

# Strategic Results Framework

## Why Revise:

- Last update was in 2019
- New Medium-Term Strategy II (2023–27)
- Mature innovation portfolio
- Greater focus on locally led adaptation
- Need to align with Global Goal on Adaptation (GGA) and other funders
- Feedback from entities: need flexibility, clarity on measurement, and less duplication

## What's Not Changing:

- SRF still broadly aligned with Fund's goals
- Midway through current MTS—avoiding full overhaul
- Continue comparable portfolio-level reporting

## Summary of Changes

- Reduce indicators from 33 → 27
- increase core indicators from 5 → 6
- Removing duplicative indicators
- Modifying unused indicators
- Dropping overly prescriptive indicators

## Note that:

- Projects will report only on relevant indicators
- Focus on improving existing indicators, with flexibility for country context



# Decision B.45/3

The Board decided:

- (a) To approve the proposed revisions to the Strategic Results Framework (SRF) as contained in document AFB/B.45/8, taking into account the guidance provided by the Board;
- (b) To request the secretariat to:
  - revise the project performance report templates as needed;
  - develop a guidance document on the revised SRF
  - revise project performance report templates;
  - share the items above with the implementing entities, with the understanding that implementing entities were required to report, per current practice, on the proposed indicators relevant to their country context, with mandatory alignment to at least one core indicator;
- (c) To consult with the Board when preparing the guidance document;
- (d) That the SRF would come into effect three months after the revised project performance report templates and guidance documents referred to above were shared with the implementing entities.




# Study on the Alignment of AF Portfolio with NAPs and NDCs

**Objective:** To establish a baseline assessment of how the Fund's portfolio aligns with countries' NDCs and NAPs, and to examine how Fund-supported projects and their lessons can inform and strengthen future national climate planning priorities

## Key findings:

- **±80% of AF DAs** are responsible for NDC and/or NAP development in their country
- **41% of NIEs** are the same agencies/ministries responsible for NDC/NAP development
- Adaptation Fund projects are informing NAPs
- Most Implementing Entities reported consulting NDCs & NAPs, or their authors, at project design phase



# Study on the Alignment of AF Portfolio with NAPs and NDCs

## Lessons for future projects:

- Perfect alignment with NDCs and NAPs should not be pursued
- Adaptation projects can play a larger role in further strengthening NDCs and NAPs
- Adaptation funders could work together to address gaps in implementation of NAPs and NDCs

