Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee

PROPOSAL FOR CAMEROON
Background

1. The Operational Policies and Guidelines (OPG) for Parties to Access Resources from the Adaptation Fund (the Fund), adopted by the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), state in paragraph 45 that regular adaptation project and programme proposals, i.e. those that request funding exceeding US$ 1 million, would undergo either a one-step, or a two-step approval process. In case of the one-step process, the proponent would directly submit a fully-developed project proposal. In the two-step process, the proponent would first submit a brief project concept, which would be reviewed by the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) and would have to receive the endorsement of the Board. In the second step, the fully-developed project/programme document would be reviewed by the PPRC, and would ultimately require the Board’s approval.

2. The Templates approved by the Board (Annex 5 of the OPG, as amended in March 2016) do not include a separate template for project and programme concepts but provide that these are to be submitted using the project and programme proposal template. The section on Adaptation Fund Project Review Criteria states:

   For regular projects using the two-step approval process, only the first four criteria will be applied when reviewing the 1st step for regular project concept. In addition, the information provided in the 1st step approval process with respect to the review criteria for the regular project concept could be less detailed than the information in the request for approval template submitted at the 2nd step approval process. Furthermore, a final project document is required for regular projects for the 2nd step approval, in addition to the approval template.

3. The first four criteria mentioned above are:
   (i) Country Eligibility,
   (ii) Project Eligibility,
   (iii) Resource Availability, and
   (iv) Eligibility of NIE/MIE.

4. The fifth criterion, applied when reviewing a fully-developed project document, is:
   (v) Implementation Arrangements.

5. It is worth noting that at the twenty-second Board meeting, the Environmental and Social Policy (ESP) of the Fund was approved and at the twenty-seventh Board meeting, the Gender Policy (GP) of the Fund was also approved. Consequently, compliance with both the ESP and the GP has been included in the review criteria both for concept documents and fully-developed project documents. The proposal template was revised as well, to include sections requesting demonstration of compliance of the project/programme with the ESP and the GP.

6. At its seventeenth meeting, the Board decided (Decision B.17/7) to approve “Instructions for preparing a request for project or programme funding from the Adaptation Fund”, contained in the Annex to document AFB/PPRC.8/4, which further outlines applicable review criteria for both concepts and fully-developed proposals. The latest version of this document was launched in conjunction with the revision of the Operational Policies and Guidelines in November 2013.
7. Based on the Board Decision B.9/2, the first call for project and programme proposals was issued and an invitation letter to eligible Parties to submit project and programme proposals to the Fund was sent out on April 8, 2010.

8. According to the Board Decision B.12/10, a project or programme proposal needs to be received by the secretariat no less than nine weeks before a Board meeting, in order to be considered by the Board in that meeting.

9. The following fully-developed project document titled “Increasing local communities' resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management” was submitted for Cameroon by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), which is a Multilateral Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund.

10. This is the third submission of the proposal using the one-step submission process. It was first submitted for consideration the thirty-first meeting and the Board decided to not approve the fully-developed project proposal. It was resubmitted at the thirty-fifth meeting (first review cycle) and the Board decided to:

   a) Not approve the fully-developed project, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) to the request made by the technical review;

   b) Suggest that IFAD reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board’s decision, as well as the following issues:

      (i) The proposal should clarify the exact nature of the partnership with the IFAD PEA Jeunes and how the proposed partnership will help mobilize more resources to scale up Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes (PES);

      (ii) The proposal needs to clarify the various partnerships (IFAD PEA Jeunes, International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN), World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF) and selected local NGOs) envisaged under the project and, specify the activities and project outputs under various partnerships;

      (iii) The proposal should clarify how partnerships with development partners and NGOs will help leverage additional financing;

      (iv) The proponent should submit all supporting documents – summary reports of community consultations, and a gender analysis/assessment;
(v) The proposal should submit a revised Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) taking into consideration the clarification requests and corrective action requests raised in the review sheet; and

(vi) The proposal should ensure consistency between the risks raised in the ESP risk screening checklist and the proposed management and monitoring measures for anticipated and unanticipated risks.

c) Request IFAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph b) to the Government of Cameroon.

Decision B.35.a-35.b/19

11. The current submission was received by the secretariat in time for the intersessional period between the first and second sessions of the thirty-fifth Board meeting. The secretariat carried out a technical review of the project proposal, assigned it the diary number CAM/MIE/Rural/2018/1, and completed a review sheet.

12. In accordance with a request to the secretariat made by the Board in its 10th meeting, the secretariat shared this review sheet with IFAD, and offered it the opportunity of providing responses before the review sheet was sent to the PPRC.

13. The secretariat is submitting to the PPRC the summary and, pursuant to decision B.17/15, the final technical review of the project, both prepared by the secretariat, along with the final submission of the proposal in the following section. In accordance with decision B.25.15, the proposal is submitted with changes between the initial submission and the revised version highlighted.
ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL REVIEW
OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY: Regular-sized Full Proposal

Countries/Region: Cameroon
Project Title: Increasing local communities’ resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management

Thematic Focal Area: Rural Development
Implementing Entity: International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)
               Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED)

IE Contact Person: Alyssa Gomes
         Co-reviewer(s): Salilha Dobardzic

Requested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars): 9,982,000

Technical Summary

The project titled “Increasing local communities’ resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management” aims to increase local communities’ resilience to climate change through resilient livelihoods and integrated natural resources management in the outskirts of the Waza, Benoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks. To achieve this objective, the project aims to create an enabling environment for climate change adaptation at the institutional level and will contribute to increasing the resilience of both the local ecosystems and natural resources, and local communities to ensure sustainable development the target areas in a changing climate context.

The project is structured around three components:

Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level (USD 1,400,000)

Component 2: Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change and ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities (USD 1,300,000);
Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate resilience of targeted communities (USD 6,000,000).

Requested financing overview:
- Project/Programme Execution Cost: USD 500,000
- Total Project/Programme Cost: USD 9,200,000
- Implementing Fee: USD 782,000
- Financing Requested: USD 9,982,000

The initial technical review found the adaptation rationale to be well-articulated and represents an opportunity to explore climate change adaptation interventions in and around national parks. The technical review finds that the resubmitted project proposal needs to further specify non-duplication with activities under component three especially those pertaining to the proposed partnership with the PEA-Jeunes project, PADMIR and PADFA. In addition, the proposal needs to further inform the cost-effectiveness of its activities and needs to integrate gender targets in the overall results framework and fix a budget discrepancy.

The final technical review find that the pending issues raised during the initial technical review have been sufficiently addressed.

Date: 26 May 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review Criteria</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Comments on 5 May 2020</th>
<th>Comments on 26 May 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Country Eligibility</strong></td>
<td>1. Is the country party to the Kyoto Protocol?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Is the country a developing country particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Project Eligibility** | 1. Has the designated government authority for the Adaptation Fund endorsed the project/programme? | Yes.  
Letter dated 21st August 2019 has been signed by the DAM. Francis D. Matip Nouga. | -                       |
<p>|                       | 2. Does the length of the proposal amount to no more than Fifty       | Yes.                   | -                       |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pages for the project/programme concept, including its annexes; or One hundred pages for the fully-developed project document, and one hundred pages for its annexes?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AFB/PPRC.26.a-26.b/30
<p>| 3. | Does the project / programme support concrete adaptation actions to assist the country in addressing adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change and build in climate resilience? | The further details provided in paragraphs 77-81, para 97-101 and under activity 3.3.5 are well noted. However, the how these activities do not duplicate the existing IFAD projects in the target areas is still unclear. The proposal needs to present more clearly how the activity proposed under component related to youth eco-businesses do not pose any duplication with the IFAD baseline investment PEA-Jeunes (Programme for the Promotion of Youth Agro-Pastoral Entrepreneurship) project. The added value of the proposed AF project should also be specified. Please see CR3 under non-duplication. | - |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4. Does the project / programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</th>
<th><strong>CR1:</strong> The differentiated impacts on women and girls are detailed in the Gender Assessment and Gender Action Plan. Please briefly summarize the gender gaps presented in the gender assessment under social benefits in the proposal main text (p.104-108). This section should include details of the approach chosen by the project to support gender responsive interventions and reduce gender gaps.</th>
<th><strong>CR1:</strong> Addressed. Para 107-112.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|   | 5. Is the project / programme cost effective? | **To some extent.**
A comparison of the project to alternative interventions is provided on Table 3: Baseline scenario vs alternative with Adaptation Fund resources. Cost-effectiveness is further justified based on that assumption that eco-businesses have a high potential on return on investment by creating jobs and economic opportunities for young people, while increasing their resilience to climate change and protected the environment and; agro-sylvo-pastoral practices to be promoted by the project are deemed cost effective because they are low-cost no-regret measures. Cost-effectiveness is expected to be | |
achievable through knowledge management, synergies and complementarities with on-going initiatives - PEA-Juenes, PADMIR, PADFA. (p37-38).

**CR2:** A more thorough cost-benefit analysis by providing an estimated costs per beneficiary is needed. Some more details could be provided on the viability of eco-businesses (output 3.1), land and water management measures (output 3.2) and PES (3.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Is the project / programme consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications and adaptation programs of action and other relevant instruments?</th>
<th>Yes.</th>
<th><strong>CR2:</strong> Addressed. Para 125 and 126, information is providing with estimated costs per/household and beneficiary for the entire project. For USPs under component 3, para.126 provides details on the viability on the eco-business by comparing to similar adaptation small grant projects supported by the UNDP SGP and the Société General Bank.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. Does the project / programme meet the relevant national technical standards, where applicable, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund?</td>
<td><strong>Partially addressed.</strong> <strong>CR3:</strong> Relevant technical standards have been clarified. However, these must be consistently linked to the specific project components,</td>
<td><strong>CR3:</strong> Addressed. Table in section II.E has been sufficiently updated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 8. Is there duplication of project / programme with other funding sources? | **CR4**: The table on duplication with other funding sources needs to be expanded to  
- List all potentially overlapping activities  
- Include a column on non-duplication.  
- Non-duplication/ additionality should be specified and presented for all relevant activities, especially those that have synergies with PEA Jeunes, PADMIR and PADFA.  
- How specifically the AF project differs from PEA-Jeunes, PADMIR and PADFA in its additional focus on adaptation and resilience to climate change in the three target needs to be explained.  
- The synergies that will provide added value to increase impact on the ground should also be specified. | **CR4**: Addressed. An additional column on non-duplication has been added with explanations on non-duplication and how the AF will add value to the existing IFAD main baseline investments / or the recently completed project – PADMIR. |
<p>| 9. Does the project / programme have a learning and knowledge management component to capture and feedback lessons? | Yes. | - |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. Has a consultative process taken place, and has it involved all key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups, including gender considerations in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</td>
<td>Yes. Summary of consultation reports have been submitted. The outcomes of community consultants are presented and how the project intends to take this into consideration in project are explained.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11. Is the requested financing justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning?</td>
<td>Needs further information. That the project will directly target the same target population as PEA–Jeunes (the same 52,800 people) but the AF project will meet all of its adaptation goals separately from the project needs to be more clearly detailed. <strong>CR5:</strong> For a fully developed proposal, the full cost of adaptation reasoning should be more detailed and demonstrated for each component of the project/programme. The comparison of a baseline situation and project additionality should be detailed for each component of the project/programme to be undertaken. <strong>CR5:</strong> Addressed. Detailed description is now provided under with 3 alternatives Analysis (paragraph 143-149):  - Alternative 1: Without project  - Alternative 2: Development of a classic project without resilient actions on climate change (business as usual)  - Alternative 3: Development of an AF project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12. Is the project / program aligned with AF’s results framework?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>13. Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been taken into account when designing the project?</th>
<th>Yes.</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14. Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</td>
<td>The project has been categorised as a category B project. Table 4: ESP Risk Screening Table is well received. <strong>CAR 1:</strong> Please ensure that there is consistency of identified risks for which mitigation measures are presented in Table 7. For example, there is risk of child labour that has been presented under the principle of Human Rights in Table 7. Yet this risk is not presented in Table 4. A statement of perceived positive impacts of the interventions or a statement of intent to avoid maladaptation (Principle on Human Rights, Core Labour Rights, Women's Empowered, Involuntary Resettlement, Climate Change) does not equal to the presence of no risks. <strong>CAR 1:</strong> Addressed. Consistency was made between the table 3 and table 6 (of clean version).</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Resource Availability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Is the requested project / programme funding within the cap of the country?</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>-</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Is the Implementing Entity Management Fee at or below</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility of IE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Is the project/programme submitted through an eligible Implementing Entity that has been accredited by the Board?</strong></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implementation Arrangements</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Is there adequate arrangement for project/programme management, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?</strong></td>
<td>The Gender Strategy, Gender Assessment and Gender Action Plan presents arrangements for project management.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>Are there measures for financial and project/programme risk management?</strong></td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 3. **Are there measures in place for the management of for environmental and social risks, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?** | Table 7 and Table 8 (Environmental management plan and monitoring measures for identified risks) are well received.  
Grievance Mechanism has been put in place.  
Gender Assessment and Gender Action Plan are well received.  
Annex 4 Environmental, Social and Climate Assessment is well received. | - |
### CAR2: A process for ensuring compliance with the AF ESP and GP for USPs has been presented in subparagraphs under paragraph 195. However please ensure that the components and activities that contain USPs are also clearly highlighted, and, to the extent possible, information about the USPs is included.

**4. Is a budget on the Implementing Entity Management Fee use included?**

Yes. -

**5. Is an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs included?**

Yes. -

**6. Is a detailed budget including budget notes included?**

Yes. -

**7. Are arrangements for monitoring and evaluation clearly defined, including budgeted M&E plans and sex-disaggregated data, targets and indicators, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?**

**CAR3:** Please ensure that all Gender Indicators listed in the Gender Action Plan are integrated in the log-frame (Section E, Table 8 – Results Framework) of the project.

**CAR3:** Addressed. Elements from the gender plan and its indicators have been included in the results framework (table 9). Quota and indicators from the gender plan are also included.

**8. Does the M&E Framework include a break-down of how implementing entity IE fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&E function?**

Yes. -

**9. Does the project/programme’s results framework align with the AF’s results framework? Does it include at least one core**

Additional work is required.

**CAR4:** Table in Section F Alignment with Adaptation Fund Results

**CAR4:** Addressed. The Core impact indicators have
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome indicator from the Fund’s results framework?</th>
<th>Framework has formatting issues. Please remedy those. Also ensure that the breakdown per fund output indicator are consistently presented. Please include also the table on Core Impact Indicators with targets for direct beneficiaries (disaggregated by gender) at the minimum.</th>
<th>been updated with targets for direct beneficiaries (disaggregated by gender) at the minimum.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10. Is a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones included?</td>
<td>Yes. However, some discrepancies exist. <strong>CAR5</strong>: Add the total row for the components (Project cost) to the disbursement summary table on p. 121. Numbers in the same table don’t agree with the total components calculated in other tables in the proposal. There is some discrepancy in the breakdown of numbers for each output.</td>
<td><strong>CAR5</strong>: Addressed. Total row for the components (Project cost) to the disbursement summary table on p. 137 in this revised document is added.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The project titled “Increasing local communities’ resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management” aims to increase local communities’ resilience to climate change through resilient livelihoods and integrated natural resources management in the outskirts of the Waza, Benoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks. To achieve this objective, the project aims to create an enabling environment for climate change adaptation at the institutional level and will contribute to increasing the resilience of both the local ecosystems and natural resources, and local communities to ensure sustainable development the target areas in a changing climate context.

The project is structured around three components:

**Component 1:** Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level (USD 1,400,000)

**Component 2:** Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change and ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities (USD 1,300,000);
Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate resilience of targeted communities (USD 6,000,000).

Requested financing overview:
Project/Programme Execution Cost: USD 500,000  
Total Project/Programme Cost: USD 9,200,000  
Implementing Fee: USD 782,000  
Financing Requested: USD 9,982,000

The initial technical review finds the adaptation rationale to be well-articulated and represents an opportunity to explore climate change adaptation interventions in and around national parks. The technical review finds that the resubmitted project proposal needs to further specify non-duplication with activities under component three especially those pertaining to the proposed partnership with the PEA-Jeunes project, PADMIR and PADFA. In addition, the proposal needs to further inform the cost-effectiveness of its activities, and needs to integrate gender targets in the overall results framework and fix a budget discrepancy.

| Date: | 5 May 2020 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review Criteria</th>
<th>Questions</th>
<th>Comments on 5 May 2020</th>
<th>IE Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Country Eligibility</td>
<td>3. Is the country party to the Kyoto Protocol?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Is the country a developing country particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Eligibility</td>
<td>15. Has the designated government authority for the Adaptation Fund endorsed the project/programme?</td>
<td>Yes. Letter dated 21st August 2019 has been signed by the DAM. Francis D. Matip Nouga.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16. Does the length of the proposal amount to no more than Fifty pages for the project/programme concept,</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
including its annexes; or One hundred pages for the fully-developed project document, and one hundred pages for its annexes?
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Does the project / programme support concrete adaptation actions to assist the country in addressing adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change and build in climate resilience?</td>
<td>The further details provided in paragraphs 77-81, para 97-101 and under activity 3.3.5 are well noted. However, the how these activities do not duplicate the existing IFAD projects in the target areas is still unclear. The proposal needs to present more clearly how the activity proposed under component related to youth eco-businesses do not pose any duplication with the IFAD baseline investment PEA-Jeunes (Programme for the Promotion of Youth Agro-Pastoral Entrepreneurship) project. The added value of the proposed AF project should also be specified. Please see CR3 under non-duplication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response to CR3:</strong> Under section F, the table 1 has been updated. A column on non-duplication&amp; complementary has been added with explanations on non-duplication and how the AF is bringing value addition to the existing IFAD main baseline investment/ or the recently completed project like PADMIR. In addition, for each IFAD baseline investment, the main outputs have been included in the table to show the difference between the outputs of the AF and the IFAD main baseline investments outputs.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q</td>
<td>Response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Does the project / programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</td>
<td><strong>CR1:</strong> The differentiated impacts on women and girls are detailed in the Gender Assessment and Gender Action Plan. Please briefly summarize the gender gaps presented in the gender assessment under social benefits in the proposal main text (p.104-108). This section should include details of the approach chosen by the project to support gender responsive interventions and reduce gender gaps. <strong>Response to CR1:</strong> The summary contained in the gender assessment has been included under the indicated section B social benefits paragraphs 107, 108 and 112. The approach chosen by the project to support gender responsive interventions and reduce gender gaps is clearly explained under para 107 and para 110.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Is the project / programme cost effective?</td>
<td><strong>To some extent.</strong> A comparison of the project to alternative interventions is provided on Table 3: Baseline scenario vs alternative with Adaptation Fund resources. Cost-effectiveness is further justified based on that assumption that eco-businesses have a high potential on return on investment by creating jobs and economic opportunities for young people, while increasing their resilience to climate change and protected the environment and; agro-sylvo-pastoral practices to be promoted by the project are deemed cost effective because they are low-cost no-regret measures. Cost-effectiveness is expected to be <strong>Response to CR 2:</strong> Under paragraph 125 and 126, additional cost-benefit analysis is providing with estimated costs per/ household and beneficiary for the entire project. More details have been provided under paragraph 126 on the viability on the eco-business and for USPs, the cost effectiveness was compared to similar adaptation small grant projects supported by the UNDP SGP and the Societe General Bank.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
achieved through knowledge management, synergies and complementarities with on-going initiatives - PEA-JeuGCFnes, PADMIR, PADFA. (p37-38).

**CR2:** A more thorough cost-benefit analysis by providing an estimated costs per beneficiary is needed. Some more details could be provided on the viability of eco-businesses (output 3.1), land and water management measures (output 3.2) and PES (3.3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>20. Is the project / programme consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications and adaptation programs of action and other relevant instruments?</th>
<th>Yes.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>21. Does the project / programme meet the relevant national technical standards, where applicable, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund?</td>
<td>Partially addressed.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**CR3:** Relevant technical standards have been clarified. However, these must be consistently linked to the specific project components, activities and sub-activities they may apply to. The approach for compliance should also be

**Response to CR3:** The link between the relevant technical standards and projects components, sub-activities have been done and the approach for compliance is also described under section E, table AF Principles and corresponding National Standards.
22. Is there duplication of project / programme with other funding sources?

**CR4:** The table on duplication with other funding sources needs to be expanded to
- List all potentially overlapping activities
- Include a column on non-duplication.
- Non-duplication/ additionality should be specified and presented for all relevant activities, especially those that have synergies with PEA Juenes, PADMIR and PADFA.
- How specifically the AF project differs from PEA-Jeunes, PADMIR and PADFA in its additional focus on adaptation and resilience to climate change in the three target needs to explained.
- The synergies that will provide added value to increase impact on the ground should also be specified.

23. Does the project / programme have a learning and knowledge management component to capture and feedback lessons?

Yes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer/Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>24. Has a consultative process taken place, and has it involved all key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups, including gender considerations in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</td>
<td>Yes. Summary of consultation reports have been submitted. The outcomes of community consultants are presented and how the project intends to take this into consideration in project are explained.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 25. Is the requested financing justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning? | Needs further information. That the project will directly target the same target population as PEA–Jeunes (the same 52,800 people) but the AF project will meet all of its adaptation goals separately from the project needs to be more clearly detailed.  
  
  **CR5:** For a fully developed proposal, the full cost of adaptation reasoning should be more detailed and demonstrated for each component of the project/programme. The comparison of a baseline situation and project additionality should be detailed for each component of the project/programme to be undertaken.  
  
  Response to CR5: This section has been amended and a detailed description is provided under with 3 alternatives Analysis (paragraph 143-149):  
  - Alternative 1: Without project  
  - Alternative 2: Development of a classic project without resilient actions on climate change (business as usual)  
  - Alternative 3: Development of an AF project  
  
  More details and description including the baseline have been provided as well as the additionally of each activity under each component to achieve the expected outcomes  
  
  The contents of Table 3 was merged under the new detailed presentation from paragraph 144 to 149 |
<p>| 26. Is the project / program aligned with AF’s results framework?        | Yes.                                                                                                                                                                                                        |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Answer</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>27. Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been taken into account when designing the project?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 28. Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund? | The project has been categorised as a category B project.  
Table 4: ESP Risk Screening Table is well received.  
**CAR 1:** Please ensure that there is consistency of identified risks for which mitigation measures are presented in Table 7. For example, there is risk of child labour that has been presented under the principle of Human Rights in Table 7. Yet this risk is not presented in Table 4.  
A statement of perceived positive impacts of the interventions or a statement of intent to avoid maladaptation (Principle on Human Rights, Core Labour Rights, Women’s Empowered, Involuntary Resettlement, Climate Change) does not equal to the presence of no risks.  
Response to CAR 1: Consistency was made between the table 4 and table 7 in the previous document which in this new revised document become table 3 and table 6 with the new edits and formatting. (see clean version)  
The identified risks have been included under table 3 section Human Rights.  
Comment noted with thanks |                                                                       |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility of IE</th>
<th>Management Fee at or below 8.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget before the fee?</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Are the Project/Programme Execution Costs at or below 9.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget (including the fee)?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Is there adequate arrangement for project / programme management, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?</td>
<td>The Gender Strategy, Gender Assessment and Gender Action Plan presents arrangements for project management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>Are there measures for financial and project/programme risk management?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Question</td>
<td>Response</td>
<td>Notes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 13. Are there measures in place for the management of for environmental and social risks, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund? | Table 7 and Table 8 (Environmental management plan and monitoring measures for identified risks) are well received.  
Grievance Mechanism has been put in place.  
Gender Assessment and Gender Action Plan are well received.  
Annex 4 Environmental, Social and Climate Assessment is well received.  
**CAR2:** A process for ensuring compliance with the AF ESP and GP for USPs has been presented in subparagraphs under paragraph 195. However please ensure that the components and activities that contain USPs are also clearly highlighted, and, to the extent possible, information about the USPs is included. | Response to **CAR 2:** A paragraph 207 (former para 195) in this new formatting and edited document has been added and highlight the component, outputs with USPs. |
<p>| 15. Is an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs included?  | Yes.                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 16. Is a detailed budget including budget notes included?               | Yes.                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>CAR3:</th>
<th>Response to CAR 3:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17. Are arrangements for monitoring and evaluation clearly defined, including budgeted M&amp;E plans and sex-disaggregated data, targets and indicators, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?</td>
<td></td>
<td>All elements from the gender plan and its indicators have been included in the main documents, all components as well as in the results framework (table 9). Quota and indicators from the gender plan are included.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18. Does the M&amp;E Framework include a breakdown of how implementing entity IE fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&amp;E function?</td>
<td>Yes.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19. Does the project/programme’s results framework align with the AF’s results framework? Does it include at least one core outcome indicator from the Fund’s results framework?</td>
<td>Additional work is required.</td>
<td>Response CAR 4: because of the various reviews on the track changes and format, some section were lost. They have been re-included in this new format with missing columns, rows, contain and numbers. The Core impact indicators have been updated with targets for direct beneficiaries (disaggregated by gender) at the minimum.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20. Is a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones included?</td>
<td>Yes. However, some discrepancies exist.</td>
<td>Response CAR 5: All budget have been checked and match. Total row for the components (Project cost) to the disbursement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>disbursement summary table on p. 121. Numbers in the same table don't agree with the total components calculated in other tables in the proposal. There is some discrepancy in the breakdown of numbers for each output.</td>
<td>summary table on p. 137 in this revised document is added</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION

Project/Programme Category: Regular project
Country/ies: Cameroon
Title of Project/Programme: Increasing local communities’ resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management
Type of Implementing Entity: Multilateral Implementing Entity (MIE)
Implementing Entity: International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD)
Executing Entity/ies: Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED)
Amount of Financing Requested: 9,982,000 (in U.S Dollars Equivalent) (in U.S Dollars Equivalent)

Project Background and Context:

1.1 Country overview

1. The Republic of Cameroon is a medium-sized country in Central Africa with a surface area of 475,442 km². Cameroon is considered as a miniature Africa given its unique diversity in climate, geography, population, and culture. The country has five agro-ecological zones: (i) Sudani – Sahelian zone (Garoua); (ii) High Guinea savannah (Ngaoundéré); (iii) Western highlands (Bamougoum, Foubot, Baham, Dschang, Mbouda); (iv) Humid forest: monomodal rainfall (Melong, Buea); and (v) Humid forest: bimodal rainfall (Yaoundé, Okola, Obala, Bafia, Akonolinga). The country is composed of 10 regions divided in 58 departments. The project areas of intervention are located in the Far North, North, and North West Regions.

Figure 1: Map of Cameroon
1.2 Socio-cultural context

2. Overview: In 2015, Cameroon’s population was estimated at 22.8 million people, with a population growth of 2.5% per year over the 2010-2015 period. The population is mainly composed of young people as 62% is below 24 years old. Youth unemployment differentiates by place of residence and gender. In fact, the youth unemployment rate is higher in urban areas (15.5%) than in rural areas (4.3%). It is 8.5% for men and 23.5% for women\(^1\). The demographic dynamics of the country show strong internal and external population migrations. The North, Sahel and Centre regions are particularly affected, with migrants (mostly males) leaving these areas for more favorable conditions in southern regions. This situation creates a growing imbalance between the North (shortage of workforce, uncertain rainfall, chronic cereal deficit, etc.), and the South (high land pressure, sharp increase in land use, anarchic exploitation of natural resources, etc.). Overall, around 60 ethnic groups, speaking almost as many languages, are present in the country. Because of population growth and high demand of natural resources, the main root causes of deforestation and degradation of the forests are slash and burn farming, logging and exploitation of forest for charcoal and wood energy.

3. Gender Inequalities: In rural areas, despite permanent access to natural resources (exploitation, processing, self-consumption and marketing), women are excluded from the right of ownership and decision making over land, which belongs to men. They mainly work in seed production, tree nurseries and planting activities, while men tend to be involved in heavy work (sawmilling, logging, tree loading) and to be employed by forest companies. Hunting is an activity exclusively for men, but the marketing of the game belongs to women. The collection and marketing of Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFP) is done by women and children who are major players in the retail trade while men dominate the wholesale market for greater profit.

4. Women’s participation in community forests is not as important as men’s. Women are usually poorly represented in legal entities and in the management bodies, in which they rarely have positions of responsibility. Women are not often involved in the management of resources and income, in community micro-projects or other lucrative activities related to community forests. The marketing of firewood and rattan is generally an activity mainly carried out by women and children, even if men are involved to a lesser extent.

5. Women’s participation in biodiversity conservation is less important than men’s. This is reflected in their low involvement in (i) the elaboration of development plans and community-based wildlife management plans and their implementation; (ii) the preparation of protected areas and conservation sites management plans and in their implementation; and (iii) in development initiatives such as tourism, ecotourism, etc.

6. Income from food crops and forest products collected by women is used for the daily management of the household. In the project area, the economic situation of women is not very different from one region to another, apart from the influence of religion on practices in different places (women's confinement, early marriage of girls, etc.).

7. Youth: Youth in the rural areas of Cameroon are characterized by: (i) a low level of education; (ii) a lack of vocational training and qualification; (iii) inadequate orientation in secondary education towards sectors that are not suitable for the rural economy; and (iv) very limited access to inputs (land, labour, techniques and technology, financial resources, etc.). Young people constitute a large proportion of the poorest categories in rural areas, often low-skilled (4.6% of skilled workers in rural areas compared to 21.6% of urban skilled workers) and generally trained on the job, with low levels of income. Child labour from age 5 to14 is very significant with a proportion of 41% against an average of 25% in sub-Saharan Africa. About 10% of young people between 15 and 24 have never attended school or been able to get a job. The lack of opportunities for youth could also lead to

---

radicalization and violent extremism. Boko Haram has managed to gain a foothold in the Far North of Cameroon and to recruit thousands of young people. This is largely due to the vulnerability of this region and the lack of employment opportunities.

1.3 Economic Context

8. Cameroon has one of the most diversified economy in Central Africa. Economic activity has recently decelerated due to the global decrease in oil prices and insecurity stemming from the presence of Boko Haram in the Far North region. The poverty level has not significantly changed in the last decades. Overall, it decreased slightly from 40% to 37.5% from 2001 to 2014 with an urban poverty dropping from 14% to 9% whereas rural poverty rose from 52% to 56.8%.

9. About 50% of the active population work in rural areas. Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, contributed to 22.5% of the Gross Domestic Product (GDP) in 2014. Agriculture is mainly dominated by smallholder farmers. Most of the agriculture is not mechanized and makes little or no use of inputs, thereby leading to low yields. Farmers and their households are among the poorest groups, and food insecurity is prevalent in the northern regions (15.4% in the North and 17.9% in the Far North). Around 40.5% of children living in rural areas suffer from chronic malnutrition against 21.9% in urban areas.

1.4 Agriculture sector

10. After a period of strong economic recession between 1985 and 1994, the Cameroonian economy has really rebounded since 2010, especially in export-oriented sectors. The annual growth rate of GDP has gradually increased from 3.3% in 2010 to 5.6% in 2013. Cameroon's growth was driven by exports of raw materials, of agricultural or petroleum origin. Export earnings have been one of the essential sources of public and private investment. Rural sector exports account for about 55% of the country's export earnings, compared with 30% of hydrocarbons. The main agricultural products exported are cocoa (beans, dough, butter and preparation), cotton fiber, coffee, bananas, rubber and palm oil. According to the World Bank statistics (2015), agricultural GDP in Cameroon has been evaluated at 22.82%2.

11. Agriculture is dominated by about two million smallholder farmers, who are highly susceptible to weather hazards. These farmers depend heavily on available natural resources, with production systems playing a decisive role in the degradation or preservation of these resources.

12. Crop systems are varied in the three regions of intervention of the project:

13. In the Far North, production systems are mainly based on the cultivation of millet and sorghum. Land pressure leads to intensive clearing and reduced fallow periods. The creation of stone terraces makes it possible to develop crops on steep slopes. Rain-fed crops are grown in the Yaere3 and the cultivation of rice is growing. Agricultural productivity is still low on a regional scale, and the cereal balance is structurally deficient (+/- 100 000 t / year). In terms of livestock, 38% of the national herd is concentrated in this region. There are several types of livestock breeding in the area: (i) a small transhumance that exploits the Yaere in the dry season; (ii) cross-border transhumance between Cameroon, Nigeria-Niger and Cameroon-Chad which exploits the pastoral resources around the Lake Chad; and (iii) sedentary farms still under development.

14. In the North, the development of cotton has intensified the cultivation systems and allowed a more rapid evolution towards cultivation with animal traction. Maize remains the main food and cash crop

---

2 World Bank (2015)
3 The Yaéré is a vast annually flooded flat savanna grassland plain, part of the extensive floodplains around the shallow and variable Lake Chad in Central Africa. When not inundated by floods the Yaéré is an ecoregion of the Tropical and subtropical grasslands, savannas, and shrublands biome, and when flooded it is an African freshwater ecoregion in the flooded grasslands and savannas biome.
in this region. Rice cultivation and the large-scale cultivation of groundnuts are gradually leaving some room for the emergence of other crops such as onions, yams and cowpeas. In terms of livestock, this region is where transhumant herders go during the dry season. The pastoral areas are threatened by agriculture which tends to encroach on traditional transhumance corridors.

15. In the Northwest, climate and soil fertility make it possible to produce maize for two growing seasons, in association with peanuts or beans. Potato is also grown in the second growing season. These annual crops are produced in association with semi-perennial crops such as plantain, cassava and macabo and perennial crops such as avocado, mango and safoutier. The cultivation of cash crops such as coffee is often done in monoculture. The high demographic pressure in the area has led to a constant decrease in the households’ cultivated areas (one hectare per household as an average in 2016). In this region, livestock depends on climatic conditions and available natural resources. The Mbororos Foulanis cattle is limited to the top of the hills in the south of the region. In the northern part of the region, where land pressure is lower, livestock rearing is predominant, with more open, subalpine meadows.

1.5 Natural Resources

16. Cameroon has abundant land resources still largely under-exploited. Of a total area of 47 million ha, 9.2 are used for agricultural purposes. The arable land covers about 7.2 million hectares, to which must be added nearly 2 million hectares of pasture. Only 1.8 million hectares are currently cultivated (26% of the cultivable area). The low average density of the population places Cameroon in a favourable situation in terms of land availability.

17. The potential of irrigable land is estimated at about 240 000 ha. Irrigated areas were in the order of 27 000 ha in the early 1990s. An increase of 20% in the last decade brought the irrigated area to 33 000 ha, leaving space for future expansions (SDSR, 2006).

18. The added value of the forestry sector was consistently 2.7% of the overall GDP between 2008 and 2010. This contribution is higher than the contribution of the non-oil mining sector (0.18% of GDP in 2010).

19. Wood contributes more than 80% to the supply of energy in Africa in all countries. Africa, and, is particularly Central Africa the only continent where wood will continue to play a predominant role in the coming decades as a source of domestic energy. Cameroon is no exception to this general situation, it is estimated that 83% of the Cameroonian population depend on woody biomass as a source of energy, and in rural areas it is often the only source of available energy.

20. The contribution of the wood energy sector to state revenues remains marginal, while sums of up to one billion CFA francs are levied on actors in the sector through the parafiscal networks.

21. Ecotourism aims above all at the sustainable management of natural heritage, in that it contributes to promoting the conservation and preservation of green spaces and biological diversity, while seeking the well-being of local communities through the promotion of income generating activities. In Cameroon, about 136,182 domestic and foreign tourists visit the ecotourism sites of Cameroon annually. Nonresident aliens represent about 11.6% of visitors in all sites. However, they have a strong preference for natural sites generally far from the cities of Yaoundé and Douala (Campo, Lobeke, Korup, etc.). Ecotourism generates significant revenues for the Cameroonian economy, more than 5,134 billion CFA francs. (CIFOR, 2013)

---

1.6 Environmental context

22. Cameroon's ecosystems make the country one of the most diverse in Africa in terms of variety and quantity of ecosystems and genetic resources, with a high level of endemism. Within the African continent, Cameroon is ranked fourth in terms of floristic diversity and fifth in terms of wildlife diversity, and the country has around 3.6 million hectares of protected areas for the conservation of this biodiversity. Nevertheless, Cameroon faces a negative trend of biodiversity loss with 815 flowering plant species and 44 animal species that are endangered. Cameroon ranks 18th in terms of the number of threatened mammals. This loss of biodiversity is mostly linked to the anthropogenic pressure exerted by communities in vulnerable rural areas that have few economically viable options. This pressure is reflected in land-use change, unsustainable natural resource management and pollution, and is likely to worsen with the effects of climate change.

23. Cameroon has the fourth largest area of dense rainforest in the Congo Basin, covering almost 42% of its territory (about 20 million hectares). However, the country faces an annual net deforestation rate of about 1% when considering the entire forest cover of the country, which represents a loss of about 220,000 hectares per year. The forestry law of 1994, which is considered to be a pioneer in the Central African sub-region and which is currently being revised, promotes the conservation of the country natural resources and biodiversity, and promotes community and municipal forests. It also prescribes the classification of 30% of the permanent forested land into protected areas.

24. The availability of surface water resources at the national level amounts to 268 billion cubic meters. Cameroon has a dense network of rivers spread over four watersheds: (i) Lake Chad basin, (ii) Niger basin, (iii) Congo basin, and (iv) the coastal river basin. Surface water is essential for agro-pastoral activities in the northern regions and in the hydrological functioning of Yaërés. The groundwater resource is directly linked to surface water and its characteristics. Groundwater availability is estimated at 56 billion cubic meters.

Figure 2: Agro-ecological zones in Cameroon (Source: Ministry of Environment and Forests (MINEF) et Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) 2007 évaluation des ressources forestières nationales du Cameroon 2003–2004, Yaoundé, Cameroon.)
25. Cameroon has five main agro-ecological zones, which are as follows from north to south: (i) Sudano Sahelian zone; (ii) high Guinean savannahs; (iii) the high western plateaus, (iv) forest zone with monomodal rainfall; and (iv) forest zone with bimodal rainfall. They are represented in the map above.

26. The project intervention sites are located in the Sudano Sahelian zone (Waza and Benoue national parks), and in the high western plateaus (Kimbi-Fungom national park).

1.7 Environment context in targeted areas of intervention

27. A total surface area of 104,800 hectares will benefit from project activities, including: (i) 100,800 hectares of community forest land and co-managed game areas (immediate surroundings of the protected areas) and (ii) 4,000 hectares of agro-sylvo-pastoral lands and developed sub-catchments (exploited periphery areas).

28. The Project intervention sites are located in three regions: the Far North, the North and the North West, in the surroundings of three national parks: the Waza National Park (Far North), the Bénoué National Park (North) and the Kimbi-Fungom National Park (North-West), covering a total of 188 villages.

Figure 3: Project intervention sites
29. Intervention sites were selected on the basis of: (i) the intensity of climate change impacts and the level of vulnerability of the population to climate change especially the rural youths; (ii) the biodiversity status of the area and the need for protection; (iii) the economic and agro-ecological potential in terms of agro-sylvo-pastoral sectors, agroforestry and the exploitation of Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFPs); and (iv) the possibilities of creating synergies with existing projects such as PADFA II, PEA-Jeunes which support the socioeconomic integration of youths in the agro-sylvo-pastoral sector.

30. **Far North Region** - Periphery of Waza National Park. The project intervention sites spread across a five-kilometer radius around the park, including areas where community forestry initiatives are underway. This area is characterized by: (i) a Sudano-Sahelian agro-ecological system where traditional agro-pastoral practices result in severe soil degradation; (ii) deforestation related to people's fuel-wood needs; (iii) a high prevalence of food insecurity; (iv) low water availability; (v) road infrastructure degradation; (vi) very difficult access to credit, e.g. through microfinance institutions; (vii) severe insecurity due to the terrorist group Boko Haram; (viii) a large and increasing number of displaced people as a result of the armed conflict; (ix) the presence of cross-border refugees in humanitarian camps.

31. However, Waza National Park remains an essential refuge for biodiversity, and large mammals in particular, in the Northern Savanna ecosystem. The region is considered by the Government as a priority area. The humanitarian community in Cameroon, UN agencies and international NGOs, are very active in the region with various interventions in favour of refugees and displaced populations.

32. **North Region** - Periphery of the Bénoué National Park. The project intervention sites spread across a 20-kilometer radius around the park, including community-managed game areas #1 and #4, as represented in the map above. This area is characterized by: (i) strong demographic pressure due to past population movements; (ii) prevalence of food insecurity; (iii) low water availability; (iv) deforestation for charcoal production, exported to urban centers; (v) difficult access to microfinance institutions due to remoteness. This park also makes the connection with the wildlife corridors of the Northern Savanna ecosystem for large mammals.

33. **North-West Region** – Periphery of the Kimbi-Fungom National Park. The project intervention zone covers a ten-kilometer radius around the park. This area is characterized by: (i) a low population density; (ii) a mosaic of dense rainforest and grassland savanna for agroforestry activities and the exploitation of highly valued Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFP): wild mango, njansang; (iii) entrepreneurial dynamism throughout the region; (iv) cross-border pressures on biodiversity through deforestation; (v) transhumance in the northern part of the region. Although no precise data on fauna and flora are available since Kimbi-Fungom National Park has only recently been established in 2015, it is suspected to be one of the richest in terms of diurnal primates, including chimpanzees and gorillas. The national park still does not have a development and management plan.

34. **Target Group.** The total population around the three national parks is estimated at about 135,000, of which 40,500 are between the ages of 18 and 35 (youth according to the national definition) with almost 50.6% of young women. Youth and women are the most vulnerable climate impacts. This ethnic and culturally diverse population around national parks is characterized by great social diversity. It is made up of indigenous people, migrants from different migration waves and internally displaced persons. It is difficult to assess exactly the relative importance each group.

35. Selection criteria used here follows that used by PEA jeunes and will be validated with communities. The criteria include (i) level of poverty and food insecurity; (ii) vulnerability to climate change and natural degradation iii) community interest and demand; (iv) synergies with other donor- and IFAD supported projects; (iv) site potential (including downstream effects, physical cultural resources, opportunities for eco businesses cooperatives farmers organizations and reserving the degradation of natural resources (v) social exclusion particularly for youth and women.
and indigenous people and gender disparities (vi) high rate. The project targeting strategy, including gender equality and women’s empowerment (vii) limited access to financing by youth and women (viii) lack of job opportunities leading to illegal activities.
1.8 Climate variability and climate change

Current climate hazards and variability and their impacts

Figure 4: Climate zones of Cameroon (Source: MINEPAT)

36. There are three main types of climate in Cameroon depending on the country’s topography:

- The equatorial climate in the southern part of the country is characterized by abundant precipitation, high and constant temperatures resulting in low thermal amplitude. Two types of equatorial climate can be distinguished: (i) the Guinean type that covers part of the coast and the South Cameroon plateau; and (ii) the Cameroonian type that covers the vicinity of Mount Cameroon and extends as far as the mouth of the Sanaga River encompassing the high plateaus of the West;

- The tropical climate which can be distinguished in three different types: (i) the tropical Sudano-sahelian type in the Far North of the country, with high temperatures and irregular rains; (ii) the tropical Sudanian type in the North, with high temperatures and little rain; and the tropical humid type, which is a transition between the tropical and the equatorial climates.

37. Past Climate trends. Across its entire territory, Cameroon has experienced an average temperature increase of 0.7°C between 1960 and 2007. Over the last decade, this change in climate has led to more extreme climate events such as: (i) the lengthening of dry seasons with more intense droughts; (ii) increased evapotranspiration due to rising temperatures, resulting in more violent storms; and (iii) intensification of flooding events in the Sudano-Sahelian zone. These
phenomena directly affect the environmental, social and economic conditions in the different regions of the country (CHIRPS, 2016).

38. The maps below show trends in periods of rainy seasons throughout Cameroon over the past 20 years.

Figure 5: CAMEROON – Far North, North, North-West and West (calculated over the past 20 years) (CHIRPS, 2016).

39. The high western plateaus, and the periphery of the Kimbi-Fungom national park are characterised by annual rainfall of about 1,800 mm, a long rainy season (March to November) and a short dry season. The average monthly temperature remains constant all year long, around 24°C. During the past six decades, rainfall has decreased by 2.5% per decade and droughts have intensified.
40. In the **Kimbi-Fungom national park**, heavy rain seasons happen every 15 years instead of 10 years in the surrounding regions, and light rain seasons happen every 10 to 15 years instead of 6 to 10 years in the surrounding regions. The park is therefore not so frequently impacted by extreme rainfall events. It is however located in an area where annual rainfall tend to decrease, therefore limiting surface and ground water availability in the area.

41. In the Sudano Sahelian zone, where the **Bénoué and Waza National Parks** are located, the rainfall gradient ranges from 500 mm to 1,000mm and annual rainfall is concentrated from July to October. Temperatures are around 28°C with high thermal variations of 7.7°C. These areas have been particularly affected by the decrease in rainfall over the past 6 decades (4.1% per decade).

42. In the North and in the Far North (where the **Bénoué and Waza national parks** are located), heavy and light rain seasons come back every 6 to 10 years, which means that extreme climate events are quite frequent, which can threaten food security. In addition in the North, annual rainfall is decreasing, which is not the case in the Far North where rainfall is already very low. The start of the rainy season tends to be delayed in Bénoué national park and in the east of Waza national park. In the west of Waza national park, however, the rainy season is starting earlier.
43. **Precipitations.** The seasonal rainfall in the country varies considerably on inter-annual and inter-decadal timescales, due in part to variations in the movements and intensity of the Inter Tropical Climatic Zone (ITCZ). Rainfall has decreased in the northern part of the country since the 1980s which affect the ecosystem and agricultural production.

Figure 9: Precipitation averaged over the reference period 1986-2005. This map is based on the EWEMBI dataset (Source Climate Analytics, 2019)

44. **Climate change scenarios.** Climate analysis reveals a window of opportunity from 2020 to 2030, during which climate change will have limited adverse effects, before a more intense deterioration from 2030 to 2065. The adoption of adaptation strategies must therefore be promoted during the 2020 to 2030 period in order to prepare Cameroonian producers, and in particular the younger ones, to these new climatic conditions.
According to SRES (Scenario A2)\(^6\), Cameroon is expected to experience stable rainfall, slightly above current trends until 2030, and then an increasing degradation of its aridity index following a southwest / northeast gradient in the country, until 2065. The Far North and North regions should see their aridity index deteriorate from -1.5 to -2.5 points. The North-West will experience less degradation, in the range of -0.5 to -1.5. The number of five-day periods without rain during the rainy season should, however, decrease slightly with a frequency of poor rainfall distribution every six years in the Far North and North regions and every ten years in the region North West.

The climate projection models available at the University of Cape Town for the town of Garoua and Maroua (respectively in the North and Far North regions) for 2030 confirm trends that will impact the areas of intervention of the project, with in particular an increase in rainfall during humid periods, an increase in temperatures, at night in particular, and an increase in the number of very hot days.

In the Sudano Sahelian zone, it is expected that rainfall will increase by the end of the 2010-2035 period, while south of this zone in the high plateaus, no major changes are foreseen.

Figure 10: Simulation of the evolution of rainfall from 1970 to 2035

![Figure 10: Simulation of the evolution of rainfall from 1970 to 2035](image)

According to the RegCM simulation model, a slight increase in temperature is expected until 2030, followed by a stronger increase of about 1°C (CMIP5) per decade until 2100.

Figure 11: Simulation of the evolution of temperatures from 1970 to 2035

![Figure 11: Simulation of the evolution of temperatures from 1970 to 2035](image)

Projected change in precipitation for 2031-2050 compared to the reference period 1986-2005. Here the ensemble mean of regional climate model projections is displayed. Grid-cells for which a model-disagreement is found are colored in gray. The projections are based on the emission scenario RCP4.5.

---

\(^6\) According to the RegCM scenario A2 model used in the Cameroon National Climate Change Adaptation Plan (PNACC) June 24th 2015
50. **Climate change impacts.** The impact of climate change on Cameroon’s agriculture is the result of a combination of changes in agricultural systems (intensification, extension of areas on marginal lands) and the amplitude and frequency of extreme climate events. These are likely to increase pressure on natural resources (increase in water needs, increase in water runoff and erosion, etc.).

51. Climate change might also impact soil erosion due to increased rainfall intensity and the extension of cultivated areas on marginal lands as a result of lowering productivity. Soils cultivated on slopes in the northern part of the North-West region and in the western part of the Far North region will thus be subject to increasing erosion until 2065, by respectively 20 and 50 MJ.mm / ha / year.

52. The estimated long-term impact on agricultural yields ranges from + 10%, due to the positive impact of increasing temperatures on crop yields in wet equatorial areas, to - 30% due to the negative impact of reduced rainfall, increasing temperatures, and an increase in the frequency and amplitude of climatic hazards on rain-fed and recession crops in the Sahelo-Sudanian zone.

---

7 Increasing erosion measuring unit: mega joule per millimeter per hectare per year.

8 Climate changes impacts on agricultural yields. Christoph Müller, Alberte Bondeau, Alexander Popp, Katharina Waha, and Marianela Fader, Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), Germany
53. Climate change also disrupts vegetative cycles, due to a multiplication of extreme events (days and nights with high heat, more intense cold, windy episodes). The phenomenon is already observed empirically by local populations who deplore the unpredictability of harvests of NTFP in forest areas.

54. Such context pushes producers to change their production systems. Highland producers in the NorthWest region adapt in particular through the use of more diversified resources (NTFP, labour outside the field) and intensified agro-sylvo-pastoral production: intercropping (maize, bean, groundnut, and plantain), small livestock (poultry farming, pig farming) and forestry. Producers in the Far North and North regions are adopting strategies for the diversification of livestock products through the agricultural use of flood-recessional land and land benefiting from livestock manure.

55. Agriculture is the most sensitive sector of the economy to temperatures and precipitation, and major risks are foreseen in the Far North, North, Adamaua and East regions. As indicated in the figure below, there is also a high probability of decay/recession in the agricultural sector of up to 130% in the high warming scenario. In the absence of adequate climate change adaptation options, both Cameroon staples could be severely affected by future climate change, limiting population’s self-sufficiency, which would therefore need to rely on other crops and put more pressure on forest production.

![Figure 12: Economic risk during the 2040-2049 decade on the growth of the agriculture sector under the effect of temperature and precipitation measured as a percentage of growth in the high warming scenario. (World Bank, 2017. Rapport Diagnostic Cameroon)](image)

56. Left unchecked, climate change could have detrimental economic development consequences in these poor rural communities as well as on forest ecosystems which play a key environmental and climate benefits particularly for youth and women. Under both a low and a high warming scenario, climate variability and change could lead to increasing poverty level, insecurity and migration per capita compared to a scenario without climate change.
58. Finally, Climate change and anthropogenic pressure are also expected to impact Cameroon’s national parks by exacerbating the increasing pressure of riparian populations on water, land, pasture and forest resources. These pressures are likely to increase the degradation of sensitive and biodiversity rich environments.

1.9 Description of the problem to be addressed

59. The project area of intervention is vulnerable to climate change. Increase in temperature, higher occurrence of extreme climate events (droughts, floods, heavy winds, etc.), poor rainfall distribution and changes in season patterns were observed in recent years. These changes affect local communities, especially young people, that already suffer from lack of opportunities and basic services, which often leads them to illegal activities.

60. The theory of change of the project is that young men and women in the North West, North, and Far North regions located in the surroundings of protected areas (Kimbi-Fungum, Bénoué and Waza national parks) would increase their resilience to climate change as well as their incomes if they have access to good agricultural practices, integrated natural resources management and advisory services.

61. Commercial banks and financial institutions are still reluctant to finance the agricultural sector (only 2% of the formal financings are granted to agriculture) and they are still shy to finance MFIs which are struggling to find refunding funds. The national average of financial services penetration rate in rural areas is low, only a very limited number of Cameroonian, rural adults had an account within a financial institution. However, the rise of mobile banking operators with mobile transfer operations has led to an increase from 9.8% to 71% between 2004 and 2014. Access to financing is extremely limited both for women and youth and prevent them from investing in low emission, climate resilient agriculture and raising their productivity.

62. The paradigm shift envisaged through the project is to sustainably strengthen youth eco-entrepreneurs with financial incentives including payment for ecosystems services which in the long term will attract capital, particularly from the private sector, REDD+ markets and Government. This approach will allow them access to medium and long term investments to expand number of REDD+ pilot projects that are currently in operation in Cameroon on Ecosystem Services (PES) and forest communities and biodiversity conservation at the regional level through landscape management and to address climate change with potential interventions listed below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Change</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Potential Interventions Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature Increase on land and water</td>
<td>Heat stress on crops</td>
<td>Access to heat tolerant crops</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased crop water demand and or reduced water availability</td>
<td>Access to drought tolerant and fast maturing crops and varieties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Increase organic content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Water conserving crop management practices (e.g. ridge planting)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maximize water capture and storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Advocacy on securing rights to water supply to small scale farmers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heat stress on livestock</td>
<td>Tree Planting (shed and fodder)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changed seasonality</td>
<td>Farmers uncertain about when to cultivate, sow and harvest</td>
<td>Appropriate, accessible and reliable seasonal and weather forecasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crops damaged by dry spells within growing season</td>
<td>Appropriate, accessible and reliable seasonal and weather forecasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduced agricultural seasons</td>
<td>Improved drainage</td>
<td>Livelihood diversification and access to finance through the facility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased frequency and severity of floods</td>
<td>Protected/raised food, water and sanitation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increase in intense rainfall or large increase in annual rainfall</th>
<th>Increased frequency and severity of floods</th>
<th>Improved drainage</th>
<th>Protected/raised food, water and sanitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Decrease in annual rainfall in arid and semiarid areas</td>
<td>Increased frequency and severity of drought</td>
<td>Rainwater harvesting through increasing water retention capacity of the tanks as an adaptive measure to address rainfall variability</td>
<td>Community water management committees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Access to more drought-tolerant crops</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

63. Concretely and operationally, this will be achieved through (i) the integration of climate change adaptation and resilient development perspectives in institutional frameworks and local development planning processes; (ii) the strengthening of ecosystem resilience to climate change through a better knowledge of their status and vulnerability and the implementation of restoration measures; and (iii) the improvement of climate change adaptation capacities and awareness among young people and local communities and the strengthening of more resilient livelihoods.

64. The three zones of intervention of the project face various challenges that are likely to be exacerbated by climate change. The figure below illustrates the problems to be addressed by the proposed project.
To address these challenges in a context of climate change, the project will intervene livelihood diversification as a means of adaptation in the following manner in the three regions of intervention:

- **In the Far North (Waza National Park):** The project will support (i) the installation of livestock water points; (ii) the promotion of community forestry and reforestation activities; (iii) the rehabilitation of degraded soils and the adoption of sustainable agro-sylvo-pastoral practices; (iv) the development of economic opportunities for young people through eco-businesses; (v) Access to finance through a Facility (initial capital of 4 million) and a payment for ecosystems schemes that will provide finance to young entrepreneurs for sustainable management of natural resources.
and promotion (vi) the sustainable exploitation of NTFP - especially arabic gum, practiced by women informally.

**In the North (Bénoué National Park):** The project will (i) support the improvement of natural resources management in community game areas; (ii) install livestock water points to limit competition on the water resources of the national park; (iii) develop economic opportunities for young people through eco-businesses; iv) Access to finance through the Facility (initial capital of 4 million) that will provide finance to young entrepreneurs as well as a payment for ecosystems schemes (v) and (iv) develop NTFP, especially shea butter, traditionally carried out by women.

**North West (Kimki-Fungom National Park):** The Project will focus its work on (i) supporting the development of the National Park management plan, and simplified management plan for surrounding community forests; (ii) increasing knowledge of ecosystems and natural resources in the outskirts of the national park; (iii) developing interventions in community forests and reforestation; (iv) strengthening the entrepreneurial approach of young people; v) Access to finance through the Facility (initial capital of 4 million) that will provide finance to young entrepreneurs (v) valorisation of NTFP – particularly wild mango and njansang.

### 1.10 Current Political and Institutional Context to support the problem to be addressed

66. **International Conventions.** Cameroon is a signatory to many international conventions, including the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), the Kyoto Protocol, the United Nations Convention on Biological Diversity (UNCBD), and the United Nations Convention to Combat Desertification (UNCCD). These conventions were translated into strategies to be implemented at the national level.

67. **Policy Framework.** In the framework of the UNFCCC, Cameroon has developed its Intended Nationally Determined Contribution (INDC) in December 2015, which is aligned to its National Climate Change Adaptation Plan PNACC – Plan National d'Adaptation au Changement Climatique). The vision in the PNACC is that “climate change is fully integrated into the country’s sustainable development, reducing its vulnerability, and even turning climate change into a solution/opportunity for development. Thus Cameroonians - particularly women, children and vulnerable people - and the country's economic sectors have a greater resilience and adaptability to the impacts of climate change”. To make this vision come true, the general objective of the PNACC is to adapt to climate change by reducing the vulnerability of Cameroonians to the effects of climate change, increasing their resilience and quality of life; and improving adaptive capacity to create new opportunities to support the country’s sustainable development. To do so, the Plan includes the following four strategic objectives: (i) improve knowledge on climate change in Cameroon, (ii) inform, educate and mobilize the Cameroonian population to adapt to climate change, (iii) reduce vulnerability to climate change in the main sectors and agro-ecological zones of the country, (iv) integrate adaptation to climate change into strategies and national sectoral policies.

68. According to the second National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (NBSAP II) in the framework of the UNCBD, by 2035, Cameroon aims to establish a sustainable relationship with its biodiversity in its use and in sharing its benefits to meet the development needs and the wellbeing of the population, and to preserve the health of its ecosystems through a sectoral and decentralized integration and the effective participation of all stakeholders, including local authorities.

69. Other key policies with regards to the project include, among others: Cameroon Vision 2035; the National Agricultural Investment Plan 2014-2020 (PNIA – Plan National d’Investissement Agricole); the Strategic Document for Growth and Employment; the National Gender Policy 2011-2020, the Strategy of Woman and Family Promotion, and the Youth National Plan, the Sectoral Programme Forest and Environment (PSFE II – Programme Sectoriel Forêt et Environnement), etc. These policy documents are described in more details in section II.4 on the strategic alignment of the project.
70. **Key Institutions.** The main ministries involved in the implementation of these national policies, plans and projects are the following:

- MINEPDED: the ministry of environment, nature protection and sustainable development;
- MINADER: the ministry of agriculture and rural development;
- MINFOF: the ministry of forestry and wildlife;
- MINEPIA: the ministry of livestock, fisheries and animal industries; and
- MINEPAT: the ministry of economy, planning and regional development

**Project Objectives:** *List the main objectives of the project/programme.*

71. The overall objective of the project is to increase local communities’ resilience to climate change through resilient livelihoods and integrated natural resources management.

72. The project will deliver this objective through three outcomes:

- **Outcome 1:** Climate proofed institutional frameworks and local development plans at regional and local level;
- **Outcome 2:** Ecosystems resilience to climate change is strengthened through monitoring and better knowledge of their status and vulnerability;
- **Outcome 3:** Sustainable management of natural resources and ecosystems leading to climate resilient ecosystems, green jobs creation for youth, women and resilient livelihoods.

- **Target groups:** The project will directly benefit 8,800 rural households with defined quotas of 50% women and 40 % youth. The project will develop an operational plan to address gender gap in the targeted regions.
### Project Components and Financing:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Components</th>
<th>Expected Concrete Outputs</th>
<th>Expected Outcomes</th>
<th>Amount (US$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Component 1:** Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level | • Output 1.1: Institutional and regulatory frameworks and plans at municipal and regional level are strengthened to promote climate change adaptation and the resilient management of natural resources  
• Output 1.2: Land and natural resources management are improved in the Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks and their outskirts to increase the resilience to climate change of vulnerable populations contributing to emissions reduction | Outcome 1: Climate proofed institutional frameworks and local development plans at regional and local level | 1,400,000 |
| **Component 2:** Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change, ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities | • Output 2.1: Climate information systems and surveillance mechanisms are strengthened through the development of a unified observation system to respond to climate change  
• Output 2.2: Ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities for the most vulnerable groups are identified (youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people) and information systems are improved | Outcome 2: Ecosystems resilience to climate change is strengthened through monitoring and better knowledge of their status and vulnerability | 1,300,000 |
**Component 3:**

Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate resilience of targeted communities.

Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented through incentives instruments leading to increasing the resilience to climate change of targeted communities and areas.

- Output 3.1: Projects promoters are selected and trained in building eco-business.
- Output 3.2: Climate adaptation actions in agroforestry and natural resources management are implemented through a grant with a focus on youth and other marginalized groups.
- Output 3.3: Payments for ecosystem services schemes to support conservation of fragile ecosystems are implemented.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6. Project Execution cost</th>
<th>500,000</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7. Total Project Cost</td>
<td>9,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Project Cycle Management Fee charged by the Implementing Entity (8.5%)</td>
<td>782,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount of Financing Requested</strong></td>
<td><strong>9,982,000</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Projected Calendar:** *Indicate the dates of the following milestones for the proposed project/programme*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Start of Project Implementation</td>
<td>2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-term Review (if planned)</td>
<td>2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Closing</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Evaluation</td>
<td>2026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A. Project Components

73. The proposed project aims to increase local communities’ resilience to climate change through resilient livelihoods and integrated natural resources management in the outskirts of the Waza, Benoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks particularly youth and women. The project’s objective is aligned with five outcomes of the Adaptation Fund, namely:

- Adaptation Fund Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes at local level
- Adaptation Fund Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development and natural resource sectors
- Adaptation Fund Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress
- Adaptation Fund Outcome 6: Diversified and strengthened livelihoods and sources of income for vulnerable people in targeted areas
- Adaptation Fund Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures.

74. As outlined in the previous section, local populations particularly youth and women in the targeted areas are amongst the poorest and the most vulnerable in Cameroon. They live in a fragile environment that is under increasing anthropogenic pressure due to the unsustainable management of natural resources in a context of a growing population combined with food insecurity and poverty. The situation in the three areas of intervention is likely to worsen with the effects of climate change, with for instance an expected rise in temperatures, higher occurrence of extreme climate events, poor rainfall distribution and changes in season patterns. To cope with this negative trend, the project aims to take advantage of the climate window of opportunity until 2030 to support local communities in their adaptation to climate change so that they are able to cope with its long-term effects on their livelihoods. To achieve this objective, the project will therefore create an enabling environment for climate change adaptation at the institutional level, and will contribute to increasing the resilience of both the local ecosystems and natural resources, and local communities (in particular young women and men) so that a sustainable development can take place in the long term in these areas in a changing climate context. To project is structured around three components:

• **Component 1:** Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level;

• **Component 2:** Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change and ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities; and

• **Component 3:** Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate resilience of targeted communities.

75. Each component is described in more details below.

76. The project will directly benefit 8,800 rural households, representing almost 52,800 people living in the outskirts of the three national parks (about 40% of the population), including: 6,200 households supported for the management of community forest, game areas and through pastoral water points; and 2,600 households supported in agroforestry and sub-catchment development. Among these 8,800 households, 2,300 young eco-entrepreneurs (of which 50% will be women) will be supported as well. The project will indirectly benefit the population of the park outskirts through development
and restoration initiatives as well as the creation of jobs and resilient livelihoods in the area. In particular, the project will pay a specific attention to young people and will seek to be inclusive and promote gender equity.

77. The AF project will build upon synergies with the active IFAD portfolio particularly PEA –Jeunes in Cameroon to reinforce its goal of reduce the impact of climate change on the food security of smallholder farmers and pastoralists in the targeted areas. The project will directly target the same target as PEA –Jeunes which are 52,800 people of which 50% will be women and 40% youth.

78. The project will develop a partnership with IFAD supported project PEA jeunes which seek to: (i) support young people in creating and managing successful agropastoral businesses and (ii) promote a policy, organizational and institutional framework conducive to the creation and development of agropastoral businesses among young people. To facilitate young people’s access to various services, each year the programme will nurture a cohort of youths through business incubators with experience in the creation and development of businesses operated by young people in rural areas. Coaching support for young people will include: (i) assistance in project maturation with a view to business establishment and development, (ii) strengthening of technical skills, (iii) financial education, (iv) training in business management and the various functions of the business owner.

79. With this additional AF projects” Increasing local communities’ resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management “ the country will promote youth eco businesses while building the resilience of communities and business holders particularly with a focus on PEA jeunes targets

80. To better address the needs of targeted youth and women, project will support the implementation of the gender plan and youth strategy development under PEA-Jeunes.

81. The implementation of the gender strategy will consist of: (i) expanding women’s economic empowerment through access to and control over household and productive assets, in particular land; (ii) strengthening women’s decision-making role in the community and their representation and leadership in local institutions; (iii) functional literacy, numeracy and business skills training; (iv) gender awareness and women empowerment measures, including GALS training; (v) capacity building of project staff and technical service providers on gender-sensitive enterprise development and social inclusion; and (vi) minimum quotas (at least 50 per cent) to ensure women’s active participation in all decision-making bodies and producers organizations and cooperatives; and potential Eco business opportunities.

82. With regard to the youth approach, the focus will be on i) awareness raising and organization of youth groups and eco business; (ii) strengthening of leaders of youth groups; (iii) specific support for youth eco-SMEs, including access to finance and business development services; (iv) capacity building of project staff and technical service providers on youth-sensitive enterprise development and social inclusion; and (v) minimum quotas (at least 40 per cent) to ensure the active participation of youth in all decision-making bodies, producers organizations and cooperatives; and potential eco business opportunities.

**Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level.**

83. The expected outcome of this component is climate proofed institutional frameworks and local development plans at regional and local level (Outcome 1). This outcome is aligned with the Adaptation Fund Output 7: “Improved integration of climate resilience strategies into country development plans”. This component aims to build an enabling environment so that institutions are
aware and able to promote climate change adaptation in the long term at the national, regional and local level. The expected outputs and activities to be implemented under this component are as follows:

Output 1.1: Institutional and regulatory frameworks and plans at municipal and regional level are strengthened to promote climate change adaptation and natural resources management.

84. This output aims to mainstream climate change adaptation into the regional and municipal development plans of the three areas of intervention. This mainstreaming process will be participatory and will include relevant stakeholders. The management and development plans of the three national parks will be reviewed to identify potential gaps in terms of climate change adaptation and potential amendments to these frameworks will be proposed to better integrate the challenges posed by climate change. In particular, the project will review the Waza National Park development plan, support the ongoing reformulation process of the Bénoué national park development plan, and support the elaboration of a development and management plan for the Kimbi-Fungom national park that does not exist yet. The budget of the different plans will also be reviewed to ensure that they are aligned with adaptation needs. In addition, monitoring and evaluation (M&E) systems will be developed for the different plans to monitor their implementation.

85. The following activities will be implemented to achieve this output:

- **Activity 1.1.1**: Carry out a socio economic baseline and a community based Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) to update the institutional and regulatory policy frameworks and plans
- **Activity 1.1.2**: Organize workshops and dialogues to raise awareness on climate change adaptation, generate political will and integrate the vulnerability assessment outcome and stakeholders’ input into the relevant strategic framework and investment plans (participation of 50% women and 40% youth)
- **Activity 1.1.3**: Develop voluntary codes of practice for forest management activities, including timber harvesting
- **Activity 1.1.4**: Develop a road map for the implementation of the updated frameworks with a resource mobilization strategy
- **Activity 1.1.5**: Organize participatory planning sessions (minimum quotas 50% women and 40%) to review and update local and regional development plans in the areas of intervention to mainstream climate change adaptation
- **Activity 1.1.6**: Review of the management and development plans of Waza and Bénoué national parks to identify potential gaps in terms of climate change adaptation, and propose potential amendments to mainstream this dimension
- **Activity 1.1.7**: Develop simple M&E systems for local and regional development plans, in order to enable local authorities to properly monitor their implementation
- **Activity 1.1.8**: Provide institutional and capacity building to local authorities to implement natural resources conservation and adaptive frameworks
- **Activity 1.1.9**: Develop practical guidance for updating regional and local development plans with up-to-date climate change information

Output 1.2: Land and natural resources management are improved in the Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks and their outskirts to increase the resilience to climate change of the vulnerable people
This output aims to mainstream climate change adaptation and natural resources management in the three national parks and their outskirts, in particular by integrating climate change into the national parks management and development plans, and by creating/updating community forest and game areas at their outskirts (buffer zones). This output will also consist in creating/updating community forests and game areas at the outskirts of the three national parks, including their legal status, management entities and the elaboration of simplified management plans. This output, and especially the creation and demarcation of community forests and game areas, will be participatory and involve all relevant stakeholders including women and youth.

The following activities will be implemented to achieve this output:

- **Activity 1.2.1**: Use of a Drivers-Pressures-State change-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework to identify and analyse specific project activities areas

- **Activity 1.2.2**: Nine forest areas to be classified as “community forests” and to be managed sustainably by the communities at the outskirts of Waza (3 community forests of around 3 000 ha each) and Kimki-Fungom national parks (6 community forests of around 2 000 ha each)

- **Activity 1.2.3**: Participatory micro-zoning of game areas #1 and #4 in the outskirts of the Bénoué national park to recognize a living space for local communities, identify conflict zones and regulate natural resources use outside of this living space

- **Activity 1.2.4**: Assess the conservation status of 10 endangered or endemic flora and fauna species and develop climate conservations plans for at least 5 of them

- **Activity 1.2.5**: Conduct vulnerability assessment to climate change of local ecosystems, and the needs for adaptation on the outskirts of Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks

- **Activity 1.2.6**: Establish of natural (and temporary) physical fencing in the buffer zones

- **Activity 1.2.7**: Document, revive and promote continued use of traditional and indigenous systems related to conservation and climate resilience

- **Activity 1.2.8**: Improve water resource management in vulnerable households for food production systems through the water efficient practices such as drip irrigation

- **Activity 1.2.9**: Forest restoration on degraded lands within and outside the parks through the plantation of around 15,000 trees from selected species demonstrating strong resilience to climate change and adaptation to the local ecosystems and the livelihood needs of the local communities

- **Activity 1.2.10**: Create a community forest and game areas management entities: identification of relevant stakeholders, consultation with local communities, and development of the legal status of the entities

- **Activity 1.2.11**: Support the new entities in the classification process of the identified areas into community forest and game areas

- **Activity 1.2.12**: Elaboration of simplified management plans for the demarcated community forests and game areas defining communities' rights and access modalities to the natural resources of the area (spatial planning of crop land, livestock raising, firewood, NTFP, hunting, etc.) ensuring long-term conservation of ecosystem services in a climate change context

**Component 2: Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change, ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities.**

The expected outcome of this component is a strengthened resilience to climate change of the different ecosystems through monitoring and better knowledge of their status and vulnerability (Outcome 2). This Outcome is aligned to the Adaptation Fund Outcome 3:
“Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes at local level”.

89. The expected outputs and activities to be implemented under this component are as follows:

**Output 2.1: Climate information systems and surveillance mechanisms are strengthened through the development of a unified observation system to respond to climate change.**

90. This output aims to upgrade the information systems in the three national parks and their outskirts, more specifically to gather up-to-date information on climate change vulnerability to better inform the adaptation process. In particular the project will support the introduction of drones, the improvement of meteorological, climatic and sentinel stations, the introduction of resilience measurement tools and the training of eco-guard in these new information systems.

91. Drones are not currently used in Cameroon for national parks surveillance. This technology will be used to monitor the impacts of climate change at the three protected areas (Waza, Béoué and Kimbi-Fungum) and their surroundings. It could also be used as a supervision and monitoring tool for the project activities.

92. The following activities will be implemented to achieve this output:

- **Activity 2.1.1**: Introduction of drones in the three national parks for ecosystems and natural resources surveillance to better monitor the impact of climate change, forest cover changes and ecological responses within protected areas and buffer zones.

- **Activity 2.1.2**: Set-up, rehabilitate or upgrade of the network of meteorological stations (automatic rain gauges, lightning detectors, standard equipment, power supply, telecoms for field stations) and of the sentinel sites in the three intervention sites.

- **Activity 2.1.3**: Training of Eco-guards and communities on the maintenance of meteorological stations, and of the sentinel sites in the three intervention sites.

- **Activity 2.1.4**: Capacity building of Eco-guards on drone technology, climate data collection, monitoring, and treatment for decision making in the three national parks.

- **Activity 2.1.5**: Upgrade and introduce data collection and communication equipment and devices, data storage and management systems, computers and software for remote sensing, software and customized tools for GIS, modelling and forecasting.

- **Activity 2.1.6**: Consolidation of hazard and risk maps, analysis and completion of historical data, identification of climate variability indicators for rainfall and temperature and consolidation of all available data.

- **Activity 2.1.7**: Application of specific tools (such as the FAO tool SHARP - Self-evaluation and Holistic Assessment of climate Resilience of farmers and Pastoralists) to measure the evolution in the level of climate change resilience in local communities.

- **Activity 2.1.8**: Develop a monitoring system for the conservations plans of the 5 most endangered or endemic flora and fauna species.

**Output 2.2: Ecosystem-based adaptation, climate smart businesses opportunities for the most vulnerable groups are identified (youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people) and information systems are improved.**

93. The following activities will be implemented to achieve this output:

- **Activity 2.2.1**: Assess local alternative employment based on the Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) with a special focus on youth, indigenous people, women and displaced people.
• **Activity 2.2.2**: Identify ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart solutions based on the different group of beneficiaries.

• **Activity 2.2.3**: Develop and maintain on a regular basis a database of potential ecobusiness opportunities and climate vulnerable beneficiaries.

• **Activity 2.2.4**: Establish local concertation platforms on eco-businesses and natural resources management in the villages of the three areas of intervention (gathering the management entities of the community forests and game areas).

• **Activity 2.2.5**: Increase generation and use of ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart business opportunities in decision making and local development and investment plans.

• **Activity 2.2.6**: Develop a rural youth employment local guide with ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices.

  **Activity 2.2.7**: Awareness raising on opportunities stemming from NTFP (acacia gum, shea butter, wild mango and njansang) among young people in the three areas of intervention, and selection of interested potential young entrepreneurs.

  **Activity 2.2.8**: Conduct a feasibility study on market information and business opportunities on NTFP system through cellular and internet technologies.

---

**Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate change resilience of targeted communities**

94. The expected outcome of this component is **sustainable management of natural resources and ecosystems leading to climate resilient ecosystems, green jobs creation for youth and resilient livelihoods (Outcome 3)**. This Outcome is aligned to the Adaptation Fund Outputs 4 and 5: “Vulnerable physical, natural, and social assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability” and Output 6: “Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability”. The expected outputs and activities to be implemented under this component are as follows:

**Output 3.1: Projects promoters are selected and trained in building eco-business** (quotas 50% women and 40%)

95. This output aims at promoting sustainable eco-businesses led by youth and other marginalized groups in the areas of intervention. The term “eco-business” is understood as an agro-pastoral and forestry business that conducts its activities through an integrated approach to the management of natural resources, and is better equipped to adapt to the effects of climate change. To achieve this output, the project will carry out awareness raising activities and will strengthen existing training centres so they can provide business trainings for a number of selected young and other marginalized entrepreneurs. In forestry, opportunities for developing the NTFP sector by entrepreneurs will be seized. Under this output, activities will be linked to the management of the community forests and game areas to ensure the sustainable management of natural resources.

96. The following activities will be implemented to achieve this output:

  • **Activity 3.1.1**: Identify the eco business niches of opportunities in the project sites. Eco-friendly businesses will include vegetable production, nurseries, transformation of non-wood forest products, production of compost, game ecotourism, etc.

  • **Activity 3.1.2**: Analysis and diagnosis of existing producer organizations and cooperatives in the areas of intervention to receive fund for eco businesses. Under this activity an analysis of the membership of producers organization, cooperatives will be undertaken determine the final recipients
• **Activity 3.1.3:** Community awareness and mobilization on climate resilient and ecological agro-sylvo-pastoral NTFP eco-business to address women's and youth's needs and priorities.

• **Activity 3.1.4:** Capacity building for existing business training centres and development of training strategies for young eco-entrepreneurs to tap into this fund.

• **Activity 3.1.5:** Provide trainings for selected young people (2,300) on how to build an ecobusiness (economic aspects, business plans, leadership, entrepreneurship and citizenship, training in the legal status of land occupation and use of natural resources in the areas of intervention, support for professional integration).

• **Activity 3.1.6:** Define ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices criteria to assess all future projects.

• **Activity 3.1.7:** Call for proposal for at least 400 projects from young eco-entrepreneurs, women, indigenous people and displaced people.

• **Activity 3.1.8:** Select beneficiaries out of whom 30% of will be indigenous people, women and displaced people projects for sustainable agroforestry and renewable energy enterprises.

• **Activity 3.1.9:** Coaching of eco entrepreneurs in implementing their funded projects

**Output 3.2: Climate adaptation actions in agroforestry and natural resources management are implemented through a grant with a focus on youth and other marginalized groups. (minimum quotas 50% women and 40% youth )**

97. The objective of this output is to promote climate change adaptation and natural resources management in agroforestry through a grant mechanism established under PEA Jeunes Financing Facility and established within the Societe Generale (leading bank of Cameroon). This Financing Facility has been outsourced to the private sector after a competitive bidding process after which Societe Generale has been selected. It operates since 2019 perfectly all loans and refinancing to rural finance institutions under the tripartite agreement signed between IFAD, the government and the bank. Criteria for grant approval will include preliminary investment criteria of business plans to be funded by the grant mechanism will need to contribute to the outcomes of this proposal and track the relevant outcome level indicators reviewed by PEA-Jeunes Financing Facility managed by Societe Generale. These evaluation criteria under the implementation manual will be fine-tuned during year one of the project. Eligibility criteria will include: Ability to deliver community projects, which includes the institutional, technical and financial capacity necessary to manage projects; previous experience in designing and implementing community projects and records of past activities on climate resilient agriculture; guarantee of community participation in project design, implementation, monitoring and evaluation; good knowledge of EbA, agroforestry systems, landscape resilience, food security, climate resilient infrastructure and sustainable livestock practices; eco-businesses; renewable energy agroforestry, NTFP and livestock production value chain development; sustainable management of natural resources, no sub project in a location known cultural heritage. 50 % of the grant mechanism will serve as matching grant for project financed by PEA Jeunes that contribute to the project outcome. At the start up, criteria’s from grant approval contained in the implementation manual will be further refined, approved and validated by the Adaptation Fund. Under this mechanism, the AF grant will serve as incentives offered to small holder farmers; PEA Jeunes business plan holders in exchange for adopting and scaling up good practices on climate adaptation actions in their baseline business as usual investment.

98. Through this output, a Farmers Field Schools (FFS) will be set up in the three areas of intervention to train local communities (including young eco-entrepreneurs) and implement sustainable and resilient agroforestry, soil and water conservation measures so that local communities can better cope with the effects of climate change. To ensure that the FFS training is best suited to the
situation of the areas of intervention, a diagnosis will be carried out before hand in each project intervention zone.

99. The following activities will be implemented to achieve this output:

- **Activity 3.2.1:** Implementation of 200 type of sustainable business models of home gardens using techniques to reduce climate risks (such as drip irrigation, soil and water conservation and agro-ecological practices).
- **Activity 3.2.2:** Funding of youth initiatives to promote rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry, NTFP and livestock production value chain development.
- **Activity 3.2.3:** Funding development of training tools for master trainers, train and equip master trainers, develop training curricula for farmers for Farmers Field Schools (FFS):
- **Activity 3.2.4:** Support implementation of 2000 ha of sustainable land and water management measures including rainwater storage, permeation and runoff control, construction of erosion control structures and construction of flood mitigation structures) with a labor-intensive approach through the FFS approach.
- **Activity 3.2.5:** Support implementation of 2000 ha of agroforestry measures through the FFS approach.
- **Activity 3.2.6:** Collection by at least 9 indigenous associations of local knowledge and identification of traditional productive practices relevant against climate change via an indigenous service provider.
- **Activity 3.2.7:** Restoration of wildlife habitat and land based on climate information.
- **Activity 3.2.8:** Implementation of ecotourism actions,
- **Activity 3.2.9:** Funding of sustainable harvesting, local processing of selected commercially viable NTFP, and nature based local enterprises to enhance community resilience to climate change impacts through alternative income generation.
- **Activity 3.2.10:** Funding of construction of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts of the national parks to prevent conflicts over water points within the parks, and to protect the reforested areas. The location of the water points will be decided through a participatory process.
- **Activity 3.2.11:** Creation of and assistance to WUAs in running the 20 water points to increase awareness of water scarcity and the need for a rational use.
- **Activity 3.2.12** Promote green and climate resilient design and construction principles in and outside the park and protected areas.
- **Activity 3.2.13:** Funding of establishment of nurseries, fields and seedbanks for crop research of local seeds and varieties to their resilience for climate change and their suitability for home gardens.
- **Activity 3.2.14:** Build capacities on sustainable forest management practices in communities in and outside the Parks and protected areas

**Output 3.3: Payments for ecosystem services schemes to support conservation of fragile ecosystems are implemented in the project sites**

100. This output which will build on the sustainable forest, land, water management practices from output 3.2 aims at introducing and implementing incentive instruments such as ecosystem services schemes for young farmers to invest in biodiversity conservation and carbon sequestration techniques in order to enhance their livelihoods while producing global environmental benefits. The project will contract PES schemes developers, namely NGOs with proven experience in implementing PES schemes under different mechanisms such as REDD+ and Clean Development
Market (CDM). Cameroon is a Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) Country Participant and a
UN-REDD Programme Partner Country. It is also involved in the Central African Forest Initiative
(CAFI). The country benefited since 2014 of two direct support namely that of the FCPF through the
World Bank, the amount of USD 3.4 million USD and the German Cooperation (KFW) through the
Common Fund of the Forest and Environment Sector Program amounting 1, 2 billion CFA. The
country has completed all readiness phases for REDD+ and has prepared its Phase III, Results-
based payments for the southern Plateau of Cameroon was introduced and approved by the FCPF
Carbon Fund. The country is also preparing via other partners REDD+ program with the Green
Climate Fund (GCF). Through the MoU signed under PEA Jeunes, these PES schemes will
incentivize actors supported by PEA Jeunes financing Facility who have worked to restore and
protect ecosystems.

101. Through this project, the country will prepare future registered youth and women farmers
organizations, cooperatives, individuals, eco- business holders in the targeted areas particularly the
buffer zones to access REDD+ programmes funds which promote the restoration and protection of
ecosystem services through financial incentive instruments that pay actors who have worked to
restore/protect ecosystem services. The AF project through NGOs, IUCN and ICRAF, will contract
registered young farmers organizations to buy ecosystems services they will be providing through
landscape sustainable management practices. With regard to the PES schemes sustainability, NGO as PES schemes developers will work during the project course to establish linkages between
the young farmer’s organizations and the ecosystems market (REDD+ and others) so that they can
be paid directly from the market after certification of services restored or protected, including
carbon. To build synergies, the project will work jointly with the IFAD PEA jeunes which has set up
systems and supporting youth in agriculture and entrepreneurship. To sustain the PES schemes
beyond the project duration, a MoU with REDD+ programs, the Central African Forest Initiative
(CAFI), Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) and any relevant REDD+ initiatives will be
signed. Under those MoU, all approved business plans under the AF- PES schemes will be eligible
to the REDD+ to ensure the replicability and scaling up of actions. Additionally, the project will
support and create synergies with existing or future fund like fuel taxes and contributions from
private sector companies which will pay ecosystem services from young and women farmers
organizations

102. To ensure both youth and women are fully included, a quotas 50% women and 40% will be pursued
under this output.

103. The following activities will be implemented to achieve this output:

• **Activity 3.3.1:** Mapping, analysis and selection of developers of Payments for Ecosystem
Services schemes (PES) for forest conservation.

• **Activity 3.3.2:** Signing of agreements between the project and the PES schemes
developers and young farmers’ organizations; as well as the
European EU REDD Facility in Cameroon, The Central African Forest Initiative (CAFI), Forest
Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) and any relevant REDD+ initiatives

• **Activity 3.3.3:** Capacity building in REDD+; in monitoring, assessment and verification;
and in sustainable forest management and livelihoods and economic development.

• **Activity 3.3.4:** Development of partnership through a MoU with the IFAD PEA Jeunes
project to mobilize more resources to scale up Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes
(PES) (Forest management and sustainable practice). The AF project will build on the existing
support of PEA jeunes which consist in developing youth entrepreneurship, incubation and access
to financing (credit and matching grants) from financial institutions partnering with PEA jeunes;
putting young entrepreneurs and SMEs in networks. The partnership will give priority access to
PEA jeunes target groups (youth led SMEs) to green services provided by the AF project if they
comply with the criteria’s set under the PES. This will aid to shift conventional businesses under
PEA jeunes into eco-businessmen with a focus on ecosystem services restoration and
maintenance in community forests in the three regions. Under the partnership with PEA Jeunes, financial institutions supported and working jointly by PEA jeunes could co-finance the future PES schemes established by the AF project with the support of IUCN, ICRAF and local NGOs. The approved PES schemes will be ready to be scaled up under with all REDD+ programmes.

- Activity 3.3.5: Implementation of PES for forest conservation.
- Activity 3.3.6: Dissemination of project good practices and experiences through various networks at the local, regional and national levels.

Project benefits

104. Social benefits The riparian population of the three national parks is particularly diverse as it includes for instance indigenous people, migrants from different migration waves, and internally displaced persons. In such context, the project will target around 8,800 rural households of which 50% women and 40% youth and 30 % indigenous people to help them adapt to the effects of climate change. The project will be inclusive and will ensure that the different categories of beneficiaries participate, are included and benefit from the project activities. The beneficiaries of the different project activities will be identified at the start of the project. In a context of rapidly changing socio-economic dynamics, beneficiary eligibility criteria for each activity will be defined and validated with communities involving young people, women and the most vulnerable. The criteria will be discussed objectively (plot size or number of animals, type of housing, level of education, etc.) and associated with assessments of maturity, residence or motivation.

105. Targeted communities will benefit from an improved management of natural resources in community forest and game areas so that they can sustainably benefit from these resources in the long term for their livelihoods. They will also be trained in sustainable resilient agro-sylvo-pastoral practices through the FFS approach, which will contribute to reduce food insecurity.

106. The project will target young people in particular, as they are the ones that will be the most impacted by the effects of climate change by 2030. The project will adapt to the heterogeneity of the rural youth in the areas of intervention and will take into account its various needs, interests of capacities. Young women and young men will for instance be supported in the development of resilient eco-businesses in the areas of intervention. They will also benefit from the FFS trainings and the development of the NTFP sector. Young people will also be considered and represented in the project concertation mechanism and decision making processes.

107. As per IFAD corporate targets; IFAD funded and supported projects must be gender transformative or sensitive with at least 25% of IFAD investment focusing on gender mainstreaming. Hence, all IFAD design teams have a gender specialist to ensure that gender is well mainstreamed into IFAD supported projects. During the consultative process and field missions, which have led to this proposal, issues related to closing the gender gap in agriculture have been collected with all stakeholders including women and women groups. According to the gender assessment and action plan (in annex), Cameroon was ranked 150 out of 189 countries in 2018, with a Gender Inequality Index (GII) of 0.566. While Women’s labor force participation rate is high (69% of women against 59% of men) in the agriculture sector; they lack access to resources land , inputs, finance and knowledge particularly in the agricultural sector. Their presence and value of work are underrated as they grow crops for self-consumption, breed smaller livestock, and take tasks which need dexterity and patience or assistant works. They are excluded from decision making processes in projects share of seats in parliament (% held by women) rate is 29.3. Women with account at financial institution or with mobile money-service provider (% of female population ages 15 and older) rate is 30.0 and the youth unemployment rate (female to male ratio) is 1.19. Violence against women ever experienced, non-intimate partner (% of female population ages 15 and older) rate is 5.0
108. As described in the gender assessment, closing the gender gap in agriculture will require under this project to (i) expanding women’s economic empowerment through access to and control over household and productive assets, in particular land; (ii) strengthening women’s decision-making role in the community and their representation and leadership in local institutions; (iii) functional literacy, numeracy and business skills training; (iv) gender awareness and women empowerment measures, including GALS training; (v) capacity building of project staff and technical service providers on gender-sensitive enterprise development and social inclusion; and (vi) minimum quotas (at least 50 per cent) to ensure women’s active participation in all decision-making bodies and producers organizations and cooperatives; and potential Eco business opportunities.

109. With regard to the youth including girls approach, the project intend to support i) awareness raising and organization of youth groups including young girls and eco business; (ii) strengthening of leaders of youth groups including young girls; (iii) specific support for youth eco-SMEs, including access to finance and business development services; (iv) capacity building of project staff and technical service providers on youth-sensitive enterprise development and social inclusion; and (v) minimum quotas (at least 40 per cent) to ensure the active participation of youth in all decision-making bodies, producers organizations and cooperatives; and potential eco business opportunities.

110. The project will adopt a gender sensitive approach and will ensure that women participate in and benefit as much as men from the project intervention. A gender strategy has been developed to support the targeting mechanisms under the PEA-Jeunes IFAD funded project as well as a gender assessment and action plan under the AF project. The main factors of exclusion of women and young women will be taken into account throughout the project implementation, including the weight of customs and traditions, early marriage, and the lower level of education, which weakens their access to socio-economic opportunities. In addition, the project will apply IFAD’s Gender Action Learning System (GALS), an innovative community-led methodology that comprises a series of tools enabling household members to negotiate their needs and interests and find innovative, gender-equitable solutions in livelihoods planning and value chain development. The GALS will enable both the most disadvantaged and minorities to be included in the dynamics of the project, while addressing the root causes of gender inequalities and fostering collaboration between the generations. The project will also ensure that women are represented in the project decision making processes.

111. The purpose of the GALS methodology is to give women more control over their lives and to catalyze support a sustainable movement for gender justice. GALS promotes equality in rights and opportunities by:

- Empowering the most vulnerable women and men to develop, negotiate, implement and monitor their own plans for increasing productivity/quality and incomes, reducing livelihood risks and increasing gender equality within households;
- Bringing about significant changes in property rights, gender-based violence and participation in economic decision-making;
- In the context of value chain development, engaging with and gaining commitment of more powerful private-sector actors at the local and national levels to develop win-win strategies for value chain development that address gender issues and promote inclusion of the most vulnerable.

112. In addition to the IFAD gender strategy that compiles key recommendations from national consultations and national workshop during the design mission, this gender assessment and action plan proposed a set of activities already captured in the project proposal. These are:

- Establish protocols to ensure gender values are reflected in lending products
- Establish a formalized institutional culture to ensure female staff within MFIs are part of

---

9 [https://www.ifad.org/web/knowledge/publication/asset/39435857](https://www.ifad.org/web/knowledge/publication/asset/39435857)
management and decision-making processes of loan products.

- Gender values integrated into internal guidelines and tools
- Improve financial literacy for women and youth to improve awareness of financing opportunities and to also agricultural machinery and production technologies
- Capacity building to ensure women and youth have access to property rights and control over assets and actively involved in natural resources management committees
- Carry out surveys on gender inclusive natural resource management practices
- Promote gender equality in social contexts, sensitization and trainings to address violence against women and high natality rate

Women are represented in the decision making process including within the project for reviewing business plans from clients
Capacity building to change and cultural beliefs, norms and households set up on women in agriculture and rural finance
Encourage women and youth to compete for awards in the region
Communication campaign via visits, website, radio message
Collection of gender disaggregated data for reporting on project performance indicators
Hire specialists to conduct surveys and carry out data aggregation methodologies to obtain gender disaggregated data

**Economic Benefits**

113. The project targets a rural population that is amongst the poorest and the most exposed to food insecurity in Cameroon, and it aims to generate economic benefits for targeted communities at various levels. Economic benefits will mostly be generated by making the livelihoods of local communities more resilient to climate change, and creating economic opportunities through resilient eco-businesses, resilient agro-sylvo-pastoral practices and the development of the NTFP sector.

**Environmental Benefits**

114. The project will generate direct and indirect environmental benefits through different entry points. The project will create an enabling institutional environment for the sustainable and resilient management of natural resources in the long term through its first component. It will for instance strengthen the institutional framework at the national and local levels, and in the different national parks by making sure that climate change is considered and integrated. This will contribute to ensuring a sustainable protection of natural resources in the long term, even in a context of a changing climate. In addition, the classification in community forests and game areas of some of the areas of intervention will create an opportunity to regulate and promote a sustainable use and management of natural resources within these areas in order to support the healthy functioning of the ecosystems and their services.

115. The second component of the project aims to generate knowledge on the environment of the different areas of intervention in order to better measure and monitor its health and vulnerability to climate change. This knowledge will be able to inform the preservation of the environment. In addition, the project will contribute to the restoration of different ecosystems by supporting the plantation of around 15,000 trees. The project will also support the construction of livestock water points in the outskirts of the national parks to relieve livestock pressure on water resources within the parks.

116. The third component of the project aims to create sustainable and resilient livelihood opportunities for local communities. It should generate positive effects on the environment as it will raise awareness and train people on how to sustainably manage local agro-sylvo-pastoral natural resources (forest, soil, water, etc.), and therefore limit anthropogenic pressures on the environment. The development of economic activities in the periphery of the national parks should contribute to their preservation through the creation of income opportunities. Previous IFAD’s experiences has
shown that agro-forestry and sustainable soil management practices have a long-term and large-scale impact when they are structuring and carried out within the legal and regulatory framework.

117. The benefits generated by the Project have been taken into account in calculating the economic rate of return. These include environmental benefits. The adjustment of the Ex-Act software parameters to the context of Cameroon in each Region indicates that the Project avoids considerable amounts of carbon emissions. The profit calculation figure is modest but interesting for the amount and impacts of the Project. The carbon benefit is linked to agro-sylvo-pastoral good practices, reforestation and sustainable management plans for community forests and community-based hunting areas.

118. All Project activities will have a positive global impact on greenhouse gas emissions over a 20-year period:

- In the Far North, the project will reduce emissions by 900,000 tons of CO₂, 195 tons CO₂eq of N₂O and 103 tons CO₂eq of CH₄;
- In the North, the project will reduce emissions by 5,150,000 tons of CO₂, 122 tons CO₂eq of N₂O and 34 tons CO₂eq of CH₄;
- In the North West, the project will reduce emissions by 1,920,000 tons of CO₂, 384 tons CO₂eq of N₂O and 34 tons CO₂eq of CH₄.

**Cost Effectiveness**

*Describe or provide an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the proposed project.*

The proposed project is expected to be cost-effective throughout its three components.

119. The first component of the project aims to create an enabling environment for climate change adaptation at the national, regional, municipal and national parks levels. The approach under this component is cost-effective in the sense that the project will mainstream climate change adaptation into existing strategic and operational frameworks (national strategies, municipal development plans and development and management plans of the Waza and Bénoué national parks etc.) instead of developing new strategic documents from scratch. In that sense, the project will support a cost-effective approach while also encouraging national ownership over the project outputs.

120. The project will also support the elaboration of a development and management plan for the Kimki-Fungom national park, which was created in 2005 and does not have one yet. It will also support the elaboration of simplified management plans for community forests and game areas. While these documents will be developed from scratch as they do not yet exist, this approach is considered cost effective as it will benefit from an overall consultative and participatory approach as well as a mapping process of these different areas, and will enable the sustainable management of these areas and their natural resources in the long term. In addition the project will provide support to local authorities for the implementation of the different plans to ensure that they remain implemented in the long term to maximize results.

121. Under the second component of the project, surveillance and information systems to be introduced are considered cost effective. For instance, the introduction of drones, even though costly, will allow for a very accurate surveillance of the different protected areas and will enable the monitoring of the protected areas and their outskirts. They will for instance allow the measurement of climate change impacts and anthropogenic pressures, as well as the measurement of project results in the national parks and their outskirts. They will therefore be highly valuable for monitoring project results during its implementation, but also beyond the project, to measure and monitor long term trends and impacts on these important protected areas and their outskirts. The national park authorities will be trained in this type of technology so they can carry out the monitoring work in the long term.

122. When it comes to meteorological and climatic stations, the project will follow a cost-effective approach as it will focus first on rehabilitating and upgrading existing ones- and will only set up new ones where necessary.
123. Throughout the project’s duration, awareness and capacities will be strengthened – mainly in climate change adaptation and resilient agro-sylvo-pastoral practices - in various institutions at the national, provincial and local levels. The staff with strengthened capacity will remain in the country after the end of the project and will therefore be able to upscale awareness on CCA and resilient natural resources management, which will allow for a potential replication of the project results.

124. By sharing grant management mechanisms with the PEA Jeunes project, cost effectiveness will be further achieved through economy of scales by reducing transaction costs and linking with IFAD development investments to compliment and leverage of value chain development to enhance opportunities for climate adaptation mainstreaming at scale across the target area. Lessons learned from the ongoing IFAD investment will also provide key information to enhance efficiency in the AF funded activities. The cost effectiveness of the project can also be shown through the support provided to the development of eco-businesses. It has a high potential on return on investment by creating jobs and economic opportunities for young people, while increasing their resilience to climate change and protected the environment. With business returns linked to ecosystem protection and climate resilience, young entrepreneurs will see the benefit and continue to invest in the designated activities. Therefore, the investment of AF funds will foster best practice and lead to greater adoption of these practices at scale across and beyond the target area.

125. The total project investment which is $ 9,982,000 project will directly benefit 8,800 rural households, representing indirectly 52,800 people (on average 6 people/ household). This represents about 1134 USD/ head of household and about 189 USD / individual. As a matter of comparison, an adaptation project at community level run by the NGO/ other donors spent about 100$/ or less per inhabitant in the targeted regions.

126. With regard to USP to be presented by eco entrepreneurs (individual or SMEs) to the grant mechanism and the PES scheme under Output 3.1; 3.2. 3.3; it is planned that:

- $ 1,300,000 will be dedicated to the implementation of 200 types of sustainable business model of home gardens which use modern techniques to reduce climate risks with a ceiling of 50,000 USD per project holder (individual / business)

- $ 400,000 from the grant schemes will fund 400 projects. Grant allocation varies from 500 USD up to a maximum of $ 20,000 per project/ one project holder or business,

- To support the implementation of 2000 ha of sustainable land and water management measures, inducing rainwater storage, permeation and runoff control, construction of erosion control with labor intensive approach through FFS approach; $ 350, 000 will be allocated on cash for work. Applying WFP 3 USD/day individual; this is very cost effective compared to similar cash for work programs in the region.

- 50 grants contract amounting for $ 38,000 each to implement the PES

- Funding of sustainable harvesting local processing of commercially viable NTFP for 125,000 USD

Compared to the UNDP SGP small grant project in the country which finances individual or groups similar adaption viable projects up to 50,000 USD; the Societe General Bank portfolio which finances from 500 USD to 100,000 USD for small and medium with an average concentration of investment between 1000USD to 50,000 USD, the cost-benefit analysis reveals that the future sub projects are very cost effective. Various activities to support related transaction costs and low pre-existing investments have been considered as enabling investments under this project or building on the synergies with the IFAD funded projects. As these sub projects will be assessed by the financing facility under the Societe Generale Bank, the viability of the eco-businesses will be guaranteed using also all criteria’s developed to approve sub projects.
127. The restoration measures, and the agro-sylvo-pastoral practices to be promoted by the project are deemed cost effective because they are low-cost no-regret measures. These different measures such as the plantation of trees, creation of water points or the promotion of resilient agro-sylvo-pastoral activities are all cost-effective labour-intensive investments that strengthen local capacities. Regarding FFS in particular, in the preparation of the FAO/GEF project “Integrating climate resilience into agricultural production for food security in rural areas of Mali”, a comparison of costs for FFS and standard training approaches to extension was undertaken. Although not directly transferable to this project, the findings were that “building upon 400 existing FFS and 233 experienced facilitators (for crops such as rice, cotton and vegetable gardening) will save 251 540 USD in training costs alone and 220 000 USD in FFS operation over the project cycle.” Although not a solid economic analysis, this does strongly indicate the cost-effectiveness of the FFS approach.

128. The project will also seek synergies and complementarities with on-going initiatives and programs having similar objectives while avoiding overlaps. In that sense, all interventions will be coordinated closely with other relevant on-going initiatives implemented in the country. Cost-effectiveness will also be achieved through knowledge management, synergies and complementarities.

**Strategic Alignment**

129. The project is aligned with the main strategic documents of the country in terms of climate change adaptation and natural resources management, as described here below.

- **Cameroon NDC**: By improving smallholder farmers resilience to climate change while also reducing their greenhouse gas emissions the project is completely aligned with Cameroon’s Nationally Determined Contribution. Agriculture and forestry are among Cameroon’s priorities for both mitigation and adaptation. Cameroon intends through its NDC to reduce the carbon footprint of its development without slowing its growth, by favouring mitigation options with high co-benefits; strengthen the country’s resilience to climate change; bring coherence to its sectoral policies and reinforce its mechanism and implementation tools to facilitate the achievement of these objectives; and mobilize for this purpose all relevant means: financing, technology transfer and capacity building. Most of these objectives will be supported by the project.

- **PNACC**: By aiming to increase the resilience to climate change of vulnerable populations the project directly contribute to the visions of the PNACC\(^\text{10}\). The project is also supporting all four strategic objectives of the PNACC that are as follows: (i) improving knowledge on climate change in Cameroon (through project output 1.1, and 3.4), (ii) inform, educate and mobilize the Cameroonian population to adapt to climate change (through project component 3), (iii) reduce vulnerability to climate change in the main sectors and agro-ecological zones of the country (through project component 2 and 3); and (iv) integrated adaptation to climate change into strategies and national sectoral policies (through project component 1).

- **NBSAP II**: the project promotes the sustainable management and use of natural resources within and in the outskirts of the three national parks of Waza, Bénoué and Kimki-Fungom and is therefore aligned to the objective of the NBSAP II that aims to establish a sustainable relationship between Cameroon’s populations and the country’s biodiversity to meet the development needs and the wellbeing of the population, and to preserve the health of its ecosystems.

- **Cameroon Vision 2035** includes “a residual level of poverty, illiteracy and social exclusion, a residual level of unemployment and underemployment, woman with a reinforced and economically autonomous social role, a well-trained youth demonstrating merit and national expertise”. The project is aligned with this vision, in particular through the promotion of

\(^{10}\) « Climate change is fully integrated into the country’s sustainable development, reducing its vulnerability, and even turning climate change into a solution/opportunity for development. Thus Cameroonians - particularly women, children and vulnerable people - and the country’s economic sectors have a greater resilience and adaptability to the impacts of climate change »
ecobusinesses and economic opportunities for local communities and in particular young women and men. The gender approach (GALS) undertaken by the project is also well align with the vision.

• **PNIA 2014-2020.** The project contribute to 3 of the 4 priority thematic areas of the PNIA, namely:

  o **Thematic area 1: Development of production chains (crops, livestock, fisheries and forests) and improvement of food and nutritional security** – through its third component of the development of eco-businesses, agro-sylvo-pastoral activities through FFS, and the development of the NTFP sector;

  o **Thematic area 3: Sustainable management and valorisation of natural resources** – through promoting a sustainable management in the institutional and strategic framework (project component 1), restoration measures (project component 2), and the promotion of a sustainable use of natural resources in agro-sylvo-pastoral activities (project component 3); and

  o **Thematic area 4: Capacity building of rural development stakeholders and promotion of concertation mechanisms** – through capacity building and awareness raising at the institutional and at the community levels, and through the establishment of concertation mechanisms.

• **National Gender Policy 2011-2020** promotes an impartial and egalitarian society for women and men in order to ensure a sustainable development. The proposed project is aligned to two objectives of the strategy, namely: “ensure equal rights and opportunities to men and women regarding access and control of resources”, and “create favourable conditions for equal participation of women and men in development activities”.

• **PSFE.** Cameroon has a forest planning tool called “Programme Sectoriel Forêts-Environnement” (PSFE) which is the framework program of action of the Government of Cameroon for the implementation of its forestry and environmental policy. Its implementation since 2005 is part of the momentum of the Paris Declaration on Harmonization of Aid through the leadership of the recipient government. It has five main components divided into the three categories below:

  o A general component whose goal is the control of the environmental situation related to the forest sector in Cameroon - ‘Environmental Management of Forestry Activities’;

  o Three specific components that target productive forest management, wildlife and protected area management, and community-based resource management - “Management of Permanent Forest Estate Production Forests and Enhancement of Forest Products”, “Conservation of Biodiversity and enhancement of wildlife resources’, ‘Community Management of Forest and Wildlife Resources’;

  o A cross-cutting component for capacity building of sector actors - ‘Institutional Capacity Building, Training and Research’.

• **National Action Plan for Youth Employment (2016-2020).** The third component of the project, and its output 3.1 in particular, is aligned to the following strategic orientations of the National Action Plan for Youth Employment: (i) matching employment and training, (iii) promotion of youth decent employment, and (iv) promotion and development of youth entrepreneurship.
Standards

Describe how the project meets relevant national technical standards, where applicable, such as standards for environmental assessment, building codes, etc., and complies with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

The project will respect and take into account the legal framework and requirement in effect in Cameroon, and will comply with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund. In particular, the project will comply with the following regulation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AF Principles</th>
<th>Corresponding National Standards</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National Text enacting the standard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compliance with law</td>
<td>Every person shall have a right to a healthy environment. The protection of the environment shall be the duty of every citizen. The State shall ensure the protection and improvement of the environment. This is by essence the main objective of the project and reflected under across the tree components of the project and all planned activities. The PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the project meets the standards as described in the constitution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law No. 94/01 of January 20, 1994, to lay down Forestry, Wildlife and Fishery Regulations. Section 16(2)</td>
<td>“the initiation of any development project that is likely to perturb a forest or aquatic environment shall be subject to a prior study of the environmental hazard.” Activity 1.1.1 and activity 1.1.3 under output 1.1 (component 1) will provide elements and ensures that any development including subprojects are compliant with law. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law No. 96/12 of August 5, 1996, Relating to Environmental Management (the 1996 Law on the Environment) and its decree, No. 2004/0577/PM of February 23, 2004,</td>
<td>lays down the conditions for carrying out Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA). Section for which the Law on the Environment makes EIA mandatory for any project “which may, through its dimension, nature or impact, endanger the physical environment or quality of life of the population”. Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) will be conducted for future subprojects under outputs 1.2; activity 1.1.8; Output 3.1. – Activity 3.1.8; Output 3.2. Activity 3.2.1.; activity; 3.2.3. activity 3.2.4; activity 3.2.6; activity 3.2.8 will be subjected to ensure that all potential risks are managed according to the national law and safeguards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standards</td>
<td>The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law No. 96/12 of 5 August 1996</td>
<td>(1) The promoter or owner of any development, project, labour or equipment, which is likely to endanger the environment, owing to its dimension, nature or the impact of its activities on the natural environment shall carry out an environmental impact assessment. This assessment shall determine the direct or indirect incidence of the said project on the ecological balance of the zone where the plant is located or any other region, the physical environment and quality of life of populations and the impact on the environment in general. (2) The environment impact assessment shall be included in the file submitted for public investigation where such a procedure is provided for. (3) The impact assessment shall be carried out at the expense of the promoter. Sub projects under component 1; outputs 1.2; activity 1.2.8 and component 3; Output 3.1. – activity 3.1.8; Output 3.2. activity 3.2.1.; activity 3.2.3. activity 3.2.4; activity 3.2.6; activity 3.2.8 may be accompanied by an impact assessment based on the risk level identified during the implementation. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equity and access</td>
<td>Provided that customary communities members thereof, and any other person of Cameroonian nationality, peacefully occupying or using land (…) shall continue to occupy or use the said lands (...). Under component 1; activity1.1.1; 1.1.2. 1.1.3 under Output 1.1. as support the implementation of Article 17 contribute to supporting equity and access. Communities’ customary uses of the land are therefore recognized and protected, and the law provides for the possibility of acquiring property rights on land, even if the process is perceived expensive and cumbersome by the communities, as mentioned above. The PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The 1994 Forest Code in Section 7</td>
<td>The State, local councils, village communities and private individuals may exercise on their forest and aquacultural establishments all the rights that result from ownership subject to restrictions laid down in the regulations governing land tenure and State lands and by this law. Under component 1, Output 1.1. Activity 1.1.3 ; 1.1.6.; activities under output 1.2; and component 3; output 3.1 related to forest management and use provide opportunities and equitable access within their ownership and regulations. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the 1994 law and its 1995 Enabling Instrument on Wildlife (Wildlife Decree)</td>
<td>Recognize traditional custodians of wildlife resources as partners in the resources management exercise. They were enacted on the assumption that, resources are better managed when their local custodians have shared or exclusive rights to make decisions over and make benefits out of their use. Under component 1; output 1.2; activity 1.2.; 1.2.4; 1.6.1.; 1.2.8; 1.2.9 will contribute to supporting the conservation of biological diversity and better management. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Forest Code</td>
<td>Usufruct rights (or customary rights) are those recognized to local populations to exploit all forest, wildlife and fish products, with the exception of protected species, for their personal use. They may be temporarily or permanently suspended when the need arises for reasons of public interest. Under component 1; output 1.1. Activity 1.1.3 : 1.1.6.; activities under output 1.2; and component 3; output 3.1. will be enforce the forest code. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Law No. 94/01 of January 20 1994, on the Forest, Wildlife and Fisheries Regime and Decree No 95/466/PM of July 20 1995.</td>
<td>Establishing the procedures for the application of Wildlife regime. This law establishes the different categories of protected areas in the country and their management models and regulations, including the level of restriction of areas for forest and wildlife conservation. Currently, harvesting products in forests owned by the State is illegal in Cameroon, only harvesting samples for domestic purposes is allowed within certain limits. Nevertheless, in order to ensure a concerted, equitable and sustainable exploitation of natural resources, and to involve people in the process, the delegation of forest management to communities has been legalized by the Forest Law. This delegation is possible through the establishment of a simplified management plan, which is activity 1.2.12 under output 1.2 of the proposed project. The commercialization of NTFP coming from community forests, which is an activity supported by the project under output 3.2, is legally regulated. The</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
owners of this forest must sign a management agreement with MINFOF (Article 3 of Decision No.1985/D/MINEF/SG/DF/CFC), specifying the terms for the exploitation of natural resources and the management of natural resources, as part of the implementation of the simple management plan.

<p>| Gender Equity and Women’s empowerment | The National Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan II (NBSAP II) revised in 2012 as a second-generation version of the 2000 NBSAP | A sustainable relationship with biodiversity is established in its use and sharing of benefits to meet the development needs and well-being of the people, and ecosystem balance is preserved through sector and decentralized mainstreaming with the effective participation of all stakeholders including local communities. It further defines a mission for 2020: Take all necessary measures to reduce the rate of national biodiversity loss and ensure long-term sustainability of critical ecosystems in order to guarantee by 2020 the continuous contribution of biodiversity and other ecosystem services to wealth creation including through mainstreaming, capacity building and funding biodiversity that is driven by a strong partnership with the involvement of indigenous and local communities and a focus on gender as a guarantee for future generation. Through the 3 main components of the projects, gender equity and empowerment is promoted with 50% of activities dedicated to women. The PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP |
| Protection of Natural Habitats | 1994 Forestry Law. | to regulate forestry, wildlife and fisherie. Forestry issues and opportunities should be examined in a holistic and balanced manner within the overall context of environment and development, taking into consideration the multiple functions and uses of forests, including traditional uses, and the likely economic and social stress when these uses are constrained or restricted, as well as the potential for development that sustainable forest management can offer. Activity 1.1.3 proposes to develop voluntary codes of practice for forest management activities, including timber harvesting align on the forest code building on Carry out socio economic baseline, community based Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) to update the institutional, regulatory, policy frameworks and plans (activity 1.1.3) under Output 1.1. All these activities should enforce this law and the National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP |
| Decree No. 95/466 PM of 20 July 1995 | established conditions of wildlife regulations. will regulated all activities under the project related to wildlife such as ecotourism under Output 3.2. component 3. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP |
| 1996, the National Environment Management Plan (NEMP) | Aimed at conserving more resources in the country by increasing the number of protected areas from 20 to 30% so that all the major biomes in the country could be represented. Thus, the national parks and protected areas, cover a surface area of more than 633,000 ha.10 To be more precise, there exist 30 identified PAs in Cameroon. All activities under component 1; Outputs 1.1.; Output 2.1. Output 3.1. and 3.2 will help the country achieve its targets. The PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP |
| Section 1 | law and the implementing instruments thereof lay down forestry, wildlife and fisheries regulations. With a view to attaining the general objectives of the forestry, wildlife and fisheries policy, within the framework of an integrated management ensuring sustainable conservation and use of the said resources and of the various ecosystems. All activities under Outputs 1.1.; Output 2.1. Output 3.1. and 3.2 will help the country achieve its targets. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP |
| Article 9 (d) of the 1996 Law | The author of any act that endangers human health and the environment shall or cause the said conditions to be eliminated in such a way as to avoid the said effect. The so-called ‘pollute and pay’ principle provides that charges resulting from measures aimed at preventing, reducing and fighting against pollution and the rehabilitation of polluted areas shall be borne by the polluter. This law will be applied on all future sub-projects mainly under component 1; outputs 1.2; activity 1.2.8; and component 3; output 3.1. – activity 3.1.8; Output 3.2. activity 3.2.1.; activity 3.2.2. activity 3.2.4.; activity 3.2.6; activity 3.2.8. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indigenous Peoples</th>
<th>The Cancun Agreements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(c) Respect for the knowledge and rights of indigenous peoples and members of local communities, by taking into account relevant international obligations, national circumstances and laws, and noting that the United Nations General Assembly has adopted the United Nations Declaration on the Rights of Indigenous Peoples; (d) The full and effective participation of relevant stakeholders, in particular, indigenous peoples and local communities in REDD+ activities. Activities under component 2, output 2.6; activity 3.6 and 3.8 under component 3 will promote indigenous peoples and rights. The project has set a target of 30% investment for indigenous peoples. The National Agency for Environment, PMU and relevant national authorities will ensure the compliance monitoring against this law and national standards through the ESMP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Duplication**

Describe if there is duplication of project with other funding sources, if any.

130. The table below summarizes the main projects/ interventions being implemented in the areas of intervention of the proposed project, and the main synergies with the AF project.

Table 1: Comparative table and synergies with other IFAD projects in the target area

131. The AF project will build upon synergies with the active IFAD portfolio particularly PEA –Jeunes in Cameroon to reinforce its goal of reduce the impact of climate change on the food security of smallholder farmers and pastoralists in the targeted areas. The project will directly target the same target as PEA –Jeunes which are 52,800 people of which 50% women and 40% youth.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Other projects/ interventions</th>
<th>Components</th>
<th>Overlap with the proposed project area of intervention</th>
<th>Synergies with the proposed project</th>
<th>Non duplication and complementary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PEA-Jeunes</td>
<td>Development of agro pastoral enterprises. Access to financial services. Improving the entrepreneurial environment.</td>
<td>North West Region</td>
<td>Knowledge sharing between young entrepreneurs, peer training, collaboration with project partners on the agrosylvopastoral sector</td>
<td>The AF project and its outputs are complementary to PEA Jeunes outputs that purely development outputs. The value addition and complementary of the AF is on the greening side of the current development outputs. These are related to introducing the concept of eco businesses, environmental sustainability with sustainable forest and resources use and management as well as climate change adaptation. In other terms introducing green/eco businesses, green financing and green entrepreneurship</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Enhanced technical and entrepreneurship to run viable agricultural related businesses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. A sustainable financing mechanism is set up to respond to youth needs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Strengthened organizational framework for entrepreneurship in agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PADMIR (project completed and closed)</td>
<td>Improving the microfinance environment Access to rural financial services</td>
<td>Far North, North, and North West Regions</td>
<td>Bringing young eco-businesses entrepreneurs in contact with microfinance institutions supported by PADMIR</td>
<td>PADMIR project is closed and the AF builds on lessons learnt and results from PADMIR including the financing facility set within the Societe Generale which combines PADMIR funds and PEA Jeunes financing. As described under output 3.2., a MoU will be signed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PADMIR project is closed and the AF builds on lessons learnt and results from PADMIR including the financing facility set within the Societe Generale which combines PADMIR funds and PEA Jeunes financing. As described under output 3.2., a MoU will be signed.
with this new financing facility established within the Societe Generale (leading bank of Cameroon) to manage the AF grant mechanism and build synergies and complementarity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PADFA</th>
<th>Outputs:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1. Productive land area developed and used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2. Technologies are disseminated through extension services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3. Enhanced technical and organizational to better manager farms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4. Equipments for processing, infrastructures and processes are up to the standards and international norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5. Improved nutrition for rural households particularly women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6. Enhanced institutional capacity and coordination and management of the project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| | Support to production |
| | Support to the marketing and structuring of the sectors |
| | Far North, North, and North West Regions |
| | The natural resources management initiatives targeted by the proposed project draw on the achievements of the PADFA in terms of value chains. PADFA supports rice producers’ organizations (rain-fed and lowlands) in the three regions of the Far North, North and North West. Knowledge and skills transfers as well as synergies between the implementation teams and the beneficiaries will be possible. |
| | PADFA has a focus on agricultural production and productivity, better nutrition capacity building technologies/ equipment’s dissemination and use as well as institutional capacity and organizational development as shown by its outputs. The AF project outputs will complement PADFA in the northern regions particularly on piloting and nurturing eco businesses, sustainable management of natural and climate resilience building, green financing building and complementing PADFA on integrating environmental sustainability and climate adaptation |

132. IFAD is currently implementing 2 projects in Cameroon in the same regions of interventions of the proposed project, as represented in the map below:

- The promotion of youth agropastoral entrepreneurship programme (PEAJeunes – Programme de Promotion de l’Entrepreneuriat Agropastoral des Jeunes), in the Regions North West, Littoral, Center and South (in green in the map below); and

- The support project for the development of agricultural sector (PADFA – Project d’appui au développement de filières agricoles) in the Far North, North, North West and West (hatched area in the map below).

Figure 14: Areas of intervention of ongoing IFAD projects
133. IFAD have recently completed the implementation of the Support Project for Rural Microfinance (PADMIR – Projet d’Appui à la Microfinance Agricole) in the Far North, North, North West and West Regions (in purple in the map below), and a second phase of the project (PADMIR II) was initiated by the Government.

134. All three projects target rural communities and producers, and focus on complementary aspects of the rural sector: youth entrepreneurship for PEA-Jeune, microfinance access for PADMIR, and agricultural production for the PADFA. The proposed project will have strong synergies with these projects, in particular under its third component that aim to strengthen capacities of local communities (and young people) on eco-businesses (synergies with PEA-Jeune, and PADMIR), agro-sylvo-pastoral production through FFS, and NTFP development (synergies with PADFA). Nevertheless, the proposed project does not duplicate these three former IFAD projects, and is rather complementary as it focuses on the adaptation and resilient to climate change of rural communities in the three, an aspect not covered by the other projects.

135. In fact, the evaluation of IFAD’s Country Program has identified the need for better consideration of adaptation to climate change with improved environmental sustainability in IFAD’s operations in Cameroon, which is where the complementarity of the proposed project stems from.

136. Even though IFAD has not yet focused its intervention in Cameroon on climate change adaptation, the Fund’s experience in the country has generated a number of lessons related to key themes of the proposed project which were fully considered in the development of this proposal:

Management of natural resources at the periphery of protected areas:
• Strengthening the capacities of traditional leaders in conflict prevention and conflict management increases their awareness of the key role they play as a leader in order to maintain dialogue and consensus among different resource users within the community. Their effective involvement makes it possible to reinforce the respect of the limits and the management rules of transhumance corridors and grazing areas, leading to better cohabitation between herders and farmers and a concerted management of available resources.
• The development of economic activities in the periphery of protected areas contributes to their preservation through the creation of income opportunities.

**Integration of conservation and development**

• Agroforestry and sustainable land management practices allow for a long-term and large-scale results when they are structured and carried out within the framework of subwatershed management.

• The promotion of the "landscape approach" or multi-resource management planning requires a good understanding of social, economic and environmental forces, including traditional land tenure systems.

• Populations are active or passive poaching actors for several reasons, including: poverty (resource dependency), low involvement in conservation strategies, and lack of awareness of conservation issues and legislative frameworks. It is necessary in any conservation action to develop strategies for the effective involvement of local populations by combating poverty, improving their knowledge of the issues at stake in the sustainable management of natural resources, and strengthening their capacities for the valorization and sustainable use of resources.

- **Participatory approach:**

  • Women, young people and indigenous peoples are the most vulnerable groups, particularly in the northern savanna areas of Cameroon, yet they are most dependent on natural resources. It is important in any sustainable management initiative to develop strategies to ensure their effective involvement, by fighting poverty, supporting their organization and structuring, the development of appropriate sectors, activities that promote the resilience of these groups to climate change, and the sustainable exploitation of natural resources.

  • The establishment of consultation platforms that allow stakeholders to express their concerns and expectations is of critical importance. Consultation techniques should also be adapted to the most vulnerable groups, such as indigenous peoples, to avoid marginalization in the process.

  • The organization and structuring of stakeholders (herders, farmers, fishermen, agropastoralists) is a key process in order to create a community dynamic that is better suited to facilitate the involvement of rural actors in local natural and pastoral resource management initiatives.

- **Promoting Youth Entrepreneurship:**

  • The promotion of green enterprises, in order to obtain the support of the beneficiaries and to facilitate the sustainability of the project, should be based on local realities and achievements in the communities, in particular agro-sylvo-pastoral practices with an environmental, social and economic added value. This approach allows the ownership and cultural involvement of the different stakeholders because they consider that the project will strengthen their attitudes and practices with a view to increasing productivity and profitability.

  • The mobilization of stakeholders’ own resources draws their attention and interest in the implementation of activities. Otherwise, it might be harder for stakeholders to build ownership over the approach as they might consider that it is an initiative for the
benefit of others. Stakeholder contributions require that the process is particularly participatory and inclusive.

- **Management of climate impacts and environmental factors**: In order to adapt to the impacts of climate change, different strategies have been implemented by farmers, either individually or collectively, endogenously or exogenously:

  • Migration is the most visible strategy to provide for the needs of the household through formal or informal work of one or more members of the household;

  • The diversification of production systems has been adopted in particular by pastoralists who have "settled" in rural areas (highlands in the West, Adamaoua and the North regions). This settlement took place near pre-existing sedentary villages. These herders cultivate some plots with rain-fed and sometimes recessional crops. Farmers also diversified their activities, with livestock (Far North and North) and with the relatively recent development of gardening. These strategies have increased pressure on agricultural and grazing land without fully benefiting from the potential for integration and complementarity of agricultural and livestock activities;

  • Intensification of cereal cropping systems in the western highlands is the result of increased land pressure. This intensification implies a diversification of crops (cereallegume associations, annual crops-perennial crops) and reduces risks linked to climatic impacts. However, the use of inputs to maintain or increase soil fertility is limited.

- **Non-Timber Forest Products (NTFP)**:

  • The rural populations in Cameroon that commercially exploit NTFPs are looking to generate additional income from this resource. This can be achieved by shifting the scale of NTFP activities and ensuring better availability of market information, including: pricing, seasonal market requirements, quality requirements, logistics and procedures market access.

  • Generations of Cameroonians have developed invaluable knowledge of forestry and forest products. However, knowledge on the sustainable use of resources, the principles of forest regeneration and their implementation are less well known and understood. Raising awareness and training on these aspects would improve productivity and income while supporting the adaptation to climate change.

**Learning and Knowledge Management**

*If applicable, describe the learning and knowledge management component to capture and disseminate lessons learned.*

137. The knowledge management and communication activities will be under the responsibility of IUCN and ICRAF and they will be detailed in the partnership agreements. The knowledge management strategy will be developed at the beginning of the project with IUCN and ICRAF to identify communication channels and formats. The activities will cover the documentation of project lessons and best practices and their dissemination through reports, multimedia and sharing events. This will be in the form of leaflets, videos, case studies, available on a dedicated web platform. The numerous community forests management plans developed will be made available to the public. This could be an incentive for further involvement of CSOs and private sector for co-management.
opportunities. The project will also develop training tools for master trainers, and develop training curricula for farmers for Farmers Field Schools.

138. Sharing best practices and knowledge amongst project stakeholders will be ensured through the village-level platforms and advisory committees at the regional level. Special attention will be given to the valorization of endogenous knowledge which will be shared internally to support continuous improvement of project activities. Knowledge exchanges with other projects in Cameroon and in the countries of the Central African sub-region will also be promoted. The project team, partners and beneficiaries will also participate in workshops organized at the national and sub-regional levels, including IFAD project sharing workshops.

139. Additionally, the project will develop case studies that will help disseminate lessons learned and foster replication or scaling up of successful climate smart practices. This will be in the form of best practices manuals and guides for NTFP, vegetable production, and other climate-smart agroforestry and livestock management. A curriculum will be developed for climate smart agriculture that will be implemented through the FFS and an early warning system tool to disseminate agriculturally related meteorological data. Whenever possible, and building from surveys as relevant, the project will facilitate baseline studies for future interventions.

140. The generated knowledge will be used by the different partners to improve and adjust the implementation of the project, for political dialogue and for the design of future projects. This is particularly important given the quality and quantity of knowledge that is expected to be gathered, for the first time, from this project. This includes knowledge products on PES schemes to be developed, eco-business plans to be generated and could be used in other parts of the country and in the region, on climate information systems that will centralized and also made available at local level. Based on the knowledge management system, the project will systematically include in project progress reports an appendix on good practices. Capitalization master sheets to collect the experiences of project facilitators and other actors will be developed in partnership with IUCN and ICRAF.

Consultative Process

Describe the consultative process, including the list of stakeholders consulted, undertaken during project preparation, with particular reference to vulnerable groups, including gender considerations, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

Public consultation during the preparation of the project, were conducted in accordance with the requirements of the AF and IFAD (see in Table 2 a part of the list of people/ institutions consulted). It happens during the IFAD appraisal mission to design the PEA Jeunes as well PADFA II for Cameroon. During the IFAD design mission, it was identified the need to design a development project funded by IFAD investment with additional financing from AF and other partners like to address issues related to climate resilience, eco-businesses and ecosystems management.

The main objective of this approach of information, communication and participation of stakeholders throughout the entire IFAD project was to create a climate of mutually beneficial exchanges, favorable to an open dialogue with the aim of: (i) ownership of the project by beneficiaries at the stage of preparation and planning; (ii) the consideration of the concerns of all stakeholders including vulnerable populations (women, youth, children, etc.) in the design and implementation of the project; (iii) exchanges on financing and project sustainability; (iv) identification of environmental and social impacts and risks and appropriate mitigation, compensation and environmental and social cooperation. The consultative process comprises 3 weeks firstly on field trips, and partly on interviews with all stakeholders and beneficiaries of the project. These include: central and local government, administrative authorities, technical
services, local communities, private actors along the various agricultural value chains etc. (see list of people met in table 2). These public consultations were held in the regions covered by the IFAD project. During these series of consultations, the gender element was very present given that women and youth are generally regarded as vulnerable groups and representatives of indigenous peoples.

A literature review was conducted to prepare the Social Environment and Climate Procedures (SECAP) and the Environmental Social Management Framework given the fragility of the ecosystems. Interviews with resource persons working in different ministries and structures involved as well as main actors engaged in main agro forestry, pastoralism were made. Field visits (potential sites and sites in exploitation) and interviews with the beneficiaries of perimeters in exploitation were made. This helped to establish in a participatory manner the context of project development, problems to solve, the types of adapted solutions, etc. and the consideration of the problems of vulnerable populations particularly women and youth. By applying the Free, prior and informed consent IFAD (FPIC) and to ensure that marginalized group like indigenous people are not let behind, project is endorsed an they participate in decision- making processes concerning a given development project, minimum quotas were discussed.

The process was conducted as follow:
Step 1: Information on content of the project: In the first stage, beneficiaries were widely informed on the objectives and activities of the project. These meetings were conducted in each area of intervention of the project by representatives of technical services (agriculture, environment, forestry regional representations of Agriculture rooms and representatives of farmers’ organizations, etc.) and representatives of local authorities.

The first field missions IFAD joint first mission with the Government of Cameroon and second field mission which led to the IFAD PEA jeunes project design, the need to develop this AF project took place from 17 July to 02 August 2016. From 05 to 18 November 2016, a second joint mission of the Government of Cameroon and the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) stayed in Cameroon to design the Project for the Promotion of Youth Ecological Entrepreneurship. The mission was received by the Secretary General of the Ministry of Forests and Wildlife, and had working sessions with representatives of other relevant ministries, technical and financial partners, projects and programmes, farmers’ organizations, civil society organizations and NGOs. The mission visited the regions of the Far North and North from 06 to 13 November 2016, where it was received by the Governor of the North region, as well as by the conservators of the Bénoué and Waza Parks. In January 2017, another mission was organized in the same regions to collect additional information. In 2018 and 2019, with the new IFAD PADFA II project, additional consultations have been organized with women and youth.

In each of these regions, the mission organized an information and consultation meeting for all regional actors including technical services, NGOs, producer organizations, youth organizations, microfinance institutions and processors of products. In the targeted villages meetings were organized with local populations in order to exchange with them on the project activities, their needs and their solutions. The first Draft of the Adaptation Fund proposal has been developed in 2017 and submitted to the AF in 2018. Government officials, communities met during the mission have been referenced in the targeting and gender strategy attached. A list of attendance for the targeting and gender strategy is included as well as the description of the field joint mission and the process that led to this AF. The gender strategy serves both the PEA-Jeunes IFAD development project and the future AF project. The list of persons met during the mission is presented in the Targeting and Gender Strategy attached.
Table 2: Concerns raised by the populations during the public consultations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sectors</th>
<th>Main concerns raised</th>
<th>Solutions proposed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td>Decline of soil fertility and soil erosion</td>
<td>Activities under Output 3.2: Actions to improve the fertility of the soil and land management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deficit Weather Forecast Information and Lack of Its Access</td>
<td>Activities under Output 2.1: Climate information systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of access to climate resilient inputs (seeds, fertilizers, bio pesticides) quality</td>
<td>Activities under Output 3.2: Sustainable agriculture and use or modern renewables energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crops diseases</td>
<td>Adoption of climate resilient crops and seeds and adoption of sustainable agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of equipment's</td>
<td>Activities under Output 3.1 and 3.2 Promote sustainable and attractive eco-businesses with youth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forestry</td>
<td>Destruction of forests and plantations by slash and burn, bush fires</td>
<td>Activities under Output 1.1 and Output 1.2: Strengthen institutional and regulatory frameworks and promote forest management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conflict human-elephant</td>
<td>Poaching and conflict</td>
<td>Activities under Output 2.1: Implement smart patrolling in and outside national parks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth Unemployment</td>
<td>Lack of job and migration or enrolment in violent groups (Boko Haram) and displaced</td>
<td>Activities under Output 3.1 and 3.2 Provide incentives for job creation for youth and marginalised groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social exclusion</td>
<td>No inclusion of youth, women and indigenous people</td>
<td>Activities under Output 3.1 and 3.2 Provide incentives for marginalised groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>Lack or limited access to financial resources particularly for youth and women</td>
<td>Activities under 3.1 to 3.6 which promote access to innovative schemes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

141. All the needs and concerns raised during the public consultations were taken into account in the logical framework of the proposal and planning of the project. Monitoring and evaluation actions will help to measure the level of impact with beneficiaries.
In addition, through the support project for the development of agricultural sector (PADFA) which is one of the two projects currently under implementation by IFAD in the project's areas of intervention, a gender and youth inclusion strategy was developed. The project will build on that strategy and follow the same approach for the selection of beneficiaries, i.e. targeting at least 50% of women and 40% of youth among beneficiaries, including women-led enterprises. Same proportions have to be used in the activities of capacity building.

**Justification of Funding**

*Provide justification for funding requested, focusing on the full cost of adaptation reasoning.*

The overall objective of the project is to increase local communities’ resilience to climate change through resilient livelihoods and integrated natural resources management. The paradigm shift is to move from a "business as usual" characterized by unsustainable management of natural resources and agriculture practices to climate resilient agriculture and sustainable management of natural resources. The table below shows the baseline scenario (business as usual) and the alternative adaptation option under this proposal.

**Alternative 1: Without project**

The alternative without project means not doing the Adaptation Fund project. Vicious cycle of poverty plunges poor people including the most vulnerable to climate change (youth, migrants and indigenous people) that depend on natural resources for their livelihood (food security, nutrition and income). In this case, farmers will remain vulnerable to climatic changes as long as possible. Agricultural yields will continue to decline. The production will remain low and food insecurity and poverty, migration high unemployment, insecurity will gain more ground in connection with population growth. Indeed, the current situation is marked by droughts or intense rain, which limits the efforts of farmers. Current coping and agricultural practices (rain fed agriculture, deforestation, logging, hunting) in a context of climatic stresses are clearly inadequate and exacerbate food insecurity, malnutrition and conflicts over resources. high unemployment rate, migration and enrolment of youth in violent extremist groups (Boko Haram) in the absence of job opportunities and the inability to adapt to climate change. Agricultural techniques have remained rudimentary; producers cannot deal with these phenomena of climate change. The rate of deforestation with continue and will affect the biodiversity while contribution to limiting the carbon sink function of the remaining forests. Indigenous people, youth and women are more at risks as they have the limited capacity to adapt. Young people prefer to migrate in the absence of opportunities. Without the project, sites will remain exposed to droughts, floods, unsustainable management of natural resources, deforestation; conflicts over resources; erosion of the land. The forests will remain prey to bush fires during the dry season, and their ecological and environmental importance will decline. The lack of water to irrigate crops during dry periods will remain and the rate of food insecurity may increase. Regarding livestock, the alternative without project means that livestock remain exposed to the lack of drinking water and fodder in connection with recurring droughts. Transhumance in search of fodder and water will increase. Loss of livestock and conflicts between farmers and herders as well as displaced and migrants.

The alternative without the AF project is environmentally, economically and socially
unsustainable. It does not allow the achievement of a sustainable economy because the country will be obliged to put in place in the medium term emergency programs to rescue the populations in these regions. These programs from a financial point of view will cost the country and the donors more than the project under development to have the same results.

**Alternative 2: Development of a classic project without resilient actions on climate change (Business as usual)**

145. This alternative is to implement a project that does not include resilient actions on climate change and or sustainable management of natural resources. Such a classic project may concern: (i) the development of the sites without infrastructure of mobilization of water, (ii) a simple development of the sites without flood protection actions and the silti ng up of the sites; (iii) no integration of renewable energy in pilots garden projects to produce more; (iv) the development of the sites without actions of capacity building of producers on adaptation techniques; v) no support to green local businesses and eco entrepreneurs. This alternative is less costly but will not produce convincing results in the long term particularly in this targeted area under climate threats. In view of the location of the project and the effects of climatic disruptions, there are irregular rains, floods in the rainy season and dry up during the dry season. This phenomenon is coupled with the erosion and transport of sediments that sand the shallows; deforestation and biodiversity loss. This limits the development of agricultural sector including forestry and livestock. This alternative therefore does not solve the problems faced by the populations.

**Alternative 3: Development of an AF project**

146. With the project of the adaptation fund, a series of activities will be implemented in order to deal with the main issues related to the vulnerability of populations to climate change and strengthen the capacities of actors to undertake beyond the adaptation eco entrepreneurships. These activities which will contribute to the main expected outcomes for the each of the proposed components are listed below :

**Climate proofed institutional frameworks and local development plans at regional and local level (Component 1):**

**Output 1.1.**

*Activity 1.1.1:* Carry out a socio economic baseline and a community based Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) to update the institutional and regulatory policy frameworks and plans

*Activity 1.1.2:* Organize workshops and dialogues to raise awareness on climate change adaptation, generate political will and integrate the vulnerability assessment outcome and stakeholders’ input into the relevant strategic framework and investment plans (participation of 50% women and 40% youth)

*Activity 1.1.3:* Develop voluntary codes of practice for forest management activities, including timber harvesting

*Activity 1.1.4:* Develop a road map for the implementation of the updated frameworks with a resource mobilization strategy

*Activity 1.1.5:* Organize participatory planning sessions (minimum quotas 50% women and 40%) to review and update local and regional development plans in the areas of intervention to mainstream climate change adaptation

*Activity 1.1.6:* Review of the management and development plans of Waza and Bénoué national parks to identify potential gaps in terms of climate change adaptation, and propose potential amendments to mainstream this dimension
Activity 1.1.7: Develop simple M&E systems for local and regional development plans, in order to enable local authorities to properly monitor their implementation

Activity 1.1.8: Provide institutional and capacity building to local authorities to implement natural resources conservation and adaptive frameworks

Activity 1.1.9: Develop practical guidance for updating regional and local development plans with up-to-date climate change information

Output 1.2.

• Activity 1.2.1: Use of a Drivers-Pressures-State change-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework to identify and analyse specific project activities areas

• Activity 1.2.2: Nine forest areas to be classified as “community forests” and to be managed sustainably by the communities at the outskirts of Waza (3 community forests of around 3 000 ha each) and Kimki-Fungom national parks (6 community forests of around 2 000 ha each)

• Activity 1.2.3: Participatory micro-zoning of game areas #1 and #4 in the outskirts of the Bénoué national park to recognize a living space for local communities, identify conflict zones and regulate natural resources use outside of this living space

• Activity 1.2.4: Assess the conservation status of 10 endangered or endemic flora and fauna species and develop climate conservation plans for at least 5 of them

• Activity 1.2.5: Conduct vulnerability assessment to climate change of local ecosystems, and the needs for adaptation on the outskirts of Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks

• Activity 1.2.6: Establish of natural (and temporary) physical fencing in the buffer zones

• Activity 1.2.7: Document, revive and promote continued use of traditional and indigenous systems related to conservation and climate resilience

• Activity 1.2.8: Improve water resource management in vulnerable households for food production systems through the water efficient practices such as drip irrigation

• Activity 1.2.9: Forest restoration on degraded lands within and outside the parks through the plantation of around 15,000 trees from selected species demonstrating strong resilience to climate change and adaptation to the local ecosystems and the livelihood needs of the local communities

• Activity 1.2.10: Create a community forest and game areas management entities: identification of relevant stakeholders, consultation with local communities, and development of the legal status of the entities

• Activity 1.2.11: Support the new entities in the classification process of the identified areas into community forest and game areas

• Activity 1.2.12: Elaboration of simplified management plans for the demarcated community forests and game areas defining communities’ rights and access modalities to the natural resources of the area (spatial planning of crop land, livestock raising, firewood, NTFP, hunting, etc.) ensuring long-term conservation of ecosystem services in a climate change context

Outcome 2: Ecosystems resilience to climate change is strengthened through monitoring and better knowledge of their status and vulnerability (Component 2)

Output 2.1.

Activity 2.1.1: Introduction of drones in the three national parks for ecosystems and natural resources surveillance to better monitor the impact of climate change, forest cover changes and ecological responses within protected areas and buffer zones.
**Activity 2.1.2:** Set-up, rehabilitate or upgrade of the network of meteorological stations (automatic rain gauges, lightning detectors, standard equipment, power supply, telecoms for field stations) and of the sentinel sites in the three intervention sites.

**Activity 2.1.3:** Training of Eco-guards and communities on the maintenance of meteorological stations, and of the sentinel sites in the three intervention sites.

**Activity 2.1.4:** Capacity building of Eco-guards on drone technology, climate data collection, monitoring, and treatment for decision making in the three national parks.

**Activity 2.1.5:** Upgrade and introduce data collection and communication equipment and devices, data storage and management systems, computers and software for remote sensing, software and customized tools for GIS, modelling and forecasting.

**Activity 2.1.6:** Consolidation of hazard and risk maps, analysis and completion of historical data, identification of climate variability indicators for rainfall and temperature and consolidation of all available data.

**Activity 2.1.7:** Application of specific tools (such as the FAO tool SHARP - Self-evaluation and Holistic Assessment of climate Resilience of farmers and Pastoralists) to measure the evolution in the level of climate change resilience in local communities.

**Activity 2.1.8:** Develop a monitoring system for the conservations plans of the 5 most endangered or endemic flora and fauna species.

**Output 2.2.**

- **Activity 2.2.1:** Assess local alternative employment based on the Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) with a special focus on youth, indigenous people, women and displaced people.

- **Activity 2.2.2:** Identify ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart solutions based on the different group of beneficiaries.

- **Activity 2.2.3:** Develop and maintain on a regular basis a database of potential ecobusiness opportunities and climate vulnerable beneficiaries.

- **Activity 2.2.4:** Establish local concertation platforms on eco-businesses and natural resources management in the villages of the three areas of intervention (gathering the management entities of the community forests and game areas).

- **Activity 2.2.5:** Increase generation and use of ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart business opportunities in decision making and local development and investment plans.

- **Activity 2.2.6:** Develop a rural youth employment local guide with ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices.

  **Activity 2.2.7:** Awareness raising on opportunities stemming from NTFP (acacia gum, shea butter, wild mango and njansang) among young people in the three areas of intervention, and selection of interested potential young entrepreneurs.

**Activity 2.2.8:** Conduct a feasibility study on market information and business opportunities on NTFP system through cellular and internet technologies.

**Output 3.1.**

**148. Sustainable management of Natural resources and ecosystems green jobs creation for youth and resilient livelihoods (component 3)**
**Activity 3.1.1:** Identify the eco business niches of opportunities in the project sites. Eco-friendly businesses will include vegetable production, nurseries, transformation of non-wood forest products, production of compost, game ecotourism, etc.

**Activity 3.1.2:** Analysis and diagnosis of existing producer organizations and cooperatives in the areas of intervention to receive fund for eco businesses. Under this activity an analysis of the membership of producers organization, cooperatives will be undertaken determine the final recipients

**Activity 3.1.3:** Community awareness and mobilization on climate resilient and ecological agro-sylvo-pastoral NTFP eco-business to address women’s and youth’s needs and priorities.

**Activity 3.1.4:** Capacity building for existing business training centres and development of training strategies for young eco-entrepreneurs to tap into this fund.

**Activity 3.1.5:** Provide trainings for selected young people (2,300) on how to build an ecobusiness (economic aspects, business plans, leadership, entrepreneurship and citizenship, training in the legal status of land occupation and use of natural resources in the areas of intervention, support for professional integration).

**Activity 3.1.6:** Define ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices criteria to assess all future projects.

**Activity 3.1.7:** Call for proposal for at least 400 projects from young eco-entrepreneurs, women, indigenous people and displaced people.

**Activity 3.1.8:** Select beneficiaries out of whom 30% of will be indigenous people, women and displaced people projects for sustainable agroforestry and renewable energy enterprises.

**Activity 3.1.9:** Coaching of eco entrepreneurs in implementing their funded projects

**Output 3.2:**

- **Activity 3.2.1:** Implementation of 200 type of sustainable business models of home gardens using techniques to reduce climate risks (such as drip irrigation, soil and water conservation and agro-ecological practices).
- **Activity 3.2.2:** Funding of youth initiatives to promote rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry, NTFP and livestock production value chain development.
- **Activity 3.2.3:** Funding development of training tools for master trainers, train and equip master trainers, develop training curricula for farmers for Farmers Field Schools (FFS):
- **Activity 3.2.4:** Support implementation of 2000 ha of sustainable land and water management measures including rainwater storage, permeation and runoff control, construction of erosion control structures and construction of flood mitigation structures) with a labor-intensive approach through the FFS approach.
- **Activity 3.2.5:** Support implementation of 2000 ha of agroforestry measures through the FFS approach.
- **Activity 3.2.6:** Collection by at least 9 indigenous associations of local knowledge and identification of traditional productive practices relevant against climate change via an indigenous service provider.
- **Activity 3.2.7:** Restoration of wildlife habitat and land based on climate information.
- **Activity 3.2.8:** Implementation of ecotourism actions,
- **Activity 3.2.9:** Funding of sustainable harvesting, local processing of selected commercially viable NTFP, and nature based local enterprises to enhance community resilience to climate change impacts through alternative income generation.
• **Activity 3.2.10:** Funding of construction of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts of the national parks to prevent conflicts over water points within the parks, and to protect the reforested areas. The location of the water points will be decided through a participatory process.

• **Activity 3.2.11:** Creation of and assistance to WUAs in running the 20 water points to increase awareness of water scarcity and the need for a rational use.

• **Activity 3.2.12** Promote green and climate resilient design and construction principles in and outside the park and protected areas.

• **Activity 3.2.13:** Funding of establishment of nurseries, fields and seedbanks for crop research of local seeds and varieties to their resilience for climate change and their suitability for home gardens.

• **Activity 3.2.14:** Build capacities on sustainable forest management practices in communities in and outside the Parks and protected areas

**Output 3.3.**

• **Activity 3.3.1:** Mapping, analysis and selection of developers of Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes (PES) for forest conservation.

• **Activity 3.3.2:** Signing of agreements between the project and the PES schemes developers and between PES developers and young farmers’ organizations; as well as the European EU REDD Facility in Cameroon, The Central African Forest Initiative (CAFI), Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF) and any relevant REDD+ initiatives

• **Activity 3.3.3:** Capacity building in REDD+; in monitoring, assessment and verification; and in sustainable forest management and livelihoods and economic development.

• **Activity 3.3.4:** Development of partnership through a MoU with the IFAD PEA Jeunes project to mobilize more resources to scale up Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes (PES) (Forest management and sustainable practice).

• **Activity 3.3.5:** Implementation of PES for forest conservation.

• **Activity 3.3.6:** Dissemination of project good practices and experiences through various networks at the local, regional and national levels.

149. Various activities planned these outcome and presented under section project components and description will contribute to achieving environmentally, economically and socially sustainable development. At the environmental level, activities to protect the parks and ecosystems through regulation, land and natural resources management including forest and national parks; climate information systems and surveillance; ecosystems based adaptation and climate smart businesses. In economic terms, the project activities allow the creation of green jobs for youth, women and indigenous people, the improvement of farmers’ production and incomes, the improvement of women’s incomes and their development as well as the improvement of the level Life of target areas. At the social level, the project promotes the reduction of the phenomenon of rural exodus, migration and joining terrorist groups; improving food and nutritional health of populations, poverty reduction and the strengthening of community life.

150. Funding from the Adaptation Fund is crucial to eliminate the barriers to development linked to climate risks and guarantee the continuity of agroforestry activities and other alternative income generating activities when risks appear.

**Project Sustainability**
Describe how the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes has been taken into account when designing the project

151. The sustainability of the project will stem from the fundamentally participatory approach promoted throughout all project activities, which will allow local communities and authorities to build ownership over the project results.

152. The sustainability of the project outcomes relates to the combination of mainstreaming climate adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans at regional and local level and the implementation of concrete adaptation measures using climate information systems and knowledge.

153. The project intends to influence practice and policy beyond project implementation time and the areas of intervention. The outcome sustainability of Component 1 is rooted on the planning processes and budgeting. Once adaptation measures are fully integrated into regional and local plans as well as investment plans, the legacy of the project could continue after the closure of the project. The project could inspire other projects in other localities both in terms of ownership and strategic planning on climate adaptation in agriculture.

154. Under Component 2, the project seeks commitment from the local authorities (eco-guards, meteorological agencies, local and regional platforms) and will develop the capacity of local actors in creating and managing ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart business opportunities for the most vulnerable groups (youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people) with climate information systems and infrastructure.

155. Moreover, the project will undertake the FFS approach to showcase and train local communities in various adaptation practices, namely agroforestry and soil and water conservation. The FFS approach is based on a learning-by-doing process and the recipients of the training are well placed to immediately apply the contents of the training to their work. By addressing the immediate needs of farmers and local communities, there is a strong reason to believe that the promoted practices will be used after the project has ended. FFS are “grass-root labs” that, through using participatory monitoring, will increase local leadership and strengthen long-term farmers’ capacities in the adaptive management of their land. The FFS approach will test, validate and promote local knowledge-based practices to increase sustainability and diversify production. Measure and practices will be introduced based on participatory requests from FFS or communities and will only include sustainable and resilient agroforestry, soil and water conservation measures that also meet social acceptance and are environmentally sound. The practices and measures introduced will be tailored for men and women and will be in line with their needs and traditions, in order for them to be willing to replicate them in the future. In addition, the FFS approach to extension support is low-cost and relatively easy to maintain, with early gains. The Grant mechanism set and operation under PADMIR fund which is well established will provide an opportunity to attract additional fundings.

156. Under Component 3, the creation of economic opportunities for young people through eco-businesses in resilient and sustainable sectors such as NTFP will also contribute to the sustainability of the project. The project will help young entrepreneurs understand how to build a business model that can be sustained in the long term based on sustainable natural resource management in a changing climate context. Through capacity building, knowledge sharing, advisory services, value chain strengthening, support for market access and financial support, young entrepreneurs will be enabled to develop and showcase proof of concepts that will help them integrate the existing financial system (microfinance, meso-finance institutions, impact funds...) and leverage resources to ensure the sustainability of their businesses. In addition, the development of PES schemes with support from IUCN and ICRAF will prepare project holders for accessing existing REDD+ mechanism which will ensure additional resources beyond the project funding.

157. Concrete adaptation micro-projects (production, reforestation, land management, renewable energy, park management, post-harvest and processing, etc.) under component 3 will be funded
through PES schemes. These schemes will have economic and social impacts on youth and other beneficiaries while promoting adaptation to climate change beyond the lifecycle of the project. By working with IUCN, ICRAF young farmers’ organizations, and the IFAD PEA jeunes project, the schemes will help leverage additional resources in order to sustain the project outcomes. Additional partnerships (NGOs, development partners) will be also be identified during the project implementation.

158. The knowledge sharing and concertation mechanisms to be put in place by the project will also contribute to the sustainability of the project results in the sense that it will allow experiences to be shared within and across the three project intervention areas, and lessons learned and good practices to be disseminated to broader audiences.

159. This project will leverage on the current institutionalized platforms, incubators ecosystems and infrastructures set by PEA-jeunes IFAD funded project where Eco business holders benefit from technical capacity building for mastering technical processes, and mastering technical processes, and also from entrepreneurial and management capacity building. At the project, young incubated promoters will therefore have appropriated the technical and management. Incubators have been set by PEA jeunes to support both PEA-Jeunes future businesses and upcoming eco-businesses under Eco-Jeunes.

**Environmental and Social Risk impacts.**

*Provide an overview of the environmental and social impacts and risks identified as being relevant to the project.*

160. The Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund is consistent with Cameroon environmental and social policies and laws, in aspects which ensure that project interventions/activities do not cause environmental or social harm. The objective of the project is to implement activities that increase local communities’ resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management. The main activities of the project include:

- Carry out socio-economic baseline, community-based Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) to update the institutional, regulatory and policy frameworks and plans.
- Organise workshops and dialogues to raise awareness on climate change adaptation, generate political will and integrate the vulnerability assessment outcome and stakeholders’ input into the relevant strategic framework and investment plans.
- **Develop voluntary codes** of practice for forest management activities, including timber harvesting.
- Develop a road map for the implementation of the updated frameworks with a resource mobilisation strategy.
- Organize participatory planning sessions to review and update local and regional development plans in the zones of intervention to mainstream climate change adaptation
- Review the management and development plans of the Waza and the Bénoué national parks to identify potential gaps in terms of climate change adaptation, and propose potential amendments to mainstream this dimension.
- Develop simple M&E systems for local and regional development plans in order to enable local authorities to properly monitor their implementation
- Provide institutional and capacity building to local authorities to implement the natural resources conservation and adaptive frameworks.
- Develop practical guides for updating regional and local development plans with up-to-date climate change. Same proportions have to be used in the activities of capacity building and information.
• Use of a Drivers-Pressures-State change-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework to identify and analyse specific project activities areas.

• Nine forest areas to be classified as “community forests” to be managed sustainably by the communities at the outskirts of the Waza (3 community forests of around 3 000 ha each) and Kimki-Fungom national parks (6 community forests of around 2 000 ha each)

• Participatory micro-zoning of game areas #1 and #4 in the outskirts of the Bénoué national park to recognize a living space for local communities and identify conflict zones and regulate natural resources use outside of this living space.

• Assess the conservation status of 10 endangered or endemic flora and fauna species and develop climate conservation plans for at least 5 of them.

• Conduct vulnerability assessment to climate change of local ecosystems, and the needs for adaptation in the outskirts of Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks.

• Establish natural (and temporary) physical fencing in the buffer zones.

• Document, revive and promote continued use of traditional and indigenous systems related to conservation and climate resilience.

• Improve water resource management in vulnerable households for food production systems through the water efficient practices such as drip irrigation.

• Forest is restored on degraded lands within and outside parks through the plantation of around 15,000 trees from selected species demonstrating strong resilience to climate change and adaptation to the local ecosystems and the livelihood needs of the local communities

• Create community forests and game areas management entities: identification of relevant stakeholders, consultation with local communities, and development of the legal status of the entities.

• Support the new entities in the classification process of the identified areas into community forests and game areas.

• Elaboration of simplified management plans for the demarcated community forests and game areas defining communities’ rights and access modalities to the natural resources of the area (spatial planning of crop land, livestock raising, firewood, NFTP, hunting, etc.) ensuring longterm conservation of ecosystem services in a climate change context.

• Set-up, rehabilitate or upgrade the network of meteorological stations (automatic and rain gauges, lightning detectors, standard equipment, power supply, telecoms for field stations), and of the sentinel sites in the three intervention sites.

• Upgrade and introduce data collection and communication equipment and devices, data storage and management systems, computers and software for remote sensing, software and customized tools for GIS, modelling and forecasting.

• Consolidate hazard and risk maps, analyse historical data, identify climate variability indicators for rainfall and temperature and consolidate all available data.

• Introduction of drones in the three national parks for ecosystems and natural resources surveillance to better monitor the impact of climate change, forest cover changes and ecological responses within protected areas and buffer zones.

• Training of eco-guards and communities on the maintenance of meteorological stations, and the sentinel sites in the three intervention sites.

• Implement smart patrolling in and outside national parks to prevent poaching and illegal wildlife trade.

• Capacity building of eco-guards on drone technology, climate data collection, monitoring, and treatment for decision making in the three national parks.

• Application of specific tools (such as the FAO tool SHARP - Self-evaluation and Holistic Assessment of climate Resilience of farmers and Pastoralists) to measure the evolution in the level of climate change resilience in local communities.

• Develop a monitoring system for the conservation plans of the 5 most endangered or endemic flora and fauna species.
• Increase generation and use of ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart business opportunities in decision making and local development and investments plans.
• Develop a rural youth employment local guide with ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices.
• Awareness raising on opportunities stemming from NFTP (acacia gum, shea butter, wild mango and njansang) among young people in the three areas of intervention, and selection of interested potential young entrepreneurs.
• Conduct a feasibility study on market information, business opportunities on NTFP system through cellular and internet technologies.
• Define ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices criteria to assess all future projects.
• Community awareness and mobilisation on climate resilient and ecological agro-sylvo-pastoral NTFP eco-business to address women’s and youth needs and priorities.
• Capacity building for existing business training centres and development of training strategies for young eco-entrepreneurs.
• Provide training for selected young people (2,300) on how to build an eco-business (economic aspects, business plans, leadership, entrepreneurship and citizenship, training in the legal status of land occupation and use of natural resources in the areas of intervention, support for professional integration).
• Call for proposal for at least 400 projects from young eco-entrepreneurs, women, indigenous and displaced people.
• Capacity building for existing business training centres and development of training strategies for young eco-entrepreneurs.
• Analysis and diagnosis of existing producer organizations and cooperatives in the areas of intervention to receive fund from the grant mechanism on eco businesses.
• Support 200 types of sustainable business models of home gardens using techniques to reduce climate risks (such as drip irrigation, soil and water conservation and agro-ecological practices)
• Support youth initiatives to promote rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry, NTFP and livestock value chain development.
• Support the selected NGO provider(s): develop training tools for master trainers, train and equip master trainers, develop training curricula for farmers.
  Invest in 2000 ha of sustainable land management measures (soil, water, etc.) with a labour-intensive approach through the FFS approach.
  Invest in 2000 ha of agroforestry measures through the FFS approach.
• Support facilities for rainwater storage, permeation and runoff control.
• Support directly at least 9 indigenous associations to lead the collection of local knowledge and identification of traditional productive practices relevant for climate change via an indigenous service provider.
• Support the construction of erosion control structures and construction of flood mitigation structures.
• Support the restoration of land, wildlife habitat based on climate information.
• Invest in construction of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts of the national parks to prevent conflicts over water points within the parks, and to protect the reforested areas.
• Support the creation of and assistance to WUAs in running of all water infrastructures including the 20 livestock water points to increase awareness of water scarcity and the need for a rational use
• Support ecosystem resilience to climate change through targeted restoration investments and build capacities on sustainable forest management practices in communities in and outside the parks and protected areas.

161. Overall, the project will have a medium to long-term impact that is mostly positive given the different actions whose ultimate goal is to promote ecological sustainability and resilience to climate change. Institutional and administrative strengthening activities, sensitization and professional
environmental training, agroforestry and sub-watershed management, reforestation and sustainable forest management will enable rural populations and especially young people to develop a viable agricultural or rural activity and to have alternatives to exodus and engagement in illegal activities.

162. Capacity-building activities of technical services including meteorological authorities, local communities, eco-guards, youth and indigenous people will have positive impacts on the management of climate resilient farming practices and the environmental management of the project. All concrete adaptation activities planned under this project including the eco-businesses will neither cause the relocation of population nor affect any natural habitat in or outside the parks.

163. The environmental and social impact assessment of such project will also examine the positive and negative effects that the project could have on the environment and populations, and recommend any measures needed to prevent, minimize, mitigate or compensate for adverse effects and improve environmental performance.

164. It is worth mentioning that IFAD is implementing ongoing projects in the landscape with the government of Cameroon (Implementing partners) adopting a similar integrated approach since year 2013 and in experience has not faced or anticipates that the proposed project activities would result in causing any adverse environmental or social impacts. However, should any adverse social or environmental impact occur, it is likely to be restricted at a village level, be small in scale, and reversible. However, there are certain risks identified under the environmental and social 15 principles (Compliance with the Law, Access and Equity, Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups, Human Rights, Gender Equity and Women’s Empowerment, Core Labour Rights, Indigenous Peoples, Involuntary Resettlement, Protection of Natural Habitats, Conservation of Biological Diversity, Climate Change, Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency, Public Health Physical and Cultural Heritage, Lands and Soil Conservation) of the Adaptation Fund and the project is thus classified as a category B project.

165. The risks assessment is documented in the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) in section C Part 3 of this document. The ESMP is focused on process-oriented risk management where mechanisms are built into project implementation to ensure that rigorous risk assessment and management measures will be applied to all component activities including unidentified sub-projects (USPs) in each of the components especially for the grant mechanism. With USPs, the proposed interventions and investments have not been fully defined at the project approval stage. Sub-activities at the various steps of project implementation will be screened against the 15 principles of AF. The checklist attempts to apply the 15 Principles to a national context in a way that will be easily understood by project partners and beneficiaries alike. This work will be supported by the EC and Gender specialists with oversight from the M&E specialist.

166. Table below provides an overview of the assessment against AF principles and the principles that require further assessment and management are discussed in more detail.
Table 3: Checklist of environmental and social principles and compliance with the ESP of AF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Checklist of environmental and social principles</th>
<th>No further assessment required for compliance</th>
<th>Potential impacts and risks – further assessment and management required for compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Compliance with the Law</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>- The project complies with the Framework Law on environmental management (No. 96/12 from August 5, 1996); the Decree No. 2013/0171/PM of 14 February 2013; the Law No. 98/005 of 14 April 1998 on the water regime; the Law No. 99/017 of December 22, 1999, governing the quality control of soils, building materials and geotechnical studies; the Law No. 94/01 of January 20, 1994, on the Forest, Wildlife and Fisheries Regime and Decree No 95/466/PM of July 20, 1995, and other administrative orders of sub-national government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access and Equity</td>
<td></td>
<td>- While every household/ individual under the project area will have equal opportunity/access to project interventions, there is a very low risk that priority setting which will be done by the village institutions and interventions using the local and regional developmental plans and wealth ranking of households might not be done in an adequate manner hence preventing some households/individuals from benefiting from the project. IFAD targeting tools will be applied.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups</td>
<td></td>
<td>- While efforts will be made to bring the entire marginalized and vulnerable households in the mainstream economy, some marginalized and vulnerable individuals / households may not have any means/ assets/ skills to enable them adopt the project activities. Also, in case of heterogeneous villages (very few) the stronger communities will try to get access to more benefits and suppress support flow to the marginalized and vulnerable households and thus low – medium risks are predicted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Rights</td>
<td>✗</td>
<td>- The risk of the project negatively impacting on the human rights of the target groups is very low or even nonexistent. The project is designed to respect and adhere to the</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
requirements of all relevant conventions on human rights. The project will respect all land rights and will avoid disposing anyone of their land. The project does not foresee any violation of human rights.

With regards to child labor, although beneficiaries are responsible for the exploitation of their perimeter, the project will ensure the elimination of the worst working conditions and especially the forced labour of children in accordance with the disposition of the Code Labour. Respect of the disposition of the Law namely the Law issuing labor code during the sub-projects selection and implementation. Compliance to labour rights will be ensured in all the project activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender Equity and Women’s Empowerment</th>
<th>There is a low risk of inequitable representation of women in decision making process; identification, planning and implementation of activities. Also, a lack of confidence of women might prevent them to participate in project activities.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Core Labour Rights</td>
<td>The project will create employment enabling marginalized and vulnerable groups, especially youth and women to raise and secure their income. Payments to labour under the project area will be made as per Government approved norms duly following minimum wage rate and hence ensuring core labour rights in accordance to all labor rights ratified by the Government of Cameroon. The project will respect, promote the ILO core labour standards and ensure that they are respected and realized in good faith by the executing ministries (MINEPDED and MINADER) and the other partners and contractors. The relevant national labour laws will also be followed and monitoring will be undertaken throughout project implementation. Full control on non-violation of Labour rights will be exercised when labour is being paid using project funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>There is a low risk that indigenous groups feel that their right to access NTFP has been denied through the project conservation activities. Also, groups heterogeneity can be suppressed by the stronger community.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involuntary</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resettlement</td>
<td>Resettlement of communities does not fall within the purview of the project. There will be no involuntary resettlement due to project activities during project implementation. All activities related to natural resource restoration and management within and outside parks will not lead to resettlement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of Natural Habitats</td>
<td>Although the project will propose objective is solely aimed at promoting nature-friendly activities including through reforestation, tree planting; promotion of new practices; fencing; micro zoning, etc., the eco-entrepreneurs’ activities include initiatives that promote rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry, ecotourism, NTFP and livestock value chain development, which, if not developed and implemented properly, may cause some low to medium negative impacts on the biophysical environment, including risks of overexploitation of NTFP, poaching, livestock encroachment, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation of Biological Diversity</td>
<td>There is a low risk that in areas of the project which are not under a protected area regime, or even within those community forests or national parks, the inappropriate level of human resources compared with international standards does not allow for ensuring that the fauna and flora within those spaces are exempt from any threat including fire, poaching, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>✓ The project supports increasing local communities’ resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management; increase in carbon sinks which is a co-benefit is also expected to be achieved through project interventions such as agroforestry, soil and water conservation and natural resources management. Reductions in greenhouse gas emissions will be measured where technically and financially feasible with the FAO EX-ACT tool which is already being used in some IFAD projects. In the Far North, the project will reduce emissions by 900,000 tons of CO₂, 195 tons CO₂eq of N₂O and 103 tons CO₂eq of CH₄, and in the North, the project will reduce emissions by 5,150,000 tons of CO₂, 122 tons CO₂eq of N₂O and 34 tons CO₂eq of</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although most of the environmental and social risks principles have none ratings arising from the project interventions, as the activities are being implemented with an approach of promoting environmental, social and economic resilience for the project beneficiaries, low risks are identified in the below principles, and the project is classified as category B project.

- Access and Equity
- Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups
- Gender Equity and Women Empowerment
- Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CH₄</th>
<th>In the North West, the project will reduce emissions by 1,920,000 tons of CO₂, 384 tons CO₂eq of N₂O and 34 tons CO₂eq of CH₄.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</strong></td>
<td>Due to ecotourism activities; potential impact especially with non-biodegradable products could be identified.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Public Health</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No adverse impact on public health related issues is envisaged even if the use of pesticides might be adopted by some agripreneurs in accordance to IFAD policies.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical and Cultural Heritage</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The project will have no adverse impacts on physical and cultural heritage of the people in the intervention areas.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lands and Soil Conservation</strong></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restoration activities are envisaged to help in land and soil conservation and will not create any damage to land and soil resources.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project will develop restoration and conservation plans according to the needs of local communities and the different ecosystems, plant resilient tree species in the outskirts of the national parks, and implement sustainable and resilient agroforestry and soil and water conservation measures so that local communities can better cope with the effects of climate change; supports rainwater storage, permeation and runoff control; construction of erosion control structures and construction of flood mitigation structures; restoration of land, wildlife habitat based on climate information.
In view of the project being categorized as a category B project, an Environmental & Social Management Plan (ESMP) is proposed below and IFAD SECAP activities in Annex 4 reinforces the mitigation measures. But, after analyzing the project through the Environmental and Social screening, the potential adverse environmental or social impacts of the project are few in number, small in scale, very limited, reversible and easily mitigatable. The environmental and social impact assessment of such project is to examine the positive and negative effects that the project could have on the environment and populations, and recommend any measures needed to prevent, minimize, mitigate or compensate for adverse effects and improve environmental performance. Thus, taking into account the national standards that the project must comply with (section E), the foreseeable risks and impacts in the implementation of the project and its classification according to Adaptation Fund ESP, the project is subjected to a simplified environmental and social impact assessment (SEA) or Environmental and social Impact Notice of the sub-projects will be prepared when these sub-projects will be designed.
PART III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

A. Implementation Arrangements

Describe the arrangements for project implementation.

168. **Approach.** The project’s approaches, actions, modes of organization and implementation will apply a general principle of subsidiarity promoting decision-making processes as close as possible to the action at different levels: (i) geographical, the project targets primarily the most "local" geographical scales (village, commune, province) and their link with the regional and national scales; (ii) institutional; (iii) project management (delegate project implementation to direct users when possible); (iv) knowledge management, by strengthening local capacities and knowledge sharing, and cross-sectoral transfers.

169. The project promotes partnerships between key stakeholders contributing to the project general objectives, according to the following principles: (i) identification of clear and specific role for each stakeholder involved; (ii) the knowledge and respect of the specificities of each stakeholder; (iii) the identification of common interests; and (iv) the mobilization of resources. The project is designed to strengthen the networks of local stakeholders.

170. **General Organization.** The Republic of Cameroon will receive AF resources through IFAD. The Republic of Cameroon, through its Ministry of the Environment, Nature Protection and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED), will act as Executing Entity, while IFAD will be the Implementing Entity by virtue of channeling AF resources as well as monitor and oversight the implementation of the Project by the Executing Entity.

171. Concertation and consultations mechanisms at the national level will be carried out by a project Steering Committee. Given the geographical and thematic scope of the project, there will be direct complementarity with the Ministry of Forests and Wildlife (MINOF) and the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MINADER). A joint inter-ministerial decision will establish the Steering Committee composition, mandate and functioning. The ultimate decision making authority in the Steering Committee rests with MINEPDED. The nomination of Steering Committee will be done in compliance with the AF Gender Policy. In order to ensure the scaling-up of the achievements of the project within farmers and producers organizations in Cameroon, PLANOPAC (the national consultation platform for rural producers) and CNOP CAM (farmers and producers umbrella organization) will both be associated with the project steering committee.

172. A district advisory committee will be established at the district level under the chairmanship of the Governor in each Region. This committee will bring together the various stakeholders involved in the implementation of the project (technical services, representatives of regional organizations - producers, young people, protected areas, implementing partners, traditional authorities, etc.) at the local level. The district advisory committee will regularly inform the steering committee on the project implementation progress and performance at local level.

173. The overall management of the project will be under the responsibility of The Republic of Cameroon, through its Ministry of the Environment, Nature Protection and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED). MINEPDED will set up the Project Management Unit (PMU) hosted at MINEPDED in Yaoundé which will be in charge of the daily management of the project. The PMU will be composed of a National Coordinator, an Administrative and Financial Officer, an Accounting Assistant, a Procurement Controller, and an M&E Officer. The PMU will be responsible and accountable to the Government and IFAD for the efficient use of project resources in compliance with the funding. The PMU staff will be recruited competitively at national level, in compliance with IFAD’s procurement procedures, and in accordance with the AF Gender Policy. Women candidates will be encouraged. The establishment and operationalization of the PMU at MINEPDED will be facilitated by the presence of the IFAD Country Office, which will be able to provide or call upon
expertise in institutional development if necessary. IFAD will report to the AF on the overall management and performance of the Project.

174. While MINEPDED is the sole Executing Entity in coordination with the Ministry of Agriculture and other sector ministries, some collaboration will be put in place with ICRAF and UICN, and NGOs with proven experience on sustainable forest, soil, water management and PES schemes. By capitalizing on their respective experiences and knowledge, the strategies will be discussed and validated at the start of the project. A gender focal point will be appointed within the PMU to monitor contracts with both partners on targeting, gender and youth issues. These aspects must be incorporated into the terms of reference and be allocated time and resources. The objectives will subsequently be integrated into the performance contracts and the monitoring and results indicators.

175. The PMU will be in charge of the administrative and financial procedures as well as of the monitoring and evaluation (M&E) of the project. The PMU will: (i) define the Annual Work Plans and Budgets (AWPB) and partnership contracts, (ii) ensure the coherence of project activities, and (iii) be IFAD’s contact point for the project. Regarding partnerships, the PMU must in particular: (i) ensure that the strategies, methods of intervention, and approaches undertaken by implementing partners are defined in accordance with the overall project framework and the components for which they will be responsible; (ii) review their AWPBs on the basis of the budget framework; (iii) manage current expenditures in accordance with the payment schedule and; (iv) negotiate and prepare letter of agreements/ contracts for their respective components.

176. Quarterly coordination meetings of implementing partners will be organized by the PMU to monitor the progress of activities and share lessons learned from one region to another.

177. With regard to the implementation of field activities, the project will rely on the implementation partners identified during the project design mission. These include but are not limited to the International Center for Research in Agroforestry (ICRAF) for the implementation of activities in the Northwest Region, and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in the Far North and North Regions. Partners for introduction and implementation of PES schemes will also be involved in activities execution. ICRAF has established a network of 12 centers for rural resources managed by local organizations in Cameroon that promote agroforestry practices. IUCN has a proven experience in the management of protected areas in Cameroon, and in the development of income generating activities in the outskirts of protected areas. IUCN and ICRAF could sub-contract some activities to competent and recognized local NGOs and farmers’ organization while ensuring a quality control of their services. The household-based methodology (GALS) will be overseen by IUCN and ICRAF with facilitation at the village level by local facilitators.

178. The NGOs selected for the provision of grants to eco-entrepreneurs will be responsible for the screening of environmental and social risks against the 15 principles of the AF ESP through a simplified checklist. They will also be responsible for the monitoring of any identified risk during their work of support and supervision of the entrepreneurs. This will also be reflected in the reports to be provided by the entrepreneurs on the use of the grants.
179. The institutional arrangements of the project are presented in the figure below.

Figure 15: Project Institutional Arrangements
The table below shows the responsibilities of the different project implementing partners for the different project outputs.

Table 43: Responsibilities of project implementing partners per project output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Components and Outputs</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level</td>
<td>MINEPDED, MINFOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.1: Institutional and regulatory frameworks and plans at municipal and regional level are strengthened to promote climate change adaptation and the resilient management of natural resources at municipal and regional level</td>
<td>MINFOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.2: Land and natural resources management are improved in the Waza, Bénoüé and Kimi-Fungom national parks and their outskirts to increase the resilience to climate change of vulnerable populations contributing to emissions reduction</td>
<td>MINFOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 2: Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change, ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities (50% women, 40% youth)</td>
<td>MINFOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 2.1: Climate information systems and surveillance mechanisms are strengthened through the development of a unified observation system to respond to climate change.</td>
<td>MINFOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 2.2: Ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities for the most vulnerable groups are identified (youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people) and information systems are improved.</td>
<td>MINFOF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate change resilience of targeted communities (50%, women; 40% youth)</td>
<td>IUCN, ICRAF, ONG, OP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.1: Projects promoters are selected and trained in building eco-business</td>
<td>IUCN, ICRAF, ONG, OP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.2: Climate adaptation actions in agroforestry and natural resources management are implemented through a grant with a focus on youth and other marginalized groups</td>
<td>MINADER, IUCN, ICRAF, ONG, OP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.3: Payments for ecosystem services schemes to support conservation of fragile ecosystems are implemented in the project sites</td>
<td>MINEPDED, CED, BioClimate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A matrix describing the implication of all stakeholders in the implementation of the project is provided in Annex 1.
## Financial Risk Management

*Describe the measures for financial and project risk management.*

**Table 55: Financial risk mitigation measures**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Initial risk assessment (H = high, M = moderate, L = low)</th>
<th>Proposed mitigation measure</th>
<th>Final risk assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Insufficient capacities to appropriately manage the day-to-day implementation of the project | M                                                         | - Creation of a Project Management Unit (PMU) based in Yaoundé for the administrative, financial and accounting management of the project.  
- PMU with administrative and financial management autonomy that assumes the fiduciary management functions of the project.  
- Recruitment of experts with specific experiences in development project management and financial management procedures of the lessors and mastery of an accounting software.  
- IFAD country office will participate as an observer in all stages of the recruitment process.  
- The staff of the PMU will be linked to the project by renewable annual contracts based on a performance evaluation,  
- Start-up support takes into account training in financial management. | L                     |
| The project budgeting process doesn’t respect procedures and doesn’t allow for a good implementation of project activities   | M                                                         | - The budget preparation process will be carried out by the PMU staff and the AWPB will then be submitted to the steering committee for approval. The AWPB will provide details of activities, their unit and overall costs, expected results and monitoring indicators, and their implementation modalities including procurement procedures.  
- The budgeting process will be defined in the project procedures manual, and should be harmonized with the budgeting process of other IFAD projects (ECO-Jeunes, PEA-J, PADMIR, PADFA etc.).  
- The approved AWPB must be entered into the accounting and financial management software to monitor its implementation.  
- Quarterly financial reports including information on budget monitoring should be submitted to the ministries of guardianship, steering committee and IFAD. | L                     |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>Project financial flows and disbursement processes are not timely and jeopardize the implementation of activities on the ground</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Reforms are underway at the government level to improve the payment of counterpart funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Availability of funds will be made through the standard circuit planned and already tested by other IFAD projects including replenishment of the designated account, direct payment and reimbursement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>The use of Certified Statement of Expenditures in support of expenses incurred by the Project is also planned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>As regards the implementing partners and public services, the resources will be transferred in accordance with the signed agreements and service contracts, which will have to provide mechanisms for the provision of funds based on the work plan and budget of the convention/contract, and disbursements based on a quarterly / semi-annual report of the activities carried out by the beneficiary/provider/partner.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>M</th>
<th>Project implementation and financial management procedures do not guarantee sufficient transparency and accountability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>- Three (3) levels of security ensure transparency and control of operations and also mitigate the risk of distortion and dysfunction related to management: (i) The fact that only one person cannot conduct an operation in its entirety (from beginning to end, from execution to final control); (ii) the implementation of accounting self-audits; (iii) Implementation of the IFAD Representation’s proximity monitoring in Cameroon and joint Government/IFAD support and supervision missions and an annual audit of the accounts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>L</th>
<th>The project accounting system and financial procedures are not sufficiently formalized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>- The Project will be equipped with management software covering all financial aspects: accounting, commitment, financial statements, budget monitoring, contracts, etc. The staff will have to master the software in order to be able to correctly parameterize it to meet the needs of management.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>The monitoring of financial commitments and financial achievements will be based on the use of accounting and financial management software as well as the production of financial dashboards for use by the PMU, SC and IFAD.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>The financial statements of the Project will be drafted according to the principles in force (SYSCOHADA, which provide an accounting based on the commitment of the expenses) and by respecting the minimum information required by the lessor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>The annual financial statements of the Project for the year N will be established no later than the end of April of the year N + 1. The unaudited annual financial statements will be submitted to the SC and IFAD for review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>The Procedures Manual will provide a detailed phasing of all the stages leading to the closing of the accounts (monthly / quarterly / annual) and the preparation of the financial statements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>The accounting system used in the framework of the Project should allow the registration of tax exemptions obtained from the government</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The project financial procedures do not allow for proper and regular monitoring

Financial monitoring based on:

a) regular preparation of withdrawal requests, based on rolling quarterly cash plans, and bank monitoring of the designated account and the account of operations;

b) budget monitoring; c) accounting monitoring; d) technical and economic monitoring provided by the administrative and financial officer

Financial monitoring based on:

b) The administrative and financial officer will prepare quarterly financial and accounting reports (interim financial reports) which he will submit to the Coordinator for signature and send for review to the Steering Committee and IFAD.

Environment and Social Risk Management

Describe the measures for environmental and social risk management, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

182. The Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund is consistent with Cameroon’s environmental and social policies and laws, in aspects which ensure that project interventions/activities do not cause environmental or social harm. The project intends to create an enabling environment for climate change adaptation at the institutional level, and will contribute to increasing the resilience of both the local ecosystems and natural resources, and local communities (in particular young women and men) by 1) Strengthening institutional frameworks to mainstream climate change adaptation into national, regional and local planning processes and promote resilient and sustainable livelihoods 2) Strengthening knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability and promoting their restoration to increase their overall resilience to climate change; and 3) Strengthening local communities and young people’s adaptive capacity through awareness raising, climate change adaptation measures, and the development of resilient eco-businesses. It adopts an integrated approach to landscape level conservation that promotes sustainable agro-forestry management and gives forests, farming systems, livestock the opportunity to adapt to climate variation thereby improving their long-term functionality and ensuring improved and sustainable benefits to the biodiversity and local community. By adopting this approach, the project aims to work with 8,800 households for a total of 52,800 individuals. Beneficiaries are composed by 27,000 women; 15,840 young people including young men (7,760) and women (8,080); 700 households of indigenous peoples with 4,200 individuals.

183. As indicated earlier under section 11 there are certain risks even low identified under the environmental and social principles of the adaptation fund and the project is thus classified as a category B project.

184. However, the implementation mechanism is designed to take care of social and environmental risks as per the AFB’s Policy. The principles of the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund have been included in each of the project activities and consistent with IFAD SECAP. The grant mechanism for eco-entrepreneurs will fund unidentified sub-projects (USPs), i.e. projects for which the proposed interventions and investments have not been fully defined at the project approval stage. Further risk assessments will be undertaken during the review of the grant proposals, using a simplified AF principles checklist. This will be done by the implementing partners at community level. If such risks are detected, plans will be made to address or mitigate the specific risk.

SELECTION AND FINANCING OF SUB-PROJECTS

185. The present project will be implemented through the grants to eco-entrepreneurs under output 3.2 and the PES schemes under output 3.3, under component 3. It should be noted that these relate to activities which have been identified at least at 90%, linked to home gardens using techniques
to reduce climate risks (such as drip irrigation, soil and water conservation and agro-ecological practices), rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar), agroforestry, ecotourism, reforestation/afforestation, and NTFP and livestock production value chain development, activities which have been already screened for potential risks in this project-level ESMP. However, because of the different context, location and stakeholders involved, grants to be provided under these two outputs will be considered as “unidentified” sub-projects.

Criteria for the selection of sub-projects

PES schemes:

186. The project will contract PES schemes developers, namely NGOs with proven experience in implementing PES schemes under different mechanisms such as REDD+ and Clean Development Market (CDM). NGOs will contract registered young farmers organizations to buy ecosystems services they will be providing through landscape sustainable management practices. Prior to contracting the organizations, the NGOs will undertake a risks screening to determine if the ecosystem services to be paid are provided in conditions that comply with the 15 principles of the AF policy. IUCN and ICRAF which are the main NGOs identified, but which could sub-contract some activities to competent and recognized local NGOs and farmers’ organizations, will ensure a quality control of their services and will be mainly responsible for the screening process. Technical guidelines and selection criteria, as well as a simplified checklist will be made available to sub-contractants.

Grants to eco-entrepreneurs:

187. The project will rely on the implementation partners identified during the project design mission, including but are not limited to the International Center for Research in Agroforestry (ICRAF) for the implementation of activities in the Northwest Region, and the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN) in the Far North and North Regions. IUCN and ICRAF could sub-contract some activities to competent and recognized local NGOs and farmers’ organization while ensuring a quality control of their services. The household-based methodology (GALS) will be overseen by IUCN and ICRAF with facilitation at the village level by local facilitators. The NGOs selected for the provision of grants to eco-entrepreneurs will be responsible for the screening of environmental and social risks against the 15 principles of the AF ESP through a simplified checklist. They will also be responsible for the monitoring of any identified risk during their work of support and supervision of the entrepreneurs. This will also be reflected in the reports to be provided by the entrepreneurs on the use of the grants. The grant mechanism will ensure that funded unidentified subprojects (USPs) do not include activities with medium to high risks of deteriorating the integrity of semi- or all-natural habitats. For subprojects with an identified low risk, proper advice and capacity building support will be provided on areas such as sustainable exploitation of NTFPs, disease control, sustainable livestock management, etc. through partner NGOs or extension services. This will also be monitored as part of the M&E framework of the project. In case there medium potential subprojects, ESIA will be applied

188. As part of the grant mechanism, the contracted grant providers will be responsible for screening each of the sub projects for environmental and social risks, and monitoring implementation of any mitigation measures identified for those USPs. The initial actions during pre-inception will involve coordination of the roles and responsibilities of those involved in managing these risks with the ESS specialist taking the lead role with supporting role from the Gender and M&E specialists.
189. Activities planned will be finalized through a participatory process and will ensure that relevant communities are consulted before finalizing and implementing any project activity. Before the call for proposal for the grants as well as community activities, consultations will be organized with target groups, including youth, migrants and indigenous population. The information on investment opportunities among target populations, the eligible activities, the intervention strategy of the grant for ecobusiness or PES scheme, the process of formulation of applications, the technical review and the approval process will be disseminated at this step. This, to enable the promoters of subproject express their interest to the project. After that, there will be a call for subproject proposal.

190. The subprojects formulation template, the deposit addresses of the requests, and the criteria for selection of the sub-project will be made available to potential beneficiaries in the intervention area, regional technical services involved in the project.

Monitoring USP-level risks

191. Given that at least 90% of potential activities have already been identified and associated risks are identified and mitigation measures as well as project-level monitoring mechanism described in this ESMP, contracted NGOs that will be implementing partners of this project will be involved in this participatory mechanism. Any additional risk identified through the subproject-level risk screening will be included in this mechanism and partner NGOs will ensure subproject portfolio-level aggregated risks monitoring and report back to the project level.
Table 66: Measures adopted for Environmental and Social Risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components in line with the AF guidelines</th>
<th>Impacts / Risks</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Mitigation Measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comply with law</td>
<td>Lack of integration of the environmental and social issues in the business plans submitted under the grant mechanism and PES schemes</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>In accordance with the Adaptation Fund’s ESP and the national standards, an Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF) has been developed within the framework of the implementation of the project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>To ensure a good integration of environmental and social issues, subprojects will be subject to the preparation of ESIA or the environmental and social impact notice according to the potential impacts of each sub-project. ESIA and impact Notices reports will be prepared by the partners and incubators on behalf of recipients and submitted to the environmental agency for review and approval to ensure compliance with the Adaptation Fund principles and the national standards. Each report will be accompanied by environmental and social management plan specific to the site with the indicators that will allow monitoring the effectiveness of these measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient capacity of stakeholders to</td>
<td>Insufficient capacity of stakeholders to manage environmental and social issues in accordance with the national legislation and the AF’s principles</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>To ensure seamless integration of the environment in the implementation of the project, it is necessary to strengthen the technical capacity of the national and decentralised services. These include the decentralized services in charge of: (i) Agriculture (Regional/departmental Directorate of agriculture); (ii) environment (Regional/departmental Directorate of environment); (iii) plants and forest protection (Regional Directorate and Departmental antennas of plant Protection and phytosanitary control posts).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>These services will support producers in implementing of environmental and social measures. Information exchange and communication campaigns, will be organized for the producers to enable them to manage the environmental and social requirements according to the E&amp;S principles of the Adaptation Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient monitoring of indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>To mitigate that risk, it is essential to establish E&amp;S performant indicators monitoring system for the business</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access and Equity</td>
<td>Risk of insufficient access of the project by a segment of the population</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elite capture and Biasness in allocating project benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of interest to participate in project activities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>By design, the project has focused on the most vulnerable group of populations to climate change mainly youth (40%), women (50%) indigenous people and displaced communities. This in itself is a mitigation measure. Furthermore, beneficiaries have been disaggregated by gender during the design through IFAD targeting approach. The profile intends to produce socio, economic profile, which will assist in identifying the households towards which project activities support should be prioritized within the poor and vulnerable communities. Households and individuals will be sensitized towards the approach of prioritizing project support to most vulnerable households while ensuring benefits trickle down to all the village households through one of the project activities. This will mitigate any conflicts that might arise within the village due to focusing on the most vulnerable households. The project has also plan to finance 30% of the Fund to indigenous people, women and displaced people projects for sustainable agroforestry and renewable energy enterprises. However, to ensure equitable access to the resources of the project, the following measures should be implemented: - Establishment of transparent criteria to select the business plans from beneficiaries and beneficiaries - Equitable inclusion of women (50%), and young people (40%) in the training sessions to strengthen the capacities of the producers to access the resources of the project - Equitable support for the acquisition of production equipment - Equitable support for the development of income-generating activities. The PMU will monitor closely the targeting mechanism.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marginalized and vulnerable groups</th>
<th>Risk of discrimination of vulnerable groups</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In case of heterogeneous villages</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Exclusion of marginalized groups is seen as a low risk item since within the project 30% will be targeting the indigenous groups. Thus, the project’s design in itself is a mitigation measure.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
(very few) the stronger communities will try to get access to more benefits and suppress support flow to the marginalized and vulnerable households and thus low risk is predicted. Exclusion of marginalized groups from project benefits

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human rights</th>
<th>Risk of inequitable access of the segments of the population to the project's resources</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The project will respect and promote all fundamental human rights as per the constitution of Cameroon, and in accordance to all conventions signed by the government of Cameroon. The project will work in line with the local and regional plans and PMU and Local Communities Organisations will ensure no human rights violation happens. The project anticipates no violation of human rights through the project activities, and on the other hand will strive to empower the local community to be aware of and exercise their human rights so as to use it systemically for their benefit and wellbeing.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of child labour outside the limits laid down by law</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The wages will be determined on task allotted and the wage rate will be calculated on the basis of prevailing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respect of the disposition of the Law namely the Law issuing labor code during the sub-projects selection and implementation Compliance to labour rights will be ensured in all the project activities. The main component under which labour will be involved will be: Participatory micro-zoning of game areas; Forest is restored on degraded lands within and outside parks through the plantation of around 15,000 trees sustainable land management measures (soil, water, etc.) with a labour-intensive approach through the FFS approach; Construction of erosion control structures and construction of flood mitigation structures, construction of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recent Country Technical Notes on Indigenous Peoples’ Issues developed by IFAD through PEA Jeunes will provide more details on the targeting and specific interventions. To avoid social exclusion of marginalized communities, orientation /sensitization will be initiated in the project sites, at households and villages level to ensure equal participation and ensure no social impacts fall on the marginalized and vulnerable group. The project establishes transparent criteria to select the business plans and beneficiaries will be prepared and made available to the sub-project selection committee. These criteria should take into account the vulnerable and marginalized groups. Quotas has been also set 50% women and 40% youth and 30 % for indigenous peoples.
minimum wage rate for the task. The record of work done for each labour engaged will have to be maintained and the wages paid accordingly. The hours of work and the timing of the working hours will be determined in consultation with the labour and the prevailing practices in the area. Compliance will be ensured by making advance payments for the physical work as per the village micro plan submitted by the local communities to the implementing partner. Positive discrimination in favour of women may be used to provide fair and equal opportunity to women who seek employment as labour and gain from the wages earned by her. All forms of negative discrimination in respect of employment and occupation would be eliminated. Project should not engage child labour in any of its activities and all forms of forced or compulsory labour may be eliminated. The project will maintain registers for labour payments and same would be verified with respect to payments as per the schedule of rates, work quantity by the EE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender Equity and Women’s Empowerment</th>
<th>Insufficient consideration of gender mainstreaming in the implementation of the project</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The project target 50% women. Community awareness and mobilisation on climate resilient and ecological agro-sylvopastoral NTFP eco-business to address women’s and youth needs and priorities and confidence to participate in the project activities including the call for proposals; participatory planning sessions to review and update local and regional development plans in the zones of intervention</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender focus activities will also include creating awareness in the community at large to acknowledge women for their contribution as an income generating individual in the household to create their value in the community and promote equitable. Fair and equitable selection of beneficiaries will be done for capacity building and training sessions. A list of all the participants will be maintained and gender ratio will be monitored by the PMU on a quarterly basis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Labour Rights</th>
<th>Risk of harm to the health and safety of workers</th>
<th>low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The ensure the best working conditions, the following measures are proposed: - comply with legislation including Law</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compliance to labour rights will be ensured in all the project activities. The main component under which labour will be involved will be: Participatory micro-zoning of game areas; Forest is restored on degraded lands within and outside parks through the plantation of around 15,000 trees sustainable land management measures (soil, water, etc.) with a labour-intensive approach through the FFS approach; Construction of erosion control structures and construction of flood mitigation structures, construction of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts. The wages will be determined on task allotted and the wage rate will be calculated on the basis of prevailing minimum wage rate for the task. The record of work done for each labour engaged will have to be maintained and the wages paid accordingly. The hours of work and the timing of the working hours will be determined in consultation with the labour and the prevailing practices in the area. Compliance will be ensured by making advance payments for the physical work as per the village micro plan submitted by the local communities to the implementing partner. Positive discrimination in favour of women may be used to provide fair and equal opportunity to women who seek employment as labour and gain from the wages earned by her. All forms of negative discrimination in respect of employment and occupation would be eliminated. Project should not engage child labour in any of its activities and all forms of forced or compulsory labour may be eliminated. The project will maintain registers for labour payments and same would be verified with respect to payments as per the schedule of rates, work quantity by the EE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of child labour outside the limits laid down by law</th>
<th>low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Although beneficiaries are responsible for the exploitation of their perimeter, the project will ensure the elimination of the worst working conditions and especially the forced labour of children in accordance with the disposition of the Code Labour.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indigenous Peoples</th>
<th>Risk of exclusion of Indigenous people</th>
<th>low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The project targets voluntary indigenous people and a quota of 30% included for indigenous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Involuntary Resettlement</th>
<th>None</th>
<th>None</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The project will not induce a resettlement of populations.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of Natural habitat</td>
<td>Risk of degradation of water and soil quality if Eco-entrepreneurs implement initiatives such as ecotourism, rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry, NTFP and livestock value chain development, which may cause negative impacts on the biophysical environment, including natural habitats, i.e. spread of diseases, overexploitation of NTFP...</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation of Biological Diversity</td>
<td>Disappearance of some elements of biodiversity by pire, poaching, in areas of the project which are not under a protected area regime, or even within those community forests or national parks</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>Emission of greenhouse gases</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The project will thus, contribute to the fight against the impact of climate change. Moreover, with the Drip system very water-saving and with an increase of production, the project ensures the preservation of water resources and adaptation of farmers to climate change. To mitigate the GHG emission the project will promote PES schemes in partnership with REDD+ initiatives.

| Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency | Contamination of soil and water by pollutants | Low | To reduce water pollution by the activities of the project, the following measures must be implemented: - Establishment of sound management of pollutants in accordance with standards of FAO and WHO. This can be done through: (i) the reduction of agrochemicals fertilizers used to limit the contamination of groundwater; (ii) the promotion of organic amendments (organic fertilizer) in order to limit the use of chemical fertilizers. - Strengthening of the capacity of producers to the chemicals (fertilizer and pesticides) management system. The rational use of these products will help to limit water and soil pollution. |
| Public health | Risk of poisoning by inhalation or by direct consumption of water or food contaminated by pesticides or fertilizers | Low | To protect the health of consumers and market gardeners, concrete measures must be taken. These are, among others: (i) Strengthening of the capacity of the producers to manage the pesticides in accordance with using standards of pesticide; (ii) sound management of pesticides by farmers; (iii) periodic training and sensitization for farmers on the optimal use of agrochemicals (nitrogen fertilizer), on the harmful effects of phytosanitary treatments on the wearing of protective equipment (nasal masks) during application of pesticides; (iv) inform and sensitize farmers on diseases related to the presence of water and the accumulation of trace elements in plants and wildlife; Good practices in terms of pesticide should be proposed for better management. For subprojects eligible for grant / PES with an identified medium to high risk, ESIA will be conducted |
| Physical and Cultural Heritage | Risk of destruction of physical and cultural heritage during the incidental findings | Low | One of the criteria of selection of the intervention area is: "Not located in a known cultural heritage area or suspected to be sheltering a cultural heritage". This criterion enables to limit the risks related to the destruction of the cultural and physical heritage. However, incidental findings can take place on non-suspected sites. |
Thus, it's necessary to establish a system to takeover the physical and cultural heritage incidental findings. This system should be managed by the cultural heritage Directorate in the country.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lands and Soil Conservation</th>
<th>Land and soil - quality degradation</th>
<th>Low</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The project proposes sustainable agriculture techniques preserving the soil as well agroforestry techniques. In addition protection measures are proposed through the PES schemes to address potential land and soil degradation. For subprojects with an identified potential medium to high risk, ESIA will be conducted.
192. To effectively implement the above mitigation measures, the PMU will be sensitized on these aspects related to social and environmental risk during its periodic meetings. The PMU shall be responsible for identifying specific risks that may arise during implementation based on the monitoring of project and built in mitigation and reporting mechanism for the same. Also, social audit would be put in place that would also help in mitigation of some of risk enlisted under Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund.

193. During its regular supervision missions, IFAD will collaborate with the Government of Cameroon to ensure that environmental and social risks, if any, will be adequately and timely addressed through a management plan or changes in project design. The existing system of annual project performance reports and the mid-term and terminal evaluation reports will be designed to track any required environmental and social risk management plan or changes in project design.

194. In order to ensure that the implementing partners are fully aware of their responsibilities with regards to provision of the Environmental & Social Policy of Adaptation Fund, IFAD team and the PMU will orient the partners on the guidelines, systems and procedures related to the environmental and social policy including the grievance redressal mechanism.

Grievance and redress mechanism

195. The proposed project will utilize the existing IFAD’s grievance mechanism to allow affected to raise concerns that the proposed project is not complying with its social and environmental policies or commitments. The consultative process with the community and beneficiaries aims to ensure prevention of grievances that might arise from the project activities. However, if at all, there are any grievances, the below redressal mechanism is proposed:

- Grievance redressal mechanism would be shared with the community during the project inception workshop and subsequent meetings with the beneficiaries

- As part of the grievance redressal mechanism, the contact details of the project partners - Cluster Coordinator/ Project Manager would be made available to stakeholders including project beneficiaries and the community. Contact numbers would be displayed at common or predominant places along—with the project details. This is expected to promote social auditing of project implementation. The grievance mechanism will be available to the entire project intervention areas. However, the functionality of the mechanism rests with the beneficiaries considering that the project including the grievance mechanism is envisaged to be a bottom up approach.

196. Grievances are aimed to be addressed at the field level by the project team which will be the first level of redressal mechanism. If the grievance is not resolved at the field level, it will be escalated to the PMU and then to IFAD who will be responsible for addressing grievances related to violation of any of the provisions of Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund. All grievances received and action taken on them will be put up before the PMU and Steering committee meetings and will also be included in the progress reports to the NIE for reporting and monitoring purposes.

197. Whenever a project causes negative environmental or social impacts there will be grievances (complaints) from people who are affected. “Having a good overall community engagement process in place and providing access to information on a regular basis can substantially help to prevent grievances from arising in the first place, or from escalating to a level that can potentially undermine project performance. (…) Grievance mechanisms should [therefore] not be thought of as a substitute for the [above-mentioned] community engagement process or vice versa. The two are complementary and should be mutually reinforcing. Criteria to be used for a robust grievance/complaints mechanism are:

a. **Legitimate:** enabling trust from the stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended, and being accountable for the fair conduct of grievance processes;

b. **Accessible:** being known to all stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended, and providing adequate assistance for those who may face particular barriers to access;
c. **Predictable:** providing a clear and known procedure with an indicative time frame for each stage, and clarity on the types of process and outcome available and means of monitoring implementation;

d. **Equitable:** seeking to ensure that aggrieved parties have reasonable access to sources of information, advice and expertise necessary to engage in a grievance process on fair, informed and respectful terms;

e. **Transparent:** keeping parties to a grievance informed about its progress, and providing sufficient information about the mechanism’s performance to build confidence in its effectiveness and meet any public interest at stake;

f. **Rights-compatible:** ensuring that outcomes and remedies accord with internationally recognized human rights;

g. **A source of continuous learning:** drawing on relevant measures to identify lessons for improving the mechanism and preventing future grievances and harms;

h. **Based on engagement and dialogue:** consulting the stakeholder groups for whose use they are intended on their design and performance, and focusing on dialogue as the means to address and resolve grievances.

198. In general (not limited to this proposed Adaptation Fund Project in Cameroon), IFAD has established a complaints procedure to receive and facilitate resolution of concerns and complaints with respect to alleged non-compliance of its environmental and social policies and the mandatory aspects of its Social, Environmental and Climate Assessment Procedures in the context of IFAD-supported projects. The procedure allows affected complainants to have their concerns resolved in a fair and timely manner through an independent process. IFAD has established a complaints procedure to receive and facilitate resolution of concerns and complaints with respect to alleged non-compliance of its environmental and social policies and the mandatory aspects of its Social, Environmental and Climate Assessment Procedures.

199. Procedures in the context of IFAD-supported projects. The IFAD’s grievance and redress mechanism will be also applied to the Adaptation Fund projects which are considered are complementary to IFAD investments. The procedure allows affected complainants to have their concerns resolved in a fair and timely manner through an independent process. IFAD may be contacted by e-mail at SECAPcomplaints@ifad.org or via its website at (click here for page). In addition, IFAD will require the borrower to provide an easily accessible grievance mechanism, process or procedure to facilitate resolution of concerns and grievances of project-affected parties arising in connection with the project (on a case-by-case basis for projects that poses special risks). Grievance redress will use existing formal and informal grievance mechanisms, strengthened or supplemented as needed with project-specific arrangements, and will be proportionate to the risks and impacts of the project. Although IFAD normally addresses risks primarily through its enhanced QE/QA process and by means of project implementation support, it remains committed to: (i) working proactively with the affected parties to resolve complaints; (ii) ensuring that the complaints procedure and project-level grievance mechanism are easily accessible to affected persons, culturally appropriate, responsive and operates effectively; and (iii) maintaining records of all complaints and their resolutions.

200. Practically, the following order and structure is proposed for handling different type of complaints related to the Adaptation Fund project:

Figure 16: Complaints mechanism flow chart
Any community member in the project intervention areas concerned by the project may submit a complaint regarding the project. Depending on the type and severity, the complaint should be submitted to either a community-level complaints committee (in case of community-level matters, e.g. related to land access) or the Project Management Unit or the project steering committee with copy to the Project Coordinator (in case the community-level committee is implicated itself, cannot solve the issue, or is not functioning). In case the complaint is directly related to program design, it may be submitted to the PMU in copy IFAD team in Cameroon. Any complaint can be submitted via email, letter or by verbal submission to the relevant.

At each level, the respective complaints will be received and systematically recorded in a complaint register by a designated officer, and subsequently assessed on severity, assigned to a committee member (‘complaint owner’) to investigate the complaint within 15 days, and communicate the findings back (respond) to the complainant within 30 days. If the complainant does not accept the proposed resolution, he/she can appeal to an appeals committee at the Project Coordination in Yaoundé, which should review the case and recommend a course of action within 30 days. Once the solution has been implemented (or when the appeals committee authorizes the case for closure), the case will be closed. Complaints or grievances are not only an indicator of something gone wrong, but also provide a valuable source of feedback and information that can help to improve program delivery. All AF funded project stakeholders should therefore be actively encouraged to use the grievance mechanism. Similar to complaints, all general requests for information should also be systematically recorded and answered. It is recommended the PMU and IFAD team keep a log of such requests and periodically upload the provided response under the ‘frequently asked questions’ section of the proposed national program website.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Type of impact</th>
<th>Potential negative Impacts</th>
<th>Mitigation measures</th>
<th>Social and Environmental Risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development plan of KimbiFungom</td>
<td>Env.</td>
<td>Reversal of co-management due to a lack of common strategic vision with neighbouring communities. Deterioration of environment and biodiversity.</td>
<td>Establishment of a strategic vision in collaboration with the communities bordering the park. Ensure that sustainable resource management brings sufficient economic benefits to communities.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community forests</td>
<td>Env.</td>
<td>No materialization of external limits, non-compliance with limits</td>
<td>Community sensitization to limits and use of plants as territorial markers.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Problems of lack of professionalism of the actors, lack of transparency of the community incomes management.</td>
<td>Support by local NGOs of actors and managers of the forest.</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training</td>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Conflicts for access to the Projects’ technical and financial support</td>
<td>Transparent targeting criteria and participatory targeting process</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted Natural Regeneration</td>
<td>Env. – Soc.</td>
<td>Decreased flows in the downstream surface hydrographic system and strengthening of water use conflicts</td>
<td>The decrease in surface runoff will also have positive effects in terms of erosion control and the maintenance of soil fertility. The effect is offset by the economic, social and environmental gain provided by the ANR.</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation</td>
<td>Env.</td>
<td>Lowering soil moisture and groundwater in semi-arid regions</td>
<td>Choose varieties with low water demand Implement water harvesting and conservation techniques that mitigate runoff and evaporative losses and maximize infiltration</td>
<td>Low (North West)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reforestation Activity</td>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Issues related to (i) land tenure, (ii) natural resources and land use rights, (iii) ignorance of traditional land use rights</td>
<td>Definition of land use and consideration of traditional rights to determine managers. Enhancement of the eco-systemic benefits of forests (NTFPs) and their economic value for their preservation. Establishment of a community forest management plan</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Property rights over land and trees are poorly known and cause social conflict</td>
<td>Take into account the legal context and customary rights of communities to ensure the nature of the beneficiaries</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Poor management of community forests</td>
<td>Forestry officials and local communities are trained to have the skills required for economic and technical management of forests</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Lack of commitment of rural people and overexploitation of forests</td>
<td>Involve communities by clearly outlining the benefits and costs of these community forests. Establishment of a community forest management plan</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-timber forest products</td>
<td>Non-organization of the sector and its low visibility are risks compared to the expected results of the Project</td>
<td>Support to the organization of the sector and promotion of the use of these NTFP</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Expropriation of the disadvantaged / marginalized social categories in the activity for the benefit of less vulnerable categories</td>
<td>Monitoring, training and valorisation of disadvantaged social categories during activities</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-timber forest products Agroforestry</td>
<td>Competition for light, water and nutrients with other plantations and for soils with non-timber crops,</td>
<td>Good management techniques (size of branches, periodic cutting of roots), choice of species</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastoral Water Infrastructure</td>
<td>Displacement of wildlife outside protected areas</td>
<td>Localization of structures near villages to avoid approaching wildlife</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Monitoring and evaluation**

*Describe the monitoring and evaluation arrangements and provide a budgeted M&E plan.*
203. Project Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) will be under the oversight of the PMU, and led by the M&E officer who will work closely with the implementing partners (IUCN, ICRAF, MINEPDED and MiNFOF). The M&E system should: (i) produce, organize and disseminate the information needed for the strategic management of the Project, (ii) document the results and lessons learned for internal use and for public dissemination on the achievements and (iii) respond to the information needs of Adaptation Fund, IFAD and the Government on the activities, immediate outcomes and impact of the Project. A monitoring and evaluation manual that will describe a simple and effective system for collecting, processing, analysing and disseminating data will be prepared in the first year of the Project.

204. A computerized database will be developed that will enable the generation of dashboards used in IFAD projects. The system will be regularly fed from data collected in the field by the implementing partners and the various studies carried out as part of the projects’ implementation. The monitoring and evaluation system will be coupled with a geo-localized information system (GIS) that will allow mapping and spatio-temporal analyses. Trainings will be organized to strengthen the capacities of the various stakeholders involved in the monitoring and evaluation system.

205. Project M&E activities will be guided by the following key considerations:

a) Data will be disaggregated by poverty, livelihood group and gender;

b) Each implementing or partner agency will have clear M&E responsibilities with specific reporting deadlines and a forum for presenting and discussing the findings of the monitoring exercise; and

c) M&E will be linked to the project rationale, log frame, and annual work plans and budgets. M&E findings will be used to take corrective or enhancing measures at the level of project management.

206. The project key M&E activities will include the following:

**Project Inception Workshop**

A Project Inception Workshop will be conducted within two months of project start up with the full project team, relevant government counterparts and IFAD. The Inception Workshop is crucial to building ownership for the project results and to plan the first year annual work plan. A fundamental objective of the Inception Workshop will be to present the modalities of project implementation and execution, and assist the project team to understand and take ownership of the project’s goals and objectives. An Inception Workshop Report will be prepared and shared with participants.

**Reporting**

In the first and sixth year of the Project, a *MPAT/SYGRI+ survey* that also incorporates the information needs of the project logical framework will be conducted. MPAT, a multidimensional poverty assessment tool, is a recently developed IFAD tool that assesses poverty in ten dimensions that are at the heart of rural livelihoods.

*Semi-annual and Annual Project Reports* will be prepared by the PMU and verified by the PSC to monitor progress made since project start and in particular for the previous reporting period.

These reports include, but are not limited to, reporting on the following:

- Progress made toward project objective and project outcomes - each with indicators, baseline data and end-of-project targets (cumulative);
- Project outputs delivered per project outcome (annual);
- Lessons learned/good practices;
- Annual expenditure reports; and • Reporting on project risk management.
Quarterly Progress Reports will also be prepared by project implementing partners in the field, and submitted to the PMU to ensure continuous monitoring of project activities and identify challenges to adopt necessary corrective measures in due time.

Technical reports – such as a best practices and lessons learned report - will also be completed, as determined during the project inception report/

A Terminal project report will also be completed at least two months before project closure.

Financial Reporting
In terms of financial monitoring, the project team will provide IFAD with certified periodic financial statements, and with an annual audit of the financial statements relating to the status of funds according to the established procedures.

External Evaluations
The project will undergo an independent external Mid-Term Evaluation at the mid-point of project implementation, which will determine progress being made toward the achievement of outcomes and identify course correction if needed. It will focus on the effectiveness, efficiency and timeliness of project implementation; will highlight issues requiring decisions and actions; and will present initial lessons learned about project design, implementation and management. Findings of this review will be incorporated as recommendations for enhanced implementation during the final half of the project term. A Terminal Evaluation will be conducted at the end of the implementation period three months before project closure to assess achievement of project goals.

Field visits
Government authorities, members of PSC and IFAD staff will conduct regular field visits to project sites based on the agreed schedule in the project’s Inception Report/Annual Work Plan to assess first hand project progress.

Table 77: Proposed M&E budget is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of M&amp;E Activity</th>
<th>Responsible Parties</th>
<th>Time-frame</th>
<th>Estimate of costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Inception Workshop (IW)</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>Within two months of project start up</td>
<td>USD 15,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline survey/MPAT/SGRI+ survey</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>First Year Sixth Year</td>
<td>USD 40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Inception Report</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>After the inception workshop.</td>
<td>USD 0 (as completed by PMU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervision visits</td>
<td>PMU, Government, IFAD, PSC members depending on the needs.</td>
<td>Annual or as required</td>
<td>USD 30,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Work plans and Budget</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>USD 0 (as completed by PMU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semi-Annual project report</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>Semi-annual</td>
<td>USD 0 (as completed by PMU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual project</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>USD 0 (as completed by PMU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical reports</td>
<td>PMU, implementing partners</td>
<td>As appropriate</td>
<td>USD 10,000 (Report on best practices and lessons learned)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-term Evaluation (MTE)/Review (MTR)</td>
<td>External consultant</td>
<td>At mid-point of project implementation</td>
<td>USD 35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final evaluation</td>
<td>External consultant</td>
<td>At the end of project implementation</td>
<td>USD 35,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Terminal Report</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>At least two months before the end date of the project</td>
<td>USD 8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audits</td>
<td>External auditor</td>
<td>Last year of project implementation</td>
<td>USD 9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL BUDGET</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>USD 182,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

207. Implementation and Monitoring Procedures of Risks

Under this project, sub projects that may require the implementation and monitoring of risks are:
All activities related to forest restoration on degraded lands within and outside parks (output 1.2; component1). The other subprojects that require risks management are the 400 sub-projects from young eco-entrepreneurs, women and indigenous people, displaced people to be submitted and targeting at least 50% women; 40% men (output 3.1; component 3) as well as the implementation of 200 types of sustainable business models of home gardens; youth initiatives to promote rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry under the same output and component. Under output 3.2 (component 3); a proper monitoring of key risks for 2000 ha of agroforestry measures; Implementation of ecotourism actions, construction of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts; sustainable harvesting, local processing of selected commercially viable NTFP.

Overall, the planning and programming mechanism to ensure a proper monitoring of key risks particularly for future subprojects and business plans identified and implementation of mitigations measures will include the following steps:

Step 1: Information, sensitization on the project approach and call for project proposal The information on investment opportunities among target populations with quotas as mentioned in the funding proposal, the intervention strategy of the subproject under the grant mechanism and PES schemes, the process of formulation of applications by promoters, the technical review and the validation process will be disseminated at this step with the Societe Generale which the project will be partnering under the PEA jeunes Facility. This, to enable the promoters of subproject to propose projects.

After that, there will be a call for project proposal. Every year (for three years), two calls for proposals will be launched for communities which can be involves in the project. The criteria for
Selection of the sub-project will be prepared by the Project management unit (PMU) in coordination with the PEA Financing Facility, and made available to subprojects promoters, regional technical review committee to select the best subproject and the regional approval committee to approve the best-subproject / eco business plans.

The projects selection criteria prepared by the PEA jeunes financing facility PMU will be disseminated in the folder of call for project proposal. These criteria shall take into account at least the criteria for selection of the project areas developed and for taking account of vulnerable and marginalized groups and the gender mainstreaming developed under table 9.

The 15 E&S principles of the Adaptation Fund and the environmental and social management plan framework will also be annexed to the selection criteria documents.

Step 2: Subprojects, Eco business plans formulation and the registration:

Eco business plans will be submitted to the PEA jeunes Financing Facility (Societe Generale) with copy to the municipalities (mayors ) and the PMU for endorsement.

The technical studies and request are formulated by the producer Organizations or youth businesses already incubated by PEA jeunes, through their own skills if they have. If they don’t have skills to do this, the support-service set by PEA jeunes will give them support to draft the subproject. The Project management unit (PMU) will take steps at the beginning of the project to ensure this capacity building.

Step 3: Technical review and approval of subprojects

The PEA Jeunes Financing Facility/ Societe Generale Bank will undertake the technical review in coordination with the National Agency for Environment and the PMU representative and based on the prevalidated criterias. Prior to validation, criteria for selection of the sub-project will take into account vulnerable and marginalized groups and gender mainstreaming with all quotas and all risks included under table 9 (ESMP).

Step 4: Environmental and social due diligence

For sub projects that could have potential medium risks ESIA will be conducted in compliance with law. Mitigations measures listed under table will be applied and monitoring undertaken by the National Agency for Environment semiannually or annually based according to the timeframe proposed under table 9 in coordination with the PMU and relevant national authorities. Moreover, the environmental approach proposed, takes into account the existing environmental management in the administrative procedure of assessment and review of environmental impacts in Niger under the environmental and social impact assessment process. The studies that will be conducted under this procedure will be guided by the environmental and social principles of the Adaptation Fund.

Step 4.1. Environmental and social screening:

The National Agency for Environment will ensure that, the screening put on drafting of the subprojects is well done according to the national standards. During the technical review and periodic supervisions, The National Agency for Environment should ensure with the PMU and relevant partners that subprojects/ eco businesses projects do no likely create impacts or risks to natural habitats, biodiversity, physical and cultural heritage will not be selected, compliance
with the law, access and equity, marginalized and vulnerable groups, human rights, gender equality and empowerment of women, fundamental labor rights and public Health. These risks shall not be triggered in all subprojects submitted or under implementation.

Step 4.2. Additional environmental and social assessment
For any subproject which require an additional and social assessment, an environmental and social impact notice will be prepared. The terms of reference (ToR) of the assessment following the results of the screening will be prepared by the PEA jeunes financing facility and submitted to the National Agency for Environment in the targeted region for validation. The preparation of the complementary environmental and social impact notice may be financed by the PMU to maximum 500 USD or PEA jeunes project if promoters are PEA jeunes beneficiaries.

Step 4.3. Environmental and social supervision
Environmental and social supervision: The PMU is responsible of the supervision of the entire project and sub projects PMU with the support of national and local technical services/institutions concerned and the National Agency for Environment. These different services/institutions are indicated in the environmental and social management framework Plan. The supervision is done at the level of all the sub-projects in accordance with the common ESMP and with the budget allocated.

Step 4.4. Environmental and social monitoring
The National Agency for Environment will conduct the environmental and social monitoring of the activities with the support of the regional directorate.

Step 5: Reporting
All reports related to the ESMP will be prepared both by the National Agency for Environment and the PEA jeunes Financing Facility and shared with the PMU. Through IFAD supervisions missions, correctives measures will be taken as per IFAD supervisions guidelines if the ESMP is not implemented and reported adequately.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Components in line with the AF guidelines</th>
<th>Impacts / Risks</th>
<th>Measures</th>
<th>Monitoring indicators</th>
<th>Periodicity</th>
<th>Responsible for monitoring</th>
<th>Technical support Institution for the EMP supervision by the PMU Cost</th>
<th>Cost (X 1000 USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Comply with law</td>
<td>Lack of integration of the environmental and social issues in the business plans submitted under the grant mechanism and PES schemes</td>
<td>Realization of ESIA or E&amp;S impact notice of the sub-projects</td>
<td>Number of ESIA or E&amp;S impact notice of the sub-projects designed in compliance with the E&amp;S national regulation and AF’s ESP</td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient capacity of stakeholders to manage environmental and social issues in accordance with the national legislation and the AF’s principles</td>
<td>Training sessions on ESIA</td>
<td>Number of training sessions in environmental and social management, monitoring and evaluation</td>
<td></td>
<td>annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient monitoring of indicators</td>
<td>Effectiveness of the E&amp;S monitoring system and number of E&amp;S monitoring reports</td>
<td>Number of E&amp;S monitoring reports</td>
<td></td>
<td>Semiannually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Access and Equity</td>
<td>Risk of insufficient access of the project by a segment of the population Elite capture and Biasness in</td>
<td>Establishment of transparent criteria to select the sub-project and beneficiaries</td>
<td>Level of applying the clear and transparent criteria for eligibility of the projects</td>
<td>annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginalized and vulnerable groups</td>
<td>Risk of discrimination of vulnerable groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In case of heterogeneous villages (very few) the stronger communities will try to get access to more benefits and suppress support flow to the marginalized and vulnerable households and thus low risk is predicted. Exclusion of marginalized groups from project benefits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improvement of life conditions of marginalized groups</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of young people and women beneficiaries and indigenous people of the project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semiannually</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Human rights</th>
<th>Establishment of transparent criteria to select the sub-project beneficiaries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fair criteria for selection of participants in the training sessions organized</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of clarity and transparency in the criteria for eligibility of the projects beneficiaries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair criteria for selection of participants in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>annually</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| 3 | | | | | | 2 | | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk of child labour outside the limits laid down by law</th>
<th>Respect of the disposition of the Law (Code Labour)</th>
<th>Child labour inside the limits laid down by law</th>
<th>annually</th>
<th>National Agency for Environment</th>
<th>PMU and relevant national authorities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender Equity and Women’s Empowerment</td>
<td>Insufficient consideration of gender mainstreaming in the implementation of the project</td>
<td>Gender consideration in the selection of beneficiaries</td>
<td>Number of women and young people benefiting from financial support for the development of irrigated area with modern</td>
<td>Semi annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core Labour Rights</td>
<td>Risk of harm to the health and safety of workers</td>
<td>Level of compliance of the project with the Code of labor in Cameroon</td>
<td>Level of compliance of the project with the Code of labor in Cameroon</td>
<td>Semi annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of child labour outside the limits laid down by law</td>
<td>Level of compliance of the project with the Code of labor in Cameroon</td>
<td>Child labour inside the limits laid down by law</td>
<td>Semi annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>Risk of exclusion of Indigenous people</td>
<td>Number of indigenous people benefiting from the project</td>
<td>Number of indigenous people benefiting from the project from reports</td>
<td>Semi annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Involuntary Resettlement</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protection of Natural habitat</td>
<td>Risk of degradation of water and soil quality if Eco-entrepreneurs</td>
<td>Promote of agroforestry climate resilient agriculture and conservation of forests</td>
<td>Improvement of plant cover of the soil</td>
<td>annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Reforestation</td>
<td>annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
implement initiatives such as ecotourism, rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry, NTFP and livestock value chain development, which may cause negative impacts on the biophysical environment, including natural habitats, i.e. spread of diseases, overexploitation of NTFP...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Responsible Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conservation of Biological Diversity</td>
<td>Disappearance of some elements of biodiversity by pire, poaching, in areas of the project which are not under a protected area regime, or even within those community forests or national parks</td>
<td>Establishment of a mechanism that combat the degradation induced by the project</td>
<td>annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Level of improvement of wildlife diversity by the project activities</td>
<td>annually</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Number of conservation of biological diversity initiatives in the areas of the project</td>
<td>annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Landscape</td>
<td></td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Climate Change</td>
<td>Emission of greenhouse gases</td>
<td>Reduction of greenhouse gas</td>
<td>annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Quantity of CO2 avoided through fuel</td>
<td></td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Key Performance Indicators</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Responsible Authorities</th>
<th>Authority</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</td>
<td>Contamination of soil and water by pollutants</td>
<td>Establishment of sound management of pollutants in accordance with standards of FAO and WHO</td>
<td>Semi annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rate of heavy metals in soils and waters in accordance with standards of FAO and WHO and Rate of pesticides residues in soils and waters in accordance with standards of FAO and WHO</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public health</td>
<td>Risk of poisoning by inhalation or by direct consumption of water or food contaminated by pesticides or fertilizers</td>
<td>Strengthening of the capacity of the producers to manage the pesticides in accordance with pesticide using standards</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical and Cultural Heritage</td>
<td>Risk of destruction of physical and cultural heritage during the incidental findings</td>
<td>Establishment of a system to takeover the physical and cultural heritage incidental findings</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lands and Soil Conservation</td>
<td>Land and soil - quality degradation</td>
<td>Development of sustainable agriculture techniques, agro forestry…climate resilient agriculture</td>
<td>Annually</td>
<td>National Agency for Environment</td>
<td>PMU and relevant national authorities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Results Framework

*Include a results framework for the project proposal, including milestones, targets and indicators.*

Table 99: Results framework

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Objective Outcome/Output</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Means of verification</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Hypothesis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Objective:</strong> increase local communities’ resilience to climate change through resilient livelihoods and integrated natural resources management</td>
<td>Number of beneficiaries (disaggregated by gender and youth) who have received support from the project as a proxy for increasing adaptive capacity to respond to the impact of climate change</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,800 households (at least 50% women and 40% youth)</td>
<td>Project Progress report</td>
<td>PMU</td>
<td>A stable macroeconomic environment, an acceptable security level, and absence of disaster</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcome 1: Climate proofed institutional frameworks and local development plans at regional and local level</th>
<th>Number of policies and development strategies adjusted to address climate change risks (by type and level – regional, local)</th>
<th>At least 5 (local, municipal and regional)</th>
<th>Project progress reports</th>
<th>PMU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.1: Institutional and regulatory frameworks and plans at municipal and regional level are strengthened to promote climate change adaptation and the resilient management of natural resources at municipal and regional level</td>
<td>Evidence of a strategy proposing amendment to key strategic documents</td>
<td>Not existing strategy</td>
<td>1 strategy available and agreed upon</td>
<td>Strategy document</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of regions and communes that have a development plans that integrate CCA</td>
<td>To be defined at project inception</td>
<td>100% of the regions (Far North, North, and North West) 100% of the communes</td>
<td>Municipal and regional development plans covering the project areas of interventions</td>
<td>PMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of the national parks management plans that integrates CCA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (Management Plan of the Waza, Benoué and of the Kimki Fungom national parks)</td>
<td>Management plans</td>
<td>PMU</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Output 1.2: Land and natural resources management are improved in the Waza, Bénoüé and KimiFungom national parks and their outskirts to increase the resilience to climate change of vulnerable populations contributing to emissions reduction

| Number of community forests (disaggregated by sex, 50% women; 40% youth) and corresponding surface areas that have been: (i) delimited by the project, and (ii) classified | 0 | In the Waza NP periphery: 3 community forests of around 3 000 ha each In the KimiFungom NP periphery: 6 community forests of around 2 000 ha each (50% women and 40% youth) | Project progress report Official classification status document | PMU |

| Number of simplified management plans for community forest and game areas developed by the project which include women and youth in the decisions making | 0 | 9 simplified management plan for community forest (3 around Waza NP, and 6 around Kimi Fungom) 2 for game areas (around Bénoüé NP) | Simplified management plans | PMU |

### Component 2: Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change and ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities
**Outcome 2:**
Ecosystems resilience to climate change is strengthened through monitoring and better knowledge of their status and vulnerability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 2.1: Climate information systems and surveillance mechanisms are strengthened through the development of a unified observation system to respond to climate change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface area of ecosystems mapped out and covered by surveillance mechanisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strengthened awareness of adaptation and climate risk reduction measures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000 ha mapped out 2000 km² covered by surveillance drone and climatic stations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project progress reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapping study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participatory maps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good participation and involvement of local communities including women and youth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Number and type of surveillance mechanisms introduced |
| 0 |
| 6 drones for surveillance in protected areas |
| Project progress reports Invoice |
| PMU |
| Good collaboration with national parks services |

| Number of climatic stations and sentinel sites upgraded, set up or rehabilitated |
| 50 |
| 250 |
| Project progress report Invoice |
| PMU |
| Good survival rate of tree seedlings |

| Number of women and men benefiting of domestic violence training programs including Prevalence of physical and/or sexual intimate |
| 0 |
| At least 50% of women |
| Project progress report |
| PMU |
| Good participation and willingness for both men and women to address gender inequalities and gaps in agriculture |
| Output 2.2: Ecosystem based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities for the most vulnerable groups are identified (youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people) and information systems are improved |
| Number of women represented in decision making process including within the project for reviewing business plans from clients | 0 | At least 50% | Project progress report | PMU |
| Evidence of a feasibility study on NTFP business opportunities | 0 | 1 study | - | PMU |
| Evidence of a database of potential eco-business opportunities | 0 | 1 database per area (3 databases) | - | PMU |
| Number of knowledge sharing products including on gender inclusive natural resource management practices | 0 | 10 | Knowledge products produced | PMU |

The interest and involvement of youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people remains high throughout project implementation and willingness to improve information systems.
| Number of events organized including on gender in agriculture | 0 | 25 | Events invitation and agendas | PMU |

**Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate change resilience of targeted communities**

**Outcome 3:** Sustainable management of natural resources and ecosystems leading to climate resilient ecosystems, green jobs creation for youth and resilient livelihoods.

| Outcome 3: % of project beneficiaries considering having improved their adaptive capacities (at least 50% women and 40% youth) | 0 | 70% | Survey | PMU ICRAF, IUCN

| Surface area under improved management in the project intervention sites | TBC on baseline study | 4000 ha | Project progress report | PMU ICRAF, IUCN

**Output 3.1:** Projects promoters are selected and trained in building eco business including women and youth

| Output 3.1: % of people with increase income (at 50% women and 40% youth) | 0 | 60% | Survey | PMU ICRAF, IUCN

| Number of Developed gender inclusive protocols and policy for lending products | 0 | 1 lending protocol with gender inclusivity | 1 gender inclusivity | Project Progress report

Strong political and community engagement to sustain management of natural resources and ecosystems leading to climate resilient ecosystems, green jobs creation for youth and resilient livelihoods.

The interest of young people remains high throughout project implementation.

Interest and availability of
including gender inclusivity protocol Sexual Exploitation and Abuse policy Awareness raising policy and Grievance mechanisms established (incorporating Gender Based Violence safeguards)

| Number of young people trained in resilient and ecological agrosylvopastoral opportunities | 0 | 2300 young people (50% women) | Project Progress report | PMU, ICRAF, IUCN |
| Number of training strategies developed for young ecoentrepreneurs | 0 | 3 | Project Progress report | PMU, ICRAF, IUCN |
| Number of commercial strategies developed per type of NTFP targeting 50% women and 40% youth | 0 | 4 commercial strategy (1 for acacia gum, 1 for shea, 1 for wild mango and 1 for njansan) | Strategy document | PMU, ICRAF, IUCN |
### Output 3.2: Climate adaptation actions in agroforestry and natural resources management are implemented through a grant with a focus on youth and other marginalized groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Reporting</th>
<th>Responsible Parties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of women with access to property rights and control over assets, and assets that develop business plans</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>At least 50% under this project</td>
<td>Project Progress report</td>
<td>PMU, ICRAF, IUCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ha where agroforestry and soil and water conservation measures are implemented</td>
<td>TBC on baseline study</td>
<td>2000 ha agroforestry 2000 soil and water conservation measures</td>
<td>Project Progress report</td>
<td>PMU, ICRAF, IUCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 3.2: Climate adaptation actions in agroforestry and natural resources management are implemented through a grant with a focus on youth and other marginalized groups</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of FFS participants (50% women and 40% youth)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3500</td>
<td>Project Progress report FFS attendance sheets</td>
<td>PMU, ICRAF, IUCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ecobusinesses supported (with 50% women; 40% youth membership)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Project Progress report</td>
<td>PMU, ICRAF, IUCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of young people trained in NTFP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400 young people</td>
<td>Training attendance sheets</td>
<td>PMU, ICRAF, IUCN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Strong collaboration between the AF and the financing facility under Societe Generale Bank and Youth and businesses interested to submit proposals to the grant and PES schemes.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of trees planted and surface area reforested</th>
<th>TBC on baseline study</th>
<th>15 000 trees 2000 ha reforested in community forests by 50% women and 40% youth</th>
<th>Project progress report Drone monitoring</th>
<th>PMU ICRAF, IUCN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface where sustainable natural resources management was implemented through the FFS approach</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2000 ha</td>
<td>Project progress report</td>
<td>PMU ICRAF, IUCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of livestock water point constructed</td>
<td>TBC on baseline study</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Project progress report Drone monitoring</td>
<td>PMU ICRAF, IUCN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 3.3:</strong> Payments for ecosystem services schemes to support conservation of fragile ecosystems are implemented</td>
<td>Number of households or youth organisations or eco-entrepreneurs receiving payments or incentives to better manage ecosystems (50% women and 40% youth)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3000 households, 200 eco entrepreneurs</td>
<td>Project progress report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Alignment with Adaptation Fund Results Framework

Demonstrate how the project aligns with the Results Framework of the Adaptation Fund

208. The table below demonstrates how the project aligns with the Results Framework of the Adaptation Fund.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Objective</th>
<th>Project Outcome Indicator</th>
<th>Adaptation Fund Outcomes</th>
<th>Fund Outcome Indicators</th>
<th>Grant Amount (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outcome 1: Climate proofed institutional frameworks and local development plans at regional and local level</td>
<td>Number of policies and development strategies adjusted to address climate change risks (by type and level –national, regional, local)</td>
<td>Outcome 7: Improved policies and regulations that promote and enforce resilience measures</td>
<td>7. Climate change priorities are integrated into national development strategy</td>
<td>8,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome 2: Ecosystems resilience to climate change is strengthened through monitoring and better knowledge of their status and vulnerability</td>
<td>Surface area of ecosystems mapped out, covered by surveillance mechanisms, and restored</td>
<td>Outcome 3: Strengthened awareness and ownership of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes at local level</td>
<td>3.1. Percentage of targeted population aware of predicted adverse impacts of climate change, and of appropriate responses</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Strengthened awareness of adaptation and climate risk reduction processes at local level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome 3: Sustainable management of natural resources and ecosystems leading to climate resilient ecosystems, green jobs creation for youth and resilient livelihoods</td>
<td>% of project beneficiaries considering having improved their adaptive capacities (disaggregated by gender)</td>
<td>Outcome 4: Increased adaptive capacity within relevant development and natural resource sectors</td>
<td>4.2. Physical infrastructure improved to withstand climate change and variability-induced stress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface area under improved management in the project intervention sites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of people with increased income (disaggregated by gender), youth and indigenous people</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Outcomes</td>
<td>Project Outcome Indicators</td>
<td>Adaptation Fund Outputs</td>
<td>Fund Output Indicators</td>
<td>Grant Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 1.1: Institutional and regulatory frameworks and plans at municipal and regional level are strengthened to promote climate change adaptation and the resilient management of natural resources</strong></td>
<td>Evidence of a strategy proposing amendment to key strategic documents</td>
<td>Output 7. Improved integration of climate resilience strategies into country development plans</td>
<td>7.1. No of policies introduced or adjusted to address climate risks (by sector)</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% of regions and communes that have a development plans that integrate CCA</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.2. No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of the national parks management plans that integrates CCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 1.2: Land and natural resources management are improved in the Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks and their outskirts to increase the resilience to climate change</strong></td>
<td>Number of community forests and corresponding surface areas that have been: (i) delimited by the project, and (ii) classified (50% women; 40% youth)</td>
<td>Output 5. Vulnerable ecosystem services and natural resource assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability</td>
<td>5.1. No. of natural resource assets created, maintained or improved to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change (by type and scale)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Number of simplified management plans for community forest and game areas developed by the project</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| <strong>Component 2: Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change, ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities</strong> |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 2.1:</th>
<th>Climate information systems and surveillance mechanisms are strengthened through the development of a unified observation system to respond to climate change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number and type of surveillance mechanisms introduced</strong></td>
<td><strong>Number and type of development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of climatic stations and sentinel sites upgraded, set up or rehabilitated</td>
<td>4.1.1. No. and type of development sector services modified to respond to new conditions resulting from climate variability and change (by sector and scale)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Output 2.2: Ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities for the most vulnerable groups are identified (youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people) and information systems are improved |
|---|---|
| Evidence of a feasibility study on NTFP business opportunities | Evidence of a database of potential eco-business opportunities |
| Evidence of a database of potential eco-business opportunities | Number of knowledge sharing products |
| Number of knowledge sharing products | Number of events organized (level of women participation) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 4:</th>
<th>Vulnerable development sector services and infrastructure assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.1. No. of projects/programmes that conduct and update risk and vulnerability assessments (by sector and scale)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate change resilience of targeted communities |
|---|---|
| Output 3.1: Projects promoters are selected and trained in building eco business |
| Number of young people trained in resilient and ecological agro-sylvopastoral opportunities (at least 40%) |
| Number of training strategies developed for young eco-entrepreneurs (with level of participation of youth and women, Indigenous people) |
| Number of commercial strategies developed per type of NTFP |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 6:</th>
<th>Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.1.1. No. and type of adaptation assets (physical as well as knowledge) created in support of individual or community-livelihood strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| 1.300,000 |
| 6,000,000 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 3.2: Climate adaptation actions in agroforestry and natural resources management are implemented through a grant with a focus on youth and other marginalized groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of ha where agroforestry and soil and water conservation measures are implemented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of FFS participants (50% women and 40% youth)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of eco-businesses supported</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of young people trained in NTFP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of trees planted and surface area reforested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface where sustainable natural resources management was implemented through the FFS approach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of livestock water point constructed and sustainably managed by WUAs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 4 and 5: Vulnerable physical, natural, and social assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1.2. No. of physical assets strengthened or constructed to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change (by asset types)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1. No. and type of natural resource assets created, maintained or improved to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change (by type of assets)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Output 3.3: Payments for ecosystem services schemes to support conservation of fragile ecosystems are implemented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of households or youth organizations or eco-entrepreneurs receiving payments or incentives to better manage ecosystems (50% women; 40% men)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 6: Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate change impacts, including variability</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1.2. Type of income sources for households generated under climate change scenario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>146</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Project Budget**

*Include a detailed budget with budget notes, a budget on the Implementing Entity management fee use, and an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs.*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Budget notes/procurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 1.1: Institutional and regulatory frameworks and plans at municipal and regional level are strengthened to promote climate change adaptation and the resilient management of natural resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry out socio economic baseline, community based Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) to update the institutional, regulatory, policy frameworks and plans</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Sociologist 60 days at 500 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organize workshops and dialogues to raise awareness on climate change adaptation, generate political will and integrate the vulnerability assessment outcome and stakeholders’ input into the relevant strategic framework and investment plans (at least 50% women; 40% men)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>At least 3 consultations at 10,000 USD each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a voluntary codes of practice for forest management activities, including timber harvesting</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>Environmental and social impact specialist 50 days at 500 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a road for the implementation of the update frameworks with a resource mobilisation strategy</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Resource mobilization expert 40 days at 500 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organize participatory planning sessions to review and update local and regional development plans in the zones of intervention to mainstream climate change adaptation (at least 50% women; 40% men)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>At least 4 sessions at 5,000 USD each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Personnel Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Review of the management and development plans of the Waza and the Bénoué national parks to identify potential gaps in terms of climate change adaptation, and propose potential amendments to mainstream this dimension | 75,000| Development specialist 60 days at 500 USD  
Climate specialist 60 days at 500 USD                                               |
| Develop simple M&E systems for local and regional development plans, in order to enable local authorities to properly monitor their implementation                                                                              | 30,000| M&E specialist international 40 days at 500 USD  
M&E specialist national at 10,000 USD                                                                                                                       |
| Provide institutional and capacity building to local authorities implement the natural resources conservation and adaptive frameworks                                                                                  | 45,000| At least 3 consultations at 10,000 USD each  
1 training at 15,000 USD                                                                                                                                       |
| Develop practical guides for updating regional and local development plans with up-to-date climate change information                                                                                              | 30,000| Climate and environmental economist 60 days at 500 USD                                                                 |
| **Sub-Total**                                                                                                                                                                                                         | **400,000** |
| **Output 1.2: Land and natural resources management are improved in the Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks and their outskirts to increase the resilience to climate change of vulnerable populations contributing to emissions reduction** |       |                                                                                                                                                                        |
| Use of a Drivers-Pressures-State change-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework to identify and analyse specific project activities areas                                                                                    | 30,000| Environmentalist 50 days at 600 USD                                                                                                         |
| Nine forest areas to be classified as “community forests” and to be managed sustainably by the communities at the outskirts of the Waza (3 community forests of around 3 000 ha each) and Kimbi-Fungom national parks (6 community forests of around 2 000 ha each) | 90,000| Forester engineer 50 days at 500 USD  
Climate Expert 50 days at 500 USD  
Legal specialist 50 days at 600 USD  
Local consultant at 500 USD                                                                                     |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Fee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Participatory micro-zoning of game areas #1 and #4 in the outskirts of the Bénoué national park to recognize a living space for local communities on the one hand, identify conflict zones and regulate natural resources use outside of this living space on the other hand                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | 50,000 | Development specialist 50 days at 500 USD  
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |        | Forester 50 days at 500 USD |
| Assess the conservation status of 10 endangered or endemic flora and fauna species and develop and develop climate conservations plans for at least 5 of them                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 40,000 | Fauna and flora specialist 50 days at 600 USD  
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |        | Local consultant at 10,000 USD |
| Conduct vulnerability assessment to climate change of local ecosystems, and the needs for adaptation outside the outskirts of Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | 80,000 | Climate expert 90 days at 600 USD  
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |        | Environmentalist 60 days at 600 USD (for the 3 parks) |
| Establish of natural (and temporary) physical fencing in the buffer zones                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | 180,000| Construction of fences at contract award for 120,000 USD |
| Document, revive and promote continued use of traditional and indigenous systems related to conservation and climate resilience                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | 30,000 | Sociologist 40 days at 500 USD  
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |        | Local consultant at 10,000 USD |
| Improve water resource management in vulnerable households for food production systems through the water efficient practices such as drip water irrigation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 120,000| contract award for 120,000 USD for drip water irrigation |
| Forest is restored on degraded lands within and outside parks through the plantation of around 15,000 trees from selected species demonstrating strong resilience to climate change and adaptation to the local ecosystems and the livelihood needs of the local communities                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | 190,000| Purchase of plants and cash for work at contract award for |


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create a community forest and game areas management entities: identification of relevant stakeholders, consultation with local communities, and development of the legal status of the entities (at least 50% women; 40% men)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support local authorities in the classification of new areas into community forest and game areas especially for activities such as safari, eco-tourism and cultural tourism</td>
<td>40,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaboration of simplified management plans for the demarcated community forests and game areas defining communities' rights and access modalities to the natural resources of the area (spatial planning of crop land, livestock raising, firewood, NFTP, hunting, etc.) ensuring long-term conservation of ecosystem services in a climate change context</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sub-total** 1,000,000

**Cost for Component 1** 1,400,000

**Component 2: Strengthening knowledge on ecosystems' vulnerability and promoting their restoration to increase their overall resilience to climate change**

**Output 2.1: Surveillance mechanisms and climate information systems are strengthened**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set-up, rehabilitate or upgrade of the network of meteorological stations (automatic and rain gauges, lightning detectors, standard equipment, power supply, telecoms for field stations), and of the sentinel sites in the three intervention sites</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contract award for 220,000 USD for automatic and rain gauges standard equipment, power supply, telecoms for field stations in 3 sites**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Personnel/Role</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade and introduce data collection and communication equipment and devices, data storage and management systems, computers and software for remote sensing; software and customized tools for GIS and modelling and forecasting</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>GIS specialist 50 days at 400 USD&lt;br&gt;IT specialist 50 days at 400 USD&lt;br&gt;Engineer 50 days at 800 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidation of hazard and risk maps, the analysis and completion of historical data, identification of climate variability indicators for rainfall and temperature and consolidation of all available data</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>Climate expert 80 days at 500 USD&lt;br&gt;Local expert at 20,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish local concertation platforms on eco-businesses and natural resources management in the villages of the three areas of intervention (gathering the management entities of the community forests and game areas)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>8 concertation with local communities at 10,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase generation and use of ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart business opportunities in decision making and local development and investments plans</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>Climate/adaptation expert 50 days at 600 USD&lt;br&gt;Development plan specialist 50 days at 400 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a rural youth employment local guide with ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>Development specialist 60 days at 500 USD&lt;br&gt;EBA expert 40 days at 500 USD&lt;br&gt;Designer 30 days at 300 USD&lt;br&gt;Youth Expert 40 days at 500 USD&lt;br&gt;Infographics at 1,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness raising on opportunities stemming from NTFP (acacia gum, shea butter, wild mango and njansang) among young people in the three areas of intervention, and selection of interested potential young entrepreneurs (at least 50% women; 40% men)</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>At least 10 trainings/workshops at 10,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct a feasibility study on market information, business opportunities on NTFP system through cellular and internet technologies</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>Market expert 80 days at 500 USD&lt;br&gt;Forester 60 days at 500 USD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Output 2.2 The status and vulnerability of the ecosystem and natural resources within and in the outskirts of the three national parks are assessed and disseminated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assess local alternative employment based on the Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) with a special focus on youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>Youth Job expert 100 days at 500 USD  Social mobilization expert 50 days at 600 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart solutions based for the different group of beneficiaries</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>EBA expert 50 days at 600 USD and 2 local consultants at 300 USD  50 days each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop and maintain on a regular basis a database of potential eco-business opportunities and climate vulnerable beneficiaries</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>Data base specialist at 500 USD for 140 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish of local concertation platforms on eco-businesses and natural resources management in the villages of the three areas of intervention (gathering the management entities of the community forests and game areas)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>8 local concertation platforms at 10000 USD each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase generation and use of ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart business opportunities in decision making and local development and investments plans</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>EBA expert at 500 USD for 60 days and climate expert at 500 USD for 60 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a rural youth employment local guide with ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>Youth job expert at 500 USD for 60 days and climate expert/EBA at 500 USD for 60 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness raising on opportunities stemming from NFTP (acacia gum, shea butter, wild mango and njansang) among young people in the three areas of intervention, and selection of interested potential young entrepreneurs</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>7 events on awareness raising at 10,000 USD each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct a feasibility study on market information, business opportunities on NTFP system through cellular and internet</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>opportunities and market information, at 500USD for 60 days, 2 local experts (digital and market information's) at 400 USD for 50 days each</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subtotal: 750,000
Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate change resilience of targeted communities

Output 3.1: Projects promoters are selected and trained in building eco business

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identify and assess eco business niches of opportunities in the project sites (( at least 50% women; 40% men membership)</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>Economist Consultant 50 days at 500 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analysis and diagnosis of existing producer organizations and cooperatives in the areas of intervention to receive fund for eco businesses</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>Farmer Organizers Specialist 50 days at 600 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community awareness and mobilization on climate resilient and ecological agro-sylvo-pastoral NTFP eco-business to address women’s and youth’s needs and priorities ( at least 50% women; 40% men)</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>At least 8 workshops at 10,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity building for existing business training centres and development of training strategies for young eco-entrepreneurs to tap into this fund</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>At least 18 workshops at 10,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide trainings for selected young people (2,300) on how to build an ecobusiness (economic aspects, business plans, leadership,</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>At least 8 trainings at 10,000 USD each</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
entrepreneurship and citizenship, training in the legal status of land occupation and use of natural resources in the areas of intervention, support for professional integration)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Define ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices guidelines and criteria to assess all future projects</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>Adaptation Specialist 60 days at 600 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call for proposal for at least 400 projects from young eco-entrepreneurs, women and indigenous people, displaced people (at least 50% women; 40% men)</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Expert for call for proposal preparation at 10,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select beneficiaries out of whom 30% will be indigenous people, women and displaced people projects for sustainable agroforestry and renewable energy enterprises</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>Environmentalist/Energy Specialist 50 days at 600 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching of eco entrepreneurs in implementing their funded projects</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>Economist specialist 158 days during the 6 years of the project duration at 600 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output 3.2: Climate adaptation actions in agroforestry and natural resources management are implemented through a matching grant with a focus on youth and other marginalized groups (50% women; 40% youth)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of 200 types of sustainable business models of home gardens using techniques to reduce climate risks (such as drip irrigation, soil and water conservation and agro-ecological practices).</td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
<td>Contract award for 1,400,000 USD for micro project with project up to 50,000 USD each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding of youth initiatives to promote rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry, NTFP and livestock production value chain development.</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>Contract award for 400,000 USD for micro project with project up to 20,000 USD each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activity Description</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Funding development of training tools for master trainers, train and equip master   | 80,000 | Agronomist 80 days at 500 USD  
| trainers, develop training curricula for Farmers Field Schools (FFS).              |      | Designer/printing at 20,000 USD  
|                                                                                     |      | 2 Training at 10,000 USD each   |
| Support implementation of 2000 ha of sustainable land and water management measures | 640,000 | • Cash for work for 2,000 ha of sustainable land management for 350,000 USD  
| including rainwater storage, permeation and runoff control, construction of erosion |      | • Hydraulic engineer 120 days at 500 USD  
| control structures and construction of flood mitigation structures) with a labour-  |      | • Architect 50 days at 600 USD  
| intensive approach through the FFS approach.                                        |      | • Climate adaptation Specialist 120 days 500 USD  
|                                                                                     |      | Detailed engineering designs based on past experience with engineering firm for works in erosion control project at 100,000 USD |
| Support implementation of 2000 ha of agroforestry measures through the FFS approach. | 130,000 | • Cash for work for 2,000 ha of sustainable land management for 130,000 USD |
| Collection by at least 9 indigenous associations of local knowledge and identification of traditional productive practices relevant against climate change via an indigenous service provider. | 100,000 | Hydraulic engineer 120 days at 500 USD  
|                                                                                     |      | Architect 50 days at 600 USD  
|                                                                                     |      | Climate adaptation Specialist 120 days 500 USD |
| Build capacities on sustainable forest management practices in and outside the Parks and protected areas | 50,000 | Development expert 100 days at 500 USD |
| Restoration of wildlife habitat and land based on climate information.               | 100,000 | Climate adaptation Specialist 120 days at 500 USD  
|                                                                                     |      | Knowledge management expert 60 days at 500 USD |
| Implementation of ecotourism actions,                                              | 95,000 | Detailed engineering designs based on past experience with engineering firm for works in erosion control project at 140,000 USD |
Funding of sustainable harvesting, local processing of selected commercially viable NTFP, and nature based local enterprises to enhance community resilience to climate change impacts through alternative income generation. 125,000 Detailed engineering designs based on past experience with engineering firm for works in restoration of land, wildlife habitat project at 12525,000 USD

Funding of construction of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts of the national parks to prevent conflicts over water points within the parks, and to protect the reforested areas. The location of the water points will be decided through a participatory process. 160,000 Contract award for 100,000 USD for micro project supports the promotion of ecotourism, sustainable harvesting, local processing of select commercially viable NTFP, and nature based local enterprises

Creation of and assistance to WUAs in running the 20 water points to increase awareness of water scarcity and the need for a rational use. 90,000 Detailed engineering designs of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts of the national parks at 160,000 USD

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Budget notes/procurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Funding of development of green and climate resilient design and construction principles in and outside the park and protected areas</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Contract award for 80,000 USD Consultations at 90,000 USD (water expert 80 days at 500 USD; Community organizer expert 10,000 USD; development expert 80 days at 500 USD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of establishment of nurseries, fields and seedbanks for crop research of local seeds and varieties to their resilience for climate change and their suitability for home gardens</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>Contract award for 80,000 USD Forest expert 80 days at 500 USD Development expert 60 days at 500 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,450,000</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Output 3.3: Payments for ecosystem services schemes to support conservation of fragile ecosystems are implemented (targeting at least 50% women; 40% men)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mapping, assessment and selection of developers of Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes (PES) for forest conservation</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Forest expert 40 days at 500 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signing of agreements between the project and the PES schemes developers and between PES developers and young farmers’ organizations as well as the European EU REDD Facility in Cameroon, The Central African Forest Initiative (CAFI), Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF and any relevant REDD+ initiatives</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>Forest expert 80 days at 500 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity building in REDD+; in monitoring, assessment and verification; and in sustainable forest management and livelihoods and economic development</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>At least 03 workshops at 10,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of partnership with the IFAD PEA Jeunes project to mobilize more resources to scale up PES (Forest management and sustainable practice); Capacity building in REDD+; in monitoring, assessment and verification; and in sustainable forest management and livelihoods and economic development</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>Partner with the IFAD ECO Jeunes project to fund micro projects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes (PES) for forest conservation</td>
<td>1,915,000</td>
<td>50 grant contracts amounting at USD 38,300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination of project good practices and experiences through various networks at the local, regional and national levels</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>Contract award for 10,000 USD for generating and disseminating the project good practices.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for Component 3</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project execution costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment of local staff</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>Program Manager 18,000/year for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item/activity</td>
<td>Amount (USD)</td>
<td>Budget notes/procurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running costs</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td>Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fuel for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Office consumables for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of equipment</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>Cars: 35000 USD *5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Motorcycles: 25,000 USD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total project execution costs</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Project Costs</td>
<td>9,200,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project cycle management fee (8.5%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial management</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>Finance officer: 16,500/year for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information, Reporting, Knowledge Management</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>KM officer: 11,000/year for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>M&amp;E Specialist: 11,000/year for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Communication Officer 11,000/year for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Management – progress monitoring, field monitoring</td>
<td>182,000</td>
<td>Audit 6 audit:15,000 USD each for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Field monitoring: 15,300 USD/year for 6 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme support</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>Consultancies and technical assistance 50,000 USD per year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total project cycle management fee</td>
<td>782,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amount of Financing requested</td>
<td></td>
<td>9,982,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Disbursement Schedule

Include a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones.

209. The table below presents the disbursement schedule per year by activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Y1</th>
<th>Y2</th>
<th>Y3</th>
<th>Y4</th>
<th>Y5</th>
<th>Y6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.1: Institutional and regulatory frameworks and plans at municipal and regional level are strengthened to promote climate change adaptation and the resilient management of natural resources</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carry out socio economic baseline, community based Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) to update the institutional, regulatory, policy frameworks and plans</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organize workshops and dialogues to raise awareness on climate change adaptation, generate political will and integrate the vulnerability assessment outcome and stakeholders’ input into the relevant strategic framework and investment plans (at least 50% women; 40% men)</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a voluntary codes of practice for forest management activities, including timber harvesting</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a road for the implementation of the update frameworks with a resource mobilisation strategy</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organize participatory planning sessions to review and update local and regional development plans in the zones of intervention to mainstream climate change adaptation (at least 50% women; 40% men)</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of the management and development plans of the Waza and the Bénoué national parks to identify potential gaps in terms of climate change adaptation, and propose potential amendments to mainstream this dimension</td>
<td>75,000</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Develop simple M&E systems for local and regional development plans, in order to enable local authorities to properly monitor their implementation | 30,000 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Provide institutional and capacity building to local authorities implement the natural resources conservation and adaptive frameworks | 45,000 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 0 |

Develop practical guides for updating regional and local development plans with up-to-date climate change information | 30,000 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

**SUBTOTAL** | **400,000** | **195** | **120** | **60** | **0** | **25** | **0**

**Output 1.2: Land and natural resources management are improved in the Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks and their outskirts to increase the resilience to climate change of vulnerable populations contributing to emissions reduction**

| Use of a Drivers-Pressures-State change-Impact-Response (DPSIR) framework to identify and analyse specific project activities areas | 30,000 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Nine forest areas to be classified as “community forests” and to be managed sustainably by the communities at the outskirts of the Waza (3 community forests of around 3,000 ha each) and Kimbi-Fungom national parks (6 community forests of around 2,000 ha each) | 90,000 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 | 0 |

| Participatory micro-zoning of game areas #1 and #4 in the outskirts of the Bénoué national park to recognize a living space for local communities on the one hand, identify conflict zones and regulate natural resources use outside of this living space on the other hand | 50,000 | 0 | 20 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Assess the conservation status of 10 endangered or endemic flora and fauna species and develop and develop climate conservations plans for at least 5 of them | 40,000 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

| Conduct vulnerability assessment to climate change of local ecosystems, and the needs for adaptation outside the outskirts of Waza, Bénoué and Kimbi-Fungom national parks | 80,000 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 |

| Establish of natural (and temporary) physical fencing in the buffer zones | 180,000 | 50 | 50 | 20 | 50 | 10 | 0 |

| Document, revive and promote continued use of traditional and indigenous systems related to conservation and climate resilience | 30,000 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 |

| Improve water resource management in vulnerable households for food production systems through the water efficient practices such as drip water irrigation | 120,000 | 0 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 0 |
Forest is restored on degraded lands within and outside parks through the plantation of around 15,000 trees from selected species demonstrating strong resilience to climate change and adaptation to the local ecosystems and the livelihood needs of the local communities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amounts disbursed by year (1000 USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elaboration of simplified management plans for the demarcated community forests and game areas defining communities’ rights and access modalities to the natural resources of the area (spatial planning of crop land, livestock raising, firewood, NFTP, hunting, etc.) ensuring long-term conservation of ecosystem services in a climate change context</td>
<td>70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for Component 1</td>
<td>1,400,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Component 2: Strengthening knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability and promoting their restoration to increase their overall resilience
Output 2.1: Surveillance mechanisms and climate information systems are strengthened

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost (Ksh)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Set-up, rehabilitate or upgrade of the network of meteorological stations</td>
<td>220,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(automatic and rain gauges, lightning detectors, standard equipment, power</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>supply, telecoms for field stations), and of the sentinel sites in the</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three intervention sites</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upgrade and introduce data collection and communication equipment and</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>devices, data storage and management systems, computers and software for</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>remote sensing; software and customized tools for GIS and modelling and</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forecasting</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>consolidation of hazard and risk maps, the analysis and completion of</td>
<td>60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>historical data, identification of climate variability indicators for</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rainfall and temperature and consolidation of all available data</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduction of drones in the three national parks for ecosystems and</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>natural resources surveillance to better monitor the impact of climate</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change, forest cover changes and ecological responses within</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
protections areas and buffer zones.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Amounts disbursed by year (1000 USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Training of Eco-guards and communities on the maintenance of meteorological</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>15 10 0 0 15 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stations, and of the sentinel sites in the three intervention sites (50%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>women)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity building of Eco-guards on drone technology, climate data collection,</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>40 0 40 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>monitoring, and treatment for decision making in the three national parks,</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and training on smart patrolling</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application of specific tools (such as the FAO tool SHARP - Self-evaluation</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0 5 0 50 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and Holistic Assessment of climate Resilience of farmers and Pastoralists)</td>
<td>00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>to measure the evolution in the level of climate change resilience in local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop a monitoring system for the conservations plans of the 5 most</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>0 40 0 40 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>endangered or endemic flora and fauna species</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Amounts disbursed by year (1000 USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>750,000</td>
<td>95 23 0 22 15 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Output 2.2: The status and vulnerability of the ecosystem and natural resources within and in the outskirts of the three national parks are assessed and disseminated.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>80,00</th>
<th>70,00</th>
<th>60,00</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Assess local alternative employment based on the Climate Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment (CVCA) with a special focus on youth, indigenous people, women, displaced people</td>
<td>80,00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart solutions based for the different group of beneficiaries</td>
<td>60,00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop and maintain on a regular basis a database of potential eco-business opportunities and climate vulnerable beneficiaries</td>
<td>70,00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establish of local concerntation platforms on eco-businesses and natural resources management in the villages of the three areas of intervention (gathering the management entities of the community forests and game areas)</td>
<td>80,00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase generation and use of ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart business</td>
<td>60,00</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
opportunities in decision making and local development and investments plans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Amounts disbursed by year (1000 USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop a rural youth employment local guide with ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>0 0 30 0 30 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness raising on opportunities stemming from NFTP (acacia gum, shea butter, wild mango and njansang) among young people in the three areas of intervention, and selection of interested potential young entrepreneurs</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>0 30 0 40 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conduct a feasibility study on market information, business opportunities on NTFP system through cellular and internet technologies</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>0 0 30 40 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>30 14 230 11 40 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cost for Component 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Amounts disbursed by year (1000 USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1,300,000</td>
<td>125 37 410 33 55 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented to increase climate change resilience of targeted communities (at least 50% women; 40% youth)**

**Output 3.1: Projects promoters are selected and trained in building eco business**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Identify and assess eco business niches of opportunities in the project sites</th>
<th>25,000</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analysis and diagnosis of existing producer organizations and cooperatives in the areas of intervention to receive fund for eco businesses</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community awareness and mobilization on climate resilient and ecological agro-sylvo-pastoral NTFP eco-business to address women’s and youth’s needs and priorities</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity building for existing business training centres and development of training strategies for young eco-entrepreneurs to tap into this fund</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provide trainings for selected young people (2,300) on how to build an ecobusiness (economic aspects, business plans, leadership, entrepreneurship and citizenship, training in the legal status of land occupation and use of natural resources in the areas of intervention, support for professional</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Project Periodicity</td>
<td>Beneficiary Groups</td>
<td>Success Rate</td>
<td>Impact</td>
<td>Sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Define ecosystem-based adaptation and climate-smart practices guidelines and criteria to assess all future projects</td>
<td>36,000</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call for proposal for at least 400 projects from young eco-entrepreneurs, women and indigenous people, displaced people</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Select beneficiaries out of whom 30% will be indigenous people, women and displaced people projects for sustainable agroforestry and renewable energy enterprises</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coaching of eco-entrepreneurs in implementing their funded projects</td>
<td>79,000</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>550,000</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Output 3.2:** Climate adaptation actions in agroforestry and natural resources management are implemented through a grant with a focus on youth and other marginalized groups (at least 50% women; 40% youth)

Implementation of 200 types of sustainable business models of home gardens using techniques to reduce climate risks (such as drip irrigation, soil and water conservation and agro-ecological practices). | 1,300,000 | 0                  | 216                | 216          | 218     | 218            | 216    |
<p>| Funding of youth initiatives to promote rural alternative energy (biogas plants, solar) in agroforestry, NTFP and livestock production value chain development. | 400,000 | 0 | 60 | 60 | 100 | 100 | 80 |
| Funding development of training tools for master trainers, train and equip master trainers, develop training curricula for farmers for Farmers Field Schools (FFS): | 80,000 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 0 |
| Support implementation of 2000 ha of sustainable land and water management measures including rainwater storage, permeation and runoff control, construction of erosion control structures and construction of flood mitigation structures) with a labour-intensive approach through the FFS approach. | 640,000 | 0 | 100 | 160 | 190 | 190 | 0 |
| Support implementation of 2000 ha of agroforestry measures through the FFS approach. | 130,000 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Collection by at least 9 indigenous associations of local knowledge and identification of traditional productive practices relevant against climate change via an indigenous service provider. | 100,000 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 40 | 40 | 0 |
| Build capacities on sustainable forest management practices in | 50,000 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 25 | 0 | 0 |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communities in and outside the Parks and protected areas</th>
<th>169,000</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>40</th>
<th>20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Restoration of wildlife habitat and land based on climate information.</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of ecotourism actions.</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding of sustainable harvesting, local processing of selected commercially viable NTFP, and nature based local enterprises to enhance community resilience to climate change impacts through alternative income generation.</td>
<td>125,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding of construction of 20 livestock water points in the outskirts of the national parks to prevent conflicts over water points within the parks, and to protect the reforested areas. The location of the water points will be decided through a participatory process.</td>
<td>160,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creation of and assistance to WUAs in running the 20 water points to increase awareness of water scarcity and the need for a rational use.</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding of development of green and climate resilient design and construction principles in and outside the park and protected areas</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Establishment of nurseries, fields and seedbanks for crop research of local seeds and varieties to their resilience for climate change and their suitability for home gardens</td>
<td>80,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sub-total</strong></td>
<td><strong>3,450,000</strong></td>
<td>216</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>776</td>
<td>953</td>
<td>703</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Output 3.3:** Payments for ecosystem services schemes to support conservation of fragile ecosystems are implemented

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item/activity</th>
<th>Amount (USD)</th>
<th>Amounts disbursed by year (1000 USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mapping, assessment and selection of Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes (PES) for forest conservation</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signing of agreements between the project and the PES schemes developers and between PES developers and young farmers’ organizations as well as the European EU REDD Facility in Cameroon, The Central African Forest Initiative (CAFI), Forest Carbon Partnership Facility (FCPF and any relevant REDD+ initiatives</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capacity building in REDD+; in monitoring, assessment and</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Development of partnership with the IFAD PEA Jeunes project to mobilize more resources to scale up PES (Forest management and sustainable practice); Capacity building in REDD+; in monitoring, assessment and verification; and in sustainable forest management and livelihoods and economic development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity Description</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Development of partnership with the IFAD PEA Jeunes project</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of Payments for Ecosystem Services schemes (PES) for forest conservation</td>
<td>1,915,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>478</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>479</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination of project good practices and experiences through various networks at the local, regional and national levels</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-total</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>482</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost for Component 3</td>
<td>6,000,000</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project execution costs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Category</td>
<td>Budget</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recruitment of local staff</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running costs</td>
<td>150,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purchase of equipment</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total project execution costs</strong></td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Project Costs</strong></td>
<td>9,200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project cycle management fee (8.5%)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial management</td>
<td>100,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information, Reporting, Knowledge Management</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Management – progress monitoring, field monitoring</td>
<td>182,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme support</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total project cycle management fee</strong></td>
<td>782,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount of Financing requested</strong></td>
<td>9,982,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table: Disbursement summary table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outputs</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Total (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.1</td>
<td>195,000</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.2</td>
<td>90,000</td>
<td>345,000</td>
<td>270,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>70,000</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 2: Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change, ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 2.1</td>
<td>95,000</td>
<td>230,000</td>
<td>180,000</td>
<td>220,000</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 2.2</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>230,000</td>
<td>110,000</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented through incentives instruments leading to increasing the resilience to climate change of targeted communities (50% women; 40% youth)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.1</td>
<td>229,000</td>
<td>168,000</td>
<td>93,000</td>
<td>34,000</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td>550,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.2</td>
<td>216,000</td>
<td>486,000</td>
<td>776,000</td>
<td>953,000</td>
<td>703,000</td>
<td>316,000</td>
<td>3,450,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.3</td>
<td>45,000</td>
<td>493,000</td>
<td>494,000</td>
<td>482,000</td>
<td>482,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,000,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL COST FOR COMPONENTS 1,2 AND 3:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project execution costs</td>
<td>250,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>50,000</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Cycle Management Fee (8.5%)</td>
<td>120,000</td>
<td>145,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>130,000</td>
<td>140,000</td>
<td>107,000</td>
<td>782,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (USD)</td>
<td>830,000</td>
<td>1,785,000</td>
<td>2,405,000</td>
<td>2,425,000</td>
<td>1,470,000</td>
<td>1,067,000</td>
<td>9,982,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outputs</td>
<td>Year 1</td>
<td>Year 2</td>
<td>Year 3</td>
<td>Year 4</td>
<td>Year 5</td>
<td>Year 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q3</td>
<td>Q4</td>
<td>Q1</td>
<td>Q2</td>
<td>Q3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 1: Mainstream climate change adaptation into institutional and regulatory frameworks plans for improved land and natural resources management at regional and local level</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.1</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 1.2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 2: Improve knowledge on ecosystems’ vulnerability to climate change, ecosystem-based adaptation and climate smart businesses opportunities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 2.1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 2.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Component 3: Adaptation to climate change measures are implemented through incentives instruments leading to increasing the resilience to climate change of targeted communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output 3.3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project execution costs</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project Cycle Management Fee (8.5%)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PART IV: ENDORSEMENT BY GOVERNMENT AND CERTIFICATION BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY

A. Record of endorsement on behalf of the government\textsuperscript{11} Provide the name and position of the government official and indicate date of endorsement. If this is a regional project/programme, list the endorsing officials all the participating countries. The endorsement letter(s) should be attached as an annex to the project/programme proposal. Please attach the endorsement letter(s) with this template; add as many participating governments if a regional project/programme:

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
(Enter Name, Position, Ministry) & Date: (Month, day, year) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

B. Implementing Entity certification Provide the name and signature of the Implementing Entity Coordinator and the date of signature. Provide also the project/programme contact person’s name, telephone number and email address

I certify that this proposal has been prepared in accordance with guidelines provided by the Adaptation Fund Board, and prevailing National Development and Adaptation Plans (……list here…..) and subject to the approval by the Adaptation Fund Board, commit to implementing the project/programme in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund and on the understanding that the Implementing Entity will be fully (legally and financially) responsible for the implementation of this project/programme.

\begin{tabular}{|l|l|}
\hline
Name & Signature \\
Implementing Entity Coordinator \\
\hline
Date: (Month, Day, Year) & Tel. and email: \\
\hline
Project Contact Person: \\
Tel. And Email: \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\textsuperscript{11} Each Party shall designate and communicate to the secretariat the authority that will endorse on behalf of the national government the projects and programmes proposed by the implementing entities.
ANNEXES

ANNEX 1: LETTER OF ENDORSEMENT BY THE GOVERNMENT
Letter of Endorsement by Government

To: The Adaptation Fund Board
c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
Email: Secretariat@Adaptation-Fund.org
Fax: 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement for Increasing local communities’ resilience to climate change through youth entrepreneurship and integrated natural resources management.

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in Cameroon, I confirm that the above national project proposal is in accordance with the government’s national priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Cameroon.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project will be implemented by International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) and executed by the Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development (MINEPDED).

Yours sincerely,

M. Francis Dany MATIP NOUGA
Ministry of Environment, Protection of Nature and Sustainable Development

Head of Follow up Unit,
Adaptation Fund National Designated Authority
P.O Box 320, Yaoundé, Cameroon
Tel: +237 674 455 555
Fax: +237 697 138 828
Email: matipnou7@gmail.com
Website: www.minep.gov.cm
ANNEX 2: MATRIX ON STAKEHOLDERS’ ROLE IN PROJECT IMPLEMENTATION (IN FRENCH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NIVEAU</th>
<th>ACTEUR</th>
<th>FONCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>MINEPDUD</td>
<td>Maîtrise d’œuvre du projet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MINFOF</td>
<td>Maîtrise d’œuvre associée du projet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comité de Piloteage</td>
<td>Guide et supervise la mise en œuvre globale du projet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cellule de Gestion d’œuvre</td>
<td>Maîtrise d’œuvre du projet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Régional</td>
<td>Autorités régionales</td>
<td>Sécurité foncière et des plantations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Services Techniques</td>
<td>Supervision des activités techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>décentralisées</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Directions du Parc National</td>
<td>Coordonne la mise en œuvre du plan d’aménagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autres Projets PDA</td>
<td>Facilite les synergies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autorités régionales</td>
<td>Assure l’intégration locale des activités (enregistrement, foncier,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>locales et coutumiers</td>
<td>réglement locaux)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ONG</td>
<td>Animation et accompagnement des acteurs locaux</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Comité de gestion des</td>
<td>Utilisation durable des forêts communautaires</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>forêts communautaires</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>JEUNES AGRO-ÉCO-ENTREPRENEUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tient ses revenus d’une production durable et participe à la gestion des</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>territoires</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ICRAF: Partenaire principal de mise en œuvre
IFCA: Partenaire principal de mise en œuvre
CNOF-CAM: Coordination nationale des organisations paysannes
CNOF-CAM Régional: Centre de formation des jeunes paysans
Réseau des opérateurs filière (PNIL): Echanges d’informations
Réseau des pépiniéristes: Echanges d’informations
Pépiniéristes filières de produits agro-éco-gastronomiques (PNIL): Insertion dans les filières
Produit / vend des plants de qualité
Comités de gestion des aménagements du sous-bassin coursant
Coordonne les aménagements
Jetés de fruits fruitiers bois / PNIL
Comités de gestion des forêts communautaires
Utilisation durable des forêts communautaires
ANNEX 3: LIST OF PERSONS MET DURING THE DESIGN MISSION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Institutions gouvernementales rencontrées</th>
<th>Titre</th>
<th>Nom et prénoms des personnes rencontrées</th>
<th>Fonction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gouverneur de la Région Nord</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ECHI Jean Abate</td>
<td>Gouverneur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINEPAT - Ministère de l’Économie de la Planification et de l’Aménagement du Territoire</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>Macky Sam Georges Gabriel</td>
<td>Point focal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINEPAT - Ministère de l’Économie de la Planification et de l’Aménagement du Territoire</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MAIRAMOU Laby</td>
<td>R. Délegue Régional MINEPAT NORD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINEPDED - Ministère de l’Environnement, de la Protection de la Nature et du Développement Durable</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>BANDOLO Charline</td>
<td>Représentante du DRI MINEPDED Nord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINEPDED - Ministère de l’Environnement, de la Protection de la Nature et du Développement Durable</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>Merfire Zeremenga</td>
<td>CEAM CPOCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINFOF - Ministère des Forêts et de la Faune</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>EKONG AKONDO Joue</td>
<td>Représentant DEFOF Nord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINJEC - Ministère de la Jeunesse et de la Culture</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MEKOBE AMBA Jean Daniel</td>
<td>Représentant Nord</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MINPIEESA – Ministère des Petites et Moyennes Entreprises de l’Economie Sociale et de l’Artisanat</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>KAIARA Aïoun</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrondissement de Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>OUMAROU Michel</td>
<td>Sous-préfet et Secrétaire d’administration</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bailleurs rencontrés</th>
<th>Titre</th>
<th>Prénom et nom des personnes rencontrées</th>
<th>Fonction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FAO – Food Agriculture Organization</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>ATANGA Felicites</td>
<td>Chief de filier Secteur Alimentaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOM – Organisation Internationale pour les Migrations</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>TATIANA Fouta Lobe</td>
<td>Chargé de projet Travail Migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAM - Programme Alimentaire mondial</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>BRAHABA SARRADOU AAIWOU Enha</td>
<td>National Programme &amp; Policy Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAM - Programme Alimentaire mondial</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>PEACOCKE Barnaby</td>
<td>Consultant indépendant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAM - Programme Alimentaire mondial</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>PRUCSINI Elvira</td>
<td>Directrice Adjointe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR – Agence des Nations Unies pour les réfugiés</td>
<td>Mr</td>
<td>Mamady Fatta Kourouma</td>
<td>Chef de sous-délégation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projets, ONGs et organisations rencontrées</th>
<th>Titre</th>
<th>Prénom et nom des personnes rencontrées</th>
<th>Fonction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ANOCO African Natural Oils company</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>DIOISANDÉ Jacques</td>
<td>Directeur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APESS – Association pour la promotion de l’Agriculture au Sahel et en Savane</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>KOERANGA Idriessa Youssoufa</td>
<td>Coordonnateur régional APESS CRIPA Garoua</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APESS Garoua - Association pour la promotion de l’Agriculture au Sahel et en Savane</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>FAALAM Mamadou</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CADPEN</td>
<td>Dr.</td>
<td>DJIMDOHOU Aboubakari</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARDEN</td>
<td>Mr</td>
<td>PoublkiGilbert</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEI Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>Mounkang Hourassou Mioso</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CELDIE ONG</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>Bonkine</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CENNOCAM</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ABOU Garga</td>
<td>Président</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chambre d’agriculture de Charente Maritime</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MALOUCOURT Jean-Pierre</td>
<td>Assistant technique pontinal à la PLANOPAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chef de Village MBARE</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>DJIDJEA Joseph</td>
<td>Chef de Village et Producteur du Mais arachide et igname</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNOP CAM – Concertation Nationale des organisations Paysannes au Cameroun</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>YOSSOUFA Mohamed</td>
<td>Responsable des Jeunes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conseil National de la Jeunesse du Nord</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ALHADJI MAL BARRA Djibrilla</td>
<td>Président</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coopérative Transformation du bétail, Union des Éleveurs et Producteurs de bétail</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>Djidjou Yaîa Dahirou</td>
<td>Membre CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DRADERINGO</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>MADI Ibrahima</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ADJAGAMA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MAHAMATISENEI</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC AGRO ÉLEVEUR de VOLONT.S.C.</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MOUNSSA ELHADJI Ali</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ALZABA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ABAKAR MAASSOU</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ALZABA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ALHADJI OUMAR ABAKACHI</td>
<td>Secrétaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ANDESSAMATICO</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>GOUJDA Issa</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ANDESSAMATICO</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>GOUJDA Yaya</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ANDESSAMATICO</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MAHAMAT Oumane</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ATAPABAN</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>ASSOUAIGNE Nalida</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ATAPABAN</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>SERVICE Achrenga</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC BANAYO</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ADAM Bookar</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projet, ONGs et organisations rencontrés</td>
<td>Titre</td>
<td>Prénom et nom des personnes rencontrées</td>
<td>Fonction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC SARKI AKARAM</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>DASOU NOUMBA</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC BIFAMA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>FALTA Aboubac</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC DONOU DE BILE</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>AUMA Adamam</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC DONOU DE BILE</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>AMINA Brahimi</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC DONOU DE BILE</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ZERI Aronai</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ECONOMIE</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>AMINA Modou</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ECONOMIE</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>SINTOUI ABDALLA</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC FANTOULLAO</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>HASSAN Babba Garou</td>
<td>Secrétaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Femme Dynamique</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>AMANUI Galou</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Femme Dynamique</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>BOUKAI Halaka</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Femme Dynamique</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>DOUDJIE Nassaouou</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC ESTIFAK</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>BOUKAI Yousou</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC JIFPEDE (Jeune femme pour le Développement)</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>AISSANE Malou</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC JIFPEDE (Jeune femme pour le Développement)</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>NGONO Ebe</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC KANKOUROUGOU</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>RANA Ali</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC KIDOULETTA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>GANAMA Ali</td>
<td>Secrétaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC KIDOULETTA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>HADDOU Malou</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Mba AZAOU</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>HAROUM DJEDDA</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Mba AZAOU</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>MARRAOUIE</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC NASSAL des Agroéleveurs</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ABDOUAYE Mahoundé</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC NASSAL des Agroéleveurs</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>BOUKAI Garou</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Ngambe Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ALI KAO Oulow</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC NGOOURO KOUROU</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MADA Bangoudou</td>
<td>Secrétaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC RED de Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>SOUMAI Evele</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC SATITIENNE</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>FALMATA Mokou</td>
<td>Trésorier</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projet, ONGs et organisations rencontrés</th>
<th>Titre</th>
<th>Prénom et nom des personnes rencontrées</th>
<th>Fonction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GIC SATITIENNE</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>HAWA Malaba</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC SECSEINIO</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MAHAMAT Dakaou</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Solidarité Guide Touristique PNW</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>BOUKAI Bana</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Solidarité touristique PNW</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>AWERSIN ASIMINGA Ismaila</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC Solidarité touristique PNW</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>BOUKAI Ousouame</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC VICHITOU Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>AMISSA Abba Darou</td>
<td>Délégué</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC VICHITOU Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>DOUGOUL Sali</td>
<td>Membre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIC YANA SAINA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ZAKARIYA</td>
<td>Secrétaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups IYAGAMI</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>DAWAI Todou</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Groups IYAGAMI</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>GAOU MAOUIKA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ManMatu</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>Tourebezd Thiou</td>
<td>Assisteant technique des projets en économie verte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observatoire National sur les Changements climatiques - ONACC</td>
<td>Prof</td>
<td>AMOUGOU Joseph</td>
<td>Directeur Général</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observatoire National sur les Changements climatiques - ONACC</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>FORGHAB Mbombo</td>
<td>Directeur Adjoint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PADFA - Marouss</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ABEA Bokari</td>
<td>Chef d’antenne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PADFA - Marouss</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>BITTY Charles</td>
<td>SORI Mira</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parc National de la Bénoué</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>M'BAMBA MBAMBA Jean Paul Reach</td>
<td>Conservateur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parc National de la Bénoué</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>MBAMBA MBAMBA Marie</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parc National de la Bénoué</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>NARIKE Jean Cyrlle</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parc National de la Bénoué</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>REPHEAL Nyandindo</td>
<td>Eco garde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parc National de la Bénoué</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>PAPAYANG Mbaabasti</td>
<td>Eco garde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parc National de Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MAKTOUSSEFI</td>
<td>Eco garde</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parc national de Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>NDIJEDA Andri</td>
<td>Conservateur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People Finance - EMF</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>BAKANI Musta</td>
<td>PEPI People Finance EMF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pi de Waza</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ABABET Galadima</td>
<td>Commisssaire spécial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projets, ONGs et organisations rencontrés</td>
<td>Titre</td>
<td>Prénom et nom des personnes rencontrées</td>
<td>Fonction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANOPAC - Plate-forme nationale des organisations professionnelles agro-sylvo-pastorales du Cameroun</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>KAKAMBI Gaëlle</td>
<td>Chargée de programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANOPAC - Plate-forme nationale des organisations professionnelles agro-sylvo-pastorales du Cameroun</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>TCHIUSSEU Miguel</td>
<td>Responsable technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLANOPAC Nord - Plate-forme nationale des organisations professionnelles agro-sylvo-pastorales du Cameroun</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>PAKAH Samuel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programme ACEFA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>MAGRON</td>
<td>Représentant de l'antenne EN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projet d'investissement des marchés agricoles - RIDMA</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>KENGNE</td>
<td>Assistant technique en financement rural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALED Maroua - Service d'appui aux initiatives Locales de Développement</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>BOUBA</td>
<td>Chef d'antenne</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALED Service d'appui aux initiatives Locales de Développement</td>
<td>HOZIER Nana</td>
<td>Secrétaire Général</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SALED Yaoundé - Service d'appui aux initiatives Locales de Développement</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>NANA CHIMI Horier</td>
<td>Secrétaire général</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UICN - Union International pour la conservation de la Nature</td>
<td></td>
<td>Atangana Alain</td>
<td>Consultant, Docteur en Agroforesterie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UICN - Union International pour la conservation de la Nature</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>Mbenda Rosette</td>
<td>Consultante sociologue formation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UICN - Union International pour la conservation de la Nature</td>
<td>Mme</td>
<td>Archa Moussa</td>
<td>Cheffe de Projet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UICN - Union International pour la conservation de la Nature</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>JAGHO Rémi</td>
<td>Chargé de programme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Union de comités villageois F-1</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ABBIO Hamadou</td>
<td>Président</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZOGC - n°4 périmètre Parc Bénoué</td>
<td>M.</td>
<td>ASSAIBE Bello</td>
<td>Producteur d'ipnme de DEMSA</td>
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INTRODUCTION

1. Le Projet ECO-Jeunes vise à promouvoir l’entrepreneuriat et les initiatives agro-écologiques durables et résilientes au changement climatique pour les jeunes ruraux à la périphérie d’aire de conservation. Il adopte une approche en trois volets visant (i) à promouvoir des opportunités économiques viables pour les jeunes ruraux dans le secteur de l’éco-entrepreneuriat afin de (ii) gérer durablement les terres et les ressources végétales autour des aires protégées, permettant de (iii) développer des synergies durables de conservation entre les zones périphériques et des aires protégées.


A. Caractéristiques du paysage et questions principales (sociales, environnementales et climatiques)

Contexte socio-culturel

3. La population du Cameroun est caractérisée par une extrême jeunesse avec la moitié de la population ayant moins de 17 ans. Entre 2001 et 2007, la pauvreté en milieu rural s’est accentuée atteignant 55% de la population et le taux du sous-emploi chez les jeunes s’est élevé à 85%.


5. L’antagonisme entre le droit foncier moderne et le droit foncier coutumier reste un facteur limitant au développement agricole. Dans la région du Nord, le périmètre du Lac de Lagdo met en évidence des problématiques foncières complémentaires (i) sur la compétition entre les migrants, (ii) sur l’aménagement des zones irriguées par ces mêmes acteurs et (iii) sur l’attribution de ces zones via des fiches d’attribution, n’ayant ni de valeur foncière ni de valeur auprès du pouvoir traditionnel. Dans la région du Nord-Ouest, les conflits fonciers sont essentiellement liés à l’accès à la terre, opposant les habitants ou ethnies différentes au niveau des frontières communes de leur village. Un Document de Travail a été réalisé spécialement dans le but d’approfondir ces aspects. Le Projet s’efforcera de prendre en considération l’ensemble des parties prenantes et de faire que les autorités traditionnelles et administratives soient les garantes de l’accès au foncier pour les jeunes du Projet.

6. Le Projet sera mis en œuvre dans trois zones aux caractéristiques socio-environnementales spécifiques :
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7. Le relief du Cameroun se caractérise par :
(a) les hautes terres de l'Ouest qui forment une chaîne de massifs montagneux, disposés du sud-ouest au nord en un arc de cercle appelé la dorsale camerounaise et allant des volcans encore en activité du Mont Cameroun (4 095 m) au Sud-Ouest, d'Oku (3 008 m) dans le Nord-Ouest jusqu'aux monts Mandara (2 050 m) dans l'Extrême Nord ;
(b) les basses terres du Centre et de l'Est : la cuvette de Mamfé (Sud-Ouest), la cuvette de la Bénoué et la plaine du Nord ;
(c) les plateaux : le Sud camerounais, avec une altitude moyenne de 650 m et l'Adamaoua - le château d'eau du Cameroun - dont l'altitude moyenne est de 1 000 m et monte jusqu'à 2 650 m.

8. La position géographique du Cameroun fait que le pays est l'un des plus diversifiés en Afrique sub-saharienne sur le plan agro-écologique. On distingue du nord au sud : (i) la zone soudano- sahélienne (provinces du Nord et de l'Extrême-Nord) ; (ii) la zone des hautes savanes guinéennes (province de l'Adamaoua et départements du Mbam, du Lom et Djerem dans le Centre et l'Est respectivement) ; (iii) la zone des hauts plateaux de l'Ouest (provinces de l'ouest et du Nord-Ouest) ; (iv) la zone forestière humide à pluviométrie monomodale (provinces du Littoral et du Sud-Ouest) ; (v) la zone forestière à pluviométrie bimodale (provinces du Centre, du Sud et de l'Est). Plus de 40% de la superficie du pays reçoit plus de 3 900 mm de pluie par année.

Ressources naturelles et leur gestion

(a) dans la région de l'Extrême-Nord et dans la périphérie du parc national de Waza : (i) une zone agro-écologique soudano-sahélienne où les pratiques traditionnelles d'agriculture entraînent une forte dégradation des sols en terres hardées ; (ii) une déforestation liée aux besoins en bois énergie de populations ; (iii) une forte prévalence de l'insécurité alimentaire qui atteint 18% ; (iv) à une faible disponibilité en eau ; (v) une dégradation des infrastructures routières ; (vi) un accès très difficile aux institutions de microfinances ; (vii) une forte insécurité et un embrigadement de certains jeunes par les terroristes de Boko Haram bien que cette situation évolue positivement ces derniers mois ; (viii) une population de déplacés importante et en constante augmentation en raison du conflit armé évoqué au point précédent ; (ix) une présence de réfugiés transfrontaliers regroupés dans des camps humanitaires ;
(b) dans la région du Nord et dans la périphérie du parc national de la Bénoué : (i) une forte pression démographique en raison des périodes antérieures de migration de population ; (ii) une forte prévalence de l'insécurité alimentaire qui atteint 15% ; (iii) une faible disponibilité en eau ; (iv) une déforestation et une production de charbon de bois à destination des centres urbains ; (v) un accès très difficile aux établissements de microfinances en raison de l'éloignement
(c) dans la région du Nord-Ouest et dans la périphérie du parc national de Kimbi-Fungom, (i) une faible densité démographique de la population riveraine ; (ii) une mosaïque de forêt dense humide et de savane herbeuse d'altitude permettant des activités d'agroforesterie et l'exploitation de produits forestiers non ligneux très appréciés : mangue sauvage, njansang ; (iii) un dynamisme entrepreneurial dans l'ensemble de la région ; (iv) des pressions transfrontalières sur la biodiversité par la déforestation ; (v) la transhumance dans la partie septentrionale de la région.
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FIGURE 1. ZONES ECOLOGIQUE DU CAMEROUN
(SOURCE: MINIPAT: ATLAS NATIONAL DE DEVELOPPEMENT PHYSIQUE DU CAMEROUN)
9. Au sein de la zone soudano-sahélienne :
   (d) le parc de Waza est localisé dans des formations végétales : (i) de steppes à épineux
       dans la zone de plaine Diamaré, ancienne zone d’accueil de migrants, aujourd’hui zone
       de départ de migrants ; (ii) de vastes prairies périodiquement inondées ou « Yaérés » en
       bordure Est du parc, marquées par une richesse de la biodiversité et représentant une
       zone agro-pastorale essentielle de la région ;
   (e) le parc de la Bénoué est localisé dans des formations végétales de savanes
       soudaniennes boisées et de forêts claires sèches soudaniennes.

10. Au sein de la zone des Hauts Plateaux de l’Ouest, le nouveau parc national de Fungom-Kimbi
    est localisé au niveau des « Grassfieds » dont la strate herbacée est dominée par *Pennisetum
        purpureum* et *Imperata cylindrica* et le couvert ligneux est fortement anthropisé.

Ressources en eau de surface

11. Les disponibilités des ressources en eau de surface à l’échelle nationale sont de 268 milliards
    de m³. Le Cameroun possède un réseau dense de fleuves réparties sur cinq bassins
    hydrologiques : (i) bassin du lac Tchad, (ii) bassin du Niger, (iii) bassin du Congo, (iv) bassin
    des fleuves côtiers.

12. Régions de l’Extrême Nord et du Nord. Elles sont soumises à un régime de type tropical
    sahélien, avec des crues annuelles brutales et des étages très prolongés. Ce phénomène est
    localement très important pour les cultures de décues et pour les activités agro-pastorales. La
    périphérie du parc national de la Bénoué est parcourue par des cours d’eau à l’Ouest, le Mayo
    Salo et le Mayo Farda, et la Rivière Bénoué à l’est. Celle du parc national de Waza est
    traversée à l’ouest par le Mayo Rando et le Mayo Motorsolo et à l’est par ses plaines inondées
    ou Yaérés. Les installations de retenue d’eau comme celle de Maga et de la digue le long du
    fleuve Logone, à l’Extrême-Nord, ont permis la mise en place d’une irrigation gravitaire de
    casiers rizicoles à l’aval sur près de 5 600 hectares.15 Mais elles ont contribué également au
    dysfonctionnement hydro-écologique et socio-économique des yaérés en aval, en diminuant
    les apports en saison des pluies. Les baisses de la pluviométrie et des apports en limons
    fertiles accentuent ce phénomène avec les conséquences suivantes : (i) la réduction des
    surfaces inondées de 60% ; (ii) le déplacement de la population ; (iii) une forte baisse de la

---

15 Banque Mondiale – 2012 - Évaluation de l’état du barrage, des digues, du réservoir et des structures
    hydrauliques du système de Maga-Logone-Vrick - des digues et du barrage
productivité des pâturages, de l’agriculture de décrue et des activités de pêche et ; (iv) la diminution de la capacité d’accueil de la faune sauvage et l’augmentation des conflits.\textsuperscript{16}


14. L’eau de surface est prioritairement mobilisée pour les activités pastorales et d’irrigation. Dans les régions où l’eau est rare, la ressource souterraine est également exploitée. Malgré les structures d’analyse existantes, la qualité de la ressource est très peu suivie depuis plusieurs décennies et ne permet pas sa bonne gestion.


**Ressource en eau souterraine**

16. Cette ressource est liée directement à celle des eaux de surface et à ses caractéristiques. Les disponibilités de ces eaux sont évaluées à 56 milliards de m\textsuperscript{3} et représente 21% des ressources en eau de surface. L’essentiel des ressources en eau souterraine du Cameroun est contenu dans trois bassins sédimentaires et une zone de socle.

17. **Le bassin sédimentaire du lac Tchad** couvre une superficie de 19 800 km\textsuperscript{2} au Cameroun. Les formations hydrogéologiques du bassin sont : (i) la nappe des terrains quaternaires constituée d’alluvions - la nappe phréatique est d’une très grande importance dans la cuvette tchadienne pour les activités locales ; (ii) la nappe des sables du pliocène supérieure d’une profondeur variant de 80 à 300 mètres, jaillissante dans la partie nord ; (iii) la nappe du continental terminal sableux d’une profondeur entre 300 et 500 m de profondeur, présentant un artésianisme dans l’Extrême-Nord. La mise en place d’infrastructures d’hydraulique pastorale dans la périphérie du Parc de Waza sera effectuée en lien avec la nappe des sables du pliocène supérieure.

18. Aucun travail n’a été effectué pour quantifier les réserves en eau souterraine de chaque formation aquifère. Les aménagements hydrauliques et les conditions bioclimatiques diminuent le volume des inondations dans la plaine du Logone et ont un impact certain sur la recharge de la nappe quaternaire, qui constitue la principale source d’approvisionnement en eaux des populations et des cheptels de la plaine en saison sèche.

19. La saturation des ressources en quartz et en calcite et la forte teneur en nitrates (1,5 à 29 mg/l) et en chlorures (29 à 182 mg/l), témoignent en partie d’une pollution anthropique.\textsuperscript{17}

20. **Le bassin sédimentaire de la Bénoué** couvre une superficie de 800 km\textsuperscript{2}. Il est composé d’un aquifère dans les dépôts crétacés et d’aquifères dans les alluvions quaternaires. Les nappes en surface sont de faible quantité, mais sont essentielles pour les usages domestiques ruraux. L’alimentation de ces sources s’effectue par infiltration directe et par les écoulements de surface, elle est favorisée par la couverture des sols et sa préservation. Les eaux sont caractérisées par leur alcalinité avec un pH acide à neutre (6,4 à 7,3) et de forte teneur en calcium (de 122 à 149 mg/l).

\textsuperscript{16} Gouvernement du Cameroun – 2006 - Annexe 5 – Plan d’action National de Lutte Contre la Désertification (PAN/LCD)


\textsuperscript{17} Gouvernement du Cameroun – 2009 - Plan d’action national de gestion intégrée des ressources en eau (PANGIRE)
21. La zone de Socle occupe près de 90% de la surface du territoire, avec un volume d’eau d’environ 15,4 milliards de m³. Elle est composée de deux types d’aquifères superposés : (i) un aquifère d’altérites sus-jacents continus et ; (ii) un aquifère de fractures et fissures discontinues. La recharge de la nappe s’effectue soit par alimentation verticale directe par infiltration des eaux de précipitation et de ruissellement, soit de manière latérale depuis les berges des cours d’eau à travers les fissures et diaclase.

22. Les différents parcs nationaux du Projet et leur zone périphérique sont localisés directement à l’aplomb de ces bassins. Ils jouent ainsi un rôle essentiel dans la recharge des aquifères par alimentation verticale.

**Figure 2 : Bassins hydrologiques du Cameroun**
(SOURCE : OLIARY 1986)

**Figure 3 : Principales formations hydrogéologiques du Cameroun**
(SOURCE PANGIRE)

---

**Ressources Forestières**

23. Les forêts couvrent 21,2 millions d’ha, soit 45% du territoire national. Le domaine forestier national représente 37% de la superficie du Cameroun. En 2011, 46% du domaine forestier était affecté aux forêts de production, 42% aux aires protégées, 6% aux forêts communautaires, 5% aux forêts communales et 1% à la vente de coupe.

24. Cette ressource représente un important levier économique pour le développement du Cameroun, avec 327 milliards de Francs CFA de chiffre d’affaire pour la seule filière bois. Elle représente également l’une des plus importantes réserves en biodiversité, et propose de nombreux services éco-systémiques dont l’exploitation de produits forestiers non ligneux qui est encore peu développée. Cette ressource est sujette à la déforestation d’un taux net annuel d’environ 1%\(^\text{18}\), en considérant l’ensemble des formations arborées du territoire, soit environ 220 000 hectares par an. Le taux de déforestation annuel net des forêts denses au Cameroun est estimé à 0,14%\(^\text{19}\), qui est le deuxième plus important de ceux du bassin du Congo, après la République Démocratique du Congo avec 0,2%, mais reste faible.\(^\text{20}\) A côté de la déforestation,

---


\(^{19}\) Duveiller et al. - 2008

la dégradation des forêts apparaît comme le phénomène le plus répandu au Cameroun. Les statistiques 2003-2004 évaluent à 25% les forêts camerounaises non perturbées.²¹

25. Région de l’Extrême-Nord : zoné soudan-no-sahélienne. Les espèces herbacées et ligneuses de la savane ont de multiples usages mais la production du bois de feu et de charbon, stimulée par une forte demande urbaine, constitue la plus importante forme d’exploitation. La surexploitation de ces ressources a induit (i) une forte dégradation du couvert végétal (perdu de 18 880 ha pour la seule région Nord sur la période 2001-2014), (ii) une raréfaction des ressources, (iii) la modification des écosystèmes et (iv) une importante perte en biodiversité. La coupe de bois constitue, sous sa forme actuelle, l’un des facteurs les plus perturbateurs pour le milieu et une réelle menace pour les écosystèmes de savane au Nord-Cameroun. Elle n’offre pas d’opportunité économique durable pour les populations. Le pâturage aérien est également un facteur de stress du couvert végétal, surtout quand il est pratiqué par émondage (pour les bovins/ovins).

26. Région du Nord. Le phénomène de déforestation au sein des zones périphériques au parc national de la Bénoué est omniprésent. Il impacte négativement la préservation de la zone protégée avoisinante. La pression foncière et la dégradation de la fertilité des sols poussent la population à défricher de nouvelles terres et est une cause majeure de la déforestation. La région du Nord est un front pionnier où des migrants des régions de l’Extrême-Nord s’installent à un rythme important, jusque dans les parcs. La gestion de forêts communautaires dans ces zones permettrait de favoriser : (i) la gestion durable des ressources de la zone périphérique ; (ii) la conservation et la reconstitution de l’équilibre écologique de la zone périphérique et de la zone protégée et ; (iii) le soutien économique des populations par la valorisation des services éco-systémiques.

27. Région du Nord-Ouest. Il ne reste que très peu de forêt dans son état naturel à l’exception des zones inaccessibles et des forêts sacrées. De nombreuses plantations à dominance d’eucalyptus, de pins ou de cyprès, couvrent près de 30 % à 40 % des besoins en bois de chauffage dans la région, mais appauvrissent les sols, assèchent les sources de captage d’eau et diminuent la biodiversité. Des pratiques d’agroforesterie à base d’essences endogènes se mettent en place pour tenter de rétablir un équilibre plus durable. Le parc de Kimbi-Fungom est encore un espace préservé de cette région, même s’il fait face à des pressions anthropiques transfrontalières, comme la déforestation, qui mettent en péril la conservation des écosystèmes de bordure.

28. Les produits forestiers non ligneux. En dehors du bois, les ressources forestières fournissent d’autres produits essentiels pour la satisfaction des besoins des communautés locales. Dans ce contexte la cueillette des feuilles, fruits, exsudats, écorces de certaines espèces, contribue à la sécurité alimentaire et à la génération de revenus monétaires pour les ménages les plus pauvres, tout en sensibilisant les populations à la gestion durable et à la préservation de ces ressources.

29. Plusieurs produits sont déjà valorisés dans ce domaine :

(f) à l’Extrême-Nord avec la gomme arabique (*Acacia seyal, Acacia senegalensis*), les feuilles de baobab (*Adonsonia digitata*) et les fruits et feuilles de balanites (*Balanites aegyptiaca*);

(g) au Nord, le karité (*Butyrospermum paradoxum*), les fruits et feuilles de balanites (*Balanites aegyptiaca*) et les fruits des anacardiers (*Anacardium occidentale*);

(h) au Nord-Ouest avec la mangue sauvage (* Irvingia gabonensis, Irvingia wombutu*), le Njansang (*Richiodendrum heudelotti*) et l’écorce du prunier d’Afrique (*Prunus africana*).

**FIGURE 4 : PERTES DE COUVERT ARBORE DANS LES ZONES D’ETUDES ET POTENTIELLES ZONES DE DÉFORESTATION (SOURCE : GLOBAL FOREST CHANGE)**

²¹ Center for International Forest Research (CIFOR) – 2011 - Le contexte de la REDD+ au Cameroun
Biodiversité

30. **Richesse des aires protégées.** Les aires protégées sont les zones de concentration majeures de la biodiversité. Elles abritent environ 90% des espèces animales du pays, 95% des espèces végétales, près de 65% des habitats et 80% des écosystèmes du pays. Elles sont réparties en parcs nationaux (60%), réserves fauniques (14%), sanctuaires (10%), jardins zoologiques (10%) et réserves écologiques (10%). Elles couvrent environ 3,7 millions d’hectares. Les aires de production protégée ou zones de chasse sont au nombre de 72 (47 zones de chasse et 25 zones de chasse communautaire) et couvrent 5,7 millions d’hectares, soit 12% du territoire national. Les forêts communautaires ont subi un très grand essor, atteignant 301 sites en 2011 et un cumul de près d’un million d’hectares.


32. **Ecosystèmes.** La zone septentrionale d’intervention du Projet est dominée par l’écosystème de Savane Nord (i) interconnecté avec les pays frontaliers et leurs aires protégées, (ii) présentant un réseau hydrographique essentiel aux populations et à la faune locale, (iii) caractérisé par une diversité de faune, flore et d’écosystèmes de zone humide, (iv) et symbole de refuge des grands mammifères. La région Nord-Ouest est localisée au sein d’un écosystème de montagnes, représentatif d’un fort taux d’endémisme.


**Parc national de Waza et sa zone périphérique**

---


35. Le parc est un refuge (i) pour les grands mammifères de l’écosystème de Savane Nord et (ii) pour les espèces d’oiseaux d’eau avec la plaine inondable à l’Est du parc et (iii) est un lieu essentiel du développement des espèces piscicoles.

36. Outre les pressions anthropiques sur la flore et l’importante déforestation, le parc fait face aux (i) pressions anthropiques sur la faune sous forme de braconnage, de conflits entre la faune et les riverains pour le pâturage et les ressources en eau et des conflits d’usage avec les pêcheurs et les élévateurs en raison de la création de canaux, (ii) à la diminution de l’écotourisme, et donc de ses moyens de fonctionnement, en raison de la situation sécuritaire et (iii) les pressions climatiques.

Parc national de la Bénoué et sa zone périphérique


38. La végétation du parc national de la Bénoué et de ses environs est de type soudano-guinéen caractérisée par des savanes arborées/boisées et des savanes herbeuses. Plus de 26 espèces de grands et moyens mammifères ont été recensées, dont les plus représentés sont les cobes de Buffon, les cynocéphales, les bubales, les ourébis, les hippotragues. Ce parc est essentiel au déplacement des grands mammifères au sein du réseau de aires protégées et de l’écosystème de savane nord. L’avifaune comprend plus de 306 espèces. L’important réseau hydrographique axé sur le fleuve Bénoué comprend une gamme variée d’espèces halieutiques.

39. Outre les pressions anthropiques sur la flore et l’importante déforestation, le parc fait face (i) à de fortes pressions sur les corridors fauniques qui ont quasiment tous disparu aujourd’hui, (ii) à l’orpaillage, (iii) au braconnage et la vente de viande de brousse, (iv) aux pressions engendrées par la multitude des conflits entre les migrants, la population riveraine, les éleveurs transhumants, la faune sauvage et les conservateurs du parc.

Parc national de Kimbi-Fungom et sa zone périphérique

40. La réserve de faune de Kimbi a été créée en 1964, et représentait 5 625 ha. Le décret n°2015/0024 / PM du 3 février 2015 délimite et officialise la création du Parc National de Kimbi-Fungom de 95 380 ha, catégorie UICN II, unissant à la fois l’ancienne réserve de faune de Kimbi et toute une zone de hauts plateaux à l'Ouest, nommée Fungom.

41. Le parc national de Kimbi-Fungom est très important en termes de représentation d’espèces endémiques à la région Nord-Ouest ainsi qu’en terme de diversité de primates diurnes. En effet, au sein du parc national de Kimbi-Fungom, sept espèces de singes ont été vu et entendu (Cercopithecus nictitans, Cercopithecus mona, Cercopithecus preussi, Cercopithecus erythrotis, Papio Anubis, Chlorocebus Tantale, patas Erythrocebus). Un autre singe, dont on dit se reproduire dans la partie sud de la région, aurait les caractéristiques physiques de Mandrillus leucophaeus. De ces espèces, quatre sont dénombrées sur la liste la liste rouge de l’UICN : une espèce vulnérable (Cercopithecus erythrotis), deux espèces menacées d’extinction (Cercopithecus preussi et Pan troglodytes) et une espèce en danger critique (Gorilla diehli).

42. Dans la zone d’étude, la faible démographie entraine de faibles pressions anthropiques sur la biodiversité. Néanmoins, on observe des pressions liées (i) aux activités transfrontalières de déforestation réalisée par les communautés provenant du Nigéria et (ii) les pressions liées à

---

23 Start et Witt (1977) et DONFACK et al. (1999)
24 Aire protégée et faune sauvage – MINOF Cameroun
L’introduction des troupeaux des éleveurs à l’Est du parc, entrainant des conflits d’utilisation entre la faune sauvage et les animaux des troupeaux.

43. Le braconnage s’y fait encore à une échelle embryonnaire. Il est nécessaire d’envisager une intervention immédiate avant que la criminalité faunique n’y règne, contribuant ainsi à une décimation de ce potentiel faunique.

44. La délimitation de la zone d’intervention a été définie selon les critères suivants :

(i) **Parc national de Waza.** La zone d’intervention s’étend sur une couronne de 5 km autour du parc national de Waza en incluant les zones où les initiatives de foresterie communautaire sont en cours. Elle intègre ainsi la définition de la zone d’utilisation partielle (assimilée zone périphérique, par Saleh en 2011) pour le parc de Waza, ainsi que les forêts communautaires en cours de création localisées au sud du Parc ;

(j) **Parc de la Bénoué.** La zone d’intervention du Projet s’étend sur une couronne de 20 km autour du parc qui inclue les zones d’intérêt cynégétique à gestion communautaire (ZIC/GC) n°1 et n°4 et les zones d’intérêt cynégétique périphérique (ZIC) définies comme aire protégée par arrêté ;

(k) **Parc de Kimbi-Fungom.** Aucun zonage n’est défini pour la zone périphérique du Parc. Il a été considéré une couronne de 10 km autour du parc national comme zone d’intervention.

45. En complément de la présente synthèse descriptive des différents parcs nationaux de la zone d’intervention (i) un Document de Travail spécifique au contexte de Biodiversité est disponible et (ii) une analyse SWOT de chacun de ces parcs est disponible en appendice 5 de la présente Note SECAP.

**Figure 5 : Localisation des aires protégées, des forêts communautaires et des zones de chasse du projet ECO-Jeunes (source : Atlas forestier cameroun)**
Systèmes de production

46. L'agriculture est dominée par environ deux millions de petites exploitations agricoles familiales, très sensibles aux aléas et accidents climatiques. Ces exploitations dépendent étroitement des ressources naturelles disponibles, avec des systèmes de production jouant un rôle déterminant dans la dégradation ou la préservation de ces dernières.

47. Région Extrême Nord : zone soudano-sahélienne. La zone est caractérisée par une mosaïque de sols et de formations édaphiques variés, très sensibles à l'érosion hydrique et éolienne, elle a été de ce fait définie comme zone d'action prioritaire I dans le cadre de lutte contre la désertification.

48. Agriculture. En dehors des monts Mandara, les systèmes de production sont essentiellement basés sur la culture du mil et du sorgho. La pression foncière conduit à un
défrichement intensif et à une réduction des temps de jachère. Ces phénomènes conjugués à des conditions de stress climatique, favorisent la dégradation des terres et leur transformation en sol hardés stériles. Dans les monts Mandara, la création de terrasses en pierre permet de développer la culture sur forte pente. Mais l’exode rural et le manque d’entretien de ces terrasses, exposent les sols à une forte érosion hydrique.

**Sol hardé**

25 : ce type de sol est constitué d’une fine couche d’humus en surface recouvrant une couche compacte imperméable à l’eau, inhibant la croissance des racines. Sur une superficie totale de 10 millions d’hectares, dont plus de la moitié est une pénéplaine consacrée à l’agriculture, 15 à 20% des sols sont « hardés », 35 à 45 % sont détériorés et en voie d’hardisation.

49. En sus des cultures pluviales, le muskuwar (dans le yaéré de la plaine du Logone et dans les zones de décrue du lac Tchad) joue un rôle important dans le système agraire. Le riz se développe depuis peu sur les mêmes terrains inondés en saison des pluies. La productivité de cet agro-système provient essentiellement des inondations saisonnières annuelles qui renouvellent la fertilité.

**Yaéré**

26 : plaine d’inondation temporaire alimentée par le fleuve Logone. Ses pâturages sont exploités en saison sèche et les terres sont mises en cultures pour le Muskuwar.

**Muskuwar**

27 : Sorghos de saison sèche, repiqués sur les terres argileuses inondables. Cette culture se développant uniquement avec l’eau de la réserve utile des vertisols, elle est peu risquée du point de vue climatique.

50. La productivité agricole est cependant faible à l’échelle régionale, le bilan céréalier est structurellement déficitaire (+/-100 000 t/an) dans l’Extrême Nord compte-tenu de la démographie de la zone (plus de 100 habitant au km²) et légèrement excédentaire dans le Nord (+/- 50 000 t/an)

51. Le maraichage (notamment la culture de l’oignon) se développe autour des cours d’eau permanents (mare et fleuve Logone). Il s’agit de cultures à forte valeur ajoutée qui sont complémentaires aux activités vivrières car réalisées en saison sèche.

52. **Élevage.** Il joue un rôle important : la région concentre 38 % du cheptel national. On distingue plusieurs types d’élevage dans la zone : (i) une petite transhumance majoritaire qui exploite le yaéré en saison sèche ; (ii) une transhumance transfrontalière Cameroun-Nigeria-Niger et Cameroun-Tchad qui exploite les ressources pastorales autour du lac et ; (iii) un élevage sédentaire en développement.

53. L’espace pastoral est en diminution constante au profit des zones cultivées (pluvial/décrue/maraichage). Les mauvaises pratiques de pâturage (liées à une charge faible mais continue) contribuent à la dégradation des pâturages.

54. **L’élevage et l’agriculture sont peu intégrés,** en dehors de la vaine pâture. Le développement d’un élevage sédentaire et la fixation des pasteurs mobiles entraînent des concurrences pour l’accès aux ressources : pâturage de saison sèche, résidus de cultures, terre de décrue (pour le pâturage vert de saison sèche ou bien pour l’agriculture de décrue).

55. Les ressources forestières tiennent une place importante dans l’économie des ménages ruraux : vente de bois de chauffe, de produits forestiers non ligneux (gommes arabiques), ces activités conduites durant la saison sèche procurent de faibles revenus toutefois nécessaires à l’achat de vivres durant la période de soudure. Elles sont réalisées
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principalement par les femmes, les jeunes et les transhumants, les hommes migrant vers les villes qui y trouvent tous une activité rémunératrice.


57. Agriculture. Le développement de la culture de coton a intensifié les systèmes de culture et permis une évolution plus rapide vers la culture attelée. La pratique de la jachère a progressivement disparu, et le renouvellement insuffisant de la fertilité des sols a conduit à une dégradation du capital productif. La riziculture et la culture à grande échelle de l'arachide laissent peu à peu place à l'émergence d'autres filières comme l'oignon, l'igname et le niébé et le développement du maraichage.

58. L'accroissement démographique, conjugué aux migrations interrégionales de population venant de l'extrême Nord, et la fixation dans ces zones de Peulhs, a augmenté considérablement la pression foncière. Les fronts pionniers ont été ouverts à la faveur des investissements routiers dans la proximité des aires protégées et sur les corridors de passage des animaux sauvages (éléphant, buffle, élan de derby, hyppotrague, etc.) dans les Zones d'Intérêt Cynégétique à Gestion Communautaire limitrophes au parc de Bénoué (ZIC/GC).

59. Elevage. La région est une zone de replis des transhumants en saison sèche. Un développement important de l'élevage sédentaire a été permis par la maîtrise de la glossine et l'introduction de la culture attelée (90 000 têtes29). L'espace pastoral est ici aussi menacé par la fermeture des paysages par l'agriculture qui empiète sur les couloirs traditionnels de transhumance.

Glossine : mouche vectrice de parasites du genre Trypanosoma cause de la trypanosomose animale. La maladie chez les animaux domestiques, en particulier chez les bovins, est un obstacle majeur au développement économique des régions rurales affectées30.

60. L'élevage et l'agriculture sont en voie d'intégration, Le développement de l'élevage sédentaire et la fixation des pasteurs mobiles entraînent une intégration progressive des deux activités. Les agriculteurs développent un élevage de trait et de capitalisation, tandis que les éleveurs cultivent sur les terres qu'ils fertilisent avec leurs troupeaux. Cependant cette intégration ne profite pas pleinement des interactions possibles et des savoirs faire, développés par les agriculteurs et les éleveurs. Aussi ce type d'intégration présente une vulnérabilité importante par rapport aux risques climatiques.

61. Les ressources forestières tiennent une place moins importante dans l'économie des ménages ruraux même si elle reste essentielle : vente de bois de chauffe, de charbon, de produits forestiers non ligneux (karité, anacarde, feuille de balanites), les faibles revenus procurés et le manque de structuration commerciale n'incitent pas à une exploitation généralisée de ces ressources. Ces activités sont réalisées principalement par les femmes, et une grande partie est autoconsommée, à l'exception du charbon qui est en majorité exporté vers Garoua.

Pépinière de culture d'oignon - Nord

Champ de Sorgho de contre saison / acacia seyal - Nord

Région Nord-Ouest : zone des hauts plateaux de l'Ouest.

62. Agriculture. Le climat et la fertilité des sols permettent de réaliser deux cultures de maïs en association avec des légumineuses (arachide, haricot). La pomme de terre est cultivée en seconde saison de culture. Ces cultures annuelles sont menées en association avec des cultures semi-pérennes telles que le plantain, le manioc et le macabo et des cultures pérennes

29 Centre de coopération International en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD) et le programme d'Appui à la Sécurisation et à la Gestion Intégrée des Ressources AgroPastorales au Nord Cameroun (ASGIRAP) – 2013 - Etude de faisabilité d’un programme d’appui à la sécurisation et à la gestion intégrée des ressources agropastorales au Nord Cameroun

30 Programme de lutte contre la trypanosomose africaine (PLTA) – 2010 - Bulletin d’information sur les glossines et les trypanosomoses.
comme l’avocatier, le manguier et le safoutier. La culture de rente (café arabica) est souvent en culture pure.

63. Dans les zones les plus densément peuplées, au sud de la région, certains agriculteurs sont contraints d’arracher les caféiers pour dégager suffisamment d’espace pour les cultures vivrières. La fertilité des sols volcaniques, les associations légumineuses, l’Ankara et l’usage à faible échelle d’engrais minéraux maintiennent la productivité du système. La très forte pression démographique conduit à une constante diminution des surfaces cultivées par ménage (environ un hectare en 2016). L’ensemble des terres arables, étant exploitées à des fins agricoles, les sols cultivés en pente est menacés par l’érosion hydrique.

Ankara : ou écobuage est la pratique du brulis des résidus de cultures enfouis sous la terre en forme de billons. Cette pratique libère très rapidement les éléments minéraux dans le sol, mais provoque la destruction de l’humus, des microorganismes et contribue à la dégradation du sol.

64. Dans le Nord de la région, le plus faible peuplement réduit la pression foncière et l’intensité des cultures est moindre. La fertilité des sols est moins liée au sol, mais est renouvelée par la pratique de la jachère.

65. Elevage. L’élevage bovin mené par les Mbororos Foulanis est limité au sommet des collines dans le sud de la région. Dans le nord de la région, où la pression foncière est moindre, l’élevage est majoritaire et profite de parcours plus ouverts, de prairie subalpine. Il s’agit là principalement de transhumance transfrontalière avec le Nigeria.

66. L’élevage et l’agriculture sont peu intégrés, dans les zones les plus peuplées, les notables détiennent une partie du cheptel des éleveurs (investissement). Des échanges de fumure sont opérés lors de la descente des troupeaux dans les vallées durant la saison sèche.

67. Dans le nord de la région, l’abondance d’espaces pastoraux et de terres cultivables ne créent pas de besoin d’intégration entre les activités agricoles et d’élevage, la fertilité des sols étant entretenue par la jachère.

68. Les ressources forestières. Dans le sud de la région, les forêts ont été défrichées avec quelques reliquats qui subsistent : forêts sacrées, forêts communautaires, fortes pentes non cultivables, usages culturels comme le Rafia.

69. Dans le nord de la région, les forêts ont été peu défrichées. Elles sont présentes majoritairement au fond des vallées, les hauteurs étant occupées par des pâturages. Elles sont très utilisées pour les besoins nutritionnels des populations et sont la source de nombreux produits forestiers non ligneux commercialisés pour la pharmacopée.

70. Zones climatiques du Cameroun. Il existe trois principaux types de climat liés aux types de relief :

31 Atger Julie, Torbay Marie - Supagro – 2014 - Mémoire « Diversité des stratégies d’intensification agricole dans un contexte de forte densité de population Diagnostic agraire du Mezam, Nord-Ouest Cameroun »

32 Roland Portèressem - Journal d’agriculture tropicale et de botanique appliqué – 1972 - De l’écobuage comme un système mixte de culture et de production.
Particularités des climats des zones d’intervention du projet

Les hautes terres de l’Ouest et la périphérie du parc national de Fungom-Kimbi sont caractérisées par des précipitations annuelles de 1 800 mm, une longue saison des pluies (de
mars à novembre) et une courte saison sèche. La température moyenne mensuelle reste constante au cours de l’année, autour des 24°C. L’écart thermique mensuel ne dépasse pas 5°C mais au-delà de 1 800 mètres d’altitude, les températures nocturnes peuvent descendre jusqu’à 0°C. Au cours des six dernières décades, la pluviométrie a diminué de l’ordre de 2,5% par décennie et les périodes de sécheresse se sont intensifiées.

**Moyennes pluviométriques et températures**

**ZONE FONGOM KIMBI**

**Source** (données BIOClim 1960-1990)

Dans les périphéries des parcs nationaux de Waza et de la Bénoué, respectivement dans les régions de l’Extrême-Nord et du Nord, le gradient de pluviosité du Nord au Sud s’échelonne de 500mm à 1 000mm et les précipitations annuelles sont concentrées de juillet à octobre. Les températures sont voisines de 28°C avec des écarts thermiques très importants de l’ordre de 7,7°C. Ces zones ont été très affectées par la diminution des précipitations au cours des six dernières décades, avec 4,1% par décennie.

**Moyennes pluviométriques et températures**

**ZONE DES PARCS DE LA BENOUÉ ET DE WAZA**

**Source** (données BIOClim 1960-1990)

Le pays a subi, sur l’ensemble de son territoire, une augmentation moyenne des températures de 0,7°C entre 1960 et 2007.

L’ensemble de ces modifications a favorisé, lors de la dernière décennie, les événements extrêmes tels que : (i) l’allongement de la durée des saisons sèches avec des sécheresses plus intenses ; (ii) l’augmentation de l’évapotranspiration à cause de l’élévation de la température, entraînant des tempêtes plus violentes ; (iii) l’accentuation des inondations extrêmes comme en 2011 entraînant 103 décès dans la zone soudano-sahélienne et ; (iv) les
mouvements de masses. Ce sont autant de phénomènes qui impactent directement les conditions environnementales, sociales et économiques des différentes régions.

**Tendances et caractéristiques des saisons des pluies.**

75. *Pour la zone des hauts plateaux de l’Ouest et pour le parc national de Fungom-Kimbi*, le retour de la saison de fortes pluies s’effectue tous les quinze ans alors qu’il est de dix ans dans les zones avoisinantes. De même, le retour des saisons de faibles pluies est tous les dix à quinze ans au lieu des six à dix ans dans les zones avoisinantes. Le parc n’est donc pas impacté fréquemment par des évènements pluviaux extrêmes. Il se situe dans une enclave où les pluies annuelles ont tendance à diminuer, réduisant ainsi les apports en eaux de surface et en eau souterraine. La gestion de ces ressources doit être optimisée par le renforcement des phénomènes de rétention et d’infiltration de l’eau. Enfin la légère anticipation des périodes de pluie provoque un décalage dans les cultures et de probables impacts sur les rendements.

76. *Pour le Nord et le parc national de la Bénoué*, le retour des saisons de fortes et de faibles pluies est tous les six à dix ans, mettant en évidence un retour assez fréquent des évènements extrêmes qui renforce le problème de sécurité alimentaire. Des actions d’adaptation doivent être mises en place afin de diminuer les problématiques d’érosion, de dégradation des sols et de perte de rendement dû à la sécheresse. De plus, une tendance négative est observée pour les pluies annuelles, renforçant le phénomène de sécheresse et les problématiques d’insécurité alimentaire. Enfin, le début de saison des pluies est retardé, entraînant une déstabilisation des cycles de culture et des pertes probables de rendement.

77. *Pour l’Extrême-Nord et le parc de Waza*, le retour des saisons de fortes et de faibles pluies est tous les six à dix ans, mettant en évidence un retour assez fréquent des évènements extrêmes, qui renforce le problème de sécurité alimentaire et a des conséquences sur l’érosion, la dégradation des sols et la sécheresse. En comparaison avec la zone Nord, il n’y a pas de tendance à la diminution des pluies annuelles, la pluviométrie y est déjà très faible. Enfin, le début de saison des pluies est anticipé à l’ouest et retardé à l’est du parc, provoquant un décalage spatial des cycles de cultures et des impacts sur les rendements.

78. L’analyse des données bioclimatiques définit les zones de l’Extrême-Nord et du Nord comme prioritaires impactées par les tendances actuelles aux changements climatiques par rapport à l’insécurité alimentaire et à la gestion durable des ressources naturelles.

**CAMEROUN – REGIONS EXTREME-NORD, NORD, NORD-OUEST ET OUEST**

(CALCULE SUR LES 20 DERNIERES ANNEES)

**PERIODE DE RETOUR DES SAISONS DE FORTE PLUIE**

**PERIODE DE RETOUR DES SAISONS DE FAIBLE PLUIE**

**TENDANCES DE PLUIES ANNUELLES**

**TENDANCES DU DEBUT DES SAISONS DE PLUIES**
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Projections climatiques.


**Figure 2. Exemple : hausse des précipitations à Garoua à l’horizon 2030**

80. Pluviométrie. Dans la zone soudano-sahélienne, il faut s’attendre à une augmentation des précipitations vers la fin de la période 2010-2035. Au sud de cette zone, on ne constate pas de bouleversement majeur si l’on considère la position de l’isohyète 1 200mm qui est néanmoins située un peu plus au nord par rapport à sa position de 1995. A contrario on remarque une extension vers le nord de la zone couverte par l’isohyète 1 000mm, ce qui témoigne d’une hausse des précipitations.

**Figure 2. Simulation de l’évolution de la pluviométrie de 1970 à 2035**

81. Température. Selon le modèle de simulation RegCM, on devrait s’attendre à de très faibles hausses de température jusqu’à 2030, suivie d’une croissance plus forte d’environ 1°C (CMIP5) par décennie jusqu’à 2100. En zone soudano-sahélienne, les températures globalement en hausse depuis le début de la période de référence vont continuer à augmenter.
Les augmentations seront plus fortes en valeur absolue sur les régions septentrionales, allant de 0,7°C en 2025 à 4,6°C en 2100. Dans les hautes terres de l'Ouest, la tendance des températures restera à la hausse jusqu'en 2035 tandis qu'une baisse sera observée à partir de 2022 selon un scénario moins alarmiste.

**Figure 3. Simulation de l'évolution des températures de 1970 à 2035**

82. Les risques liés aux changements climatiques (mauvaise répartition des pluies, sécheresse, ou inondations, vents violents, etc.), combinés aux effets de la croissance du secteur agricole (le DSCE vise à augmenter, d'ici 2020, les superficies cultivées à 30%), vont accroître dans les années à venir la pression sur les ressources naturelles (besoins en eau'accrus, hausse des ruissellements et du risque érosif, etc.). De ce fait, il est urgent de mettre en œuvre des mesures qui permettent à tous les acteurs du secteur rural de prendre la mesure de ces risques et de renforcer la dimension environnementale et climatique d'introduire dans les projets d'investissement agricoles des pratiques permettant de réduire l'empreinte environnementale du développement agricole.

Enjeux clés

83. Les questions clés sont les suivantes :

(o) renforcement des connaissances : faible connaissance des milieux et des services écosystémiques ne permettant pas leur gestion durable et leur préservation optimale ;

(p) maîtrise de la pression sur les ressources naturelles (terres et forêts) : systèmes d'exploitation insuffisamment adaptés aux conditions agro-écologiques et à la pression accrue sur les ressources naturelles ;

(q) changements climatiques : manque de connaissances et/ou d'anticipation des changements climatiques dans les activités agricoles et rurales et d'actions d'adaptation coordonnées, viables et inscrites dans le temps, besoin de diffusion de pratiques, intrants et matériels mieux adaptés aux évolutions du climat ;

(r) prise de conscience des enjeux environnementaux et climatiques par les populations rurales : sensibilité et connaissances insuffisantes des risques environnementaux et climatiques et les bonnes pratiques pour minimiser les risques et l'utilisation abusive des ressources du milieu ;

(s) identifier et promouvoir des activités économiques viable et écologique pour donner des perspectives d'avenir aux jeunes ruraux : mise en place de formation professionnelle, d'opportunités d'emplois et d'incitations pour investir dans les activités et métiers agropastoraux, agro-forestiers et ruraux.

B. Impacts et risques potentiels du Projet liés au social, à l'environnement et aux changements climatiques

1. Impacts potentiels clés

Les impacts sociaux possibles

84. Le Projet va cibler de manière générale les ruraux pauvres, mais en priorité les jeunes, ayant un niveau de formation souvent faible, un accès limité à du capital productif, aux technologies améliorées, aux marchés et à des services d'appui technique ou financiers. Il accordera une attention particulière aux femmes et à l'amélioration de leur accès à des connaissances et des actifs productifs afin de faciliter leurs activités économiques et augmenter leurs revenus.
85. **Forêts communautaires.** La création de neuf forêts communautaires sera répartie sur les deux sites d’interventions de l’Extrême-Nord et du Nord-Ouest pour un total de 21 000 hectares. Le manque d’expérience des gérants et le manque de transparence dans la gestion des revenus communautaires peuvent entraîner des conflits importants. Un accompagnement des ONG locales est nécessaire.

86. Les impacts socio-économiques seront essentiellement positifs : (i) apprentissage par l’action et développement des métiers forestiers ; (ii) création d’emploi et diminution de l’exode rural des jeunes ; (iii) redistribution directe des revenus aux communautés et ; (iv) exploitation des produits forestiers non ligneux et diminution de l’insécurité alimentaire notamment en période sèche.

87. **Zones d’intérêt cynégétiques à gestion communautaires (ZICGC).** Le renforcement des capacités de gestion des deux ZICGC n°1 et n°4 à l’ouest du parc national de la Bénoué pour un total de 80 000 hectares a pour but de diminuer les pressions anthropiques sur les ressources naturelles et en mettant en place une gestion durable de ces ressources. Les impacts socio-environnementaux sont majoritairement positifs, mais le prise en considération des conditions économiques et sociales existantes des populations est essentielle afin d’éviter le déplacement des pressions anthropiques sur des zones avoisinantes (zones d’intérêts cynégétiques et parc national lui-même).

88. **Formation et entrepreneuriat.** La formation des jeunes en éco-entrepreneurs impactera les jeunes dans leur mode de pensée et les amènera à devenir des leaders des pratiques de préservation et de conservation des milieux pour en tirer des opportunités économiques.

89. En augmentant les opportunités économiques des jeunes et en leur proposant des solutions économiques viables, le Projet s’aligne sur les objectifs du gouvernement en s’opposant aux dynamiques d’exode rural et de recrutement des jeunes dans des activités liées au banditisme ou au terrorisme, notamment à l’Extrême-Nord comme l’a rappelé le Ministre de l’Environnement lors de la restitution de l’Aide-Mémoire.

90. **Foncier.** La problématique foncière est considérée de manière spécifique à chaque région dans la réalisation de ce Projet en raison de : (i) la diminution de la surface disponible due à la démographie croissante dans la région du Nord-Ouest, avec moins d’un hectare par exploitant, toutefois la pression foncière est nettement inférieure dans la périphérie du parc national en raison de sa difficulté d’accès) et ; (ii) l’augmentation des superficies des terres « hardés », la faible sécurité foncière accordée par le système traditionnel de répartition des terres dans l’Extrême-Nord et le Nord, et l’augmentation démographique en raison des déplacés actuels dans l’Extrême-Nord et des migrants des générations précédentes dans le Nord.

91. **Conflits d’usage de l’eau.** L’accès à l’eau de surface est actuellement libre pour l’ensemble des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages. En favorisant la négociation foncière et en donnant de quoi investir au éco-entrepreneurs, il est attendu un développement de la petite irrigation qui induise une augmentation des usages.

92. **La formation des éco-entrepreneurs insistera sur : (i) la gestion communautaire de l’eau et ses multiples usages et ; (ii) les techniques d’économie en eau.** Le jury de validation des projets prendra soins d’examiner la pertinence des projets d’irrigation et d’élevage sur ces deux points également.

93. **Les produits forestiers non ligneux** offrent de grandes opportunités : (i) lutte contre l’insécurité alimentaire des régions septentrionales du Cameroun ; (ii) sécurisation de revenus minimum lors de sécheresse. Ils favorisent également la cohésion sociale et le renforcement économique de minorités (Mbororo) et mettent en valeur l’utilisation de savoir-faire traditionnels. Le Projet doit considérer l’organisation actuelle du secteur et sa faible visibilité comme un risque quant à l’ampleur des résultats de Projet attendus. Une étude coût-bénéfice sur le renforcement de l’organisation des filières pourra être mise en place.

---

33 Centre de coopération International en Recherche Agronomique pour le Développement (CIRAD) et le programme d’Appui à la Sécurisation et à la Gestion Intégrée des Ressources AgroPastorales au Nord Cameroun (ASGIRAP) – 2013 - Etude de faisabilité d’un programme d’appui à la sécurisation et à la gestion intégrée des ressources agropastorales au Nord Cameroun
35 Ministère de la Forêt et de la Faune (MINFOF) – juillet 2012 - Plan National de développement des Produits Forestiers Non Ligneux (PND PFNL) au Cameroun
94. La valorisation de ces filières exploitées par les catégories sociales défavorisées / marginalisées pourrait induire une expropriation de cette opportunité au profit de catégories sociales moins vulnérables. La forte participation des femmes aux filières des produits forestiers non ligneux est un argument supplémentaire pour la priorisation de cet impact potentiel.

95. Des conflits au sein des communautés peuvent apparaître dès lors que le reboisement n’a pas pris en considération : (i) les droits traditionnels et ceux d’utilisation des terres ; (ii) le dimensionnement des services éco-systémiques, qui peut pousser les communautés à retourner à une exploitation traditionnelle de la forêt ; (iii) la gestion technique des forêts et le devoir de transparence de la gestion des revenus communautaires et ; (iv) la nécessité d’implication des populations rurales.

96. Que cela soit par des aménagements de régénération naturelle assistée, par des reboisements ou dans un contexte agroforestier, la valorisation des produits forestiers a un impact non négligeable. Il s’agit : (i) de la valorisation des services éco-systémiques des arbres, permettant une diminution de l’insécurité alimentaire et l’augmentation de revenu et (ii) de la valorisation du bois, même si cette activité est considérée comme une dérive, car il ne permet qu’un apport monétaire unique. Pour éviter cette dérive, il est nécessaire que les avantages tirés des services éco-systémiques soient financièrement suffisants afin de préserver cette ressource. De plus, l’agroforesterie permet d’améliorer les rendements et ainsi d’impacter directement les revenus des bénéficiaires et de diminuer l’insécurité alimentaire.

97. **Aménagements.** Les investissements prévus sur les trois régions totaliseront 1 000 hectares de reforestation, 2 000 hectares d’aménagements agroforestiers soit 2 000 hectares d’aménagements de gestion durable des terres, selon l’approche de travaux à haute intensité en main d’œuvre (HIMO), permettant de fournir temporairement une source de revenu aux jeunes éco-entrepreneurs ciblés. Il s’agit d’investissements à très faible échelle, avec des techniques éprouvées, ne nécessitant pas de plan de gestion des risques.

98. **Infrastructures d’hydraulique pastorale.** Les investissements totalisent 20 infrastructures situées à proximité des habitations des zones périphériques des parcs nationaux de Waza et de la Bénoué. Ces aménagements permettront (i) une diminution des conflits entre les éleveurs et la faune sauvage, (ii) un plus dans la gestion durable de la ressource en eau et (iii) une amélioration des conditions de vie pour les communautés riveraines au parc. **Les impacts environnementaux possibles**

99. Le projet sera mis en œuvre dans des zones où les ressources naturelles sont déjà fortement dégradées, et où le processus de dégradation se poursuivra. Il est donc extrêmement important que le Projet renforce la gestion des ressources qui seront à la base des filières appuyées.

100. **Conservation et valorisation des services écosystémiques.** Le Projet impactera la conservation comme part entière de l’économie des jeunes, en promouvant les services écosystémiques afin que les aires périphériques soient le théâtre d’actions protectrices de ces zones. La fonction des zones périphériques aux parcs sera réaffirmée, en renforçant leurs potentialités écosystémiques et leur préservation et donc, en transformant ces zones en de véritables barrières socioenvironnementales de protection des aires protégées.

101. La mise en place d’un plan d’aménagement du nouveau parc de Kimbi-Fungom, permettra de s’assurer d’une gestion durable des ressources naturelles et de la préservation de la biodiversité ayant ainsi des impacts positifs sur l’ensemble des compartiments des milieux biophysiques. La prise en considération d’un plan de cogestion avec les communautés limitrophes dans cette gestion est à la fois nécessaire et doit être maîtrisée avec précaution. Les revers des cogestions des dernières décennies, pour les parcs de la Bénoué et de Waza, ont eu des impacts très négatifs sur l’état des ressources et de la faune : (i) braconnage ; (ii) surexploitation anarchique des ressources ; (iii) conflit entre les acteurs dont certains ont entrainé des morts d’homme et ; (iv) effondrement des institutions d’accompagnement.

---

34 par exemple la culture d’oignon au Sénégal, de la récolte de gomme au Tchad, du lait de buffle en Indonésie etc. où les hommes ont évincé les femmes de l’accès à la ressource, mais aussi de la pêche continentale en Mauritanie où les allochtones ont été évincés.

35 Les forages seront situés à proximité des habitations pour éviter d’attirer la faune sauvage hors des parcs.
102. Des recommandations sont faites sur le partage d’une vision stratégique par l’ensemble des acteurs et sur l’assurance que la gestion des ressources revête des bénéfices économiques pour les communautés. La création de forêt communautaire et la mise en place de Plan de Gestion Simplifié, ont des effets complémentaires positifs : (i) légalisation des activités d’exploitation des ressources par la communauté ; (ii) mise en place de quotas pour une gestion durable et amélioration de l’habitat ; (iii) éloignement des acteurs illégaux de ce territoire par l’intervention de la communauté. L’obligation d’exploiter artisanalement les ressources, renforce leur gestion durable.


104. Ces activités seront soutenues par les associations de gestion locale des ressources naturelles et selon les plans de gestion des forêts communautaires et des zones d’intérêts cynégétiques à gestion communautaire, ce qui réduira les risques de mauvaise gestion des ressources. La valorisation du couvert forestier par les produits forestiers non ligneux présente un impact positif essentiel : l’attrait des bénéfices lié au prélèvement du capital bois diminue lorsque l’opportunité économique des produits forestiers non ligneux augmente.

105. Les activités de reboisement peuvent contribuer dans les zones semi-arides (Extrême-Nord) : (i) à un abaissement de l’humidité du sol et un rabattement de la nappe phréatique, impactant temporairement les tensions hydriques déjà existantes ; (ii) à la diminution des nutriments du sol dans le cas d’utilisation d’essences à croissance rapide. Le choix de l’essence devra prendre en compte ces deux paramètres.

106. Mais le reboisement a surtout de multiples impacts positifs : (i) en rétablissant le couvert végétal ; (ii) en réhabilitant les sols ; (iii) en apportant des possibilités de pâturage aérien et en diminuant les pressions sur le milieu ; (iv) en améliorant la qualité de l’eau par la diminution de la teneur en sédiment ; (v) en offrant des services écosystémiques ; (vi) en créant un microclimat et ; (v) en agissant comme un puits de carbone. Il s’oppose surtout à la déforestation en cours dans l’Extrême-Nord et le Nord pour la vente de charbon et de bois de chauffe.

107. Dans un contexte d’érosion et de surexploitation des sols, les aménagements de type agroforesterie et régénération naturelle assistée sont des atouts essentiels, ils permettent de : (i) lutter contre la désertification et réhabiliter les sols « hardés » ou fortement dégradés ; (ii) améliorer la couverture du sol et la biodiversité associée ; (iii) entraîner des modifications microclimatiques permettant une meilleure adaptation aux événements extrêmes.

108. L’introduction d’essence ligneuse en agroforesterie, peut initialement provoquer des compétitions entre les arbres et les cultures agricoles, qui sont compensés par l’ensemble des effets positifs associés à cette technique.

109. La réalisation d’infrastructures hydrauliques à proximité des zones habitées aura pour premier effet, une diminution de la pression anthropique sur les ressources naturelles situées à l’intérieur des parcs nationaux et ainsi une diminution des conflits avec la faune sauvage. Pour diminuer l’impact négatif de la sortie possible de la faune sauvage du parc attirée par l’eau de ces infrastructures, elles devront être construites à proximité des zones habitées qui ont un effet repoussoir sur la faune sauvage.

110. Pollution phytosanitaire. L’incitation à l’investissement dans l’agriculture peut conduire à un usage accru de produits phytosanitaires. Ces produits sont accessibles dans l’ensemble des zones d’intervention du Projet (pour l’oignon dans l’Extrême-Nord, le coton dans le Nord et le maïs dans le

---

36 Adam Saleh – 2013 - Un Modèle et son revers : la cogestion des réserves de biosphère de Waza et de la Bénoué dans le Nord-Cameroun
37 World Rainforest Movement - Foresterie communautaire et réduction de la pauvreté rurale au Cameroun : bilan et tendances de la première décennie – Patrice Bigombe Logo
38 Rencontre avec les ONG environnementales locales du Mont Oku, juillet 2016
Nord-Ouest). La qualité de ces produits est toutefois variable et leur usage est mal maîtrisé par les producteurs. Afin de limiter les risques liés à l’usage des produits phytosanitaires, deux stratégies seront employées durant la formation :

(t) D’une part en travaillant sur les produits de synthèse : (i) par la reconnaissance des produits de qualité dans ce qui est disponible sur le marché ; (ii) par l’apprentissage de l’usage raisonné de ces produits et ; (iii) par l’apprentissage des techniques de protection de utilisateurs et des consommateurs ;

(u)D’autre part et afin de limiter l’usage de ces intrants couteux, l’utilisation d’insectifuges de fabrication locale (neem, piment, etc) sera enseignée et promue en tant qu’eco-activité.

111. L’ensemble des activités du Projet aura un impact global positif sur les émissions de Gaz à Effet de Serre sur une période de 20 ans39.

(v) dans l’Extême-Nord, le projet diminuera les émissions de 900 000 tonnes de CO₂, de 195 tonnes CO₂eq de N₂O et de 103 tonnes CO₂eq de CH₄ ;

(w) dans le Nord, le projet diminuera les émissions de 5 150 000 tonnes de CO₂, de 122 tonnes CO₂eq de N₂O et de 34 tonnes CO₂eq de CH₄ ;

(x) dans le Nord-Ouest, le projet diminuera les émissions de 1 920 000 tonnes de CO₂, de 384 tonnes CO₂eq de N₂O et de 34 tonnes CO₂eq de CH₄.

Risques climatiques

112. Le projet ECO-Jeunes appuiera la protection d’aires forestières et la restauration d’écosystèmes. Ces activités présentent des risques liés au climat, principalement du fait des stratégies des producteurs qui peuvent augmenter les prélèvements illégaux (bois, gibier, PFNL,...) pour faire face à une baisse de revenus agro-pastoraux.

113. Les éco-entreprises seront principalement de type « production ». La responsabilité est laissée à chaque jeune de définir son éco-entreprise pour qu’elle soit viable. Celles-ci seront plus ou moins impactées par le climat en fonction de leur dépendance à la pluviométrie. Ainsi les éco-entreprises de production céréalière seront moins résilientes que les éco-entreprises de production maraîchère irriguée. Afin de limiter l’impact du changement climatique dans les systèmes pluviaux, les investissements seront réalisés : (i) pour la gestion durable des eaux et du sol et ; (ii) en agroforesterie dans les parcelles des éco-entrepreneurs et celles des populations vulnérables.

114. Les produits forestiers non ligneux sont issus d’écosystèmes robustes, qui sont peu sensibles aux variations climatiques. Cependant la pression anthropique sur les ressources forestières est directement liée aux impacts des aléas climatiques sur les systèmes agraires. Les années de faible production agro-pastorale, les populations rurales prélèvent plus intensément les ressources en fourrages (aériens et herbacés), bois et PFNL. Ces prélèvements peuvent mettre en péril la ressource naturelle qui fonde ces filières.

Tableau 1 : synthèse des risques liés aux changements climatiques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activité</th>
<th>Impacts du changement climatique</th>
<th>Mesures d’adaptation proposées dans les outputs du projet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Foresterie communautaire  | Mortalité des plants  
Stress des plants  
Prélèvements illégaux non soutenables | Diversité spécifique et diversité génétique des plants  
Gestion communautaire de la forêt  
Protection et conservation des ressources végétales et forestières |
| Eco-entreprises           | Stress hydrique des cultures pluviales  
Érosion des sols | Agroforesterie  
Gestion durable des eaux et du sol |

39 Le détail des bilans carbones par composante est présenté en appendice 3. L’ensemble des hypothèses prises et leur source pour la réalisation des bilans carbones sont résumés en appendice 4.
Tableau 2 : synthèse des impacts socio-environnementaux négatifs potentiels et mesures d'atténuation
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activité de ECO-Jeunes</th>
<th>Type impact</th>
<th>Impacts négatifs potentiels</th>
<th>Mesures d’atténuation</th>
<th>Risque socioenvironnemental</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plan d’aménagement de Kimbi-Fungom</td>
<td>Env.</td>
<td>Revers de cogestion dû à une absence de vision stratégique commune avec les communautés liminutrophes. Détérioration des milieux et de la Biodiversité.</td>
<td>Mise en place d’une vision stratégique en collaboration avec les communautés limitrophes au parc. Etablissement de plan de gestion S’assurer que la gestion durable des ressources apporte suffisamment de bénéfices économiques aux communautés</td>
<td>Faible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forêts communautaires</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Pas de matérialisation des limites externes, non-respect des limites</td>
<td>Sensibilisation de la communauté aux limites et utilisation des plantes comme marqueurs territoriaux Approche participative</td>
<td>Faible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Problèmes de manque de professionnalisme des acteurs, manque de transparence de la gestion des revenus communautaires</td>
<td>Accompagnement par les structures locales des acteurs et gérants de la forêt. Inclusion de groupes de jeunes, communautés locale et des Eco-gardes dans la gestion des ressources</td>
<td>Modéré</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan de gestion des zones d’intérêt cynégétiques à gestion communautaire</td>
<td>Env. – Soc.</td>
<td>Délocalisation des pressions anthropiques sur les ressources naturelles et dégradation des conditions économiques des habitants</td>
<td>Accompagner la mise en place du plan de gestion en prenant tout d’abord en compte les besoins socio-économiques des habitants des ZICGC</td>
<td>Modéré</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation et Incubation</td>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Conflits pour l’accès aux appuis techniques et financiers du Projet</td>
<td>Critères de ciblage transparents, processus de ciblage des bénéficiaires participatif</td>
<td>Modéré</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Régénération Naturelle Assistée</td>
<td>Env. – Soc.</td>
<td>Baisse des écoulements dans le système hydrographique de surface en aval et renforcement des conflits d’usage en eau</td>
<td>La baisse des ruissellements de surface aura également des effets positifs en terme de lutte contre l’érosion et de maintien de la fertilité des parcelles L’effet est compensé par le gain économique, social et environnemental procuré par la RNA</td>
<td>Faible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reboisement</td>
<td>Env</td>
<td>Abaissement de l’humidité contenue dans le sol et de la nappe phréatique présente dans les régions semi-arides</td>
<td>Choisir des essences dont la demande en eau est faible Mettre en place des techniques de captage et de conservation des eaux qui atténuent le ruissellement et les pertes par évaporation et qui maximisent l’infiltration</td>
<td>Faible (Nord-Ouest) Modéré (Extrême-Nord et Nord)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Problèmes liés (i) à l’occupation des terres, (ii) aux droits d’utilisation des ressources et des terres, (iii) à l’ignorance des droits traditionnels d’utilisation des terres ou de passage</td>
<td>Définition de l’occupation des terres et prise en compte des droits traditionnels pour déterminer les gestionnaires. Mise en valeur des avantages écosystémiques des forêts (PFNL) et de leur valorisation économique pour leur préservation. Mise en place d’un plan de gestion de forêt communautaire</td>
<td>Faible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Les droits de propriété sur les terres et les arbres sont mal connus et entraînent des conflits sociaux</td>
<td>Prise en compte du contexte légal et des droits coutumiers des communautés afin de s’assurer de la nature des bénéficiaires</td>
<td>Modéré</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Mauvaise gestion des forêts communautaires</td>
<td>Les fonctionnaires chargés des questions forestières et les communautés locales sont formés pour avoir les aptitudes requises pour les gestions économique et technique des forêts</td>
<td>Modéré</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Absence d’engagement des populations rurales et surexploitation des forêts</td>
<td>Impliquer les communautés en exposant clairement les avantages et les coûts de ces forêts communautaires. Mise en place d’un plan de gestion de forêt communautaire</td>
<td>Faible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Produits forestiers non ligneux</td>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>inorganisation du secteur et sa faible visibilité sont des risques par rapport aux résultats attendus du Projet</td>
<td>Soutien à l’organisation de la filière et promotion de l’utilisation de ces PFNL</td>
<td>Faible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soc.</td>
<td>Expropriation des catégories sociales défavorisées/ marginalisées dans l’activité au profit des catégories moins vulnérables</td>
<td>Suivi et formation et favorisation des catégories sociales défavorisées lors des activités</td>
<td>Modéré</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agroforesterie</td>
<td>Env.</td>
<td>compétition pour la lumière, l’eau et les nutriments avec les autres plantations et pour les sols avec les cultures non ligneuses,</td>
<td>Bonnes techniques de gestion (taille des branches, coupe périodique des racines), choix des essences</td>
<td>Faible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure d’hydraulique pastorale</td>
<td>Env.</td>
<td>Déplacement de la faune sauvage à l’extérieur des zones protégées</td>
<td>Localisation des structures à proximité de villages pour éviter l’approche de la faune sauvage</td>
<td>Faible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Adaptation aux changements climatiques**

115. Le Projet prendra en compte les problèmes liés aux changements climatiques en proposant diverses mesures d’adaptation en fonction de la problématique des sites d’intervention. Ces mesures sont détaillées dans le DT « systèmes agraires et adaptation au changement climatique ». Les principales activités d’adaptation seront :

(y) le maintien des écosystèmes (27 000 hectares) et leur restauration (1 000 hectares) permet de conserver les services éco systémiques (cycle de l’eau) bénéfiques à la résilience climatique des agrosystèmes exploités dans leurs proximité ;
(z) les mesures de gestion durable des eaux et du sol et des techniques agro-forestières permettent un meilleur captage et une meilleure infiltration des eaux pluviales, la réduction des phénomènes d'érosion ;

(aa) la régénération du couvert végétal avec essences multi-usages va améliorer la productivité et la résilience au changement climatique des terres de cultures pluviales ;

(bb) la diffusion des connaissances concernant les variétés plus résistantes à la sécheresse, leur protection et leur fertilisation, va permettre de limiter le risque de pertes de récolte lors de mauvaises années pluviométriques ;

(cc) la diffusion de connaissance concernant l’irrigation va permettre d’améliorer un meilleur contrôle de l’eau pour les cultures et sécuriser la production ;

(dd) la diversification des activités rurales par la fondation d’eco-entreprises va permettre d’améliorer la productivité et augmenter la valeur ajoutée.

C. Catégorie environnementale et sociale

116. De manière générale, le Projet aura un impact à moyen et long terme majoritairement positif compte tenu des différentes actions dont le but final est de promouvoir la durabilité écologique et la résilience aux changements climatiques. Des activités de renforcement institutionnel et administratif, de sensibilisation et de formations environnementales professionnalisantes, l’agroforesterie et des aménagements des sous-bassins versants permettant de réduire l’érosion et de mieux maîtriser les eaux superficielles et les eaux souterraines peu profondes, des activités de reboisement et de gestion durable de forêts permettront aux populations rurales et surtout aux jeunes de développer une activité agricole ou rurale viable et afin d’avoir des alternatives à l’exode et à l’engagement dans des activités illégales.

117. Les impacts négatifs potentiels sont surtout sociaux et liés (i) à l’encadrement des communautés lors de l’ensemble des activités du Projet, (ii) au partage d’une vision stratégique commune pour les actions qui mêleront à la fois la valorisation économique et la préservation des ressources naturelles.

118. Le Projet ne devrait donc pas entrainer d’impacts négatifs significatifs en matière environnementale et sociale et, a été classé dans la catégorie B.

D. Catégorie de risques climatiques

119. Les éléments clés suivants sont pris en compte pour le classement climatique :

   (ee) les projections climatiques prévoient des changements limités en termes d’intensité, de distribution spatiale et temporelle de la pluviométrie à l’horizon 2030 : les perturbations de la pluviométrie, avec des périodes de sécheresse plus longues et des températures en augmentations dans la région septentrionale devraient avoir des effets sur la production agro-pastorale, et partant sur tous les maillons des filières agricoles ;

   (ff) le Projet prévoit de mener des activités d’agroforesterie et d’aménagement de sous-bassins versants permettant la réhabilitation des sols, de lutter contre la dégradation des ressources naturelles et de mieux gérer leur utilisation en réduisant les risques liés à la perturbation des régimes pluviométriques ;

   (gg) la faiblesse des informations sur les risques climatiques et la manière de les gérer, le manque de formation du monde agricole et l’absence d’incitations pour favoriser des pratiques viables sur le plan environnemental et climatique freinent l’émergence de systèmes de production plus adaptés et l’adoption de pratiques et de systèmes d’exploitation plus responsables et appropriés aux changements du contexte climatocécologique ;

   (hh) la quasi inexistence de données et d’analyses météorologiques locales ne permet pas de faire des évaluations et des projections très consistantes pour le Projet.

120. Les points ci-dessus montre que les objectifs et composantes du Projet prennent en compte le traitement des effets des changements climatiques. Le nombre limité d’expériences et d’acquis sur le terrain en matière de réduction des risques climatiques amène à proposer un classement de risque climatique MODERE.
Tableau 3 : matrice d’interactions potentielles (Réf. Leduc et Raymond 1999)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type de Gestion de l’activité</th>
<th>Cogestion</th>
<th>Gestion communautaire</th>
<th>Gestion individuelle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pla n d’a mé na ge ment</td>
<td>Pr o d u it s for ig est ee rux (p no F N L)</td>
<td>Inf ras hy tru dra chu res qu es</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Re bois s e me nt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milieu biophysique</th>
<th>Hydrologie</th>
<th>Eaux souterraines</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>B+ *</th>
<th>C-</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>C-</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qualité de l’eau</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+ *</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Régime hydrodynamique</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A+ *</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>C-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ruissellement / infiltration</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A+ *</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>C+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Géologie / dépôt de surface</td>
<td>Forme et relief</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>B+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structure et texture des sols</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biologie</td>
<td>Fertilité des sols</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+ *</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Couvert végétal</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A+ *</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Faune et habitat</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+ *</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>B-</td>
<td>B+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biodiversité</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C+ *</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>B+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ecosystèmes fragiles</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C+ *</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milieu humain et social</th>
<th>Populatio n</th>
<th>Démographie / déplacements</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>B+ *</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>C+</th>
<th>A+</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>C-</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Activité économique</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+ *</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Qualité de vie / hygiène</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>C+ *</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Utilisation des ressource</td>
<td>Eau libre</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+ *</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Espace agricole</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>B+ *</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>C-</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A+</th>
<th>A+ *</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>C+</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Espace pastoral</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A+ *</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>C+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Espace forestier</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>A+ *</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>B+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sites protégés</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A+ *</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A+</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>A-</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* sous condition de la participation essentielle des communautés dans le processus de cogestion de ces zones protégées et des zones périphériques.
E. Recommandations pour la conception et la mise en œuvre du Projet

Mesures d’atténuation

121. Lors de sa conception, le Projet accordera une attention particulière aux activités concernant :

(ii) l’amélioration du cadre administratif et institutionnel de la gestion des aires protégées et de la conservation de la biodiversité ;

(jj) l’amélioration de la connaissance scientifique des aires protégées et de leur zone périphériques ;

(kk) l’amélioration de la connaissance des communautés rurales et des participants au Projet sur les défis écologiques et la manière d’y répondre ;

(ll) l’amélioration du cadre institutionnel et de soutien de la promotion des initiatives écologiques et de lutte contre les effets néfastes des changements climatiques ;

(mm) l’amélioration de l’offre de formation dans le domaine écologique et la formation de jeunes dans des éco-entreprises ;

(nn) l’appui à la mise en œuvre de projets et éco-entreprises à dimension écologique et/ou d’adaptation aux changements climatiques portés par des jeunes ;

(oo) l’accompagnement et le suivi technique et organisationnel de jeunes entrepreneurs et des activités écologiques.

Approches à bénéfices multiples

122. La gestion locale collective des ressources naturelles par l’intermédiaire d’une structure de forêt communautaire permet d’avoir des impacts multiples :

(pp) la responsabilité des communautés dans la gestion durable de leurs ressources ;

(qq) la responsabilité des communautés dans la conservation de l’aire protégée limitrophe ;

(rr) une indépendance fiscale partielle par rapport aux produits forestiers ;

(ss) l’apport de ressources financières aux communautés par la réalisation de prélèvement de produits forestiers non ligneux et produits forestiers ;

(tt) le renforcement des capacités locales de mise en œuvre ;

(uu) la sécurisation des revenus des ménages vulnérables liés à l’exploitation de ces ressources naturelles.

123. Les activités de promotion d’une sensibilité écologique, d’informations et d’appui au développement d’initiatives/entreprises écologiques, en particulier vers les jeunes ruraux vont avoir des effets environnementaux multiples :

(vv) la gestion durable des terres combinant des mesures de conservations des eaux et des techniques d’agroforesterie permettront de réduire les pertes de terre à la parcelle, d’améliorer la fertilité et le bilan hydrique des sols, d’augmenter la disponibilité de fourrage, d’améliorer la séquestration de carbone à la parcelle et de favoriser la biodiversité en créant de nouveaux habitats pour la faune et la flore ;

(ww) les interventions de régénération naturelle assistée permettront d’éviter l’érosion par ruissellement, d’améliorer le bilan hydrique des sols et de favoriser la recharge des nappes phréatiques ;

(xx) le développement de petites entreprises à caractère écologique (pépinières, transformation de produits forestiers non ligneux, production de compost, etc.) permettra non seulement de créer des revenus et des emplois pour les jeunes, mais également de servir de vecteur pour de nouvelles approches en matière de respect et de valorisation citoyenne des ressources naturelles.
La mise en avant d’une vision stratégique commune entre les acteurs de la conservation de la biodiversité et les populations limitrophes permet de soutenir l’ensemble des démarches mise en place et présentées précédemment.

**Incitations pour de bonnes pratiques**

125. Le Projet fournira des facilités et des incitations pour la formation des jeunes en éco-entreprises ainsi que pour la mise en place d’initiatives écologiques liées aux aménagements du territoire.

126. A l’issue de la formation, le Projet participera sous forme de « prime verte » au développement, au démarrage et à la croissance des éco-entreprises.

127. Le Projet veillera à l’évaluation en particulier de la viabilité technique et économique des initiatives présentées par les jeunes avant de s’engager ou non dans leur soutien.

**Processus participatif**

128. Les activités de ciblage des bénéficiaires s’appuieront sur l’élaboration de critères objectifs et une démarche de ciblage transparente et participative afin de favoriser l’appropriation des activités par les communautés ciblées et éviter l’accaparement de certains appuis par des personnes non motivées ou par des élites.

129. Des commissions de sélection et de validation des micro-projets seront mises en place, relativement proches des zones d’intervention et qui opéreront sur la base de directives et procédures consignées dans un manuel d’opérations.

130. Des échanges réguliers d’information avec d’autres intervenants au niveau local comme au niveau national seront assurés (Comité multipartenaires – CMP), afin de favoriser l’harmonisation dans les approches et les appuis, promouvoir les complémentarités et les synergies et éviter des doublons.

**F. Analyse des alternatives**

131. L’intensification des systèmes de production tout en veillant au respect de l’environnement et la réduction des risques climatiques est nécessaire pour garantir des moyens d’existence viables aux ménages ruraux pauvres et aux jeunes désireux de rester dans leur communauté et de trouver une situation stable.

132. Les écosystèmes du Cameroun, malgré les menaces qui pèsent sur eux, sont relativement riches et variés pour permettre la mise en place de nouvelles activités. Par ailleurs, la demande soutenue en produits agricoles et le niveau de prix sont des facteurs positifs pour le développement de nouvelles entreprises tournées vers le marché.

133. La combinaison d’activités dans la production végétale, le petit élevage, l’aquaculture ou la foresterie peut être une approche intéressante pour mieux valoriser les ressources naturelles, l’utilisation de co-produits, la main d’œuvre disponible sur l’exploitation, voire sur le marché local et ainsi d’accroître les bénéfices d’une entreprise tout en limitant les investissements fonciers ou les charges financières. Ces alternatives devraient être étudiées de près dans le montage de micro-projets ou d’entreprises par les jeunes.

**G. Analyse institutionnelle**

**Cadre institutionnel**

134. **Cadre légal national.** La loi cadre relative à la gestion de l’environnement n° 96/12 du 05 août 1996 et son article 9 fixe les principes fondamentaux de la gestion de l’environnement au Cameroun : (i) le principe de précaution, (ii) le principe d’action préventive et de correction, (iii) le principe du pollueur-payeur, (iv) le principe de responsabilité, (v) le principe de participation et (vi) le principe de subsidiarité. Le décret n° 2013/0171/PM du 14 février 2013 définit les procédures des Études d’Impact Environnemental sommaires ou détaillées et des Notices d’Impact Environnemental, respectivement catégorisées A et B.

135. Le Projet devra ainsi réaliser une Notice d’Impact environnemental, pour validation auprès du responsable départemental des services déconcentrés du Ministère de l’Environnement, avant la mise en œuvre de ces activités.
Le Projet veillera également à suivre les cadres des lois complémentaires suivantes :

- loi n° 98/005 du 14 avril 1998 portant sur le régime de l'eau ;
- loi n° 99/017 du 22 décembre 1999, régissant le contrôle de qualité des sols, des matériaux de construction et des études géotechniques ;
- loi n° 94/01 du 20 janvier 1994, portant le régime des forêts, de la faune et de la pêche ainsi que le décret n° 95/466/PM du 20 juillet 1995, fixant les modalités d'application du régime de la faune.

Dans le cas de forêt communautaire, la commercialisation des Produits Forestiers Non Ligneux qui en sont issus, et qui constitue une des activités appuyées par le Projet, est légalement encadrée. Les propriétaires de cette forêt doivent signer avec le MINFOF une convention de gestion (art. 3 de la décision n°1985 /D /MINEF /SG /DF /CFC), fixant les modalités d'exploitation en régie et de préservation des ressources naturelles, dans le cadre de la mise en œuvre du plan simple de gestion.

Engagements internationaux. Le pays est signataire de nombreuses conventions internationales, soulignant notamment son investissement dans la préservation des écosystèmes et de la biodiversité :

- convention sur le Commerce International des espèces de plantes et d'animaux sauvages menacées (CITES) de 1973 et ratifiée le 05/061981 ;
- convention de Portée internationale sur les Zones humides, notamment en ce qui concerne l'Habitat de la Sauvagine (Ramsar) de 1971 et ratifiée le 11/01/2006 ;
- convention de Bonn sur les Espèces Migratoires d'Animaux sauvages (CMS de 1979 et ratifiée le 01/11/1983.

Le pays a également ratifié de nombreuses conventions régionales et sous-régionales pour la gestion durable des ressources comme pour le Traité de la Commission des Ministres des Forêts de l'Afrique Centrale pour la Conservation et la Gestion durable des Ecosystèmes forestiers (COMIFAC) en 2000.

Alignement avec les Plan Stratégiques Nationaux. La signature des ces conventions a ensuite été traduit par la mise en place d'infrastructures et de plans nationaux stratégiques sur la biodiversité, l'adaptation au changement climatique et la lutte contre la désertification.


Enfin, le Projet s’aligne (i) pour l'entrepreneuriat rural pour la lutte contre la pauvreté, sur le Plan National d’Investissement Agricole et le programme PAIJR de la Stratégie de
Développement du Secteur Rural SDSR ; et (ii) pour la politique de finance rurale, sur le programme PAFSR de la SDSR.

145. **Alignement avec la Contribution prévue déterminée au plan national (INDC).** Le Projet est par ailleurs aligné avec les orientations stratégiques de l’INDC du Cameroun, présentées lors de la Conférences des Parties à Paris. Le Projet met en avant la promotion d’activités génératrices de revenus limitant les actions néfastes sur l’environnement tel que la déforestation, liée à l’orientation d’atténuation n°2 sur « l’intensification d’une production respectueuse de l’environnement et permettant de limiter la déforestation/ dégradation ». Le Projet s’attache aux problématiques d’adaptation aux changements climatiques en sensibilisant et formant les jeunes aux pratiques agro-écopreneuriales préservant les milieux naturels, il s’aligne avec les orientations d’adaptation, programme 16 du secteur agricole, programme 19 de la forêt et programme 6 du renforcement des capacités :

i. points d’alignement du Programme 16 – agriculture : « Développement d’une agriculture intégrée et résiliente face aux effets des changements climatiques » ; « Gestion des besoins en eau » ; et « développement de l’agriculture durable/ conservatoire » ;

ii. points d’alignement du programme 19 – forêt : « Réduction de la vulnérabilité des forêts au changement climatique au Cameroun ; inventaires, gestion et conservations des blocs forestiers, reconstitution du couvert forestier » ; « agroforêsterie villageoise », « conservation de la biodiversité ; gestion des trafics et du braconnage ; gestion des feux de brousse » ;

iii. points d’alignement du programme 6 – renforcement des capacités : « Education, formation professionnelle et renforcement des capacités sur le changement climatique : curricula et outils pédagogiques, formations spécialisées ; formation continue ; bourses d’études ; appui à la recherche ».

146. **Cadre institutionnel.** Le Projet s’appuiera sur des partenaires, notamment des centres de formation, des prestataires de services privés et des organisations non-gouvernementales pour capitaliser sur leur expertise, leur savoir-faire et leurs capacités d’intervention dans les régions cibles. Le Projet sera dans une dynamique d’appui aux interventions déjà existantes, en particulier celles du FIDA, pour développer la prise en compte des questions environnementales et de changements climatiques, mais également pour élargir les opportunités d’activités dans le secteur de l’écologie pour les projets en cours, tel que le PEA-Jeunes, le PADFA ou le PADMIR. Enfin, le Projet informera l’ensemble des parties du Comité Multi Partenaires Environnement afin d’assurer une très forte coordination avec les projets existants et de créer des synergies et des complémentarités durables.

**Renforcement des capacités**

147. Des activités de renforcement des capacités sont prévues aux niveaux suivants :

i. Amélioration du cadre administratif et institutionnel de la gestion des aires protégées et de la conservation de la biodiversité ;

ii. Amélioration des connaissances des communautés rurales et des parties prenantes au Projet sur les défis écologiques et la manière d’y répondre (activités d’information, éducation et communication - IEC) ;

iii. Elaboration et mise à disposition des personnes intéressées de référentiels technico-économiques sur les activités et/ou des techniques vertes adaptées ;

iv. Formation et incubation de jeunes dans des métiers liés à l’agro-écologie ;

v. Appui-conseil technique et organisationnel à de jeunes promoteurs d’initiatives ou d’entreprises à dimension écologique et/ou d’adaptation aux changements climatiques.

**Financement complémentaire**

148. Les activités du Projet feront l’objet d’un financement par le Fonds d’Adaptation ainsi que d’un cofinancement direct tant par le gouvernement du Cameroun que par les partenaires de mise en œuvre (ICRAF, UICN).

---

40 La mission de conception n’a pu rencontrer ce comité qui ne siège que tous les trois mois mais elle a présenté le Projet au comité multi-partenaires Agriculture lors de sa session de juillet 2016.
H. Suivi et évaluation

149. De manière intrinsèque au Projet ECO-Jeunes, des études de caractérisation des zones d'intervention seront réalisées dans les zones périphériques aux parcs nationaux ciblés, permettant après traitement de déterminer une situation de référence de ces zones sur les thématiques socio-environnementales. Le système de suivi environnemental devra être développé par les partenaires chargés de la mise en œuvre de la gestion des ressources naturelles. Ce système sera intégré au système de suivi-évaluation du Projet.

150. L'Observatoire National sur les Changements Climatiques a été créé en 2009, et l'AFD participe au renforcement des capacités de cette structure. L'observatoire développe trois activités d'intervention : (i) actions d'information des agriculteurs et des éleveurs sur les conditions climatiques et météorologiques ; (ii) suivi des indicateurs de biodiversité et environnementales (stockage de la biomasse / bilan carbone) ; et (iii) suivi des indicateurs sociaux. Cette logique d'intervention n'est pas encore opérationnelle mais devrait l'être lors de la mise en œuvre du Projet qui en bénéficiera pour le suivi des opérations.

151. L'ensemble des indicateurs en lien avec les différentes activités de conservation de la biodiversité, de la préservation de l'environnement et d'adaptation aux changements climatiques est identifié dans le cadre logique du Projet, présenté dans la note de conception.

I. Informations complémentaires pour affiner le ciblage

152. La note de conception détaille et approfondie les possibilités de partenariat ainsi que le montage institutionnel du Projet qui s'appuiera sur les acquis et les structures déjà fonctionnelles des autres projets en cours cofinancés par le FIDA dans la zone d'intervention, le PEA-J PADFA et le PADMIR.

Elle approfondit l'impact d'activités similaires déjà réalisées dans les zones d'intervention du Projet et étaye la présente Note des leçons complémentaires apprises.
Annexe 1 : Questions servant à guider les choix dans l’examen critique du risque climatique

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Oui</th>
<th>Non</th>
<th>Complément d’explication à la réponse “Oui”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Est-ce que le groupe cible du Projet dépend de ressources naturelles sensibles au climat (cultures sensibles à la sécheresse, cultures pluviales, espèces halieutiques migratrices, etc.) ?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture de subsistance, non-irriguée en majorité Faible diversification des activités ou des sources de revenus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est-ce que la zone du Projet a fait l’objet de phénomènes météorologiques extrêmes dans le passé (tels que inondations, sécheresse, tempêtes tropicales, vagues de chaleur) ?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sècheresses dans l’Extrême-Nord et le Nord Inondations beaucoup moins fréquentes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Les changements de température, les précipitations ou les conditions météorologiques extrêmes pourraient-elles affecter l’impact du Projet, sa durabilité ou son coût pendant son cycle de vie ?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Perte partielle/totale de récolte par sécheresse ou inondation Perte des jeunes plants reboisés par sécheresse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est-ce que la variabilité du climat pourrait affecter la productivité agricole dans le cadre du Projet (cultures/élevage/pêche) ou l’incidence des ravageurs et des maladies ?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>La variabilité climatique va demander l’utilisation de variétés moins sensibles au stress hydrique. L’évolution de la pression parasitaire est difficile à prévoir, mais des techniques d’agroforesterie et de diversification de la production devrait la réduire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est-ce que les aléas climatiques pourraient avoir un impact négatif sur des étapes clé des chaines de valeur identifiées dans le Projet (de la production à la mise en marché) ?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Rupture de flux suite à de mauvaises récoltes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Est-ce que le Projet a un potentiel pour intégrer des mesures de résilience climatique sans des coûts supplémentaires importants (Exemple: application de normes de construction améliorées, extension des programmes de renforcement de capacités, intégration politique des enjeux liés aux risques climatiques) ?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Techniques d’agroforesterie, sinon les mesures antiérosives ou de reforestation entraînent toutes des coûts additionnels, mais créent en même temps de l’emploi et des revenus pour la population locale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Le Projet pourrait-il bénéficier d’une analyse des risques climatiques et de la vulnérabilité plus détaillés pour mieux identifier les populations rurales les plus vulnérables, améliorer le ciblage et identifier des mesures complémentaires d’investissement pour gérer les risques climatiques ?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td>Les populations les plus vulnérables socio-économiquement sont certainement les mêmes que celles vulnérables en premier aux changements climatiques.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annexe 2: Plan de Gestion Environnementale et Sociale
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paramètres</th>
<th>Activité</th>
<th>Indicateur de performance</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>Responsabilité du Monitoring</th>
<th>Moyen de Monitoring</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversité</td>
<td>Suivi du nombre de conflit</td>
<td>Nombre de conflit reportés</td>
<td>Rapport annuel de gestion des parcs</td>
<td>IUCN / ICRAF / parc nationaux</td>
<td>Analyse documentaire / visite de monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ressources forestière</td>
<td>Suivi de la ressource</td>
<td>Indicateur de couvert végétal</td>
<td>Rapport d'activité Plan de Gestion simplifié</td>
<td>IUCN / ICRAF / inspection forestières</td>
<td>Analyse documentaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ressources financière des communautés</td>
<td>Suivi des revenus issus de la gestion forestière</td>
<td>Revenus des activités</td>
<td>Rapport d'activité</td>
<td>IUCN / ICRAF</td>
<td>Analyse documentaire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Activités spécifiques des vulnérables</td>
<td>Suivi du nombre de vulnérables actifs des filières PFNL</td>
<td>%femmes %marginalisés</td>
<td>Enquêtes</td>
<td>IUCN / ICRAF</td>
<td>Visite de monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expropriation foncière des vulnérables</td>
<td>Suivi et résolution des conflits d'expropriations foncière des vulnérables</td>
<td>Nombre de conflit d'expropriation foncière résolu</td>
<td>Enquêtes</td>
<td>IUCN / ICRAF</td>
<td>Visite de monitoring et de soutien</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annexe 3 : Bilan carbone des zones d’intervention du Projet

Le bilan carbone du projet a été réalisé par l’utilisation du logiciel ExAct v7.0 de la FAO. En raison des caractéristiques particulières des différentes zones d’étude, trois bilans carbone ont été réalisés.
**Région Extrême-Nord :**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nom du Projet</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>ECO-Jeurs</th>
<th>Zone climatique</th>
<th>Tropical (Humide)</th>
<th>Durée du Projet (en années)</th>
<th>Surface totale (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Composantes du projet**

- Flux bruts
  - Sans : 0
  - Avec : 0
  - Bilan : 0

- Tous les GES en CO2eq : 0

- Positivité/émission / négativités : 0

- Résultats par en
  - Sans : 0
  - Avec : 0
  - Bilan : 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changements d'usage</th>
<th>CO2</th>
<th>N2O</th>
<th>CH4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Déforestation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dégradation et gestion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Région Nord :**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nom du Projet</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>ECO-Jeurs</th>
<th>Zone climatique</th>
<th>Tropical (Humide)</th>
<th>Durée du Projet (en années)</th>
<th>Surface totale (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Composantes du projet**

- Flux bruts
  - Sans : 0
  - Avec : 0
  - Bilan : 0

- Tous les GES en CO2eq : 0

- Positivité/émission / négativités : 0

- Résultats par en
  - Sans : 0
  - Avec : 0
  - Bilan : 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changements d'usage</th>
<th>CO2</th>
<th>N2O</th>
<th>CH4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Déforestation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dégradation et gestion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Région Nord-Ouest :**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nom du Projet</th>
<th>Continent</th>
<th>ECO-Jeurs</th>
<th>Zone climatique</th>
<th>Montages Tropical (Humide)</th>
<th>Durée du Projet (en années)</th>
<th>Surface totale (ha)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Composantes du projet**

- Flux bruts
  - Sans : 0
  - Avec : 0
  - Bilan : 0

- Tous les GES en CO2eq : 0

- Positivité/émission / négativités : 0

- Résultats par en
  - Sans : 0
  - Avec : 0
  - Bilan : 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Changements d'usage</th>
<th>CO2</th>
<th>N2O</th>
<th>CH4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Déforestation</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dégradation et gestion</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Annexe 4 : Hypothèses de calcul des bilans carbone

L’ensemble des hypothèses et des sources utilisées pour la réalisation des bilans carbone sont présentées ci-dessous par zone d’intervention :

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone Extrême-Nord</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zone climatique Tropical sec</td>
<td>Atlas MINEPAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sol : Sol à argile 1 :1 (LAC)</td>
<td>FAO – ExAct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase d’implémentation : 5 ans</td>
<td>Durée du projet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase de capitalisation : 15 ans</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avant Projet</th>
<th>Avec Projet</th>
<th>Sans Projet</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Unité</th>
<th>Calcul</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 2. Utilisation des sols

- **Reboisement (terres arbustives tropicales Z4)**
  
  600 ha terrain dégradé = forêt arbustive = terrain dégradé  
  
  Surface : Etat  
  
  Ha  
  
  Calcul : Reboisement de 200 ha pour 3 nouvelles forêts communautaires : 3 x 200 ha = 600 ha
  
  - PAN/LCD  
  - Annexe 4  
  - Annexe 10

- **Aménagement de sous-bassins versants - Régénération Naturelle Assistée**
  
  100 ha  
  
  culture annuelle (coton) = Agroforesterie = terrain dégradé (hardéisation)  
  
  Surface : Etat  
  
  Ha  
  
  Calcul : 2000 ha avec une densité de 20 arbres à l’hectare contre 400 arbres dans le logiciel, d’où une surface de calcul de 2000 ha x 0.05 = 100 ha
  
  - Annexe 10  
  - PAN/LCD  
  - Annexe 4

#### 3. Terrains agricoles

- **1200 ha pratiques agronomiques et de gestion des engrais**
  
  1200 ha = amélioration des pratiques = sans amélioration des pratiques  
  
  Surface : Etat  
  
  Ha  
  
  Calcul : 2ha par exploitation pour 600 bénéficiaires : 2 ha x 600 = 1200 ha
  
  - Annexe 4  
  - Annexe 10

- **TBD**
  
  TBD  
  
  TBD  
  
  TBD  
  
  Rendement culture  
  
  Kg/ha  
  
  2. Utilisation des sols

- **5. Dégradation et gestion de forêt**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>8400 ha</th>
<th>=</th>
<th>=</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Ha</th>
<th>3 nouvelles forêts communautaires de Anne xe 4 SPAN B II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>modéré</td>
<td>faible</td>
<td>forte</td>
<td>Dégradation</td>
<td>3000 ha moins les 600 ha de reboisement = 8400 ha</td>
<td>PAN/LCD</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Intrants

Engrais / *basé sur la culture de Sorgho*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2,2 kg/ha</th>
<th>=</th>
<th>Poudrette de bovin - N</th>
<th>Kg/ha</th>
<th>1 tonne x 2,2 kgN/t = 2,2 kgN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4,4 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total N compost</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>2000 ha x 2,2 kgN = 4,4 tN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>NPK – N</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>20% x 50 kgN = 10 kgN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>NPK – Po</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>10% x 50 kgPo = 5 kgPo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>NPK – K</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>10% x 50 kgK = 5 kgK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,3 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Urée - N</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>20 x 46,7%N = 9,3 kgN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18,6 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total urée - N fabriqué</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>2000 ha x 9,3 kgN = 18,6 tN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total NPK – N fabriqué</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>2000 ha x 5 kgN = 10 tN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total Po fabriqué</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>2000 ha x 5 kgPo = 10 tPo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total K fabriqué</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>2000 ha x 5 kgK = 10 tK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Annexe 10**
  Fertilisation par hectare d'un champ de sorgho :
  - 150 sacs de 50 kg de fiente bord champ
  - 50 kg de NPK (20:10:10)
  - 20 kg de urée

- **Annexe 4**
  - ADEME
  - Poudrette de bovin : 2,2 kgN/t ; 1 kgP/t ; 3 kgK/t.
  - Sac NPK (20:10:10)
  - Urée : 46,7% N

- **CIRAD**
  - 1 tonne/ha de poudrette de bovin est enfouis en région cotonnière ou en culture dans les régions semi-arides
### Herbicides / basé sur la culture de sorgho

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kg/ha</th>
<th>Herbe liquide</th>
<th>Kg/ha</th>
<th>Herbe solide</th>
<th>Kg/ha</th>
<th>Herbe grains</th>
<th>Kg/ha</th>
<th>Herbe total</th>
<th>Kg total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0,16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,54</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1720</td>
<td>1720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **MINADER DURATOLL liquide** (Atrazine 500 g/L ; Isoflutole 37,5 g/L)
- **HERBIMAIS solide** (Atrazine 750 g/L ; Nicosulfuron 40 g/L)

### Insecticides / basé sur la culture de Sorgho

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kg total</th>
<th>Principe actif</th>
<th>Kg total</th>
<th>Kg/ha x 2000 ha = 400 kg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>400 kg</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0,2 kg/ha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **MINADER Insecticide CYPERCAL 200 EC/ Cyperméthrine 200 g/L**
- **Annexe 10 Utilisation de 1 L/ha**

### Zone Nord

- **Zone climatique** Tropical humide
- **Sols** : Sol à argile 1 :1 (LAC)
- **Phase d’implémentation** : 5 ans
- **Phase de capitalisation** : 15 ans

### Source

- Atlas MINEPAT
- FAO – ExAct
- Durée du projet
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avant Projet</th>
<th>Avec Projet</th>
<th>Sans Projet</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Unité</th>
<th>Calcul</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2. Utilisation des sols</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aménagement de sous-bassins versants - Agroforesterie</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 ha culture annuelle (mais)</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Surface Etat</td>
<td>Ha</td>
<td>1000 ha avec une densité de 40 arbres à l'hectare contre 400 arbres dans le logiciel, d'où une surface de calcul de 1000 ha x 0,1 = 100 ha</td>
<td>• Annexe 10&lt;br&gt;• PAN/LCD&lt;br&gt;• Annexe 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Terrains agricoles</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000 ha Pratiques agronomiques et de gestion des engrais</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Surface Etat</td>
<td>Ha</td>
<td>1 ha par exploitation pour 1000 bénéficiaires : 1 ha x 1000 = 1000 ha</td>
<td>• Annexe 4&lt;br&gt;• Annexe 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Dégradation et gestion de forêt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80 000 ha = = Surface Ha zones modéré&lt;br&gt;80 000 ha Mosaïque arbustive</td>
<td>Plan d'intérêts forte&lt;br&gt;(15% de culture : prairies 70%)</td>
<td>d'aménagement des cynégétique&lt;br&gt;Dégradation à gestion arbustives ; 15% savane de forêt</td>
<td>• Annexe 4&lt;br&gt;• SPANB II&lt;br&gt;• PAN/LCD&lt;br&gt;• Occupation des sols (Atlas forestier Cameroun)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Intrants</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engrais / basé sur la culture de maïs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,2 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Poudrette de bovin - N&lt;br&gt;Total N compost</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>1 tonne x 2,2 kgN/t = 2,2 kgN&lt;br&gt;1000 ha x 2,2 kgN = 2,2 tN</td>
<td>• Annexe 10&lt;br&gt;Fertilisation par hectare d'un champ de maïs :&lt;br&gt;150 sacs de 50kg de fiente bord champ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK – N</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>20% x 50 kgN = 10 kgN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK – Po</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>10% x 50 kgPo = 5 kgPo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPK – K</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>10% x 50 kgK = 5 kgK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ureée - N</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>20 kg x 46,7 %N = 9,3 kgN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 ha x 9,3 kgN = 9,3 tN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 ha x 10 kgN = 10 tN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 ha x 5 kgPo = 5 tPo</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 ha x 5 kgK = 5 tK</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

50 kg de NPK (20:10:10)

20 kg de urée

- **Annexe 4**
- **ADEME**

Poudrette de bovin : 2,2 kgN/t ; 1 kgP/t ; 3 kgK/t.

**Sac NPK (20:10:10)**

Urée : 46,7% N

- **CIRAD**

1 tonne/ha de poudrette de bovin est enfouis en région cotonnière ou en culture dans les régions semi-arides

**Habiticides / basé sur la culture de maïs**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantité</th>
<th>Unité</th>
<th>Produit</th>
<th>quantité</th>
<th>Produit</th>
<th>quantité</th>
<th>Produit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0,16 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Herb. liquide</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>0,3 L/ha x (0,5 kg/L + 0,038 kg/L) = 0,16 kg/ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,2 L/ha x (0,75 kg/L + 0,040 kg/L) = 0,16 kg/ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,16 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Herb. solide</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>0,3 L/ha x (0,5 kg/L + 0,038 kg/L) = 0,16 kg/ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0,2 L/ha x (0,75 kg/L + 0,040 kg/L) = 0,16 kg/ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0,54 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Herb. grains</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>1 L x (0,5 kg/L + 0,038 kg/L) = 0,54 kg/ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1000 ha x (0,16 kg/ha + 0,16 kg/ha + 0,54 kg/ha) = 860 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>860 kg</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Herb. total</td>
<td>Kg total</td>
<td>0,2 kg/ha x 1000 ha = 200 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Insecticides / basé sur la culture de maïs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantité</th>
<th>Unité</th>
<th>Produit</th>
<th>quantité</th>
<th>Produit</th>
<th>quantité</th>
<th>Produit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>200 kg</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Principe actif</td>
<td>Kg total</td>
<td>0,2 kg/ha x 1000 ha = 200 kg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• MINADER Insecticide CYPERCAL 200 EC/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cyperméthrine 200 g/L</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Annexe 10 Utilisation de 1 L/ha</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Zone Nord-Ouest**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone climatique montagneuse tropicale</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sols : Sols volcaniques</td>
<td>Atlas MINEPAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase d’implémentation : 5 ans</td>
<td>FAO – ExAct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase de capitalisation : 15 ans</td>
<td>Durée du projet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2. Utilisation des sols

#### Reboisement (système montagneux tropical Z1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avant Projet</th>
<th>Avec Projet</th>
<th>Sans Projet</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Unité</th>
<th>Calcul</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Aménagement de sous-bassins versants - Agroforesterie

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avant Projet</th>
<th>Avec Projet</th>
<th>Sans Projet</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Unité</th>
<th>Calcul</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3. Terrains agricoles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avant Projet</th>
<th>Avec Projet</th>
<th>Sans Projet</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Unité</th>
<th>Calcul</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5. Dégradation et gestion de forêt

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avant Projet</th>
<th>Avec Projet</th>
<th>Sans Projet</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Unité</th>
<th>Calcul</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7. Intrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>11600 ha modéré</th>
<th>= faible</th>
<th>= forte</th>
<th>Surface Dégradation</th>
<th>Ha</th>
<th>6 nouvelles forêts communautaires de 12000 ha moins les 400 ha de reboisement pour ces forêts = 11600 ha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Annexe 4</strong> <strong>SPANB</strong> <strong>PAN/LC D</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Engrais / *basé sur la culture de maïs*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>263 kg/ha</th>
<th>=</th>
<th>Fiente de bord de champ - N</th>
<th>Kg/ha</th>
<th>150 sacs x 50 kg x 35 kgN/t = 263 kgN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>263 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total N compost</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>1000 ha x 263 kgN = 263 tN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>NPK – N</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>20% x 50 kgN = 10 kgN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>NPK – Po</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>10% x 50 kgPo = 5 kgPo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>NPK – K</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>10% x 50 kgK = 5 kgK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5,3 kg/ha</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Urée - N</td>
<td>Kg/ha</td>
<td>20 kg x 46,7% N = 9,3 kgN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,3 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total urée - N fabriqué</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>1000 ha x 9,3 kgN = 9,3 tN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,3 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total NPK – N fabriqué</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>1000 ha x 10kgN = 10tN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total Po fabriqué</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>1000 ha x 5 kgPo = 5tPo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 t</td>
<td>=</td>
<td>Total K fabriqué</td>
<td>tonne</td>
<td>1000 ha x 5 kgK = 5 tK</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Herbicides / basé sur la culture de maïs**

---

**Annexe 10**

Fertilisation par hectare d’un champ de maïs :

- 150 sacs de 50 kg de fiente bord champ
- 50 kg de NPK (20:10:10)
- 20 kg de urée

**Annexe 4 • ADEME**

Fiente : 35 kgN/t ; 37 kgPo/t ; 24 kgK/t

Sacs NPK (20:10:10)

Urée : 46,7% N
| 0,16 kg/ha | = | = | Herb. liquide | Kg/ha | 0,3 L/ha x (0,5 kg/L + 0,038 kg/L) = 0,16 kg/ha |
| 0,16 kg/ha | | | Herb. solide | Kg/ha | 0,2 L/ha x (0,75 kg/L + 0,040 kg/L) = 0,16 kg/ha |
| 0,54 kg/ha | | | Herb. grains | Kg/ha | 1L x (0,5 kg/L + 0,038 kg/L) = 0,54 kg/ha |
| 860 kg | | | Herb. total | Kg total | 1000 ha x (0,16 kg/ha + 0,16 kg/ha + 0,54 kg/ha) = 860 kg |

**Insecticides / basé sur la culture de maïs**

| 200 kg | = | = | Principe actif | Kg total | 0,2 kg/ha x 1000 ha = 200 kg |

**Annexe 5 : Analyse SWOT des parcs nationaux objet du Projet ECO-Jeunes**

Des analyses Forces / Faiblesses / Opportunités / Contraintes ont été réalisées pour chaque parc national et zone périphérique afin de cibler les interventions du Projet et maximaliser leur efficacité.

**Analyse SWOT du parc national de Waza**

| Forces | Faiblesses |
### Importance bioécologique

**Statut foncier du Parc**

**Absence d’un programme de recherche adapté**

**Ressources humaines, logistiques et financières insuffisantes**

**Coordination et collaboration institutionnelles insuffisantes**

**Faible implication des populations locales et autres acteurs**

**Absence de collaboration transfrontalière**

**Présence des villages à l’intérieur du Parc**

### Opportunités

**Partenariat avec les instituts de formation et de recherche**

**Promotion de la gestion participative**

**Existence d’un cadre institutionnel et réglementaire adéquat**

**Présence des projets et partenaires**

**Mise en place en cours d’une initiative transfrontalière entre le Cameroun et le Nigéria – inscription sur la liste des Réserves de Biosphère de l’UNESCO et comme site Ramsar**

**Facilité d’accès au site**

### Contraintes

**Insécurité transfrontalière**

**Manque d’eau à l’intérieur et à l’extérieur du parc**

**Porosité du parc**

**Proximité des installations humaines**

**Mode de vie des Populations**

**Transhumance**

**Modes d’exploitation des ressources naturelles**

**Braconnage**

**Conflits entre les populations locales et la faune sauvage**

**Déforestation**

**Circulation frauduleuse de produits forestiers et fauniques**

**Exploitation pétrolière et autres chantier**

### Analyse SWOT du parc national de la Bénoué

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forces</th>
<th>Faiblesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Connaissances et Inventaires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Délimitation physique</th>
<th>Les corridors fauniques officiels sont fortement dégradés</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructures pour l’éco-tourisme</td>
<td>Limite fluviale du parc non défini avec précision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ressources humaines</td>
<td>Les infrastructures immobilières sont insuffisantes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L’aire protégée dispose d’un système efficace de contrôle et d’évaluation</td>
<td>L’entretien des pistes rencontre des lacunes et ces dernières semblent en mauvais état</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processus de cogestion avancée malgré les précédents disfonctionnements</td>
<td>Les armes dont disposent les éco gardes sont obsolètes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Le plan d’aménagement du parc est arrivé à terme en 2008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Opportunités

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Partenaires et projets locaux</th>
<th>Géométrie du parc national et importances des effets de bords</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Braconnage transfrontalier limité</td>
<td>Diversité des pressions anthropiques</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Contraintes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyse SWOT du parc national de Kimbi -Fungom</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Forces</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentiel bioécologique très important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implication des populations riveraines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faiblesses</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Documents de gestion inexistants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Données d’inventaire insuffisantes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moyens et infrastructures quasiment inexistantes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructures routières dégradées et insuffisantes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Opport unités</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milieu peu exploité et peu dégradé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contraintes</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulté d’accès</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Début de pressions anthropiques transfrontalières</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>