

## Managing Project Extensions through Adaptive Management during Project Implementation





# The Presentation of Jordan's Program:

"Increasing the Resilience of Poor and Vulnerable Communities to Climate Change Impacts in Jordan through Implementing Innovative Projects in Water And Agriculture"

by

Ahmad Abdel-Fattah, Ph.D. Program Manager





## **GOAL & OBJECTIVES**

To adapt the agricultural sector in Jordan to climate change induced water shortages and food security stresses through piloting innovative technology transfer, policy support linked to community livelihoods & resilience through:

- Limiting the impact of climate change on water supplies by reusing treated wastewater and investing in rainwater harvesting and permaculture;
- Providing cost-effective climate change adaptation systems to vulnerable people;
- Raising the local communities' awareness about climate change and establishing databases and early warning systems

### **Programme Components**

Component 1: Climate change adaptation of agricultural & water sectors through technology transfer (the use of nonconventional water resources - reuse of wastewater, rainwater harvesting & permaculture).

Component 2: Capacity building at both the national and local/community levels, knowledge dissemination, policy & legislation mainstreaming (and early warning systems establishment).

### **NATIONAL IMPLEMENTING ENTITY (NIE)**

Ministry of Planning and International Cooperation (MOPIC)

### **EXECUTIG ENTITIES (EEs)**

- Ministry of Water and Irrigation (MWI)
  - Jordan Valley Authority (JVA)
  - Water Authority of Jordan (WAJ)
- Ministry of Environment (MoEnv)
- Royal Scientific Society (RSS)
- Ministry of Agriculture (MOA)
  - National Agricultural Research Center (NARC)
- Petra Development Tourism Region Authority (PDTRA)
- Hashemite Fund for Development of Jordan Badia (HFDJP)
- Jordan Food & Drug Administration (JFDA)
- Jordan Meteorological Department (JMD)
- Jordan Standards & Metrology Organization (JSMO)

## **PROJECTED CALENDAR**

Milestones	Dates
Start of Project/Programme Implementation	Inception Workshop (13-July-2016)
Mid-term Review	July 2018
Project/Programme Closing	July 2020
Terminal Evaluation	August 2020

## Mapping of detailed status of implementation as of today

Expectations



Total number of activities/bids of the program to implement 67

Total budget to spend \$ 9,226,000





REALITY

Total canceled activities (by decision of the Steering Committee: due to lack of allocations, lack of jurisdiction of the Executing Entity, etc.): 23

Total number of activities intended to be implemented after the cancelation of activities: 44

Total activities/bids awarded/in progress/implemented to date: 34

Total remaining activities/bids (from the project document, not the replacement/innovative ones) to date

13
Total remaining alternative to canceled activities/bids (new/not in project document/innovative) 12

Percentage of implementation of original activities/bids of the program = 70.45 %

Actual amount spent \$ 2,123,585 (23%)

## What factors led to delays in the project?

#### GENERAL PROCEDURAL REASONS (GOVERNMENT SYSTEM-RELATED)

- Recruitment of PMU staff long governmental recruitment procedure (4 months average delay);
- Recruitment of EEs' 9 sub-projects coordinators/focal points (5 months average delay);
- Sub-projects' commencement– (at average **5 months average delay**);
- Preparing and submitting a ToR of a bid cycle (Tender Document) (2 months average delay per bid); 4.
- Very slow and lengthy tendering process due to governmental scrutiny, transparency, and auditing procedures & regulations (13 months average delay)

#### \* This is a government-wide practice but not at MoPIC alone, deployed to prevent or minimize fraud and corruption

- ToR preparation by EE, revision and assessment by PMU, technical committees, ....
- ToR approval by Special Tendering Committee
- Tender announcement....purchase.... pre-bid meetings and site visits ... offer submission...
- Special Tendering Committee's bids opening and endorsement for technical evaluation
- Offers evaluation by technical committees through series of meetings
- Special Tendering Committee's Tender Awarding Meeting and Final Decision
- Bidders' objections and complaints handling system
- Contracting process and legal issues
- Customs duty exemption and tax waiver procedure

(example: a tender advertised in Feb 2017 -- awarded in Dec 2018 (22 months delay) -- contract signed Dec 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2019 (+ 12 months delay) for an activity of two-year planned period for execution).--Customs duty exemption and tax waiver procedure for the procured supplies and equipment (+ 2 months average delay).

## What factors led to delays in the project?

#### I. GENERAL PROCEDURAL REASONS (GOVERNMENT SYSTEM-RELATED)-Cntd.

- Changes in governmental legislations
  - > Reconstitution/restructuring of the Special Tendering Committee by a decree of Prime Ministry
  - New government Procurement By-Law enacted in 13-2-2019 and became effective on 13-8-2019.
  - The new Committee was NOT assembled as of Feb 2020 due to lengthy governmental correspondences procedure (6 months delay). When assembled, COVIS-19 Pandemic took place the day after

#### II. TECHNICAL/SITE-SPECIFIC/ACTIVITY-SPECIFIC DEALY REASONS

- 1. Re-tendering some of the already advertised/evaluated tenders --poor technicality or inaccuracy of the
- 2. Delay to conduct an ESIA to ease the fear of the opponent entities (was first advertised in June 2018, the tender was re-tendered three times before awarding on April 14th 2019, 22 moths delay)
- 3. Pre-status of the execution site -- a natural reserve overlapping, archeological site, geotechnical characteristics, etc. (5 months average delay).
- 4. Cost escalation issues and lengthy correspondence and approval procedures to transfer funds between budgets (4 months average delay).
- 5. Variation orders for some tenders (9 months average delay)
- 6. Beneficiary problems and change of beneficiary due to inadequate financial and administrative status
  - replacement with another beneficiary and assessment of the suitability of the new beneficiary (36 months delay)

## Proposed solutions and lessons for AF's future projects

#### AF's side

- ✓ Maximize Control and Monitoring on IEs (more stringent control mechanism)
  - ➤ Specially for common reasons of delay
- ✓ Segregation of overlooked versus declared phases of project at the proposal stage based on expected pace of execution of each
  - ➤ Preparatory {procurement, tendering phase} vs. targets achievement phase
  - > Better approximation of time of each phase to set the real duration of a project

#### IEs' side

- ✓ Governance changes to minimize decision making and execution bureaucracy
  - ➤ Possible in light of flexibility shown in dealing with COVID-19 Pandemic
  - ➤ Special Tendering Committee may delegate tendering process of some of bids to EEs.
  - ➤ If a line ministry is the IE, the PMU should be pre-trained on routine governmental management and tendering procedures and it is better if the staff hired be familiar with such system so that a PM who is from outside the system is not surprised and retarded with such rote system.

#### EEs' side

- ✓ Opportunity for improving project management & implementation capacities
  - > Technical and financial systems' improvement windows
  - **▶**Project management capacity buidling

## **AF**→ **IEs** → **EEs**: A Relay Race





## Thank you for attention!



