



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.25/19/Add.2
30 September 2019

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Twenty-fifth Meeting
Bonn, Germany, 7-9 March 2019

Agenda Item 4 p)

PROJECT FORMULATION GRANT FOR NAMIBIA

I. Background

1. The Board at its eleventh meeting discussed the document “Funding for Project Formulation Costs” (AFB/11/6) and agreed, in its Decision B.11/18, that:

- i. project formulation grants (PFG) should be given once a project concept has been approved
- ii. consideration should be given in terms of differentiating between NIEs and MIEs, since some NIEs might have financial difficulties in trying to formulate project or programme proposals;
- iii. a flat rate should be given for project formulation costs;
- iv. a list of eligible activities and items still needed to be prepared; v. the grant should be additional to the project cost; and
- v. the fate of funds if the final project document was rejected should be determined.

2. There was consensus that a three-tiered system should be considered for project formulation grants: endorse a project concept with a PFG amount, endorse a project concept without a PFG amount, or reject the project concept.

3. Following the discussion, the Board decided:

To request the secretariat to reformulate the document, to include a comparison of eligible activities provided by other funds for project formulation grants, to take into account guidance provided by the Board at the present meeting, and to submit the document to the Board at its twelfth meeting, through the EFC. The EFC should review and finalize the process and policy of the project formulation grant focusing, in particular, on: the issue of unspent project funds; the procedures followed by other funds in that regard; and the determination of a flat-rate.

4. A document was prepared by the secretariat in response to the above mandate and presented at the third EFC meeting, which made specific recommendations to the Board at its twelfth meeting. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board, in its Decision B.12/28, decided that:

(a) Project Formulation Grants (PFGs) will only be made available for projects submitted through NIEs. The Board would continue reviewing the question of PFGs for projects submitted through MIEs and would solicit comments from members and alternate members by February 14, 2011; the views would be compiled by the secretariat for presentation to the Board at its March 2011 meeting;

(b) If a country required a project formulation grant, a request should be made at the same time as the submission of a project concept to the secretariat. The secretariat will review and forward it to the PPRC for a final recommendation to

the Board. A PFG could only be awarded when a project concept was presented and endorsed;

- (c) A PFG form, reproduced in Annex V, should be submitted;*
- (d) Only activities related to country costs would be eligible for PFG funding;*
- (e) A flat rate of up to US\$30,000 shall be provided, inclusive of the management fee, which cannot exceed 8.5 per cent of the grant amount. The flat fee would be reviewed by the Board at its thirteenth and all subsequent meetings;*
- (f) If the final project document is rejected, any unused funds shall be returned to the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund;*
- (g) Once a project/programme formulation grant is disbursed, a fully developed project document should come to the Board for approval within 12 months. No additional grants for project preparation can be received by a country until the fully developed project/programme document has been submitted to the Board; and*
- (h) The Trustee was instructed to remove the set-aside of US\$100,000 for project preparation that had been decided at the June 2010 meeting, as project preparation would be approved on a project-by-project basis.*

5. In its twenty-fourth meeting, the Board had initiated steps to launch a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, not to exceed US\$ 30 million and had requested the secretariat to prepare for the consideration of the Board a proposal for such a pilot programme (Decision B.24/30). In its twenty-fifth meeting, the secretariat submitted such document and the Board decided to:

- (a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;*
- (b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;*
- (c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and*
- (d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.*

(Decision B.25/28)

6. The approved document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2 contained provisions for the approval of project formulated grants for regional project and programme proposals, at different development stages, as follows:

“It is proposed that the Board open a structured call for MIEs and RIEs to submit pre-concepts for regional projects and programmes. The optional pre-concepts would be very brief proposals of maximum 5 pages that would explain the proposed regional adaptation project/programme. The pre-concepts would be screened and technically reviewed by the secretariat, and subsequently reviewed by the PPRC. Together with the pre-concept, the proponent could submit a Phase I PFG request, up to the maximum level of US\$ 20,000. While endorsing the pre-concept, the Board could also approve the Phase I PFG request. The endorsement of the pre-concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding. As the next step, the proponent would submit a concept, and with it the proponent could submit a Phase II PFG request. The maximum AFB/PPRC.18/25/Add.1 level of the Phase II PFG would be US\$ 80,000 for proposals that had been previously granted Phase I PFG, and US\$ 100,000 for proposals that bypassed the optional pre-concept stage. While endorsing the concept, the Board could also approve the Phase II PFG request. The endorsement of the concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding, as it is the case for the national projects. The final stage of the proposal process would be the submission of the fully-developed regional project document”.

II. The Project Formulation Grant Request

7. This addendum to the document AFB/PPRC.25/19 “Proposal for Namibia” includes a request for a Project Formulation Grant, requesting a budget of US\$ 30,000 which was received by the secretariat along with the concept for the project NAM/NIE/Food/2019/1 “Nutritional Security in Namibia’s Rural Food Production Systems in the Face of a Changing Climate”. This proposal was submitted by the Desert Research Foundation of Namibia (DRFN), which is the National Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund, in time for consideration by the Adaptation Fund Board at its thirty-fourth Board meeting.

8. In accordance with Decision B.12/28, paragraph (b), the secretariat carried out an initial review of the PFG request and found that the document provided detailed information on the use of the requested funds. The proposed activities were aligned with the goal of the project and would include household-level consultations and project planning activities.

9. Therefore, the PPRC may want to consider and recommend to the Board to approve the PFG Request, provided that the related concept proposal is endorsed.



Request for Project Formulation Assistance to undertake special technical assessments

Submission Date: 23rd July 2019

Adaptation Fund Grant ID:

Country: NAMIBIA

Title of Project/Programme: Nutritional Security in Namibia's rural food production systems in the face of a climate change.

Implementing Entity: Desert Research Foundation of Namibia (DRFN)

Executing Entity: Namibia Nature Foundation (NNF)

A. Timeframe of Activity

| | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Expected start date of activity | December 2019 |
| Completion date of activity | March 2020 |

B. Type of support requested

Describe the technical assessment(s) the implementing entity will undertake to support the design and development of adaptation projects and programmes

A Project Formulation Grant (PFG) is requested in order to develop the full proposal, including household level consultations and project planning to ensure a sound process and clear framework for coordination and integration into the national community based natural resource programme. Due to the high transaction costs of engaging with remote communities at household level we are requesting a full PFG of US\$30,000.

In addition, a Project Formulation Assistance (PFA) grant is also requested to support the following key elements,

;

1. The development of project specific environmental and social safeguards
2. In line with the above, the development of a full monitoring and evaluation plan
3. Provide input for iterations for the Project Concept based on ESS findings


| Type of Technical Assessment requested*. | Duration (months) | Type/name of provider for the requested support ¹ | Requested budget (USD) |
|--|-------------------|--|------------------------|
| Project Specific Environmental and Social Safeguards | 3 | Louise Brown/Pauline Lindeque | US\$12,000 |
| Development of a full Monitoring and Evaluation Plan | 1 | Pauline Lindeque/Louise Brown | US\$8,000 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| PFA Grant Requested (USD) | | | US\$20,000 |
| PFG Grant Requested (USD) | | | US\$30,000 |

**Footnote: Technical assistance could include EIA, VA, technical studies, gender assessment etc.*

NIEs may request both PFA and PFG simultaneously when they submit proposals using the two-step proposal approval process, that is, when they submit a project concept for consideration of endorsement by the Adaptation Fund Board.

C. Implementing Entity


This request has been prepared in accordance with the Adaptation Fund Board's procedures

| Head of Implementing Entity | Signature | Date (Month, day, year) | Implementing Entity Contact Person | Telephone | Email Address |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|
| Executive Director |  | 23 July 2019 | Dr Martin Schneider | +264-81-2460379 | martin.schneider@drfn.org.na |
| | | | | | |

¹ Specify if it is an institution, consulting firm or individual consultant. When possible, provide the name of the institution, firm or individual identified or selected.

D. Record of endorsement on behalf of the government

Provide the name and position of the government official, Designated Authority of the Adaptation Fund, and indicate date of endorsement. The endorsement letter must be attached as an annex to the request.

| | |
|---|--|
| <i>Dr. Fredrick Sikabongo Deputy Environmental Commissioner Ministry of Environment and Tourism (MET)</i> | Date: <i>July 23rd 2019</i>  |
|---|--|

