$ 62 400
Output 3 2: Design and	• • • • • • • •	Y 12 100	Y 11 100	Y 12 100	Y 12 100	, 02 .00
implementation plans for						
four site-specific Evvs		<u> </u>				
completed		<u>\$ 620 000</u>	<mark>\$ 357 600</mark>			<mark>\$ 977 600</mark>
Component 4: Targeted demo	nstration pro	jects to introd	<mark>uce technolog</mark> i	es and best r	ractices	
for EWS for glacier lakes			8			
Outcome 4: Risk from GLOF ha	zards reduce	d in pilot com	munities and re	elevant agen	cies have	
the means to maintain adapta	tion measure	s and upscale	them to other	vulnerable		
<mark>communities</mark>						<mark>\$ 1 657 500</mark>

Output 4.1: EWS tested in selected vulnerable communities	<mark>\$ 10 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 450 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 650 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 20 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 20 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 1 150 000</mark>
Output 4.2: Complementary adaptation measures implemented		\$ 200 000	\$ 200 000	\$ 100 000		\$ 500 000
Output 4.3: Authorities and local communities trained through simulation exercises		, 200 000	, 200 000	• 100 000		,
and other means as needed Output 4.4: Maintenance and financing strategy developed					<mark>\$ 5 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 5 000</mark>
for ensuring long-term sustainability of the EWS and the expansion of adaptation						
activities to other vulnerable communities					<mark>\$ 2 500</mark>	<mark>\$ 2 500</mark>
Component 5: Knowledge excl	hange, stakel	holder engager	ment, and com	munication		
Outcome 5: Researchers, gove and use, information on GLOF	rnment auth hazards and	orities, and con risk reduction	mmunities hav measures to a	ve improved a dapt to them	access to, N	<mark>\$ 910 000</mark>
Output 5.1: Web-based knowledge-platform established on GLOF risks and adaptation strategies Output 5.2: Education and training programmes	<mark>\$ 90 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 10 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 10 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 10 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 10 000</mark>	\$ 130 000
stakeholders with knowledge and capacity to prepare for, respond to and recover from GLOF disasters Output 5.3: Knowledge and lessons learned from the targeted demonstration	<mark>\$ 100 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 100 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 100 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 100 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 100 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 500 000</mark>
Central Asia and across other high mountain regions	<mark>\$ 25 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 60 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 60 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 60 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 75 000</mark>	<mark>\$ 280 000</mark>
ΑCTIVITY ΤΟΤΑΙ	<mark>\$ 669 980</mark>	<mark>\$ 1 894 980</mark>	<mark>\$ 1 770 080</mark>	<mark>\$ 682 480</mark>	<mark>\$ 604 480</mark>	<mark>\$ 5 622 000</mark>
					Project Execution Cost	\$ <u>396 019</u>
<mark>Total</mark>						
------------------------	--------------------------					
<mark>Project</mark>	<mark>\$6018519</mark>					
<mark>IE Fee</mark>	<mark>\$ 481 481</mark>					
Total						
<mark>requested</mark>	<mark>\$6 500 000</mark>					

H. Include a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones.

Table 8: Project I	Disbursement Schedule
--------------------	-----------------------

	Upon Agreement Signature	First Disbursement (rcd. at time of agreement)	One year after project start	Y3	Y4	Y5	Total
Scheduled Date		01/12/2019	01/12/2020	01/12/2021	01/12/202	01/12/2023	
Project Funds		775,290	<mark>1,985,790</mark>	<mark>1,865,395</mark>	<mark>704,780</mark>	<mark>687,264</mark>	6,018,519
Implementing Entity Fee		62,023	<mark>158,863</mark>	<mark>149,232</mark>	<mark>56,382</mark>	<mark>54,981</mark>	481,481
TOTAL		837,313	<mark>2,144,653</mark>	<mark>2,014,627</mark>	<mark>761,162</mark>	<mark>742,245</mark>	6,500,000

PART IV: ENDORSEMENT BY GOVERNMENTS AND CERTIFICATION BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY

A. Record of endorsement on behalf of the government²⁷ Provide the name and position of the government official and indicate date of endorsement for each country participating in the proposed project / programme. Add more lines as necessary. The endorsement letters should be attached as an annex to the project/programme proposal. Please attach the endorsement letters with this template; add as many participating governments if a regional project/programme:

<i>Mr. Olzhas Agabekov, Head, Climate Change Department, Republic of Kazakhstan</i>	July 23, 2019.
<i>Mr. Abdykalyk Rustamov, Director, State Agency on Environment Protection and Forestry</i>	February 15, 2019
Mr. G.K. Gulmahmadzoda, Chairman of the Committee for Environmental Protection Ministry of Foreign Affairs Republic of Tajikistan	July 29, 2019
Mr. Bakhriddin Nishonov, First Deputy Director General, Uzhydromet, Republic of Uzbekistan	July 18, 2019

^{6.} Each Party shall designate and communicate to the secretariat the authority that will endorse on behalf of the national government the projects and programmes proposed by the implementing entities.

B. Implementing Entity certification Provide the name and signature of the Implementing Entity Coordinator and the date of signature. Provide also the project/programme contact person's name, telephone number and email address

I certify that this proposal has been prepared in accordance with guidelines provided by the Adaptation Fund Board, and prevailing National Development and Adaptation Plans (Kazakhstan 2050 Strategy; National Action Plan for Climate Change Mitigation of the Republic of Tajikistan; National Strategy of Sustainable Development of Uzbekistan) and subject to the approval by the Adaptation Fund Board, <u>commit to implementing the project/programme in</u> <u>compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund</u> and on the understanding that the Implementing Entity will be fully (legally and financially) responsible for the implementation of this project/programme.

Krista Pikkat,

Director, UNESCO Cluster Office in Almaty

Implementing Entity Coordinator

Date: 03.09.2019	Tel. and email: +7 7272 582643 ext. 502;
	e-mail: <u>k.pikkat@unesco.org</u>

Project Contact Person:

Kristine Tovmasyan, Dr, Programme Specialist, UNESCO Cluster Office in Almaty

Tel. and Email: +7 7272 582643 ext. 506; e-mail: k.tovmasyan@unesco.org

Goal	Communities in Central Asia are more resilient to natural disasters and climate change.					
Project Strategy			Objectively Veri	fiable Indicate	ors	
	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Sources of Verification	Assumptions	Respon- sibility
Objective: Strengthen adaptation to climate change in Central Asia by reducing societal risks and vulnerabilities associated with GLOFs	Number of beneficiari es (AF Core Indicator) Early Warning Systems (AF Core Indicator) Category: Floods	0 1) Risk knowledg e: 1. 2) Monitoring and warning service: 0- 1. 3) Dissemina tion and communic ation: 0-1. 4) Response capability: 0.	By the end of the project: At least 89,000 indirect Beneficiaries (of that 45,000 women and youth). ¹ By the end of the project: 1) Risk knowledge: 3. 2) Monitoring and warning service: 3. 3) Dissemination and communicatio n: 3. 4) Response capability: 3.	Site surveys; project documenta tion; independe nt MTR and TE Data records for EWS; Interviews	The political situation within and between participating countries will not change in a way that would jeopardize project activities and regional cooperation. Participating governments continue to see GLOFs as a threat to communities that requires action.	UNESCO
Outcome 1: Authorities in participating countries have improved knowledge of potential GLOF hazards and a coordinated national and regional approach to mapping and monitoring potential GLOF sites.						
<i>Output 1.1:</i> Appropriate mapping and monitoring strategies developed and endorsed	Number of authorities engaged in mapping and monitoring activities	20 authorities (and of that number, 10 women) consider themselve s to be	By the end of the project: 40 authorities (and of that number, 20 women) consider themselves to be involved in GL mapping and monitoring	Interviews; GL mapping and monitoring strategies	Participating governments understand the value to shifting some resources and activities from disaster response to disaster prevention	PMU NETs

¹ This number includes targeted and not target beneficiaries receiving medium-intensity support as per the 2014 methodologies for reporting AF indicators. Youth target will be finalized pending upon the initiation of EWS work in pilot communities.

Goal	Communities in Central Asia are more resilient to natural disasters and climate change.					
Project Strategy			Objectively Veri	fiable Indicato	ors	
	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Sources of Verification	Assumptions	Respon- sibility
	of a strategy for GL mapping and monitoring	involved in GL mapping and monitoring No country- level strategies for GL mapping and monitoring	By the end of the project, each participating country has a GL mapping and monitoring strategy			
Output 1.2: Up-to-date atlas on glacier lakes for all participating countries based on remote sensing data developed and maintained	Percentag e of watershed mapped for all participatin g countries	A very limited percentag e of the watershed is mapped using older data; in situ measurem ents have been taken only in a few cases	By the end of the project, % of the watershed is mapped using recent (2015- 2016) data with% supported by <i>in situ</i> measurement s ²	Atlas; project documenta tion	Participating countries will continue to have access to free satellite data and to retrospective watershed data	PMU NETs
<i>Output 1.3:</i> Organization al capacity to implement and oversee mapping and monitoring strengthened , with an emphasis on transboundar y hazards.	Number of targeted institutions with increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks (AF Output Indicator 2.1.2) Number of capacity-		By the end of the project, 16 institutions have increased capacity to minimize exposure to climate variability risks By the end of the project, at least 4	Workshop documenta tion, including papers and presentatio ns; other project documenta tion; interviews	Government agencies and universities will allocate specialists and time to participate in conferences and capacity- strengthening activities	PMU NETs UZH

 $^{^{2}}$ Target to be finalized at the project inception workshop.

Goal	Communitie change.	es in Central	Asia are more re	esilient to nat	ural disasters ar	nd climate
Project Strategy			Objectively Veri	fiable Indicato	ors	
	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Sources of Verification	Assumptions	Respon- sibility
	strengtheni ng workshops		regional workshops and 8 workshops with local authorities have been conducted to strengthen monitoring capacity			
Outcome 2: Dec	cision-makers	and vulnerab	le households are adapt to those thr	e aware of GL(reats	OF threats and ha	ive the
<i>Output 2.1:</i> Vulnerability assessment and exposure maps developed for endangered communities, including gender and sector-specific analyses	Number of communiti es with exposure maps	One communit y has undergon e hazard mapping, but this does not include gender and sector- specific analysis	By the end of the project, 8 communities (2 in each country) will have completed vulnerability assessments and exposure maps	Review of project documenta tion, community interviews	Communities will be receptive to the project and will participate actively in the mapping exercises. Sufficient data will be available for meaningful mapping.	PMU NETs
Output 2.2: Local knowledge on GLOF risks and related adaptation needs documented and local risk reduction plans drafted for selected communities vulnerable to GLOFs	Number and type of risk reduction actions or strategies introduced at local level (AF Output Indicator 3.1.1)	No local risk reduction plans exist in the pilot communiti es.	By the end of the project: At least 8 communities will participate in the development of a risk reduction strategy.	Risk reduction strategies; project documenta tion; community site visits.	Communities will be receptive to the project and will participate actively in the mapping exercises. Sufficient data will be available for meaningful mapping.	PMU NETs
Output 2.3: DRR and CCA concepts mainstreame d into sub-	Number of targeted developme nt strategies with	DRR concepts are not mainstrea med into sub-	By the end of the project, at least 8 local or district development plans include	Developme nt plans; project documenta tion.	Participating sub-national governments will support the integration of CCA issues	PMU NETs Govt partners

Goal	Communities in Central Asia are more resilient to natural disasters and climate change.					
Project Strategy			Objectively Veri	fiable Indicato	ors	
	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Sources of Verification	Assumptions	Respon- sibility
national development planning in the relevant country context.	incorporat ed climate change priorities enforced (AF Output Indicator 7.2)	national developm ent plans.	GLOF planning and response.		into development plans	
Outcome 3: A c	oordinated EV	VS network is	designed and en	nbedded in the	institutional setti	ng for
Output 3.1: Local to regional framework for EWS established and evaluated	Number of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate- related events (by gender) (AF Output Indicator 2.1.1) DRM framework for GLOFs is integrated into country- level multi- hazard DRM framework s	Approxim ately 24 staff in participati ng countries address DRR issues generally (including 12 women), but they lack specific expertise on GLOF risk reduction and managem ent	24 staff have received specialized training or participated actively in the EWS framework (including 12 women)	Training logs; project documenta tion; interviews	Participating governments will allocate sufficient time and appropriate staff for training and capacity- strengthening activities. Governments continue to be receptive to the approach of having an integrated, multi-hazard DRR strategy	PMU NETs
Output 3.2: Design and implementation plans for four site-specific EWS completed	Site- specific studies for pilot communiti es	Vulnerabl e communiti es exposed to GLOFs lack EWS	By the mid- point of the project: Site- specific studies have been completed for each pilot community.	Pilot studies; documenta tion of equal participatio n by women in definition of community needs	Communities will not tamper with EWS installations	NETs UZH

Goal	Communitie change.	es in Central	Asia are more re	esilient to nat	ural disasters ar	nd climate
Project Strategy			Objectively Veri	fiable Indicato	ors	
	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Sources of Verification	Assumptions	Respon- sibility
Outcome 4: Pilo	t communities	s reduce risk t	from GLOF hazar	ds and relevar	nt agencies have a	a means of
Output 4.1: EWS tested in selected vulnerable communities.	Presence of EWS system	None of the vulnerable communiti es surveyed has an EWS that monitors and responds directly to GLOF threats	By the end of the project: At least 4 communities have an EWS in operation.	Country- level project documenta tion; site visits; independe nt mid-term and terminal evaluation	EWS will be accepted by the communities	NETs UZH
Output 4.2 Complemen- tary adaptation measures implemented	Presence of compleme ntary adaptation measures	None of the vulnerable communiti es surveyed during the communit y consultati ons had undertake n any adaptation measures	By the end of the project: At least two low- cost / no-cost measures have been implemented in each of the 4 pilot communities	Country- level project documenta tion; site visits; independe nt mid-term and terminal evaluation	Low-cost / no- cost measures will be accepted by the communities	NETs UZH
<i>Output 4.3:</i> Authorities and population trained through simulation exercises and other means as needed.	Percentag e of targeted population aware of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriat e responses (AF Output Indicator	Communit y consultati ons indicated that while nearly all vulnerable communit y residents were concerned about climate change, far fewer	By the end of the project, at least 80% of people in the target communities are aware of measures to adapt to climate change (and, of that, at least 50% women and youth / vulnerable groups)	Site visits; interviews; independe nt mid-term and terminal evaluation	Awareness- raising activities will ensure that communities understand the importance of simulation exercises	NETs UZH Local partners

Goal	Communities in Central Asia are more resilient to natural disasters and climate change.					
Project Strategy			Objectively Veri	fiable Indicato	ors	
	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Sources of Verification	Assumptions	Respon- sibility
	3.1.1) Of that number, percentag e of women, vulnerable groups Number of training drills	could identify adverse impacts, and even fewer appropriat e responses	By the end of the project, all pilot communities have participated in at least 2 EWS drills.			
		Communit ies do not participate in hazard drills				
Output 4.4: Maintenance and financing strategy developed for ensuring long- term sustainability of the EWS and the expansion of adaptation activities to other vulnerable communities.	# of financing sources identified Presence of a maintenan ce and financing strategy	Governme nts have expressed interest, but funding has not been identified No maintenan ce and financing strategy exists	By the end of the project: At least one source of financing has been identified for each participating country. By the end of the project: Each participating country has a maintenance and financing strategy for the EWS systems	Project documenta tion; reporting on support received; governmen t gazettes.	Country-level interest and international interest in support for EWS will remain strong.	PMU NETs
Outcome 5: Res information they	searchers, gov need on GLO	/ernment auth F hazards an	norities, and comr d risk reduction m	nunities can a neasures to ad	ccess and exchar lapt to them.	nge
Output 5.1: Web-based knowledge- platform established on GLOF risks and adaptation strategies.	Usage of web platform Number of stakeholde rs who are aware of the	Several climate change web platforms exist with informatio	By the end of the project: The web platform has at least 100 unique visitors annually from within the participating	Website; user statistics	Target community will have consistent access to the internet and skills necessary to	PMU

Goal	Communities in Central Asia are more resilient to natural disasters and climate change.					
Project Strategy			Objectively Veri	fiable Indicate	ors	
	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Sources of Verification	Assumptions	Respon- sibility
	platform and who access it more than once.	Central Asia, but they do not contain informatio n on GLOFs.	countries.		obtain information from the platform	
<i>Output 5.2:</i> Education and training programmes undertaken to equip stakeholders with knowledge and capacity to prepare for, respond to and recover from GLOF disasters.	Number of staff trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of, climate- related events, by gender (AF Output Indicator 2.1.1)	GLOF response training is not specificall y provided to governme nt staff.	By the end of the project: 24 staff, and of that number 12 women, trained to respond to, and mitigate impacts of GLOFs	Training logs; other project documenta tion.	Staff will have sufficient time and interest to participate meaningfully in training.	PMU UZH
Output 5.3: Knowledge and lessons learned from the targeted demonstration projects disseminated within Central Asia and across other high mountain	Extent to which project lessons are scaled up to other communiti es in Central Asia		By the end of the project: Lessons learned from the project will be incorporated in at least 16 communities at risk of GLOFs.		People and finances will be sufficient to replicate elements of the project approach in additional communities.	PMU NETs UZH Local partners
regions.	Number of knowledge products for institutions supporting mountain communiti es and for people at risk	Local communiti es lack accessible , targeted materials on GLOF response.	By the end of the project: At least 6 knowledge products have been produced and distributed to disseminate good practice and lessons learned from the project.		Project knowledge will be codified on a regular basis through implementatio n. Other communities will perceive the relevance of the lessons learned through the	

Goal	Communities in Central Asia are more resilient to natural disasters and climate change.					
Project Strategy	Objectively Verifiable Indicators					
	Indicator	Baseline	Target	Sources of Verification	Assumptions	Respon- sibility
					project.	

Consultations with project stakeholders for the proposed project were undertaken in accordance with guidance provided under the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy (approved November 2013 by the AF Board and amended in March 2016), specifically paragraph 33: "Implementing entities shall identify stakeholders and involve them as early as possible in planning any project/programme supported by the Fund."

The project concept originated from ongoing discussions with participating country stakeholders in government, academia, and civil society. Formal and informal discussions have taken three forms: 1) Discussions at regional meetings on environment, water resources, climate, and DRR; 2) Structured discussions with government representatives; and 3) Consultations in communities exposed to GLOF risks that are likely to participate in the EWS pilot phase.

Regional Meetings

The project concept has been presented at a number of regional meetings during the project concept and formulation stage. These have included the following:

- March 2019 Youth and DRR Event (Almaty)
- June 2018 2nd Central Asia and South Caucasus (CASC) Sub-Regional Platform for Disaster Risk Reduction (Armenia)
- June 2018 Climate and Water Forum (Dushanbe)

Structured Discussions

In January 2019, bilateral meetings were held with the Government of Kyrgyzstan regarding its participation in the project. As a result of these talks, the Government endorsed the project and has participated in project formulation activities and community consultations.

In addition, at the March 2019 Youth and DRR event, the project formulation team distributed questionnaires to participants regarding their knowledge and opinions on DRR, climate change adaptation, and women's roles in both areas.

Community Consultations

In the spring of 2019, the project formulation team conducted a series of community consultations in communities that were deemed likely to participate in the EWS pilot programs under the project. The team held a series of events in two villages each in Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, and Uzbekistan, followed by a consolidated event in one town in Tajikistan for representatives from eight different villages.

Techniques used to gather information during the consultations included Q-and-A sessions, focus group discussions, and questionnaires in languages used by community members. The agenda differed from country to country, and most consultations also included presentations to raise awareness regarding DRR and climate change. Table A2.1 provides the overall characteristics of the consultations, including the number of women who were consulted. Broader demographic information about the villages is available in Table A4.1 in Annex 4.

	Profile: Focus Group Participants				
	Men (N)	Women (N)	Total	Women (%)	
Issyk	40	30	70	43	
Talgar	8	11	19	58	
KAZ total	48	41	89	46	
Tosh Bulak	21	12	33	36	
Yurevka	18	11	29	38	
KYR total	39	23	62	37	
Charsem					
Goz					
Imom					
Miyonshahr			combined		
Oqmamad			consultation		
Rozhak					
Sardem					
Varshez					
TAJ total	43	22	65	34	
Tepar	8	4	12	33	
Pskem	14	5	19	26	
UZB total	22	9	31	29	
TOTAL	152	95	247	38	

Table A2.1: Focus Group Composition by Country

The following section provides a discussion of selected findings by topic, followed by a presentation of the results at the country and village level.

Overall Findings

<u>Disaster Response and Recovery:</u> Two questions dealt directly with disaster response and recovery. The first asked "Do people in the community generally help each other after a flood or other disaster" and the second asked "After a flood or other disaster, who do you think is responsible for the recovery of the community?" Most respondents felt that people generally helped each other, and most also felt that the government was responsible for disaster recovery efforts.

In Kazakhstan, 74% of participants said that people generally helped each other after a disaster. In Issyk, for example, community members recalled that following flooding, villagers worked to clear flood channels before rescue services arrived and provided assistance to those who lost houses. 73% felt that the government was responsible for disaster recovery. In Kyrgyzstan, 73% said that people generally helped each other after a disaster, while 87% felt that the government was responsible for disaster recovery. In Tajikistan, respondents commented that "For sure" people helped each other. Participants cited the example of an avalanche in 2015, when two people were killed. The communities in Imom, Varshez, and neighboring villages cleaned up the avalanche by hand over the course of a month, found the dead bodies, and gave them to family members. The second question, which was asked of the group as a whole, elicited the response that the government was responsible for disaster recovery. In Uzbekistan, 100% of those consulted agreed with the statement that people in their village helped each other during and after natural disasters. Likewise, 77% of respondents felt that it was the government's responsibility to assist with disaster recovery (followed by 16% of people who felt that people themselves were responsible—note that more than one answer to the question was permitted).

These responses indicate that community-level drills and participation in EW activities may be well selected, as there seems to be a high degree of social cohesion in the villages. The view that the government is responsible for response and recovery indicates that the governments will be accepted partners in the EWS program.

<u>Hazard maps</u>: Participants were also asked "Do you know whether there are any hazard maps for your community?" Although overall awareness of the maps was low, these answers varied widely, even within countries. In Kazakhstan, 86% of the participants did not know whether hazard maps for their communities were available. In Kyrgyzstan, there were village differences between whether people were aware of risk maps: in Tösh-Bulak, 92% of respondents were aware of risk maps, while in Yurievka, 90% did not know whether their community had a risk map.

In Tajikistan, the presence of the maps was noted by the facilitators, but awareness was not cross-checked awareness ["The former FOCUS and current AKAH have assessed all the 365 villages throughout GBAO and Varshez, Imom, Charthem, Miyonkhar, Sardem, Oqmamad, Rojak and Goz villages were included. The last assessments conducted under COSE project (SDC) and all the collected data presented to communities including maps that can clearly explain how people should act during any emergencies. AKAH specialists are updating the maps and other collected data every five years and can see the trend in changes within the villages."]. In Uzbekistan, 81% of the respondents did not know whether there were hazard maps for their communities. Overall, the responses indicate the need to emphasize community training and outreach to supplement hazard mapping exercises.

<u>Preventive measures</u>: Participants were asked "What measures, if any, have you taken to protect your house and/or family from floods?"

Preventive measures mentioned by the respondents varied widely and included the following:

- Evacuation
- Preparation of documents for quick evacuation
- Building houses in "safe" locations
- Deepening irrigation channels, building a drainage system
- Communicating with emergency services
- Buying sandbags
- River bank stabilization
- Tree planting and terracing
- Cleaning the stream flow
- Constructing the path to the safe haven
- Relocation of houses under threat to safer sites

In some cases, the question was interpreted as asking about what kinds of measures *should* be undertaken. For example, in both villages in Kyrgyzstan, respondents wrote "It's necessary to build dams." Several did not / could not list the measures they had taken. Several other participants said that they would "protect their families," but were not able to describe how they would do that.³ In addition, many participants reported that they had not undertaken any preventive measures (including *94% of respondents* in the communities in Uzbekistan).⁴

Again, the responses indicate a need for a community-specific approach. They also indicated that some communities could learn from their counterparts elsewhere in the region.

<u>Women and Vulnerability / Impact</u>: These results are discussed in Annex 3 (The Initial Gender Analysis).

Sources of EW Information: Respondents were asked "How do you get information about floods and other dangerous events?" While answers varied widely by community, when the Ministry of Emergency Situations was a source of information, it seemed to have good levels of penetration. The rate of respondents accessing warning information also varied, as did the number of respondents who were not receiving warning information.

In Kazakhstan, participants were asked during a presentation on natural disaster safety about the most suitable and convenient sources of information about precautionary measures. The most frequent answers were as follows: television, the rotation of the tutorial video in public transport, newspapers, social networks, etc. In Kyrgyzstan, the most common source of information on disasters was mass media (45% of respondents), closely followed by the Ministry of Emergency Situations (40%), but the format of the Ministry's messages was not specified. Only 5% of respondents reported that they not receive any warning information about natural disasters. In Tajikistan, the facilitator stated that the communities obtained information from the hydromet agency, the Committee on Emergency Situations, "mobile communication," an NGO. TV, and internet. More than half of the community members surveyed in Uzbekistan (52%) reported getting their information about natural disasters by text message from the Ministry of Emergency Situations. The next most common source of information was via other villagers (16%), followed by a telephone call from the Ministry (6%). It should be noted that 23% of those consulted said that they did not receive warnings about natural disasters from any source. These findings indicated that warning systems and awareness-raising materials should cover several communication channels, and that the project should identify and address groups that are not currently receiving warning information.

Individual reports were compiled for the country consultations and are available upon request. Summaries of the consultations are as follows:

Kazakhstan

In Kazakhstan, UNESCO worked with a CSO, the Center for Sustainable Development (CSD), to conduct consultations in Issyk (Esik) and Talgar. CSD produced questionnaires in Kazakh and Russian, organized the consultations, and then conducted the consultations. In order to encourage participation, letters of support were provided by the district governments of the corresponding districts in the Almaty region. Local governments provided venues for the

³ Yurievka consultation, Kyrgyzstan (2019).

⁴ This may have been influenced by the location of their homes and/or the perception of safety and will require additional study.

consultations, and CSD designed a program that included presentations and the completion of surveys relating to climate risk exposure, awareness and knowledge of climate change, and feedback related to the project activities. Consultations were held on April 9 and April 11, 2019.



The youngest participant of the meetings was 15 years old, the oldest one was 73. To determine the ethnic composition of the respondents, the question of nationality was included in the questionnaire. Nearly 88% of respondents were Kazakhs. Other participants represented the following nationalities: Russian, Turk, Uighur, Kara-kalpak, Azerbaijanian.

Talgar (KAZ) Consultation (Photo credit: CSD)

During the survey, it was revealed that many segments of the population are either themselves vulnerable because of serious illness or social status (single mother, mother of many children, retired), or else live with such people. Among the socially vulnerable groups were identified the following: extremely vulnerable children and youth (orphans, working children), single mothers, persons with serious illnesses, mother of many children, etc.

In Issyk, several participants recalled the loss of life and property in the 1963 mudslide as well as more recent flooding and mudslides. In Talgar, participants recalled mudslides in 2013-2014, floods in 2015, and a 2018 mudslide elsewhere in their district. The mudslides had a serious impact on the well-being of the community – in addition to victims whose houses were destroyed, the events were traumatic for children, who were evacuated by helicopter and who were unable to attend school for some time. When asked about vulnerability to flooding and mudslides, 34% of the participants were not sure what kinds of buildings were at risk, although others identified older buildings, flimsy newer buildings, buildings constructed from mud bricks, and buildings near rivers or ravines.

Money for measures (1.5 million KZT), the majority opted to spend it on prevention and the most common measure proposed was cleaning and deepening public drainage systems.

In both consultations, community members said that they received information about natural disasters by emergency text from the Committee for Emergency Situations as well as from television, the internet, and friends and relatives. However, two participants in the Talgar consultations said that they did not receive natural disaster warnings. During a presentation on natural disaster safety, the participants were asked about the most suitable and convenient for them source of information obtaining about on precautionary measures. The most frequent answers were as follows: television, the rotation of the tutorial video in public transport, newspapers, social networks, etc.

As CSD, the facilitator, concluded, "During the analysis of survey forms, it was revealed that the level of vulnerability of local communities to the effects of climate change is very high, and it is necessary to take measures to prevent them. The vulnerability of the local population is expressed, inter alia, in the fact that: some residents do not know that they live in GLOFs-prone

areas; many of them do not understand how much the consequences of climate can affect them and their families; few of the respondents take any preventive measures, etc. In this regard, the implementation of the UNESCO project is a timely, useful and very important tool for enhancing adaptation measures to climate change, in particular in Esik and Talgar towns."⁵

Kyrgyzstan

In Kyrgyzstan, UNESCO worked with the Central Asian Institute for Applied Geosciences (CAIAG) to conduct consultations in two villages: Tösh Bulak and Yuryevka. Local governments provided venues for the consultations. The format of the consultations combined presentations about GLOFs and DRR with discussion and the completion of questionnaires that had been prepared in Kyrgyz and Russian. Community members attending included those from the following nationalities: Kyrgyz, Russian, Azerbaijani, and Ukrainian.



Yurievka (KYR) Consultation: Presentation on Natural Disasters (Photo Credit: CAIAG)

In Tösh-Bulak, community members recalled the damage done by previous floods due to heavy rainfall. These included crop and livestock losses and damage to houses and the local bridge. In Yurievka, community members recalled a late-season snowfall that led to loss of life and livestock and damage to houses.

⁵ "Technical report on the organization of community-level stakeholder consultations in Kazakhstan in GLOFs-prone areas: Esik town and Talgar town" (2019). Almaty: Public Fund "Center for Sustainable Development," p. 15



Tösh-Bulak (KYR) Consultation (Photo Credit: CAIAG)

73% said that people generally helped each other after a disaster. While those answering "yes" to the question were much higher in Tösh-Bulak (91%) than in Yurievka (52%), the facilitators hypothesize that the relatively high numbers of "yes" and "don't know" responses were due to the fact that the village had not experienced a major disaster in its history. 90% of those answering felt that the government was responsible for disaster recovery, and the opinions were consistent across both villages (91% and 89% in Tösh-Bulak and Yurievka, respectively).

There were also village differences between whether people were aware of risk maps (41% of those answering said "yes"): in Tösh-Bulak, 92% of respondents were aware of risk maps, while in Yurievka, 90% did not know whether their community had a risk map.

If given USD 3500, the most common response to how it should be spent on preventive measures was "build a dam." However, some responses ("help victims") indicated that there may be a lack of understanding regarding the difference between disaster prevention and disaster response. Significant differences between the villages were also evident in responses to self-assessed knowledge of climate change (83% considered their knowledge of climate change as "good" in Tösh-Bulak, while only 10% of those in Yurievka gave themselves that rating).

The opinions of the two groups were similar on whether climate change was a serious problem (100% and 86% in Tösh-Bulak and Yurievka, respectively), but differed somewhat on whether climate change affected them or would affect them personally (100% and 32%, respectively).

Tajikistan

In Tajikistan, UNESCO worked with the Aga Khan Agency for Habitat to organize a consultation for villagers from various communities in the Shugnan District (a map is provided in Annex 4). The consultation was held in the village of Varshez on April 16, 2019. Village heads and a

central government official were also invited to attend the presentations discussions, as local support for the project will be critical to its success.



Varshez (TAJ) Consultation (Photo Credit:).

In terms of historical climate events and natural disasters, participants recalled several events in the recent and more distant past. For example, participants recounted that because of debris flow in 1958, one bridge, one house, main road, a water mill, and croplands were completely destroyed. Participants also noted the harsh winters and hard snows in 2011, which brought economic and social losses to the community of Varshez. During that winter, the main road was blocked for 15 days, which resulted in an increase in the cost of food, other essentials, and fodder for animals. The snows also damaged infrastructure, such as canals. Participants noted a similar situation in other parts of the Gund Valley. In addition, an avalanche affected the community in 2015, when two people died, and a 2016 earthquake affected many houses in Varshez and Imom. The facilitators noted that "Around 671 hh, 8 schools, 5 health center, 2 library, more than 13 mini shops, main road, 9 bridge, cropland are under debris flow. Based on the community responses and AKAH data the mentioned threats can affect local schools, main roads, health centers, library of both Varshez, Imom and Shazud."

Participants stated that if they had 3500 USD to spend on disaster risk reduction, they would do the following: relocate houses to safer sites, stabilize the riverbank, dig trenches and drainage ditches, construct the basement of the houses at highest level possible, or plant trees. They were also asked about the project, which was described during the consultation, and they made the following recommendations: 1) It would be ideal if the project could work with the sub-district volunteers that work with AKAH by involving them in trainings and other activities; 2) The project should increase the number of trainings and campaigns/evacuation drills for all communities, especially in remote areas; and 3) The project should offer separate trainings or information sessions on the topics of climate change and first aid.

Uzbekistan

In Uzbekistan, UNESCO worked with a national expert, Maxim Petrov, to conduct consultations in the villages of Pskem and Tepar on April 6 and 7, 2019. The consultations included active participation by both men and women, and they included a wide range of ages and social groups. Participants included community members of four nationalities: Tajik, Uzbek, Kazakh, and Kyrgyz.



Tepar Consultation, UZB (Photo Credit: M. Petrov)

In Tepar, participants recalled the 2001 floods, during which one person was killed, three were injured, and the bridge was washed out. Two participants remembered a 1969 mudslide. In Pskem, participants recalled floods, mudslides, and an avalanche in the late 1950s and 1960s. One participant mentioned a 2018 mudslide in Urungachsai.



Pskem Consultation

Opinions about community assistance and disaster recovery were highly consistent across both communities consulted. Overall, 100% of those consulted agreed with the statement that people in their village helped each other during and after natural disasters. Likewise, 77% of respondents felt that it was the government's responsibility to assist with disaster recovery (followed by 16% of people who felt that people themselves were responsible—note that more than one answer to the question was permitted).

The vast majority of the respondents (84%) had not taken any measures to reduce disaster risk to their families or property. 94% said that were they to receive USD 3500 for disaster risk reduction measures, they would spend it, although there was no specification on how.

Self-assessed climate change knowledge was quite low: 74% of respondents felt that they did not know about climate change, while other respondents answered "poor" and "a little." The most positive assessment was "not bad" (1 respondent). Nonetheless, climate change was perceived by 74% of the respondents as "a serious problem" and 94% of respondents agreed with the statement "Do you think that climate change is affecting you personally, or that it will affect you personally?" As one respondent wrote, "We all live on Planet Earth, and climate change affects us all."⁶

More than half of the community members surveyed in Uzbekistan (52%) reported getting their information about natural disasters by text message from the Ministry of Emergency Situations. The next most common source of information was via other villagers (16%), followed by a telephone call from the Ministry (6%). It should be noted that 23% of those consulted said that they did not receive warnings about natural disasters from any source.

Consultation with Youth and Young Professionals in DRR

On 13-14 March 2019, UNESCO held a Regional Workshop on Mobilization of Youth and Young Professionals in Science for Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) in Central Asia in Almaty, Kazakhstan. The workshop gathered 30 young women and men between the ages of 18 and 32 who expressed a willingness to take on DRR challenges in the region and work on natural hazards issues. The participants were bachelors and masters students and young researchers in institutes and national agencies related to natural hazards from Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan and Uzbekistan. In the course of the workshop, the organizers collected 20 questionnaires that were similar to those used in community consultations from these participants.

Survey participants noted 20 natural disasters across the region from 1998 to 2018 based on their knowledge and personal experience. They included the following:

- "In 2009, floods, mudflows and landslides occurred on the Pamir River. As a result, the village was washed away."
- "The Pamir earthquake in 2015, Murghab, Tajikistan."
- "Flooding in Tajikistan in 2009, the Kulob town."
- "The flood in Darvaza border area in Tajikistan, summer 2018."
- 2012-2013 floods in Kulob town."
- "Flood on Talas River, Amanbaevo village, on February 13, 2015."
- "In 2008 in the village of Nura, the Kyrgyz Republic there was an earthquake of 8 on the Richter scale."
- "Yes, in 2018 in the southern region of Uzbekistan there was a flood and mudflows. The Ministry of Emergency Situations of Uzbekistan evacuated about 500 households to safe zones."
- "The flood in Shakhimardan in 1998."
- "Rainfall mudflows became frequent due to climate change (Bolshaya Almatinka river, June 2006)"

⁶ Tepar consultation.

- "More than 1600 mm of precipitation fell in the Fergana Valley (Uzbekistan). Mudflow in the area of Lake Kolsai (Kazakhstan) in March 2018. 35 mm of precipitation fell, which is 180% of the norm. In February 2015, a very early thunderstorm was observed in Almaty, which is an amazing phenomenon. In March 2019, flooding in the East Kazakhstan region."
- "The landslide in Kolsai 19 April 2018."
- "Floods due to frequent rains in 2010, 2017 and 2018."
- "In 2015, flooding in Nauryzbai district of Almaty. 200 houses were restored."

As regards damage caused by disasters, participants identified loss of life, the destruction of houses, and household flooding. Participants also suggested that in the future the average temperature would continue to rise, the melting of glaciers would accelerate, and the number of natural disasters would increase.

Most of the participants receive information about floods and other dangerous situations on TV, via the Internet and to a lesser extent by SMS alert. Their experience shows that during disasters, residents of the affected region, and sometimes the whole country, help each other with food, clothes and medicines, and sometimes provide temporary housing. More than half of the respondents certain that the state is responsible for recovery after natural disasters, since they are primarily in charge of emergency risks.

With regard to the risks of houses and buildings, survey participants to a greater degree believe that all buildings alongside rivers have a high risk, and houses with low and weak foundations are at risk. According to more than half of the participants, there are schools, hospitals and roads prone to flooding throughout the region. As an example, one of the participants pointed to a school in Kyzyagash, a village in the Almaty region of Kazakhstan.

Also, most of the participants are aware of the existence of maps showing areas prone to natural hazards. It should be noted, however, that the majority of respondents are employees of institutions and government agencies in the field of natural hazards.

30% of participants claim that they constructed a bypass channel to protect their own homes from floods, and 20% prepared alarming suitcases. Moreover 7 out of 15 people said that if they had 1.5 million tenge (approximately USD 3500), they would have spent it to protect the house from floods and mudflows.

On the gender issue of women's vulnerability, the votes were equally divided, 50% of respondents believe that women and men are equally and differently affected by floods and other significant weather events. Despite this, 45% of respondents says that women are actively involved in the place of management, but it is still necessary to expand the role of women in disaster risk reduction issues at the local level.

By the end, more than half of survey participants responded that they are well aware of the climate change subject, and that air pollution, global warming and anthropogenic factors contribute to climate change. And as a result of climate change, most people see the melting of glaciers and the greenhouse effect. All respondents believe that climate change is a serious problem for the region, and all have confirmed that climate change personally affects each of the participants and residents of the region.

Project Proposal Validation Workshop

Finally, on July 24, 2019, UNESCO organized a Stakeholder Validation Workshop in Almaty, Kazakhstan. A list of participants is provided hereby. Representatives from all participating countries provided current information on government and non-governmental initiatives. and representatives from the pilot communities also attended the workshop. Stakeholders expressed their support for the project, and no serious objections were raised. Participants emphasized the need for outreach and awareness raising at the level of governmental decisionmakers, and several participants emphasized the importance of using the science-based assessments in the first two project components to underpin the activities in the other three components. The discussion also resulted in the identification of the CESDRR Centre as a conduit for information, and its annual inter-ministerial forums to act as a mechanism to exchange project findings for policy making. A field visit was organized in collaboration with the Kazakh authorities to a GLOF-prone area in the vicinities of Almaty, during which participants got acquainted with the consequences of mudflows in vicinities of Almaty, visited the mudflow protection dam and familiarized themselves with the work of Kazakh authorities as well as scientific institutions in addressing risks from debris flows, including those that originate from GLOFs.



Participants of the final project proposal validation workshop, UN house, Almaty, 24 July 2019



Participants of the final project proposal validation workshop, visiting GLOF prone area in vicinities of Almaty, Essyk town

Project proposal validation workshop

List of participants

#	Name	Affiliation	Contacts			
	Kazakhstan					
1	Ainur Kopbayeva	Ministry of Ecology, Geology and Natural Resources	a.kopbayeva@energo.gov.kz			
2	Akhmetkal Medeu	Institute of Geography	ingeo_2009@mail.ru			
3	Svetlana Dolgikh	Kazhydromet	svetlana_dolgikh@mail.ru			
4	Yerlan Alibekov	Committee of Emergency Situations				
5	Yerlan Iskakov	MFA Representative in Almaty				
6	Saltanat Sabitova	MFA Representative in Almaty				
	Kyrgyz Republic					
7	Bolot Moldobekov	CAIAG	b.moldobekov@caiag.kg			
8	Kyialbek Kurganbaev	Ministry of Emergency Situations	kyalbek78@mail.ru			
9	Rasul Baikhadzhaev	Kyrgyzhydromet	spg@meteo.kg			
10	Ryskeldi Asankhodzhaev	Kyrgyzhydromet	spg@meteo.kg			
Tajikistan						

24 July 2019 Almaty, Kazakhstan

-		-	-		
		Glacier Research Center,			
11 Abdulhamid Kayumov		Academy of Sciences of	abdkaumov@mail.ru		
		Tajikistan			
12	Karimjon Abdualimov	Tajikhydromet	abdualimov@mail.ru		
12 Nilufor Nozirovo		Committee of Environmental	nilufar nazirova@mail.ru		
15		Protection			
11	Shurbation Shoismatov	Committee for Emergency	ume mehe ti@mail.ru		
14	Shuffatjon Shoismatov	Situations and Civil Defense	unis.mens_g@mail.ru		
		Uzbekistan			
15	Rokzod Khikmatov	Ministry for Emergency	intor@fay.uz		
15	Berzou Kilkillatov	Situations	Intel @1vv:dz		
		Institute of Geology and			
16	Maxim Petrov	Geophysics, Academy of Sciences	maxpetr1962@gmail.com		
		of Uzbekistan			
17	Nadezhda Gavrilenko	Uzhydromet	nadejda.gn@gmail.com		
18	Natalia Agaltseva	Uzhydromet	natalya.agaltseva@gmail.com		
		Turkmenistan			
10	Stanislay Aganoy	"Turkmensuvylymtaslama"	aganov stas@mail ru		
19	Stallislav Agallov	Institute	aganov_stas@mail.ru		
	International Organizations and partners				
20	Ardak Zhanguzhinov	CESDRR			
21	Dmitriy Petrakov	Moscow State University	dpetrakov@gmail.com		
22	Idris Jonmamadov	Aga Khan Agency for Habitat	idris.jonmamadov@akdn.org		
23	Khurshed Abduqodiri	GIZ Tajikistan	khurshed.abduqodiri@giz.de		
24	Larisa Kazantseva	Community representative, Esik town			
25	Maria Shahgedanova	University of Reading	m.shahgedanova@reading.ac.uk		
26	Martin Hoelzle	Fribourg University, department of geosciences	martin.hoelzle@unifr.ch		
~7		Akimat of Enbekshikazakh			
27	Medet Kuletov	district, Esik town			
28	Murat Kasenov	Kazselezaschita	kasenov-1968@mail.ru		
		Public Fund "Center			
29	Pakizat Sailaubekova	"Cooperation for Sustainable	csd.center.projects@gmail.com		
		Development"			
		Mountain Societies Research			
30	Roy Sidle	Institute, University of Central	roy.sidle@ucentralasia.org		
		Asia			
31					
31	Rustam Issakhojayev	CAREC			

		Institute of Geology / Youth		
33	Satbek Sarzhanov	Network for Disaster Risk	sarzhan-satbek@seismology.kz	
		Reduction		
34	Simon Allen	University of Zurich	simon.allen@geo.uzh.ch	
35	Susan Legro	International Expert	susan@ecoltdgroup.com	
36	Vasily Kapitsa	Institute of Geography	vasil.geo@mail.ru	
37	Vitaly Salnikov	Al-Farabi Kazakh National University	vitali.salnikov@kaznu.kz	
38	Yusuf Raimbekov	Aga Khan Agency for Habitat		
39	Zamira Marven	Kazselezaschita	sarsebekovazamira1@gmail.com	
40	Dhergalbek Ukashev	CESDRR	ukashev_g@mail.ru	
41	Adilzhan Atanov	Volunteer		
UNESCO				
42	Anil Mishra	UNESCO HQ Paris	a.mishra@unesco.org	
43	Kristine Tovmasyan	UNESCO Almaty	k.tovmasjana@unesco.org	
11	Yagshimyrat	LINESCO Almoty	v appamuradov@uposco.org	
44	Annamyradov		y.annaniuradov@unesco.org	
45	Natalya Kim	UNESCO Almaty	n.kim@unesco.org	
46	Serikzhan Atanov	UNESCO Almaty	s.atanov@unesco.org	
47	Anaer Yeerjiang	UNESCO Almaty	a.yeerjang@unesco.org	
48	Aigul Kudiyarova	UNESCO Almaty	a.kudiyarova@unesco.org	

This assessment is designed to conform to guidance from the Adaptation Fund Board on Gender.⁷ It is seen as one component of the project's holistic approach to gender throughout the project cycle in the following way:

- This document represents a gender analysis as recommended under AF procedures.
- The **project framework** includes gender-specific activities, such as working to maximize women's participation in local risk reduction planning. It also includes targets for women's meaningful participation, and the project monitoring and evaluation budget supports the collection of gender-disaggregated data.
- In addition, the project will monitor the **share of women and men who are direct project beneficiaries**, and it will also monitor the nature of these benefits.
- Finally, project targets and activities will be monitored in **project reporting**, both in annual reports and in the mid-term evaluation and the terminal evaluation.

The initial gender assessment here provides country and regional context on gender issues and identifies areas relevant to project design and implementation in climate change adaptation and specifically for strengthening adaptation to GLOF threats. The inputs for this analysis include a desk study and review of demographic data and research literature, expert consultations, and direct input from women and men in communities at risk of GLOF hazards.

The assessment is followed by a Gender Action Plan that will serve as a guide for project management and M&E activities.

Regional and Country Context

As a UN Women regional summary notes, "The four Central Asian countries' total population of 60 million people is spread out over a vast area nearly as large as the European Union.... Despite the recent decade of economic growth, the four countries face economic development disparities and widely varied labour markets, with dynamic labour migration flows common."⁸

Even in areas where Central Asian countries share common attributes, there are contrasts. On one hand, women have high rates of literacy in Central Asia compared to many other world regions, with a 100% youth literacy rate and a 1.00 gender parity index.⁹ However, women are "Underrepresented in all elected and appointed bodies in Central Asia, women's representation in Central Asia parliaments has sunk to under 22.5 per cent (Kazakhstan – 26...Tajikistan – 17%, Uzbekistan – 22%)."¹⁰ However, the common problems facing women in Central Asia are relatively clear: "Throughout Central Asia, women are disproportionately affected by poverty, gender discrimination, persistent wage gaps between women and men, exploitation and limited opportunities for career advancement."¹¹

Kazakhstan

⁷ AFB 2017.

⁸ UN Women 2018. <u>http://eca.unwomen.org/en/where-we-are/kazakhstan</u>. Accessed May 4, 2018.

⁹ UNESCO 2016: 27.

¹⁰ Ibid.

¹¹ Ibid.

Kazakhstan is the second most populous country in Central Asia, with a population of approximately 18 million people. 44% of people live in rural areas.¹² Women comprise approximately 50.7% of the population, and slightly more than half of the population is under the age of 30. Life expectancy for women in 2015 was 76.9 years, while for men it was 67.5 years.

The 2016 National Human Development report identifies gender inequality as one of the six main sustainable development challenges facing the country.¹³ Inequality also varies in different parts of the country. For example, women's pay differentials vary by administrative region; women earn 18-27% less than men in Almaty and the surrounding administrative region, but 28-37% less in the Kyzylorda region. (NHDR 2016: 35). In some resource extractive regions, the pay gap is magnified by relatively high wages in the mining sector. The percentage of women in public leadership positions also varies by region, with fewer than 12% of women in these positions in Kyzylorda and 18-24% in the Almaty region, and up to 30% in Aktobe and Kostanay.¹⁴

Kyrgyzstan

Kyrgyzstan has a population of approximately 5.8 million people, and approximately 52% are women. The 2016 National Human Development Report found that "According to official 2014 data the share of children living in poverty was 37.9 per cent, and those in extreme poverty was 1.7 per cent."¹⁵ The same report also found that poverty in Kyrgyzstan had a stronger impact on women than on men due to gender gaps in education and equality.

Women's participation in the formal labor market has decreased over the past several decades, but women are relatively active in the informal labor market. ¹⁶ Because women are more likely to have multiple sources of paid income than men, one study noted that "...there is a significant difference in employment strategies between women and men. The traditional terminology of 'poverty' and 'unemployment' does not adequately reflect gender differences in the position of women in the labor market."¹⁷ Rural women face special challenges related to employment, and UN Women, FAO, IFAD and WFP cooperated on the Acceleration of Rural Women's Economic Empowerment (ARWEE) programme, which was designed to increase rural women's meaningful participation in local decision-making and development planning processes.

Tajikistan

The population of Tajikistan is approximately 8.4 million people. 49.5% of the population is male. 34.3% of the population is under the age of 15, and that figure includes more than 1.2 million girls.¹⁸ As a UN Women summary notes, "In rural areas, nearly 75 per cent of the people live below the poverty line. Women suffer disproportionately from this poverty. For every 100,000 live births, 65 women die from pregnancy-related causes; the adolescent birth rate is 42.8 per 1000 live births. The highest risk of poverty occurs in households headed by women,

¹² Source: Statistical Committee of the Republic of Kazakhstan

¹³ NHDR 2016:8.

¹⁴ Ibid.: 36 (figures for 2013).

¹⁵ Khasanov et al 2016: 25.

¹⁶ Ibraeva 2011: 5.

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ Source: State Statistical Commission 2016.

the uneducated heads or with many children." The same summary notes that women's representation in politics and decision-making is below international standards.¹⁹

Women in Tajikistan also face special problems related to the country's status as the highest source of outbound migrant labor in the region. Nearly 1 in 5 citizens work in other countries, and in 2014 their remittances totaled 41.7% of the national GDP.²⁰

As the UN Women summary notes, "Most of the emigrants are male. Their left-behind or abandoned wives become de-facto heads of households, solely responsible for generating family income – despite limited access to education, resources, micro-credit, social protection and employment, particularly in rural settings. In 2009, IOM reported 300,000 abandoned households, 70 per cent of them with children.

"Abandonment is exacerbated by the negative social norms and traditional attitudes to women's status and rights within the family and society. Tajik parents often put more resources into boys as future breadwinners, creating a bleak future for girls and severely limiting women's economic self-sufficiency."²¹

Finally, it is notable that the participation of women in Tajikistan in decision-making related to disaster preparedness and response is rare.²²

Uzbekistan

Uzbekistan is the most populous country in Central Asia, with one third of the region's population, or more than 32 million people.²³ Two thirds of this population is younger than age 30. Despite steady economic growth in the last decade, the impact of economic growth on improving livelihoods has been inadequate. Poverty rates are higher in rural areas, and while differences in the rates between rural and urban areas decreased from 8% in 2001 to 6.7% in 2013, they still exist.²⁴ Disparities in economic and social development remain not only between rural and urban areas but also between regions of the country. Poverty in Uzbekistan has distinct rural and regional dimensions: 49.2% of people live in rural areas²⁵; 47% of the southern provinces are classified as poor, and 27% as extremely poor. This "development gap" can be explained by the fact that economic growth since 2001 has occurred mainly in regions with strong manufacturing sectors, extractive industries, and modern services.

Women comprise approximately 50.4% of the population, although there are fewer women than men in urban areas (993.0 per thousand) and more in rural areas (1013.5 per thousand). Gender assessments focusing on Uzbekistan generally concur that there are two different trends in the development of gender equality. On one hand, women have relatively high levels of equality in access to education and health outcomes. On the other hand, women face barriers to access to economic opportunities and to political and public participation.²⁶

¹⁹ <u>http://eca.unwomen.org/en/where-we-are/tajikistan</u>. Accessed May 4, 2018.

²⁰ Ibid.

²¹ Ibid.

²² Ibid.

²³ Source: State Committee of Statistics of the Republic of Uzbekistan, see https://stat.uz/ru/ofitsialnaya-statistika/demografiya-i-trud/demograficheskie-pokazateli

²⁴ *Millenium Development Goals Report: Uzbekistan 2015*: p. 18.

²⁵ Ministry of Economy of Uzbekistan (2011).

²⁶ ADB 2012; CER 2015.

Participation rates for women in the labor force are only 47.9%, as compared to 61.4% for men.²⁷ However, the share of women's employment has increased slightly from 2000-2013 – latest year for data – from 44% to 45.7%.²⁸ While national gender-differentiated employment statistics are not available, ILO-modeled estimates indicate that female unemployment was approximately 10.8% of the female labor force in 2014 (estimates for male unemployment as a part of the male labor force in 2014 were 10.4%. Both figures represented a slight decline from estimates for the year 2000 (11.0% and 10.7%, respectively). Women's positions at work vary depending on the size of the business in question: in 2012, women ran 40.4% of small enterprises and 13.7% of microenterprises were run by women.²⁹

Gender Equality Policy and Institutional Framework

CEDAW and CEDAW reporting

Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, and Uzbekistan are all signatories of the UN Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW). As a signatory, each country files periodic reports to the Convention. Kazakhstan has not filed a periodic report since its second report, which was filed in 2005. Kyrgyzstan last filed a periodic report in 2007, when it filed its third periodic report. Tajikistan filed a combined first, second, and third report in 2005. Uzbekistan most recently filed its fifth periodic report, which it presented to the CEDAW Committee in November 2015.

Country CEDAW reports for these countries have not mentioned discrimination or organizations and measures to address discrimination in the area of disaster preparedness, response, or decision-making.

Relevant Legislation and Institutions

Key policies and regulations related to gender in the Central Asia region are listed in Table 1.

Table A3.1: Key	Gender-Related Legislation and Institutions in Central Asia	
10010111110	Condon riolatoa Eogloladon ana moditationo in Condian riola	

County	Primary and Secondary Legislation	Key Government Agencies
Kazakhstan	Law on State Guarantees of Equal Rights and Equal Opportunities of Men and Women (2009, amended 2013) Strategy for Gender Equality	TheNationalCommitteeforWomen'sandFamilyAffairsunderPresidentofKazakhstan
Kyrgyzstan	Law on State Guarantees for Ensuring Gender Equality (2003) Decree on Measures for Gender Policy Improvement (2006)	

²⁷ UNDP Country Programme Document 2016-2020 (2015).

²⁸ Center for Economic Research, 2015: 38.

²⁹ Ibid.: 38.

	National Action Plan on Gender Equality (2015-2017)	
Tajikistan	Constitution of the Republic of Tajikistan National Strategy for Enhancing the Role of Women in the Republic of Tajikistan	The Committee for Women's and Family Affairs (The Women's Committee)
	Constitution of the Republic of Uzbekistan	Women's Committee of Uzbekistan
Uzbekistan	Country Welfare Improvement Strategies	Parliamentary Committees on Labor and Social Protection

Source: legislationonline.org; ADB.

It should also be noted that non-governmental organizations can play significant roles in women's awareness-raising and empowerment, and there are examples of NGOs that address women's issues in all participating countries (e.g. the Forum for Women's NGOs in Kyrgyzstan, which is a founder and coordinator of the Central Asian Forum of Women's NGOs).³⁰

In addition, all of the participating countries have adopted the 2015 UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). As a result, they have committed to progress under SDG 5: "Achieve gender equality and empower all women and girls." As a result, countries are to take steps to achieve this goal, to achieve nine specific targets that include ensuring the provision of necessary public services and infrastructure (5.4) and ensuring women's access to communication technologies (5.B), and to monitor progress towards the targets established.

Relative Measures of Gender Equality and Discrimination

Gender Development Index (GDI)

In 2014, UNDP introduced a new measure into its Human Development Reports: the GDI. This measure is based on the sex-disaggregated Human Development Index, which is defined as a ratio of the female to the male HDI. As such, the GDI is meant to identify gender inequalities in three basic dimensions of human development: health (measured by female and male life expectancy at birth), education (measured by female and male expected years of schooling for children and mean years for adults aged 25 years and older); and command over economic resources (measured by female and male estimated GNI per capita). The 2016 GDI values for Central Asian countries and their relative global rankings are as follows:

County	GDI	Global Rank
Kazakhstan	1.006	56
Kyrgyzstan	.967	120
Tajikistan	.930	129
Uzbekistan	.946	105

Table A3.2: GDI Values and Relative Ranking by Country (2016)³¹

³⁰ <u>http://forumofwomenngos.kg/about-us-2/</u> Accessed May 4, 2018.

³¹ UNDP 2017. Human Development Data. hdr.undp.org Accessed May 4, 2018.

Social Institutions and Gender Index (SIGI)

This measure, which has been developed by the OECD and results in a score between 0 and 1, clusters 108 countries into five levels of discrimination: very low, low, medium, high and very high. In 2014, the SIGI value for Kazakhstan was .1196, which placed it in the category of "low" levels of discrimination. The SIGI value for Kyrgyzstan (.1598) placed it in the "medium" category in terms of discrimination, as did the SIGI values for Tajikistan (.1393) and Uzbekistan (0.1475).³²

Global Gender Gap Index (GGGI)

The World Economic Forum also calculates a gender index: the Global Gender Gap Index. Uzbekistan is not among the 142 countries covered by the World Economic Forum's Global Gender Gap Reports. However, the other participating countries are ranked: Kazakhstan is 52nd, Kyrgyzstan is 85th, and Tajikistan is 95th. These countries all outperform the neighboring countries in the Caucasus region, but Kyrgyzstan and Tajikistan are still among the lowest rated countries in the region.³³

Gender Statistics

Countries in Central Asia have undertaken various statistical exercises related to gender. A 2013 ADB report noted that while substantial progress had been made in the area of generating and reporting gender disaggregated statistics in the Central Asian region, the data "lack a strategic focus on the relevant gender inequality issues in a country."³⁴ For example, the State Statistics Committee in Kazakhstan has periodically published a compendium of gender statistics entitled "Women and Men in Kazakhstan,"³⁵ and in October 2017, an expert from Kazakhstan presented at the 11th annual meeting of the Inter-Agency and Expert Group on Gender Statistics under UN ECOSOC. In Kyrgyzstan, a similar "Women and Men" statistical compendium has also been published by the National Statistics Portal,³⁶ and a similar portal has been launched in Tajikistan³⁷ supported by a technical assistance project (2014-2016) from the Asian Development Bank.

Gender Issues in CC Adaption and DRR

A consultative group meeting for the Global Facility for DRR found that women "typically face greater mortality, health risks, and domestic and sexual violence in hazard events...." and may face greater challenges to accessing social protection mechanisms such as insurance and safety nets."³⁸ The same study suggested "Increasing access to information and participation in risk management and early warning systems."

³² OECD 2016. <u>http://www.genderindex.org/ranking. Accessed May 4</u>, 2018.

³³ World Economic Forum 2017: 16-20.

³⁴ Klasen et al 2013: 2-3.

³⁵ http://stat.gov.kz/

³⁶ http://gender.stat.uz/

³⁷ http://www.stat.tj/en/Gender6/gender12/

³⁸ GFDRR 2016: 5.

In addition, the study noted that humanitarian response efforts also run the risk that postdisaster needs assessments will fail to capture and compensate the unpaid work that women do in their communities, and the possible increase in their unpaid work following disasters in the form of caring for affected community members. Two conclusions of the study are directly relevant to the proposed project:

- "Women's economic empowerment is key to resilience— removing constraints to economic activities and increasing women's participation in income-earning opportunities and access to productive assets in pre and post-disaster context.
- Need to better capture gender disaggregated and losses in post-disaster assessments."³⁹

Other literature has addressed women's higher vulnerability to natural disasters. For example, "Studies have shown that disaster fatality rates are much higher for women than for men due, in large part, to gendered differences in capacity to cope with such events and insufficient access to information and early warnings."⁴⁰ The same review indicates that there are additional factors, such as a "direct relationship between women's risk of being killed during disasters and their socio-economic status."⁴¹ That said, country-specific knowledge of the differential effects of climate change impacts on women and different coping strategies used is at its inception, and there are many knowledge gaps.⁴² Furthermore, efforts that might provide this information are not fully supported; for example, in Tajikistan, the work plan of the Women's Committee for the support of its chapters includes climate change, but it has no dedicated financing.⁴³

However, it is also important to keep in mind the <u>2018 CEDAW general recommendation on</u> <u>gender-related dimensions of DRR in the context of climate change</u>: "The vulnerability and exposure of women and girls to disaster risk and climate change are economically, socially and culturally constructed and can be reduced."⁴⁴ In addition, "The categorization of women and girls as passive 'vulnerable groups' in need of protection from the impact of disasters is a negative gender stereotype that fails to recognize the important contributions to disaster risk reduction, post-disaster management and climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies that women are already making."⁴⁵

The recommendation notes that in order to be compliant with the Convention, countries must ensure that their policies and programs related to DRR are consistent with three general principles: equality and non-discrimination, participation and empowerment, and access to justice (para. 27). The recommendation also states that "parties should take concrete, targeted, and measurable steps to:

 (a) Identify and eliminate all forms of discrimination, including intersecting forms of discrimination, against women in disaster risk reduction and climate change policies, legislation, policies, programmes, plans, and other activities. Priority should be accorded to addressing discrimination in relation to the ownership, access, use, disposal, control, governance and inheritance of property, land and natural resources, as well as barriers

⁴¹ Ibid.: 3.

³⁹ Ibid.

⁴⁰ Ikeda in UNDP 2013: 5.

⁴² ADB 2014: xiii.

⁴³ ADB 2016: 10.

⁴⁴ CEDAW (2018): 3.

⁴⁵ UNISDR and UNDP in CEDAW (2018): 4.

that impede the exercise by women of full legal capacity and autonomy in areas such as freedom of movement and equal access to economic, social and cultural rights including food, health, work and social protection. Women and girls should also be empowered through specific policies, programmes and strategies so that they are able to exercise their right to seek, receive and impart information related to climate change and disaster risk reduction;

(b) Create effective mechanisms to guarantee that the rights of women and girls are a primary consideration in devising measures on disaster risk reduction and climate change at the local, national, regional and international levels. Measures must be taken to ensure that quality infrastructure and critical services are available, accessible and culturally acceptable, for all women and girls on a basis of equality.⁴⁶

Gender Issues Related to GLOF Threats

Risk perception literature has addressed GLOFs, but the findings are at times contradictory. That said, one study in Nepal found that "Interview results of the present study support the conclusion that women possess higher sensitivity to the threat of hazards. More women (42 per cent) said they were afraid of an impending GLOF event, while only a few male respondents (22 per cent) said they perceived a GLOF risk."⁴⁷

Some information on gender-related issues in GLOF DRR projects is also available in the form of case studies and evaluations of completed projects. For example, a 2008 case study from Nepal found that women in some cases faced double discrimination because of gender and because of minority ethnic status.

A terminal evaluation of a GLOF DRR project in Pakistan reported the following: "Women and children are the one who are most vulnerable to disaster as they mostly remain at home and also couldn't escape easily and men could not help if suddenly any disaster take place as most of the men will be in off farm employments mainly away from the village. Project therefore made efforts to include women in activities that were not seen offensive culturally and that provide practical knowledge to safeguard in disaster events. Project organised 107 awareness workshops which were participated by 2375 local women. Project also conducted DRM training for disabled men and women. Besides, some additional workshop on DRM planning, consultation workshop, provincial DRM planning meeting, meeting for disabled men and women were also conducted. Similarly, women were also made aware through Radio awareness programs and IEC material distribution. Also women are included purposely and they are represented in the village DRM committees. But due to nature of the work and cultural barrier, women were not included in other laborious activities like EWS, repairing of trail, construction of walls, bio-engineering, monitoring of Glaciers and training on rescue operations."⁴⁸ That project also conducted specific workshops for women, and included these workshops for women as an activity-level indicator.49

⁴⁶ CEDAW 2018: 8-9.

⁴⁷ Dahal and Hagelman 2011: 5.6.

⁴⁸ Rijal and Ali 2015: 16-17.

⁴⁹ Ibid.: 28.



Community Consultation in Pskem, Uzbekistan (April 2017).

Project-Specific Gender Issues

During the project formulation stage, the project formulation team organized community consultations in each participating country. Efforts were made to include women and men in equal numbers, and the resulting participation in the consultations ranged from 29% women in the consultations in Uzbekistan to 46% women in the consultations in Kazakhstan (4-country average: 38%).

In order to get a sense of information and attitudes about gender and climate change, two questions were included in the community surveys. One asked "Would you say that women and men are affected differently by floods and other significant weather events? How?" The other asked "How much would you say that women participate in local government? In community meetings?" Answers to these questions varied significantly from village to village. For questions about gender-differentiated effects, 41% of respondents in Kazakhstan felt that women and men were affected differently, while 21% felt that they were not affected differently, and 37% didn't know. In Kyrgyzstan, 55% of the respondents felt that women and men were affected differently, while 21% didn't know. In Tajikistan, the consensus among participants seemed to be that there were gender differences in how men and women were affected. In Uzbekistan, 83% of those answering the question saw a gender difference, while 13% (n = 3) did not, and 4% (n = 1) didn't know.

Most respondents did not elaborate on how gender influenced the effects of natural disasters, although more than one respondent noted that men's roles were to help women and children relocate during a natural disaster. Other respondents stated that women were more susceptible to stress, took responsibility for children, and were weaker.

Interestingly, when young DRR researchers and professionals were asked the same questions, their answers differed.⁵⁰ For example, the respondents were evenly divided as to whether women and men were affected differently by natural disasters. Given that this group will be studying and working in the DRR sector, it may be important to communicate international consensus on gender-related dimensions of DRR.

Recommendations

In general, the project should encourage women's participation, empowerment, and access to justice in all project activities as recommended in the CEDAW General Recommendation No. 37. Specific action items are included in the proposed Action Plan on the following page.

It should also be noted that the project provides an excellent opportunity to study how improvements in DRR generally and in early warning systems specifically may affect men and women differently. The project should not only collect gender-disaggregated data, but it should provide this data and other project findings to other organizations and promote the use of this information in reporting to relevant UN conventions. In addition, the project provides an opportunity to develop community-level DRR programming that includes a specific focus on women and girls, including their roles in the community, communication channels, baseline risk reduction and adaptation activities, and needs during and after GLOFs. Project interventions that are designed and implemented using a gendered approach should be documented and shared as a part of the project's knowledge management activities.

Gender Action Plan

The IE notes that it is responsible for providing support on gender capacity to executing entities and local communities and stakeholders as per AFB 2017 (II.10). Through project design and implementation, the IE will comply with key UN mandates on gender equality and the empowerment of women, including the 1979 Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination of Women (CEDAW), the 1995 Beijing Declaration and Platform of Action, and the Sustainable Development Goals.

⁵⁰ See Annex 2 of this document for a description of the DRR and Youth consultation.
Objective	Action	Indicator	Responsible Institution
Output 1			
Ensure gender-balanced participation in GLOF monitoring and mapping and supporting capacity strengthening	Establish an appropriate target for women researchers' participation in the mapping exercise and development of monitoring protocol. Monitor levels of participation and adjust outreach strategy as needed.	At least a certain percent (TBD) of participating researchers are women [OR the project actively encourages the participation of women researchers in the mapping exercise and in capacity strengthening activities]	PIU, participating banks
Output 2			
Support active women's participation in the vulnerability and exposure maps developed for endangered communities and in local risk reduction plans	Consult with women of diverse backgrounds during the assessment and mapping process Monitor the participation of women in the activities and ensure that activities are scheduled for appropriate times and places Ensure an appropriate mix of male and female project workers to conduct focus groups and planning activities	At least three women's focus groups convened during the vulnerability assessment and local risk reduction consultations. Number of instances where gender issues are incorporated into the findings of the vulnerability assessment and the local risk reduction plans	PIU, NIEs
Output 3			
Ensure women's participation in the development of early warning systems.	Provide administrative support to encourage women's participation in the placement of the EWS and in identification of evacuation routes and safe zones. Determine roles for EWS operation and maintenance that are sensitive	Number of men and women participating in placement activities.	PIU, NIEs

	to cultural norms		
Output 4		<u> </u>	
Ensure that training related to the	Ensure that women receive	Number of women participating in	PILL PR contractor local partners
Elistice that training related to the	sufficient information to	community assessment and	FIG, FIC contractor, local partners
adaptation measures reaches both	participate meaningfully in	planning activities	
man and woman	simulation oversizes and other	plaining activities.	
men and women		Condex motile of nexidents in vilat	
	means as needed.	Gender profile of residents in pilot	
		communities participating in drills.	
	Liaise with local women's		
	committee organizations when	Number of women attending	
	providing training on DRM and	training and information sessions	
	ensure that training times and	(absolute numbers and as a % of	
	languages consider women's	total participants).	
	needs.		
Output 5			
Women and men have equal	Use information on women's	Percentage of website visitors that	PIU, portal contractor
access to information generated by	media preferences to target	are women	
project activities.	outreach and knowledge products		
		Number of women (absolute and	
		as a % of total users) who report	
		receiving information distributed	
		by the project about GLOF hazards	
		and risk reduction measures	
Monitoring and Evaluation / Project	Management		
Increase understanding of how	Undertake gender-disaggregated	Gender-disaggregated data are	PILI
project henefits may vary by	surveys on project outcomes	available	110
gender	surveys on project outcomes		
gender	Ensure equal participation in	Report on gender differences in	
	bottom up roporting machanisms	project bapefits: e.g. changes in	
	and include women (and girls as	risk percention	
	and include women (and gins as	risk perception	
	appropriate) in monitoring		
	Consult both man and warraw in		
Raise awareness regarding GLOFs,	the development of severation	increase in awareness levels	PIU
early warning systems, and DRM	the development of promotional		
	materials	reduction measures among both	
		men and women	

	Collect baseline data on awareness and knowledge levels among men and women	Baseline data available for both men and women	
	Assess the most appropriate communication channels for information, keeping in mind that they may be different for women and men.	Project communication strategy that reflects both men's and women's communication channels	
Ensure that the Project Implementation Unit and national partners have a solid understanding of gender mainstreaming in project implementation	Offer a training block on gender mainstreaming (with an emphasis on data collection, participation strategies, and gender and energy issues) during the project inception workshop.	Training block on gender mainstreaming in the project inception workshop and/or utilization of UNESCO eLearning unit on gender mainstreaming.	PIU, UNESCO
	Ensure that women have leadership roles in project implementation	Project organogram indicates women in leadership roles	

Sources

Adaptation Fund Board. 2017. Guidance Document for Implementing Entities on Compliance with the Adaptation Fund Gender Policy.

Asian Development Bank. 2016. Tajikistan Country Gender Assessment. Manila: ADB.

Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW). 2018. General Recommendation No. 37 on Gender-related dimensions of disaster risk reduction in the context of climate change. CEDAW/C/GC/37. 7 February 2018.

Khasanov, Rafkat et al. 2016. National Human Development Report: Trade and Development in Kyrgyzstan. Bishkek: UNDP in the Kyrgyz Republic.

Klasen, Stephan, et al. 2013. "Gender Statistics in Development Planning for Central and West Asia." Manila: ADB, July 2013.

Dahal, Khila Raj and Ronald Hagelman III. "People's risk perception of glacial lake outburst flooding: a case of Tsho Rolpa Lake, Nepal" in Environmental Hazards. Volume 10, Issue 2 (June 2011): 154-170.

Global Facility for Disaster Reduction and Recovery (GFDRR). 2016. Gender Action Plan: 2016-2020. Fall 2016 Consultative Group meeting. Washington: GFDRR.

Ibraeva, G., et al. 2011. World Development Report 2012 Gender Equality and Development Background Paper. Kyrgyz Country Case Study. Washington, DC: World Bank.

Ikeda, K., 'Gender Differences in Human Loss and Vulnerability in Natural Disasters: A Case Study from Bangladesh', *Indian Journal of Gender Studies*, 2:2: 171–93, 1995; Neumayer, E., and T. Plumper, 'The Gendered Nature of Natural Disasters: The Impact of Catastrophic Events on the Gender Gap in Life Expectancy, 1981-2002', *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, issue 97, 1997; Oxfam, 'The Tsunami's Impact on Women', Briefing Note, March 2005. In Habtezion, Senay et al. 2013. *Gender and Disaster Risk Reduction*. New York: UNDP.

National Human Development Report. 2016. Sustainable Development Goals and Capability Based Development in Regions of Kazakhstan. Astana: UNDP, 2016.

OECD. 2016. Social Institutions and Gender Index (SIGI). http://www.genderindex.org/ranking. Accessed May 4, 2018.

Rijal, Arun and Jawad Ali. 2015. "Reducing Risks and Vulnerabilities from Glacial Lake Outburst Floods in Northern Pakistan: Report of the Terminal Evaluation Mission (UNDP-AF-Govt of Pakistan)."

UN. 2012. UN System-wide Action Plan (UN-SWAP) for implementation of its policy on gender equality and the empowerment of women (CEB/2006/2).

UNDP. 2011. "Ensuring Gender Equity in Climate Change Financing." New York: UNDP.

UNDP. 2010. "Clean Development Mechanism: Exploring the Gender Dimensions of Climate Finance Mechanisms." New York, UNDP.

UNESCO. 2016. Global Education Monitoring Report 2016: Gender Review: Creating Sustainable Futures for All. Paris: UNESCO.

UNISDR. 2015. Global Assessment Report on Disaster Risk Reduction: Risk and Poverty in a Changing Climate.

UN Women. 2014. Guidance on the Development of Gender Equality the Empowerment of Women Policies. New York: UN Women Coordination Division, May 2014.

UN Women. 2018. Europe and Central Asia: Kazakhstan http://eca.unwomen.org/en/where-we-are/kazakhstan

UN Women. 2018. Europe and Central Asia: Tajikistan. http://eca.unwomen.org/en/where-we-are/tajikistan

World Bank. 2011. "Integrating Gender Issues in Community-Based Disaster Risk Management. East Asia and the Pacific Region Sustainable Development Guidance Note; No. 4." World Bank, Washington, DC. © World Bank. https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/26532 License: CC BY 3.0 IGO.

World Economic Forum. 2017. The Global Gender Gap Report. Geneva: WEF. <u>http://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_GGGR_2017.pdf</u> <u>Accessed May 4</u>, 2018. The following table provides an overview of the demographic characteristics of the proposed pilot sites. Descriptions of the sites are provided below by country.

Table A4.1: Summary Characteristics of Pilot Sites

				Prof	ile: Communi	ties				
				Number of		% of				
		# of HHs in	Number of	Men in		Women in	# of Ethnic	Vulnerable		
Elderly	Elderly %	village	W in Village	Village	Total	Village	Groups	Children	Women HH	Disabled
3652	11	9294	16961	16239	33200	51	7+	1		5
4290	10	12009	21922	20978	42900	51	4+			2
7942	10	21303	38883	37217	76100	51				7
226	8		1462	1516	2978	49	3	33	0	99
267	6		2279	2215	4494	51				
493	7		3741	3731	7472	50				99
140	13	253	638	468	1106	58			14	
13	7	32	95	97	192	49			0	
33	10	55	159	160	319	50			3	
62	7	116	402	450	852	47			6	NA
15	5	52	142	148	290	49			0	
10	5	14	101	109	210	48			0	
54	8	92	325	347	672	48			2	
12	4	50	162	142	304	53			2	
339	9	664	2024	1921	3945	51			27	
241	26	150	580	350	930	62	4	22	16	6
45	5	72	549	366	915	60	4	20	12	5
286	16	222	1129	716	1845	61	8	42	28	11
9060	10	22189	45777	43585	89362			42	55	117

Additional Notes

<u>Tajikistan</u>



Figure A3.1: Map of affected communities in Tajikistan

Bathymetrical assessments conducted by AKAH specialists jointly with Moscow State University named after Lomonosov indicate that two artificial lakes have formed above Varshez village.



Figure A3.2: Map of affected communities for the Varshez GLOF

One of the lakes poses a greater threat, and if the temperature increases, it may burst, which could cause destruction in five neighboring villages. Approximately 671 households would be destroyed in eight villages, with 3,955 potential casualties.



Table A3.2: Varshez GLOF Parameters and aero-view of the lake



<u>Uzbekistan</u>

Figure A3.1: Map of affected communities in Uzbekistan

The pilot site in Uzbekistan involves two villages in the middle reaches of the Pskem River, Tepar and Pskem. They were selected due to their location, as they are closest to potential GLOF sites. Two glacial lakes are located in the upper reaches of the Pskem River: Shavurkul Lake and Ikhnach Lake, which contain 5 million and 4 million cubic meters of water, respectively. The Pskem river valley is the site of frequent natural disasters in the form of mudslides and landslides.



Shayurkul Lake



Ikhnach Lake

<u>Kazakhstan</u>



Figure A3.3: Map of affected communities in Kazakhstan

In Kazakhstan, the pilot towns Esik and Talgar are located in Almaty region in Tien-Shan foothills, surrounded by ridges. Due to the large number of the glacial lakes prone to outburst, the cities are considered as a disaster- prone area. For example, as a result of the heat and abundant glacier melting, on 7th of July 1963, a mudflow in Esik town, claimed nearly a thousand lives and caused enormous damage to the city infrastructure.

<u>Kyrgyzstan</u>



Figure A3.4: Map of affected communities in Kyrgyzstan

In Kyrgyzstan, the pilot sites Tosh-Bulak and Yurevka are locating in the mudflow risk zone, in the north central part of the country in Ala-Too. Sokuluk river basin, where Tosh-Bulak and Yurevka villages are located, ranked number one, as per quantity of glacier lakes with potential to GLOFs, in Kyrgyz Republic. For example, in 1983 and 1997 glacial lake outburst floods were recorded, which have brought enormous economical damage.

ADB	Asian Development Bank
AF	Adaptation Fund
AKAH	Aga Khan Agency for Habitat
CA	Central Asia
CCA	Climate Change Adaptation
CCDR	Centre for Climate Change and Disaster Reduction (Tajikistan)
CESDRR	Kazakhstan-Kyrgyzstan Center for Emergency Situations and Disaster Risk
	Reduction
DRR	Disaster Risk Reduction
DIPECHO	Disaster Preparedness ECHO Programme
EU	European Union
EWS	Early Warning System
FP	[Adaptation Fund] Funding Proposal
GEF	Global Environmental Facility
GIS	Geographic Information Systems
GLOF	Glacier Lake Outburst Floods
ICIMOD	International Centre for Integrated Mountain Development
IESC	Information and Experience Sharing Committee
IFI	International Financial Institution
M&E	Monitoring and Evaluation
MIE	Multilateral Implementing Entity
MTR	Mid-Term Review
NET	National Execution Teams
NGO	Non-Governmental Organization
PMU	Project Management Unit
PSC	Project Steering Committee
SDG	Sustainable Development Goal
TE	Terminal Evaluation
UNDP	United Nations Development Programme
UNESCO	United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization
UNFCCC	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
UNISDR	United Nations Office for Disaster Risk Reduction
USD	United States Dollar



ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL REVIEW OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY: Regional Project

Countries/Region:	Kazakhstan, <mark>Kyrgyzstan,</mark> Tajikistan, Uz	bekistan/ Asia-Pacific	
Project Title:	Reducing vulnerabilities of populations in the Central Asia region from glacier lake outburst floods in changing		
	climate		
Thematic Focal Area:	Disaster risk reduction and Early Warn	ing Systems	
Implementing Entity:	UNESCO		
Executing Entities:	UNESCO		
AF Project ID:	ASI/MIE/DRR/2015/1		
IE Project ID:	Requ	uested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars): 6,500,000	
Reviewer and contact	t person: Alyssa Gomes Co-r	eviewer(s): Saliha Dobardzic	
IE Contact Person:			

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments	Response to Comments by UNESCO (3 September 2019)
Criteria	 Are all of the participating countries party to the Kyoto Protocol? Are all of the participating countries developing countries particularly vulnerable 	Yes Yes. Central Asia is facing important challenges to coping with the adverse effects of climate change. A 2009 study by the World Bank found that Tajikistan and	September 2019)
Country Eligibility	to the adverse effects of climate change?	Uzbekistan had the highest degree of sensitivity to climate change in Europe and Central Asia and the lowest degree of adaptive capacity. Furthermore, one of the most significant effects of global warming in the region is glacial melting and the associated formation of glacial lakes. Due to glacier melting and lake formation, there is an increased danger of Glacier Lake Outburst Floods (GLOFs), are exacerbating water-related threats to mountain communities, their settlements, livelihood, and infrastructure located on river floodplain areas. Due to the large volume of water released by GLOFs, they present a significant transboundary hazard.	

Project Eligibility	 Has the designated government authority for the Adaptation Fund endorsed the project/programme? 	Yes.	
	project/programme?		

	2.	Does the regional	The project aims to address	CR1: EWS and the associated training to
		project / programme	1. the institutional barriers related to no regional	enable its effectiveness at the community
		support concrete	cooperation for the assessment and	level is a proven adaptation measure. As
		adaptation actions to	monitoring of transboundary GLOFs.	the IPCC report Managing the Risks of
		assist the participating	2. organizational level barriers of relevant	Extreme Events and Disasters to Advance
		countries in	authorities to monitor and reduce risks: and	Climate Change Adaptation states.
		addressing the	3 individual level barriers that relate critical	"Numerous studies indicate that up-to-date
		adverse effects of	knowledge gans of relevant authorities	and robust early warning systems play a
		climate change and	concerning glacier lake distribution risk	critical role in reducing the impacts of
		build in climate	manning, and disaster prevention planning	notential disasters and enable populations
		resilience and do so	from GLOEs	to protect lives and some property and
		providing added value	In this respect, the project will aim to strengthen the	infrastructure" and "To be effective and
		through the regional	maniforing analytical and response canacities of	complete an early warning system
		approach compared	institutions and government officials responsible for	typically is composed of four interacting
		to implementing	DPR omorgancies and CCA through community and	olomonts (Bashar, 2006; LINISDB, 2006);
		similar activities in	and der-sonsitive around-level training and	(1) apporation of risk knowledge including
			yenuer-sensitive ground-level training dru	monitoring and forecasting: (2)
		individually?	awareness campaigns, and unough the	surveillance and warning services: (2)
			establishment of early warning systems (EVVS),	discomination and communication, (3)
			supported with the necessary state-or-the-art	uissemination and communication, and (4)
			monitoring strategies.	desument new provides additional
			Linder component 4 (LICD 4.C million) the president	document now provides additional
			Under component 4 (USD 1.6 million), the project	description of the role of assessment and
			aims to support 1 argeted demonstration projects to	training as an integral component of the
			Introduce EWS	EWS.
			technology and low-cost adaptation measures in	
			vulnerable	In addition, the project design has been
			communities. This represents the possibility for the	revised to include additional "concrete"
			implementation of concrete adaptation actions.	adaptation measures in the four pilot
			OD 4. The fact that the part of the table of	communities. Activity 3.2.4 will now
			UK 1: The fact that the project aims to address the	include these options in the design of the
			parriers mentioned above is well noted. However,	community-based EWS, and Output 4.2
			please provide further rationale for the extremely high	"Complementary adaptation measures
			proportion of the funding that is being proposed	implemented" will implement these
			towards assessments, studies, and training that do	measures, which may include slope
			not constitute concrete adaptation action.	stabilization, channel maintenance,
				spillways, and other flood protection
			CR 2: Please clarify activities envisaged under	measures.
¹ Lal, P.N., T. Mitchell,	P. A	Aldunce, H. Auld, R. Mechler, A	activity 2.2.3 "Elaborated basket of hard and soft Miyan, L.E. Romano, and S. Zakaria, 2012: National systems for m	anaging the risks from climate extremes and disasters.
In: Managing the Risks	of E	Extreme Events and Disasters	to Advarage Chinarte Change Adalps to Press, C. B. & Ballos, T.F.	SGR2:, Additional Dextenses been, provided
Mastrandrea, K.J. Mac	h, G	6K. Plattner, S.K. Allen, M. Tig	n@ptind99.14170166669(1699)94056 cial Report of Working Groups	and bef Activity 22.2.3 regial dang the Clinate
Change (IPCC). Camb	ridge	e University Press, Cambridge	UK, and New York, NY, USA, pp. 339-392: 364.	and "soft" options that will be considered.
			CR 3: Component 3 presents to possibility of	CR3: Site-specific plans are an integral
			implementing concrete adaptation actions related to	part of the EWS, as the situation in each
			the setting up of EVVS stations. However, the	community is unique. Text has been
			component is mainly focused on developing a	added in Section II.A to this effect.

 Joes the project // programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Fund? Joes the project // programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Fund? Joes the project // project has a clear intent to ensure that interventions are gender responsive. The overall percentage of women in the villages that participated in the community consultations ranged from 49% to 62%, while the percentage of working-age women to working age men was higher (Annex 2). The project will consider potential barriers to participation, particularly given women's roles in maintaining households and in child rearing (see Annex 3). National machineries for the advancement of women and women's NGOs were involved in the stakeholder project implementation (Annex 3). CR 11: Kindly substantiate with gender responsive targets in the results tracker section (e.g. percentage of women benefitting from training etc). CR 13: Additional studies have as evidence of the environmenta
--

4.	Is the project / programme cost- effective and does the regional approach support cost- effectiveness?	To some extent. The project will utilize existing national institutions, NGOs working locally, including Aga Khan for Habitat (AKAH) in Tajikistan, which will reduce transaction costs. The project will make use of these already established projects, thus diversifying financial risks and increasing financial flexibility. (p.26). Additionally, the project intends to also partner with the University of Zurich that is pioneering the design and implementation of GLOF EWS. This expertise is complemented by long-lasting experience in cryosphere monitoring with different techniques in many mountain regions worldwide, including glaciers in the Pamir and Tien Shan. In both South America and Central Asia, the University of Zurich serves as a long-term strategic partner with the Swiss Agency for Development and Collaboration (SDC). CR 14: Please provide additional information on how the knowledge generated from University of Zurich's experience will be integrated in the current project. CR 15: In the previous submission of the project at the concept stage, University of Zurich (as well as national entities in charge of DRR in the countries) was selected to play the role of executing partners. Please clarify if this has changed, why, and specify the current nature of the partnership with University	CR 14: A description of how UZH's experience will be integrated into the current project has been added to Section IIA. UZH will also have the opportunity to convey this experience by mentoring incountry staff and experts throughout the course of project implementation. CR 15: As communicated via conference call on August 30, 2019, the project roles will remain the same as anticipated in the concept. The change in wording from the concept is not due to a change in the nature of relationships between the project partners, but rather due to the contracting modalities that the project will use to engage these partners. While the role of AKAH, as stipulated in the Table 3 of Stakeholders involvement, will focus on hazards and risks assessment, as well as community level activities in pilot areas.
		of Zurich as well AKAH.	

5.	Is the project /	Yes, pages 27-30.	
	programme consistent		
	with national or sub-		
	national sustainable		
	development		
	strategies, national or		
	sub-national		
	development plans.		
	poverty reduction		
	strategies, national		
	communications and		
	adaptation programs		
	of action and other		
	relevant instruments?		
	If applicable, it is also		
	possible to refer to		
	regional plans and		
	strategies where they		
	exist.		
6.	Does the project /	Not at this time.	CAR 1:
	programme meet the		
	relevant national	CAR 1: Please update this section to specify all	Section II.F now includes an overview of
	technical standards,	relevant national technical standards, legislation and	legislation and regulations relevant to
	where applicable, in	codes in the target countries and how the project will	permitting and environmental impact
	compliance with the	comply with these in the implementation of specific	assessment. Additional text on compliance
	Environmental and	outputs/activities.	with ESMP components is now included in
	Social Policy of the	•	Section III.C.
	Fund?		
7.	Is there duplication of	To some extent.	
	project / programme		CR16: Section II.G provides an overview
	with other funding	CR 16: In this section, kindly indicate project	of ongoing interventions that are
	sources?	outputs/activities which are complementary to on-	complementary to the work of the project;
		going interventions in the area and specify how they	GLOF-related CCA projects with similar
		will avoid duplication.	activities are limited to other regions and
			are not taking place in Central Asia. Text
			has been added to clarify this point in this
			section and in Section III.A., which
			explains the role of the IESC in donor
			coordination.

8.	Does the project / programme have a learning and knowledge management component to capture and feedback lessons?	Yes.	
9.	Has a consultative process taken place, and has it involved all key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups, including gender considerations?	Yes. Stakeholder consultation as well as community level consultations have been carried out as specified in Table 3 of main text and Annex 2. CAR 2: Kindly append the individual reports for the country consultations (mentioned in Annex 2) that are available which summarizes consultation outcomes and how they were integrated in the current proposal. An initial gender analysis and action plan (GAP) was conducted (Annex 3) to identify vulnerabilities to women.	CAR2: As discussed in a UNESCO-AF teleconference on August 30, 2019, the summary in Annex 2 includes demographic information about the participants, the dates of the consultations, and findings that have informed the project approach. Annex 3 also includes community consultation findings that were relevant to gender.
10.	Is the requested financing justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning?	Yes.	
11.	Is the project / program aligned with AF's results framework?	Yes.	
12.	Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been taken into account when designing the project?	Yes, thoughts have been given to ensure sustainability of intervention in term of capacity building at community, local and regional scales as well specific activities that will aim develop a strategy for financial sustainability of EWS stations and proposed activities. (p. 50-51).	
13.	Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified?	Not adequately. <u>ESP risk identification, E&S assessments, Gender</u> <u>assessments and unidentified sub-projects (USPs)</u> CAR 3 : Please consider the following points to	 CAR 3: 1. Table 4 in Section II.L has been updated. 2. Section III.C. now includes a description of how the project will

	 ensure compliance with the AF ESP: 1. Table II.L is currently limited to a statement of intent in the proposal. Kindly update to clear specify which principle might be triggered and required assessments. 2. Components 3 (EWS) and 4 (Field demonstration areas, community level adaptation interventions) constitute USPs. Please provide a clear justification for the USP approach and explain how the project will comply with the AF ESP during implementation. 3. The initial gender assessment and action plan is well noted. However please clarify if an indepth gender assessment has been conducted. Please append the document. 4. Please append Environmental and Social assessments that may have been conducted. 	 comply with the AF ESP during implementation. 3. The gender assessment provided in Annex 3 combines an initial assessment and also provides the findings from stakeholder and community consultations, which asked specific questions related to gender, DRR, and climate change knowledge and attitudes. Additional relevant analysis will be conducted in the pilot communities prior to the design and implementation of the EWS systems and in additional communities that will undertake soft adaptation measures based on the project's findings. 4. Additional information on the environmental and social assessment is provided in Section II.L, with the corresponding ESMP provided in Section III.C. The ESMP includes steps to safeguard against adverse environmental and social impacts (see elaboration of ESMP 8), and safeguards monitoring is now included in the project M&E plan.
14. Does the project promote new and innovative solutions to climate change adaptation, such as new approaches, technologies and mechanisms?	To be determined. In order to support innovation, the Project Implementation Unit (PMU) will be supported by an Information and Experience Sharing Committee (IESC). This is considered innovative in the context of the target region as currently there are no modern/technological mechanisms in place, which lead to a better understanding of DRR caused by GLOFs and climate change. (p.22).	CR 17: The IESC is seen as a broader group of stakeholders than the Project Steering Committee, and an abbreviated Terms of Reference are now provided in Section III.A. The EWS systems that will be piloted under the project are highly innovative and have not previously been used in Central Asia; explanatory text has been added under Section II.B to this effect.
	provide additional information of innovative	important role in terms of the EWS

			approaches or technologies that will be piloted under the project.CR 18: Kindly mention private sector engagement, if any, in the deployment of innovative approaches.	equipment supply, installation, and maintenance, and text to this effect has now been added to the FP under Section II.I.
Resource Availability	1.	Is the requested project / programme funding within the funding windows of the pilot programme for regional projects/programmes?	Yes. The amount of resources remaining under the regional programming envelope is sufficient to fund this project, as per Decision B.33/12. However, please note that the resource availability may depend on other proposals being considered at this time, as per Decision B.28/1, Decision B.17/19 and Decision B.19/5.	
	2.	Are the administrative costs (Implementing Entity Management Fee and Project/ Programme Execution Costs) at or below 20 per cent of the total project/programme budget?	Yes.	
Eligibility of IE	3.	Is the project/programme submitted through an eligible Multilateral or Regional Implementing Entity that has been accredited by the Board?	Yes.	

	1. Is there adequate	Not at this time.	Please see the response for CR 15.
Implementation Arrangements	arrangement for project / programme management at the regional and national level, including coordination arrangements within countries and among them? Has the potential to partner with national institutions, and when possible, national implementing entities (NIEs), been considered, and included in the management arrangements?	The full proposal has changed some execution arrangements as mentioned in CR 15 above. Please refer to comments raised above.	
	2. Are there measures for financial and project/programme risk management?	CR 19: Please clarify the risk level (Low, Medium, High) in Table II.B	CR19: The risk level of risks identified has been added to Table 5 (Project Risks and Proposed Risk Mitigation Strategies).

3.	Are there measures in	Not at this time.	CAR4: The ESMP is now included in
	place for the		Section III.C. and descriptions of
	management of for	CAR 4: Please include the following to comply with	complementary assessments have been
	environmental and	the AF ESP and GP:	provided in the text and annexes.
	social risks, in line	1. An Environmental and Social Management	
	with the	Plan (ESMP) that is comprehensive and that	
	Environmental and	clearly presents management/mitigation	
	Social Policy of the	measures for the risks that have been	
	Fund? Proponents	identified, including the timeline and	
	are encouraged to	responsible party	
	refer to the Guidance	Plan to manage project USPs.	
	document for	Environmental and Social Assessments,	
	Implementing Entities	Gender assessments, other applicable	
	on compliance with	assessments	
	the Adaptation Fund	4. Arrangements for an unbiased, accessible,	
	Environmental and	transparent grievance mechanism that is	
	Social Policy, for	gender sensitive.	
	details.		
4.	Is a budget on the	Yes.	
	Implementing Entity		
	Management Fee use		
F	Included ?	Vaa	
э.	a broakdown of the	res.	
	included?		
6	Is a detailed budget	Yes Appended separately	CAR 5:
0.	including budget	CAR 5: Please include the detailed budget in the	The detailed project budget is now
	notes included?	main document.	included in the FP.
7.	Are arrangements for	Not at this time.	CAR 6: Additional gender responsive
	monitoring and		targets have been included in the results
	evaluation clearly	CAR 6: Please include the projects currently included	framework.
	defined, including	in Annex 1 in the main document. Kindly also	
	budgeted M&E plans	integrate gender responsive targets mentioned in the	
	and sex-	GAP.	
	disaggregated data,		
	targets and	CR 20: The Results Framework in Annex 1 is	CR 20: The "Responsibility" column has
	indicators?	missing the column on "Responsibility" for monitoring	been added to the Results Framework in
		project outputs, indicators and target. Please clarify	Annex 1.
		and update accordingly.	

	 Does the M&E Framework include a break-down of how implementing entity IE fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&E function? 	Yes.	
	9. Does the project/programme's results framework align with the AF's results framework? Does it include at least one core outcome indicator from the Fund's results framework?	Yes. Project is aligned with the AF SRF outcomes. CAR 7: Please include the table on core impact indicators.	CAR 7: This table is included in Section F as Table 7 in the Funding Proposal. Core impact indicators are also included in the Project Results Framework in Annex 1.
	10. Is a disbursement schedule with time- bound milestones included?	Yes. Appended separately. Please incorporate in the main text.	The disbursement schedule with time- bound milestones is now included in the main text of the FP as Table 8 in Section III.H.
Technical Summary	 The project titled, "Reduct changing climate" aims to vulnerabilities associated The project aims to achies Strengthening national strengthening loc communities Design and launce Targeted demonsteries Knowledge exchange The initial review finds that the project will leverate that the project will leverate proportion of the funding concrete adaptation action 	cing vulnerabilities of populations in the Central Asia region of strengthen adaptation to climate change in Central Asia with GLOFs. eve its objective through five (5) main components: tional and regional capacity to monitor and assess GLOF ral, national, and regional policies and approaches to add the of EWS and risk reduction measures tailored to local of stration projects to introduce technologies and best praction ange, stakeholder engagement, and communication the project statement and vulnerabilities of the target region the project statement and vulnerabilities of the target region and related to assessment and monitoring of transla age existing national institutions, NGOs working locally, we arifications and correct action requests are made. These that is being proposed towards assessments, studies, a bon. Component 3 presents the possibility of implementing	on from glacier lake outburst floods in a a by reducing societal risks and ⁵ hazards dress the needs of vulnerable contexts ices for EWS for glacier lakes on to be well articulated. The project aims boundary GLOFs. It also is noteworthy which will reduce transaction costs.

	the setting up of EWS stations. However concrete measures related to this component are not specified. Furthermore component 4 (Field demonstration areas, community level adaptation interventions) constitute a large number of USPs. This has not been justified in the project proposal or is there a clear approach to how the project will manage potential ESP risks. Other issues relate to compliance with Environmental and Social Policy (ESP) and Gender Policy.
Date:	23 August 2019