



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/B.35.b/7
16 November 2020

ADAPTATION FUND BOARD

Second session of the thirty-fifth meeting
Bonn, Germany (Virtually held)
26–28 October 2020

DECISIONS OF THE SECOND SESSION OF THE THIRTY-FIFTH MEETING OF THE ADAPTATION FUND BOARD

Agenda Item 5: Report of twenty-sixth meeting of the Project and Programme Review Committee

Single- project and programme proposals

Fully-developed proposals

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)

Regular proposals:

Côte d'Ivoire: Increasing Rural Communities' Adaptive Capacity and Resilience to Climate Change in Bandama Basin in Côte d'Ivoire (Fully-developed project; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); CIV/MIE/Agric/2020/1; US\$ 6,000,000).

1. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Not approve the fully-developed project document, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) Suggest that IFAD reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should better define and provide more specificity about the sub-projects, including on entities that the project is aiming to develop partnerships with;
 - (ii) The proposal should consider restructuring the outputs of component 2, to clearly show concrete adaptation actions versus others, and revise the detailed budget to show the percentage of funding dedicated to concrete adaptation measures;

- (iii) The proposal should provide further justification on how the selected solutions are cost effective compared to alternative solutions;
 - (iv) The proposal should further elaborate on the complementarity and coherence with other relevant initiatives in the country;
 - (v) The proposal should better articulate the knowledge management approach, including how learning and lessons will be disseminated; and
- (c) To request IFAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Côte d'Ivoire.

(Decision B.35.b/1)

Gambia, Republic of The: Rural Integrated Climate Adaptation and Resilience Building Project (RICAR) (Fully-developed project; World Food Programme (WFP); GMB/MIE/Rural/2019/1; US\$ 10,000,000).

2. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Note the recommendation that the Adaptation Fund Board:
 - (i) Approve the fully-developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the World Food Programme (WFP) to the request made by the technical review;
 - (ii) Approve the funding of US\$ 10,000,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by WFP; and
 - (iii) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with WFP as the multilateral implementing entity for the project; and
- (b) Place the project on the waitlist pursuant to Decisions B.17/19, B.19/5, B.28/1 and B.35.a-35.b/46.

(Decision B.35.b/2)

Regional project and programme proposals

Concepts

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)

El Salvador, Honduras: Strengthening the Adaptive Capacities of Climate-Vulnerable Communities in the Goascorán Watershed of El Salvador and Honduras through Integrated Community-Based Adaptation Practices and Services (Concept note; World Food Programme (WFP); LAC/MIE/Food/2018/PD/1; US\$ 11,886,691).

3. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Endorse the concept note submitted by the World Food Programme (WFP);
- (b) Approve the project formulation grant of US \$ 80,000; and
- (c) Encourage the Governments of El Salvador and Honduras to submit, through WFP, a fully-developed project proposal.

(Decision B.35.b/3)

Pre-concepts

Proposals from Regional Implementing Entities (RIEs)

Costa Rica, Dominican Republic: Improving the Adaptive Capacity of Coastal Communities in Costa Rica and the Dominican Republic through Ecosystem-Based Adaptation Strategies (Pre-concept note; Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); LAC/RIE/EBA/2020/PPC/1; US\$ 13,919,202).

4. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Not endorse the pre-concept note, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) Suggest that CAF reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should provide a clear articulation of the adaptation rationale, particularly under component 1;
 - (ii) The proponent should elaborate more on the impact of current and predicted climate hazards, and specify their link with the ecosystem health, management and restoration investments;
 - (iii) The proposal should include an initial indication of the anticipated or desired adaptation impacts on people and their livelihoods; and

- (c) To request CAF to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Costa Rica and Dominican Republic.

(Decision B.35.b/4)

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)

Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Niger, Nigeria: Integrated Water Resources Management and Early Warning System for Climate Change Resilience in the Lake Chad Basin (Pre-concept note; World Meteorological Organisation (WMO); AFR/MIE/Water/2020/PPC/1; US\$ 10,620,000).

5. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Not endorse the pre-concept note, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the World Meteorological Organisation (WMO) to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) Not approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (c) Suggest that WMO reformulates the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should include a brief description of the country level context, the challenges and gaps to be addressed by this project as well as a brief description of existing activities addressing the climate vulnerability issues at country and regional level;
 - (ii) The proponent should provide an approximate estimate of investments (weather/monitoring stations) to be funded; and
- (d) To request WMO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Cameroon, Central African Republic, Chad, Niger, Nigeria.

(Decision B.35.b/5)

India, Sri Lanka: Strengthening Resilience of Vulnerable Communities in Sri Lanka and India to Increased Impacts of Climate Change (Pre-concept note; World Food Programme (WFP); ASI/MIE/Food/2020/1; US\$ 13,995,524).

6. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the World Food Programme (WFP) to the request made by the technical review;

- (b) Request the secretariat to notify WFP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The concept note should substantially develop the cost-effectiveness justification for the selected adaptation measures;
 - (ii) The concept note should explore additional opportunities for partnerships with the private sector, beyond the development of technologies;
 - (iii) The concept should further elaborate on the complementarity and coherence with other relevant initiatives in the region;
- (c) Approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (d) Request WFP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of India and Sri Lanka; and
- (e) Encourage the Governments of India and Sri Lanka to submit, through WFP, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

(Decision B.35.b/6)

Innovation small grant proposals

Antigua and Barbuda: Innovative Technologies for Improved Water Availability to Increase Food Security in Antigua and Barbuda (Innovation Small Grant; Department of Environment, Ministry of Health, Wellness and the Environment (DOE); ATG/NIE/Water/2020/1/Innovation; US\$ 250,000).

7. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Note the recommendation that the Adaptation Fund Board:
 - (i) Approve the innovation small grant, as supplemented by the additional information provided by the Department of Environment (DOE) to the issues raised by the Board in decision B.35.a-35.b/71;
 - (ii) Approve the funding of US\$ 250,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by DOE;
 - (iii) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with DOE as the national implementing entity for the project; and
- (b) To consider the recommendation under subparagraph (a) (i)-(iii) above when DOE has the status of "accredited" with the Fund, as defined in document AFB/B.34/5.

(Decision B.35.b/7)

Innovation: large grants for innovation

8. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To approve the process for providing funding for innovation through large grants to Implementing Entities (IEs) as described in document AFB/PPRC.26.b/16, including the proposed objectives, review criteria, expected grant sizes, implementation modalities, review process and other relevant features as described in the document;
- (b) That the large grants for innovation would fall outside the country cap approved by the Board in decision B.13/23 or, in the case of regional or multi-regional proposals, the regional provision, whereas they would count against the Multilateral Implementing Entity cap as per decision B.12/9;
- (c) To request the secretariat to prepare the first Request for Proposals to IEs for US \$30 million to be launched by the first quarter of calendar year of 2021; and
- (d) To request the secretariat to consider the need to develop specific objectives and indicators for the innovation aspects of the projects, beyond what is included in the regular project performance reporting process, and make relevant recommendations to the Board at its thirty-seventh meeting.

(Decision B.35.b/8)

Innovation: Options for further defining innovation in adaptation projects and programmes

9. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Request the secretariat to prepare a document that further clarifies the definition and elaborates on the vision for innovation under the Fund, to guide further programming, taking into account the views and considerations expressed by the members of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) at its twenty-sixth meeting and by the Board at the second part of its thirty-fifth meeting, and in consultation with the Board and other stakeholders, for consideration by the Board at its thirty-sixth meeting;
- (b) Request the secretariat to present as part of the above-mentioned document an analysis on the relevant elements related to innovation and adaptation, including but not limited to the definition of innovation, innovation rationale, innovation review criteria, risk appetite, focus on particularly vulnerable groups, countries, sectors or themes, as well as innovation in the context of COVID-19;
- (c) Establish a task force composed of Board members to guide the work under the subparagraphs (a) and (b) above; and
- (d) Request the secretariat to prepare, based on the above-mentioned analysis, guidance on review criteria for innovation grant proposals for consideration by the Board at its thirty-sixth meeting.

(Decision B.35.b/9)

Options for a window on enhanced direct access under the Medium-term Strategy

10. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC), the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To approve the pilot for projects submitted through the window for enhanced direct access (EDA) to promote EDA and further promote locally led adaptation under the Fund;
- (b) That the pilot window to promote EDA projects/programmes shall be available to national implementing entities (NIEs) only, in the form of a grant up to a maximum of US\$ 5 million per country;
- (c) That the window for EDA will not count against what the country could access under the country cap established by the Board for regular concrete projects/programmes;
- (d) That the execution costs for proposals submitted under the EDA window should be up to a maximum of 12 per cent of the total project/programme budget requested before the implementing entity fees, and should not exceed 1.5 per cent in cases where the Implementing Entity has also taken on the role of Execution Entity for the proposed project/programme activities, and that the implementing entity fee should be up to a maximum of 10 per cent of the total project costs;
- (e) That NIEs submitting proposals through the EDA window should do so using the existing approved proposal template and guideline materials for regular concrete projects/programmes nonetheless taking note of the project fees in subparagraph (d) and that EDA proposals submitted through the two-step project approval process are eligible for the project formulation grant and project formulation assistance grant as per the approved criteria by the Board for those grants;
- (f) That the review cycle and approval of projects/programmes submitted through the EDA window shall follow the review and approval process as well as reporting requirements for regular projects/programmes under the Fund notwithstanding adherence to subparagraph (d) where it concerns the review and approval of project fees; and
- (g) To request the secretariat to present to the PPRC at its twenty-eighth meeting, an analysis of the project review cycle for EDA projects including an update on implementation status of the EDA window.

(Decision B.35.b/10)

Recommendations for projects and programmes not technically recommended

Single-country projects and programmes

Fully-developed proposals

Proposals from National Implementing Entities (NIEs)

Small-size proposals

Indonesia: Enhancing the Adaptation Capability of Coastal Community in Facing the Impacts of Climate Change in Negeri (Village) Asilulu, Ureng and Lima of Leihitu District Maluku Tengah Regency Maluku Province (Fully-developed project; Partnership for Governance Reform in Indonesia (Kemitraan); IDN/NIE/CZM/2019/1; US\$ 963,456).

11. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Not approve the fully-developed project document, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Partnership for Governance Reform in Indonesia (Kemitraan) to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) Suggest that Kemitraan reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should provide further information on the selected measures to ensure sustainability of fish stocks;
 - (ii) The proposal should indicate how the project will evaluate the success of activities focusing on preventing reef bombing;
 - (iii) The proposal should provide a sound justification for why an Environmental Impact Analysis (AMDAL) permit is not required for coral restoration activities, as well as a rationale for the relevance of the previously conducted impact assessment for the seawall restoration activity;
 - (iv) The proposal should clarify the process for obtaining an Environmental Management Business Permit and an Environmental Monitoring Business license;
 - (v) The proposal should update the Environmental and Social Management Plan to include alignment with the Fund's Environmental and Social Policy principles; and
- (c) To request Kemitraan to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Indonesia.

(Decision B.35.b/11)

Indonesia: EMBRACING THE SUN: Redefining Public Space as a Solution for the Effects of Global Climate Change in Indonesia's Urban Areas (Fully-developed project; Partnership for Governance Reform in Indonesia (Kemitraan); IDN/NIE/Urban/2019/1; US\$ 824,835).

12. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Not approve the fully-developed project document, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Partnership for Governance Reform in Indonesia (Kemitraan), to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) Suggest that Kemitraan reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should provide more design details on the proposed flood resilience measures, specifically regarding public space;
 - (ii) The proposal should include specific estimations of the intended social and economic benefits of the selected concrete interventions, particularly regarding vulnerable groups;
 - (iii) The proposal should provide justification of the cost-effectiveness of the selected adaptation interventions;
 - (iv) The proposal should demonstrate its alignment with any national/technical standards related to the specific sectors/areas of intervention (e.g. standards related to flood resilience);
 - (v) The proposal should include plans for the consultations to refocus component 1 and details on how to integrate outputs from these consultations in the design and implementation of the sector-based interventions;
 - (vi) The proposal should include a Gender Assessment, in line with the Fund's Gender Policy;
 - (vii) The proposal should include a complete Monitoring and Evaluation plan, including sex-disaggregated data, targets and indicators (based on the Gender Assessment), as well indicators for project component 1, specifying the arrangements to be used for monitoring and evaluation; and
- (c) Request Kemitraan to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Indonesia.

(Decision B.35.b/12)

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)

Regular proposals

Kyrgyzstan: Regional Resilient Pastoral Communities Project – ADAPT (Fully-developed project; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); KGZ/MIE/Agric/2019/1; US\$ 9,999,313).

13. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Not approve the fully-developed project, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) Suggest that IFAD reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should demonstrate compliance with national technical standards, and with the Environmental and Social Policy and the Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund;
 - (ii) The proponent should clarify the co-financing aspect and justify the requested financing on the basis of the full-cost of adaptation reasoning; and
- (c) Request IFAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Kyrgyzstan.

(Decision B.35.b/13)

Regional projects and programmes

Fully-developed proposals

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)

Angola, Mozambique, Namibia, South Africa, Zimbabwe: Strengthening Adaptive Capacities for Smallholder Farmers in Water Stressed River Basins in Southern Africa (Fully developed project; United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO); AFR/MIE/Water/2019/1; US\$ 14,000,000).

14. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Not approve the fully-developed project document, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) to the request made by the technical review;

- (b) Suggest that UNESCO reformulate the proposal taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should demonstrate the added value of the specific regional approach involving the five beneficiary countries and the two river basins;
 - (ii) The proposal should demonstrate coherence and synergy between its two components;
 - (iii) The proponent should clarify how the investments under component 2 may not lead to maladaptation, as well as the feasibility of the value chain aggregator concept and how it will deliver concrete adaptation benefits; and
- (c) Request UNESCO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Angola, Mozambique, Namibia, South Africa and Zimbabwe.

(Decision B.35.b/14)

Agenda Item 6: Report of twenty-sixth meeting of the Ethics and Finance Committee

Annual performance report for the fiscal year 2020

15. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:
- (a) Approve the Adaptation Fund's Annual Performance Report (APR) for the fiscal year 2020, as contained in document AFB/EFC.26.b/3; and
 - (b) Request the secretariat to prepare a summarized version for the general public in a reader friendly format, following the approval of the APR by the Board.

(Decision B.35.b/15)

The Fund's response to the COVID-19 pandemic

16. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:
- (a) Take note of the Report on the Adaptation Fund's response to the COVID-19 pandemic and adaptive measures to mitigate its impact on the Fund's Portfolio, which highlights the importance of taking immediate actions to enable the projects and programmes supported by the Fund to continue delivering on their objectives;
 - (b) Temporarily approve a blanket no-cost extension of the project completion date up to 12 months for eligible projects/programmes which have been delayed due to COVID-19 and

were set to be completed within 24 months from the date of the extension request provided that an implementing entity's request of such extension meets the criteria as described in paragraph 33 of document AFB/EFC.26.b/4;

- (c) Temporarily allow, in the context of paragraph 6 of Annex 7 of the Fund's Operational Policies and Guidelines (Project/Programme Implementation) on project material change, an implementing entity (IE) to implement reallocations in budget at the output-level between the original budget and the revised budget, up to a maximum of 20 per cent of the total project budget, without seeking prior approval of the Board, provided that:
 - (i) The concerned reallocations in budget are justified to be related to COVID-19 and to enable the project or programme to achieve its originally set goals and objectives;
 - (ii) The IE must report to the Board on the project budget reallocations as part of its annual project performance report;
 - (iii) Project budget reallocations not related to COVID-19 and changes exceeding 20 per cent of total project budget related to COVID-19 shall be subject to the requirements as described under paragraphs 6-8 of Annex 7 of the Fund's Operational Policies and Guidelines (Project/Programme Implementation); and
- (d) Encourage countries to consider matters related to COVID-19 within the mandate of the Adaptation Fund in their future programming of funding by the Adaptation Fund, in order to achieve a broader resilience by reflecting, as appropriate, the objectives of government recovery plans in future programming.

(Decision B.35.b/16)

Report of the acting Chair of the Technical Evaluation Reference Group

17. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Take note of the information contained in paragraph 15 of document AFB/EFC.26.b/Inf.1 on the establishment and use of project working groups (PWGs) during the implementation of evaluative work elements; and
- (b) Request the Technical Evaluation Reference Group of the Adaptation Fund (AFTERG) to submit to the Ethics and Finance Committee, at its twenty-seventh meeting, the necessary information on: the objectives, composition and membership of the PWGs, the roles and responsibilities of their members, the timeframe and time commitment, and present options for the possible participation of members of the Board in the work of the PWGs.

(Decision B.35.b/17)

Agenda Item 7: Application of the environmental and social policy by implementing entities

18. Having considered document AFB/B.35.b/4 and its addenda, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Take note of document AFB/B.35.b/4 and its addenda;
- (b) Request the secretariat to intersessionally conduct a survey among the Board with a view to identifying the most feasible and optimal options to address the matter; and
- (c) Request the secretariat to conduct an analysis on the options and present it to the Board at its thirty-sixth meeting for its consideration and decision.

(Decision B.35.b/18)

Agenda Item 8: Issues remaining from the thirty-fourth meeting

a) *Strategic discussion on objectives and further steps of the Fund. Potential linkages between the Fund and the Green Climate Fund*

19. Having considered the ongoing efforts to enhance complementarity between the Adaptation Fund and the Green Climate Fund and document AFB/B.35.b/6 and its annexes, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To take note of the report included in document AFB/B.35.b/6 and its Annex I which provides an update on the recent cooperation between the Adaptation Fund and the Green Climate Fund (GCF);
- (b) To take note that the Board of the GCF has not yet considered the legal assessment on the Adaptation Fund as contained in the document GCF/B.24/Inf.8;
- (c) To request the Chair and Vice-Chair to continue their active engagement, assisted by the secretariat, in a structured conversation with the GCF Board, with a view to exploring and taking concrete steps to advance the options for fund-to-fund arrangements described in document GCF/B.22/09 and its Annex I;
- (d) To request the secretariat to continue discussions with the GCF to advance the collaborative activities identified at the Annual Dialogue in November 2017, the Technical Workshop in February 2018 and the informal meetings between the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Adaptation Fund Board and the Co-Chairs of the GCF in May and September 2018 and at the margins of the twenty-fourth session of the Conference of Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (COP 24) held in Katowice, Poland; and
- (e) To request the Chair and the secretariat to provide to the Board:
 - (i) A report on the progress made in the activities described in subparagraph (d) above at its thirty-sixth meeting; and

- (ii) An update on the matters as referred to in subparagraphs (b) and (c) above once they have been considered by the GCF Board.

(Decision B.35.b/19)

- b) *Provision of financial resources between single-country and regional concrete adaptation project and programmes (country cap)*

20. Having considered the analysis of the provision of financial resources between single-country and regional concrete adaptation project and programmes contained in document AFB/B.35.b/5, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to conclude its discussion on the issue at its next meeting.

(Decision B.35.b/20)

Agenda Item 9: Privileges and immunities clause and the Fund's legal agreement.

21. Having considered the secretariat's review of the Privileges and Immunities clause and the Fund's legal agreement, contained in document AFB/B.35.b/Inf.9, and its Annex I and Annex II, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to further consider the issue intersessionally.

(Decision B.35.b/21)

Agenda Item 10: Resource mobilization

22. Having considered the information contained in document AFB/B.35.b/Inf.8 and the recommendation by the Resource Mobilization Task Force, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

- (a) Approve a new resource mobilization target of US\$ 120 million per year for the biennium 2020-2021, with the understanding that this is an indicative target and that support exceeding it would be welcome; and
- (b) Request the secretariat to highlight, in its communications and outreach activities, how the overall adaptation funding needs according to the strategic priorities of the Adaptation Fund set out in its Medium-term Strategy on action, innovation and learning as well as due to the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, particularly on the poor and vulnerable populations, have increased and how this is reflected in increased need for support by the Fund.

(Decision B.35.b/22)

Agenda Item 12: Extension of terms of members and alternate members

23. Having considered the two letters from the Executive Secretary of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) (References: ISCP/MTP/O/SEPT.2020 and YN EC-2020-410) and the Rules of Procedure of the Adaptation Fund Board, the Adaptation Fund

Board decided to take note of the information contained in the two letters from the Executive Secretary of the UNFCCC.

(Decision B.35.b/23)

Agenda Item 13: Election of officers for the next period of office

24. Having considered the names of the proposed candidates for the officers of the Fund and for membership on the task force on innovation, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to elect:

- (a) Mr. Mattias Broman (Sweden, Annex I Parties) as Chair of the Board;
- (b) Mr. Albara Tawfiq (Saudi Arabia, Asia-Pacific) as Vice-Chair of the Board;
- (c) Mr. Evans Njewa (Malawi, Least Developed Countries) as Chair of the Accreditation Panel;
- (d) Ms. Eleonora Cogo (Italy, Western European and Others) as Vice-Chair of the Accreditation Panel;
- (e) Mr. Mohamed Zmerli (Tunisia, Africa) as Chair of the Ethics and Finance Committee (EFC);
- (f) Ms. Sylviane Bilgischer (Belgium, Annex I Parties) as Vice-Chair of the EFC;
- (g) Ms. Susana Castro-Acuña Baixauli (Spain, Western European and Others) as Chair of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC); and
- (h) Ms. Ala Druta (Moldova, Eastern Europe) as Vice-Chair of the PPRC; and
- (i) The following members for the Task Force on Innovation pursuant to decision B.35.b/9(c):
 - (i) Ms. Margarita Caso Chávez (Mexico, Latin America and the Caribbean);
 - (ii) Ms. Eleonora Cogo (Italy, Western European and Others);
 - (iii) Ms. Patience Dampney (Ghana, Africa);
 - (iv) Ms. Claudia Keller (Germany, Western European and Others);
 - (v) Mr. Marc-Antoine Martin (France, Annex I Parties); and
 - (vi) Mr. Nilesh Prakash (Fiji, Small Island Developing Countries).

(Decision B.35.b/24)

Agenda Item 14: Date and venue of meetings in 2021 and onward

25. Having considered the proposed dates for its meetings during 2021, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To hold its thirty-sixth meeting in Bonn, Germany from 22 to 26 March 2021; and
- (b) To hold its thirty-seventh meeting in Bonn, Germany from 11 to 15 October 2021.

(Decision B.35.b/25)

AFB35.b: Summary of funding decisions for projects and programmes at the second session of the thirty-fifth meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board

1. Full Proposals: Single-country	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE								
	Indonesia (1)	Kemitraan	AFB/PPRC.26.b/4	963,456			Not approve	0
	Indonesia (2)	Kemitraan	AFB/PPRC.26.b/5	824,835			Not approve	0
MIE								
	Côte d'Ivoire	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.26.b/6			6,000,000	Not approve	0
	Gambia	WFP	AFB/PPRC.26.b/7			10,000,000	Waitlist	0
	Kyrgystan	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.26.b/8			9,999,313	Not approve	0
Sub-total, USD				1,788,291	-	25,999,313		0
2. Full Proposals: Regional	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
MIE								
	Angola, Mozambique, Namibia, South Africa, Zimbabwe	UNESCO	AFB/PPRC.26.b/9			14,000,000	Not approve	0
Sub-total, USD					-	14,000,000		0
3. Concepts: Regional	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
MIE								
	El Salvador, Honduras	WFP	AFB/PPRC.26.b/10			11,886,691	Endorse	-
Sub-total, USD					-	11,886,691		0
4. Project Formulation Grants: Regional Concepts	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
MIE								
	El Salvador, Honduras	WFP	AFB/PPRC.26.b/10/Add.1			80,000	Approve	80,000
Sub-total, USD					-	80,000		80,000
5. Pre-concepts: Regional	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
RIE								
	Costa Rica, Dominican Republic	CAF	AFB/PPRC.26.b/11		13,919,202		Not endorse	0
MIE								
	Cameroon, Chad, Central African Republic, Niger, Nigeria	WMO	AFB/PPRC.26.b/12			10,620,000	Not endorse	0
	India, Sri Lanka	WFP	AFB/PPRC.26.b/13			13,995,524	Endorse	-
Sub-total, USD					13,919,202	24,615,524		0
6. Project Formulation Grants: Regional pre-concepts	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
MIE								
	Cameroon, Chad, Central African Republic, Niger, Nigeria	WMO	AFB/PPRC.26.b/12/Add.1			20,000	Not approve	0
	India, Sri Lanka	WFP	AFB/PPRC.26.b/13/Add.1			20,000	Approve	20,000
Sub-total, USD					-	40,000		20,000
TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6)				1,788,291	13,919,202	76,621,528		100,000
7. Innovation Small Grants	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE								
	Antigua and Barbuda	DOE	AFB/PPRC.26.b/15	250,000			Pending*	0
Sub-total, USD				250,000	-	-		0
GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7)				2,038,291	13,919,202	76,621,528		100,000

* In line with the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee to approve the project, the Board will consider approval of the project, via intersessional process, as soon as the status of the IE changes to "accredited".