



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.32/3  
28 September 2023

---

Project and Programme Review Committee  
Thirty-second Meeting  
Bonn, Germany, 10-11 October 2023

Agenda item 3)

**REPORT OF THE SECRETARIAT ON INITIAL  
SCREENING/TECHNICAL REVIEW  
OF PROJECT AND PROGRAMME PROPOSALS**

## Background

1. This document presents to the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) of the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) an overview of the project/programme proposals submitted by Implementing Entities (IEs) to the current meeting, and the process of screening and technical review undertaken by the secretariat.

2. The analysis of the proposals mentioned above is contained in a separate addendum to this document.

## Current single country cap

3. At the thirty-sixth meeting, having considered the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

*(a) To revise the cap per country established by decision B.13/23 from US\$ 10 million to US\$ 20 million for all eligible developing country Parties, so that any Party could access a total of up to US\$ 20 million from the Adaptation Fund once it had accessed funding amounting to at least US\$ 8 million for concrete single-country adaptation projects or programmes or once four years had passed since approval of the first concrete single-country adaptation project(s)/(programme(s) by the Board, whichever occurred earlier;*

*(b) To set a maximum level of US\$ 10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances, such as in the case of national implementing entities accredited through the streamlined process;*

[...]

**(Decision B.36/41)**

4. At this time, one country (Costa Rica) has exhausted the funding available under the country cap as per Decision B.36/41. The specific amount currently available to each country depends on the conditions set in sub-paragraph (a).

## Funding status under the MIE cap

5. At the twelfth meeting, the Board instituted a cap of 50 per cent for project funds directed through Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs), having decided:

*(a) That the cumulative budget allocation for funding projects submitted by MIEs, should not exceed 50 per cent of the total funds available for funding decisions in the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund at the start of each session. That cumulative allocation would be subject to review by the Board on the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee at subsequent sessions;*

*(b) To request the Trustee to provide an update on the amount of funds that have been approved for projects implemented by NIEs and MIEs at each meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board; and*

*(c) To review the implementation of this decision at the fourteenth meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board.*

***(Decision B.12/9)***

6. In its seventeenth meeting, having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee (EFC), the Board decided to:

*(a) Maintain the 50 per cent cap on the funding of projects/programmes implemented by MIEs established by decision B.12/9, and exclude project/programme concepts from the 50 per cent calculation; [...]*

***(Decision B.17/19)***

7. According to the latest Financial Report prepared by the Trustee as of 30 June 2023, the cumulative funding decisions for all projects/programmes amounted to US\$ 1,060.75 billion and funds available to support AF Board funding decisions amounted to US\$ 358.72 million. The cumulative funding decisions for projects/programmes submitted by MIEs amounted to US\$ 656.25 million. The Board had instituted, through decision B.12/9, a cap of 50 per cent for projects/programmes submitted by MIEs. Some categories of proposals submitted by MIEs had been excluded from counting towards the 50 per cent, and the cumulative funding decisions for MIE projects/programmes that counted towards it amounted to US\$ 643.77 million. Therefore, the funds available below the 50 per cent cap currently amount to US\$ 80.27 million.

### **Funding window for regional projects and programmes**

8. Since its inception and until March 2017, the Adaptation Fund Board had only approved projects and programmes implemented in individual countries. At its twenty-fifth meeting, the Board considered a proposal for a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, and decided to:

*(a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;*

*(b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;*

*(c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; [...]*

***(Decision B.25/28)***

9. In accordance with the decision B.25/28 and the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, the secretariat had issued, on 5 May 2015, an invitation to submit project and programme proposals for funding under the pilot programme. The invitation was sent to Designated Authorities (DAs) of the Adaptation Fund, and to Multilateral and Regional Implementing Entities (RIEs) accredited by the Board.

10. The Board decided, at its twenty-sixth meeting, [...] to request the secretariat to inform the Multilateral Implementing Entities and Regional Implementing Entities that the call for proposals under the Pilot programme for Regional Projects and Programmes is still open and to encourage them to submit proposals to the AFB at its 27th meeting, bearing in mind the cap established by decision B.25/28.

**(Decision B.26/3)**

11. The Board considered, at its twenty-seventh meeting, issues related to the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes and decided to:

- (a) Continue consideration of regional project and programme proposals under the pilot programme, while reminding the implementing entities that the amount set aside for the pilot programme is US\$ 30 million;
- (b) Request the secretariat to prepare for consideration by the Project and Programme Review Committee at its nineteenth meeting, a proposal for prioritization among regional project/programme proposals, including for awarding project formulation grants, and for establishment of a pipeline; and
- (c) Consider the matter of the pilot programme for regional projects and programmes at its twenty-eighth meeting.

**(Decision B.27/5)**

12. The proposal requested in (b) above was presented to the nineteenth meeting of the PPRC as document AFB/PPRC.19/5. The Board subsequently decided:

- (a) With regard to the pilot programme approved by decision B.25/28:

- (i) To prioritize the four projects and 10 project formulation grants as follows:

1. If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do not exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, all those proposals would be submitted to the Board for funding;

2. If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, the proposals to be funded under the pilot programme would be prioritized so that the total number of projects and project formulation grants (PFGs) under the programme maximizes the total diversity of projects/PFGs. This would be done using a three-tier prioritization system: so that the proposals in relatively less funded sectors would be prioritized as the first level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same sector: the proposals in relatively less funded regions are prioritized as the second level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same region, the proposals submitted by relatively less represented implementing entity would be prioritized as the third level of prioritization;

- (ii) To request the secretariat to report on the progress and experiences of the pilot programme to the PPRC at its twenty-third meeting; and

- (b) *With regards to financing regional proposals beyond the pilot programme referred to above:*
- (i) *To continue considering regional proposals for funding, within the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2: ones requesting up to US\$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US\$ 5 million, subject to review of the regional programme;*
  - (ii) *To establish two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals: one for proposals up to US\$ 14 million and the other for proposals up to US\$ 5 million, and place any technically cleared regional proposals, in those pipelines, in the order described in decision B.17/19 (their date of recommendation by the PPRC, their submission date, their lower “net” cost); and*
  - (iii) *To fund projects from the two pipelines, using funds available for the respective types of implementing entities, so that the maximum number of or maximum total funding for projects and project formulation grants to be approved each fiscal year will be outlined at the time of approving the annual work plan of the Board.*

**(Decision B.28/1)**

13. At the twenty-ninth meeting, having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:

- (a) *To include in its work plan for fiscal year 2018 a program of work amounting to US\$ 30 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:*
- (i) *Up to three proposals requesting up to US\$ 5 million for funding;*
  - (ii) *One proposal requesting up to US\$ 14 million of funding;*
  - (iii) *Up to five project formulation grant (PFG) requests, of up to US\$ 100,000 each, for preparing project and programme concepts or fully developed project documents requesting up to US\$ 5 million of funding;*
  - (iv) *Up to five project formulation grant (PFG) requests, of up to US\$ 100,000 each, for preparing project and programme concepts or fully developed project documents requesting up to US\$ 14 million of funding.*

**(Decision B.29/4)**

14. At its thirty-first meeting, the Board subsequently decided:

- (a) *To merge the two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals established in decision B.28/1(b)(ii), so that starting in fiscal year 2019 the provisional amount of funding for regional proposals would be allocated without distinction between the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, and that the funding of regional proposals would be established on a ‘first come, first served’ basis; and*

- (b) *To include in its work programme for fiscal year 2019 provision of an amount of US\$ 60 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:*
- (i) *Up to US\$ 59 million to be used for funding regional project and programme proposals in the two categories of regional projects and programmes: ones requesting up to US\$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US\$ 5 million; and*
  - (ii) *Up to US\$ 1 million for funding project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concepts or fully developed project and programme documents.*

**(Decision B.31/3)**

15. For the fiscal year 2020, the decision was taken by the Board to approve the same amounts as for the year 2019 (decision B.33/12).

16. Subsequently, for the fiscal year 2021, given the extraordinary set of circumstances brought on by the pandemic, having considered the proposal by the secretariat as contained in document AFB/PPRC.26.a-26.b/22, and the recommendation by the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to:

- (a) *Include in its work plan for fiscal year 2021 the provision for an amount of US\$ 30 million to be provisionally set aside for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, including project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concept or fully developed project documents;*
- (b) *Consider the need for additional funding for regional project and programme proposals in the second half of fiscal year 2021.*

**(Decision B.35.a-35.b/75)**

17. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to include in its work programme for fiscal year 2022 a provision for an amount of US\$ 60 million, to be provisionally set aside as follows:

- (a) *Up to US\$ 59 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals;*
- (b) *Up to US\$ 1 million for the funding of project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concept or fully developed project documents.*

**(Decision B.36/1)**

18. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC), the Adaptation Fund Board decided to request the secretariat to provide for the following in its work programme for fiscal year 2024:

- (a) *US\$ 100 million to fund regional projects and programme proposals, including requests for project formulation grants to prepare regional project and programme concept or fully developed project documents;*  
[...]

**(Decision B.40/56)**

19. Details of funding allocations under the Funding Window for regional projects and programmes per Board decision are displayed below:

Fiscal year	AF Board Decision	Amt in Millions USD
2015	B.25/28	30.00
2018	B.29/4	30.00
2019	B.31/3	60.00
2020	B.33/12	60.00
2021	B.35a-35b/75	30.00
2022	B.36/1	60.00
2023	B.38/5	60.00
2024	B.40/56	100.00

Remaining Funds Available under the Funding Window for Regional Projects and Programmes

20. The total amount funded for regional projects and programmes in the fiscal year 2024 to date is US\$ 13,991,159. Accordingly, the amount of funding currently remaining from the amount approved for the 2024 provision for regional programming is US\$ 86,008,841 and there are no regional projects or programmes currently on the waitlist.

21. The secretariat is presenting below the details of the regular projects submitted for this cycle, following the details of the pilot rolling-basis process as described AFB/PPRC.31/60 and approved in Decision B.40/59.

**Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities: single country proposals**

22. Accredited Entities submitted 21 single country project proposals to the secretariat, of which 19 were eligible and for which technical reviews were carried out. Two proposals were not eligible as the letters or endorsement were not valid. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible single country proposals is US\$ 161,714,258.

23. They were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: The proposals were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund; Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU), Armenia; Fundación Natura (Panama); Ministry of Environment (MOE), Rwanda; Mexican Institute of Water and Technology (IMTA), Mexico; Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); the Pacific Community (SPC), the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), the United Nations Human Settlement Programme (UN-Habitat) and the United Nations World Food Programme (WFP).

24. Of the 19 eligible single country project proposals, eight project proposals submitted to the secretariat were technically cleared and are presented in Table 2 below, with the total requested funding amounting to US\$ 66,913,758 (excluding project formulation grants). The proposals included US\$

5,203,869.00 or 8.37%<sup>1</sup> in IE management fees and US\$ 5,236,081.00 or 8.41%<sup>2</sup> in execution costs.

25. Of the eight projects that were technically cleared, four are fully developed project proposals. The total requested funding of those proposals amounts to US\$ 94,800,499 (excluding project formulation grants). Nine of the 11 proposals are fully developed projects and two are concept notes.

26. All the proposals are in compliance with Board decision B.11/16 to cap management fees at 8.5%. In accordance with the same decision B.11/16, all proponents of fully developed project documents provide a budget on fee use.

27. All the proposals are in compliance with Board Decision B.13/17 to cap execution costs at 9.5% of the project/programme budget. One proposal, submitted by UN-Habitat, requests execution costs at 9.5% on an exceptional basis as the Implementing Entity also provides Direct Project Services and execution support.

28. All submissions for single countries request funding below the cap available to each country, as per decision B.13/23 and decision B.36/41 on the *Provision* of financial resources between single-country and regional concrete adaptation project and programmes (country cap) -see Table 1 below. None of the proposals are small size proposals (under US\$ 1 million). Two of the proposals were submitted by the same MIE. The eight proposals are from eight different countries, and six of those are requesting part of the additional US\$ 10 million available to them as per decision B.36/41.

29. All fully developed project/programme documents provide an explanation and a breakdown of their execution costs and other administrative costs, and are in compliance with the following Board Decision made in the twelfth meeting:

*(b) To request to the implementing entities that the project document include an explanation and a breakdown of all administrative costs associated with the project, including the execution costs.*

**(Decision B.12/7)**

30. Details of the single-country proposals are contained in separate PPRC working documents, as follows:

*Table 1: Single country proposals technically reviewed for consideration at AFB.41*

1. Full Proposals: Single-country	Country	Implementing Entity	Number of technical reviews carried out by the Sec.	PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD	Country cap (funding available), USD
<b>NIE</b>						
	Armenia	EPIU	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.9	3,780,513	16,058,900
	Panama	Fundacion Natura	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.1	10,000,000	10,032,441
	Rwanda	MoE	2	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.2	9,977,555	10,030,381
<b>RIE</b>						

<sup>1</sup> The implementing entity management fee percentage is calculated compared to the project budget including the project activities and the execution costs, before the management fee.

<sup>2</sup> The execution costs percentage is calculated as a percentage of the project budget, including the project activities and the execution costs, before the implementing entity management fee.



	Fiji	SPC	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.3	5,764,000	15,764,005
	Peru	CAF	2	AFB/PPRC.32/4	5,361,666	10,108,315
<b>MIE</b>						
	Cambodia	UN-Habitat	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.4	10,000,000	10,045,727
	Central African Republic*	IFAD	1	AFB/PPRC.32/5	10,000,000	10,000,000
	Georgia	IFAD	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.5	9,846,766	10,038,706
	Lao PDR	UN Habitat	2	AFB/PPRC.32/6	7,561,131	10,000,000
	Libya*	IFAD	2	AFB/PPRC.32/7	9,995,758	10,000,000
	Nicaragua	WFP	2	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.6	10,000,000	14,499,050
	Philippines*	UNIDO	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.7	7,432,196	10,000,000
	Zambia*	IFAD	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.8	10,000,000	10,000,000
<b>2. Concepts: Single-country</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>Implementing Entity</b>		<b>PPRC Document number</b>	<b>Grant Size, USD</b>	
<b>NIE</b>						
	Honduras	CASM	2	AFB/PPRC.32/8	4,000,000	10,000,000
	Mexico*	IMTA	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.10	8,000,000	10,000,000
<b>RIE</b>						
	Bangladesh	ICIMOD	3	AFB/PPRC.32/9	9,999,929	10,004,631
	Uruguay	CAF	2	AFB/PPRC.32/10	10,000,000	10,032,322
	Pakistan	ICIMOD	3	AFB/PPRC.32/11	9,995,275	10,000,000
<b>MIE</b>						
	Tunisia	WFP	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.11	9,999,469	10,002,810

\* Additional \$10 M will be available to the country for further programming once the conditions stipulated in Decision B.36/41 are fulfilled.

31. Details of the single-country proposals not technically cleared are presented to the Board as information documents.

### Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities: regional proposals

32. Accredited entities submitted seven regional proposals to the secretariat, of these six were eligible and for which technical reviews were carried out. One proposal was not eligible as the letters of endorsements were not valid. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible regional proposals is US\$76,858,752.

33. They were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: The proposals were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund; Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU), Armenia; Fundación Natura (Panama); Ministry of Environment (MOE), Rwanda; Mexican Institute of Water and Technology (IMTA), Mexico; Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); the Pacific Community (SPC), the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO), the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), the United Nations Human Settlement Programme (UN-Habitat) and the United Nations World Food Programme (WFP).

34. Of the six eligible regional proposals submitted to the secretariat, three proposals were technically cleared and are presented in Table 3 below. The total requested funding of those proposals amounts to US\$ 28,779,500 (excluding project formulation grants). One of the six proposals is a fully developed project, one is a concept note, and one pre-concept. The total requested funding for the fully developed regional proposal includes US\$ 3,196,274 (8.29%) in IEs' management fees and US\$ 3,419,458 or 8% in execution costs.

35. The proposals were submitted by four MIEs: International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD), United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat), United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) and World Meteorological Organization (WMO). Details of the regional proposals are contained in separate PPRC documents, as follows:

*Table 2: Regional proposals technically reviewed for consideration at AFB 41*

4. Full Proposals: Regional	Region/Countries	Implementing Entity	# of technical reviews carried out by the Sec.	PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD
MIE					
	Azerbaijan, Iran	UN-Habitat	1	AFB/PPRC.32/12	14,000,000
	Cameroon, Central African Republic,	WMO	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.12	10,692,675
5. Concepts: Regional	Region/Countries	Implementing Entity		PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD
MIE					
	Angola, Namibia	IFAD	1	AFB/PPRC.32/13	14,000,000
	Bangladesh, Nepal	WMO	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.13	12,090,000
7. Pre-concepts: Regional	Region/Countries	Implementing Entity		PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD
MIE					
	Cambodia, Lao PDR, Myanmar,	WMO	1	AFB/PPRC.32/Inf.14	12,296,577
	Malaysia, Philippines	UNIDO	2	AFB/PPRC.32/14	13,779,500

36. Details of the regional proposals that are not technically cleared are presented to the Board as information documents.

### **The review process**

37. The secretariat received 28 submissions for the AFB41 review cycle. Twenty-five (25) submissions were found to be eligible and, in accordance with the operational policies and guidelines, the secretariat screened and prepared technical reviews for them.

38. The eligible submissions were posted online for public review and the Adaptation Fund's NGO network as well as the Board were notified and invited to provide comments within a specified time period (no comments were received.)

39. In line with the details of the rolling-basis submission, the secretariat shared the technical review findings with the IEs that had submitted the proposals and solicited their responses to specific items requiring clarification. Responses were requested by e-mail, and IEs were given three weeks to respond to the comments of each round of the technical reviews. The IEs were offered the opportunity to discuss the review findings with the secretariat by telephone as is the usual practice and the secretariat organized 11 of such calls. The secretariat received three requests of extension of the time available to resubmit a revised proposal to enable the IEs to address the requests received during the technical review.

40. The secretariat reviewed the IEs' responses to the clarification requests and undertook additional rounds of technical reviews as needed. As per the details of the rolling basis submission process, the secretariat compiled comments and recommendations for technically cleared proposals or proposals that are recommended to be rejected. These are presented in the addendum to this document (AFB/PPRC.31/3/Add.1).

### **Issues identified during the review process**

41. As indicated in the progress report on the implementation of the pilot rolling basis submission (AFB/PPRC.32/23), this first cycle of pilot process was atypical in that several IEs have expressed that the planning and timelines for project preparation and submission had already been developed for this cycle based on the old deadlines. This explains the relatively large number of submissions that were received close to the onset of the review intermission. In addition, some IEs seem to have interpreted the start of the review intermission period as a deadline for submission of a proposal for the consideration of the upcoming Board meeting. It is expected that IEs will continue to need sustained support in terms of proactively clarifying the process to them and responding to queries in the first year, until all stakeholders become familiar with the process. The Secretariat stands ready to continue providing such support.

Table 3: Project proposals forwarded to the forty-first Adaptation Fund Board meeting

Full Proposals: Single-country	Country	IE	Number of technical reviews carried out by the Sec.	PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD	IE Fee, USD	IE Fee %	Execution Cost, USD	EC %
<b>RIE</b>									
	Peru	CAF	2	AFB/PPRC.32/4	5,361,666	415,480	8.40%	321,426	6.50%
<b>MIE</b>									
	Central African Republic	IFAD	1	AFB/PPRC.32/5	10,000,000	783,410	8.50%	602,954	6.54%
	Lao PDR	UN Habitat	2	AFB/PPRC.32/6	7,561,131	592,347	8.50%	662,034	9.50%
	Libya	IFAD	2	AFB/PPRC.32/7	9,995,758	783,188	8.50%	873,952	9.49%
<b>Sub-total, USD</b>					<b>32,918,555</b>	<b>2,574,425</b>		<b>2,460,366</b>	
Concepts: Single-country	Country	IE		PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD	IE Fee, USD	IE Fee %	Execution Cost, USD	EC %
<b>NIE</b>									
	Honduras	CASM	2	AFB/PPRC.32/8	4,000,000	280,000	7.53%	320,000	8.60%
<b>RIE</b>									
	Bangladesh	ICIMOD	3	AFB/PPRC.32/9	9,999,929	783,404	8.50%	838,480	9.10%
	Uruguay	CAF	2	AFB/PPRC.32/10	10,000,000	783,000	8.50%	818,000	8.87%
	Pakistan	ICIMOD	3	AFB/PPRC.32/11	9,995,275	783,040	8.50%	799,235	8.68%
<b>Sub-total, USD</b>					<b>33,995,204</b>	<b>2,629,444</b>		<b>2,775,715</b>	
Full Proposals: Regional	Region/ Countries	IE	Number of technical reviews carried out by the Sec.	PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD	IE Fee, USD	IE Fee %	Execution Cost, USD	EC %
<b>MIE</b>									
	Azerbaijan, Iran	UN- Habitat	1	AFB/PPRC.32/12	14,000,000	1,096,774	8.50%	1,119,458	8.68%
<b>Sub-total, USD</b>					<b>14,000,000</b>	<b>1,096,774</b>		<b>1,119,458</b>	
Concepts: Regional	Region/ Countries	IE		PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD	IE Fee, USD	IE Fee %	Execution Cost, USD	EC %
<b>MIE</b>									
	Angola, Namibia	IFAD	1	AFB/PPRC.32/13	14,000,000	1,020,000	7.86%	1,100,000	8.47%
<b>Sub-total, USD</b>					<b>14,000,000</b>	<b>1,020,000</b>		<b>1,100,000</b>	
Pre-concepts: Regional	Region/ Countries	IE		PPRC Document number	Grant Size, USD	IE Fee, USD	IE Fee %	Execution Cost, USD	EC %
<b>MIE</b>									
	Malaysia, Philippines	UNIDO	2	AFB/PPRC.32/14	13,779,500	1,079,500	8.50%	1,200,000	9.45%
<b>Sub-total, USD</b>					<b>13,779,500</b>	<b>1,079,500</b>		<b>1,200,000</b>	

Table 4: Project Formulation Grants forwarded to the forty-first Adaptation Fund Board meeting

<b>Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Single-country</b>	<b>Country</b>	<b>IE</b>	<b>PPRC Document number</b>	<b>Grant Size, USD</b>
<b>NIE</b>				
	Honduras	CASM	AFB/PPRC.32/8/Add.1	50,000
<b>Sub-total, USD</b>				<b>50,000</b>
<b>Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Regional Concepts</b>	<b>Region/Countries</b>	<b>IE</b>	<b>PPRC Document number</b>	<b>Grant Size, USD</b>
<b>MIE</b>				
	Malaysia and Philippines	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.32/14/Add.1	20,000
	Angola and Namibia	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.32/13/Add.1	50,000
<b>Sub-total, USD</b>				<b>70,000</b>