

AFB/EFC.28/5 23 September 2021

Adaptation Fund Board Ethics and Finance Committee Twenty-eighth Meeting Bonn, Germany (Virtually held), 14-15 October 2021

Agenda item 4 b)

RECONCILIATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE BUDGETS OF THE BOARD AND THE SECRETARIAT, THE EVALUATION FUNCTION, AND THE TRUSTEE FOR FISCAL YEAR 2021

Table1: Approved FY21 budget, actual FY21 and approved FY22 budget of the Board and the Secretariat, the Evaluation Function and the Trustee, and the approved FY23 budget of the Evaluation Function

All amounts in US\$	FY21	FY21	FY22	
	Approved	Actual	Approved	
BOARD AND SECRETARIAT				
1 Personnel	3,247,224	2,934,717	4,111,220	
2 Travel	492,000	-10,068	456,000	
3 General operations	479,700	333,289	508,875	
4 Meetings	247,362	124,140	236,980	
Sub-total secretariat administrative services [a]	4,466,286	3,382,078	5,313,075	
5 Accreditation [b]	548,250	422,225	608,550	
6 Readiness Programme [c]	652,960	84,098	756,950	
Total Board and Secretariat [a] + [b] + [c]	5,667,496	3,888,401	6,678,575	
All 1 100	D/04		F)/00	
All amounts in US\$	<u>FY21</u>	FY21	<u>FY22</u>	FY23
	<u>Approved</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Revised Approved	<u>Approved</u>
AF-TERG AND ITS SECRETARIAT	252 222		100 000	
1 Personnel	350,929	422,195	408,083	414,197
2 Travel	130,779	-	134,702	138,744
3 General operations	113,320	49,429	136,327	126,564
4 Meetings	10,000	<u>-</u>	10,000	10,200
Sub-total management	605,028	471,624	689,112	689,705
5 Evaluation	458,191	295,568	611,717	603,344
Total AF-TERG and its secretariat	1,063,219	767,192	1,300,829	1,293,049
All amounts in US\$	FY21	FY21	FY22	
I amounts in osy	Approved	Actual	Approved	
TRUSTEE				
1 CER Monetization	180,000	170,000	180,000	
2 Financial and Program Management	227.000	320,000	320,000	
3 Investment Management	216,000	245,000	245,000	
4 Accounting and Reporting	40,000	60,000	60,000	
5 Legal Services	45,000	55,000	56,000	
Total trustee	708,000	850,000	861,000	
		•		
GRAND TOTAL ALL COMPONENTS	7,438,715	5,505,593	8,840,404	

Table 2: Approved FY21 budget, actual FY21 and approved FY22 budget of the Board and the Secretariat (Detailed)

Alla	amounts in US\$	FY21	FY21	FY22
		<u>Approved</u>	<u>Actual</u>	<u>Approved</u>
PER	SONNEL COMPONENT			
6.	Full-time staff (including benefits):			
01	11 staff positions (Existing)			
02	1 Junior Professional Officer (externally funded)			
03	2 proposed new positions			
	(14 staff positions in total)			
	sub-total AFB staff	2,277,716	2,294,638	2,912,080
24	GEF staff cross-support (including benefits):			
01	Head of the AFB Secretariat (10% fixed)			
02	Advisor			
03	Project co-reviewers (multiple staff)			
04	Program Analyst (HR)			
05	Resource Management Officer (Accounting)			
	sub-total GEF staff	160,000	121,718	150,000
	Consultants & Others			
01	AFB Secretariat Support (Legal support etc.)	47,300	39,240	102,300
02	Design and Operation of dedicated websites	33,000	30,839	33,000
03	Communications Strategy	58,750	49,500	67,000
04	Environment and social safeguards & Gender	96,520	35,650	96,020
05	Knowledge Management	204,460	103,065	213,000
06	Result Based Management	175,000	91,585	231,000
07	Project review (incl. innovation)	194,478	168,482	246,820
08	Resource mobilization [New]	-	-	60,000
	sub-total Consultants	809,508	518,361	1,049,140
SU	B-TOTAL PERSONNEL COMPONENT	3,247,224	2,934,717	4,111,220
_	VEL COMPONENT			
01	AF Secretariat staff	316,500	(10,068)	280,500
02	Awareness Raising	45,500	-	45,500
03	Board - Non-Annexed eligible members	130,000	-	130,000
SU	B-TOTAL TRAVEL COMPONENT	492,000	(10,068)	456,000
_	NERAL OPERATIONS COMPONENT	200.000	474 252	200.000
01	Office Space, Equipment and Supplies	200,000	174,353	200,000
02	Support to Chair (communications)	20,000	-	20,000
03	Publications and Outreach	159,700	67,553	163,875
04	IT services from the World Bank	100,000	91,384	125,000
SU	B-TOTAL GENERAL OPERATIONS COMPONENT	479,700	333,289	508,875
MFI	ETINGS COMPONENT			
01	Logistics, interpretation, report writing etc.	167,362	57,210	160,980
02	Translation	80,000	66,930	76,000
	B-TOTAL MEETINGS COMPONENT	247,362	124,140	236,980
30	D TO THE WILL THINGS COIVII ONLINT	277,302	127,170	230,300
тот	AL ALL COMPONENTS	4,466,286	3,382,078	5,313,075

- GEF staff cross-support: These costs are variable depending on the number of proposals submitted for review and the actual use of the GEF reviewers therefore it is one of the budget items that is difficult to project. Considering the trend in the past few years, the FY22 budget for this item has been slightly reduced.
- 2. Travel and meeting components: Due to the cancelation of all physical events in the extraordinary circumstances of the COVID-19 Pandemic, no travel expenses were incurred in FY21 for either the 'AF secretariat' or 'board non-Annex I eligible members' in the travel component. The cancelation of the physical events also reduced the physical event associated costs allocated for the "logistics, interpretation, report writing etc." in the meetings component.
- 3. Environmental and social safeguards and gender: All the expenses under this line item (\$35,650) were incurred for gender activities such as updating the Fund's gender policy and action plan, developing gender score card and conducting gender intersectionality study. The relatively large cost underrun (63% of the budget) was primarily due to a disuse of the safeguards consultant for project purposes and cancelation of the gender training and gender partnership building involving travel.
- 4. Knowledge management: The relatively large cost underrun (50% of the budget) was primarily due to the cancelation and postponement of two planned knowledge events consisting of the country exchange hosted by India and a virtual portfolio monitoring mission and their related publication production (knowledge brochures and lessons learned publication). Travel-related costs originally allocated for national implementing entities and related to knowledge missions were also greatly reduced due to the pandemic.
- 5. Result based management: The relatively large cost underrun (48% of the budget) was primarily due to the cancelation of the portfolio monitoring missions (PMM) involving travel. A portfolio monitoring mission was organized in Costa Rica through virtual settings for which cost was much lower than usual physical mission. The secretariat is working with implementing entities (IEs) to organize additional PMMs in FY22 through virtual or physical arrangements depending on COVID19 restrictions on the ground. All other RBM related activities have been carried out without interruptions including regular reporting by IEs and requests for project change and extensions of completion date.
- 6. Publications and outreach: The relatively large cost underrun (58% of the budget) was primarily due to the postponement of the COP26 climate conference. A large part of the outreach budget was allocated to cover pavilion related expenses at the COP. The needs for in-person printed materials and travel-related expenses to capture mission footage directly were also greatly reduced due to the pandemic. Many video clips and photos were shared by the implementing entities with AF directly from the countries, and collaborations on stories such as project stories, videos and podcasts conducted remotely and published digitally.

Table 3: Approved FY21 budget, actual FY21 and approved FY22 budget of the accreditation programme (Detailed)

All	amounts in US\$	<u>FY21</u>	FY21	<u>FY22</u>
		Approved	Actual	Proposed
01	Accreditation Panel (fees)	370,800	324,566	394,650
02	Accreditation Panel/Staff (travel)	121,100	-	107,400
03	Accreditation System & others	56,350	97,659	106,500
TO	TAL ACCREDITATION	548,250	422,225	608,550

- 1. Both the 'accreditation panel (fees)' and 'accreditation panel/staff (travel)' costs vary depending on the number of accreditation applications under review and the level of work required by the panel and secretariat.
- 2. No field visit was conducted in the extraordinary circumstances of the COVID-19 Pandemic therefore no travel expenses were incurred in FY21.
- 3. 'Accreditation system & others' costs were 73% more than the budget. It is due to the intensive use of a short-term consultant to support the increasing workload of the accreditation programme. Considering this trend, the budget for this item has been increased in FY22.

Table 4: Approved FY21 budget, actual FY21 and approved FY22 budget of the Readiness Programme (Detailed)

All a	mounts in US\$	<u>FY21</u>	FY21	FY22
		<u>Approved</u>	<u>Actual</u>	Approved
PERS	SONNEL COMPONENT (Consultants & others)			
01	Secretariat Support	98,360	37,500	117,500
02	Environment and social safeguards & Gender	22,500	-	17,700
03	Accreditation	12,600	-	23,200
SUE	3-TOTAL PERSONNEL COMPONENT	133,460	37,500	158,400
TRAV	VEL COMPONENT			
01	AF Secretariat staff	132,000	-	140,000
02	Meeting participants	307,500	-	372,000
03	Board members	-	-	-
SUE	3-TOTAL TRAVEL COMPONENT	439,500	•	512,000
MEE	TINGS COMPONENT			
01	Logistics	80,000	46,598	86,550
SUE	3-TOTAL MEETINGS COMPONENT	80,000	46,598	86,550
TOT	AL ALL COMPONENTS	652,960	84,098	756,950

- 1. The implementation of the readiness programme was significantly affected by the COVID-19 pandemic in its entirety, and it resulted in a large underrun (87% of the budget). 67% of the readiness budget was allocated in the travel component in FY21. All the readiness events took place in online format in FY21 therefore no travel expenses were incurred. Due to the cancellation of the physical events, some of the costs allocated in the personnel components and local arrangement costs in the meetings component were also not incurred.
- 2. While some of the planned in-person readiness activities were reorganized as virtual events, other events such as the country exchange were not fully completed or did not take place in FY21. To organize the country exchange as a virtual event, the secretariat had to delegate the major work to local entities and had challenges in arranging new types of services such as video production to capture the project sites through the entities and concluding the administrative process, both of which the host entity was not necessarily familiar with. The secretariat will continue to seek online options to effectively implement readiness activities in FY22.

Table 5: Approved FY21 budget, actual FY21 and approved FY22 and FY23 budget of the Evaluation Function (Detailed)

All	amounts in US\$	FY21 Approved	FY21 Actual	FY22 Revised approved	FY23 Approved
MA	NAGEMENT COMPONENT				
PER	RSONNEL COMPONENT				
01	Personnel - Staff (AF-TERG Secretariat				
	Coordinator / Evaluation Officer)				
02	Personnel - Office support (STC)				
03	Personnel - Consultant (TERG members)				
	SUB-TOTAL PERSONNEL COMPONENT	350,929	422,195	408,083	414,197
TD 4	NACE CONTROLENT				
01	Staff members	40.720		41.061	42.220
02	TERG members	40,739 90,040	-	41,961 92,741	43,220 95,523
02	SUB-TOTAL TRAVEL COMPONENT	130,779	_	134,702	138,744
	SOB-TOTAL TRAVEL CONFORMINT	130,779	-	134,702	130,744
GEN	NERAL OPERATIONS COMPONENT				
01	Office space, equipment and supplies	48,000	34,937	50,468	51,982
02	Publications, outreach	65,320	14,492	85,859	74,582
	SUB-TOTAL GENERAL OPERATIONS COMPONENT	113,320	49,429	136,327	126,564
MF	ETINGS COMPONENT				
01	Logistics	10,000	-	10,000	10,200
	SUB-TOTAL MEETINGS COMPONENT	10,000	-	10,000	10,200
SUE	B-TOTAL MANAGEMENT COMPONENT	605,028	471,624	689,112	689,705
EV/	ALUATION COMPONENT				
	SUB-TOTAL EVALUATION COMPONENT	458,191	295,568	611,717	603,344
		4.000.015	- 05-	4 000 000	4.005.555
TO	TAL AF-TERG AND ITS SECRETARIAT	1,063,219	767,192	1,300,829	1,293,049

- No physical meeting and travel were conducted in the extraordinary circumstances of the COVID-19 Pandemic therefore no expenses were incurred for the travel and meetings components in FY21.
- 2. The relatively large cost underrun (35% of the budget) in the evaluation component was primarily due to the following reasons:
 - i. Efforts of AF-TERG members became fully focused on delivering two important pieces of work which absorbed AF-TERG strategic attention and time, leaving less time for other work streams to be taken forward. This included the on-going Mid Term Review of the Medium-Term Strategy and the Evaluation Policy. AF-TERG time was also absorbed by the Organizational Development exercise which has

- sought to bring improved efficiencies into the functionality of this relatively new evaluation function.
- ii. Some activities were delayed. The two intended thematic studies did not proceed at the pace that was originally envisaged. The AF-TERG identified one thematic topic on innovation and made some initial efforts through external consultations to shape the scope of work, but this has been slow in taking off partly due to COVID-related constraints, and limited availability of consultants identified for this work. The strategic communications recruitment process is now on-going.
- iii. Some activities were undertaken with a more modest approach than originally envisaged, that did not consume the budget. For instance, the AF-TERG had planned several outreach and capacity building activities but ended up doing some of these through online forums (e.g., questionnaires, webinars, interviews etc.), mainly to ensure that IEs were not overly consulted during the challenging COVID-19 times.

Table 6: Approved FY21 budget, actual FY21 and approved FY22 budget of the Trustee

All amounts in US\$	FY21 Approved	FY21 Actuals	FY22 Approved
Monetization	180,000	170,000	180,000
Financial and Program Management	227,000	320,000	320,000
Investment Management	216,000	245,000	245,000
Accounting and Reporting	40,000	60,000	60,000
Legal Services	45,000	55,000	56,000
TOTAL	708,000	850,000	861,000

- 1. Investment Management costs were higher due to larger average cash balances in the AF Trust Fund than originally anticipated at budget proposal submission.
- 2. The increase in Financial and Program Management, Accounting and Reporting and Legal Services is due to increased activity in FY21. It is also reflective of the: (i) updated activity-based approach that was rolled out by the World Bank beginning July 1, 2020; and (ii) uniform applicability to recover indirect costs for these three services.