



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/B.37/12
21 October 2021

Adaptation Fund Board
Thirty-seventh meeting
Bonn, Germany (virtually held), 19-21 October 2021

Agenda item 19

DECISIONS OF THE THIRTY-SEVENTH MEETING OF THE ADAPTATION FUND BOARD

Agenda item 6: Report of twenty-eighth meeting of the Project and Programme Review Committee.

1. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC), the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To request the secretariat to analyse the issues related to the use of unidentified sub-projects and present the findings to the PPRC at its twenty-ninth meeting;
- (b) To further request the secretariat to clarify regional implementation and execution costs, including where implementing entities provide part or all of the execution services, and present the findings to the PPRC at its twenty-ninth meeting;
- (c) To limit, for all projects where the implementing entity provides a portion of the execution services, the execution costs of the implementing entity proportionally to the cost of the part of the project or programme executed by the implementing entity;
- (d) To raise the maximum amount of individual project formulation grants available to national implementing entities to US\$ 50,000;
- (e) To discontinue project formulation assistance grants for future review cycles.

(Decision B.37/1)

Single-country projects and programmes

Fully developed proposals

Proposals from National Implementing Entities (NIEs)

Regular proposals

Bhutan: Adaptation to Climate-induced Water Stresses through Integrated Landscape Management in Bhutan (Fully developed project; Bhutan Trust Fund for Environmental Conservation (BTFEC); AF00000229; US\$ 9,998,955)

2. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To not approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Bhutan Trust Fund for Environmental Conservation (BTFEC) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that BTFEC reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should better inform the type and scale of envisaged adaptation measures;
 - (ii) The proponent should strengthen and justify the cost-effectiveness of the proposed measures, including quantitative data;
 - (iii) The proposal should clarify how lessons learned and best practices from other projects in sustainable land management have been taken into account for the project's development;

- (iv) The proposal should ensure compliance with the Fund's Environmental and Social Policy;
- (c) To request BTFEC to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Bhutan.

(Decision B.37/2)

United Republic of Tanzania (1): Karatu Climate Resilience and Adaptation Project for Hadzabe and Datoga Communities – KARAHADA (Fully developed project; National Environment Management Council (NEMC); AF00000255; US\$ 2,500,000)

3. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the National Environment Management Council (NEMC) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that NEMC reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should fully identify the project activities and demonstrate compliance with the Fund's Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy;
 - (ii) The proposal should include gender-disaggregated data and project indicators;
 - (iii) The proposal should include quantified details regarding the sustainability of the project components;
- (c) To request NEMC to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania.

(Decision B.37/3)

United Republic of Tanzania (2): Restoration of Lake Babati for Enhanced Climate Change Adaptation in Babati District (Fully developed project; National Environment Management Council (NEMC); AF00000256; US\$ 4,000,000)

4. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the National Environment Management Council (NEMC) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that NEMC reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should clearly identify the climate impacts on targeted communities and clarify how the proposed scale of the project can deliver meaningful outcomes to beneficiaries and help build their adaptive capacity;

- (ii) The proposal should provide all impact assessments that the project activities require, as well as a project-specific summary overview of how the risks identified are managed in line with the Fund's Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy. In doing so, it should clarify what approach the project will use for the inclusion of gender during the project's design and execution and in the delivery of all project components;
 - (iii) The proponents should demonstrate how consultations have been conducted in gender-sensitive ways and how those consultations have concretely shaped the selected project activities;
- (c) To request NEMC to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania.

(Decision B.37/4)

Uganda (1): Enhancing Resilience of Communities and Fragile Ecosystems to Climate Change in Katonga Catchment, Uganda (Fully developed project; Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE); AF00000236; US\$ 2,249,000)

5. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not approve the fully developed project proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that MoWE reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should fully identify the project activities and demonstrate compliance with the Fund's Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy;
 - (ii) The proposal should address issues related to the budget and the project execution costs;
- (c) To request MoWE to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Uganda.

(Decision B.37/5)

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)
Regular proposals

Côte d'Ivoire: Increasing Rural Communities' Adaptive Capacity and Resilience to Climate Change in Bandama Basin in Côte d'Ivoire (Fully developed project; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); AF00000222; US\$ 6,000,000)

6. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To note the recommendation that the Adaptation Fund Board:
 - (i) Approve the fully developed project proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) in response to the request made by the technical review;
 - (ii) Approve the funding of US\$ 6,000,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by IFAD;
 - (iii) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with IFAD as the multilateral implementing entity for the project. The agreement should include a commitment from IFAD that, prior to the second disbursement, IFAD will submit a report on how they have addressed the comments of civil society organization(s) (CSOs) during project implementation, with particular emphasis on how CSO engagement in project implementation was improved;
- (b) To place the project on the waitlist pursuant to decisions B.17/19, B.19/5, B.28/1 and B.35.a-35.b/46.

(Decision B.37/6)

Haiti: Implementing Measures for Climate Change Adaptation and Disaster Risk Reduction Mitigation of School Facilities in Haiti (Fully developed project; United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO); AF00000235; US\$ 9,916,344)

7. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To note the recommendation that the Adaptation Fund Board:
 - (i) Approve the fully developed project proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization (UNESCO) in response to the request made by the technical review;
 - (ii) Approve the funding of US\$ 9,916,344 for the implementation of the project, as requested by UNESCO;
 - (iii) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with UNESCO as the multilateral implementing entity for the project;
- (b) To place the project on the waitlist pursuant to decisions B.17/19, B.19/5, B.28/1 and B.35.a-35.b/46.

(Decision B.37/7)

Malaysia: Nature-based Climate Adaptation Programme for the Urban Areas of Penang Island
(Fully developed project; United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat);
AF00000232; US\$ 10,000,000)

8. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

(a) To note the recommendation that the Adaptation Fund Board:

(i) Approve the fully developed project proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN--Habitat) in response to the request made by the technical review;

(ii) Approve the funding of US\$ 10,000,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by UN--Habitat;

(iii) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with UN-Habitat as the multilateral implementing entity for the project;

(b) To place the project on the waitlist pursuant to decisions B.17/19, B.19/5, B.28/1 and B.35.a-35.b/46.

(Decision B.37/8)**Concepts**

Proposals from National Implementing Entities (NIEs)

Regular proposals

Costa Rica: Increasing the Resilience of Vulnerable Populations in Costa Rica by Scaling Up Adapta2+; (Concept note; Fundecooperación para el Desarrollo Sostenible (Fundecooperación);
AF00000257; US\$ 10,000,000)

9. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

(a) To not endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Fundecooperación para el Desarrollo Sostenible (Fundecooperación) in response to the request made by the technical review;

(b) To suggest that Fundecooperación reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The proposal should justify the full cost of adaptation reasoning, explaining how funding the proposed activities will lead to the expected adaptation objectives;

(ii) The proposal should provide an overview of environmental and social risks ensuring compliance with the Fund's Environmental and Social Policy;

(iii) The proponent should clarify how compliance with relevant national technical standards related to the specific sector of intervention will be achieved;

- (iv) The proposal should explain how it will avoid duplication with climate change adaptation projects, under implementation and to be implemented, in the country;
- (c) To not approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 30,000;
- (d) To not approve the project formulation assistance grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (e) To request Fundecooperación to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Costa Rica.

(Decision B.37/9)

Honduras: Let's Save the Merendon (Concept note; Comisión de Acción Social Menonita (CASM); AF00000258; US\$ 4,000,000)

10. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Comisión de Acción Social Menonita (CASM) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that CASM reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should describe how the proposed activities are suited to the current and anticipated type and scale of impacts from specified climate hazards to demonstrate the adequateness of the project interventions;
 - (ii) The proposal should provide a higher level of granularity to the description of the proposed components to highlight the concreteness of the proposed activities and their expected visible and tangible impact on the ground;
 - (iii) The proposal should identify all relevant potentially overlapping on-going or soon-to-be-implemented projects and programmes and state lack of overlap and/or complementarity in a logical manner;
 - (iv) The proposal should elaborate on the arrangements through which sustainability of the proposed components will be ensured, covering all areas of sustainability including but not limited to economic, social, environmental, institutional, and financial;
 - (v) The proposal should identify potential Environmental and Social Policy-related impacts and risks, determine whether or not the project requires further environmental and social assessment, mitigation, and management and update the associated checklist accordingly;
- (c) To not approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 30,000;
- (d) To not approve the project formulation assistance grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (e) To request CASM to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Honduras.

(Decision B.37/10)

United Republic of Tanzania (3): Climate Change Adaptation in Saltwater Stressed and Freshwater Deficient Communities in Zanzibar (Concept Note; National Environment Management Council (NEMC); AF00000259; US\$ 3,500,000)

11. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the National Environment Management Council (NEMC) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify NEMC of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The fully developed project proposal should consider opportunities for the involvement of universities and research institutions;
 - (ii) The fully developed project proposal should further expand on how it will address the potential conflict in resources use between the agriculture and tourism sectors;
 - (iii) The fully developed project proposal should provide more details on how it would enhance complementarity and synergies with other projects and programmes, including by the projects funded by the Adaptation Fund;
- (c) To approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 30,000;
- (d) To encourage the Government of the United Republic of Tanzania to submit, through NEMC, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/11)

Uganda (2): Enhancing Community Adaptation to Climate Change through Climate Resilient Flood Early Warning, Catchment Management and Wash Technologies in Mpologoma Catchment, Uganda (Concept note; Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE); AF00000260; US\$9,504,600)

12. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that MoWE reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should increase the robustness of the described climate scenarios, and their relevance to the project target areas. It should also provide insight on causal linkages between the project outputs and outcomes;
 - (ii) The proposal should improve the cost effectiveness analysis to include expected tangible impact and long-term sustainability of activities;

- (iii) The proposal should provide clearer information on how the knowledge management component is tailored to policymakers and relevant organizations;
 - (iv) The proposal should clarify the existing funding that ministries (and other entities, if relevant) provide and clarify its adequacy for long-term planning and coordination;
 - (v) The proposal should elaborate on the complementarity and coherence with other donor-funded projects and programmes;
- (c) To not approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 45,000;
 - (d) To request MoWE to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Uganda.

(Decision B.37/12)

Proposals from Regional Implementing Entities (RIEs)
Regular proposals

Trinidad and Tobago: Multisectoral Adaptation Measures to Climate Change in the South Oropouche Basin for River Flood Relief (Concept note; Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); AF00000261; US\$ 10,000,000)

13. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify CAF of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The fully developed project proposal should demonstrate the overall sustainability of the selected adaptation measures;
 - (ii) The fully developed project proposal should further elaborate on the complementarity and coherence with other relevant initiatives in the country;
- (c) To encourage the Government of Trinidad and Tobago to submit, through CAF, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

(Decision B.37/13)

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)
Regular proposals

Nicaragua: Climate Resilience and Livelihoods in the Nicaraguan Dry Corridor (Concept note; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); AF00000262; US\$ 10,000,000)

14. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that FAO reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should reinforce its compliance with the Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund;
 - (ii) The proponent should substantiate the full cost of adaptation reasoning in order to show how the adaptation outcomes will be achieved through the project components;
 - (iii) The proponent should provide an explanation of the project's social and economic benefits and its equitable distribution to vulnerable communities;
 - (iv) The proponent should explain how the project will avoid duplication with climate change adaptation projects under implementation in the country;
- (c) To request FAO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Nicaragua.

(Decision B.37/14)

North Macedonia: Building Climate Resilience of the Agricultural System in Radovish Region through Improved Irrigation, Land and Water Management (Concept note; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); AF00000263; US\$ 9,991,711)

15. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decide:

- (a) To endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify FAO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The fully developed project proposal should further elaborate on issues of gender empowerment and benefits, for example, through presenting different scenarios;
 - (ii) The fully developed project proposal should further elaborate on the impact of climate change on the concrete investments' assets;

- (c) To request FAO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of North Macedonia;
- (d) To encourage the Government of North Macedonia to submit, through FAO, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/15)

Vanuatu: Enhancing Livelihood Resilience in Vanuatu through Forest and Landscape Restoration (Concept note; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); AF00000264; US\$ 7,128,450)

16. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify FAO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The fully developed project proposal should demonstrate how the proposed investments in the country's early warning systems will address investment and capacity gaps identified;
 - (ii) The fully developed project proposal should explore possible policies and governance arrangements which will ensure the nurseries' sustainability once the project ends;
- (c) To request FAO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Vanuatu;
- (d) To encourage the Government of Vanuatu to submit, through FAO, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/16)

Recommendation for projects or programmes placed on the waitlist

17. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To note the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee to approve the following projects or programmes:
 - (i) Haiti (AFB/PPRC.28/10);
 - (ii) Côte d'Ivoire (AFB/PPRC.28/9);
 - (iii) Malaysia (AFB/PPRC.28/11);

- (b) To add them to the waitlist pursuant to decision B.12/9 and according to the prioritization criteria established in decision B.17/19 and clarified in decision B.19/5 and decision B.35.a-35.b/46;
- (c) To consider the projects in the waitlist for approval, subject to the availability of funds, at a future Board meeting, or intersessionally, in the order of rank in which they are listed in subparagraph (a) above.

(Decision B.37/17)

Review of regional project and programme proposals

Fully developed proposals

Proposals from Regional Implementing Entities (RIEs)

Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Gambia (Republic of The), Ghana, Guinea, Liberia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone and Togo: Scaling-up Climate-Resilient Rice Production in West Africa (Fully developed project; Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS); AF00000190; US\$ 14,000,000)

18. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To approve the funding of US\$ 14,000,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by OSS;
- (c) To request the secretariat to draft an agreement with OSS as the regional implementing entity for the project.

(Decision B.37/18)

Concepts

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)

Botswana, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe: Enhancing Water and Food Security through Sustainable Groundwater Development in the SADC Region (Concept note; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); AF00000265; US\$ 13,932,000)

19. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that IFAD reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

- (i) The proposal should outline the process and significance of the development of a “mutually agreed governance and cooperation framework” (objective 2), outlining key strategic steps towards the pursuit of an “agreed governance” of the resource beyond a solely technical focus;
 - (ii) The proponent should provide a clear documentation of the consultations held and provide evidence that international river basin organizations and national entities have been sufficiently consulted and expressed interest;
 - (iii) The proposal should attend more comprehensively to managing the risk of the use of groundwater, addressing more specifically what it intends by “sustainable groundwater use”;
 - (iv) The proposal should build on ongoing and previous cooperation with the partners mentioned, considering their experience, expertise and lessons learned;
- (c) To request IFAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Botswana, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Zambia and Zimbabwe.

(Decision B.37/19)

Cuba, Panama: Strengthening the Adaptive Capacity of Coastal Communities of Cuba and Panama to Climate Change through the Binational Exchange of Best Practices for Climate Management and Local Food Security (Concept note; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO); AF00000266; US\$ 14,000,000)

20. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify FAO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board’s decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The fully developed project proposal should provide quantitative estimates of the project’s environmental benefits;
 - (ii) The fully developed project proposal should further elaborate on the regional climate change rationale and provide stronger justification of the regional approach;
 - (iii) The fully developed project proposal should provide more details on the planned ecosystem-based adaptation interventions;
- (c) To approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 100,000;
- (d) To request FAO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Cuba and Panama;
- (e) To encourage the Governments of Cuba and Panama to submit, through FAO, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/20)

India, Sri Lanka: Strengthening Resilience of Vulnerable Communities in Sri Lanka and India to Increased Impacts of Climate Change (Concept note; United Nations World Food Programme (WFP); AF00000225; US\$ 13,995,524)

21. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the United Nations World Food Programme (WFP) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify WFP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The fully developed project proposal should ensure that all risks are fully identified for the activities that are fully identified and do not involve unidentified sub-projects, and that the risk identification considers all potential direct, indirect, transboundary and cumulative impacts that could result from the proposed project;
 - (ii) The fully developed project proposal should use more recent and relevant climate and vulnerability data, if available, as a basis for the interventions;
 - (iii) The fully developed project proposal should further expand on how the project will ensure synergies, complementarities and coherence with other projects in the region;
- (c) To approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 80,000;
- (d) To request WFP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of India and Sri Lanka;
- (e) To encourage the Governments of India and Sri Lanka to submit, through WFP, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/21)**Regional pre-concepts***Proposals from Regional Implementing Entities (RIEs)*

Benin, Togo: Towards a Climate Risks Shield in the Mono River Basin (Benin, Togo): Strengthening Adaptation and Resilience to Climate Change through Integrated Water Resources and Flood Management (Project: BOUCLIER-CLIMAT1/Mono) (Pre-concept note; Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS); AF00000267; US\$ 14,000,000)

22. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS) in response to the request made by the technical review;

- (b) To request the secretariat to notify OSS of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;
- (c) To approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (d) To request OSS to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Benin and Togo;
- (e) To encourage the Governments of Benin and Togo to submit, through OSS, a concept note proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/22)

Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs)

Cambodia, Lao People's Democratic Republic (PDR), Myanmar, Thailand, Viet Nam: Enhancing Climate Resilience of Mekong River Communities through Strengthening Climate Services (ECRMEKONG) (Pre-concept note; World Meteorological Organization (WMO); AF00000268; US\$ 13,662,862)

23. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that WMO reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should demonstrate the concreteness of the proposed investments;
 - (ii) The proposal should clarify how the investments proposed under each component feed into each other to help demonstrate cohesion among themselves;
 - (iii) The proponent should demonstrate the adequateness and relevance of the proposed investments;
 - (iv) The proposal should provide improved justification of the project's sustainability;
- (c) To not approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 19,980;
- (d) To request WMO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Cambodia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Myanmar, Thailand and Viet Nam.

(Decision B.37/23)

Cambodia, Viet Nam: Increasing Climate Resilience in Food Systems through the Expansion of Smart (Peri-) Urban Agriculture (Pre-concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000269; US\$ 14,000,000)

24. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that UNIDO reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should demonstrate the adaptation needs of the malnourished urban poor and develop relevant activities addressing those;
 - (ii) The proposal should demonstrate the appropriateness and feasibility of the proposed activities and consider the risks of maladaptation;
- (c) To not approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (d) To request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Cambodia and Viet Nam.

(Decision B.37/24)

Costa Rica, Panama: Enhancing the Climate Resilience of Coastal Communities in Limon, Costa Rica and Bocas del Toro, Panama through Nature-based Solutions for Local Livelihoods (Pre-concept note; United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP); AF00000251; US\$ 11,900,000)

25. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify UNEP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The concept proposal should further justify the climate rationale of the regional approach;
 - (ii) The concept proposal should provide more details on the ecosystem-based adaptation approaches and options that will be implemented;
- (c) To approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (d) To encourage the Governments of Costa Rica and Panama to submit, through UNEP, a concept note proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/25)

Review of enhanced direct access project and programme proposals

Fully developed proposal
Rwanda

Rwanda: Rwanda Sub-National Adaptation Fund EDA (Fully developed proposal; Ministry of Environment (MoE); AF00000270; US\$ 5,000,000)

26. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not approve the fully developed enhanced direct access proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Ministry of Environment (MoE) in response to the requests made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that MoE reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the technical review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The fully developed proposal should clarify whether the enhanced direct access (EDA) model will see transfer of finance directly to rural community members and rural farmers or whether funds will flow up only to the civil society organizations (CSOs) and local governments who have been described as the executing entities;
 - (ii) The fully developed proposal should provide information on the expected beneficiaries of the EDA programme, with particular reference to the equitable distribution of benefits to vulnerable communities, households and individuals;
 - (iii) The fully developed proposal should broadly indicate the type of projects expected to be funded by the sub-project funding envelopes, including the expected adaptation outcomes from the EDA model;
 - (iv) A gender assessment that determines the different needs, capabilities, roles and knowledge resources of women and men, and how changing gender dynamics might drive lasting change within the targeted beneficiaries of the EDA mechanism, should be submitted;
- (c) To request MoE to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Rwanda.

(Decision B.37/26)

Concept proposal
Belize

Belize: Building Community Resilience via Transformative Adaptation (Concept note; Protected Areas Conservation Trust (PACT); AF00000271; US\$ 5,000,000)

27. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the enhanced direct access project concept as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Protected Areas Conservation Trust (PACT) in response to the requests made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify PACT of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The fully developed proposal should include a diagram that presents the structure of the proposed enhanced direct access model and the decision-making processes, including how funds will flow from the national implementing entity to second-tier and onward to third-tier groups;
 - (ii) The fully developed proposal should include a gender assessment that describes how the project will address the different needs, capabilities, roles and knowledge resources of women and men;
 - (iii) The fully developed proposal should provide a cost-effectiveness analysis, including quantitative estimates of the cost differentiation between the chosen activities and those of alternatives that were considered;
 - (iv) At the fully developed proposal stage, a description of the outcomes gender-responsive consultative process should be included, along with consultation reports, in compliance with the Fund's Environmental and Social Policy;
- (c) To approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 30,000
- (d) To approve the project formulation assistance grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (e) To request PACT to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Belize;
- (f) To encourage the Government of Belize to submit, through PACT, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/27)

Review of large innovation project and programme proposals

Concepts

Proposals from National Implementing Entities

Belize

Belize: Securing Water Resources through Solar Energy and Innovative Adaptive Management (SEAM) (Concept note; Protected Areas Conservation Trust (PACT); AF00000272; US\$ 4,970,000)

28. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the large innovation project concept as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Protected Areas Conservation Trust (PACT) in response to the requests made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that PACT reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the technical review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should further elaborate on the innovation rationale by clarifying the roll-out methodology of the proposed innovation;
 - (ii) The proposal should describe the expected benefits of the proposed actions in the vulnerable communities;
 - (iii) The proposal should clarify the alternative livelihood actions that will be considered under component two and further elaborate on the process by which this will occur;
 - (iv) The proposal should provide consultation reports for all target communities, including those conducted with women and identified vulnerable groups;
 - (v) The proposal should provide an estimate of the maintenance cost of systems to be installed;
 - (vi) The proposal should further provide information on coherence and complementarity with other projects;
- (c) To not approve the project formulation grant of US\$ 30,000;
- (d) To not approve the project formulation assistance grant of US\$ 20,000;
- (e) To request PACT to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Belize.

(Decision B.37/28)

*Proposals from Multilateral Implementing Entities
Somalia*

Somalia: Enhancing Adaptation and Resilience through Nature-based Solutions (EARNSS) in Somalia (Concept note; United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP); AF00000275; US\$ 5,000,000)

29. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the large innovation project concept as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that the UNEP reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the technical review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) An initial gender assessment that adequately describes the climate change differentiated impacts on women in the target areas should be submitted along with the proposal;
 - (ii) The proposal should provide an explanation on the criteria and process for ensuring cost-effectiveness for projects selected under the community innovation fund;
- (c) To request UNEP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Somalia.

(Decision B.37/29)

Viet Nam

Viet Nam: Promoting Financial Incentive Mechanisms for Community-based Sustainable Coastal Wetland Management in Viet Nam (CM-FIM) (Concept note; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); AF00000274; US\$ 5,000,000)

30. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not endorse the large innovation project concept as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) in response to the requests made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that IFAD reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the technical review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The proposal should describe how the project /programme would promote new and innovative solutions to climate change adaptation, and how it aims to roll out and/or scale up successful innovative adaptation practices, tools and technologies;
 - (ii) The proposal should describe or justify the novelty or newness of the proposed practice for the area and how it will modify the practice to match the project context;

- (iii) The proposal should clarify why or how some of the elements, such as mobilizing the existing financial services, matching grants and financial incentives, are considered innovative, and justify how these are not well-established financial tools that could be employed without the use of funding dedicated to innovation in adaptation;
 - (iv) The proposal should clarify how capacity-building will be tailored to support innovation;
 - (v) The proposal should elaborate on the criteria that will be used to select and negotiate the preferred financial mechanism;
 - (vi) The proposal should elaborate on the mangrove management and income-generating activities;
- (c) Request IFAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Viet Nam.

(Decision B.37/30)

Pre-concepts

*Proposals from Regional Implementing Entities
Kenya and Uganda*

Kenya, Uganda: Unlocking Investments in Female and Youth-led Early-growth Stage Adaptation Micro, Small and Medium-sized Enterprises in Kenya and Uganda (Pre-concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000276; US\$ 5,000,000)

31. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To endorse the large innovation project pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To request the secretariat to notify UNIDO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:
 - (i) The concept note should provide more detail on the specific climate issues and their impacts, both from the regional perspective and in the individual countries;
 - (ii) The concept note should describe the selection criteria of beneficiary micro-, small and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) and clarify whether they will be completely developed at full proposal stage or whether there will be further development and refinement as the project progresses during implementation;
 - (iii) The concept note should provide more specific mapping of the adaptation small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) based on a detailed market assessment;
 - (iv) At the concept stage, sustainability considerations should be described from environmental, social, institutional, economic and financial perspectives;
 - (v) The concept note should be accompanied by an initial gender assessment and community consultation reports;

- (c) To request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Governments of Kenya and Uganda;
- (d) To encourage the Governments of Kenya and Uganda to submit, through UNIDO, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b) above.

(Decision B.37/31)

Review of innovation small grant project proposals

Bhutan

Bhutan: Building Adaptive Capacity through Innovative Management of Pests/Disease and Invasive Alien Species (IAS) in Bhutan to Enhance Sustainable Agro-Biodiversity and Livelihoods (Innovation Small Grant; Bhutan Trust Fund for Environmental Conservation (BT FEC); AFRDG00056; US\$ 250,000)

32. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To approve the innovation small grant proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Bhutan Trust Fund for Environmental Conservation (BT FEC) in response to the requests made by the technical review;
- (b) To approve the funding of US\$ 250,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by BT FEC;
- (c) To request the secretariat to draft an agreement with BT FEC as the national implementing entity for the project.

(Decision B.37/32)

Uganda

Uganda: Enhancing Resilience to Climate-induced Flooding and Drought through the Deployment of a Water-filled Barrier (Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE); AFRDG00060; US\$ 250,000)

33. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To not approve the innovation small grant proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE) in response to the requests made by the technical review;
- (b) To suggest that MoWE reformulate the proposal, taking into account the observations in the technical review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:
 - (i) Provide more information on the effectiveness of the proposed intervention, especially through lessons learned from the experiences in other countries;
- (c) To request MoWE to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to the Government of Uganda.

(Decision B.37/33)**Review of learning grant proposal***Proposal for learning grant**Costa Rica*

Costa Rica: Grant to Facilitate Learning and Knowledge Sharing (Learning grant; Fundecooperación para el Desarrollo Sostenible (Fundecooperación); AFRDG00059; US\$ 149,994)

34. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To approve the learning project as supplemented by the clarifications provided by Fundecooperación para el Desarrollo Sostenible (Fundecooperación) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To approve the funding of US\$ 149,994 for the implementation of the project, as requested by Fundecooperación;
- (c) To request the secretariat to draft an agreement with Fundecooperación as the national implementing entity for the project.

(Decision B.37/34)**Agenda item 7: Report of twenty-eighth meeting of the Ethics and Finance Committee***(a) Annual performance report for the fiscal year 2020*

35. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To approve the annual performance report (APR) for the fiscal year 2021 as contained in document AFB/EFC.28/3;
- (b) To request the secretariat to prepare a summarized version for the general public in a reader friendly format, following the approval of the APR by the Board.

(Decision B.37/35)*(b) Report of the Chair of the Technical Evaluation Reference Group: mid-term review of the Medium-term Strategy; and**(c) Management response to the mid-term review of the Medium-term Strategy*

36. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee (EFC) regarding the report on the mid-term review of the Medium-term Strategy of the Adaptation Fund by the Technical Evaluation Reference Group of the Adaptation Fund (AF-TERG), as contained in

document AFB/EFC.28/7, as well as the initial management response prepared by the secretariat, as contained in document AFB/EFC.28/6, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To take note of the key findings and recommendations of the mid-term review (MTR) of the Medium-term Strategy of the Adaptation Fund, including its annexes, and of the initial management response prepared by the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat;
- (b) To request the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat to prepare an updated management response reflecting the views expressed by the Ethics and Finance Committee at its twenty-eighth meeting on the findings and recommendations set out in the MTR report, for approval by the Board during the intersessional period between the thirty-seventh and thirty-eighth meetings of the Board;
- (c) To request the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat, in consultation with the AF-TERG, to prepare an action plan to respond to the recommendations arising from the MTR, reflecting the final management response, for approval by the Board during the intersessional period between the thirty-seventh and thirty-eighth meetings of the Board;
- (d) To request the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat to report to the EFC, at its thirtieth meeting (October 2022), on the progress made in implementing the action plan.

(Decision B.37/36)

- (d) *Update on implications of the fiduciary issues related to the United Nations Development Programme*

37. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To take note, with concern, of the update report by the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat, as set out in document AFB/EFC.28/8;
- (b) To request the secretariat to continue coordinating with the secretariats of the Green Climate Fund and the Global Environment Facility regarding fiduciary issues associated with the projects implemented by the United Nations Development Programme;
- (c) To request the United Nations Development Programme:
 - (i) To commission a review of its compliance with the Adaptation Fund's policy on fiduciary standards by an independent third party to be selected in consultation with the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat;
 - (ii) To assess any fiduciary issues that occurred on all projects already completed or at the completion stage, as listed in annex 1 to document AFB/EFC.28/8, in application of paragraph 33 of the Operational Policies and Guidelines for Parties to Access Resources from the Adaptation Fund as amended in October 2017;
 - (iii) To report on the status of the matters referred to in subparagraphs (c) (i) and (ii) above to the Board at its thirty-ninth meeting and provide the Adaptation Fund Board with regular updates on such matters and the outcome of the work as soon as they are available.

(Decision B.37/37)

Agenda item 8: Medium-term Strategy of the Fund beyond 2022

38. Having considered the information contained in document AFB/B.37.11 on the Medium-term Strategy of the Fund beyond 2022, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To develop a medium-term strategy for the Adaptation Fund for the period 2023–2027 (MTS 2023–2027), taking into account the findings and recommendations of the mid-term review of the Medium-term Strategy for the period 2018–2022, as contained in document AFB/EFC.28/7, and related Board discussions;
- (b) To establish a task force to guide the work of the secretariat on the MTS 2023–2027, composed of three members from Annex I countries and three members from non-Annex I countries, to be elected intersessionally;
- (c) To request the secretariat to undertake the following preparatory work towards developing the MTS 2023–2027, under the guidance of the task force mentioned in subparagraph (b) above:
 - (i) To prepare, under the guidance of the task force, a document which contains elements and options for the MTS 2023–2027, to be considered by the Board at its thirty-eight meeting, with a view to developing the final draft strategy for consideration by the Board at its thirty-ninth meeting;
 - (ii) To arrange, if feasible, an additional informal session in conjunction with the Board's thirty-eighth meeting to consider the outcomes of the consultations and to guide the preparation of the draft MTS 2023–2027;
 - (iii) To solicit inputs, when developing the elements and options mentioned in subparagraph (c) (i) above and when finalizing the draft MTS 2023–2027 mentioned in subparagraph (c) (ii) above, through open and inclusive consultations of the various stakeholders of the Fund, including the Board, contributor and recipient governments, accredited implementing entities, Adaptation Fund Civil Society Network and other civil society representatives, and other relevant stakeholders.

(Decision B.37/38)

Agenda item 10: Objectives and indicators for innovation aspects of projects

39. Having considered the information contained in document AFB/B.37/6 on the specific objectives and indicators for the innovation aspects of projects and programmes, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To take note of the information presented in document AFB/B.37/6;
- (b) To request the secretariat to pilot the use of the indicators set out in document AFB/B.37/6;
- (c) To request the secretariat to report on the progress and status of the use of the indicators, including any recommendations, to the Project and Programme Review Committee at its twenty-ninth meeting;

(d) To encourage the secretariat, while piloting the use of indicators, to continue consulting with the Technical Evaluation Reference Group of the Adaptation Fund as needed;

(e) To request the secretariat to provide an update on the matter to the Board at its thirty-ninth meeting, in the light of any relevant developments for the Fund, including those following from subparagraphs (a) and (b) above.

(Decision B.37/39)

Agenda item 11: Amendment of Operational Policies and Guidelines

40. Having considered document AFB/B.37/5 and its annex, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to approve the amended Operational Policies and Guidelines of the Adaptation Fund as contained in the annex to document AFB/B.37/5.

(Decision B.37/40)

Agenda item 12: Issues remaining from earlier meetings

a) *Strategic discussion on objectives and further steps of the Fund. Potential linkages between the Fund and the Green Climate Fund*

41. Having considered the ongoing efforts to enhance complementarity between the Adaptation Fund and the Green Climate Fund as outlined in document AFB/B.37/7 and annex I thereto, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

(a) To take note of the report set out in document AFB/B.37/7 providing an update on the recent cooperation between the Adaptation Fund and the Green Climate Fund (GCF);

(b) To request the Chair and the Vice-Chair to continue their active engagement with the GCF Board, assisted by the secretariat, with a view to further exploring complementarity and coherence between the two funds and taking concrete steps to advance the options for fund-to-fund arrangements, as described in document GCF/B.22/09 and its annex I;

(c) To request the secretariat to continue discussions with the GCF to advance the collaborative activities identified at the Annual Dialogue in November 2020 and progress in implementing the six activities of the 2019 roadmap (annex I to document AFB/B.37/7);

(d) To request the Chair and secretariat to provide the Board with:

(i) A report on the progress made in the activities described in subparagraph (c) above at its thirty-eighth meeting;

(ii) An update on the matter referred to in subparagraph (b) above once it has been considered by the GCF Board.

(Decision B.37/41)

b) *Options to further enhance civil society participation and engagement in the work of the Board*

42. Having considered the information contained in document AFB/B.37/8, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To request the secretariat, with a view to enhancing the Adaptation Fund's collaboration with civil society:
 - (i) To continue engaging with civil society and other stakeholders for their active contribution to the process of reviewing the existing and emerging policies and procedures of the Adaptation Fund (the Fund);
 - (ii) To create a dedicated section on the Fund's website related to the Fund's engagement with stakeholders, including civil society, and update it as the discussions on stakeholder engagement evolve;
 - (iii) To invite civil society members to the Fund's readiness workshops and webinars and consider organizing a session dedicated to civil society engagement, as appropriate and within budget;
- (b) To request the secretariat to prepare a draft outline of a policy or guidelines on civil society engagement, taking into account the findings of the survey results and the study on the need for the policy, as contained in document AFB/B.37/8, as well as the Board's discussions at its thirty-seventh meeting, and to present it for the Board's consideration at its thirty-eighth meeting.

(Decision B.37/42)

Agenda item 15: Election of officers for the next period of office

43. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) To elect:
 - (i) Mr. Mattias Broman (Sweden, Annex I Parties) as Chair of the Ethics and Finance Committee;
 - (ii) Ms. Susana Castro-Acuña Baixauli (Spain, Western Europe and Others) as Vice-Chair of the Project and Programme Review Committee;
- (b) To further elect the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Board, the Vice-Chair of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Chair of the Project and Programme Review Committee and the Chair and Vice-Chair of the Accreditation Panel during the intersessional period.

(Decision B.37/43)

Agenda item 18: Other matters*Proposals for Indonesia (Kemitraan)*

Indonesia (1): Enhancing the Adaptation Capability of Coastal Community in Facing the Impacts of Climate Change in Negeri (Village) Asilulu, Ureng and Lima of Leihitu District Maluku Tengah Regency Maluku Province (Fully developed project; Partnership for Governance Reform in Indonesia (Kemitraan); IDN/NIE/CZM/2019/1; US\$ 963,456)

44. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To approve the fully developed project proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Partnership for Governance Reform in Indonesia (Kemitraan) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To approve the funding of US\$ 963,456 for the implementation of the project, as requested by Kemitraan;
- (c) To request the secretariat to draft an agreement with Kemitraan as the national implementing entity for the project.

(Decision B.37/44)

Indonesia (2): EMBRACING THE SUN: Redefining Public Space as a Solution for the Effects of Global Climate Change in Indonesia's Urban Areas (Fully developed project; Partnership for Governance Reform in Indonesia (Kemitraan); IDN/NIE/Urban/2019/1; US\$ 824,835)

45. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- (a) To approve the fully developed project proposal as supplemented by the clarifications provided by the Partnership for Governance Reform in Indonesia (Kemitraan) in response to the request made by the technical review;
- (b) To approve the funding of US\$ 824,835 for the implementation of the project, as requested by Kemitraan;
- (c) To request the secretariat to draft an agreement with Kemitraan as the national implementing entity for the project. Prior to first disbursement, Kemitraan should submit a revised result framework for the project that includes the core impact indicator "Number of beneficiaries", including estimates of direct and indirect beneficiaries, and a second core indicator related to "Assets produced, developed, improved or strengthened".

(Decision B.37/45)

Annex I

AFB37: Summary of funding decisions for projects and programmes of the thirty-seventh meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board

1. Full Proposals: Single-country	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE								
	Bhutan	BTFC	AFB/PPRC/28/5	9,998,955			Not approve	0
	Indonesia (1)	Kemitraan	AFB/PPRC.27/5	963,456			Approve	963,456
	Indonesia (2)	Kemitraan	AFB/PPRC.27/6	824,835			Approve	824,835
	Tanzania (United Republic of) (1)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC/28/6	2,500,000			Not approve	0
	Tanzania (United Republic of) (2)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC/28/7	4,000,000			Not approve	0
	Uganda (1)	MoWE	AFB/PPRC/28/8	2,249,000			Not approve	0
MIE								
	Côte d'Ivoire	IFAD	AFB/PPRC/28/9			6,000,000	Waitlist (2 nd)	0
	Haiti	UNESCO	AFB/PPRC/28/10			9,916,344	Waitlist (1 st)	0
	Malaysia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC/28/11			10,000,000	Waitlist (3 rd)	0
Sub-total, USD				20,536,246		25,916,344		1,788,291
2. Concepts: Single-country	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE								
	Costa Rica	Fundecooperación	AFB/PPRC/28/12	10,000,000			Not endorse	-
	Honduras	CASM	AFB/PPRC/28/13	4,000,000			Not endorse	-
	Tanzania (United Republic of) (3)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC/28/14	3,500,000			Endorse	-
	Uganda (2)	MoWE	AFB/PPRC/28/15	9,504,600			Not endorse	-
RIE								
	Trinidad and Tobago	CAF	AFB/PPRC/28/16		10,000,000		Endorse	-
MIE								
	Nicaragua	FAO	AFB/PPRC/28/17			10,000,000	Not Endorse	-
	North Macedonia	FAO	AFB/PPRC/28/18			9,991,711	Endorse	-
	Vanuatu	FAO	AFB/PPRC/28/19			7,128,450	Endorse	-
Sub-total, USD				27,004,600	10,000,000	27,120,161		-
3. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) / Project Formulation Assistance (PFA): Single-country	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE								
PFG	Costa Rica	Fundecooperación	AFB/PPRC/28/12/Add.1	30,000			Not approve	0
PFA	Costa Rica	Fundecooperación	AFB/PPRC/28/12/Add.2	20,000			Not approve	0
PFG	Honduras	CASM	AFB/PPRC/28/13/Add.1	30,000			Not approve	0
PFA	Honduras	CASM	AFB/PPRC/28/13/Add.2	20,000			Not approve	0
PFG	Tanzania (United Republic of) (3)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC/28/14/Add.1	30,000			Approve	30,000
PFG	Uganda (2)	MoWE	AFB/PPRC/28/15/Add.1	45,000			Not approve	0
Sub-total, USD				175,000	-	-		30,000

4. Full Proposals: Regional	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
RIE								
	Benin, Burkina Faso, Côte d'Ivoire, Gambia (Republic of The), Ghana, Guinea, Liberia, Mali, Niger, Nigeria, Senegal, Sierra Leone, Togo	OSS	AFB/PPRC/28/20		14,000,000		Approve	14,000,000
Sub-total, USD				-	14,000,000	-		14,000,000
5. Concepts: Regional	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
MIE								
	Botswana, Malawi, Mozambique, South Africa, Zambia, Zimbabwe	IFAD	AFB/PPRC/28/21			13,932,000	Not endorse	-
	Cuba, Panama	FAO	AFB/PPRC/28/22			14,000,000	Endorse	-
	India, Sri Lanka	WFP	AFB/PPRC/28/23			13,995,524	Endorse	-
Sub-total, USD				-	-	41,927,524		-
6. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Regional Concepts	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
MIE								
PFG	Cuba, Panama	FAO	AFB/PPRC/28/22/Add.1			100,000	Approve	100,000
PFG	India, Sri Lanka	WFP	AFB/PPRC/28/23/Add.1			80,000	Approve	80,000
Sub-total, USD				-	-	180,000		180,000
7. Pre-concepts: Regional	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
RIE								
	Benin, Togo	OSS	AFB/PPRC/28/24		14,000,000		Endorse	-
MIE								
	Cambodia, Lao (People's Democratic Republic), Myanmar, Thailand, Viet Nam	WMO	AFB/PPRC/28/25			13,662,862	Not endorse	-
	Cambodia, Viet Nam	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC/28/26			14,000,000	Not endorse	-
	Costa Rica, Panama	UNEP	AFB/PPRC/28/27			11,900,000	Endorse	-
Sub-total, USD				-	14,000,000	39,562,862		-
8. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Regional pre-concepts	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
RIE								
PFG	Benin, Togo	OSS	AFB/PPRC/28/24/Add.1		20,000		Approve	20,000
MIE								
PFG	Cambodia, Lao (People's Democratic Republic), Myanmar, Thailand, Viet Nam	WMO	AFB/PPRC/28/25/Add.1			19,980	Not approve	0
PFG	Cambodia, Viet Nam	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC/28/26/Add.1			20,000	Not approve	0
PFG	Costa Rica, Panama	UNEP	AFB/PPRC/28/27/Add.1			20,000	Approve	20,000
Sub-total, USD				-	20,000	59,980		40,000
GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8)				47,715,846	38,020,000	134,766,871		16,038,291

9. Full Proposal: Enhanced Direct Access	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE	Rwanda	MoE	AFB/PPRC/28/29	5,000,000			Not approve	0
Sub-total, USD				5,000,000	-	-		0
10. Concept: Enhanced Direct Access	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE	Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC/28/30	5,000,000			Endorse	-
Sub-total, USD				5,000,000	-	-		-
11. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) / Project Formulation Assistance (PFA): Enhanced Direct Access	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE								
PFG	Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC/28/30/Add.1	30,000			Approve	30,000
PFA	Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC/28/30/Add.2	20,000			Approve	20,000
Sub-total, USD				50,000	-	-		50,000
GRAND TOTAL (9+10+11)				10,050,000	-	-		50,000
12. Concepts Single-country: Large Innovation Projects	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE	Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC/28/32	4,970,000			Not endorse	-
MIE	Somalia	UNEP	AFB/PPRC/28/33			5,000,000	Not endorse	-
	Viet Nam	IFAD	AFB/PPRC/28/34			5,000,000	Not endorse	-
Sub-total, USD				4,970,000	-	10,000,000		-
13. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) / Project Formulation Assistance (PFA): Single-country Large Innovation Projects	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE								
PFG	Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC/28/32/Add.1	30,000			Not approve	0
PFA	Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC/28/32/Add.2	20,000			Not approve	0
Sub-total, USD				50,000	-	-		-
14. Pre-concepts Regional: Large Innovation Projects	Region/Countries	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
MIE	Kenya, Uganda	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC/28/35		5,000,000		Endorse	-
Sub-total, USD				-	5,000,000	-		-
GRAND TOTAL (12+13+14)				5,020,000	5,000,000	10,000,000		-
15. Innovation Small Grants	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE	Bhutan	BTFC	AFB/PPRC/28/37	250,000			Approve	250,000
	Uganda	MoWE	AFB/PPRC/28/38	250,000			Not approve	0
Sub-total, USD				500,000	-	-		250,000
16. Learning Grants	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Decision	Funding set aside, USD
NIE	Costa Rica	Fundecooperación	AFB/PPRC/28/40	149,994			Approve	149,994
Sub-total, USD				149,994	-	-		149,994
GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16)				63,435,840	43,020,000	144,766,871		16,488,285