



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB.PPRC.32-33/3
4 December 2023

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee

**RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PROJECT AND PROGRAMME
REVIEW COMMITTEE ON READINESS GRANT PROPOSALS
CONSIDERED DURING THE INTERSESSIONAL REVIEW
CYCLE BETWEEN THE FORTY-FIRST AND FORTY-SECOND
MEETINGS OF THE BOARD**

Background

1. At the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board, the PPRC had discussed the review cycle for readiness grants and recommended to the Board for readiness proposals to be submitted for review and consideration by the Board during both intersessional periods between the regular meetings of the Board. Having considered the recommendations of the PPRC, the Board decided:

- a) *To request the secretariat to review readiness grant proposals during all intersessional periods between Board meetings while recognizing that such grants may also be reviewed at regular meetings of the Board;*
- b) *To request the PPRC to consider intersessionally the technical review of such readiness grant proposals as prepared by the secretariat and to make intersessional recommendations to the Board;*
- c) *To consider such intersessionally reviewed proposals for intersessional approval in accordance with the Rules of Procedure;*
- d) *To also request the secretariat to send a notification to implementing entities and other stakeholders informing them about the new arrangement;*
- e) *To further request the secretariat to present, at the twenty-eighth meeting of the PPRC, and at subsequent PPRC meetings following each intersessional review cycle for readiness grants, an analysis of the intersessional review cycle.*

(Decision B.36/26)

4. This document provides consolidated draft recommendations as originally prepared by the Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat (the secretariat), for adoption by the PPRC on the readiness grant proposals submitted to the intersessional review cycle between the forty-first and forty-second meetings of the Board.

Grant proposals submitted by implementing entities

5. Accredited national implementing entities (NIEs) submitted proposal documents for readiness package grants to provide peer support for accreditation to entities seeking accreditation with the Fund. The proposals were meant to enhance South-South cooperation through the delivery of a comprehensive suite of tools to help entities in the countries seeking to use the Fund's Direct Access modality, to prepare and submit their applications for accreditation.

6. The PPRC considered, during the intersessional review cycle between the forty-first and forty-second meetings of the Board, a single proposal for a readiness package grant as well as the report of the secretariat on the initial screening and technical review, contained in the following documents:

AFB/PPRC.32-33/1 Report of the secretariat on initial review of grant proposals under the readiness programme

AFB/PPRC. 32-33/2 Readiness package grant proposal to support national implementing entity accreditation for Kenya (NEMA)

7. The summary information on the proposal is contained in Table 1 below:

Table 1: Readiness package grant proposals submitted to the intersessional review cycle between the first and forty-second Adaptation Fund Board meetings

Country	Type of grant	IE Intermediary providing support	Financing Requested (USD), (current period)	IE Fee (USD)	IE Fee, %
Kenya	Readiness package	NEMA	\$149,342.52	\$11,699.64	8.5%
Total			\$149,342.52	\$11,699.64	8.5%

Recommendations for Readiness Package Grant proposals

Proposal for a readiness package grant for the National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) of Kenya on behalf of the Government of Kenya; (AFRDG00079): (US\$ 149,342.52).

1. The Project and Programme Review Committee recommended that the Adaptation Fund Board:

- a) Approve the proposal for the readiness package grant of US\$ 149,342.52 submitted by the National Environment Management Authority (NEMA) on behalf of the government of Kenya; and
- b) Approve the funding of US\$ 149,342.52 for implementation of the readiness package grant for the accreditation of a second national implementing entity in Kenya as requested by NEMA; and
- c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with NEMA as the national implementing entity acting as intermediary for the requested support for accreditation.

(Recommendation PPRC.32-33/1)