

UPDATED TECHNICAL REVIEW TEMPLATE FOR READINESS PACKAGE GRANT PROPOSALS



ADAPTATION FUND

**ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL REVIEW
OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL**

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY Readiness Package Grant

Readiness Package support recipient Country:
Accredited Implementing Entity (Intermediary) delivering support:
Nominated National Implementing Entity (NIE) Candidate:
Type of accredited Implementing Entity (NIE/RIE/MIE):
Requested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars):
AF Project ID:
Reviewer and contact person: **Co-reviewer(s):**
IE Contact Person:

Technical Summary	<p>The project to support NIE accreditation in [insert country name] will be done through the [insert number, e.g. three] components below:</p> <p><u>Component 1:</u> [Name] (USD xxx).</p> <p><u>Component 2:</u> [Name] (USD xxx)</p> <p><u>Component 3:</u> [Name] (USD xxx).</p> <p><u>Requested financing overview:</u> Total Project/Programme Cost: USD xxx Implementing Entity Fee: USD xxx Financing Requested: USD xxx</p> <p>The initial technical review [raises/ raised] [some/several issues], such as [list only main issues, please keep it short], as is discussed in the number of Clarification Requests (CRs) and Corrective Action Request (CAR) raised in the review.</p>
--------------------------	--

	The final technical review finds that the proposal [has/has not] addressed [some/ most/ all] of the CR and CAR requests. [Namely, please name out main outstanding issues, if any]
Date:	

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments
Country Eligibility	1. Is the country that does not yet have an accredited NIE a Party to the Kyoto Protocol?	
Eligibility of IE (Intermediary)	1. Is the project submitted through an Implementing Entity with an “accredited status with the Fund?	
	2. Does the Implementing Entity have an approved project by the Adaptation Fund Board and has submitted at least one project performance report (PPR)?	
	3. Has the Implementing Entity demonstrated adequate experience providing capacity building support to NIE candidates and other national/sub-national entities for access to climate change adaptation finance?	
Eligibility of nominated NIE candidate	1. Has the nominated NIE candidate taken the AF online course on accreditation and demonstrated adequate results during the self-assessment to meet accreditation criteria of the Fund?	

	2. Is the suitability of the candidate NIE to meet the accreditation criteria justified considering its experience managing project finance, its institutional capacity and experience implementing and managing the full climate change or development finance project life cycle, and its competency for transparency, self-investigative powers and anti-corruption measures?	
Project Eligibility	1. Has the designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in the country seeking accreditation endorsed the project?	
	2. Has the intermediary undertaken an assessment or had dialogue on the NIE candidate gaps/challenges and ability of the candidate NIE to meet the requirements stipulated in the AF accreditation application form?	
	3. Have accreditation gaps/challenges been clearly identified and the approaches to address them clearly outlined?	
	4. Are the proposed activities to address identified gaps/challenges for the NIE candidate to obtain accreditation with the Fund justified?	
Resource Availability	1. Is the requested project funding within the cap for the Readiness Package grants set by the Board?	
	2. Is the Implementing Entity Management Fee at or below 8.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget before the fee?	

	3. Is there budget set aside to continue support post submission of a complete application for accreditation to the AF secretariat?	
Implementation Arrangements	1. Has adequate time been provided to respond to and address comments and feedback that may be made by the Accreditation Panel?	
	2. Is a detailed budget including budget notes included?	