

AFB/PPRC.32/8/Add.1 18 September 2023

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Thirty-second Meeting
Bonn, Germany, 10-11 October 2023

Agenda Item 4e)

PROJECT FORMULATION GRANT FOR HONDURAS

I. Background

- 1. The Board at its eleventh meeting discussed the document "Funding for Project Formulation Costs" (AFB/11/6) and agreed, in its Decision B.11/18, that:
 - i. project formulation grants (PFG) should be given once a project concept has been approved
 - ii. consideration should be given in terms of differentiating between NIEs and MIEs, since some NIEs might have financial difficulties in trying to formulate project or programme proposals;
 - iii. a flat rate should be given for project formulation costs;
 - iv. a list of eligible activities and items still needed to be prepared; v. the grant should be additional to the project cost; and
 - v. the fate of funds if the final project document was rejected should be determined.
- 2. There was consensus that a three-tiered system should be considered for project formulation grants: endorse a project concept with a PFG amount, endorse a project concept without a PFG amount, or reject the project concept.
- 3. Following the discussion, the Board decided:

To request the secretariat to reformulate the document, to include a comparison of eligible activities provided by other funds for project formulation grants, to take into account guidance provided by the Board at the present meeting, and to submit the document to the Board at its twelfth meeting, through the EFC. The EFC should review and finalize the process and policy of the project formulation grant focusing, in particular, on: the issue of unspent project funds; the procedures followed by other funds in that regard; and the determination of a flat-rate.

- 4. A document was prepared by the secretariat in response to the above mandate and presented at the third EFC meeting, which made specific recommendations to the Board at its twelfth meeting. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board, in its Decision B.12/28, decided that:
 - (a) Project Formulation Grants (PFGs) will only be made available for projects submitted through NIEs. The Board would continue reviewing the question of PFGs for projects submitted through MIEs and would solicit comments from members and alternate members by February 14, 2011; the views would be compiled by the secretariat for presentation to the Board at its March 2011 meeting;
 - (b) If a country required a project formulation grant, a request should be made at the same time as the submission of a project concept to the secretariat. The secretariat will review and forward it to the PPRC for a final recommendation to the Board. A PFG could only be awarded when a project concept was presented and endorsed;
 - (c) A PFG form, reproduced in Annex V, should be submitted:

- (d) Only activities related to country costs would be eligible for PFG funding;
- (e) A flat rate of up to US\$30,000 shall be provided, inclusive of the management fee, which cannot exceed 8.5 per cent of the grant amount. The flat fee would be reviewed by the Board at its thirteenth and all subsequent meetings;
- (f) If the final project document is rejected, any unused funds shall be returned to the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund;
- (g) Once a project/programme formulation grant is disbursed, a fully developed project document should come to the Board for approval within 12 months. No additional grants for project preparation can be received by a country until the fully developed project/programme document has been submitted to the Board; and
- (h) The Trustee was instructed to remove the set-aside of US\$100,000 for project preparation that had been decided at the June 2010 meeting, as project preparation would be approved on a project-by-project basis.
- 5. In its twenty-fourth meeting, the Board had initiated steps to launch a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, not to exceed US\$ 30 million and had requested the secretariat to prepare for the consideration of the Board a proposal for such a pilot programme (Decision B.24/30). In its twenty-fifth meeting, the secretariat submitted such document and the Board decided to:
 - (a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;
 - (b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;
 - (c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and
 - (d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.

(Decision B.25/28)

6. The approved document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2 contained provisions for the approval of project formulated grants for regional project and programme proposals, at different development stages, as follows:

"It is proposed that the Board open a structured call for MIEs and RIEs to submit preconcepts for regional projects and programmes. The optional pre-concepts would be very brief proposals of maximum 5 pages that would explain the proposed regional adaptation project/programme. The pre-concepts would be screened and technically reviewed by the secretariat, and subsequently reviewed by the PPRC. Together with the pre-concept, the proponent could submit a Phase I PFG request, up to the maximum level of US\$ 20,000. While endorsing the pre-concept, the Board could also approve the Phase I PFG request. The endorsement of the pre-concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding. As the next step, the proponent would submit a concept, and with it the proponent could submit a Phase II PFG request. The maximum AFB/PPRC.18/25/Add.1 level of the Phase II PFG would be US\$ 80,000 for proposals that had been previously granted Phase I PFG, and US\$ 100,000 for proposals that bypassed the optional pre-concept stage. While endorsing the concept, the Board could also approve the Phase II PFG request. The endorsement of the concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding, as it is the case for the national projects. The final stage of the proposal process would be the submission of the fully-developed regional project document."

II. The Project Formulation Grant Request

- 7. This addendum to the document AFB/PPRC.32/8 "Proposal for Honduras" includes a request for a Project Formulation Grant, requesting a budget of US\$ 50,000, which was received by the secretariat along with the concept for the project AF00000350 "Constructing Resilience Together to Face Climate Change and Variability in Western Honduras". This proposal was submitted by by Comisión de Acción Social Menonita (CASM), which is a National Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund, in time for consideration by the Adaptation Fund Board at forty-first Board meeting.
- 8. In accordance with Decision B.12/28, paragraph (b), the secretariat carried out an initial review of the PFG request and found that the document provided detailed information on the use of the requested funds. The proposed activities were aligned with the goal of the project and would support development of the gender assessment and action plan, consultation with different actors in the territory and at the national level on the gender situation in the intervention areas and also on the proposals for actions to follow, diagnosis of Gender at the territorial level, to identify gaps, roles, cultural patterns, etc.
- 9. Therefore, the PPRC may want to consider and <u>recommend</u> to the Board to approve the PFG Request, provided that the related concept proposal is endorsed.



Project Formulation Grant (PFG)

Submission Date: 06/07/2023

Adaptation Fund Project ID: Country/ies: Honduras, CA

Title of Project/Programme: Constructing Resilience Together to Face Climate Change and

Variability in Western Honduras

Type of IE (NIE/MIE): NIE Implementing Entity: CASM

Executing Entity/ies: ICTA (International Center for Tropical Agriculture)

A. Project Preparation Timeframe

Start date of PFG	November 2023		
Completion date of PFG	January 2024		

B. Proposed Project Preparation Activities (\$)

Describe the PFG activities and justifications:

List of Proposed Project Preparation Activities	Output of the PFG Activities	USD Amount	
Recruitment of specialized consultancy to lead consultation, diagnosis and gender plan	Gender Management Plan Prepared with the AF specifications	\$ 12,000	
Consultation with different actors in the territory and at the national level on the gender situation in the intervention areas and also on the proposals for actions to follow			
Diagnosis of Gender at the territorial level, to identify gaps, roles, cultural patterns that are affecting and especially elements to consider so that the Gender policy of the AF and CASM is fulfilled in the project.			
Preparation of the Gender Plan according to the guidelines of the AF			
Recruitment of Consulting to lead the complete proposal	Complete proposal developed in a participatory manner in	\$ 38,000	
Territorial, socio-economic	accordance with the		



and environmental diagnosis	guidelines of the AF		
Meetings-workshops to define indicators, agreements and implementation plan			
Preparation and writing of the complete proposal according to the specifications of the AF			
Total Project Formulation Grant		\$ 50,000	

C. Implementing Entity

This request has been prepared in accordance with the Adaptation Fund Board's procedures and meets the Adaptation Fund's criteria for project identification and formulation

Implementing Entity Coordinator, IE Name	Signature	Date (Month, day, year)	Project Contact Person	Telephone	Email Address
Comision de Accion Social Menonita (CASM)	Mumphus	06/07/2023	Nelson Garcia Lobo	504 2552- 9469	direccion@casm.hn
		06/07/2023	Suyapa Ucles Salinas	504 9456- 0623	programas@casm.hn







Oficio No.-DMA -0160-2023

Tegucigalpa, M.D.C., May 15th, 2023

Ref.: Endorsement for Building Resilience to Climate Change and Climate Variability in Western Honduras

The Adaptation Fund Board Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat

Dear Sir/Madam

I am pleased to confirm the commitment of the State Secretariat of Natural Resources and Environment to endorse the Building Resilience to Climate Change and Climate Variability in Western Honduras project proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund.

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in Honduras, I confirm that the above national project proposal is in accordance with the government's national priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Honduras.

If approved, the project/programme will be implemented by Comision de Accion Social Menonita (CASM) and executed by the State Secretariat of Natural Resources and Environment of Honduras.

HONDURAS

Lucky Halach Medina Estrada

Secretary Natural Resources and Environment

CC;Archivo

Sincerely









