



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.33/Inf.1
26 March 2024

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Thirty-third Meeting
Bonn, Germany, 16-17 April 2024

PROPOSAL FOR ARMENIA



ADAPTATION FUND

ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT TECHNICAL REVIEW OF PROJECT/PROGRAMME PROPOSAL

PROJECT/PROGRAMME CATEGORY: Regular Size Full Proposal

Country/Region: Armenia
Project Title: Enhancing the land-based adaptation of communities adjacent to arid zones and forest protected areas of Armenia by duplicating and expanding the successful mechanisms of the previous projects
Thematic Focal Area:
Implementing Entity: Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU)
Executing Entities: Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU)
AF Project ID: AF00000363
IE Project ID: **Requested Financing from Adaptation Fund (US Dollars):** 3,780,513
Reviewer and contact person: Dirk Lamberts **Co-reviewer(s):** Neranda Maurice-George
IE Contact Person: Rubik Shahazizyan, Milena Kiramijyan

Technical Summary

The project “Enhancing the land-based adaptation of communities adjacent to arid zones and forest protected areas of Armenia by duplicating and expanding the successful mechanisms of the previous projects” aims to reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest”, “Dilijan” and “Lake Sevan” National Parks through promoting sustainable and climate-resilient agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zones, thereby reducing climate-related risks and vulnerabilities in production systems while sustaining protected areas. This will be done through the three components below:

Component 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zone (USD 1,908,500);

Component 2: Strengthening value chains and climate smart technology transfer for vulnerable communities (USD 1,324,352);

Component 3: Awareness raising, capacity building, monitoring and decision making for climate smart agricultural practices (USD 200,000).

Requested financing overview:

Project/Programme Execution Cost: USD 51,492

	<p>Total Project/Programme Cost: USD 3,484,344 Implementing Fee: USD 296,169 Financing Requested: USD 3,780,513</p> <p>The initial technical review raises several issues, such as climate change adaptation rationale, intervention effectiveness and compliance with AF GP and ESP, as is discussed in the number of Clarification Requests (CRs) and Corrective Action Requests (CARs) raised in the review.</p>
Date:	15 September 2023

Review Criteria	Questions	Comments
Country Eligibility	1. Is the country party to the Kyoto Protocol or the Paris Agreement?	Yes.
	2. Is the country a developing country particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change?	Yes. The adverse effects of climate change involve in particular a rise in temperature, while the impact on precipitation and water resources is uncertain.
Project Eligibility	1. Has the designated government authority for the Adaptation Fund endorsed the project/programme?	Yes. The duly signed endorsement letter is dated 21 August 2023.
	2. Does the length of the proposal amount to no more than One hundred (100) pages for the fully-developed project document, and one hundred (100) pages for its annexes?	Yes. The proposal consists of a project document of 73 pages and 39 pages of annexes. CR 1: Please rearrange the proposal so as to include all four sections of the project document before the annexes. Addressed.
	3. Does the project / programme support concrete adaptation actions to assist the country in addressing adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change and build in climate resilience?	Unclear. The vulnerability to climate change impacts of the communities involved is described as the outcome of the combination of land and biodiversity degradation, marginal production systems and weak infrastructure, and lack of alternative livelihood opportunities. All these factors have

historically developed and the associated weaknesses and challenges are and are expected to further be compounded by the impacts of climate change. There is no information on how the loss of biodiversity is a factor in this process. Clearly, overgrazing and inadequate management and protection of the protected areas are key elements.

The activities of Component 1 all address issues related to poor grazing management without, however, approaching them from that perspective. Without improved grazing management, none of these proposed activities will be successful or sustainable. Yet, improving grazing management is only presented as a marginal outcome of the activities, rather than a precondition, requiring specific attention and resources. Some of the proposed activities could actually create disincentives for improved grazing management.

CR 2: Please clarify how the activities of component 1 could be successful or sustainable without at the same time significantly investing in improving grazing management.

Capacity building activities related to the improving grazing management practices in beneficiary municipalities were initially budgeted under Component 3 of the Proposed project. To address the recommendation, new Output 1.8 “Capacity building for improved grazing management in targeted municipalities” is introduced and necessary for implementation funds are reallocated from the Output 3.5. This restructuring of the outputs makes intention more visual. It should also be mentioned that the Project is built up on the success of previous intervention with similar scope of proposed activities (in smaller number of the municipalities) that proved sustainability and inspired replication and scale up of this particular component .

The activities of Component 2 include a broad mix of activities aimed at improving agriculture productivity and facilitating market and value chains access. For a number of these activities, the climate change adaptation rationale is unclear.

The cost-effectiveness and affordability of some of the proposed activities of Component 2 is questionable. This is e.g. the case for the anti-hail nets, presumably to protect the production of fruit, with an investment cost of 40-50,000 USD per ha. Without efficient marketing pathways and/or proper long-term storage facilities for this fruit it is very unlikely that this investment is affordable or cost-effective.

CR 3: Please justify the climate change adaptation rationale of the activities of Component 2 that are essentially just business-as-usual agriculture development activities.

New sub-section on adaptation rationale for Outcome 2 has been added in the text of the Proposal.

At the meantime, we would like to clarify that the activities outlined under Component 2 are not merely business-as-usual agricultural development activities. Instead, they are strategically designed pilot initiatives aimed at fostering climate change adaptation while setting the stage for broader, future replication and scaling-up. These are pilot initiatives that serve as crucial learning and demonstration projects and meticulously designed to showcase the viability and benefits of integrating climate-resilient practices into traditional agricultural activities, thereby inspiring and encouraging wider adoption across different communities and regions.

These pilot initiatives are instrumental in creating the necessary preconditions for successful and sustainable climate change adaptation in the agricultural sector. They focus on developing the essential infrastructure and establishing knowledge-sharing mechanisms that are vital for

promoting and supporting the replication and scaling-up of climate-resilient agricultural practices.

The proposed activities include investments in climate-smart infrastructure that not only supports the immediate needs of the pilot initiatives but also lays a solid foundation for the expansion and replication of similar initiatives in the future. This infrastructure is crucial for enhancing the resilience and adaptive capacity of agricultural systems to climate variability and change.

A significant component of our pilot initiatives is the emphasis on knowledge sharing and capacity building among farmers and agricultural workers. Through these activities, we aim to build a well-informed and skilled community of practitioners who can champion and drive the adoption of climate-resilient agricultural practices beyond the scope of the proposed project.

While the pilots incorporate elements of traditional agriculture, they are distinct in their approach to diversification and innovation, specifically designed to address climate-related risks and uncertainties. This innovative approach is far from the BAU model and is expected to offer valuable insights and lessons for future climate change adaptation initiatives in the agricultural sector.

As long as comment on the marketing practices is concerned, we strongly disagree with the assumption and would like to mention that there are already respective business development and supply chain infrastructures in place and EPIU along with partner consulting companies will facilitate integration of project beneficiaries.

Component 3 focuses on building awareness, capacity and decision-making abilities among local stakeholders regarding climate-smart agricultural practices. The managers of the protected areas are notably absent in this project, whilst it is

		<p>clear from the proposal that the protected areas and their restrictions are key elements in determining the adaptive capacity of the adjacent communities.</p> <p>CR 4: Please clarify how the capacity of the protected areas management will be supported as a key element in developing and improving climate change adaptation capacities.</p> <p>Managers of protected areas, as suggested, are included in the beneficiary groups and respective incorporations are made across the document.</p>
	<p>4. Does the project / programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>Unclear. The economic, social and environmental benefits the project claims to generate are listed but for a number of those claims there is little or no substantiation provided that supports those claims. This is in particular the case for the gender-related benefits as well as those for vulnerable groups (that have not been identified). Also, the potential of economic benefits from the development of agro-tourism would benefit from clarification, in particular for those project areas not near the Sevan lake.</p> <p>CR 5: Please provide further substantiation for the benefits the project is expected to provide.</p> <p>The section is fully restructured and detailed.</p>
	<p>5. Is the project / programme cost effective?</p>	<p>Unclear. The analysis of the cost effectiveness of the project relies on a number of elements that are not included in the description of the activities such as “the amplification of impacts through training trainers and community champions”.</p>

		<p>The relevant section of the proposal does not include a complete cost effectiveness analysis which should include cost per component, the cost of alternative options, and a comparison of the cost to the expected benefits. The alternative options presented in most cases are not actual alternatives.</p> <p>CR 6: Please clarify the cost effectiveness of the project, with quantitative estimates where feasible and useful.</p> <p>The respective table is replaced and expanded to provide with the required information.</p>
	<p>6. Is the project / programme consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications and adaptation programs of action and other relevant instruments?</p>	<p>Unclear.</p> <p>The proposal identified relevant plans and strategies but does not describe how it is consistent with these instruments beyond general claims of consistency.</p> <p>CR 7: Please clarify how the project is consistent with the identified strategies and development plans.</p> <p>References to the quoted policies are incorporated in the text of the Proposal, providing qualitative and quantitative benchmarks wherever available.</p>
	<p>7. Does the project / programme meet the relevant national technical standards, where applicable, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>No.</p> <p>The proposal does not present the national technical standards that apply to the project activities.</p> <p>CAR 1: Please identify the national technical standards that apply to the project activities and describe how the project will comply with these.</p> <p>Respective amendments are incorporated in the text of the proposal.</p>

	<p>8. Is there duplication of project / programme with other funding sources?</p>	<p>Unclear. The proposal does not address how the design of the proposal ensures that there is no duplication with other ongoing or planned projects.</p> <p>CR 8: Please provide an overview of other ongoing or planned projects/programmes in the project areas with which there may be duplication of funding.</p> <p>There are no known projects with the risk of duplication of the funding (respective clarification is added in the text). The only Project implemented in the some municipalities is the pilot project and the current one builds on the achievements of the latter. Also, EI periodically carries out mapping of the support projects and consultations with the beneficiary communities (as regular task) in order to ensure absence of the overlap.</p>
	<p>9. Does the project / programme have a learning and knowledge management component to capture and feedback lessons?</p>	<p>Yes. Much of the activities of component 3 are geared towards learning and knowledge management.</p>
	<p>10. Has a consultative process taken place, and has it involved all key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups, including gender considerations in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>Unclear. The information provided on the consultations held is overall aspecific, and certain key stakeholders are lacking. This relates to the managers of the protected areas and the vulnerable and marginalized groups that have not been identified. The information provided as Annex 1 (p. 72-110) provides much detail on the wishes of the stakeholders consulted but does not provide information on consultation on the final project design.</p> <p>CR 9: Please clarify how marginalized and vulnerable groups were identified and how they were included in the consultation.</p>

		<p>Excerpts from the stakeholder consultation report are annexed to this Proposal and largely answers to the question.</p> <p>CR 10: Please clarify that the managers of all the protected areas were consulted on the project design, including the identification of environmental and social risks, and report on the outcomes of the consultations.</p> <p>EPIU is implementing number of Projects and permanently is in contact with the key groups of national and regional stakeholders. During the implementation of the pilot project, respective knowledge has been collected by the EPIU staff and further incorporated in this Proposal.</p>
	<p>11. Is the requested financing justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning?</p>	<p>Unclear.</p> <p>The relevant section of the proposal describes how the project is justified based on the full cost of adaptation reasoning. However, a key cause of the adaptation needs for the communities involved – and for the broader region – is that of poor grazing management, which is notably absent from the proposal.</p> <p>CR 11: Please clarify how the issue of overgrazing, which is a vital element of building adaptive capacity, is being addressed, and how the project financing is justified from a full cost of adaptation reasoning, including addressing the issue of overgrazing.</p> <p>Responded under the CR2</p>
	<p>12. Is the project / program aligned with AF's results framework?</p>	<p>Yes.</p>
	<p>13. Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been taken into account when designing the project?</p>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>However, there are some elements that require clarification, such as on maintenance of project outputs.</p>

		<p>CR 12: Please clarify how project outputs, such as improved field tracks, will not lead to further unsustainable grazing in newly accessible areas.</p> <p>Responded under the CR2</p>
	<p>14. Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>Yes. However, there are issues with the risks identified.</p> <p>1. The table in section II.K states that further assessments are still required. The final sentence of this section states that “comprehensive and thorough risk identification, mitigation, and prevention strategy, including the formulation of an Environmental and Social Management Plan, will be detailed in the meticulously developed project proposal.” At this stage of a proposal, all risks should have been identified and impacts assessed as needed.</p> <p>Risk are identified. However, Environmental and Social Management Plan will be designed during the kick off phase of the Project. Respective clarification has been made in the proposal.</p> <p>2. Specific issues with some of the identified risks:</p> <p>i) as land and soil conservation are project objectives, no risks under the Lands and Soil Conservation principle are said to exist. Nevertheless, several of the project activities, including those targeting land degradation, have inherent risks of lands and soil degradation;</p> <p>Respective amendment has been done in the matrix.</p> <p>ii) gender equality and women’s empowerment: at this stage a Gender Assessment should have been carried out to identify risks and impacts on gender equality as well as opportunities to promote women’s empowerment. However,</p>

		<p>no information is provided on a gender assessment, and the design of a gender action plan is announced;</p> <p>Respective amendment has been done in the matrix.</p> <p>iii) protection of natural habitats and conservation of biodiversity: considering the proximity of the project sites to protected areas, these risks cannot be excluded and an impact assessment should have been carried out;</p> <p>Respective amendment has been done in the matrix.</p> <p>iv) pollution prevention: the agriculture value chains will certainly produce waste and may lead to other forms of pollution. A waste management plan should be part of the ESMP;</p> <p>Agreed.</p> <p>v) compliance with the law: please also see CAR 1.</p> <p>Respective amendments are incorporated in the text of the proposal.</p> <p>CAR 2: Please complete the risks identification for all the activities and for all the AF ESP principles and provide a brief justification of the findings in section II.K. Include an ESMP as needed.</p> <p>Responded under the quoted comment.</p>
Resource Availability	1. Is the requested project / programme funding within the cap of the country?	Yes.

	<p>2. Is the Implementing Entity Management Fee at or below 8.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget before the fee?</p>	<p>Yes. The implementing Entity Management Fee is at 8.5 per cent of the total project cost.</p>
	<p>3. Are the Project/Programme Execution Costs at or below 9.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget (including the fee)?</p>	<p>Yes. The Project Execution Costs are at 1.5 per cent of the total project budget. This is in line with the cap on execution costs for projects where the IE is also providing execution services.</p> <p>The IE is also the only Executing Entity for the project. The Endorsement Letter by the DA states that EPIU will execute the project but provides no rationale for this request. The responsibility for these services is not stipulated.</p> <p>CAR 3: Please provide a justification for the IE to provide execution services, and indicate the responsibility for these services, in line with OPG Annex 7 (https://www.adaptation-fund.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/11/OPG-ANNEX-7-Project-Programme-Implementation-Approved-Oct-2017.pdf)</p> <p>The decision for the Implementing Entity (IE) to provide execution services is justified as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Exceptional circumstances: exceptional nature of the project's requirements and the specific request from the NDA. The government's request is documented, involving designated authorities and providing a clear rationale. ➤ Expertise and capacity: The IE possesses unique expertise and capacity essential for the effective execution of the project. This specialized knowledge and experience ensure that the project is implemented efficiently, adhering to international best practices and standards.

➤ **Cost efficiency:** By providing execution services, the IE can cap execution costs at 1.5% of the project/programme cost, promoting financial efficiency and allowing for more funds to be allocated directly to the project's core activities.

➤ **Streamlined processes:** The IE's involvement in both implementation and execution facilitates streamlined and coherent processes, reducing bureaucratic delays and ensuring that the project's objectives are achieved in a timely manner.

Responsibilities for Execution Services:

➤ **Budget management:** The IE is responsible for stipulating and managing the budget for execution services, as estimated in the fully developed project/programme document. This budget is aligned with the execution costs of the project/programme.

➤ **Legal compliance:** The IE ensures that all actions and initiatives under the project comply with relevant national and international laws and guidelines. This compliance safeguards the project from legal risks and enhances its legitimacy and acceptance among stakeholders.

➤ **Direct project services:** The IE provides direct project services, undertaking specific execution duties on behalf of executing entities when necessary. These services may include procurement and payment management, among others, and are identified and justified either prior to project approval or during implementation.

➤ **Coordination and clarification:** The IE actively coordinates with partner executing entities, clarifying the services requested of them before the submission of fully developed project/programme documents to the Board. This coordination ensures alignment and understanding among all parties involved.

Eligibility of IE	1. Is the project/programme submitted through an eligible Implementing Entity that has been accredited by the Board?	Yes.
Implementation Arrangements	1. Is there adequate arrangement for project / programme management, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?	<p>Unclear. The IE is also acting as sole EE for the project.</p> <p>CR 13: Please clarify how oversight of project execution will be provided by IE, taking into account its role in project execution.</p> <p>Answered under CAR 3</p>
	2. Are there measures for financial and project/programme risk management?	<p>Yes. However, with the IE assuming the role of EE, additional provisions may be required for the adequate and effective oversight of project execution.</p> <p>CR 14: Please clarify how the performance of the IE as EE will be subject to oversight.</p> <p>Answered under CAR 3</p>
	3. Are there measures in place for the management of for environmental and social risks, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?	<p>No. The environmental and social risks described in the relevant section need to be aligned with those identified in section II.K.</p> <p>CAR 4: Please provide an overview of the measures for the management of the environmental and social risks of the project activities, in line with those identified in II.K, as reflected in the ESMP.</p> <p>Advanced table answers to the questions raise. However, the comprehensive response is to be provided through ESMP to be designed during the Project kick off stage.</p>

	<p>4. Is a budget on the Implementing Entity Management Fee use included?</p>	<p>Yes. A budget on the use of the IE Management Fee is included. However, there is a discrepancy between the fee as presented in the budget on the use of the IE Management Fee and in the overall budget.</p> <p>CAR 5: Please ensure consistency in the proposal on the IE Management Fee amount.</p> <p>Corrected</p>
	<p>5. Is an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs included?</p>	<p>Yes. The budget includes a breakdown of the execution costs. However, it is unclear how the execution costs listed at these low amounts will be adequate for the execution of the project.</p> <p>CR 15: Please clarify how the execution costs will adequately cover the direct costs for the administration of the day-to-day activities of project.</p> <p>Execution costs are sufficient to cover the direct costs for the administration. It is proved by the Previous experience of the EI.</p>
	<p>6. Is a detailed budget including budget notes included?</p>	<p>Yes. A detailed budget with budget notes is presented. It reveals some activities that are not mentioned elsewhere in the proposal and for which there seems to be no justification or direct link with the output (e.g. the establishment of recreational parks under output 1.1 which is aimed at installing irrigation water supply).</p> <p>Output 1.7 includes detailed designs, presumably for the other outputs of component 1. This cost should be included in the relevant outputs.</p>

		<p>Better management of the process requires separate output.</p> <p>Output 2.7 includes provisions of USD 100,000 for the renovation of premises for the agro-accelerators.</p> <p>CR 16: Please clarify who the beneficiaries/owners of these premises are.</p> <p>The beneficiaries/owners of these premises are municipalities, who will provide them free of charge for the activities of the Project.</p> <p>The same output has provisions for USD 90,000 for Staff and experts for agro-accelerators, who are considered project staff, and thereby their salaries should be covered by the execution fees.</p> <p>This requires professional expert services (as for example providers of the services under Output 1.7) and can't be budgeted under the execution fees. Respective amendments are done in the budget.</p> <p>CAR 6: Please remove project execution expenses from the project activities.</p>
	<p>7. Are arrangements for monitoring and evaluation clearly defined, including budgeted M&E plans and sex-disaggregated data, targets and indicators, in compliance with the Gender Policy of the Fund?</p>	<p>No.</p> <p>The arrangements for monitoring and evaluation hinge on the PMU. It is not until the project inception workshop that defining roles, responsibilities, and functions of both the NIE and the Project Management team will happen. Additionally, the M&E indicators, budget, and work plan will be collaboratively agreed upon and scheduled.</p> <p>A budgeted M&E plan compliant with the AF M&E guidelines is included. It contains no gender elements. Provisions for mid-term and terminal evaluations are included.</p>

		<p>CAR 7: Please include arrangements for M&E, including sex-disaggregated data, even if they are still subject to the project inception.</p> <p>Respective section of the Proposal revised to address the comment.</p>
	<p>8. Does the M&E Framework include a breakdown of how implementing entity IE fees will be utilized in the supervision of the M&E function?</p>	<p>Yes. A budgeted M&E Plan is provided with a breakdown of the IE fees for supervision of the M&E function.</p>
	<p>9. Does the project/programme's results framework align with the AF's results framework? Does it include at least one core outcome indicator from the Fund's results framework?</p>	<p>Unclear. The proposal includes a results framework with quantified expected results with indicators and targets that are gender responsive and to some extent disaggregated by sex. However, the results framework includes a column titled "Baseline", which is explained in a footnote as "Achieved in the result of implementation of the pilot Project".</p> <p>CR 17: Please clarify the meaning and relevance of the 'Baseline' figures in the results framework.</p> <p>Clarification is provided in the footnote</p> <p>Gender targets are set between 30 and 80 per cent women, with the overall target of 40 per cent of beneficiaries being women. In the absence of a gender assessment, it is unclear how these differentiated targets were set and to what extent they comply with the AF GP.</p> <p>CR 18: Please clarify the gender targets, and how these comply with the AF GP.</p> <p>Detailed Gender Action Plan will be designed at the inception phase of the Project.</p>

		<p>Other indicators included in the results framework are unclear, like e.g. “% of vulnerable settlements benefited”.</p> <p>CR 19: Please clarify the indicators in the results framework in consistency with the project activities.</p> <p>Percentage of the vulnerable settlements identified initially and benefited from the Project’s activities.</p> <p>The project result framework includes the mandatory core impact indicator “Number of beneficiaries including estimations for direct and indirect beneficiaries”. However, it is unclear if this number of 36,000 includes those indicated in the “Baseline” column. The number of beneficiaries is not disaggregated between direct and indirect beneficiaries.</p> <p>CAR 8: Please include in the results framework indicators as required in line with the AF result framework.</p> <p>These are direct beneficiaries and the table is amended accordingly.</p>
	<p>10. Is a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones included?</p>	<p>No. No disbursement schedule is included.</p> <p>CAR 9: Please include a disbursement schedule with time-bound milestones.</p> <p>Disbursement schedule is included.</p>



FULLY DEVELOPED PROPOSAL FOR SINGLE COUNTRY

PART I: PROJECT/PROGRAMME INFORMATION

Title of Project/Programme: Enhancing the Land-based Adaptation of Communities Adjacent to Arid Zones and Forest Protected Areas of Armenia by Duplicating and Expanding the Successful Mechanisms of the Previous Project

Country: Republic of Armenia

Type of Implementing Entity: National Implementing Entity

Implementing Entity: “Environmental Project Implementation Unit”
State Agency

Executing Entities: “Environmental Project Implementation Unit”
State Agency

Amount of Financing Requested: 3,780,513 (in U.S Dollars Equivalent)

Letter of Endorsement (LOE) signed: Yes No

Stage of Submission:

This proposal has been submitted before including at a different stage (concept, fully-developed proposal)

This is the first submission ever of the proposal at any stage

In case of a resubmission, please indicate the last submission date: [Click or tap to enter a date.](#)

Project/Programme Background and Context:

Provide brief information on the problem the proposed project/programme is aiming to solve. Outline the economic social, development and environmental context in which the project would operate.

Project's economic social, development and environmental context

Introduction

Given its geographical location in the South Caucasus, Armenia is highly susceptible to the compounding effects of climate change and land degradation. Its mountainous terrain, fragile ecosystems, and agricultural-based economy make it particularly vulnerable. With a population of approximately 3 million people, the livelihoods and economies of the country are at risk. Over the past 90 years, climate trends have shown a significant warming pattern, leading to drier summers and an increase in extreme events such as hailstorms. Projections indicate that these trends will continue and significantly impact marginal production areas. Crop and livestock production has already declined in some regions, and without additional climate adaptation measures, this decline is expected to persist.

Communities located near protected areas and forests, such as Khosrov Forest State Reserve and Dilijan National Park, face heightened vulnerability. These areas experience constant pressure on their remaining land and pasture resources, lack robust rural infrastructure, and suffer from a dearth of alternative income opportunities. The current capacity to adapt to a changing climate and its escalating impacts on rural livelihoods and production systems is limited. Therefore, concerted efforts are needed to address the complex challenges posed by both land degradation and climate change on rural livelihoods.

The rural areas adjacent to “Lake Sevan National Park” in Armenia confront a set of challenges due to their proximity to this vital freshwater ecosystem. One of the foremost challenges lies in the management and conservation of the lake itself. Lake Sevan faces various ecological issues such as water pollution, overfishing, and the impact of climate change, which have repercussions on the livelihoods of the communities living nearby.

Another pressing challenge arises from the reliance of these rural areas on the lake's resources. Fishing and tourism related to Lake Sevan contribute significantly to the local economies. However, overexploitation of fish stocks, coupled with environmental degradation, can threaten the sustainability of these livelihoods. Furthermore, the vulnerability of these communities is amplified by their limited access to alternative income sources, making it imperative to implement adaptive measures that not only address the challenges posed by the lake's ecosystem but also foster economic diversification and resilience in these rural areas bordering Lake Sevan.

Country Context

Armenia is a land-locked country within the Caucasus region between Europe and Asia. The majority of the country is at high altitude (greater than 1,000 meters above sea-level),

including a freshwater Lake Sevan, with a surface area of 1,279 km² and the Seven River Basin with a surface area of 4,721 km², spans approximately one sixth of the nation's total land area. As of 2022, Armenia's population was estimated at 2.78 million people¹ and its GDP at \$ 19.5 billion². Around one third of the nation's population lives in its capital city, Yerevan³.

Over the past decade, Armenia has transitioned from an industry-dominated to a service-dominated economy. As of 2016, the service sector constituted 48.8% of the labor force. Agriculture remains a major employer with a labor market share of 35.3% and there remains a relatively high rate of unemployment (18%) as well as net out-migration. GDP is distributed less evenly than employment, with around 52,8% originating in the service sector, 26,64% in the industry and only 11,34% in agriculture. Poverty persists, affecting around 26,5% (2021 data) of the population based on the national poverty line⁴.

Gender disparity in Armenia, like in many other countries, is influenced by a complex interplay of social, cultural, and economic factors. While Armenia has made significant progress in improving gender equality, particularly in urban areas and on the policy level, gender disparities still persist and are broadly comparable with those in Europe and Central Asia and better than those of lower-middle-income countries globally. These disparities are often rooted in deep-seated social norms and traditional gender roles that continue to shape the lives of women and men.

Deeply ingrained social norms and patriarchal structures persistently hinder women's active involvement in the economy, resulting in both the misallocation and underutilization of their valuable skills and talents. These barriers manifest in various ways, such as the tendency for women to be concentrated in specific job sectors, the unequal distribution of women in certain fields of study at the university level, a decline in female labor force participation during their childbearing years, and the notable absence of women in key leadership roles in both politics and entrepreneurship. Furthermore, the practice of gender-based sex selection, which favors male offspring, carries significant demographic and economic implications.

Efforts to address gender disparities in Armenia's regions require a multi-faceted approach that goes beyond policy changes and legal reforms. It involves challenging deeply ingrained stereotypes and promoting more inclusive and equitable attitudes and behaviors at the community level. This can be achieved through educational programs, awareness campaigns, and initiatives that empower women to become active participants in economic, social, and political life while engaging men and boys as allies in the journey towards gender equality.

Agriculture has long been the foundation of Armenia's economy. Although its contribution to GDP has decreased from 26% in 2000 to 18% in 2016, it remains the dominant employer, with 44.2% of the population engaged in the sector. The majority of Armenia's population is economically disadvantaged, and their livelihoods are highly susceptible to fluctuations in the agricultural industry. Notably, there is a gender disparity within the

¹ World Bank data portal - [Armenia](#)

² World Bank data portal - [Armenia](#)

³ [Republic of Armenia – Fourth National Communication on Climate Change to the UNFCCC](#)

⁴ ["Armenia – Country Risk Climate Profile". joint publication by World Bank and Asian Development Bank, 2021](#)

sector, with 82.1% of informal agricultural workers being women, who also face a significant gender wage gap, earning approximately 65.9% of what men earn on average (FAO, 2017). Furthermore, land ownership and management are predominantly male dominated, limiting women's access to land resources. Additionally, women encounter obstacles in acquiring agricultural technical knowledge and participating in training programs. These conditions underscore the critical role of agriculture in Armenia's economy and the importance of addressing gender disparities within the sector to promote inclusive and sustainable economic development.

Armenia's agricultural sector primarily revolves around subsistence farming, although any surplus production is sold in the market. Presently, the sector falls short of fulfilling the country's food requirements and still relies on government subsidies. The household farms sector, encompassing a substantial number of small-scale farms, rural and urban household farming, and gardening enterprises, is responsible for producing more than 90% of Armenia's agricultural output. The predominant agricultural system in Armenia is mixed farming, where both crop cultivation and livestock rearing hold significant importance. However, it's noteworthy that the relative dominance of crops or livestock can vary by region. It's important to recognize that due to differences in soil quality, climate, and access to water, many areas in Armenia, especially those at higher elevations, are unsuitable for high-value vegetable cultivation. Consequently, these regions tend to focus on the production of more resilient and less input-intensive crops like wheat, maize, and forage.

Armenia boasts remarkable and globally significant biodiversity, with forests covering 11.2% of its territory. However, due to extensive human activities, Armenia's natural landscapes have experienced significant anthropogenic alterations. Overexploitation has led to pollution, diminishing wild biodiversity, habitat loss for various species, and transformations in ecosystem services. Presently, the Republic of Armenia has designated three reserves, four national parks, and 27 sanctuaries, restricting the utilization of natural resources by local communities. This limitation has implications for the residents of nearby communities, as their access to land and water resources is restricted, consequently increasing both human and natural pressures on ecosystems near these communities. As a result, the gradual degradation of natural ecosystems in proximity to these communities diminishes their ability to adapt to the changing climate, further exacerbated by shifting climate conditions, including rising temperatures, decreased precipitation, and increased occurrences of floods and hailstorms, which all contribute to reduced agricultural productivity.

Land degradation emerges as a significant factor amplifying vulnerability to climate change and, through the loss of soil organic carbon, as a contributor to climate change itself. The degradation of land and the diminishing resilience of agro-ecological systems to climate change are intertwined. Armenia's "National Strategy and Action Program to Combat Desertification in the Republic of Armenia" from 2015 recognizes both natural and anthropogenic desertification factors. Natural factors comprise frequent droughts in the Ararat valley and specific areas of Vayots Dzor and Syunik regions, frequent sandstorms observed in the Ararat valley, Vayots Dzor, and Syunik regions, moisture deficits resulting from uneven seasonal and regional rainfall distribution, as well as landslides and floods, along with salinization. Anthropogenic factors encompass urban expansion, agricultural practices, the absence or inappropriate application of crop rotation

techniques, inefficient use of irrigation water and nutrients, overgrazing of pastures, road construction, illegal logging, and soil contamination. Water erosion affects nearly half of the cropland and forested areas, comprising 220,000 hectares and 186,200 hectares, respectively, while overgrazing affects approximately 170,000 hectares. Armenia has committed to achieving land degradation neutrality in its Land Degradation Neutrality National Strategy, an ambitious and voluntary goal that this project contributes to. It is estimated that interventions encompassing 407.5 square kilometers require an investment of US\$ 210 million until 2040 to address these pressing land degradation issues.

Country overview

Armenia is a land-locked country within the Caucasus region between Europe and Asia. The majority of the country is at high altitude (greater than 1,000 meters above sea-level), including a freshwater Lake Sevan, with a surface area of 1,279 km² and the Seven River Basin with a surface area of 4,721 km², spans approximately one sixth of the nation's total land area. As of 2022, Armenia's population was estimated at 2.78 million people⁵ and its GDP at \$ 19.5 billion⁶. Around one third of the nation's population lives in its capital city, Yerevan⁷.

Over the past decade, Armenia has transitioned from an industry-dominated to a service-dominated economy. As of 2016, the service sector constituted 48.8% of the labor force. Agriculture remains a major employer with a labor market share of 35.3% and there remains a relatively high rate of unemployment (18%) as well as net out-migration. GDP is distributed less evenly than employment, with around 52,8% originating in the service sector, 26,64% in the industry and only 11,34% in agriculture. Poverty persists, affecting around 26,5% (2021 data) of the population based on the national poverty line⁸.

Climate baseline

Overview

Armenia's climate can be described as highland continental, with large variation between summer highs (June to August) and winter lows (December to February). The country also experiences large climatic contrasts because of its intricate terrain, and the climates range from arid to sub-tropical and to cold, high mountains. Summer highs in Armenia's capital Yerevan average around 30°C–33°C while the average in winter is 1°C–3°C. The more mountainous regions experience lower average temperatures and prolonged periods of snow cover. The average annual precipitation is low at 526 mm. Precipitation intensity is greater in Armenia's high-altitude regions with May and June the wettest months. For Armenia, altitude is the strongest controlling factor determining the spatial distribution of temperatures and precipitation in Armenia. Sub-zero average temperatures are common in Armenia's mountain ranges while its highest average temperatures are experienced in the relatively low-lying western plains. Similarly, Armenia's highest peaks may receive up to 1,000 mm of annual precipitation while

⁵ World Bank data portal - [Armenia](#)

⁶ World Bank data portal - [Armenia](#)

⁷ [Republic of Armenia – Fourth National Communication on Climate Change to the UNFCCC](#)

⁸ ["Armenia – Country Risk Climate Profile". joint publication by World Bank and Asian Development Bank, 2021](#)

precipitation can be as low as 200 mm in the western plains.

Due to the sharply intersected relief and the development of the slope processes, Armenia is characterized by active external processes. High frequency and magnitude of hazardous hydrometeorological phenomena (HHP) are characteristic for Armenia, which trigger droughts, landslides, mudslides, forest fires etc. and inflict significant losses to the population and the economy⁹.

Key trends

Temperature - Armenia's NC4 reports that it experienced an average temperature rise of 1.23°C between 1929–2016. This historical rise in temperatures has resulted in the rapid shrinking of the glaciers in Armenia's mountain regions, with spatial extents retreating at around 8 m per year. Trends suggest climate variability is increasing and in 2018, Yerevan experienced a new record July temperature, reaching 42°C.

Precipitation - Armenia's NC4 reported a 10% reduction in average annual precipitation volume was documented over the period 1935–2012. The spatial distribution of precipitation changes is irregular: the northeast and central regions have become more arid. However, precipitation has increased in the southern and northwestern regions and in the western region of the lake Sevan basin. Additionally, the number of days with heavy rainfall and hailstorms has increased.

Climate future

Temperature

The model ensemble's¹⁰ estimate of average warming in Armenia under the highest emission pathway is an average temperature increase of 2.8°C by the 2050s and 5.8°C by the 2090s. Ensemble estimates of warming under the lowest emission pathway also present an average temperature increase of 1.2°C by the 2050s and maintain through the end of the century. Both of these temperature increases represent greater rates of increase than the global average. By the 2090s, temperatures are projected to have increased around 35% to 40% higher than the global average. Under all scenarios, except for the lowest emission pathway, the number of summer days is expected to increase, and the number of frost and ice days are expected to fall dramatically by the end of the century.

In the case of Armenia, the rate of warming in maximum temperatures, is 5.8°C by the 2090s, which is notably faster than the warming in monthly average temperature. This points towards an increase in the intensity of temperature extremes and is among the some of the largest margins of warming projected anywhere on Earth. The seasonality of future temperature changes holds some uncertainty on lower emissions pathways. However, projected warming is strongest in the summer months from June to September. The months of July, August, and September are projected to see around 50% faster warming than the winter months from November to April under the highest

⁹ [National Action Program of Adaptation to Climate Change and the List of Measures for 2021-2025](#)

¹⁰ Climate projections referred are derived from datasets available through the WB's Climate Change Knowledge Portal. These datasets are processed outputs of simulations performed by multiple General Circulation Models (GCM).

emissions pathway.

Precipitations

While considerable uncertainty surrounds long-term projections in regional precipitation trends, global trends are evident. The intensity of sub-daily extreme rainfall events appears to be increasing with temperature, a finding supported by evidence from different regions of Asia. However, as this phenomenon is highly dependent on local geographical contexts further research is required to constrain its impact in Armenia. For Armenia, additional uncertainty remains around future changes in average annual precipitation, as well as for changes in seasons. Model ensemble estimates are not statistically significant across all emissions pathways. However, the trend indicated, which is consistent with historical climate behavior and most models, is towards a decline in average monthly precipitation. Under all emissions pathways, an increase in the precipitation associated with a maximum 5-day rainfall event is expected more predominantly in the northern and eastern areas of Armenia. Under all emissions pathways, precipitation reductions are projected in the western regions, and under lower emissions pathways reductions are also expected in the arid northern regions. These changes match global trends, which suggests the intensity of sub-daily extreme rainfall will increase as temperatures increase, a finding supported by evidence from different regions of Asia.

Climate related natural hazards

Armenia faces significant disaster risk levels and is ranked 101 out of 191 countries by the 2019 Inform Risk Index. This ranking is driven strongly by the exposure component of risk. Armenia has high exposure to natural hazards, including, riverine, flash, and coastal, and very high exposure to tropical cyclones and their associated risks. Drought exposure is also significant. Disaster risk in Armenia is elevated due to its moderate levels of social vulnerability and the country's decent coping capacity. The risks of disasters resulting from these drivers are likely to increase as the severity and frequency of extreme climate event increases. In recent decades the annual number of events designated as hazardous hydro-meteorological phenomena (such as hurricanes, snowstorms, heat waves) has increased.

Heatwaves: Armenia regularly experiences high maximum temperatures, with an average monthly maximum of around 13.2°C and an average August maximum of 27.5°C. The current annual probability of a heat wave (defined as a period of 3 or more days where the daily temperature is above the long-term 95th percentile of daily mean temperature) is around 3%. The model ensemble projects that the annual probability of a heatwave could increase from 5% to 18% (depending on emission scenarios) by the end of the century. The country is also projected to experience a significant increase in the number of very hot days ($T_{max} > 35^{\circ}\text{C}$). However, these increases primarily reflect the continual rise in temperatures against the model baseline period of 1986–2005.

Droughts: two primary types of droughts may affect Armenia, meteorological (usually associated with a precipitation deficit) and hydrological (usually associated with a deficit in surface and subsurface water flow, potentially originating in the region's wider river basins). When low hydrological flows also coincide with imperfect crop choices and land

management practices, agricultural drought can also result. At present, Armenia faces a significant annual probability of severe meteorological drought, as defined by a standardized precipitation evaporation index of less than 2.

The 2001 drought highlighted the vulnerability of the rural poor to drought. Agencies working in the region reported more than 25,000 poor households affected, the majority of whom were dependent on local food production which was severely damaged by the drought. The model ensemble projects a dramatic increase in the annual probability of drought increasing from 20% to over 80% (depending on emission scenarios) by the 2090s. Global overview of changes in drought conditions under different warming scenarios supports extreme projections, suggesting that the West Asia region could experience a considerable increase in the frequency of extreme drought. Under 1.5°C of warming what is currently a 1-in-100-year event may return every 20 years, and under 2°C of warming such an event may recur every 10 years or less¹¹.

Extreme Precipitation, Flood, and Landslide: heavy rainfall events are known to trigger landslides and floods in rural areas of Armenia, often affecting poorer and more isolated rural communities. River levels in Armenia are particularly variable, and high flows often hit communities without forewarning, resulting in flood disasters. Flooding can result in damage to subsistence agriculture and increase the incidence of poverty and health issues. Floods also represent a risk to national economic productivity particularly when affecting the capital city, Yerevan. While most climate models project a small increase in the intensity of extreme precipitation events, uncertainty remains in precipitation projections and model ensemble estimates. The general shift in the seasonality of precipitation away from the summer months, combined with the projected loss of many of Armenia's glaciers will likely intensify extreme events and highlight a need for disaster risk reduction measures. However, research and development in the climate modelling arena is needed to support decision makers and planning efforts, specifically more reliable downscaled modelling and additional work will be needed in order to better understand and map rural exposure and vulnerability.

Climate change impacts

Natural Resources

Water: uncertainty remains around the precise trajectory of future change in the availability of water resources in Armenia and river flows are expected to reduce dramatically. While vulnerability for basin and watersheds vary, under a “worst-case scenario”, average decrease in river flow is estimated at 39% by the end of the century¹². These changes would have a significant impact on the levels of Armenia's lakes and reservoirs, with implication for society potentially coming from the resulting damage to fish stocks and decline in water levels and water quality. However, caution should be applied as these projections are derived from a single climate scenario; other scenarios provide less consistent trends. More recent analysis of runoff from Caucasus Glaciers suggests a significant increase in the short-term (up to 2022) as melting intensifies, but near total loss of glaciers and glacial meltwater towards the end of the 21st century.

¹¹ [Global Changes in Drought Conditions Under Different Levels of Warming](#), Naumann, G., Alfieri, L., Wyser, K., Mentaschi, L., Betts, R. A., Carrao, H., . . . Feyen, L. (2018).

¹² [Republic of Armenia – Fourth National Communication on Climate Change to the UNFCCC](#)

A likely impact of the loss of Armenia's mountain glaciers is an increase in variability of water flows as glaciers typically act to smooth runoff over the year. Water scarcity towards the end of summer (August, September) is likely to increase. Armenia has already experienced declines in annual precipitation and desertification has been documented around the nation, including in the Ararat Valley, an important agricultural production area¹³. More information is needed to understand the potential threat of a broader restructuring of the nation's ecosystems, particularly whether tipping points threaten the viability of current agricultural operations.

Soil and Land Cover: a key route through which climate change may lead to soil and land degradation is its impact on soil moisture. With very large increases in the frequency and intensity of drought projected over Armenia, the potential for declines in soil quality are significant. The Caucasus region is among many regions where an expansion of the arid and semi-arid area is projected, with the affected area growing rapidly over the 21st century under higher emissions pathways. Such changes will reduce ecosystem productivity resulting in species range shifts, and potential loss of biodiversity.

Linked to issues of land degradation and drought are potential changes to Armenia's forest cover, Armenia's NC4 estimates a potential loss of 14,000–17,500 ha (around 3%–4%) by 2030 as a result of changes to ecosystems and growing conditions, as well as increased frequency of forest fire, pest and disease outbreaks, and invasive species. Armenia has already begun to enact adaptation and restoration plans to reduce deforestation through its National Forest Policy and Strategy, improved wildfire management policies and specific area action plans such as the City of Yerevan 5-Year Plan (2019–2023) to restore the city's buffer forest layer by 40 hectares. A general trend of species range shifts towards higher altitudes is expected and conversion of lower altitude land cover to arid forest types, steppe, and semi-desert. Armenia's National Strategy and Action Program to Combat Desertification was ratified in 2015 to increasing the effectiveness of land management, raising public awareness on desertification issues and their solutions, as well as international cooperation¹⁴.

Economic Sectors

Agriculture

Climate change in Armenia is likely to influence food production via direct and indirect effects on crop growth processes. Direct effects include alterations to carbon dioxide availability, precipitation, and temperatures. Indirect effects include through impacts on water resource availability and seasonality, soil organic matter transformation, soil erosion, changes in pest and disease profiles, the arrival of invasive species, and decline in arable areas due to desertification. On an international level, these impacts are expected to damage key staple crop yields, even on lower emissions pathways. Projections estimate 5% and 6% declines in global wheat and maize yields respectively even if the Paris Climate Agreement is met and warming is limited to 1.5°C. Shifts in the optimal and viable spatial ranges of certain crops are also inevitable, though the extent and speed of those shifts remains dependent on the emissions pathway.

¹³ [Republic of Armenia – Fourth National Communication on Climate Change to the UNFCCC](#)

¹⁴ [National Strategy and Action Program to Combat Desertification in the Republic of Armenia](#)

In some cases, changing temperature and rainfall patterns may be favorable for crop production. Under all scenarios of future climate change, the agricultural growing season could extend by 10–40 days in Armenia. However, this may also present challenges due to uncertainty and potential declines in future water resources. Armenia is already struggling with land degradation on most agricultural land; climate change could accelerate this degradation as temperatures rise and extreme weather events increase in frequency and severity. Temperature extremes are likely to result in sub-optimal growing conditions for many of Armenia’s highest grossing crops, typically grains and vegetables. The increase in the number of very hot days (>35°C), even in the order of 5 days as projected for the low emissions pathway, is likely to damage yields for almost all crops grown in lowland areas of Armenia as well as for a majority of crops grown in intermediate and upland areas¹⁵. Studies have suggested pressure will be amplified by a potential doubling of the average water requirement of Armenia’s crops as temperatures rise. As the glacier supply depletes, and its regulating effect on flows reduces, effective water storage and management infrastructure will grow in importance.

Armenia implemented sustainable agricultural development strategies to increase the unused arable land in rotation by approximately 10,000 hectares per annum in an effort to combat projected yield reductions¹⁶. Projections show that by the 2070s, potato crop yields will decrease by 21%, with the highest level of reduction expected in Shirak and Syunik marzes. The largest decline in the grape yields will be recorded in the Ararat Valley – by 20%¹⁷. At the same time the area of high productivity land is projected to shrink, with a 17% increase in less productive desert and meadow-steppe land. Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries make up Armenia’s lowest paid sector yet continue to employ over 30% of the population. These high levels of vulnerability, and risks in both slow and rapid onset hazards emphasize the serious risks climate change represents in Armenia, particularly under higher emissions pathways.

A further, and perhaps lesser appreciated influence of climate change on agricultural production is through its impact on the health and productivity of the labor force. Labor productivity during peak months has already dropped by 10% as a result of warming, and a decline of up to 20% might be expected by the 2050s under the highest emissions pathway. In combination, it is highly likely that the above processes will have a considerable impact on national food consumption patterns both through direct impacts on internal agricultural operations, and through impacts on the global supply chain. Without adaptation, the economic environment for smallholder agricultural operations is likely to become increasingly hostile¹⁸.

Urban and Energy

Research has established a reasonably well constrained relationship between heat stress and labor productivity, household consumption patterns, and (by proxy) household living standards. In general terms, the impact of an increase in temperature on these indicators depends on whether the temperature rise moves the ambient temperature

¹⁵ [Building resilience to climate change in South Caucasus agriculture](#). World Bank

¹⁶ [Strategy of the Main Directions Ensuring Economic Development in Agricultural Sector of the Republic of Armenia for 2020–2030](#)

¹⁷ [Republic of Armenia – Fourth National Communication on Climate Change to the UNFCCC](#)

¹⁸ [Environmental and socio-economic vulnerability of agricultural sector in Armenia](#), Melkonyan, A. (2014), Science of The Total Environment

closer to, or further away from, the optimum temperature range. The optimum range can vary depending on local conditions and adaptations. In Armenia, a general decline in productivity is expected due to high temperatures that are offset by a reduction in the frequency of extreme low temperatures. This trend can be measured in the change to the annual heating and cooling degree days. The full model ensemble projects an increase in the annual cooling requirement of around 1,000°C (degree days), versus a decline in the heating requirement of around 2,000°C (degree days). This points towards a potential net energy saving. Armenia's energy policy is focused on ensuring independence and increased security of the energy sector and promotion of the sustainable development of the energy sector based on efficient use of local primary (renewable) energy resources, further development of the nuclear energy sector, diversification of energy supply sources and introduction of energy efficient and advanced technologies. In the medium term, meeting increases in electricity demand, energy system reliability, and affordability of electricity services are important challenge to be addressed¹⁹. The country has begun to increasingly invest in the development of renewable energy sources and, more specifically, in recent years, electricity generation at photovoltaic (PV) solar plants, with a longer-term interest in further development of wind and nuclear energy.

The effects of temperature rise and heat stress in urban areas are increasingly compounded by the phenomenon of the Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect. Dark surfaces, residential and industrial sources of heat, an absence of vegetation, and air pollution can push temperatures higher than those of the rural surroundings, commonly anywhere in the range of 0.1°C–3°C in global mega-cities. As well as impacting on human health (see Communities) the temperature peaks that will result from combined UHI and climate change, as well as future urban expansion, are likely to damage the productivity of the service sector economy, both through direct impacts on labor productivity, but also through the additional costs of adaptation. The Armenian economy has great dependence on activity in its capital city, Yerevan, where around half of the nation's industrial production takes place. While the economy of the city is strong, and poverty rates comparatively low, the health risks of high temperatures require consideration. The 2018 heatwave, during which a new temperature record was set in Yerevan of 42°C, illustrated the strain that extreme climate events can place on the energy system, with technical faults and high demand putting strain on the energy system. Research suggests that on average, a one degree increase in ambient temperature can result in a 0.5%–8.5% increase in electricity demand.

Heating requirements continue to be an important part of Armenian energy needs. Individual heat boilers are primarily used for heating, of which 50% use natural gas. Natural gas is followed by wood use for heating, with an estimated 35% of Armenian households using wood for heating. This is primarily driven by affordability. As the country's deforestation rates are likely to continue, the use of biomass for heating is likely to continue to the trend, which is expected to adversely affect the poorest households due to a decline in firewood availability and price increase.

Communities

¹⁹ [Armenia Power Sector Policy Note](#), World Bank (2016).

Poverty and Inequality: high poverty rates prevail in Armenia. These are in part linked to high unemployment rates, but also to the poor productivity of the agricultural sector which employs around 35% of the working population. According to the Armenian Statistical Committee wages in the agriculture, fisheries, and forestry sector are the lowest of all the primary sectors. Many households are dependent on remittances received from migrant workers. Disruption of remittance flows is possible as a result of climate change but is an issue which is poorly understood. Due to potential high impacts of climate change on the agricultural sector in Armenia, alongside the increased risk of climate-related disasters, the country faces major challenges from climate change, particularly under higher emissions pathways.

Many of the climatic changes projected are likely to disproportionately affect the poorest groups in society. For instance, heavy manual labor jobs are common among the lowest paid whilst also being most at risk of productivity losses due to heat stress. Poorer businesses are least able to afford air conditioning, an increasing need given the projected increase in cooling days. Poorer farmers and communities are least able to afford local water storage, irrigation infrastructure, and technologies for adaptation. According to the FAO, most agricultural holdings remain small, with an average size of 1.4 ha, many farming households are poor and many already rely on remittances sent from household members who migrate for work during fallow periods on the farm.⁶⁰ Climate changes, such as changes to growing seasons, extreme weather events and species range shifts (potentially resulting in new invasive species) further threatens to expose a lack of adaptability and resilience in the population dependent on the agricultural sector. The majority of agricultural small-holders are not covered by any insurance system, resulting in reduced resilience to disaster events.

Gender: An increasing body of research has shown that climate-related disasters have impacted human populations in many areas including agricultural production, food security, water management and public health. The level of impacts and coping strategies of populations depends heavily on their socio-economic status, socio-cultural norms, access to resources, poverty as well as gender. Research has also provided more evidence that the effects are not gender neutral, as women and children are among the highest risk groups. Key factors that account for the differences between women's and men's vulnerability to climate change risks include gender-based differences in time use; access to assets and credit, treatment by formal institutions, which can constrain women's opportunities, limited access to policy discussions and decision making, and a lack of sex-disaggregated data for policy change²⁰.

Human Health: risk to human health from climate-related hazards are expected to increase, particularly under higher emissions pathways. Risks include the increased probabilities of drought, exacerbated by the loss of mountain glaciers, and heat waves. Immediate risks include heat-related sicknesses and the increased vulnerability to malaria outbreaks. These impacts are likely to be followed by the risks to nutrition of associated agricultural losses and water shortages. Experience can be drawn from the 2001 drought, which necessitated emergency food distribution by the World Food Program to around 200,000 citizens in response to high levels of malnutrition. *Nutrition:* The World Food Program estimate that without adaptation the risk of hunger and child

²⁰ [Gender Equality, Poverty Reduction, and Inclusive Growth](#), World Bank Group (2016)

malnutrition on a global scale could increase by 20% respectively by 2050²¹. Projections suggest there could be approximately 81 climate-related deaths per million population linked to lack of food availability in Armenia by the 2050s. *Heat-Related Mortality*: research has placed a threshold of 35°C (wet bulb ambient air temperature) on the human body's ability to regulate temperature, beyond which even a very short period of exposure can present risk of serious ill-health and death. Temperatures significantly lower than the 35°C threshold of "survivability" can still represent a major threat to human health. Climate change could push global temperatures closer to this temperature "danger zone" both through slow onset warming and intensified heat waves. Armenia has also been identified as a having particularly poor air quality in many of its urban and developed areas and associated issues may be amplified by increased incidence of extreme heat²². It is estimated that without adaptation, annual heat-related deaths in the Central Asian region, could increase 139% by 2030 and 301% by 2050.

Priority areas for climate change adaptation

Armenia grapples with the compounding challenges of climate change and land degradation, which pose significant threats to local livelihoods and the regional economy. The project's primary focus revolves around addressing these intertwined issues of climate change adaptation, land degradation, and biodiversity in two crucial hotspots: land and forest degradation. Communities residing near protected areas and forest reserves represent key areas experiencing land degradation, rendering their rural livelihoods and production systems exceptionally susceptible to climate change impacts. This vulnerability stems from resource overexploitation and a dearth of alternative income opportunities.

Consequently, the project's core concentration lies in regions adjacent to the last three remaining protected areas in Armenia: **Khosrov Forest State Reserve**, located in the southwestern region of Ararat Marz, southeast of the capital Yerevan, **Dilijan National Park**, situated in the northeastern Tavush Marz and **Lake Sevan Natural Park** located in Gegharkunik marz. These protected natural ecosystems serve as critical biodiversity sites, while the neighboring communities contend with elevated poverty rates, resource-constrained livelihoods, and limited capacities to tackle land degradation effectively.

- Covering an area of 23,359 hectares, the "**Khosrov Forest**" **State Reserve** boasts a unique landscape characterized by semidesert, phryganoid, and sparse forest mountain-steppe ecosystems. Intrazonal wetland ecosystems also flourish along the riverbanks and in the vicinity of Mankuq and Gyolaysor settlements. The reserve shelters an impressive array of biodiversity, preserving 1,948 species of vascular plants and 1,783 species of animals, including 1,500 species of invertebrates and 283 species of vertebrates.
- On the other hand, "**Dilijan**" **National Park** spans 33,765 hectares and is predominantly covered by forests. Renowned for its rich and original biodiversity, the park features mesophile woodlands, distinct ecosystems of scientific, educational, and economic significance, and a host of environmental, cognitive, therapeutic, and recreational attributes. The park serves as a haven for biodiversity, housing 1,200

²¹ [Two minutes on climate change and hunger: A zero hunger world needs climate resilience](#), WFP (2015)

²² [Armenia Environmental Performance Index](#) (2019).

species of vascular plants and 1,660 species of animals, encompassing 1,431 invertebrates and 229 species of vertebrates.

- **“Sevan” National Park**, safeguards Lake Sevan and its surrounding ecosystems. The park oversees a research center that monitors and conserves these diverse environments. Additionally, the park regulates licensed fishing activities on Lake Sevan. The park's biodiversity is notable, hosting 267 bird species, including 56 from Armenia's Red Book of Animals, such as the Armenian gull and Mountain Chiffchaff. Reptiles and amphibians, including various lizard and snake species, inhabit the Masrik River valley. This region is essential for the reproduction of endemic fish species like Sevan trout and Gokcha barbel. The park boasts diverse invertebrates, some endemic, and is rich in plant life, with several endemic species and numerous medicinal and edible herbs. Sevan National Park plays a critical role in preserving Armenia's natural heritage and fostering biodiversity conservation.

The project will specifically target the following communities adjacent to these three protected areas:

1. Ararat marz:

- **Urtsadzor** municipality (*beneficiary of pilot project*);
- Vedi municipality:
 - ✓ Goravan settlement;
 - ✓ Vanashen settlement;
 - ✓ Sisavan settlement;
- Ararat municipality:
 - ✓ Zangakatun settlement;
 - ✓ Urtsalanj settlement;
 - ✓ Lanjar settlement;
 - ✓ Paruyr Sevak settlement;
 - ✓ Armash settlement;

2. Tavush marz:

- Dilijan municipality (*beneficiary of pilot project*);
- Ijevan municipality:
 - ✓ Sevkar settlement;
 - ✓ Achajur settlement;
 - ✓ Khashtarak settlement;

3. Gegharkunik marz:

- Sevan municipality:
 - ✓ Semyonovka settlement;
 - ✓ Tsovagyukh settlement;

4. Armavir marz:

- Khoys municipaly;

Excerpts from consultations with stakeholders (beneficiary municipalities) in determination of the Project's intervention framework are presented in the Annex I.

The vulnerability of the target communities to climate change is multifaceted. Firstly, it stems from land and biodiversity degradation along with marginal production systems. Second, the presence of weak infrastructure, inefficient irrigation systems, and limited adoption of climate-friendly technologies compounds this vulnerability. Third, poverty and the absence of alternative income opportunities exert additional pressure on natural resources. In particular, community pastures, situated 3-9 kilometers from residential areas, are intensively used by cattle breeders from March to late November. Regrettably, these pastures suffer from continuous grazing, lack watering points, and are underutilized due to poor road conditions and social constraints among residents. Consequently, pastures near communities have significantly degraded, leading to diminished soil quality, increased prevalence of non-grazed plant species, and prolonged degradation, exacerbated by animals crossing vast distances for water. The pastures' adaptive capacity has been severely compromised and is expected to further decline with ongoing climate change, potentially resulting in a 5% degradation of community pastures and the transformation of up to 30% into semi-desert ecosystems within the next decade, unless surface improvement measures are undertaken.

These challenges profoundly affect the communities' living standards. Reduced agricultural and livestock incomes restrict access to essential resources like gas and electricity for many residents, prompting the use of wood and dried manure as fuel. This intensifies pressure on forest ecosystems, diminishing their climate and water-absorbing properties. Additionally, illegal activities such as logging, grazing, and plant harvesting are prevalent in the region due to high levels of poverty and limited awareness regarding the value of protected areas. These illicit practices degrade vegetation cover, further eroding ecosystem resilience to climate change. Given the significance of specially protected natural areas for enhancing ecosystem resilience to climate change and their broader environmental, social, health, and scientific importance, fostering cooperation between communities and organizations managing these protected areas is essential. Nonetheless, this endeavor should be accompanied by efforts to improve social conditions among the population, with particular attention to women living in poverty who may lack access to alternative income opportunities and may engage in illegal activities for household subsistence. Communities should be regarded as contributing rather than impeding factors in the conservation of protected areas. However, community self-governing bodies face limitations in providing the necessary financial resources for implementing climate adaptation measures, adopting energy-efficient technologies, and diversifying value chains. This includes initiatives such as installing solar water heaters, constructing modern greenhouses, repairing irrigation systems, diversifying agriculture, reconstructing roads for waterways, and establishing watering points in pastures. The analysis of community budgets in 2021 and 2022 reveals that these budgets primarily rely on communities' own revenues and government subsidies.

Project/Programme Objectives:

Main objectives of the project/programme.

The **overall objective** of the project is reducing the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest”, “Dilijan” and “Lake Sevan” National Parks through promoting sustainable and climate-resilient agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zones, thereby reducing climate-related risks and vulnerabilities in production systems while sustaining protected areas. Through the implementation of community-based, climate-smart agricultural practices, strengthening of value chains and technology transfer, and raising awareness and capacity building, the **Project aims** to enhance the adaptive capacity of vulnerable rural communities, improve land degradation neutrality, and contribute to the long-term sustainability of agricultural ecosystems.

The **specific objectives** of proposed Project are:

- To implement climate-smart agricultural practices in degraded areas to enhance water use efficiency, rehabilitate pastures, establish perennial sowing areas, and improve adaptive capacity of community pastures and hay meadows;
- To strengthen value chains for climate-smart agriculture, enhance accessibility to climate-smart technologies, and promote sustainable land management practices for vulnerable rural communities;
- To raise awareness, build capacity, facilitate monitoring, and enhance decision-making regarding climate-smart agricultural practices and Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN) in targeted communities;
- To scale up successfully tested during pilot project practices and replicate in new communities;

The envisioned project will create adaptive strategies in response to the impacts of climate change on agricultural and natural landscapes. Its primary focus is to bolster the livelihoods of affected communities in a sustainable manner. This involves introducing climate-smart agricultural technologies, enhancing the value chain of selected products (like dried fruits, vegetables, and herbs), and fortifying the planning capacity of these communities to enhance their resilience. The project aims to prioritize and engage vulnerable groups such as women and impoverished households to ensure that it empowers rather than exacerbates existing inequalities. It aligns with the concepts of both land degradation neutrality and climate change adaptation.

The project will be centered around three core adaptive strategies: (i) adapting agro-ecological landscapes to maintain agricultural productivity in the face of escalating climate change, (ii) upholding climate-smart agricultural value chains through the promotion of cost-effective, energy-efficient technologies, and (iii) enhancing local communities' planning capabilities to reinforce their adaptive capacities. By harmonizing the principles of land degradation neutrality and climate-smart agriculture, the project becomes a pivotal steppingstone towards achieving land degradation neutrality.

Implementing "Climate Smart Farming" practices and techniques holds immense

significance as they mitigate greenhouse gas emissions and enhance adaptability within natural and agricultural ecosystems. In light of rising temperatures and changing precipitation patterns, agriculture must proactively adapt. This entails focusing on various pivotal aspects to boost adaptability.

The project is structured into three main components, each yielding specific outcomes. Component 1 prioritizes community-based, gender-inclusive interventions to bolster the adaptive capacity of the agricultural sector. Component 2 supports climate-smart agricultural value chains, thereby establishing and sustaining income-generating initiatives for the involved communities. Component 3 encompasses capacity building, awareness raising, local training, knowledge management, and information dissemination to fortify national strategies and policies concerning climate change adaptation. The primary interventions will be executed in the adjacent communities neighboring the "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park.

This project's concept aligns with the policies of the Adaptation Fund and incorporates global best practices. The formulation of the Concept Note involved community consultations across all target areas, enabling participatory planning and the identification of priority climate change adaptation measures. Data collection through questionnaires and community meetings was conducted to pinpoint factors that could disrupt the adaptation of natural ecosystems and agricultural landscapes, resulting in the formulation of key intervention priorities for each community. These interventions primarily aim to enhance ecosystems' and communities' adaptability to climatic anomalies such as extreme temperatures, dry and hot winds, frosts, hails, rains, and increased air temperatures.

The Project operates as a pilot or incubator initiative, with the intention to be scaled up across vulnerable regions and buffer zones adjoining protected areas and forests in Armenia. It employs a bottom-up, community-focused approach where local actions are defined, prioritized, and executed by vulnerable communities, ensuring equal participation from both women and men.

Project/Programme Components and Financing:

Fill in the table presenting the relationships among project components, activities, expected concrete outputs, and the corresponding budgets. If necessary, please refer to the attached instructions for a detailed description of each term.

For the case of a programme, individual components are likely to refer to specific subsets of stakeholders, regions and/or sectors that can be addressed through a set of well-defined interventions / projects.

Component 1: Community-based, Equitable, and Gender-Responsive Adaptive Capacity Strengthening

This component aims to enhance the adaptive capacity of local communities in the face of changing climate conditions. By adopting community-based, gender-responsive approaches, the component will empower vulnerable communities to effectively

manage climate-related risks. Through a combination of infrastructure rehabilitation, sustainable land management, and pasture enhancement, the component seeks to improve water use efficiency, rehabilitate degraded lands, and promote sustainable livestock management practices.

Proposed activities under the Component 1:

- **Rehabilitation of Irrigation Water Supply Systems:** This activity involves rehabilitating irrigation water supply systems in six municipalities. Solar-powered pumps will be installed, increasing water use efficiency. This will ensure reliable water supply for agricultural activities and mitigate the impacts of water scarcity.
- **Installation of Water Efficient Drip Irrigation Systems:** Drip irrigation systems will be introduced in orchards across six municipalities. This activity will conserve water resources and improve the efficiency of water distribution, leading to healthier orchards and increased agricultural productivity.
- **Rehabilitation of Field Tracks to Remote Pastures:** This activity focuses on rehabilitating degraded field tracks to remote pastures. By restoring access routes, this activity will facilitate the sustainable movement of livestock and reduce land degradation caused by overgrazing in sensitive areas.
- **Creation of Perennial Plant Sowing Areas:** Establishing perennial plant sowing areas across 30 hectares will combat rangeland degradation. Perennial plants play a vital role in stabilizing soil, preventing erosion, and enhancing biodiversity.
- **Rehabilitation of Community Pastures and Hay Meadows:** Through the rehabilitation of 500 hectares of community pastures and hay meadows, this activity will improve adaptive capacity, strengthen grazing management, and enhance the overall resilience of these vital ecosystems.
- **Construction of Livestock Watering Points:** The establishment of five livestock watering points will provide a reliable water source for livestock, reducing the pressure on natural water sources and promoting sustainable grazing practices.
- **Capacity building for improved grazing management in targeted municipalities:** Conducting targeted training and capacity-building initiatives for the local communities to enhance their skills and knowledge in sustainable grazing management practices and developing incentive mechanisms to encourage and reward the adoption of sustainable grazing management practices by the local communities.

Component 1 focuses on enhancing adaptive capacity in agriculture through community-based and gender-responsive interventions. By improving water management, sustainable land use, and pasture conditions, the component aims to strengthen the resilience of vulnerable communities to climate-related challenges.

Component 2: Climate-Smart Agricultural Value Chain Strengthening

This component aims to strengthen the value chains of climate-smart agriculture, ensuring the accessibility of innovative technologies for vulnerable communities. By introducing energy-efficient agricultural practices and creating demonstration sites for sustainable land management, the component seeks to increase the adaptive capacity

of local communities. Additionally, the promotion of agro-acceleration hubs and model agrotourism facilities aims to foster integration within existing supply chains and diversify income sources.

Proposed activities under the Component 2:

- **Introduction of Smart Agricultural Practices:** Implementing climate-smart practices, such as constructing anti-hail nets and planting shrubs, will mitigate climate risks and enhance agricultural productivity across six municipalities.
- **Establishment of Non-Heated Greenhouses:** The construction of lightweight, energy-efficient greenhouses will extend growing seasons, enabling year-round cultivation and improving the economic viability of agriculture.
- **Installation of Solar Dryers:** By introducing solar dryers, communities will have the means to process and preserve produce effectively, leading to reduced post-harvest losses and increased income.
- **Formulation of Business Plans for Climate-Smart Value Chains:** This activity will assist communities in formulating business plans that integrate climate-smart practices, fostering sustainable and profitable agricultural enterprises.
- **Piloting of Agrivoltaic Systems:** By testing agrivoltaic systems that combine agriculture with solar energy production, the component aims to enhance resource efficiency and create innovative income streams.
- **Construction of Demonstration Sites for Sustainable Land Management:** These sites will showcase best practices for land management, helping communities adopt sustainable agricultural techniques to mitigate land degradation.
- **Establishment of Agro-Acceleration Hubs:** This activity aims to establish two agro-acceleration hubs that connect local farmers with supply chains, enabling efficient market access and strengthening rural economies.
- **Piloting of Model Agrotourism Facilities:** The creation of two agrotourism facilities in each community will diversify income sources, promote rural tourism, and raise awareness about sustainable agricultural practices.
- **Testing New Varieties of Crops:** By piloting heat and drought-resistant crop varieties, this activity aims to enhance agricultural productivity under changing climate conditions.

Component 2 focuses on enhancing climate-smart agricultural value chains through the introduction of innovative technologies and practices. By creating demonstration sites, establishing agro-acceleration hubs, and diversifying income through agrotourism, the component seeks to strengthen rural livelihoods and increase the adaptive capacity of vulnerable communities.

Adaptation rationale for component 2 activities:

The activities within Component 2 are meticulously designed pilot initiatives that embody a proactive adaptation rationale, addressing the urgent need for resilient agricultural practices in the face of climate change.

Pilot initiatives for learning and demonstration: Component 2's activities serve as

pioneering examples, demonstrating the integration of climate-resilient practices into agriculture. These pilots are crucial learning platforms, providing tangible evidence of the effectiveness and feasibility of adaptive strategies, thereby inspiring broader adoption and replication in various agricultural contexts.

Infrastructure for climate resilience: The activities under Component 2 also involve strategic investments in resilient infrastructure, essential for supporting and sustaining adaptive agricultural practices. This infrastructure is not only pivotal for the success of the pilot initiatives but also lays a foundational framework for future climate-resilient agricultural endeavors, facilitating seamless replication and scaling-up.

Knowledge sharing for capacity building: A cornerstone of Component 2 is the emphasis on knowledge dissemination and capacity enhancement. Through structured training and awareness programs, the activities aim to cultivate a cadre of informed and skilled agricultural practitioners. This knowledgeable community will be instrumental in championing and proliferating climate-resilient practices, acting as catalysts for change within and beyond their communities.

Diversification for risk mitigation: The pilot initiatives incorporate innovative diversification strategies, providing a buffer against climate-induced uncertainties and risks. These strategies not only enhance productivity but also fortify agricultural systems against climate variability, safeguarding livelihoods and ensuring food security in the face of changing climate patterns.

Through the combination of these elements, Component 2's activities offer a compelling adaptation rationale, providing a blueprint for resilient, sustainable, and adaptive agricultural practices that are primed for future replication and scaling-up. These activities are not business-as-usual but are forward-looking initiatives, anticipating and responding to the challenges posed by climate change while paving the way for resilient agricultural futures.

Component 3: Awareness Raising, Capacity Building, Monitoring, and Decision Making

This component aims to enhance awareness, build capacity, and facilitate effective decision-making in climate-smart agricultural practices. Through farmer field schools, extension services, and community-based adaptation planning, the component seeks to empower local stakeholders to make informed choices for sustainable land management. Additionally, strengthening the capacities of municipalities and civil society organizations will support the integration of climate-smart practices into local policies.

Proposed activities under the Component 3:

- **Provision of Farmer Field Schools and Extension Services:** Farmer field schools and extension services will share best practices of climate-smart agriculture and promote the adoption of sustainable techniques among 200 beneficiaries.
- **Formulation and Dissemination of Training Material:** This activity will involve the creation and dissemination of training materials on adapting to climate change, promoting best practices, and sharing examples of successful agricultural ecosystem adaptations.

- **Community-Based Adaptation Planning:** Formulating community-based adaptation plans will enable targeted communities to plan and implement climate-smart strategies that align with their specific needs and conditions.
- **Formulation of Strategies for Sustainable Agriculture and Land Degradation Neutrality:** Communities will formulate strategies to sustain climate-smart agriculture and promote land degradation neutrality, ensuring long-term environmental and economic viability.
- **Capacity Advancement of Local Stakeholders:** Enhancing the capacities of local stakeholders, including municipalities, local civil society organizations and managers of protected areas will foster effective implementation and integration of climate-smart agricultural practices.

Component 3 focuses on building awareness, capacity, and decision-making abilities among local stakeholders regarding climate-smart agricultural practices. By providing training, facilitating community-based planning, and strengthening organizational capacities, the component aims to ensure that climate adaptation and land degradation neutrality principles are effectively integrated into local strategies and actions.

The proposed project aims to address the challenges posed by climate change on agricultural and natural landscapes. Through three distinct components, the project seeks to enhance adaptive capacity, strengthen agricultural value chains, and improve awareness and decision-making capabilities. By fostering community engagement, introducing innovative technologies, and promoting sustainable practices, the project aims to empower vulnerable communities and contribute to a sustainable and climate-resilient future for both people and ecosystems. Through a combination of comprehensive activities, the project strives to achieve its overarching goal of reducing climate-related vulnerabilities, enhancing agricultural sustainability, and promoting land degradation neutrality.

N	Project/Program Components	Expected Concrete Outputs	Expected Outcomes	Amount (US\$)
1.	<u>Component 1:</u> Community based, climate smart agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zone	<u>Output 1.1</u> Irrigation water supply systems in 6 new municipalities are rehabilitated increasing water use efficiency (12,000 m and 6 solar-powered pumps); <u>Output 1.2</u> Orchards with drip irrigation system and anti-hail nets will be established in 6	<u>Outcome 1:</u> Community based, climate smart agricultural practices are implemented in degraded areas to reduce climate risks vulnerability of production systems and sustain protected areas	<p style="text-align: center;">748.000</p> <p style="text-align: center;">485.000</p>

		<p>communities (12 ha);</p> <p><u>Output 1.3</u></p> <p>Existing field tracks to remote pastures degraded lands are rehabilitated (15% of field tracks rehabilitated 20 km rehabilitated 25 culverts installed);</p> <p><u>Output 1.4</u></p> <p>Sowing areas of perennial plants are created reducing rangeland degradation (30 ha of perennial sowing area established);</p> <p><u>Output 1.5</u></p> <p>Community pastures and hay meadows are rehabilitated and improved their adaptive capacity (500 ha hay meadows, pastures and arable lands rehabilitated);</p> <p><u>Output 1.6</u></p> <p>Livestock watering points are constructed (5 watering points constructed);</p> <p><u>Output 1.7</u></p> <p>Architectural and design drawings and estimates;</p> <p><u>Output 1.8</u></p> <p>Capacity building for improved grazing management in targeted municipalities</p>		<p>165.000</p> <p>78.000</p> <p>268.000</p> <p>69.500</p> <p>95.000</p> <p>40,000</p>
Subtotal for Component 1.				1.948.500
2.	<u>Component 2:</u> Strengthening value chains and climate smart technology	<u>Output 2.1</u> Smart agricultural practices in 6 municipalities are	<u>Outcome 2:</u> Value chains for climate smart agriculture are strengthened	75.000

	transfer for vulnerable communities	<p>introduced, <i>establishing 3 ha berry orchards with drip irrigation system</i></p> <p><u>Output 2.2</u></p> <p>Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are constructed in priority community areas (3000m² of greenhouses constructed 40 beneficiaries)</p> <p><u>Output 2.3</u></p> <p>Solar dryers are installed in priority community areas (40 solar dryers constructed 40 beneficiaries)</p> <p><u>Output 2.4</u></p> <p>Community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains (5 business plans formulated)</p> <p><u>Output 2.5</u></p> <p>Agrioltaic systems are piloted (10 installations with 30 KW of installed capacity each)</p> <p><u>Output 2.6</u></p> <p>Demonstration sites for sustainable land management practices are constructed in each municipality;</p> <p><u>Output 2.7</u></p> <p>2 agro-acceleration hubs are piloted to enhance integration with existing supply chains;</p> <p><u>Output 2.8</u></p> <p>2 model agrotourism</p>	and climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities	<p>175.000</p> <p>124.352</p> <p>65.000</p> <p>405.000</p> <p>35.000</p> <p>250.000</p>
--	-------------------------------------	--	--	---

		facilities are piloted in every community <u>Output 2.9</u> New varieties of dry and heat resistant crops are piloted		150.000 45.000
Subtotal for Component 2.				1.324.352
3.	<u>Component 3:</u> Awareness raising, capacity building, monitoring and decision making for climate smart agricultural practices	<u>Output 3.1</u> Farmer field schools and extension services have been provided to share best practices of climate smart agriculture and LDN for the targeted communities (200 beneficiaries) <u>Output 3.2</u> Best practices examples and training material on natural and agricultural ecosystems' adaptation under the conditions of climate change are formulated, disseminated and made accessible (4 training programs and thematic topics); <u>Output 3.3</u> Community based adaptation planning is conducted for target communities (4 community-based adaptation plans formulated) <u>Output 3.4</u> Strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas have been formulated (4 community-based	<u>Outcome 3:</u> Awareness, planning, monitoring and decision-making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and LDN has increased in target communities	40.000 40.000 15.000 15.000

		strategies adaptation plans formulated) <u>Output 3.5</u> Capacities of local stakeholders, including municipalities, CSOs and managers of protected areas (women, youth, environmental) are advanced;		50,000
Subtotal for Component 3.				160.000
	<u>Total: Project Components</u>			3.432.852
4.	Project/Programme Execution cost			51.492
5.	Total Project/Programme Cost			3.484.344
6.	Project/Programme Cycle Management Fee charged by the Implementing Entity (if applicable)			296.169
	Amount of Financing Requested			3.780.513

Projected Calendar:

Indicate the dates of the following milestones for the proposed project/programme

Milestones	Expected Dates
Start of Project/Programme Implementation	10 January 2024
Mid-term Review (if planned)	10 January 2026
Project/Programme Closing	10 January 2028
Terminal Evaluation	10 March 2028

PART II: PROJECT/PROGRAMME JUSTIFICATION

- A. Describe the project/programme components, particularly focusing on the concrete adaptation activities of the project, and how these activities contribute to climate resilience. For the case of a programme, show how the combination of individual projects will contribute to the overall increase in resilience.

Component 1: Community-based, Equitable, and Gender-Responsive Adaptive Capacity Strengthening

Adaptation Activities:

- **Rehabilitation of Irrigation Water Supply Systems:** By rehabilitating irrigation systems and introducing solar-powered pumps, this activity enhances water use efficiency. Communities can better manage water resources, ensuring reliable irrigation for crops even during water-scarce periods. This builds resilience against changing precipitation patterns.
- **Installation of Water Efficient Drip Irrigation Systems:** Drip irrigation conserves water by delivering it directly to plant roots. This minimizes water wastage and enhances plant growth. The activity contributes to water scarcity resilience while maintaining agricultural productivity.
- **Rehabilitation of Field Tracks to Remote Pastures:** Rehabilitating field tracks prevents soil erosion and land degradation caused by uncontrolled livestock grazing. Communities can control grazing patterns, mitigating overgrazing impacts and building the resilience of pasture lands.
- **Creation of Perennial Plant Sowing Areas:** Establishing perennial plants reduces soil erosion, improves soil fertility, and enhances biodiversity. This activity boosts ecosystem resilience by stabilizing landscapes and promoting sustainable land use.
- **Rehabilitation of Community Pastures and Hay Meadows:** By rehabilitating these ecosystems, communities enhance their capacity to withstand extreme weather events. Restored pastures and meadows are better equipped to recover from disturbances, contributing to overall landscape resilience.
- **Construction of Livestock Watering Points:** Constructing watering points ensures reliable water access for livestock, even during droughts. This minimizes stress on natural water sources, maintains livestock health, and supports climate-resilient livestock management.
- **Conducting targeted training and capacity-building initiatives** for the local communities to enhance their skills and knowledge in sustainable grazing management practices and developing incentive mechanisms to encourage and reward the adoption of sustainable grazing management practices by the local communities.

Climate Resilience Contribution: These activities collectively enhance local communities' ability to manage water resources efficiently, mitigate land degradation, and sustainably manage pastures. By implementing climate-smart agricultural practices, communities can better withstand erratic weather patterns, prolonged droughts, and other climate-related stressors.

Component 2: Climate-Smart Agricultural Value Chain Strengthening

Adaptation Activities:

- **Introduction of Smart Agricultural Practices:** Anti-hail nets and shrub planting protect crops from extreme weather events, thus enhancing crop resilience against hailstorms and other adverse conditions.
- **Establishment of Non-Heated Greenhouses:** Greenhouses provide controlled environments, shielding crops from extreme temperatures and weather fluctuations. This enhances crop production stability and resilience in the face of climate variability.
- **Installation of Solar Dryers:** Solar dryers help communities preserve excess harvests, reducing food loss during unpredictable weather events. This activity supports food security and resilience against changing conditions.
- **Piloting of Agrivoltaic Systems:** Integrating solar energy with agriculture diversifies income sources and reduces dependency on traditional energy. This resilience-building activity ensures continued productivity in energy and agriculture sectors.
- **Construction of Demonstration Sites for Sustainable Land Management:** Demonstrating sustainable land management techniques encourages widespread adoption. Communities can build resilience against land degradation and promote long-term ecosystem health.
- **Establishment of Agro-Acceleration Hubs:** These hubs link farmers with markets, enabling swift response to market fluctuations and economic shocks. Diversified income sources enhance community resilience in changing economic environments.
- **Piloting of Model Agrotourism Facilities:** Agrotourism diversifies income and raises awareness about sustainable practices. This supports communities by creating alternative income streams that are less dependent on climate-sensitive activities.
- **Testing New Varieties of Crops:** Piloting heat and drought-resistant crop varieties ensures continued agricultural productivity under changing climate conditions.

Climate Resilience Contribution: These activities contribute to economic diversification, increased energy efficiency, and improved agricultural practices. By integrating renewable energy, enhancing food preservation, and diversifying income, communities build resilience against climate-induced disruptions in both energy and agriculture sectors.

These components and their adaptation activities collectively empower communities to adapt to climate change by adopting sustainable practices, enhancing resource efficiency, and creating alternative income streams. By strengthening local adaptive capacity and promoting resilient agricultural practices, the project contributes to climate resilience and lays the groundwork for a sustainable and climate-smart future.

B. Describe how the project/programme provides economic, social and environmental benefits, with particular reference to the most vulnerable communities, and vulnerable groups within communities, including gender considerations. Describe how the project/programme will avoid or mitigate negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and

Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

The Project is meticulously designed to yield substantial economic, social, and environmental benefits, with a pronounced emphasis on supporting the most vulnerable communities and specific vulnerable groups within these communities, including smallholder farmers, women (including women-led households which represents one third of the households in the beneficiary municipalities), youth, elderly, people with disabilities and national/cultural/religious minorities. It conscientiously integrates gender considerations throughout its framework to ensure inclusivity and strict adherence to the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

Economic Benefits:

Income diversification: By establishing agro-acceleration hubs and model agrotourism facilities, the Project introduces novel income streams for vulnerable communities, particularly benefiting vulnerable groups by providing them with accessible economic opportunities and financial independence. More specifically: 1) Creation of hubs that serve as centers for innovation, training, and support for agricultural entrepreneurs will support incubators for new agricultural businesses, providing resources, mentorship, and access to finance, thereby fostering entrepreneurship and creating diverse income opportunities for community members; 2) Development of model agro-tourism facilities will generate income through services like guided tours, lodging, and selling local produce. This not only provides direct employment but also creates a market for local goods, promoting diverse revenue streams for the community.

Enhanced agricultural productivity: Through the introduction of climate-smart agricultural practices, technologies, and diversified crops, the Project promotes increased yields and improved produce quality, fortifying local economies and food security, especially for vulnerable groups in the following manner: 1) Introduction of climate-smart agricultural practices: Implementing practices resilient to climate variations, such as conservation tillage, crop rotation, and agroforestry, will improve soil health, water-use efficiency, and overall crop yield. This enables farmers to produce more and better-quality crops under adverse climate conditions, enhancing productivity and food security for the community; 2) Provision of training and capacity building: Conducting training sessions and workshops for farmers on modern agricultural techniques, pest management, and technology use in farming will equip them with the necessary knowledge and skills. This enhances their ability to increase productivity, manage crops efficiently, and make informed decisions, leading to improved yields and food security; 3) Support for diversified crop cultivation: Assisting farmers in diversifying their crop portfolios to include resilient crops with high market demand will reduce dependency on a single crop, mitigate risks associated with crop failure, and provide multiple sources of income. This approach ensures stable and increased productivity, benefiting the entire community; 4) Distribution of improved seed varieties: Providing farmers with drought-resistant, disease-resistant, and high-yielding seeds is crucial for enhancing productivity. These improved seed varieties thrive in challenging environmental conditions, resulting in higher and more reliable yields, which are vital for the economic stability of farming households; 5) Implementation of efficient irrigation systems: Introducing efficient irrigation systems, like drip or sprinkler irrigation, will optimize water use. This is vital for maintaining crop productivity in areas facing water scarcity, ensuring consistent and enhanced agricultural output for the community.

Value chain strengthening: The Project focuses on improving value chains to enhance the marketability of agricultural products, leading to increased income for farmers and community members, particularly benefiting vulnerable groups. More specifically, the Project will support: 1) Development of market linkages: Establishing connections between smallholder farmers and larger markets, including retailers and exporters, will facilitate access to broader and more lucrative markets. This initiative will allow farmers to sell their products at competitive prices, enhancing their income and economic stability; 2) Capacity building for quality improvement: Conducting training and workshops on quality standards, certification processes, and post-harvest handling techniques will empower farmers to produce goods that meet market demands and quality criteria. This enhancement in product quality will attract premium prices, further increasing farmers' income; 3) Support for value-added processing: Promoting and providing support for the development of small-scale processing units within the community will enable farmers to convert their produce into value-added products, such as jams, juices, and preserved foods. These value-added products have higher market demand and value, providing an additional source of income for the community; 4) Facilitation of cooperative structures: Encouraging and supporting the formation of farmer cooperatives and associations will allow smallholder farmers to pool resources, share risks, and collectively negotiate better prices for their products. These cooperative structures strengthen the bargaining power of farmers in the market, ensuring fair compensation for their produce; 5) Provision of market information systems: Implementing systems that provide real-time market information and price trends to farmers will assist them in making informed decisions regarding when and where to sell their products. Access to accurate market information enables farmers to strategically plan their sales to maximize profits.

Social Benefits:

Capacity building: The Project's capacity-building initiatives are designed to empower vulnerable communities, providing them with essential skills and knowledge to navigate climate change challenges effectively. Through workshops and training sessions, community members learn about climate-smart agricultural practices and technologies, enhancing their ability to implement resilient and productive farming methods. Farmer Field Schools offer hands-on learning experiences, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and innovation in sustainable farming practices within the community. Financial literacy and management training courses equip individuals with the understanding of finance basics, enabling informed financial decisions and effective income management. Leadership workshops aim to cultivate community leaders, especially among women and youth, promoting active participation in community decision-making processes. Additionally, technology and digital literacy training introduce community members to tools and technologies that not only improve their agricultural practices but also broaden their access to vital information, resources, and markets, strengthening their adaptive capacity to environmental and economic changes. Each capacity-building activity is meticulously crafted to provide immediate and long-term benefits, contributing to the community's resilience and sustainability.

Inclusive participation: The Project champions inclusive participation, ensuring that vulnerable groups, including women, youth, and marginalized households, are actively involved in all initiatives. This approach guarantees that the activities are not only tailored to address the unique needs and challenges of these groups but also empower them to contribute to and benefit from the Project's outcomes. Through community engagement sessions and participatory decision-making processes, all community members have a

voice in shaping the Project's direction and implementation. Special efforts are made to engage women and youth in these processes, providing them with platforms to express their views and concerns. Furthermore, the Project implements awareness campaigns to promote the importance of inclusivity and diversity in community participation, fostering an environment where everyone's contribution is valued and considered. This inclusive approach not only ensures equitable access to the Project's benefits but also harnesses the diverse skills and perspectives of the entire community, enhancing the effectiveness and sustainability of the Project's interventions.

Awareness and education: The Project places a significant emphasis on awareness and education, aiming to instill a deep understanding of climate-smart practices, sustainable land management, and adaptation strategies among the community members. This educational initiative is crucial for fostering a culture of environmental stewardship and resilience within the community. Through targeted awareness campaigns, community workshops, and the distribution of educational materials, members are informed and educated about the importance and benefits of adopting sustainable and climate-resilient practices in their daily lives and occupations. Special educational programs are designed for different community groups, including farmers, women, youth, and the elderly, ensuring that the information is accessible and understandable for all. These programs not only provide theoretical knowledge but also offer practical skills and tips that community members can immediately apply to enhance their adaptive capacity to climate change. Furthermore, the Project collaborates with local schools and educational institutions to incorporate climate change and environmental education into their curriculums, reaching younger generations and instilling a sense of responsibility and awareness from an early age. This comprehensive approach to awareness and education empowers the community with the knowledge and skills necessary to actively participate in and contribute to the Project's sustainability and success.

Environmental Benefits:

Sustainable land management: The Project is deeply committed to promoting Sustainable Land Management practices, crucial for maintaining healthy ecosystems while meeting the increasing demands for food and livelihoods of the growing population. Practices introduced by the Project include rehabilitating degraded pastures, implementing conservation tillage, and promoting agroforestry. These practices are designed to prevent soil erosion, improve soil fertility, and enhance biodiversity, creating a resilient and productive agricultural landscape. For instance, degraded pastures are restored through reseedling, controlled grazing, and the introduction of perennial grasses, which not only improve the quality of the land but also increase its productivity. Conservation tillage practices reduce soil disturbance, preserving soil structure and moisture, and decreasing erosion. Agroforestry, the intentional integration of trees and shrubs into agricultural land, provides multiple benefits, including improved biodiversity, enhanced crop yields, and increased carbon sequestration. Through workshops and training sessions, farmers and landowners are educated and encouraged to adopt these practices, providing them with the knowledge and tools necessary to manage their land sustainably. This approach ensures that the land can continue to support agricultural activities, provide ecosystem services, and sustain livelihoods for the present and future generations. The Project's commitment to sustainable land management not only contributes to environmental conservation but also supports the economic and social well-being of the community.

Energy efficiency: The Project actively promotes energy efficiency as a cornerstone for environmental conservation and sustainability. Energy-saving technologies, such as solar dryers and non-heated greenhouses, are introduced and disseminated among community members. Solar dryers, for instance, utilize sunlight to dry food products efficiently, preserving their quality while reducing reliance on traditional, energy-intensive drying methods. This technology not only lowers energy consumption but also decreases greenhouse gas emissions, contributing to a reduction in the community's carbon footprint. Similarly, non-heated greenhouses are designed to optimize natural light and heat, allowing for extended growing seasons without the need for additional heating. These structures are particularly beneficial in regions with cold climates, enabling the cultivation of crops year-round while minimizing energy use. Through training programs and demonstrations, the Project educates farmers and entrepreneurs on the operation and benefits of these energy-efficient technologies, encouraging their widespread adoption. The emphasis on energy efficiency not only leads to environmental benefits but also generates economic savings for users, supporting the community's sustainable development and resilience to climate change.

Preservation of ecosystems: The Project is steadfast in its commitment to the preservation of ecosystems, implementing initiatives specifically designed to conserve and enhance the natural environments within the project area. For instance, the Project actively engages in the restoration of degraded pastures, a crucial ecosystem for both biodiversity and the local community's livelihood. Through reseeded initiatives and the introduction of perennial grasses, these pastures are not only rehabilitated but also transformed into resilient and productive landscapes that support a diverse array of wildlife while providing essential resources for agricultural activities. Furthermore, the Project introduces and promotes sustainable agricultural practices like agroforestry and organic farming among local farmers. Agroforestry, which integrates trees and shrubs into agricultural lands, not only enhances biodiversity but also provides vital ecosystem services, including carbon sequestration and soil conservation. Organic farming practices are encouraged to minimize the use of chemical fertilizers and pesticides, reducing pollution and creating a healthier and more sustainable environment for both wildlife and the community. Through these targeted and practical initiatives, the Project ensures the preservation and enhancement of vital ecosystems within the project area, contributing to biodiversity conservation and the long-term sustainability and resilience of the community. Each activity is carefully planned and executed to provide immediate environmental benefits while laying the foundation for ongoing conservation and sustainable development efforts in the future.

Gender Considerations:

Women's empowerment: The Project is deeply committed to women's empowerment, recognizing the pivotal role women play in community development and sustainability. Through deliberate and strategic initiatives, the Project ensures that women are not only active participants but also beneficiaries and leaders in the implemented activities. For instance, the Project facilitates women's access to training programs and resources that are crucial for starting and sustaining agricultural businesses. These programs are designed to equip women with the necessary skills, knowledge, and confidence to engage in income-generating activities, thereby promoting their financial independence and economic stability. Furthermore, the Project actively promotes women's participation in decision-making processes at both household and community levels. Special efforts are made to include women in community meetings, planning sessions, and leadership roles,

ensuring their voices are heard and their perspectives are considered in shaping the Project's direction and implementation. Through awareness campaigns and educational initiatives, the Project also fosters a community culture that values and supports women's contributions and leadership, challenging traditional gender norms and promoting gender equality. These concerted efforts towards women's empowerment not only contribute to the social and economic well-being of women but also lead to more inclusive and effective community development and environmental conservation outcomes.

Gender-responsive practices: The Project meticulously incorporates gender-responsive practices at every stage to ensure that both men and women equally partake in and benefit from the project's activities. Firstly, a gender analysis is conducted to understand the distinct roles, needs, and priorities of men and women in the community. This analysis informs the design and implementation of activities, ensuring they are sensitive to and supportive of gender differences. For example, training sessions and resources are tailored to address the specific challenges and opportunities faced by women in agriculture, providing them with the skills and knowledge necessary to succeed. The Project also implements flexible scheduling and provides childcare services during training and meetings to accommodate women who have household and caregiving responsibilities. Furthermore, it actively promotes the creation and strengthening of women's groups and cooperatives, providing them with a platform to collaborate, share experiences, and access resources collectively. These groups empower women to take on leadership roles, engage in decision-making processes, and actively participate in community development initiatives. Through these gender-responsive practices, the Project fosters an inclusive and equitable environment where men and women can thrive and contribute to the community's resilience and sustainability.

Mitigating Negative Impacts:

Environmental safeguards: The Project employs stringent environmental safeguards, aligning with the Adaptation Fund's standards, to minimize and mitigate any adverse environmental impacts. For instance, the Project incorporates the use of innovative technologies and organic fertilizers to facilitate climate-smart agriculture. This approach not only enhances the adaptability of agricultural practices but also improves land conditions, reducing environmental degradation. The introduction of organic fertilizers plays a pivotal role in this process, as it helps in maintaining soil fertility without harming its structure or causing pollution, thereby promoting sustainable agriculture. Furthermore, lightweight construction techniques are utilized in the Project, especially in the renovation of existing infrastructure like roads and irrigation networks. These construction methods are environmentally friendly, causing minimal soil disruption and preventing pollution, ensuring that the natural habitat is preserved and protected during the implementation of project activities. The Project also has a well-defined risk identification, mitigation, and prevention strategy. This comprehensive approach ensures that all potential environmental risks associated with the Project's activities are identified in advance, and appropriate measures are taken to mitigate these risks. For example, in areas where there is a risk of soil erosion due to project activities, preventive measures like the construction of check dams or planting of erosion-resistant vegetation are implemented. Through these and other safeguard measures, the Project ensures that its activities do not result in habitat destruction or significant disruption to the local ecosystems, adhering to strict environmental policies and standards set by the Adaptation Fund. These safeguards are integral to the Project's design and implementation, ensuring it contributes positively to the community while minimizing its environmental footprint.

Social safeguards: The Project is committed to implementing social safeguards to prevent and mitigate any social disruptions and displacement that might occur during its implementation. The safeguards are designed to protect the rights and interests of vulnerable groups within the communities, ensuring that they are not adversely affected by the Project's activities. For instance, the Project takes precautions to avoid causing social disruptions within the communities it serves. This involves careful planning and consultation with community members to ensure that the Project's activities are in line with their needs and expectations, thereby preventing any unintended negative social consequences. Vulnerable groups within the communities are given special attention and consideration in the Project's planning and implementation. These groups are consulted to make sure their rights and interests are protected. The Project ensures that these vulnerable groups, which may include women, youth, and marginalized households, are actively involved in decision-making processes, training programs, and income-generating activities. This approach not only empowers these groups economically and socially but also reduces disparities within the community. Furthermore, the Project is designed to ensure that activities do not inadvertently reinforce existing social inequalities or create new ones. Gender considerations are integrated into every aspect of the Project, adopting gender-responsive approaches to mitigate any potential negative impacts on vulnerable groups. This gender mainstreaming approach ensures that both men and women have equal access to the Project's benefits and opportunities. Overall, the Project's comprehensive approach to social safeguards ensures that the economic, social, and environmental benefits generated are maximized while negative impacts are minimized. The Project is grounded in principles of inclusivity, sustainability, and gender equity, aligning with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

Gender mainstreaming: Gender mainstreaming is a pivotal aspect of the Project, ensuring that gender considerations are woven into every facet of its planning, implementation, and evaluation. This approach guarantees that the Project does not inadvertently perpetuate gender inequalities but actively works to diminish them, fostering an environment where all participants, regardless of gender, can thrive. The Project is designed with a keen awareness of the distinct needs and challenges faced by different genders in the community. From the outset, it ensures that women are not only active participants but also beneficiaries of the Project's various initiatives. Women are encouraged to engage in decision-making processes, partake in training programs, and involve themselves in income-generating activities facilitated by the Project. This inclusive approach empowers women both economically and socially, thereby narrowing gender disparities within the community. Moreover, the Project adopts Gender-Responsive Practices, tailoring interventions to address gender-specific needs and challenges identified during the planning phase. This might involve creating safe spaces for women to participate in project activities, providing childcare options during training sessions, or offering programs at times that are convenient for women who may have household responsibilities. These practices ensure that the Project's benefits are accessible and equitable, fostering an environment of gender equality where the contributions of all participants are valued and recognized. Through these concerted efforts in Gender Mainstreaming, the Project not only mitigates potential negative impacts on vulnerable groups but also promotes gender equality as a core principle of its operations, aligning with the Adaptation Fund's Gender Policy.

C. Describe or provide an analysis of the cost-effectiveness of the proposed project/programme.

A robust emphasis on enhancing capacities, engaging stakeholders at various levels – from governmental officials to technical experts and local communities – will play a pivotal role in facilitating the integration of novel technologies within target communities. By doing so, the project ensures a financially prudent implementation. The amplification of impacts through training trainers and community champions will enable a broader sphere of influence, indirectly involving a greater number of individuals in the project's ambit. The methodological tool of structured information dissemination will empower a myriad of citizens and civil society organizations, equipping them with skills to participate actively in community life. Farmer field schools and the exchange of experiential knowledge among peers serve as formidable conduits for sharing, replicating, and scaling successful strategies that stem from analogous challenges. This knowledge-sharing ethos fosters adaptive local planning, heightens stakeholder involvement in decision-making, and spurs resilience and adaptation in realms such as agriculture and water management.

The project's execution will be characterized by its efficient cost utilization, achieved through the application of competitive procurement procedures for requisite goods and services, aligned with government regulations and the fiduciary guidance of the Adaptation Fund. Importantly, the project's implementation will predominantly involve community-led efforts, localized procurement of resources and labor, and prudent restrictions on international consultancy and material importation, where feasible. For instance, the installation of locally produced lightweight greenhouses and the construction of solar dryers present cost-effective solutions with positive local market value. A comprehensive analysis will be conducted during project preparation to ensure optimal cost management.

Table 1. - Benefits from proposed interventions, alternatives and reasons for not adopting

Output	Alternative measure	Reason for not adopting	Cost effectiveness rationale	Benefits from proposed intervention
Output 1.1 Irrigation water supply systems in 6 new municipalities are rehabilitated increasing water use efficiency (12,000 m and 6 solar-powered pumps);	Regular water pumps	Less efficient, higher energy costs	Solar-powered pumps are more cost-effective in the long term due to lower operational costs	Enhanced water use efficiency and reliable water access for agriculture in six municipalities
Output 1.2 Orchards with drip irrigation system and anti-	Traditional orchards without drip irrigation (sprinkler or	No water saving and lower crop increase; Higher risk of	Drip irrigation results in around 50% of water savings and 50%	Resilient and productive orchards established with

hail nets will be established in 6 communities (12 ha);	surface irrigation) anti-hail nets	crop damage due to hail	crop increase Anti-hail nets provide protection, reducing crop loss (by 75-90%) and increasing yield	drip irrigation and protection against climate-related damages
Output 1.3 Existing field tracks to remote pastures degraded lands are rehabilitated (15% of field tracks rehabilitated 20 km rehabilitated 25 culverts installed);	Maintaining existing tracks without rehabilitation	Continued degradation and accessibility issues	Rehabilitation improves durability and access, reducing long-term maintenance costs (up to 1,000 USD per ha per annum in case of moderate scenario)	Improved accessibility to remote pastures and degraded lands, facilitating better land use
Output 1.4 Sowing areas of perennial plants are created reducing rangeland degradation (30 ha of perennial sowing area established);	Not establishing perennial plant sowing areas	Continued rangeland degradation	Perennial plants improve soil health and reduce degradation, supporting sustainable agriculture	Reduction in rangeland degradation and promotion of biodiversity and soil health
Output 1.5 Community pastures and hay meadows are rehabilitated and improved their adaptive capacity (500 ha hay meadows, pastures and arable lands rehabilitated);	Not rehabilitating pastures and hay meadows	Lower productivity and adaptive capacity	Rehabilitation enhances productivity (for up to 800 USD savings per ha per annum as per moderate scenario) and resilience to climate changes	Enhanced adaptive capacity and productivity of community pastures and hay meadows
Output 1.6 Livestock watering points are constructed (5 watering points constructed);	Not constructing livestock watering points	Limited water access for livestock	Watering points ensure reliable water access, supporting healthy livestock	Improved water access for livestock, supporting sustainable livestock farming practices
Output 1.7 Architectural and	Not providing architectural and	Lack of clear implementation	Drawings and estimates guide	Precise and cost-effective

design drawings and estimates;	design drawings	guidelines	implementation, improving efficiency and cost-effectiveness	implementation of project interventions facilitated
Output 1.8 Capacity building for improved grazing management in targeted municipalities	Not conducting capacity building	Lack of knowledge and skills for sustainable grazing	Capacity building empowers municipalities, promoting sustainable practices and improving productivity	Strengthened capacity for improved grazing management in targeted municipalities
Output 2.1 Smart agricultural practices in 6 municipalities are introduced, establishing 3 ha berry orchards with drip irrigation system	Traditional irrigation systems	Less efficient water use	Drip irrigation systems are more water-efficient and cost-effective in the long term	Efficient water use for agriculture, conserving water resources and improving crop yields
Output 2.2 Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are constructed in priority community areas (3000m ² of greenhouses constructed 40 beneficiaries)	Traditional greenhouses	Higher energy consumption and operational costs	Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are energy-efficient and cost-effective (up to 50 USD per square meter per annum as per moderate scenario), providing an ideal environment for crop growth with lower operational costs	Creation of controlled environments for crop production in priority community areas, benefiting 40 individuals
Output 2.3 Solar dryers are installed in priority community areas (40 solar dryers constructed 40 beneficiaries)	Traditional drying methods	Less efficient and dependent on weather conditions	Solar dryers are energy-efficient and provide a reliable method for drying agricultural products, enhancing their shelf life and quality	Installation of 40 solar dryers in priority areas, providing reliable drying solutions for agricultural products
Output 2.4	Absence of	Lack of	Formulating	Formulation of 5

Community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains (5 business plans formulated)	community management and business plans	structured approach to developing climate-smart agricultural value chains	business plans provides a roadmap for developing and managing climate-smart agricultural value chains effectively	business plans for climate-smart agricultural value chains, providing a structured approach to development and management
Output 2.5 Agrivoltaic systems are piloted (10 installations with 30 KW of installed capacity each)	Traditional energy sources for agriculture	Higher operational costs and environmental impact	Agrivoltaic systems are sustainable and provide renewable energy for agricultural operations, reducing operational costs and environmental impact	Piloting of 10 agrivoltaic installations, providing sustainable energy solutions for agriculture
Output 2.6 Demonstration sites for sustainable land management practices are constructed in each municipality;	Absence of demonstration sites	Lack of practical examples for sustainable land management practices	Demonstration sites provide practical examples and insights into effective sustainable land management practices	Construction of demonstration sites in each municipality, promoting sustainable land management practices
Output 2.7 2 agro-acceleration hubs are piloted to enhance integration with existing supply chains;	Absence of agro-acceleration hubs	Lack of support and integration with existing supply chains	Agro-acceleration hubs support and enhance integration with existing supply chains, promoting the development of agricultural enterprises	Piloting of 2 agro-acceleration hubs, supporting integration with supply chains and promoting agricultural enterprise development
Output 2.8 2 model agrotourism facilities are piloted in every community;	Absence of model agrotourism facilities	Lack of tourism-related revenue streams for communities	Model agrotourism facilities provide additional revenue streams for communities and promote local agricultural products	Piloting of 2 model agrotourism facilities in each community, promoting tourism and local agricultural products

<p>Output 2.9 New varieties of dry and heat resistant crops are piloted;</p>	<p>Traditional crop varieties</p>	<p>Susceptible to dry and heat conditions</p>	<p>New varieties of dry and heat-resistant crops provide higher yields under adverse climate conditions, promoting food security</p>	<p>Piloting of new dry and heat-resistant crop varieties, improving crop yields under adverse climate conditions</p>
<p>Output 3.1 Farmer field schools and extension services have been provided to share best practices of climate smart agriculture and LDN for the targeted communities (200 beneficiaries)</p>	<p>Traditional agricultural practices without extension services</p>	<p>Limited knowledge-sharing and adoption of best practices</p>	<p>Farmer field schools and extension services facilitate effective knowledge transfer and adoption of climate-smart agriculture practices</p>	<p>Provision of farmer field schools and extension services for 200 beneficiaries, promoting climate-smart agriculture and Land Degradation Neutrality (LDN)</p>
<p>Output 3.2 Best practices examples and training material on natural and agricultural ecosystems' adaptation under the conditions of climate change are formulated, disseminated and made accessible (4 training programs and thematic topics);</p>	<p>Lack of organized training material</p>	<p>Inconsistent and inaccessible knowledge resources</p>	<p>Formulated and accessible training material ensures standardized and easily accessible knowledge resources for climate adaptation in natural and agricultural ecosystems</p>	<p>Formulation and dissemination of best practices examples and training material on climate adaptation, benefiting various stakeholders</p>
<p>Output 3.3 Community based adaptation planning is conducted for target communities (4 community-based adaptation plans formulated)</p>	<p>Absence of community-based adaptation planning</p>	<p>Lack of structured approach to climate adaptation at the community level</p>	<p>Community-based adaptation planning provides a structured and participatory approach to climate adaptation, ensuring community</p>	<p>Formulation of 4 community-based adaptation plans, providing a roadmap for climate adaptation in target communities</p>

			involvement and buy-in	
Output 3.4 Strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas have been formulated (4 community-based strategies adaptation plans formulated);	Absence of sustainability strategies for climate-smart agriculture	Lack of long-term planning for sustainable practices	Formulating strategies for sustaining climate-smart agriculture ensures long-term viability and success of implemented practices	Formulation of 4 strategies for sustaining climate-smart agriculture and LDN in target areas, supporting long-term sustainability
Output 3.5 Capacities of local stakeholders, including municipalities, CSOs and managers of protected areas (women, youth, environmental) are advanced;	Limited capacity-building initiatives for local stakeholders	Inadequate skills and knowledge among local stakeholders	Advancing capacities of local stakeholders empowers them to effectively participate in and contribute to climate-smart agriculture initiatives	Advancement of capacities of local stakeholders, including municipalities, CSOs, and managers of protected areas, enhancing their ability to support and implement climate-smart initiatives

D. Describe how the project/programme is consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, including, where appropriate, national adaptation plan (NAP), national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications, or national adaptation programs of action, or other relevant instruments, where they exist.

Proposed Project is architected around key national development strategies and aligned with relevant sectorial policies, frameworks and strategies at the national and sub-national levels. More specifically, the alignment is demonstrated through:

- **National Adaptation Plan (NAP), Sectorial Adaptation Plans (SAPs for Water and Agriculture), and Marz Adaptation Plans (MAPs):** The project is aligned with the triangular adaptation building framework comprised of NAP, SAPs and MAPs that provides a roadmap for adapting to climate change, identifying priorities, and integrating adaptation into national planning processes. The Project is aligning its objectives, activities, and outcomes with the priorities and goals outlined in the NAP, SAPs and MAPs. More specifically, it targets increasing adaptive capacities of vulnerable regions/municipalities and proposes solutions that are prioritized through the documents (addressing water scarcity through utilisation of water saving

technologies, cultivating climate resilient crops, increasing awareness and capacity of households and businesses);

- **National Development Plans:** The Project is aligned with the country's national development plans (e.g. Government Strategy for 2022-2026), which outlines the government's overall development objectives and strategies. By aligning with this document, the Project can contribute to the achievement of broader national development goals and specific targets, such as increasing intensive orchards by 1,000 per annum with specific focus on vulnerable regions, supporting introduction of drip irrigation at the parcels less than 3 ha (average national) and supporting greenhouse economies through awareness raising, demonstration projects and other regulatory/fiscal incentives
- **Sectoral Strategies and Plans:** The Project is aligned with the “Strategy of the Main Directions Ensuring Economic Development in Agricultural Sector of the Republic of Armenia for 2020-2030”, other relevant sectoral strategies and plans, such as that forestry, water resources, and disaster risk reduction. This alignment ensures that the project contributes to the resilience and sustainability of key sectors. As per the strategy, the core of the agricultural policy will be the increase of agrarian efficiency, increase of the food security level, introduction of modern technologies, increase of exportation volumes, growth of profitability of all entities engaged in the entire value chain of agriculture - small households, farming cooperatives, processors, and exporters.
- **National Communication N4 to UNFCCC:** The Project considers the climate vulnerabilities and adaptation priorities outlined in the country's National Communications N4 to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). These communications provide an overview of the country's climate change vulnerabilities, adaptation efforts, and capacity-building needs;
- **Stakeholder Consultations:** Engagement with national and sub-national stakeholders, including government agencies, local authorities, and civil society organizations, has been crucial to understand their priorities and ensuring the Project's alignment with their needs and aspirations;
- **Policy Integration:** The Project explicitly communicates how its objectives and activities contribute to the achievement of national and sub-national sustainable development objectives. It also outlines how it aligns with existing policies and how it complements other ongoing initiatives;
- **Reporting and Coordination:** The project will report its progress and outcomes to relevant government authorities, ensuring transparency and accountability. Coordination with existing development partners and projects will help to avoid duplication and enhance synergies;
- **Long-Term Vision:** The Project's design is structured around the long-term vision of the country's sustainable development. By embedding adaptation efforts within broader development goals, the project contributes to lasting impacts and sustainability;

Demonstrating alignment with national and sub-national sustainable development strategies enhances the Project's credibility, fosters collaboration with government agencies and stakeholders, and increases the likelihood of sustained support for the Project's implementation and its long-term benefits to the country's development goals.

E. Describe how the project/programme meets relevant national technical standards, where applicable, such as standards for environmental assessment, building codes, etc., and complies with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

General approach

Ensuring alignment with Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy require that projects supported address the adverse impacts of climate change while avoiding unnecessary environmental and social harms. The relevance of the Project to the ESP can be described as follows:

Environmental and Social Management Commitment: The Project demonstrates a strong commitment to environmental and social management by incorporating an environmental and social management system. The implementing entities involved in the project will be responsible for assessing and addressing potential environmental and social risks throughout the project cycle. They will identify measures to avoid, minimize, or mitigate these risks, ensuring that the project aligns with the principles outlined in the ESP.

Compliance with Environmental and Social Principles: The Project adheres to the environmental and social principles set forth in the ESP. It ensures compliance with applicable domestic and international laws and respects human rights, gender equity, and the rights of marginalized and vulnerable groups. The project's design prioritizes fair and equitable access to benefits, while minimizing adverse effects on public health and cultural heritage. Additionally, the Project promotes the conservation of biodiversity and efficient use of resources, including pollution prevention and resource efficiency.

Environmental and Social Assessment and Management: The Project implements a screening process to identify potential environmental and social impacts and categorizes projects/programmes based on their severity. Category A projects/programmes with significant adverse impacts and Category B projects/programmes with less adverse impacts are subjected to a thorough environmental and social assessment. The assessment includes identifying risks and proposing measures for mitigation and management. Implementing entities are responsible for monitoring and reporting on the status of these measures throughout the project's life.

Stakeholder Engagement and Grievance Mechanism: The Project incorporates stakeholder engagement and consultation to ensure the informed participation of all relevant stakeholders. It allows affected communities and individuals to voice their concerns through a grievance mechanism, which provides a transparent and accessible process for addressing complaints related to environmental or social harms caused by the project.

Specific regulations

Armenia lacks national technical standards for project activities like tree planting, forest restoration, and high-value agriculture related to climate change. The project will adhere to international best practices and comply with Armenian law during its preparation, including conducting necessary environmental and social impact assessments. No

significant mitigation measures are identified. Activities involving technology transfer, training, or community participation will align with Armenia's labor codes and gender equality targets.

Improvements to arable lands, meadows, and pastures fall under the RA Land Code and specific government decisions, with projects aimed at increasing land fertility and use being regulated by Item 5 of Article 2 of the Land Code. Pasture and meadow improvements comply with government decisions N 1477-N (28.10.2010) and N 389-N (14.04.2011). Landscape restoration aligns with the RA strategy and government protocol decision N29 (19 July 2012), adhering to the European Landscape Convention ratified in 2004.

Midfield road renovations will follow the Construction Norms of the RA Ministry of Urban Development. Some project activities necessitate a comprehensive review and Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) expertise as per Armenian EIA law. Project pre-estimation documents and management plans will be formulated during implementation. Organizations developing these plans will conduct the EIA expertise. Post-agreement, a tender will be announced to select these organizations, with the tender process lasting approximately 1.5 months. Contracts will be reviewed, and selected organizations must present construction activity projects and management plans that have passed EIA and urban development expertise within four months of contract signing. Activity-implementing organizations will then be selected in accordance with RA procurement law.

F. Describe if there is duplication of project/programme with other funding sources, if any.

There is no duplication of project funding with activities or projects supported by other climate financiers or the Government. During stakeholder consultations the communities were asked questions about previous and ongoing support received from government and non-government organizations. The proposed project will not duplicate efforts, but rather capitalize on lessons learned and platforms created for uptake of the high-value agriculture ecosystem approach. The project aims however to build possible framework for land-based adaptation measures in Armenia, which could be taken further by development partner, government or climate funds (such as Green Climate Fund). During project preparation consultations will be held with other government departments and development partners to avoid any risk of overlapping or weak coordination of activities.

However, to avoid duplication with other funding sources in the future, the Project will implement several strategies to ensure coordination and collaboration with existing initiatives. Here's how the Project can take steps to prevent duplication:

- **Stakeholder Mapping:** Identify all relevant stakeholders, projects, and programmes operating in the target area. This includes government agencies, non-governmental organizations, international organizations, and other development partners;

- **Engage in Consultations:** Initiate consultations with relevant stakeholders to understand ongoing and planned projects. This will help to identify areas of alignment and potential overlaps;
- **Coordination Mechanisms:** Establish coordination mechanisms, such as regular meetings, workshops, and working groups, to share information and updates with other projects. This will encourage collaboration and ensure that everyone is aware of each other's activities;
- **Information Sharing:** Develop a platform or system for sharing information about the Project's goals, activities, and progress with other relevant projects. This transparency will help to avoid unintentional duplication;
- **Gap Analysis:** Conduct a thorough analysis to identify gaps or areas not covered by existing initiatives. Tailor the proposed Project's activities to address these gaps, ensuring that resources are used effectively;
- **Complementary Activities:** Collaborate with other projects to identify areas where activities can complement each other. For instance, if another Project is focusing on water resource management, this Project could focus on sustainable agriculture practices;
- **Resource Pooling:** Explore opportunities for sharing resources, expertise, and capacities with other projects. This will lead to more efficient utilization of resources and avoid duplication of efforts;
- **Clearly Defined Roles:** Clearly define the roles and responsibilities of each project and ensure that there is no overlap in terms of geographical coverage, target beneficiaries, and activities;
- **Joint Planning:** Engage in joint planning sessions with other projects to develop a coherent and integrated approach to addressing common challenges;
- **Regular Monitoring and Feedback:** Maintain regular communication and feedback loops with other projects to monitor progress and adjust activities if needed to prevent overlap;
- **Scale and Scope:** Ensure that the scale and scope of the proposed Project aligns with the specific niche it aims to fill, and that it doesn't duplicate efforts that are already being adequately addressed by other initiatives;
- **Reporting and Evaluation:** Include reporting requirements that detail how the project is coordinating with other initiatives to prevent duplication. Regular evaluation can help assess the effectiveness of coordination efforts;

G. If applicable, describe the learning and knowledge management component to capture and disseminate lessons learned.

The learning and knowledge management component of the project plays a pivotal role in capturing, organizing, and disseminating valuable lessons learned throughout the project lifecycle. This process ensures that experiences, successes, challenges, and best practices are shared effectively to inform future actions, policies, and projects. The learning and knowledge management framework comprises the following key aspects:

Data Collection and Documentation: Relevant project activities, outcomes, and

impacts are systematically recorded. This includes comprehensive documentation of implementation processes, methodologies, and stakeholder engagement.

Monitoring and Evaluation: Robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are established to track progress, assess outcomes, and gauge the effectiveness of interventions. Regular evaluations provide insights into what works, what needs adjustments, and what lessons can be drawn.

Lesson Identification: Throughout the project, lessons learned, both positive and negative, are identified through a participatory process involving stakeholders. This includes community members, experts, project staff, and relevant authorities.

Knowledge Sharing Workshops: Periodic workshops and forums are organized to facilitate the exchange of experiences and knowledge. Stakeholders convene to discuss challenges faced, strategies employed, and innovative solutions developed.

Knowledge Repositories: A digital repository is established to compile project-related documents, reports, case studies, and resources. This accessible database ensures that stakeholders can access valuable information and lessons learned at any point.

Capacity Building: Targeted capacity-building activities are conducted to equip stakeholders with skills in documentation, knowledge sharing, and learning processes. This empowers individuals to contribute effectively to the knowledge management cycle.

Knowledge Dissemination: Information is disseminated through various channels, such as reports, publications, webinars, and community meetings. The aim is to reach a wide audience, including other projects, policymakers, researchers, and the general public.

Adaptation of Strategies: Lessons learned contribute to adaptive management. Insights gained from successes and challenges inform adjustments to strategies, enhancing project effectiveness and sustainability.

Replication and Scaling: Successful practices and innovative solutions identified through lessons learned are scaled up to benefit a larger audience. This fosters the broader application of effective strategies in similar contexts.

Feedback Loop: The knowledge management process incorporates a feedback loop that encourages continuous improvement. Stakeholders are encouraged to provide input, reflecting on the effectiveness of shared lessons.

Overall, the learning and knowledge management component serves as a dynamic and cyclical process that facilitates the dissemination of insights, encourages ongoing learning, and ensures that the project's impact extends beyond its immediate scope, contributing to the advancement of climate-smart agriculture and sustainable land management practices.

H. Describe the consultative process, including the list of stakeholders

consulted, undertaken during project preparation, with particular reference to vulnerable groups, including gender considerations, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

During the Project's appraisal phase, extensive consultations have already been conducted with key stakeholder groups, including pertinent national entities, representatives of regional and municipal administrations, civil society organizations (CSOs), academia, and representatives from vulnerable communities²³. These preliminary discussions have proven pivotal in comprehending the Project's significance and have furnished invaluable insights that have contributed to shaping the initial roster of sectors and sub-sectors expounded in the proposal. It's noteworthy that these preliminary consultations will be succeeded by thorough and all-encompassing dialogues during the full proposal design phase, aimed at further refining and validating the Project's approach.

The engagement of these diverse stakeholder groups has played a decisive role in ensuring that the Project effectively addresses the exigencies and priorities of sectors and sub-sectors pinpointed as crucial for intervention and elaborated throughout the document. National entities and regional authorities have shared their expertise, providing context-specific insights into climate vulnerabilities and the requisites for adaptation. Representatives of municipal administrations have furnished invaluable perspectives on the localized repercussions of climate change and the unique challenges faced by communities.

CSOs have occupied a central role in advocating for the inclusion of vulnerable communities and marginalized groups in the decision-making process. Their contributions have been instrumental in identifying targeted interventions to augment the resilience of these communities. Academia's involvement has injected research-driven knowledge and technical proficiency, enriching the project's blueprint with innovative solutions and exemplar practices.

The consultative process has also underscored the significance of gender considerations, ensuring that the viewpoints and needs of women and other vulnerable groups are accorded due attention. Via these consultations, the Project preparation team has garnered a deeper insight into the disparate impacts of climate change across different genders and demographics.

Collectively, the inclusive and participatory nature of these consultations has underscored the Project's importance and its potential to effectively counteract the adverse impacts of climate change. The initial compilation of sectors and sub-sectors pinpointed during these discussions forms a foundational framework, serving as a robust starting point that furnishes a comprehensive groundwork for the ensuing rigorous consultations during the full proposal design phase. This iterative approach ensures that the Project is meticulously tailored to the specific requisites and priorities of the communities it aims to benefit, thereby maximizing its positive influence on climate resilience and adaptation.

²³ Provided in the Annex I. to this Proposal

I. Provide justification for funding requested, focusing on the full cost of adaptation reasoning.

The funding request for this project is well-justified, with a strong emphasis on the full cost of adaptation. The total budgetary requirement for this project amounts to 3,780,513USD, encompassing both project management and project execution fees. The funding request has been formulated based on available estimates of the expenses associated with the proposed climate-smart agricultural technologies, technology transfer, and capacity-building endeavors across four communities.

The comprehensive funding is vital to enable the successful implementation of a multifaceted strategy aimed at enhancing the resilience and adaptive capacity of communities within the "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park adjacent areas. Several key justifications underline the necessity of the requested funding:

- **Holistic Approach to Adaptation:** The funding request encompasses a wide range of sectors critical for climate change adaptation, including degraded ecosystems, infrastructure, agriculture, water resources, energy efficiency, and supplementary income generation. This holistic approach addresses various dimensions of vulnerability, ensuring a comprehensive and effective response to the multifaceted challenges posed by climate change.
- **Technological and Knowledge Transfer:** The requested funds are essential to facilitate the transfer of climate-smart agricultural technologies and knowledge to local communities. These technologies, such as solar dryers, non-heated greenhouses, and drip irrigation systems, require initial investments for installation and training. However, they yield long-term benefits by increasing agricultural productivity, reducing losses, and enhancing water use efficiency.
- **Enhanced Adaptive Capacity:** The funding supports capacity-building initiatives for communities, producers, institutions, and stakeholders. This is crucial to bolster their understanding of climate change impacts and adaptation strategies. Strengthening adaptive capacities empowers these stakeholders to make informed decisions and implement effective measures in the face of changing climatic conditions.
- **Reducing Climate Risks:** The project's interventions aim to reduce the negative impacts of climate change, such as increased vulnerability to extreme weather events, water scarcity, and decreased agricultural yields. By investing in climate-resilient infrastructure, sustainable land management practices, and diversified income sources, the project mitigates these risks and fosters long-term sustainability.
- **Maximizing Long-Term Benefits:** While the initial costs of adaptation measures might seem significant, they lead to substantial long-term benefits. For instance, investments in energy-efficient technologies reduce ongoing operational costs, enhance resource efficiency, and contribute to carbon emissions reduction, resulting in economic and environmental gains over time.
- **Community Empowerment:** Adequate funding ensures active community involvement in decision-making processes and project implementation. Empowered communities are more likely to take ownership of adaptation strategies, ensuring their long-term effectiveness and sustainability.

- **Gender-Inclusive Approach:** The funding supports a gender-responsive approach, ensuring that the perspectives and needs of women and other vulnerable groups are integrated into adaptation strategies. This inclusivity not only fosters social equity but also improves the effectiveness of interventions.

In conclusion, the requested funding aligns with the full cost of adaptation, encompassing a broad spectrum of sectors, technological transfer, capacity building, and community empowerment. By addressing the complexities of climate change impacts comprehensively, the funding contributes to building a resilient future for the targeted communities, safeguarding livelihoods, enhancing environmental sustainability, and promoting long-term well-being.

J. Describe how the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes has been taken into account when designing the project/programme.

The sustainability of project outcomes has been a paramount consideration throughout the project's design, ensuring that the interventions deliver lasting benefits to the communities and ecosystems targeted within the "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park adjacent areas. Several key strategies have been incorporated to ensure the sustainability of project outcomes:

- **Community Engagement and Ownership:** The project places a strong emphasis on participatory approaches, involving local communities, women, men, and vulnerable groups in decision-making processes. By actively engaging communities from the outset, the project fosters a sense of ownership, ensuring that beneficiaries are invested in the success of the interventions.
- **Capacity Building and Knowledge Transfer:** The project's capacity-building initiatives empower communities, producers, institutions, and stakeholders with the knowledge and skills needed to understand and address climate change impacts. This knowledge transfer not only enhances their adaptive capacities but also equips them to sustainably manage resources beyond the project's duration.
- **Technology Transfer and Local Solutions:** The adoption of climate-smart agricultural technologies, such as solar dryers, greenhouses, and drip irrigation systems, not only improves productivity but also strengthens local self-reliance. By training locals to operate and maintain these technologies, the project ensures that they continue to derive benefits even after project completion.
- **Gender Equity and Social Inclusion:** The project takes into account the different needs and roles of women and men, acknowledging their contributions and vulnerabilities. This gender-responsive approach not only enhances the effectiveness of interventions but also promotes social equity and inclusivity, contributing to long-term sustainability.
- **Economic Viability:** The project seeks to diversify income sources and enhance livelihoods through interventions like agroforestry and sustainable land management. By increasing income opportunities for communities, the project reduces dependence on external interventions and establishes economic resilience.
- **Institutional Strengthening:** Collaboration with national agencies, regional authorities, and civil society organizations helps strengthen institutional frameworks

for climate change adaptation. These partnerships contribute to the sustainability of project outcomes by integrating climate resilience into policy and planning processes.

- **Monitoring and Adaptive Management:** Robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are integral to the project's design. Regular assessment of progress and impacts allows for adjustments and improvements based on real-time feedback, ensuring that interventions remain effective and responsive to changing circumstances.
- **Replication and Scaling:** The project is designed to be scalable and replicable in other vulnerable areas. Lessons learned, best practices, and successful strategies will be documented and shared, enabling the broader dissemination of effective approaches.
- In conclusion, the sustainability of project outcomes has been meticulously integrated into the project's design through community involvement, capacity building, technology transfer, gender equity, economic viability, institutional strengthening, monitoring, and replication strategies. These measures collectively ensure that the positive impacts of the interventions endure well beyond the project's conclusion, fostering resilience and adaptive capacity for the long term.

K. Provide an overview of the environmental and social impacts and risks identified as being relevant to the project/programme.

Checklist of environmental and social principles	No further assessment required for compliance	Potential impacts and risks – further assessment and management required for compliance
<i>Compliance with the Law</i>	All activities of the proposed Project are in line with RA laws and regulatory acts and there is no need for additional assessment on their conformity.	
<i>Access and Equity</i>		Further assessment is required as the project may not be sufficiently accessible to all groups.
<i>Marginalized and Vulnerable Groups</i>	Project activities does not have negative impacts on vulnerable and marginalized groups.	
<i>Human Rights</i>	Human rights in natural resources use, equity, education, health, and other	

	<p>relevant sectors are protected by the Constitution of the Republic of Armenia and other relevant laws. The Project's activities does not have negative impact on the human rights of the inhabitants of beneficiary communities.</p>	
<p><i>Gender Equality and Women's Empowerment</i></p>	<p>In light of the findings from the Gender Assessment²⁴ that has been conducted, the approach to managing women's participation in the project will be strategically designed and initiated from the project's inception. The initial phase will involve a meticulous profiling of all stakeholders, a process aimed at guaranteeing that women are not just participants but direct beneficiaries of the project's various elements.</p> <p>The project implementation phase will actively encourage and facilitate the involvement of women in various capacities, including leadership and decision-making roles. This proactive inclusion strategy is informed by our commitment to fostering an environment where women are empowered to take up positions that are traditionally underrepresented by females.</p> <p>Recognizing the existence and potential of women and youth groups within the community, the project has incorporated plans to engage these groups actively. As a</p>	<p>Design of comprehensive Gender Action will be required at the Project's inception stage.</p>

²⁴ Annex I

	<p>tangible step towards women's empowerment and economic independence, we will allocate solar dryers and greenhouses to women-led groups. This allocation is not just a tool for economic empowerment but is also envisioned as a means to bolster their roles as pivotal players in climate change adaptation initiatives.</p> <p>The project's design inherently views women as direct beneficiaries, and this perspective will be maintained and emphasized during the selection of activities. The project team is committed to ensuring that all planned activities are responsive to the unique needs and challenges faced by women and girls in the community, thereby promoting gender equity and inclusion actively.</p> <p>Training and awareness-raising activities will be crucial components of our gender equity promotion strategy. We aim to ensure significant representation of women and youth in these activities, targeting participation levels of up to 40%. This target is not arbitrary but is informed by the gender assessment findings and our commitment to promoting gender balance and equity in all project activities.</p> <p>Lastly, the roles, contributions, and needs of women have been carefully considered and integrated into the project design phase. Women will not only be active</p>	
--	---	--

	<p>participants but also leaders in climate change adaptation initiatives. Their involvement and leadership will be actively monitored and evaluated from the project's outset, ensuring their contributions are recognized, valued, and leveraged for the success of the project and the broader goal of community empowerment and climate resilience.</p>	
<i>Core Labour Rights</i>	<p>The protection of Core Labour Rights in Armenia is guaranteed by RA national legislation, including international conventions ratified by the country.</p>	
<i>Indigenous Peoples</i>	<p>Armenia's population is homogeneous (around 96%), so there is no issue of potential violation of the rights of indigenous people.</p>	
<i>Involuntary Resettlement</i>	<p>Project implementation does not include any resettlement of residents. No further assessment is required for involuntary resettlement.</p>	
<i>Protection of Natural Habitats</i>		<p>Further assessment is required to ensure that the interventions will cause no harm to natural habitats.</p>
<i>Conservation of Biological Diversity</i>		<p>Given the proximity of the project sites to protected areas, there is an inherent risk to natural habitats and biodiversity. Acknowledging this, it is imperative that a comprehensive Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) be developed during the project kick-off stage</p>

	<p>to identify, mitigate, and manage potential adverse effects meticulously.</p> <p>The project is designed with a strong commitment to enhancing farmers' capacities in effective pest management, paying careful attention to the judicious selection and application of pesticides and fertilizers. This initiative aims not merely at controlling pests but fundamentally at ensuring the biodiversity inherent to pastures and grasslands remains unaltered and thriving. The emphasis is on preserving the delicate balance of the local ecosystems while enhancing farmers' ability to protect their crops sustainably.</p> <p>Understanding the critical importance of biodiversity and ecosystem services to both the environment and communities, the project unequivocally commits to not only maintaining but actively enhancing these elements. This commitment will manifest in the promotion and</p>
--	---

		<p>integration of sustainable management practices within the climate-smart agricultural strategies advocated by the project.</p> <p>Adopting a climate-smart agriculture approach is pivotal. This approach is not solely about preservation but actively enhances biodiversity, contributing significantly to natural regulation methods, including the installation of grass buffer strips and the promotion of agroforestry practices, both invaluable in protecting and enhancing biodiversity.</p> <p>Capacity building is at the core of this project, with a structured program designed to facilitate knowledge transfer and peer-to-peer learning among farmers. This mechanism is expected to play a crucial role in promoting responsible and efficient management of natural resources, including land, water, soils, pastures, and forests. The objective</p>
--	--	---

		<p>is to empower farmers with the knowledge and skills necessary for sustainable resource management, ensuring their preservation and resilience for future generations.</p> <p>Furthermore, the project incorporates safeguards to prevent the introduction of invasive species, new pests, and diseases into the project sites. This preventive approach is crucial for protecting local biodiversity and natural habitats from potential threats that could disrupt their balance and health. Every action and initiative under this project will be meticulously planned and executed to ensure full compliance with relevant national and international laws and guidelines pertaining to environmental protection and biodiversity conservation, as outlined in the ESMP developed during the project kick-off stage.</p>
<p><i>Climate Change</i></p>	<p>The project does not have a negative impact on climate change. It will not generate significant and / or unjustified increase in greenhouse gas</p>	

	emissions or any other cause of climate change. Moreover, the creation of forested park will contribute to CO2 absorption and milder microclimate. No project interventions are expected to contribute to release of gases responsible for CC and thus are not expected to contribute to GHG emissions.	
<i>Pollution Prevention and Resource Efficiency</i>	Project is not expected to generate any environmental pollution and aims for higher resource efficiency for better management of available natural resources. Industrial wastes are stone residues that originate from quarrying. During the exploitation of quarries, the environment has been polluted by dust particles. The residents of the areas adjacent to floodplains crossing the town dump garbage into the floodplain, due to insufficient number of bins causing clogging during heavy rains and snowfall and causing floods thus creating anti-sanitary conditions that can cause infectious diseases during hot summers.	
<i>Public Health</i>	The stability of ecosystem balance will contribute to the improvement of public health. Thus, no adverse impact on public health related issues is envisaged.	
<i>Physical and Cultural Heritage</i>	During site assessments, heads of communities were consulted to make sure any cultural sites and sites with unique natural values are identified. As a result of this, EPIU has determined that there are no physical and cultural heritage sites in	

	<p>interventions envisaged by the program: closed quarry, gorges, natural, and agricultural landscapes. The activities envisaged by the Project are not implemented in such sites where there are physical and cultural heritage monuments</p>	
<p><i>Lands and Soil Conservation</i></p>		<p>In light of the outlined risks associated with land and soil degradation, further assessment and management measures are indeed necessary for compliance and successful project implementation. Activities integral to soil conservation and land management projects, while well-intentioned, inadvertently pose risks to soil health and structure. For instance, planting and irrigation, if not meticulously planned and executed, can lead to soil compaction, erosion, and alteration in soil composition, which are detrimental to the land's productivity and the local ecosystem.</p> <p>The introduction of non-native species or improper irrigation practices can disrupt the ecological balance, leading to unintended soil degradation. The use of heavy machinery, often</p>

	<p>necessary for these projects, can result in soil compaction, hindering its ability to support plant life and retain water, thereby increasing the risk of erosion, particularly in steep terrains.</p> <p>Furthermore, there is a risk of unintentional depletion of soil organic matter, which is crucial for soil fertility and water retention. This not only affects the productivity of the soil but also its capacity to act as a carbon sink, having broader implications on the ecosystem and climate. Given these risks, it is imperative to conduct further assessments to understand the local soil characteristics and ecosystem dynamics thoroughly (as a part of ESMP). This understanding will inform the development of tailored management practices that not only mitigate the risks of soil degradation but also enhance soil health. Continuous monitoring and adaptive management strategies are also crucial to promptly identifying and addressing signs of degradation, ensuring the project's activities contribute positively to land and soil health in the project area, thereby ensuring</p>
--	--

		compliance with environmental standards and project objectives.
--	--	---

After undergoing initial screening, the proposed project concept is anticipated to align with Category B of the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy (ESP), as its implementation is not expected to yield significant adverse environmental or social impacts. The Republic of Armenia, having been a member of the International Labour Organization (ILO) since 1992, has ratified all eight ILO Fundamental Conventions. This commitment ensures the application of ILO Core Labour Standards, including vital aspects such as freedom of association, collective bargaining, elimination of forced labor, eradication of child labor, and non-discrimination in employment.

Armenian national legislation is fortified by laws and regulations that enforce ILO Core Labour standards. These regulations include the Law on Children's Rights (1996), Governmental Decision on identifying hazardous work for minors and women (2005), Law on Guaranteeing Equal Rights and Opportunities for Women and Men (2013), Law on Employment (2013), and the Labour Code (2004).

In compliance with Armenian labor laws, the general age for employment admission is 16, with hazardous work requiring a minimum age of 18. Adolescents aged 14 to 16 can engage in employment with written parental consent, provided the work doesn't jeopardize their well-being, safety, education, or ethics. The Labor Code specifically forbids individuals under 18 from night work and weekend or holiday labor. However, these regulations might not extend to informal, self-employed, or unpaid work settings.

A noteworthy observation is that cultural, traditional, or religious grounds within Armenia, particularly within the project area, do not give rise to differential benefits allocation between genders. Consequently, no further assessment is deemed necessary in this context. It is worth mentioning that assessments conducted previously have not revealed any significant gender-related concerns. While potential risks associated with women's involvement exist, necessary mitigation measures will be elucidated in part 3 of the project.

Armenia's status as a member of the Council of Europe underscores its commitment to gender equality and human rights. The project area, which includes predominantly ethnic Russian residents, has responded favorably to the project's objectives and activities. The project anticipates the renovation of existing roads and irrigation networks, measures that are projected to have a limited negative environmental impact.

The adoption of climate-smart agriculture, facilitated by innovative technologies and organic fertilizers, is poised to augment adaptability while simultaneously bolstering land conditions and minimizing environmental harm. Moreover, the utilization of lightweight construction techniques is expected to prevent soil damage and environmental pollution. A comprehensive and thorough risk identification, mitigation, and prevention strategy, including the formulation of an Environmental and Social Management Plan, will be detailed in the meticulously developed project proposal.

PART III: IMPLEMENTATION ARRANGEMENTS

A. Describe the arrangements for project/programme implementation.

The project is slated for a four-year implementation period commencing in September 2024. The designated implementing entity (IE) for this endeavor will be the Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU), which serves as the National Implementing Entity for the Adaptation Fund. The Government of the Republic of Armenia has specifically endorsed EPIU's role in executing this project, drawing upon its extensive experience, successful track record, and established collaborations with national stakeholders, including public and private entities, academia, and NGOs.

The Project Management Board (PMB) will assume responsibility for making key decisions pertaining to the project. Its role extends to project assurance through monitoring and evaluation, performance enhancement, accountability, and learning. The PMB will approve multi-year and annual work plans, supervise their execution, and review reports. This board will comprise representatives from relevant ministries, local self-government bodies, and EPIU staff, with one member selected as the PMB secretary.

The Environmental Projects Implementation Unit (EPIU), serving as the National Implementing Entity (NIE), will undertake comprehensive management of the project. This encompasses facilitating interactions with the Adaptation Fund Board (AFB) and pertinent stakeholders, supervising portfolio implementation, overseeing budget reporting, ensuring the delivery of quality outputs and deliverables, managing fund disbursement, monitoring progress, integrating lessons learned into subsequent projects, and sustaining relationships with stakeholders.

Day-to-day project management will be diligently overseen by the EPIU's dedicated

project management unit, working closely with the beneficiary communities. This specialized unit will be entrusted with a spectrum of responsibilities, crucially including the Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) function. The M&E activities will be meticulously designed and implemented to align with the Adaptation Fund's stringent social and environmental standards.

Furthermore, the unit will oversee the procurement of goods and services, mobilize technical expertise, and implement risk mitigation strategies. The recruitment process will be initiated to onboard specialized experts, including but not limited to project coordinators, procurement specialists, accountants, social and gender specialists, environmental specialists, and monitoring and evaluation specialists. Engaging these experts will be pivotal for ensuring seamless coordination, efficient implementation, and robust monitoring of the project, with a keen focus on adhering to gender-responsive and environmentally sustainable principles and practices.

To guarantee equal gender benefits from the adaptation measures introduced, a **Gender Action Plan (GAP)** will be crafted for the project during the inception stage. The GAP will feature gender-responsive indicators, gender-sensitive planning, implementation, and monitoring processes, and gender monitoring visits to project sites. The Social and Gender Specialist will lead GAP implementation, gender assessments, and awareness-raising workshops, as well as gender sensitivity training for project staff.

B. Describe the measures for financial and project/programme risk management.

Risk	Probability	Impact	Mitigation Measures
<i>Institutional Risks</i>			
<p>Not all essential stakeholders might possess the necessary capacity and dedication to actively engage throughout the entire process, spanning from inception to completion, and some could perceive exclusion. Subsequently, resistance may arise from certain stakeholders when it comes to embracing the proposed measures.</p>	<p>Medium</p>	<p>High</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The Project will capitalize on an active approach to stakeholder engagement, fostering regular consultations within the designated beneficiary communities: ➤ To ensure equitable participation across various segments such as women, youth, the elderly, and potentially vulnerable groups, focused consultations and collaborative working groups will be established. These avenues will provide

			<p>ample opportunities for addressing the distinct requirements of these stakeholder categories.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ The process of selecting project beneficiaries will entail multiple stages: (1) identifying potential beneficiaries through community consultation meetings, (2) municipalities offering recommendations, and (3) final beneficiaries chosen via face-to-face meetings and farm visits. These visits will evaluate the beneficiary's farming skills and readiness to embrace the project's terms. ➤ To facilitate community members and stakeholders in raising concerns, a grievance redress mechanism will be implemented.
Project outcomes, including properties such as, greenhouses, dryers, etc. are not well protected	Low	Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Binding legal agreements will be established with beneficiaries who receive project assets such as greenhouses and dryers. These agreements will outline the beneficiaries' responsibility to uphold the assets' functionality and cover any repair costs required to maintain their operational status. In instances of negligence, the contract will specify the obligation to return the asset to the project in proper working order

			<p>for potential reassignment to other beneficiaries.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Beneficiaries will also receive informative materials and leaflets detailing proper maintenance and operation of the provided assets. ➤ The assets distributed will be marked with the logos of the project and the Project Implementation Unit (PIU).
Delays in project implementation including those related to delayed procurement	Low	High	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ During the Project inception stage, the project's implementation plan will be revised and updated. ➤ The advancement of project implementation within set timelines will be overseen through bi-weekly meetings conducted by the PIU team. ➤ Adherence to the Republic of Armenia's public procurement procedures is required by the PIU, where specific minimum timelines for various procurement stages are defined. To mitigate potential delays resulting from delayed procurement, the project timeframe includes maximum deadlines. ➤ Project activities have been meticulously prepared for completion

			<p>within the proposed timeline.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Monitoring initiatives will guarantee the realization of implementation objectives throughout the project's execution.
<p>Implementation capacity constraints with limited human resources in national and regional authorities to ensure a timely implementation and the sustainability of the project.</p>	Low	Low	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Knowledge and awareness building is one of the key components of the project. ➤ Project will equip all relevant authority workers, decision makers and local population will have sufficient knowledge on the landscape and ecosystem adaptation to climate change and efficient management of climate smart agricultural techniques.
<i>Social Risks</i>			
<p>Project beneficiaries are resistant to change and/or the new technologies applied are difficult to manage</p>	Medium	Medium	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ During the implementation phase consultations of different stakeholders will ensure the ownership building for the project. ➤ Project will ensure active participation of stakeholders ➤ Awareness and knowledge raising activities will increase the capacity for managing the new technologies applied and will ensure that beneficiaries are not resistant towards adaptation activities.
<i>Financial Risks</i>			

Mismanagement of resources	Low	High	➤ Financial risk management will be possible by continuous evaluations, audits and reports as mentioned in M&E plan of the project.
Delays in the disbursement of funds.	Low	Low	➤ EPIU will ensure that all the funds are properly managed; all procurement activities are completed in a timely manner.

C. Measures for environmental and social risk management, in line with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

Risk	Probability	Impact	Mitigation Measures
Land and biodiversity degradation	<i>High</i>	<i>High</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Baseline Assessment: Conduct a comprehensive baseline assessment to understand the current state of land and biodiversity in project areas. ➤ Resource Mapping: Implement resource mapping exercises to identify vulnerable ecosystems and species. ➤ Conservation Plans: Develop and implement conservation plans to protect and restore degraded lands and biodiversity. ➤ Stakeholder Engagement: Engage local communities and stakeholders in conservation efforts, fostering a sense of ownership and responsibility. ➤ Capacity Building: Provide training to local communities on sustainable land use practices and biodiversity conservation.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Monitoring: Establish regular monitoring mechanisms to track changes in land and biodiversity health. ➤ Contingency Plans: Develop contingency plans to address unexpected land and biodiversity degradation.
Weak infrastructure and limited climate technology adoption	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Infrastructure Assessment: Assess existing infrastructure and identify weaknesses. ➤ Infrastructure Improvement: Invest in infrastructure upgrades, particularly for irrigation systems and roads. ➤ Climate Technology Training: Provide training on climate-resilient agricultural practices and technologies. ➤ Technology Adoption Support: Facilitate the adoption of climate-resilient technologies through capacity building. ➤ Awareness Campaigns: Launch awareness campaigns to promote the benefits of climate-resilient technologies. ➤ Monitoring and Evaluation: Implement rigorous monitoring and evaluation of infrastructure and technology adoption. ➤ Community Resilience Plans: Work with communities to develop resilience plans for addressing infrastructure challenges.
Poverty and lack of alternative income sources	<i>High</i>	<i>High</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Livelihood Diversification: Facilitate livelihood diversification programs to reduce dependency on natural resources. ➤ Alternative Income Generation: Develop initiatives for alternative income generation, such as training in non-agricultural skills. ➤ Social Safety Nets: Implement social safety nets to support vulnerable populations during transitions.

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Gender-Inclusive Programs: Design gender-inclusive programs to ensure equitable access to income-generating opportunities. ➤ Impact Assessments: Conduct regular impact assessments of poverty reduction and income generation initiatives. ➤ Community Empowerment: Empower communities to take charge of their economic development through participatory processes.
Gender inequality and disparities	<i>Medium</i>	<i>High</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Gender Analysis: Conduct a thorough gender analysis to identify existing disparities and gender-specific vulnerabilities. ➤ Gender-Responsive Planning: Integrate gender-responsive approaches into project planning and design. ➤ Gender Mainstreaming: Ensure that project activities consider the unique needs and roles of both women and men. ➤ Capacity Building: Provide gender-sensitive training to project staff and stakeholders to enhance their understanding of gender issues. ➤ Women's Empowerment: Implement initiatives that empower women in decision-making, economic activities, and leadership roles. ➤ Gender-Disaggregated Data: Collect and analyze gender-disaggregated data to monitor the project's impact on women and men separately. ➤ Gender Audits: Conduct periodic gender audits to assess the effectiveness of gender mainstreaming efforts. ➤ Stakeholder Inclusivity: Ensure the inclusion of women and marginalized groups in project activities and decision-making processes.

Environmental and social management plan

The Project is classified as a "Category B" intervention, implying minimal environmental and societal effects. It is expected to positively influence land and soil conservation, climate change, and protected areas, benefiting both local communities and the project zone. To manage any arising social and environmental concerns, an Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) will be developed. This plan, adhering to the Republic of Armenia's laws and the Adaptation Fund's policies, will detail strategies to minimize potential negative impacts to acceptable levels. It will also include a framework for ongoing monitoring of these risks and their mitigation, specifying assigned responsibilities. Stakeholder consultations and disclosure of relevant documents are integral to the ESMP and will precede any project-related actions or activities.

1. Risk management arrangements

- i. **Responsibilities:** The ESMP's primary managerial control will reside with the EPIU project manager, who will also hold the ultimate accountability for compliance. Any modifications or supplementary tasks emerging during the project's execution that enhance or align with the proposed sub-projects, and are within the Adaptation Fund's permissible boundaries, must receive the project manager's endorsement. Following this, the project management board will grant approval, depending on the activity's scope and nature.
- ii. The heads of the "Khosrov Forest" State Reserve and "Dilijan" National Park SNCOs will be integral members of the Governing Council, actively participating in both decision-making and implementation stages. Entities responsible for developing and crafting management plans will work in close collaboration with the SNCOs. This partnership will involve detailed discussions on project preliminary assessment documents and management strategies. Given that the Dilijan community is situated within the national park's boundaries, the SNCO will appoint qualified experts to conduct biodiversity impact assessments throughout the project's execution. Additionally, SNCO staff will play a role in addressing complaints and grievances, joining the panel dedicated to these issues.
- iii. **Management and mitigation measures:** During the project preparation phase, all activities were evaluated for potential environmental and social risks, encompassing 15 distinct areas. These findings will be shared with all stakeholders at the project's inception meeting, ensuring a comprehensive understanding of the management and monitoring plans to be implemented.

2. General environmental and social risks management reduction measures

To augment the risk management approach, extra elements will be incorporated, emphasizing stringent adherence to the Environmental and Social Plan (ESP). These components, crafted to supplement the measures already identified, aim to establish a more thorough and inclusive framework. This framework is intended to address potential risks comprehensively and uphold environmental and social obligations throughout the project's duration, thus underscoring a commitment to high standards in environmental and social governance.

- i. Contracts and cooperation agreements with contracting entities will encompass explicit references to the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) and Guiding Principles (GP), particularly emphasizing adherence to the 15 principles of the

Environmental and Social Plan (ESP). Special focus will be given to compliance with legal requirements (principle 1), adherence to human rights standards (principle 4), implementation of a gender-sensitive approach (principle 5), and maintenance of labor and safety standards (principles 6 and 13).

- **Principle 1:** References to standards and laws to which the activity will need to comply will be included in all legal agreements with all sub-contractors, including steps and responsibilities for compliance.
 - **Principle 4:** References to relevant Human rights declarations will be included in all legal agreements with all sub-contractors.
 - **Principle 6:** Employment and working conditions following ILO standards will be included in legal agreements with all subcontractors.
- ii. In addition to the project manager, EPIU staff will be responsible for ensuring the project's adherence to the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy (ESP), focusing particularly on principle 4.
 - iii. Ongoing collaboration with technical supervisors will be maintained to ensure compliance with national and local standards.
 - iv. Specialists in social, gender, and environmental fields will conduct gender assessments and evaluate the project's compliance and potential risks in line with the Adaptation Fund's ESP principle 5.
 - v. The Project Management Unit and the EPIU will strive to ensure equitable access to the project's benefits for all stakeholders. Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) activities will be utilized to assess equity at all project stages, adopting a bottom-up, participatory approach that prioritizes the needs and preferences of the communities involved.
 - vi. Training and capacity building activities will be organized for management teams, executing entity partners, and target communities. These activities, planned for the inception stage, aim to enhance understanding and management of the 15 Principles and the ESMP, with a specific focus on their respective responsibilities.

3. Risk monitoring arrangements

- i. Monitoring processes will be implemented to ensure that measures are executed promptly and to assess whether these actions are effectively mitigating risks or impacts, or if adjustments are necessary to achieve the desired outcomes.
- ii. Annual reports will detail the progress of the Environmental and Social Management Plan (ESMP) implementation, focusing on measures aimed at avoiding, minimizing, or mitigating environmental and social risks. These reports will also include, if required, details of any corrective actions that need to be undertaken. The responsibility for direct monitoring falls to the project manager and designated monitoring specialists. The overall project manager will maintain oversight and bear the ultimate responsibility for compliance. Additionally, monitoring indicators will be modified or supplemented as needed when changes or additional activities are deemed necessary.

4. Grievance redress mechanism

The Grievance Redress Mechanism (GRM) will be governed by the policies and guidelines

of the EPIU GM. According to these policies, the GRM is designed to contribute to the improvement of environmental and social welfare, including aspects of human rights and gender equality. It will be responsible for receiving and aiding in the resolution of issues, complaints, and grievances related to the program's performance in environmental, social, human rights, and gender aspects, as well as other general concerns linked to the program. This mechanism will be utilized as needed to address any grievances that may emerge during the program's implementation.

Affected individuals can submit their concerns, complaints, and grievances to the Project Implementation Unit (PIU). Within the PIU, the Project Coordinator, Environmental and Social Safeguard (ESS), and Gender Specialists will act as the primary contacts for receiving, documenting, evaluating, and resolving these issues in collaboration with relevant stakeholders, based on the nature of the complaint. A comprehensive complaints register will be maintained, logging the date, specifics, and type of each complaint, the identity of the complainant, and the follow-up actions taken subsequent to the investigation.

The register will serve not only as a record of complaints but also as a comprehensive reference system. It will systematically cross-reference each complaint with any associated documents, including non-compliance reports, corrective action reports, or any other relevant documentation related to the issue. This methodical approach ensures that all aspects of a complaint are considered and addressed in a cohesive manner, facilitating a thorough and integrated process for resolving grievances and ensuring accountability in the project's management.

Level	Process	Periodicity
1.	Any individual or community leader, along with representatives or other interested parties who are affected, can bring their grievances directly to the Project Management Unit (PMU). This process allows for a structured approach to addressing concerns raised by those impacted by the project.	Anytime
2.	The Project Coordinator, Environmental and Social Safeguard (ESS), or Gender Specialist is responsible for reviewing the grievances. They will work towards finding a resolution to the issues raised, doing so in consultation with community leaders. This collaborative approach ensures that solutions are well-informed and considerate of the community's perspectives and needs.	Weekly
3.	The Project Coordinator, Environmental and Social Safeguard (ESS) Specialist, or Gender Specialist is tasked with informing the affected	Weekly

	individual who submitted the grievance about the outcome. This step ensures that the person who raised the concern is kept updated on the resolution process and its results.	
<p>If the grievance remains unresolved, or the individual is dissatisfied with the outcome at the Project Management Unit (PMU) level, or if no response has been received within the designated time frame, further steps can be taken. This provision ensures that grievances are not left unaddressed and that there are additional avenues for resolution if initial efforts at the PMU level do not yield satisfactory results.</p>		
4.	If the affected person's concerns are not adequately resolved at the Project Management Unit (PMU) level, they have the option to escalate their grievance. This can be done by presenting their issue to relevant local authorities such as Municipalities or community halls, or they may choose to approach higher authorities like the Ministry of Environment or the National Implementing Entity (NIE). This step provides an additional layer of oversight and ensures that grievances have multiple channels for consideration and resolution.	Within 2 weeks of receipt of decision at Level 3
5.	The National Implementing Entity (NIE) takes on the responsibility of reviewing the escalated grievance. In their review process, they aim to find a solution, which may encompass suggestions for dispute resolution. This could include identifying and recommending a suitable body to oversee and manage the resolution process, ensuring that the grievance is addressed in an appropriate and effective manner.	Bi-weekly
6.	Once the National Implementing Entity (NIE) has reviewed the grievance and identified a potential resolution, they are responsible for communicating the outcome back to the individual who initially lodged the complaint. This step ensures that the affected person is kept informed about the findings and the proposed	Weekly

	solutions to their issue.	
<p>If the grievance remains unresolved, or at any point during the process, if the concerned party is not satisfied with the handling or outcome of their complaint, they have the option to pursue further actions. This provision ensures that individuals have continuous access to avenues for addressing their concerns until a satisfactory resolution is reached.</p>		
<p>In such cases where the issue remains unresolved or if the concerned party is dissatisfied with the response at any stage, they have the right to escalate the matter further. The affected party can approach the Office of the Ombudsperson, or they may choose to take their grievance to an appropriate court or law enforcement bodies. This step offers an additional layer of oversight and ensures that there are formal and legal avenues available for the resolution of their concerns.</p>	<p>Based on the decision of the Office of the Ombudsperson, the judicial system, or law enforcement bodies, further actions will be taken regarding the grievance.</p>	

These measures align with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund and aim to address environmental, social, and gender-related risks associated with the Project. Regular monitoring and adaptation of these measures will be essential to effectively manage and mitigate risks throughout the project's lifecycle.

D. Describe the monitoring and evaluation arrangements and provide a budgeted M&E plan, in compliance with the ESP and the Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund.

The Project's monitoring and evaluation (M&E) arrangements encompass a comprehensive approach to oversee project progress and assess its outcomes. The M&E activities will be managed within the allocated M&E budget, as detailed below. Monitoring and evaluation will be a collaborative effort involving the Project Team, with verification by the National Implementing Entity (NIE). The progress will be evaluated based on predefined targets and indicators established in the Project Results Framework.

The Project Management Unit (PMU) will establish a robust system for monitoring the project's progress. This system will facilitate data collection and recording through participatory mechanisms, enabling the monitoring and evaluation of both outcome and output indicators. Key tasks during the Project Launch workshop will include introducing the project's results framework to all stakeholders, presenting the project team, fostering ownership, and planning the work plan in alignment with the project's results framework. This will involve defining roles, responsibilities, and functions of both the NIE and the Project Management team. Additionally, the M&E indicators, budget, and work plan will be collaboratively agreed upon and scheduled.

Throughout the project duration, the PMU and the dedicated monitoring and evaluation division will shoulder the responsibility for ongoing monitoring. Their actions will be guided by the Annual Operating Plan (AOP), which outlines all essential activities for the current year. Quarterly Status Reports (QSRs) will provide insights into the progress of executed activities. AOPs will be annually agreed upon during NIE meetings and will be guided by the project's results framework.

A significant feature of our M&E framework will be the incorporation of sex-disaggregated data in our data collection, analysis, and reporting processes. This approach ensures that the project's impacts on different gender groups are accurately captured and understood, providing a basis for gender-responsive project implementation and adjustment. Even though the specific arrangements for M&E, including the mechanisms for collecting and analyzing sex-disaggregated data, will be further refined during the project inception phase, the commitment to gender-sensitive M&E is unequivocal and will be a guiding principle throughout the project lifecycle.

To bolster the effectiveness of the project's M&E function, the unit will actively utilize gender-responsive indicators, facilitating a nuanced understanding of the project's gender-differentiated impacts and contributing to the development of interventions that are sensitive to the needs and priorities of all gender groups.

Monitoring and Evaluation (M&E) processes have been designed with a gender-sensitive lens, with a focus on women groups. This targeted approach in M&E aims to meticulously assess the direct and indirect benefits accruing to women as a result of the project, providing valuable insights into the project's impact on promoting gender equity and women empowerment.

Several reports and evaluations will be developed over the project's lifecycle, including:

- **Inception Workshop Report:** This report, prepared after the inception workshop, will detail the roles, responsibilities, actions, and functions of all stakeholders. It will also encompass the first AOP and monitoring plan for the initial year.
- **Annual Operating Plan (AOP):** AOPs, to be approved by the NIE before each operating period, will outline all planned activities, milestones, and goals for the year. It will include the necessary financial activities relevant to the period.
- **Quarterly Status Reports (QSRs):** These reports, to be submitted by the project management unit at the end of each operating quarter, will track the execution of indicators as defined in the project results framework. They will also address challenges faced and constraints encountered during execution.
- **Annual Management Reports (AMR):** The AMR, covering the last AOP, will compare actual results against the targets and milestones outlined in the AOP. If necessary, it will propose improvements and corrective measures for the upcoming AOP.
- **External Audit Reports:** These reports, aligned with government Financial Regulations, will be prepared in conjunction with periodic financial statements.
- **Mid-term Evaluation:** Conducted halfway through project implementation, this external evaluation will assess progress towards achieving outcomes, taking into account project effectiveness and efficiency. It will suggest corrective actions if needed.

- **Final Report:** This report, presented three months before project completion, will primarily focus on assessing project results against planned outcomes. It will also evaluate project impacts and sustainability.
- **Final External Evaluation:** This evaluation will emphasize project impacts, sustainability, and long-term effects. It will provide recommendations for further actions to ensure project sustainability. These M&E arrangements will incorporate a gender-responsive stakeholder consultation process. If specific gender targets or gender-responsive outcomes are identified, a dedicated specialist will monitor these aspects closely.

M&E Budget breakdown:

Item	Responsible	Project Lifespan				Total
		1 st year	2 nd year	3 ^d year	4 th year	
Quarterly and annual Reports	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	-	-	-	-	-
Final Report	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	-	-	-	-	-
Project Management Board Meetings	<i>Project manager</i>	1,000\$	1,000\$	1,000\$	1,000\$	4,000\$
Technical & copyright supervision	<i>Local expert/s</i>	10,000\$	10,000\$	10,000\$	10,000\$	40,000\$
Inception and Final Workshops	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	2,000\$	-	-	2,000\$	4,000\$
Mid-term evaluation	<i>International Expert</i>	-	-	20,000\$	-	20,000\$
Final Evaluation	<i>International Expert</i>	-	-	-	20,000\$	20,000\$
External Audit	<i>National audit company</i>	3,000\$	3,000\$	3,000\$	3,000\$	12,000\$
TOTAL:		16,000\$	14,000\$	34,000\$	36,000\$	100,000\$

E. Results framework for the project proposal, including milestones, targets and indicators

Result	Indicator	Baseline ²⁵	Milestone ²⁶ (end of year 2)	End of Project target ²⁷	Means of verification	Responsibility
<p>Objective: to scale up and replicate successful practices achieved during pilot project to reduce the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest” and “Dilijan” National Parks through promoting sustainable and climate-resilient agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zones, thereby reducing climate-related risks and vulnerabilities in production systems while sustaining protected areas.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of Project beneficiaries (<i>direct & indirect</i>); ➤ % of women beneficiaries; ➤ N of communities benefited; ➤ N of settlements benefited; ➤ % of vulnerable settlements benefited; 	<p>16,000 direct beneficiaries out of which 40% are women</p> <p>2 communities 10 settlements</p> <p>1%</p>	<p>26,000 direct beneficiaries</p> <p>40%</p> <p>4 communities 6 settlements</p> <p>2%</p>	<p>36,000 direct beneficiaries</p> <p>40%</p> <p>6 communities 10 settlements</p> <p>3%</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
<p>Component 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zone</p>						
<p>Outcome 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices are</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of ha of land rehabilitated and with increased adaptation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of ha of land degraded in target communities; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of ha of land (%) rehabilitated; ➤ Water losses in irrigation system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of ha of land (%) rehabilitated; ➤ Water losses in irrigation system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;

²⁵ Achieved in the result of implementation of the pilot Project “Strengthening land based adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to protected areas in Armenia”

²⁶ Include also beneficiaries indicated in the baseline

²⁷ Include also beneficiaries indicated in the baseline

implemented in degraded areas to reduce climate risks vulnerability of production systems and sustain protected areas;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ capacity; ➤ Water loss in irrigation systems reduced; ➤ % of livestock benefitting adapted pasture management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Water losses in irrigation system reduced to 70%; ➤ 10% of livestock benefitting adapted pasture management 	reduced to 50% benefiting 30% of livestock;	reduced to 30% benefiting 50% of livestock;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	
Output 1.1 Irrigation water supply systems in 6 new municipalities are rehabilitated increasing water use efficiency;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Length of irrigation system rehabilitated; ➤ N of solar-powered pumps installed; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6,200 m of rehabilitated irrigation system; ➤ 4 installed solar-powered pumps; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 7,200 m of rehabilitated irrigation system; ➤ 3 installed solar-powered pumps; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 12,000 m of rehabilitated irrigation system; ➤ 6 installed solar-powered pumps; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
Output 1.2 Orchards with drip irrigation system and anti-hail nets established;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of ha of orchards equipped with drip irrigation and anti-hail nets; ➤ N of communities benefited; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 5.2 ha of orchards equipped with drip irrigation and anti-hail nets; ➤ 6 communities benefited; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 4.0 ha of orchards equipped with drip irrigation and anti-hail nets; ➤ 3 communities benefited; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 12.0 ha of orchards equipped with drip irrigation and anti-hail nets; ➤ 6 communities benefited; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
Output 1.3 Existing field tracks to remote pastures degraded lands are rehabilitated;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ % of field tracks degraded / rehabilitated; ➤ N of km field tracks rehabilitated; ➤ N of culverts installed; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 30% of field tracks rehabilitated; ➤ 39.5 km rehabilitated; ➤ 50 culverts installed; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 40% of field tracks rehabilitated; ➤ 8.0 km rehabilitated; ➤ 15 culverts installed; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 45% of field tracks rehabilitated; ➤ 20.0 km rehabilitated; ➤ 25 culverts installed; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;

					completion);	
<p>Output 1.4</p> <p>Sowing areas of perennial plants are created reducing rangeland degradation (<i>30 ha of perennial sowing area established</i>);</p>	<p>➤ N of ha of perennial sowing areas of perennial plants are created;</p>	<p>➤ 10 ha of perennial sowing areas of perennial plants are created;</p>	<p>➤ 25 ha of perennial sowing areas of perennial plants are created;</p>	<p>➤ 30 ha of perennial sowing areas of perennial plants are created;</p>	<p>➤ 6 monthly project reports;</p> <p>➤ Annual PPRs;</p> <p>➤ Surveys;</p> <p>➤ M&E interim and final reports;</p> <p>➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion);</p>	<p>EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;</p>
<p>Output 1.5</p> <p>Community pastures and hay meadows are rehabilitated and improved their adaptive capacity;</p>	<p>➤ N of ha hay meadows, arable lands and pastures rehabilitated;</p>	<p>➤ 1,382 ha hay meadows, arable lands and pastures rehabilitated;</p>	<p>➤ 300 ha hay meadows, arable lands and pastures rehabilitated;</p>	<p>➤ 500 ha hay meadows, arable lands and pastures rehabilitated;</p>	<p>➤ 6 monthly project reports;</p> <p>➤ Annual PPRs;</p> <p>➤ Surveys;</p> <p>➤ M&E interim and final reports;</p> <p>➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion);</p>	<p>EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;</p>
<p>Output 1.6</p> <p>Livestock watering points are constructed;</p>	<p>➤ N of watering points constructed</p>	<p>➤ 15 of watering points constructed</p>	<p>➤ 3 of watering points constructed</p>	<p>➤ 5 of watering points constructed</p>	<p>➤ 6 monthly project reports;</p> <p>➤ Annual PPRs;</p> <p>➤ Surveys;</p> <p>➤ M&E interim and final reports;</p> <p>➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion);</p>	<p>EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;</p>
<p>Output 1.7</p> <p>Architectural and design drawings and estimates;</p>	<p>➤ N of architectural and design drawings and estimates;</p>	-	<p>➤ 3 architectural and design drawings and estimates;</p>	<p>➤ 6 architectural and design drawings and estimates;</p>	<p>➤ 6 monthly project reports;</p> <p>➤ Annual PPRs;</p> <p>➤ Surveys;</p> <p>➤ M&E interim and final reports;</p> <p>➤ Impact</p>	<p>EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;</p>

					assessment report (upon completion);	
Output 1.8 Capacity building for improved grazing management in targeted municipalities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of training programs and thematic topics for awareness raising at community level on improving grazing management practices are designed; ➤ N of community members benefited from the trainings; ➤ % of women beneficiaries; 	0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 4 training programs and thematic topics for awareness raising at community level on grazing management designed; ➤ 200 community members benefited from the trainings, of which 50% are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 of training programs and thematic topics for awareness raising at community level on grazing management practices are designed; ➤ 400 community members benefited from the trainings, of which 50% are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys of training participants; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
Component 2: Strengthening value chains and climate smart technology transfer for vulnerable communities						
Outcome 2: Value chains for climate smart agriculture are strengthened and climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of beneficiaries benefitting from climate smart technologies; ➤ Increased income, or avoided decrease in income; ➤ % of women beneficiaries benefitting from climate smart technologies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 350 beneficiaries; ➤ 50% of beneficiaries of climate smart technology are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 500 beneficiaries; ➤ 50% of beneficiaries of climate smart technology are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 700 beneficiaries; ➤ 50% of beneficiaries of climate smart technology are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
Output 2.1 Smart agricultural practices in 6 municipalities are introduced, establishing berry	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of ha of berry orchards with drip irrigation system are constructed; 	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 1 of ha of berry orchards with drip irrigation system are constructed; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 3 of ha of berry orchards with drip irrigation system are constructed; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; ➤ M&E interim and 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;

orchards with drip irrigation system					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	
<p>Output 2.2 Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are constructed in priority community areas;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Surface (m²) of greenhouses constructed; ➤ N of beneficiaries with access to greenhouses; ➤ % of beneficiaries with access to green houses, which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 3,000 m² of greenhouses constructed; ➤ 100 beneficiaries with access to greenhouses; ➤ 70% of beneficiaries with access to green houses, which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 1,500 m² of greenhouses constructed; ➤ 20 beneficiaries with access to greenhouses; ➤ 30% of beneficiaries with access to green houses, which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 3,000 m² of greenhouses constructed; ➤ 40 beneficiaries with access to greenhouses; ➤ 40% of beneficiaries with access to green houses, which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
<p>Output 2.3 Solar dryers are installed in priority community areas;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of beneficiaries with access to solar driers; ➤ % of beneficiaries with access to solar driers, which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 100 beneficiaries with access to solar driers; ➤ 80% of beneficiaries with access to solar driers, which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 120 beneficiaries with access to solar driers; ➤ 80% of beneficiaries with access to solar driers, which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 140 beneficiaries with access to solar driers; ➤ 80% of beneficiaries with access to solar driers, which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
<p>Output 2.4 Community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 2 community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 5 community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 5 community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Business plans; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
<p>Output 2.5 Agrivoltaic systems are piloted;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of installations; 	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 5 installations (with 30 KW of installed capacity each); 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 10 installations (with 30 KW of installed capacity each); 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys; 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	
<p>Output 2.6</p> <p>Demonstration sites for sustainable land management practices are constructed in each municipality;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of municipalities with installed demonstration sites on sustainable land management practices; 	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 3 municipalities with installed demonstration sites on sustainable land management practices; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 10 municipalities with installed demonstration sites on sustainable land management practices; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
<p>Output 2.7</p> <p>2 agro-acceleration hubs are piloted to enhance integration with existing supply chains;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of agro-acceleration hubs piloted; ➤ N of beneficiaries benefited; ➤ % of women benefited; 	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 1 agro-acceleration hubs piloted; ➤ 30 of beneficiaries benefited, 50% of which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 2 agro-acceleration hubs piloted; ➤ 60 of beneficiaries benefited, 50% of which are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys of beneficiaries; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
<p>Output 2.8</p> <p>Model agrotourism facilities are piloted in every community;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of model agrotourism facilities piloted in every community; ➤ N of visitors; 	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 model agrotourism facilities piloted in 3 communities; ➤ 500 of visitors; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 12 of model agrotourism facilities piloted in 6 communities; ➤ 1,000 of visitors; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys of visitors; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
<p>Output 2.9</p> <p>New varieties of dry</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of new varieties of dry 	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 3 new varieties of dry and heat 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 new varieties of dry and heat 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and

and heat resistant crops are piloted	and heat resistant crops are piloted; ➤ N of beneficiaries piloted new crops;		resistant crops are piloted; ➤ 50 beneficiaries piloted new crops;	resistant crops are piloted; ➤ 100 beneficiaries piloted new crops;	➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys of beneficiaries; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion);	settlements;
Component 3: Awareness raising, capacity building, monitoring and decision making for climate smart agricultural practices						
Outcome 3: Awareness, planning, monitoring and decision-making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and LDN has increased in target communities	➤ N of beneficiaries benefitting from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN; ➤ % of beneficiaries benefitting from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN are women;	➤ 300 beneficiaries benefited from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN; ➤ 40% of beneficiaries benefited from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN are women;	➤ 450 beneficiaries benefited from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN; ➤ 40% of beneficiaries benefited from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN are women;	➤ 600 of beneficiaries benefitting from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN; ➤ 40% of beneficiaries benefited from awareness raising and capacity building for climate smart agriculture and LDN are women;	➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys of beneficiaries; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion);	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
Output 3.1 Farmer field schools and extension services have been provided to share best practices of climate smart agriculture and LDN for the targeted communities;	➤ N of beneficiaries that are aware of climate change impacts and appropriate responses to threats; ➤ % of women beneficiaries that are aware of climate change	➤ 200 of beneficiaries that are aware of climate change impacts and appropriate responses to threats; ➤ 40% of women beneficiaries that are aware of climate change	➤ 300 of beneficiaries that are aware of climate change impacts and appropriate responses to threats; ➤ 40% of women beneficiaries that are aware of climate change	➤ 400 of beneficiaries that are aware of climate change impacts and appropriate responses to threats; ➤ 40% of women beneficiaries that are aware of climate change	➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys of beneficiaries; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion);	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;

	impacts and appropriate responses to threats;	impacts and appropriate responses to threats;	impacts and appropriate responses to threats;	impacts and appropriate responses to threats;		
Output 3.2 Best practices examples and training material on natural and agricultural ecosystems' adaptation under the conditions of climate change are formulated, disseminated and made accessible;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of training programs and thematic topics for awareness raising at community level on climate change threats designed; ➤ N of community members benefited from the trainings; ➤ % of women beneficiaries; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 4 training materials are produced; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 training programs and thematic topics for awareness raising at community level on climate change threats designed; ➤ 200 community members benefited from the trainings, of which 50% are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 8 of training programs and thematic topics for awareness raising at community level on climate change threats designed; ➤ 400 community members benefited from the trainings, of which 50% are women; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Surveys of training participants; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
Output 3.3 Community based adaptation planning is conducted for target communities;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of community-based adaptation plans formulated; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 4 community-based adaptation plans formulated; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 3 community-based adaptation plans formulated; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 community-based adaptation plans formulated; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Community-based adaptation plans; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;
Output 3.4 Strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas have been formulated;	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas formulated; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 3 strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas formulated; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 5 strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas formulated; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas formulated; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN; 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;

					<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	
<p>Output 3.5 Capacities of local stakeholders, including municipalities, CSOs and managers of protected areas (women, youth, environmental) are advanced;</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ N of municipalities benefited from capacity building; ➤ N of women, youth and environmental CSOs benefited from capacity building; 	-	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 3 municipalities benefited from capacity building; ➤ 5 women, youth and environmental CSOs benefited from capacity building; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 municipalities benefited from capacity building; ➤ 10 women, youth and environmental CSOs benefited from capacity building; 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ 6 monthly project reports; ➤ Annual PPRs; ➤ Municipalities' and CSO's mapping, capacity assessment and completion reports; ➤ M&E interim and final reports; ➤ Impact assessment report (upon completion); 	EPIU, targeted municipalities and settlements;

F. Project's alignment with the Results Framework of the Adaptation Fund

Project Objective(s)	Project Objective Indicator(s)	Fund Outcome	Fund Outcome Indicator	Grant Amount (USD)
The overall objective of the project is reducing the climate risk vulnerability of local communities living adjacent to the “Khosrov Forest”, “Dilijan” and “Lake Sevan” National Parks through promoting sustainable and climate-resilient agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zones, thereby reducing climate-related risks and vulnerabilities in production systems while sustaining protected areas.	1. Threat level to ecosystems, related to climate change effects	Outcome 5: Increased ecosystem resilience in response to climate change and variability-induced stress	5. Ecosystem services and natural assets maintained or improved under climate change and variability-induced stress	-
Project Outcome(s)	Project Outcome Indicator(s)	Fund Output	Fund Output Indicator	Grant Amount (USD)
Outcome 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices are implemented in degraded areas to reduce climate risks vulnerability of production systems and sustain protected areas.	Climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities;	Output 5: Vulnerable physical, natural, and social assets strengthened in response to climate change impacts, including variability	5.1. No. and type of natural resource assets created, maintained or improved to withstand conditions resulting from climate variability and change	1,948,500
Outcome 2: Value chains for climate smart agriculture are strengthened and climate smart technologies are accessible for vulnerable rural communities	Productivity of agricultural products has increased.	Output 6: Targeted individual and community livelihood strategies strengthened in relation to climate	6.1.1.No. and type of adaptation assets (physical as well as knowledge) created in support of individual or community-	1,324,352

		change impacts, including variability	livelihood strategies 6.1.2. Type of income sources for households generated under climate change scenario	
<u>Outcome 3:</u> Awareness, planning, monitoring and decision-making capacity on climate smart agriculture production methods and LDN has increased in target communities.	The number of community workers, households, NGO representatives, managers of protected areas mass media representatives, schoolteachers and students participated in awareness and knowledge raising trainings.	<u>Output 3:</u> Targeted population groups participating in adaptation and risk reduction awareness activities	3.1.1 No. and type of risk reduction actions or strategies introduced at local level 3.1.2 No. of news outlets in the local press and media that have covered the topic	160.000

G. Detailed budget with budget notes, a budget on the Implementing Entity management fee use, and an explanation and a breakdown of the execution costs.

Output	Item	Budget Notes	Budget during Project lifespan (in USD)				Total Budget (in USD)	Comments
			Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4		
Component 1: Community based, climate smart agricultural practices in degraded areas and buffer zone								
Output 1.1 Irrigation water supply systems in 6 new municipalities are rehabilitated increasing water use efficiency (12,000 m and 6 solar-powered pumps);	Construction Company N 1	Construction of the irrigation system	300,000	310,000	-	-	610,000	-
		Installation of 38 KW/hour solar pumps	30,000	40,000	-	-	70,000	-
		Establishment of parks without drip irrigation system	-	28,000	40,000	-	68,000	-
Output 1.2 Orchards with drip irrigation system and anti-hail nets will be established in 6 communities (12 ha);	Construction Company N 1	Construction of drip irrigation system	-	200,000	200,000	85,000	485,000	-
Output 1.3 Existing field tracks to remote pastures degraded lands are rehabilitated (15% of field tracks rehabilitated)	Construction Company N 2	Reconstruction of existing field tracks and Installation of water culverts	-	100,000	65,000	-	165,000	-

20 km rehabilitated 25 culverts installed);								
Output 1.4 Sowing areas of perennial plants are created reducing rangeland degradation (30 ha of perennial sowing area established);	Implementing Company N 1	Rehabilitation of arable lands and	10,000	10,000	10,000	-	30,000	-
		Rehabilitation of community pastures and hay meadows	60,000	140,000	80,000	-	280,000	-
Output 1.5 Community pastures and hay meadows are rehabilitated and improved their adaptive capacity (500 ha hay meadows, pastures and arable lands rehabilitated);	Construction Company N 1	Establishment of parks with drip irrigation system	12,000	12,000	12,000	-	36,000	-
Output 1.6 Livestock watering points are constructed (5 watering points constructed);	Construction Company N1	Construction of livestock watering points	30,000	39,500			69,500	-
Output 1.7 Architectural and design drawings and	Architectural Design Company N 1	Preparation of Design-Estimated Document	95,000	-	-	-	95,000	-

estimates;								
Output 1.8 Capacity building for improved grazing management in targeted municipalities	Consulting company N 1	Design and delivery of capacity enhancement activities for beneficiary municipalities	20,000	20,000	-	-	40,000	
Subtotal for Component 1			537,000	879,500	407,000	85,000	1,908,500	-
Component 2: Strengthening value chains and climate smart technology transfer for vulnerable communities								
Output 2.1 Smart agricultural practices in 6 municipalities are introduced, <i>establishing 3 ha berry orchards with drip irrigation system</i>	Implementing Company N 1	Smart agricultural practices, 3 ha of berry orchards with drip irrigation system	-	-	75,000	-	75,000	-
Output 2.2 Non-heated, lightweight greenhouses are constructed in priority community areas (<i>3000m2 of greenhouses constructed 40 beneficiaries</i>)	Construction Company N 2	Construction of solar greenhouses with drip irrigation	-	100,000	75,000	-	175,000	-
Output 2.3 Solar dryers are installed in priority community areas (<i>40 solar dryers</i>)	Construction Company N 3	Construction of the solar driers	-	-	60,000	64,352	124,352	-

<i>constructed 40 beneficiaries)</i>								
Output 2.4 Community management and business plans are formulated for climate smart agricultural value chains (5 business plans formulated)	Consulting Company N 1	Community management and business plans, including for climate smart agricultural value chains and increasing adaption of natural and agricultural ecosystems	-	65,000	-	-	65,000	-
Output 2.5 Agrivoltaic systems are piloted (10 installations with 30 KW of installed capacity each)	ESCO Company	Construction of the AgriVoltaic installations	-	200,000	205,000	-	405,000	-
Output 2.6 Demonstration sites for sustainable land management practices are constructed in each municipality;	Agricultural construction company	Construction of the demonstration sites	-	35,000	-	-	35,000	-
Output 2.7 2 agro-acceleration hubs are piloted to enhance integration with	Construction Company N 3	Renovation of the premises for agro-accelerator ²⁸	50,000	50,000	-	-	100,000	-
	Implementing Company N 2	Supply of the furniture for agro-	10,000	10,000	-	-	20,000	-

²⁸ The beneficiaries/owners of these premises are municipalities, who will provide them free of charge for the activities of the Project

existing supply chains;		accelerators						
	Implementing Company N 3	Supply of office equipment for agro-accelerators	20,000	20,000	-	-	40,000	-
	Professional expert services	Experts for agro-accelerators	-	30,000	30,000	30,000	90,000	-
Output 2.8 2 model agrotourism facilities are piloted in every community	Sub-grants to beneficiaries	Piloting model agro-tourism facilities in targeted municipalities	30,000	40,000	40,000	40,000	150,000	-
Output 2.9 New varieties of dry and heat resistant crops are piloted	Sub-grants to beneficiaries	Piloting New varieties of dry and heat resistant crops in targeted municipalities	-	15,000	15,000	15,000	45,000	-
Subtotal for Component 2.			110,000	565,000	500,000	149,352	1.324.352	-
Component 3: Awareness raising, capacity building, monitoring and decision making for climate smart agricultural practices								
Output 3.1 Farmer field schools and extension services have been provided to share best practices of climate smart agriculture and LDN for the targeted communities (200 beneficiaries)	Consulting Company N 2	Workshops	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	-
		Development of questionnaires and conducting surveys	-	-	-	10,000	10,000	-
		Development of field schools training programs	-	-	-	10,000	10,000	-
		Organization of field school groups, knowledge	-	-	-	15,000	15,000	-

		enhancement, demonstration field experiments						
Output 3.2 Best practices examples and training material on natural and agricultural ecosystems' adaptation under the conditions of climate change are formulated, disseminated and made accessible (4 training programs and thematic topics);	Consulting Company N 3	Mapping and SWOT analysis of communities	-	-	15,000	-	15,000	-
		Develop a training and awareness-raising program,	-	-	5,000	-	5,000	-
		Develop topics for the project	-	-	5,000	-	5,000	-
		Implement knowledge and skills training program	-	-	15,000	-	15,000	-
Output 3.3 Community based adaptation planning is conducted for target communities (4 community-based adaptation plans formulated)	Consulting Company N 4	Design of the community adaptation plans	-	-	15,000	-	15,000	-

Output 3.4 Strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas have been formulated (4 community-based strategies adaptation plans formulated)	Consulting Company N 5	Develop strategies for sustaining climate smart agriculture and LDN in target areas	-	-	-	15,000	15,000	-
Output 3.5 Capacities of local stakeholders, including municipalities, CSOs and managers of protected areas (women, youth, environmental) are advanced;	Consulting Company N 6	Determine the existing non-governmental organizations, women, youth, environmental and other unions in the communities, develop and capacity building plan for them.	-	-	25,000	25,000	50,000	-
Subtotal for Component 3			-	-	80,000	80,000	160,000	
TOTAL for Project's Components			667,000	1,464,500	987,000	314,352	3,432,852	
Project Execution costs (EPIU)1.5% of total budget)			13,000\$	13,000\$	13,000\$	12,492\$	51,492	
TOTAL Project Costs			680,000	1,477,000	1,000,000	326,844	3,484,344	
IE Fee / Oversight Costs (*max 8.5% of total budget)			65,000 \$	63,000\$	83,000\$	85,000\$	296,169	
GRAND TOTAL			745,000	1,540,000	1,083,000	411,844	3,780,513	

IE Fee / Oversight Costs (*max 8.5% of total budget)

Item	Responsible	Project Lifespan				Total
		1 st year	2 nd year	3 ^d year	4 th year	
<i>I. Project Management</i>						
Project Manager	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	15,750\$	15,750\$	15,750\$	15,750\$	63,000\$
Project Coordinator	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	12,750\$	12,750\$	12,750\$	12,750\$	51,000\$
Monitoring Specialist	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	7,250\$	7,250\$	7,250\$	7,250\$	29,000\$
Social and Gender risk assessment specialist	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	7,250\$	7,250\$	7,250\$	7,250\$	29,000\$
Environmental risk specialist	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	6,000\$	6,000\$	6,000\$	6,000\$	24,000\$
<i>Subtotal for Project Management</i>		49,000\$	49,000\$	49,000\$	49,000\$	196,000\$
<i>II. Monitoring & Evaluation</i>						
Quarterly and annual Reports	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	-	-	-	-	-
Final Report	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	-	-	-	-	-
Project Management Board Meetings	<i>Project manager</i>	1,169\$	1,000\$	1,000\$	1,000\$	4,169\$
Technical & copyright supervision	<i>Local expert/s</i>	10,000\$	10,000\$	10,000\$	10,000\$	40,000\$
Inception and Final Workshops	<i>EPIU PMU</i>	2,000\$	-	-	2,000\$	4,000\$
Mid-term evaluation	<i>International Expert</i>	-	-	20,000\$	-	20,000\$
Final Evaluation	<i>International Expert</i>	-	-	-	20,000\$	20,000\$
External Audit	<i>National audit company</i>	3,000\$	3,000\$	3,000\$	3,000\$	12,000\$
<i>Subtotal for Monitoring & Evaluation</i>		16,000\$	14,000\$	34,000\$	36,000\$	100,000\$

TOTAL	65,000 \$	63,000\$	83,000\$	85,000\$	296,169\$
--------------	------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------

Project Execution costs (EPIU)1.5% of total budget

Item	Project Lifespan				Total
	1 st year	2 nd year	3 ^d year	4 th year	
Finance Officer	5,000\$	5,000\$	5,000\$	5,000\$	20,000\$
Administrative Support	3,000\$	3,000\$	3,000\$	3,000\$	12,000\$
Procurement Specialist	2,500\$	2,500\$	2,500\$	2,500\$	10,000\$
Field trips	1,500\$	1,500\$	1,500\$	1,500\$	6,000\$
Misc	1,000\$	1,000\$	1,000\$	492\$	3,492\$
TOTAL:	13,000\$	13,000\$	13,000\$	12,492\$	51,492\$

Disbursement schedule with the time bound milestones

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Total
Scheduled Date	January 2024	January 2025	January 2026	January 2027	
Project Funds	667,000	1,464,500	987,000	314,352	3,432,852
Project Execution costs	13,000\$	13,000\$	13,000\$	12,492\$	51,492
Total Project Cost	657,005	1,466,467	1,021,805	339,067	3,484,344
IE Fee / Oversight Costs	56,120	124,924	86,579	28,546	296,169
Total Project/ Programme Cost	715,820	1,582,124	1,106,879	375,690	<u>3,780,513</u>

PART IV: ENDORSEMENT BY GOVERNMENT AND CERTIFICATION BY THE IMPLEMENTING ENTITY

A. Record of endorsement on behalf of the government²

Provide the name and position of the government official and indicate date of endorsement. If this is a regional project/programme, list the endorsing officials all the participating countries. The endorsement letter(s) should be attached as an annex to the project/programme proposal. Please attach the endorsement letter(s) with this template; add as many participating governments if a regional project/programme:

<i>Hakob Simidyán</i> <i>Minister of Environment of the Republic of Armenia</i>	<i>Date: 18.08.2023</i>
--	-------------------------

B. Implementing Entity certification

Provide the name and signature of the Implementing Entity Coordinator and the date of signature. Provide also the project/programme contact person's name, telephone number and email address

⁶ Each Party shall designate and communicate to the secretariat the authority that will endorse on behalf of the national government the projects and programmes proposed by the implementing entities.

I certify that this proposal has been prepared in accordance with guidelines provided by the Adaptation Fund Board, and prevailing National Development and Adaptation Plans (.....list here.....) and subject to the approval by the Adaptation Fund Board, commit to implementing the project/programme in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and the Gender Policy of the Adaptation Fund and on the understanding that the Implementing Entity will be fully (legally and financially) responsible for the implementation of this project/programme.

Name & Signature

Implementing Entity Coordinator

Armen Yesoyan, Director, “Environmental Project Implementation Unit” State Agency Under the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Armenia

Date: 21.08.2023

Tel. and email: info@cep.am
+37410651631

Project Contact Person:

Rubik Shahazizyan, Head of Project Implementation and Monitoring Department, “EPIU” SA

Milena Kiramijyan, Acting Specialist of Cooperation with Donors Department, “EPIU” SA

Tel. And Email:

rshahazizyan@yahoo.com, +37410561531

milena.kiramijyan@gmail.com/milena.kiramijyan@epiu.com,
+37410561531



ՀԱՅԱՍՏԱՆԻ ՀԱՆՐԱՊԵՏՈՒԹՅԱՆ
ՇՐՋԱԿԱ ՄԻՋԱՎԱՅՐԻ ՆԱԽԱՐԱՐ

REPUBLIC OF ARMENIA
MINISTER OF ENVIRONMENT
РЕСПУБЛИКА АРМЕНИЯ
МИНИСТР ОКРУЖАЮЩЕЙ СРЕДЫ

№ 1/27.1/12088

« 21 » « 08 » 2023

To: The Adaptation Fund Board
c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
Email: Secretariat@Adaptation-Fund.org
Fax: 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement for the project “Enhancing the land-based adaptation of communities adjacent to arid zones and forest protected areas of Armenia by duplicating and expanding the successful mechanisms of the previous projects”

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in the Republic of Armenia, I confirm that the above national grant proposal is in accordance with the government’s national priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in the Republic of Armenia.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above grant proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project will be implemented by the “Environmental Project Implementation Unit” State Agency of the Ministry of Environment of the Republic of Armenia and executed by the same Agency.

Sincerely,

18.08.2023

X

ՀԱՎՈՐ ՄԻՍԻՂՅԱՆ

Signed by: SIMIDYAN HAKOB 3004840588

Mr. Hakob Simidyan



“Environmental Project Implementation Unit” State Agency
Armen Yesoyan, +37410651 631



ՀԱՅԱՍՏԱՆԻ ՀԱՆՐԱՊԵՏՈՒԹՅԱՆ
ՇՐՋԱԿԱ ՄԻՋԱՎԱՅՐԻ
ՆԱԽԱՐԱՐՈՒԹՅՈՒՆ

0010, ք.Երևան, Հանրապետության հր., Կառավարական տուն 3
3 Government Bld., Republic Sq., Yerevan, Armenia, 0010
0010, Армения, г.Ереван, Пл. Республики, Дом Правительства 3
✉ 10010608@e-citizen.am | minenv@env.am | www.env.am
☎ +374 11 818 501 | 📠 +374 11 818 506



ANNEX I.

Excerpt from the Stakeholder Consultations Report

I. Background

The “Environmental Project Implementation Unit” State Agency of the Ministry of Nature Protection of the Republic of Armenia (EPIU) has contracted Consultant to support in development of full proposals for Scaling up adaptation capacity in communities adjacent to arid zones and forest protected areas of Armenia and replicating successful mechanisms tested during pilot project;

One of the key components of the Consultant’s work is mapping of the environmental and climate change challenges existing in the most vulnerable communities of Armenia, as well as identification and development of potential interventions by the EPIU aimed at prevention or mitigation of the adverse impact on environment and increasing the climate change adaption capacities of the communities.

On July 24,2023 the Consultant’s team had a meeting with the management and respective experts of the EPIU. During the meeting the lists of the potential target communities of the upcoming project were discussed and agreed on (see the list of the potential target communities in the table below).

N	Region	Community	Stakeholder(s)
1.	Shirak	Ani consolidated community	Head and municipality officials
2.		Artik consolidated community	Head and municipality officials
3.		Ashotsk consolidated community	Head of the community and heads of all settlements administration
4.	Lori	Lermontovo community	Head and municipality officials
5.		Fioletovo consolidated community	Deputy head and municipality officials
6.	Tavush	Dilijan consolidated community	Head of the community and heads of all the settlements administration
7.	Gegharkunik	Sevan consolidated community	Municipality officials and heads of the Semyonovka and Tsovagyugh

			settlements administration
8.		“Sevan” National park	Officials of park
9.	Aragatsotn	Aragatsotn region	Deputy governor, head and other officials of the Agriculture and Environment protection Department of the Aragatsotn regional government
10.	Ararat	Vedi, Ararat and Artashat consolidated communities	Head and other officials of the Agriculture and Environment protection Department of the Ararat regional government, Municipality officials of the Vedi, Ararat and Artashat consolidated communities
11.	Armavir	Armavir region	Head and other officials of the Agriculture and Environment protection Department of the Armavir regional government
12.		Khoy consolidated community	Head and municipality officials

Stakeholder consultations have been conducted in the format of Focus Group Discussions (please see the photos in the Annex). For this purpose the Consultant’s team has developed a questionnaire that would allow to reveal the environmental and climate change challenges existing in the communities, identify the impact of those challenges and identify potential interventions (see questionnaire in the table below).

N	Questions
1.	Are there any nearby mining or extraction activities? How do they impact the environment and the community's well-being?
2.	How is waste disposal managed in the community? Is there a proper waste management system in place, or is there evidence of improper waste dumping?
3.	What is the state of air quality in the community? Are there any noticeable sources of air pollution, such as burning of waste or biomass?
4.	Are there any industrial activities or agricultural practices nearby that potentially may release pollutants into the environment?
5.	Are there any specific health issues that might be related to environmental factors or climate change?
6.	How are energy needs met in the community? Are there renewable energy sources being utilized, or is there a reliance on fossil fuels?
7.	Is there any evidence of climate change impacts in the community? (e.g., extreme weather events, shifts in the timing of seasons, changes in precipitation patterns)
8.	How do changing weather patterns, such as extreme temperatures, droughts, floods, or storms, impact your daily lives and livelihoods?
9.	What are the common agricultural practices in the area? Are chemical fertilizers and pesticides used, and if so, are there potential risks to the environment and human health?

10.	What are the primary water sources for your community, and have you noticed any changes in their availability or quality due to climate-related factors?
11.	Is deforestation or land degradation (including erosion) a concern in the community?
12.	Are there any barriers or challenges hindering your community's ability to implement climate adaptation strategies?

II. Stakeholder consultations

During July 27 - August 1, 2023 Consultant's expert together with the EPIU's project implementation and monitoring department expert visited the pre-identified communities and had consultations with the relevant officials of the regional governments and communities.

The summary of the stakeholder consultations is as follows:

1. Shirak region: Ani consolidated community (consolidates 19 settlements), Artik consolidated community (consolidates 24 settlements), Ashotsk consolidated community (consolidates 25 settlements)

A. Mining or extraction activities

1.A.1. Ani community

- There are operational and non-operational mining sites in the territory of Dzorakap, Anipemza, Aniavan, Haykadzor and Bagravan settlements. The dust and dirt from the mining activities negatively impact the environment, air, humans, livestock, agricultural lands, etc.
- Heavy trucks and other machinery working in the mining sites destroy the roads of the nearby settlements.
- There is a closed stone mining site on about 5-6 hectares area between the Dzorakap and Maralik settlements. No conservation and reclamation works have been carried out.
- There is a non-operational (abandoned) stone mining site in Anipemza settlement dust from which (called Andesite Flour) is the cause of respiratory diseases among the inhabitants of Anipemza and Aniavan (Ani Kayaran) settlements.
- If a decision is made to recultivate and remediate land of any closed mining pit in the community there is around 250,000 m³ of quality fertile soil extracted from different parts of the Shirak region during the construction of North-South Road Corridor.

1.A.2. Artik community

There are operational and non-operational mining sites that are mostly concentrated in the territory of Pemzashen, Tufashen, Nor Kyanq and Haritch settlements. The dust and dirt from the mining activities negatively impact the environment, air, humans, livestock, agricultural lands, etc. In addition, heavy trucks and other machinery working in the mining sites destroy the roads of the nearby settlements.

1.A.3. Ashotsk community

There is an operating basalt mining site in the Goghovit settlement. The dust and dirt from the mining activities negatively impact the environment, air, humans, livestock, agricultural lands, etc. In addition, heavy trucks and other machinery working in the mining site destroy the roads of the settlement.

B. Waste disposal and proper waste management

1.B.1. All 3 communities

- Waste disposal is organized by each communities'/settlements' respective services.
- There is not any centralized waste disposal or recycling facility neither in the communities nor in the region. Next to most of the settlements there are small landfills where the most waste from the settlements is dumped. Those landfills do not comply with any norms and standards defined by the legislation.
- There was a big project on construction of specialized solid waste disposal and recycling facility on the area of around 30 hectares near Benjamin settlement of Shirak region that should have been used for the whole Shirak region. However, the financing of that project was not ensured and facility had not been constructed.
- Ani and Ashotsk communities have shortage of waste collection trucks and waste bins
- In Artik community there is a need for reconstruction of 2 big mudflow canals and several bridges on those mudflow canals
- In the Mets Sepasar settlement of the Ashotsk community there is a biogas production facility. The waste from the settlement is used as a raw material. The capacity of the facility is 3,5 tons. The production capacity of the facility could be increased.

C. Major health concerns in the community

1.C.1. Ani consolidated community

Inhabitants of Anipemza and Aniavan (Ani Kayaran) settlements have respiratory diseases caused by the dust (called Andesite Flour) from the stone mining pit that has been exploited during the Soviet times. The mining pit is abandoned already 25 years.

1.C.2. Artik consolidated community

Inhabitants of Artik, Pemzashen, Tufashen and neighbouring settlements have

respiratory diseases caused by the dust from the stone mining pits.

1.C.3. Ashotsk consolidated community

No major health issues.

D. Energy sources

1.D.1. All 3 communities

Almost all the energy consumed in all 3 communities is produced from fossil fuels. There are some private renewable (vast majority of which is solar) energy productions, but these are of small volumes and for own use only.

E. Climate change impacts in the community

1.E.1. All 3 communities

In all 3 communities there is an obvious impact of climate change. The negative impact of the climate change is observed as:

- droughts and reduction of the available water
- severe winds
- hails
- heavy rainfall

The positive impacts of climate change are also observed in all 3 communities. Particularly, currently new varieties of fruits, berries and vegetables (including high-value ones), that were impossible to grow in the region, now are growing.

F. Agricultural practices

1.F.1. All 3 communities

- The main agricultural activities in all 3 communities traditionally have been livestock breeding, crop cultivation and horticulture. The farmers in all 3 communities are using mainly “traditional” fertilizers, such as Ammonium nitrate, Superphosphate and Potassium chloride.
- Current agricultural practices also contribute to increasing the degradation of the soil. Hence, application of more sustainable agricultural practices such as intercropping, mixed cropping, no-till or reduced tillage methods, use and application of composting, mulching, use of organic fertilizers, etc. can contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation.

G. Water resources

1.G.1. All 3 communities

- The region is reach with water resources. At a depth of 6 meters and up to 200 meters there are enormous volumes of water reserves in the territory of many settlements. However, due to absence of new or uselessness of old reservoirs, pumping stations and water supply systems currently the access to drinking and irrigation water is a huge problem in almost all the settlements.

- One of the major issues is unsustainable use of water resources. Almost all the existing irrigation networks in all 3 communities are of open (soil) streams and it results in huge losses of water. Another example of unsustainable use of water resources is the use of drinking water for irrigation in many settlements.
- For increasing effectiveness of water use it is advised to provide portable irrigation sprinklers systems to settlements (please see the photos in the Annex). Although it is less efficient than drip irrigation or sub-irrigation due to waste of water through run-off and evaporation, but it is much more efficient compared to flood irrigation (through open (soil) canals) which is currently widely applied in vast majority of cases.
- In Ashotsk community currently undergoing construction of main irrigation pipeline. In 2023 they have constructed around 1,2 km of it and in 2024 will construct the remaining 2 km. After that there will be need for construction of around 35-40 irrigation network to “connect” the settlements and ensure irrigation there.
- Most importantly, there is a need for more thorough investigation and expert consultancy on more effective management and use of existing water reserves in the communities or as whole in the region.
- Near Zuygaghbyhur settlement of the Ashotsk community there is an open water fountain that is throwing out water for already more than 30 years and nothing is done to stop that or to use that water (please see the photo in the Annex).

H. Deforestation or land degradation (including erosion)

1.H.1. All 3 communities

- All 3 communities have huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuses the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded. Some parts of those remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows are of good condition and population could benefit from it, and simultaneously decreasing intensity of use of the lands that are closer to settlements, if access to those areas is enhanced by construction of the field roads.
- Absence of watering points for the livestock in the remote pasture areas is a big problem. For watering the livestock they have to cover long (up to 10 km) distances and, as a result, milk productivity is lower by 20-25% and the pastures degradation is more intense. In all communities there is a need for installation of livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas

- Another huge problem is deforestation in the whole community. There is an urgent need for conservation and restoration of forests areas, especially with drought-resistant trees, shrubs and plants. Establishment of forests and recreational parks in some settlements would help to enhance climate change mitigation and adaptation. From one side this will regulate biodiversity, absorb essential amount of carbon dioxide (CO²), protect biodiversity in the region, and, at the same time, would support livelihoods and create more conducive conditions for tourism development in the region.
- In Artik consolidated community there is need for establishment of nursery for growing tree and shrubs seedlings
- A strong climate change mitigation and adaption measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of each community (please see the photos in the Annex). They will protect agricultural crops by reducing evapotranspiration and acting as windbreaks (reduce erosive windspeeds). These several forest belts would become a model for further replication by other settlements.

I. Challenges hindering implementation of climate adaptation measures

1.1.1. All 3 communities

- Absence of new or uselessness of the old infrastructure, including for water management, energy production, waste management, roads, etc.
- Low level or lack of knowledge and awareness on climate change risks and adaption measures among the population, including regional and local officials
- Low level or lack of knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices among the population
- Lack of alternative income sources for the population and their overreliance on subsistence and non-effective agriculture
- Lack of financial resources

2. Lori region: Lermontovo consolidated community (consolidates 2 settlements, Molokan minority community), Fioletovo community (Molokan minority community)

A. Mining or extraction activities

2.A.1. Lermontovo community

- There is a closed stone mining site near Lermontovo settlement. Recultivation and remediation of lands is being carried out by the EPIU within another project.

B. Waste disposal and proper waste management

2.B.1. All 2 communities

- Waste disposal is organized by each communities'/settlements' respective services. There are no any major issues in this regard.

C. Major health concerns in the community

2.C.1. All 2 communities

No major health issues.

D. Energy sources

2.D.1. All 2 communities

Almost all the energy consumed in both communities is produced from the fossil fuels. There are some private renewable (vast majority of which is solar) energy productions, but these are of small volumes and for own use only.

E. Climate change impacts in the community

2.E.1. All 2 communities

No major adverse effects of climate change.

F. Agricultural practices

2.F.1. All 2 communities

- The main agricultural activities in both communities traditionally have been livestock breeding, crop cultivation and horticulture. The farmers in both communities are using mainly "traditional" fertilizers, such as Ammonium nitrate, Superphosphate and Potassium chloride.
- Current agricultural practices also contribute to increasing the degradation of the soil. Hence, application of more sustainable agricultural practices such as intercropping, mixed cropping, no-till or reduced tillage methods, use and application of composting, mulching, use of organic fertilizers, etc. can contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation.

G. Water resources

2.G.1. All 2 communities

- One of the major issues is unsustainable use of water resources. There are several cases when irrigation networks are of open (soil) streams and it results in huge losses of water.
- In the Lermontovo community there is a need for reconstruction of 2 km of drinking water supply pipeline.
- For increasing effectiveness of water use it is advised to provide portable irrigation sprinklers systems to settlements (please see the photos in the Annex). Although it is less efficient than drip irrigation or sub-irrigation due to waste of water through run-off and evaporation, but it is much more efficient compared to flood irrigation (through open (soil) canals) which is currently widely applied in vast majority of cases.

H. Deforestation or land degradation (including erosion)

2.H.1. All 2 communities

- Both communities have huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Some parts of those remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows are of good condition and population could benefit from it, and simultaneously decreasing intensity of use of the lands that are closer to settlements, if access to those areas is enhanced by construction of the field roads.
- In Lermontovo community construction of 8 km field roads would allow to use more than 400 hectares of pastures.
- In Fioletovo community construction of 15 km field roads would allow to use 260 hectares of arable lands and 300 hectares of hay meadows.
- In Lermontovo community there are watering points for the livestock in the remote pasture areas. However, due to some construction mistakes in the piping system the watering points become obstructed (blocked up).
- In Fioletovo community there is a need for installation of livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas
- A strong climate change mitigation and adaption measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of each community (please see the photos in the Annex).

I. Challenges hindering implementation of climate adaptation measures

2.I.1. All 2 communities

- Absence of new or uselessness of the old infrastructure, including for water management, energy production, waste management, roads, etc.
- Low level or lack of knowledge and awareness on climate change risks and adaption measures among the population, including regional and local officials
- Low level or lack of knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices among the population
- Lack of alternative income sources for the population and their overreliance on subsistence and non-effective agriculture
- Lack of financial resources

3. Tavush region: Dilijan consolidated community (consolidates 9 settlements)

A. Mining or extraction activities

3.A.1. Dilijan consolidated community

- No major issues

B. Waste disposal and proper waste management

3.B.1. Dilijan consolidated community

- Waste disposal is organized by the community's respective services.
- The sewage system of Dilijan city has maintenance problems and there is a need for a specialized vehicle for sewage system repairs.
- In Dilijan city there is a need for reconstruction of the mudflow canals and strengthening of the retaining walls

C. Major health concerns in the community

3.C.1. Dilijan consolidated community

No major health issues.

D. Energy sources

3.D.1. Dilijan consolidated community

Almost all the energy consumed in the community is produced from fossil fuels. There are some private renewable (vast majority of which is solar) energy productions, but these are of small volumes and for own use only.

E. Climate change impacts in the community

3.E.1. Dilijan consolidated community

In all 3 communities there is an obvious impact of climate change. The negative impact of the climate change is observed as:

- droughts and reduction of the available water
- severe winds
- hails
- heavy rainfall

F. Agricultural practices

3.F.1. Dilijan consolidated community

- The main agricultural activities in all 3 communities traditionally have been livestock breeding, horticulture and to a lesser extent crop cultivation. The farmers in the community are using mainly "traditional" fertilizers, such as Ammonium nitrate, Superphosphate and Potassium chloride.
- Current agricultural practices also contribute to increasing the degradation of the soil. Hence, application of more sustainable agricultural practices such as intercropping, mixed cropping, no-till or reduced tillage methods, use and application of composting, mulching, use of organic fertilizers, etc. can contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation.

G. Water resources

3.G.1. Dilijan consolidated community

- The community is comparatively rich with the water resources. However, due to absence of new or usefulness of old reservoirs, pumping stations and water supply systems currently the access to drinking and irrigation water is a huge problem in almost all the settlements.
- In Dilijan city there is a need for construction of water reservoir and irrigation network that could ensure proper irrigation of the Dilijan and neighboring settlements.
- In Dilijan city there is a need for specialized equipment for cleaning of irrigation and drinking water headstreams.
- There is an urgent need to clean the Aghstev river which is suffering from waste and mud accumulation. Biodiversity is in danger.
- The Gosh Lake is a natural reservoir of water resources. The Gosh settlement in general, including Gosh Lake, is a very popular tourist destination. However, due to improper management, lack of attention and maintenance the lake is suffering from waste and mud accumulation, weed infestation, biodiversity is in danger, the fishes and crawfishes are in danger of extinction. There is an urgent need to clean the lake and surroundings.
- One of the major issues is unsustainable use of water resources. There are several cases when irrigation networks are of open (soil) streams, and it results in huge losses of water. Another example of unsustainable use of water resources is the use of drinking water for irrigation in many settlements.

H. Deforestation or land degradation (including erosion)

3.H.1. Dilijan consolidated community

- The community has huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuses the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded. Some parts of those remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows are of good condition and population could benefit from it, and simultaneously decreasing intensity of use of the lands that are closer to settlements, if access to those areas is enhanced by construction of the field roads.
- The results of land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of the remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows carried out during the previous project are very positive. However, still there is need for more similar works.
- Absence of watering points for the livestock in the remote pasture areas is a big problem.

- Another huge problem is deforestation in the whole community. There is an urgent need for conservation and restoration of forests areas, especially with drought-resistant trees, shrubs and plants. For instance, establishment of forests and recreational parks in some settlements would increase the forest areas and, at the same time, would create more conducive conditions for tourism development in the region.
- A strong climate change mitigation and adaptation measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of the community.
- In Hovk and Haghartsin settlements there are several areas that are vulnerable to landslides. During the last several decades there were several cases of landslides in these areas. Although no major landslides have been recorded recently but the risk exists. In this regard it is advised to initiate a comprehensive study on the causes of landslides and measures to prevent them in the future. Meanwhile, as an immediate action it is advised to establish forests (plant trees and shrubs) on hills to keep the soil packed and protected.

I. Challenges hindering implementation of climate adaptation measures

3.1.1. Dilijan consolidated community

- Absence of new or uselessness of the old infrastructure, including for water management, energy production, waste management, roads, etc.
- Low level or lack of knowledge and awareness on climate change risks and adaption measures among the population, including regional and local officials
- Low level or lack of knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices among the population
- Lack of alternative income sources for the population and their overreliance on subsistence and non-effective agriculture
- Lack of financial resources

4. Gegharkunik region: Sevan consolidated community (consolidates 12 settlements), “Sevan” National Park

A. Waste disposal and proper waste management

4.A.1. Sevan consolidated community

- Waste disposal is organized by the communities’ respective services. The main landfill is located in Norashen community where the most waste from the community is dumped.

- There is no any centralized waste disposal or recycling facility. However, there is a preliminary agreement with the waste disposal or recycling facility in Hrazdan community for recycling of some part of sorted solid waste. For this purpose, the community has started sorting out waste.
- In Sevan city there is a need for reconstruction of 2,5 km mudflow canals

B. Energy sources

4.B.1. Sevan consolidated community

- In the Semyonovka settlement a private company is piloting know-how of one of its founders - a new model of electricity production wind turbine.
- In the whole Sevan consolidated community there is a need for installation of PV panels for 8 schools and 5 kindergartens to reduce electricity costs

C. Climate change impacts in the community

4.C.1. Sevan consolidated community

In the community there is an obvious impact of climate change. The negative impact of the climate change is observed as:

- droughts and reduction of the available water
- severe winds
- hails (in Semyonovka (Molokan minority community) and Tsovagyugh settlements there are no anti-hail stations)
- heavy rainfall
- frost in late spring

4.C.2. "Sevan" National Park

- All the climate events happening due to climate change in Gegharkunik region very negatively impact also the flora and fauna of the "Sevan" National Park.
- During the last 2 years up to 15,000 hectares of the Pine trees (70% *Pinus sylvestris* and 30% Crimean Pine, *Pinus nigra*) has died (dried up), especially near Akhtamar peninsula. The scientists do not understand the reasons. Many of them are of the opinion that this is due to diseases, but there are no any scientifically justified evidences of that fact.

D. Agricultural practices

4.D.1. Sevan city, Semyonovka (Molokan minority community) and Tsovagyugh settlements

- The main agricultural activities in the settlements traditionally have been livestock breeding, apiculture, crop cultivation and horticulture. The farmers in the community are using mainly "traditional" fertilizers, such as Ammonium nitrate, Superphosphate and Potassium chloride.

- Current agricultural practices also contribute to increasing the degradation of the soil. Hence, application of more sustainable agricultural practices such as intercropping, mixed cropping, no-till or reduced tillage methods, use and application of composting, mulching, use of organic fertilizers, etc. can contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation.

E. Water resources

4.E.1. Sevan city, Semyonovka (Molokan minority community) and Tsovagyugh settlements and “Sevan” National Park

- The region is rich with water resources. However, due to absence of new or uselessness of old reservoirs, pumping stations and water supply systems currently the access to drinking and irrigation water is a huge problem in almost all the settlements.
- One of the major issues is unsustainable use of water resources. Almost all the existing irrigation networks in the community are of open (soil) streams and it results in huge losses of water.

F. Deforestation or land degradation (including erosion)

4.F.1. Semyonovka (Molokan minority community) and Tsovagyugh settlements

- Both settlements have huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuses the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded. Some parts of those remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows are of good condition and population could benefit from it, and simultaneously decreasing intensity of use of the lands that are closer to settlements, if access to those areas is enhanced by construction of the field roads.
- Absence of watering points for the livestock in the remote pasture areas is a big problem
- A strong climate change mitigation and adaptation measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of each community.
- During the last 2 years up to 15,000 hectares of the Pine trees (70% *Pinus sylvestris* and 30% Crimean Pine, *Pinus nigra*) has died (dried up) in the territory of the “Sevan” National Park, especially near Akhtamar peninsula. The Park has a low-capacity nursery for tree seedlings and the staff of the Park has already planted around 243 hectares of new trees on the deforested territory. However, the numbers are very small. It is advised to establish a new nursery for growing tree and shrubs seedlings. It can grow seedlings not only for Park forestation needs, but also for sale. Selling the

seedlings could become a source of stable income and will ensure self-financing of its operations.

G. Challenges hindering implementation of climate adaptation measures

4.G.1. All 3 communities

- Absence of new or uselessness of the old infrastructure, including for water management, energy production, waste management, roads, etc.
- Low level or lack of knowledge and awareness on climate change risks and adaptation measures among the population, including regional and local officials
- Low level or lack of knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices among the population
- Lack of alternative income sources for the population and their overreliance on subsistence and non-effective agriculture
- Lack of financial resources

5. Aragatsotn region: 8 consolidated communities, 115 settlements

A. Mining or extraction activities

5.A.1. Ashtarak consolidated community

- There is a closed stone mining site with around 25 hectares area in between Agarak and Parpi settlements of Ashtarak community. The dust and dirt from that mining site negatively impacts the environment, air, humans, livestock, agricultural lands, etc. of Parpi, Bazmaghbyur, Ghazaravan, Agarak, Voskevaz, Oshakan and Ashtarak settlements. It is neighbouring Arzni-Shamiram water canal and after the recultivation and remediation of those lands it will be possible to ensure drip irrigation there.

B. Climate change impacts in the community

5.B.1. Whole region

In the whole region there is an obvious impact of climate change. The negative impact of the climate change is observed as:

- droughts and reduction of the available water
- severe winds
- hails
- heavy rainfall

C. Agricultural practices

5.C.1. Whole region

- The main agricultural activities in the region traditionally have been crop cultivation, horticulture and to a lesser extent livestock breeding. The

farmers of the region are using mainly “traditional” fertilizers, such as Ammonium nitrate, Superphosphate and Potassium chloride.

- Current agricultural practices also contribute to increasing the degradation of the soil. Hence, application of more sustainable agricultural practices such as intercropping, mixed cropping, no-till or reduced tillage methods, use and application of composting, mulching, use of organic fertilizers, etc. can contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation.

D. Water resources

5.D.1. Whole region

- One of the major issues is unsustainable use of water resources. There are cases when irrigation networks are of open (soil) streams and it results in huge losses of water. Another example of unsustainable use of water resources is the use of drinking water for irrigation in several settlements.
- In Talin consolidated community there are settlements which have water scarcity. Close to those settlements there is a man-made water reservoir and it is possible to install an irrigation network from that source.

E. Deforestation or land degradation (including erosion)

5.E.1. Whole region

- The region has 131,000 hectares of pastures and only 15-20% of these lands are used. As a result, the population overuses those lands and the soil becomes more and more degraded. If field roads and watering points for the livestock are constructed in those pastures the farmers could benefit from it, simultaneously decreasing intensity of use of the lands that are overused.
- Another huge problem is deforestation in the whole region. There is an urgent need for conservation and restoration of forests areas, especially with drought-resistant trees, shrubs and plants. For instance, establishment of forest and recreational park in Amberd settlement (next to the road going to Stone Lake and Ashot Yerkat fortress) would increase the forest areas and, at the same time, would create more conducive conditions for tourism development in the region.
- A strong climate change mitigation and adaptation measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of each community.

F. Challenges hindering implementation of climate adaptation measures

5.F.1. Whole region

- Absence of new or uselessness of the old infrastructure, including for water management, energy production, waste management, roads, etc.

- Low level or lack of knowledge and awareness on climate change risks and adaptation measures among the population, including regional and local officials
- Low level or lack of knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices among the population
- Lack of alternative income sources for the population and their overreliance on subsistence and non-effective agriculture
- Lack of financial resources

6. Ararat region: Artashat city, Vedi consolidated community (consolidates 19 settlements), Ararat consolidated community (consolidates 12 settlements)

A. Mining or extraction activities

6.A.1. Whole region

- There is a Diatomit stone mining site in the territory of the region and cement production facility in the Ararat community. The dust and dirt from the mining and cement production activities negatively impact the environment, air, humans, livestock, agricultural lands, etc.

B. Waste disposal and proper waste management

6.B.1. Vedi consolidated community

- There is a need for construction of the waste disposal and recycling facility
- There is a need for establishment of forest belt around the waste disposal and recycling facility

C. Climate change impacts in the community

6.C.1. Whole region

In all 3 communities there is an obvious impact of climate change. The negative impact of the climate change is observed as:

- droughts and reduction of the available water
- severe winds
- hails
- heavy rainfall

D. Agricultural practices

6.D.1. Whole region

- The main agricultural activities in the region traditionally have been horticulture, aquaculture and to a lesser extent livestock breeding. The farmers of the region are using mainly “traditional” fertilizers, such as Ammonium nitrate, Superphosphate and Potassium chloride.

- Current agricultural practices also contribute to increasing the degradation of the soil. Hence, application of more sustainable agricultural practices such as intercropping, mixed cropping, no-till or reduced tillage methods, use and application of composting, mulching, use of organic fertilizers, etc. can contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation.

E. Water resources

6.E.1. Whole region

- One of the major issues is unsustainable use of water resources. Most part of the existing irrigation networks in the region are of open (soil) streams and it results in huge losses of water. Another example of unsustainable use of water resources is the use of drinking water for irrigation in many settlements.
- Another major issue of unsustainable use of water is fisheries. There are many fisheries in the region, they use a huge amount of water and then the water is not disposed of properly and just lost.

F. Deforestation or land degradation (including erosion)

6.F.1. Whole region

- Ararat consolidated community has around 2,500 hectares of remote pastures most of which are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuses the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded.
- If field roads and watering points for the livestock are constructed in the remote pastures of Sevakavan and Zangakatun settlements the farmers could use some additional 1,000 hectares of pastures, simultaneously decreasing intensity of use of the lands that are overused. The nearby water source is Arazap-2 reservoir and there will be need for construction of around 4,5 km water pipeline from there.
- In Lusashogh, Shaghap, Urtsadzor and Lanjanist settlements of the Vedi consolidated communities there are huge areas of remote pastures and there is a need for construction of field roads and watering points for livestock as much as possible.
- Another huge problem is deforestation in the whole region. There is an urgent need for conservation and restoration of forests areas, especially with drought-resistant trees, shrubs and plants.
- A strong climate change mitigation and adaptation measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of each community.

G. Challenges hindering implementation of climate adaptation measures

6.G.1. Whole region

- Absence of new or uselessness of the old infrastructure, including for water management, energy production, waste management, roads, etc.
- Low level or lack of knowledge and awareness on climate change risks and adaptation measures among the population, including regional and local officials
- Low level or lack of knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices among the population
- Lack of alternative income sources for the population and their overreliance on subsistence and non-effective agriculture
- Lack of financial resources

7. Armavir region: Khoy consolidated community (consolidates 17 settlements)

A. Energy sources

7.A.1. Khoy consolidated community

Almost all the energy consumed in Khoy community is produced from fossil fuels. There are some private renewable (vast majority of which is solar) energy productions, but these are of small volumes and for own use only. If PV panels for Arshaluys settlement administration are installed it would allow to reduce costs of electricity. With the preliminary agreement, the saved amounts could be directed towards implementation of climate adaptive measures in the settlements.

B. Climate change impacts in the community

7.B.1. Whole region

In the regions there is an obvious impact of climate change. The negative impact of the climate change is observed as:

- droughts and reduction of the available water
- severe winds
- hails
- heavy rainfall

C. Agricultural practices

7.C.1. Whole region

- The main agricultural activities in the region traditionally have been horticulture and to a lesser extent aquaculture. The farmers of the region are using mainly “traditional” fertilizers, such as Ammonium nitrate, Superphosphate and Potassium chloride.

- Current agricultural practices also contribute to increasing the degradation of the soil. Hence, application of more sustainable agricultural practices such as intercropping, mixed cropping, no-till or reduced tillage methods, use and application of composting, mulching, use of organic fertilizers, etc. can contribute to climate change mitigation and adaptation.

D. Water resources

7.D.1. Whole region

- One of the major issues is unsustainable use of water resources. Part of the existing irrigation networks in the region are of open (soil) streams (especially in Nalbandyan, Getashen, Shenavan, Khoy, etc.) and it results in huge losses of water. Another example of unsustainable use of water resources is the use of drinking water for irrigation in many settlements.
- Construction of around 3 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate around 60 hectares of agricultural land in Ferik community (Yazidi minority community).
- There is a need for construction of as long as possible irrigation network for up to 700 hectares of agricultural lands in several communities (including Arevadasht Koghbavan)
- In Armavir community construction of around 5 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate additionally around 14,4 hectares of agricultural land.
- In Armavir community they have planted 350 trees. Due to issues with the irrigation system 50 of those trees did not survive. There is a need for construction of around 1 km irrigation network will ensure survival and growth of the remaining trees.
- Another major issue of unsustainable use of water is the fisheries in Guy settlement. They use a huge amount of water and then the water is not disposed properly and just lost.
- A strong climate change mitigation and adaptation measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of the community.

E. Deforestation or land degradation (including erosion)

7.E.1. Khoy consolidated community

- The major problem is deforestation in the whole region. There is an urgent need for conservation and restoration of forests areas, especially with drought-resistant trees, shrubs and plants.
- In the Khoy consolidated community: Establishment of forest and recreational park in Aygeshat settlement with around 20 hectares area

would increase the forest areas and would be useful for surrounding 3 settlements. There is also a need for establishment of around 10 hectares of forest and recreational park in the Arshaluys settlement (next to M-5 highway) and 8,000 m² forest and recreational park in Aragats settlement.

- A strong climate change mitigation and adaptation measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of each community.

F. Challenges hindering implementation of climate adaptation measures

7.F.1. Whole region

- Absence of new or uselessness of the old infrastructure, including for water management, energy production, waste management, roads, etc.
- Low level or lack of knowledge and awareness on climate change risks and adaptation measures among the population, including regional and local officials
- Low level or lack of knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices among the population
- Lack of alternative income sources for the population and their overreliance on subsistence and non-effective agriculture
- Lack of financial resources

III. Identified problems and proposed interventions

Table below summarizes all the potential interventions that would mitigate negative impact on the environment and increase climate change adaption capacities of the communities that have been identified during the consultations with the stakeholders in each community. The proposed interventions are formulated taking into account the effectiveness and feasibility of implementation based on the existing preconditions, the priority and urgency, as well as other ongoing or planned similar interventions.

N	Region	Community	Problem	Proposed intervention
1.	Shirak	3 communities (Ani consolidated community, Artik consolidated community and Ashotsk consolidated community)	<p>1.1. There are operational and non-operational stone mining sites in all 3 communities. The dust and dirt from the mining activities negatively impact the environment, air, humans, livestock, agricultural lands, etc.</p> <p>1.2. Heavy trucks and other machinery working in the mining sites destroy the roads of the nearby settlements</p>	<p>1.1. Recultivation and remediation of lands, establishment of forests and recreational parks on the territories of the closed or adjacent to the open mining sites:</p> <p>1.1.1. In Ani community: Recultivation and remediation of lands, establishment of forest and recreational park on the 5-6 hectares of Dzorakap settlement. There is around 250,000 m³ of quality fertile soil available in the community.</p> <p>1.1.2. In Ani community: Recultivation and remediation of lands, establishment of forest and recreational park on the territory of Anipemza settlement. There is around 250,000 m³ of quality fertile soil available in the community.</p> <p>1.1.3. In Artik community: Recultivation and remediation of lands, establishment of forests and recreational parks on the territories of Pemzashen, Tufashen, Nor Kyanq and Haritch settlements</p> <p>1.1.4. In Ashotsk community: Establishment of forest on the territory of Goghovit settlement adjacent to the operational basalt mining site.</p> <p>1.2. In Ashotsk community: Construction of alternative road in Goghovit settlement for stone mining site trucks and machinery would allow to keep settlement road in good condition for a longer time period</p>

		1.3. Absence of centralized waste disposal or recycling facilities	1.3. Construction of specialized solid waste disposal and recycling joint facility for Ani and Artik community or better, for the whole Shirak region 1.13.1 In the Mets Sepasar settlement of the Ashotsk community there is a biogas production facility. The waste from the settlement is used as a raw material. The capacity of the facility is 3,5 tons. If the production capacity of the facility is increased, it could process more waste. It could become a model for replication in other settlements.
		1.4. Mudflow management	1.4. In Artik city there is a need for reconstruction of 2 big mudflow canals and several bridges on those mudflow canals
		1.5. Sewage system in Arpeni settlement of Ashotsk community is out of order and the whole sewage flows into the river	1.5. Repair of the sewage system in the Arpeni settlement of Ashotsk community
		1.6. Scarcity of waste collection trucks and waste bins	1.6. Provision of waste collection trucks and waste bins to Ani and Ashotsk communities
		1.7. Almost all the energy consumed in all 3 communities is produced from the fossil fuels.	1.7. Support in installation of PV panels for all 3 community administrations that will allow to reduce costs electricity. 1.7.1. For instance, if PV panels with 170-180 kW power are built for municipality of Ashotsk community it would allow to reduce costs of electricity. With the preliminary agreement, the saved amounts could be directed towards implementation of climate adaptive measures in the settlements.
		1.8. Due to absence of new or uselessness of old reservoirs, pumping stations and water supply systems currently the access to drinking and irrigation water is a huge problem in almost all the settlements	1.8. Construction of water management systems, including reservoirs, pumping stations, main water supply and drip irrigation networks. Also, for increasing effectiveness of water use it is advised to provide portable irrigation sprinklers systems to settlements (please see the photos in the Annex). Although it is less efficient than drip irrigation or sub-irrigation due to waste of water through run-off and evaporation, but it is much more efficient

				<p>compared to flood irrigation (through open (soil) canals) which is currently widely applied in vast majority of cases.</p> <p>1.8.1. In Ani community: Construction of a new pumping station and irrigation systems in the cluster consisting of Gusanagyugh, Shirkavan, Isahakyan, Aghin and other neighbouring settlements would ensure irrigation of around 2,000 hectares of land in that part of the community.</p> <p>1.8.2. In Ani community: Construction of a new pumping station and irrigation systems in the Sarnaghbyur settlement would ensure irrigation of more than 700 hectares of (more than 80 hectares of Sarnaghbyur, more than 260 hectares of Dzorakap and more than 350 hectares of Maralik) of agricultural land</p> <p>1.8.3. In Artik community: Construction of an irrigation network in Anushavan, Vardakar and Nor Kyanq settlements (ca. AMD 31 million)</p> <p>1.8.4. In Ashotsk community: currently undergoing construction of main irrigation pipeline. In 2023 they have constructed around 1,2 km of it and in 2024 will construct the remaining 2 km. After that there will be need for construction of around 35-40 irrigation network to “connect” the settlements and ensure irrigation there.</p> <p>1.8.5. In Ashotsk community: Construction of 7-8 km irrigation system in Saragyugh settlement would ensure irrigation of more than 250 ha of lands</p> <p>1.8.6. In Ashotsk community: Construction of 7-8 km irrigation system in Dzorashen settlement would ensure irrigation of more than 200 ha of lands of Dzorashen and additional 5 settlements</p> <p>1.8.7. In Ashotsk community: There is a need for construction of inner irrigation system in Goghovit settlement which would ensure irrigation of lands backyard orchards of inhabitants</p>
--	--	--	--	--

				<p>1.8.8. In Ashotsk community: Koghamarg settlement has 3,5 km irrigation network and construction of 2 km pipeline would ensure irrigation of additional 180 ha of lands. If the retaining wall of the existing reservoir is overbuilt (raised the height) then more water could be accumulated and later more lands could be irrigated.</p> <p>1.8.9. In Ashotsk community: Near Zuygaghbyhur settlement there is an open water fountain that has been throwing out water for already more than 30 years and the surrounding territory became a swamp (marsh). So far, nothing has been done to stop that or to use that water (please see the photo in the Annex). It is advised to investigate the case more comprehensively and find any solution to the problem.</p>
			<p>1.9. The region is reach with the water resources. However, there is no any water management and use strategy.</p>	<p>1.9. Support in thorough investigation and expert consultancy on development and implementation of water management and use strategy</p>
			<p>1.10. All 3 communities have huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuse the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded.</p>	<p>1.10. Construction of the field roads leading to remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows. Simultaneously, restoration of vegetation at the degraded lands is required.</p> <p>1.10.1. Construction of field roads in remote areas of Saragyugh settlement of the Ashotsk community would allow to use around 120 ha pastures.</p> <p>1.10.2. Construction of field roads in remote areas of Musaler settlement of the Ashotsk community would allow to use around 100 ha pastures.</p> <p>1.10.3. Construction of around 30 km field roads in remote areas of Dzorashen settlement of the Ashotsk community would allow to use around 200 ha pastures for Dzorashen and neighbouring 5 settlements.</p>

			<p>1.11. Scarcity of livestock watering points for the livestock in the remote pasture areas.</p>	<p>1.11. Installation of livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas:</p> <p>1.11.1. Ani community has already installed 5 watering points in different pasture areas, but installation of at least 6-7 additional water points is still required.</p> <p>1.11.2. There is a need for installation of livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas of the Dzorashen settlement of the Ashotsk community.</p>
			<p>1.12. Deforestation</p>	<p>1.12. Conservation and restoration of forests areas, especially with drought-resistant trees, shrubs and plants. Establishment of forests and recreational parks in some settlements would help to enhance climate change mitigation and adaptation. From one side this will regulate biodiversity, absorb essential amount of carbon dioxide (CO₂), protect biodiversity in the region, and, at the same time, would support livelihoods and create more conducive conditions for tourism development in the region.</p> <p>1.12.1. In Ani community: Establishment of forest and recreational park in Sarnaghbyur settlement which has many tourist attractions (place of pilgrimage (Zagha), number of religious and historical monuments, etc.) and is a popular tourist destination.</p> <p>1.12.2. In Artik community: Establishment of small park or at least construction of rest rooms near the Harichavank Monastery in Harich settlement which is a popular tourist destination.</p> <p>1.12.3. In Ashotsk community: Establishment of nursery for growing tree and shrubs seedlings</p>

			1.13. Land degradation	1.13. One of the crucial climate change adaptation measures would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) for agricultural fields in chosen settlements of each community (please see the photos in the Annex). They will protect agricultural crops by reducing evapotranspiration and acting as windbreaks (reduce erosive windspeeds). These several forest belts would become a model for further replication by other settlements.
2.	Lori	2 communities with Molokan ethnic minority (Lermontovo consolidated community and Fioletovo community)	2.1. Almost all the energy consumed in both communities is produced from the fossil fuels.	2.1. Support in installation of PV panels for both community administrations that will allow to reduce electricity costs. 2.1.1. For instance, if PV panels are built for Fioletovo administration then on average monthly AMD 100,000 electricity costs could be saved. With the preliminary agreement, the saved amounts could be directed towards implementation of climate adaptive measures.
			2.2. Due to absence of new or uselessness of old reservoirs, pumping stations and water supply systems currently the access to drinking and irrigation water is a huge problem in almost all the settlements	2.2. Construction of water management systems, including reservoirs, pumping stations, main water supply and drip irrigation networks. 2.2.1. In Lermontovo community: There is a need for reconstruction of 2 km of drinking water supply pipeline.
			2.3. Both communities have huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Some parts of those remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows are not accessible due to absence of field roads.	2.3. Construction of the field roads leading to remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows. 2.3.1. In Lermontovo community construction of 8 km field roads would allow to use more than 400 hectares of pastures. 2.3.2. In Fioletovo community construction of 15 km field roads would allow to use 260 hectares of arable lands and 300 hectares of hay meadows.

			<p>2.4. Scarcity of the watering points for livestock in the remote pasture areas.</p>	<p>2.4. Installation of watering points for livestock in the remote pasture areas:</p> <p>2.4.1. In Lermontovo community: There is a need for fixing construction mistakes in the piping system because of which the existing watering points become obstructed (blocked up).</p> <p>2.4.2. There is a need for installation of livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas of the Fioletovo community.</p>
3.	Tavush	Dilijan consolidated community	<p>2.5. The sewage system of the Dilijan city has maintenance problems</p>	<p>3.1. There is a need for a specialized vehicle for sewage system repairs</p>
			<p>2.6. Mudflow management</p>	<p>3.2. In Dilijan city there is a need for reconstruction of the mudflow canals and strengthening of the retaining walls</p>
			<p>2.7. Due to absence of new or uselessness of old reservoirs, pumping stations and water supply systems currently the access to drinking and irrigation water is a huge problem in almost all the settlements</p>	<p>3.3. Construction of water management systems, including reservoirs, pumping stations, main water supply and drip irrigation networks. Also, for increasing effectiveness of water use it is advised to provide portable irrigation sprinklers systems to settlements. Although it is less efficient than drip irrigation or sub-irrigation due to waste of water through run-off and evaporation, but it is much more efficient compared to flood irrigation (through open (soil) canals) which is currently widely applied in vast majority of cases.</p> <p>3.3.1. In Dilijan city there is a need for construction of water reservoir and irrigation network that could ensure proper irrigation of the Dilijan and neighboring settlements.</p> <p>3.3.2. In Dilijan city there is a need for a specialized equipment for cleaning of irrigation and drinking water headstreams</p> <p>3.3.3. In Teghut settlement: Construction of 6-7 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate around 70 hectares of agricultural land</p>

			<p>3.3.4. In Gosh settlement: Construction of 4 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate around 100 hectares of agricultural land</p> <p>3.3.5. In Khachardzan settlement: There is a need for construction of 1,5 km irrigation network and installation of a pump</p> <p>3.3.6. In Haghartsin settlement: Construction of 6-7 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate around 2,700 hectares of lands</p> <p>3.3.7. In Aghavnavank settlement: Construction of 3 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate around 25-30 hectares of agricultural land</p> <p>3.3.8. In Hovk settlement: Construction of 3 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate around 300 hectares of agricultural land</p>
		2.8. Aghstev river is suffering from waste and mud accumulation. The biodiversity is in danger.	3.4. There is an urgent need for cleaning the Aghstev river
		2.9. The Gosh Lake is a natural reservoir of water resources. The Gosh settlement in general, including Gosh Lake is a very popular tourist destination. However, due to improper management, lack of attention and maintenance the lake is suffering from waste and mud accumulation, weed infestation, the biodiversity is in danger, the fishes and crawfishes are in danger of extinction.	3.5. There is an urgent need for cleaning Gosh Lake and surroundings.
		2.10. The community has huge areas of remote pastures, arable	3.6. Construction of the field roads leading to remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows.

			<p>lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuse the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded.</p>	<p>3.6.1. In Teghut settlement: Construction of 10 km field roads would allow to use 3000 hectares of pasture</p> <p>3.6.2. In Khachardzan settlement: Construction of 14 km field roads would allow to use 600 hectares of arable land and hay meadows</p> <p>3.6.3. In Haghartsin settlement: Construction of 12-13 km field roads would allow to use 9,000 hectares of arable land and pastures</p> <p>3.6.4. In Aghavnavank settlement: Construction of 5 km field roads would allow to use 1,500 hectares of arable land and pastures</p> <p>3.6.5. In Hovk settlement: Construction of 6 km field roads would allow to use up to 800 hectares of arable land and pastures</p>
			<p>2.11. The results of land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of the remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows carried out during the previous project are very positive. However, still there is need for more similar works.</p>	<p>3.7. Land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of the remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows</p> <p>3.7.1. In Teghut settlement there is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of around 800 hectares of hay meadows and pastures</p> <p>3.7.2. In Gosh settlement there is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of around 150 hectares of arable land</p> <p>3.7.3. In khachardzan settlement there is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of around 60 hectares of arable land</p> <p>3.7.4. In Haghartsin settlement there is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of around 1000 hectares of arable land and pastures</p> <p>3.7.5. In Aghavnavank settlement there is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of around 200 hectares of arable land and pastures</p>

				3.7.6. In Hovk settlement there is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of around 700 hectares of arable land, hay meadows and pastures
			2.12. Scarcity of the livestock watering points for the livestock in the remote pasture areas.	3.8. Installation of livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas: 3.8.1. In Gosh settlement there is a need for installation of 1 watering point in remote pastures 3.8.2. In Aghavnavank settlement there is a need for installation of 1 watering point in remote pastures
			2.13. In Hovk and Haghartsin settlements there are several areas that are vulnerable to landslides and during the last several decades there were several cases of landslides in these areas.	3.9. Initiate a comprehensive study on the causes of landslides and measures to prevent them in the future. Meanwhile, as an immediate action it is advised to establish forests (plant trees and shrubs) on hills to keep the soil packed and protected.
			2.14. Land degradation	3.10. One of the crucial climate change adaptation measures would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) for agricultural fields in chosen settlements of each community (please see the photos in the Annex). They will protect agricultural crops by reducing evapotranspiration and acting as windbreaks (reduce erosive windspeeds). These several forest belts would become a model for further replication by other settlements.
4.	Gegharkunik	Sevan city, Semyonovka (Molokan minority community) and Tsovagyugh settlements	4.1. Mudflow management	4.1. In Sevan city there is a need for reconstruction of 2,5 km mudflow canals
			4.2. Energy sources	4.2. In the whole Sevan consolidated community there is a need for installation of PV panels for 8 schools and 5 kindergartens to reduce electricity costs
			4.3. Due to absence of new or uselessness of old reservoirs, pumping stations and water supply	4.3. Construction of water management systems, including reservoirs, pumping stations, main water supply and drip irrigation networks.

		(Sevan consolidated community)	<p>systems currently the access to drinking and irrigation water is a huge problem in almost all the settlements</p>	<p>4.3.1. In Semyonovka settlement: Construction of 5 km of main irrigation pipe and 5 km of irrigation network would allow to irrigate around 20 hectares of agricultural land and ensuring sufficient water for breeding around 2,000 livestock existing in the settlements</p> <p>4.3.2. In Tsovagyugh settlement: Construction of 5 km of main irrigation pipe and 20 km of irrigation network would allow to irrigate up to 90 hectares of agricultural land and ensuring sufficient water for breeding around 2,700 livestock existing in the settlements</p>
			<p>4.4. Semyonovka and Tsovagyugh settlements have huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuse the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded.</p>	<p>4.4. Construction of the field roads leading to remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows.</p> <p>4.4.1. Construction of 20-25 km of field roads in remote areas of Semyonovka settlement would allow to use around 5,700 hectares of pastures, arable lands and hay meadows</p> <p>4.4.2. Construction of 50 km of field roads in remote areas of Tsovagyugh settlement would allow to use around 3,000 hectares of pastures, arable lands and hay meadows</p>
			<p>4.5. There is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of the remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows</p>	<p>4.5. Land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of the remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows</p> <p>4.5.1. In Semyonovka settlement there is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of around 800 hectares of hay meadows and pastures</p> <p>4.5.2. In Tsovagyugh settlement there is a need for land improvement (fertilization, restoration of vegetation) of around 800 hectares of hay meadows and pastures</p>
			<p>4.6. Scarcity of livestock watering points for the livestock in the remote pasture areas.</p>	<p>4.6. Installation of livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas:</p> <p>4.6.1. There is a need for installation of 2 livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas of the Semyonovka settlement</p>

			4.6.2. There is a need for installation of 1 livestock watering point in the remote pasture areas of the Tsovagyugh settlement
		4.7. Semyonovka and Tsovagyugh settlements have huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuse the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded	4.7. Construction of the field roads leading to remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows. 4.7.1. Construction of field roads in remote areas of Semyonovka settlement of the Ashotsk community would allow to use around 120 ha pastures 4.7.2. Construction of field roads in remote areas of Tsovagyugh settlement of the Ashotsk community would allow to use around 120 ha pastures
		“Sevan” National park	4.8. During the last 2 years up to 15,000 hectares of the Pine trees (70% Pinus sylvestris and 30% Crimean Pine, Pinus nigra) has died (dried up) 4.8. Establishment of a new nursery for growing tree and shrubs seedlings. It can grow seedlings not only for Park forestation needs, but also for sale. Selling the seedlings could be a source of stable income and would ensure self-financing of its operations. In the territory of the Park the following types of the trees and shrubs have proven resiliency in and adaptability to local climate and environment: Maple, Birch, Breadfruit, Oak, Junipers, Apricot, etc.
5.	Aragatsotn	Aragatsotn region	5.1. There is a closed stone mining site with around 25 hectares area in between Agarak and Parpi settlements of Ashtarak community. The dust and dirt from that mining site negatively impacts the environment, air, humans, livestock, agricultural lands, etc. of Parpi, Bazmaghbyur, Ghazaravan, Agarak, Voskevaz, Oshakan and Ashtarak settlements. 5.1. Recultivation and remediation of lands, establishment of forests and recreational parks on the territories of the closed mining site. It is neighboring Arzni-Shamiram water canal and after the recultivation and remediation of those lands it will be possible to ensure drip irrigation there

			5.2. In Talin consolidated community there are settlements which have water scarcity.	5.2. Close to those settlements there is a man-made water reservoir, and it is possible to install an irrigation network from that source.
			5.3. The region has 131,000 hectares of pastures and only 15-20% of these lands are used. As a result, the population overuse those lands and the soil becomes more and more degraded	5.3. If field roads and watering points for the livestock are constructed in those pastures the farmers could benefit from it, simultaneously decreasing intensity of use of the lands
			5.4. Deforestation. There is an urgent need for conservation and restoration of forests areas, especially with drought-resistant trees, shrubs and plants.	5.4. Establishment of forest and recreational park in Amberd settlement (next to the road going to Stone Lake and Ashot Yerkat fortress) would increase the forest areas and, at the same time, would create more conducive conditions for tourism development in the region.
			5.5. Land degradation	5.5. A strong climate change mitigation and adaption measure would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) next to agricultural fields and settlement roads in chosen settlements of each community
6.	Ararat	Vedi, Ararat and Artashat consolidated communities	6.1. Absence of centralized waste disposal or recycling facilities	6.1. There is a need for construction of the waste disposal and recycling facility in the Vedi community and establishment of forest belt around the waste disposal and recycling facility
			6.2. Due to absence of new or uselessness of old reservoirs, pumping stations and water supply systems currently the access to drinking and irrigation water is a huge problem in almost all the settlements	6.2. Construction of water management systems, including reservoirs, pumping stations, main water supply and drip irrigation networks. Also, for increasing effectiveness of water use it is advised to provide portable irrigation sprinklers systems to settlements (please see the photos in the Annex). Although it is less efficient than drip irrigation or sub-irrigation due to waste of water through run-off and evaporation, but it is much more efficient compared to flood irrigation (through open (soil) canals) which is currently widely applied in vast majority of cases

				<p>6.2.1. Reconstruction of pumping station and replacement of the old irrigation network connected with the Mkhchyan pumping station</p> <p>6.2.2. Reconstruction of pumping station and replacement of the old irrigation network connected with the Arazap-1 pumping station (4.5 km with 730 mm pipes)</p> <p>6.2.3. In Vedi community: Construction of a 4 km irrigation network (closed or half-pipe) in Goravan settlement</p> <p>6.2.4. In Vedi community: Construction of a 1.5 km irrigation network (even with half-pipe) in Vosketap settlement would allow to irrigate 40 hectares of agricultural lands. There is a need for a change of water by 200 meters.</p> <p>6.2.5. In Vedi community: Construction of a 2.5 km irrigation network (even with half-pipe) in Nor Kyanq settlement</p> <p>6.2.6. In Vedi community: Construction of a 8.6 km irrigation network and 5 (2mx6m – 4 and 3x6m - 1) reservoir in Urtsadzor settlement would allow to irrigate more than 25 hectares of agricultural lands.</p> <p>6.2.7. In Vedi community: Construction of a 3.3 km irrigation network and 5 reservoirs (2mx6m – 4 and 1x6m - 1) in Shaghap settlement would allow to irrigate 560 hectares of pastures</p> <p>6.2.8. In Vedi community: Construction of a 2.3 km irrigation network and 3 reservoirs (2mx6m) in Lusashogh settlement would allow to irrigate more than 350 hectares of pastures</p> <p>6.2.9. In Vedi community: Construction of a 0.2 km irrigation network and 2 reservoirs (2mx6m) in Lanjanist settlement</p>
			<p>6.3. Another major issue of unsustainable use of water are the fisheries. There are many fisheries in the region, they use a huge amount of water and then the</p>	<p>6.3. Support in introduction of circular production and resource efficient and clean production (RECP) technologies and practices.</p>

			water is not disposed properly and just lost.	
			6.4. All 3 communities have huge areas of remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows that are not used because of the absence of field roads. Instead, the population overuse the lands that are closer to settlements and the soil becomes more and more degraded	<p>6.4. Construction of the field roads leading to remote pastures, arable lands and hay meadows. Simultaneously, restoration of vegetation at the degraded lands is required</p> <p>6.4.1. In Vedi community: Construction of 116 km field roads in remote areas of Urtsadzor settlement</p> <p>6.4.2. In Vedi community: Construction of 3.3 km field roads in remote areas of Shaghap settlement</p> <p>6.4.3. In Vedi community: Construction of 21.2 km field roads in remote areas of Lusashogh settlement</p> <p>6.4.4. In Vedi community: Construction of 17 km field roads in remote areas of Lanjanist settlement</p> <p>6.4.5. If field roads and watering points for the livestock are constructed in the remote pastures of Sevakavan and Zangakatun settlements the farmers could use some additional 1,000 hectares of pastures, simultaneously decreasing intensity of use of the lands that are overused. The nearby water source is Arazap-2 reservoir and there will be need for construction of around 4,5 km water pipeline from there</p>
			6.5. Scarcity of the livestock watering points for the livestock in the remote pasture areas	<p>6.5. Installation of livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas:</p> <p>6.5.1. There is a need for installation of at least 4 livestock watering points in the remote pasture areas of the Vedi community</p>
			6.6. Land degradation	6.6. One of the crucial climate change adaptation measures would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) for agricultural fields in chosen settlements of each community (please see the photos in the Annex). They will protect agricultural crops by reducing evapotranspiration and acting as windbreaks (reduce erosive windspeeds). These several

				forest belts would become a model for further replication by other settlements.
7.	Armavir	Armavir region	7.1. Almost all the energy consumed in the Khoy consolidated community is produced from the fossil fuels.	7.1. Support in installation of PV panels for all 3 community administrations that will allow to reduce costs electricity 7.1.1. Khoy consolidated community: If PV panels for Arshaluys settlement administration are installed it would allow to reduce costs of electricity. With the preliminary agreement, the saved amounts could be directed towards implementation of climate adaptive measures in the settlements.
			7.2. One of the major issues is unsustainable use of water resources. Part of the existing irrigation networks in the region are of open (soil) streams (especially in Nalbandyan, Getashen, Shenavan, Khoy, etc.) and it results in huge losses of water. Another example of unsustainable use of water resources is use of drinking water for irrigation in many settlements	7.2. Construction of water management systems, including reservoirs, pumping stations, main water supply and drip irrigation networks 7.2.1. Construction of around 3 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate around 60 hectares of agricultural land in Ferik community (Yazidi minority community). 7.2.2. There is a need for construction of as long as possible irrigation network for up to 700 hectares of agricultural lands in several communities (including Arevadasht Koghbavan) 7.2.3. In Armavir community construction of around 5 km irrigation network would allow to irrigate additionally around 14,4 hectares of agricultural land. 7.2.4. In Armavir community they have planted 350 trees. Due to issues with the irrigation system 50 of those trees did not survive. There is a need for construction of around 1 km irrigation network will ensure survival and growth of the remaining trees.
			7.3. Another major issue of unsustainable use of water are the fisheries in Guy settlement. They use a huge amount of water and	7.3. Support in introduction of circular production and resource efficient and clean production (RECP) technologies and practices.

			then the water is not disposed properly and just lost.	
			7.4. Land degradation	7.4. One of the crucial climate change adaption measures would be establishment of forest belts (windbreakers - a row of trees at the edge of a field) for agricultural fields in chosen settlements of each community.
8.	Armenia	General for all the regions, communities and settlements	8.1. Low level or lack of knowledge and awareness on climate change risks and adaption measures among the population, including regional and local officials	8.1. Provision of capacity development events (trainings, workshops, etc.) and awareness raising campaigns (info sessions, tours, etc., as well as dissemination of info leaflets) among the population, including regional and local officials
			8.2. Low level or lack of knowledge on sustainable agricultural practices among the farmers	8.2. Provision of capacity development events (trainings, workshops, etc.) to the farmers (trainings, study visits, establishment of demo farms where on-job trainings will be conducted, etc.) on sustainable agricultural practices
			8.3. Lack of alternative income sources for the population and their overreliance on subsistence and non-effective agriculture	8.3. Provision of model greenhouses, food frying equipment/facilities, small fruits and berries processing facilities, small fisheries with circular technology, etc.
			8.4. Lack of financial resources	8.4. Development of capacities of the farmers and local officials on fundraising, project proposal writing and project implementation, etc.

Photos



ANNEX I. – Initial Gender Analysis

Methodological approach and alignment with AF Gender Policy framework

The project aimed at reducing climate risk vulnerability around “Khosrov Forest,” “Dilijan,” and “Lake Sevan” National Parks, with a focus on sustainable and climate-resilient agricultural practices, is deeply aligned with the Adaptation Fund's gender policies. It recognizes the crucial role of gender in environmental management and climate change adaptation. This approach is underpinned by an initial gender analysis that highlights the differing roles, responsibilities, and needs of men and women in these rural communities, shaped by traditional gender norms and socio-economic factors. Acknowledging the varied experiences and responses of men and women to environmental challenges, due to their distinct roles in agriculture, resource management, and community leadership, the project commits to bridging gender disparities. This commitment involves ensuring equitable access to resources, promoting inclusive participation in project activities, and implementing gender-sensitive strategies. Such a comprehensive and inclusive approach, supported by a robust monitoring and evaluation framework and consistent efforts in capacity building, is designed to promote gender equality and empower all community members, particularly women. This strategy is key to enhancing the resilience and adaptive capacity of these communities in the face of ongoing environmental challenges and the broader impacts of climate change.

- **Gender roles and responsibilities:** In the targeted regions, traditional gender roles significantly influence how men and women interact with environmental resources. Men are typically more involved in heavy labor-intensive activities such as working at the stone pits and responding to floods, while women mainly engage in sectors like education, healthcare, and agriculture, and bear the brunt of domestic responsibilities. This division of labor means that men and women experience the impacts of environmental degradation and climate change differently. Women, in particular, may face increased burdens due to resource scarcity and are often underrepresented in decision-making processes related to environmental management.
- **Access to resources and services:** There is a noticeable disparity in access to resources between men and women in the target communities. Women often have limited access to land ownership, credit facilities, and technical agricultural training, hindering their ability to adapt to climate change effectively. This project will strive to bridge these gaps by ensuring equal access to resources and services, including targeted support for women in areas such as sustainable agriculture practices and resource management.
- **Participation in project Activities:** Cultural norms and socioeconomic factors can limit women's participation in community-level environmental initiatives. The project will actively work to remove these barriers, ensuring equitable participation of both men and women in all project activities. This includes special efforts to engage women in training sessions, community meetings, and decision-making processes related to environmental management and adaptation strategies.
- **Gender-sensitive project design and implementation:** The project is designed to be sensitive to the different needs, roles, and contributions of men and women. This

includes the consideration of gender-specific vulnerabilities in the planning of flood mitigation and waste management strategies. The project will also support women's empowerment by creating opportunities for them to lead and participate in community adaptation initiatives.

- **Monitoring and evaluation:** Gender-specific indicators will be integrated into the project's monitoring and evaluation framework. This will include the collection of sex-disaggregated data to assess the differential impacts of the project on men and women. This data will inform ongoing project adjustments to ensure gender equity in project benefits.
- **Policy alignment:** This gender analysis aligns with the Adaptation Fund's commitment to promoting gender equality and women's empowerment. The project's approach is also in line with Armenia's national gender policies, contributing to broader objectives of gender equity and inclusion.
- **Capacity building on gender issues:** To ensure a gender-sensitive approach throughout the project lifecycle, capacity-building activities on gender issues will be provided for project staff and local stakeholders. This training will enhance their understanding of gender dynamics and equip them with the skills to integrate gender considerations into their work effectively.

Country Context

This gender analysis lays a foundation for a gender-responsive approach, ensuring that the project not only addresses the environmental challenges in the beneficiary regions but also contributes to advancing gender equality and empowering women in the community.

- **Legal framework and gender equality policies:** Armenia's commitment to gender equality is constitutionally enshrined, with the "Law on Ensuring Equal Rights and Equal Opportunities for Women and Men," adopted in 2013, serving as a cornerstone for state policies in this area. This law outlines the main directions for achieving gender equality, addressing guarantees within state governance and public service, and forms of gender discrimination.
- **Political representation and judicial participation:** The Electoral Code, effective since June 2016, enhances women's political representation, setting a progressive quota for women in elective bodies and applying a rotation mechanism to ensure female representation continuity. The Action Plan "On Promoting Gender Balance among Candidates for Judges" has yielded noticeable results in increasing women's participation in the judiciary.
- **UNDP and National gender strategies:** The UNDP Armenia Country Office's Gender Equality Strategy (2016-2020) aims to standardize gender mainstreaming across programs and operations, clarifying gender-related concepts and policies for staff. This approach is critical for embedding gender perspectives in various developmental initiatives.

- **Project alignment with gender policies:** Aligning with Armenia's gender policies and the Adaptation Fund's gender policy, this project prioritizes women and vulnerable groups, echoing the principles of the Paris Agreement. It promotes gender equity and equality by ensuring that women and men benefit equally from the project outcomes, with a focus on empowering women through equal rights, access, and opportunities.
- **Empowerment and economic benefits:** Women, as key contributors to the agricultural sector, stand to gain significantly from the project. Increased productivity and efficiency in agriculture, coupled with enhanced knowledge about climate adaptation, are expected to boost their economic standing. The project's gender-sensitive approach in all training and awareness campaigns further supports this empowerment.
- **Initial study plan and analysis:** An initial study plan has been developed to delve into the social and economic benefits for women and vulnerable groups. It includes a comprehensive review of Armenia's Constitution, international legal documents, and studies by local and international organizations. This analysis is crucial in identifying gaps in the practical application of gender equality principles, despite the existing legal framework.

The project aims to address these issues, ensuring that women's rights and contributions are recognized and valued in all spheres of life. This structured approach provides a thorough understanding of the gender dynamics at play in Armenia and how the project aligns with and supports the country's gender equality objectives.

Proposed structure of the Gender Assessment to be designed during the Full Proposal stage

1. Executive summary:

- Overview of the report's objectives, key findings, and recommendations.

2. Introduction:

- Contextual background of the project area.
- Objectives and scope of the gender analysis.

3. Legal and policy framework:

- Review of national and local gender-related laws and policies.
- Analysis of how these policies are implemented in practice.

4. Gender roles and division of labor:

- Detailed analysis of gender roles in the target communities.
- Division of labor, especially in agriculture, resource management, and household responsibilities.

5. Access to resources and decision-making:

- Evaluation of men's and women's access to resources like land, credit, and education.

- Analysis of participation in community and household decision-making processes.
- 6. Economic activities and opportunities:**
- Assessment of economic roles and opportunities for men and women.
- Identification of potential economic empowerment opportunities through the project.
- 7. Social and cultural norms:**
- Examination of social and cultural norms influencing gender roles and relations.
- Impact of these norms on project implementation and outcomes.
- 8. Risks and vulnerabilities:**
- Identification of gender-specific risks and vulnerabilities, including those related to climate change and environmental degradation.
- 9. Stakeholder analysis:**
- Analysis of key stakeholders in terms of gender perspectives and influence.
- Strategies for engaging different gender groups.
- 10. Gender-sensitive project strategy:**
- Recommendations for ensuring the project is gender sensitive.
- Strategies to address identified gender issues and disparities.
- 11. Capacity building and empowerment:**
- Plans for gender-focused capacity building and empowerment activities.
- Strategies to enhance women's leadership and participation.
- 12. Monitoring and evaluation:**
- Development of gender-sensitive indicators.
- Plans for regular collection and analysis of sex-disaggregated data.
- 13. Recommendations and action plan:**
- Specific recommendations for gender mainstreaming in the project.
- An action plan detailing steps, responsible parties, and timelines.
- 14. Conclusion:**
- Summary of key insights and the importance of gender analysis for project success.