



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.37/2/Rev.1

26 March 2026

---

Adaptation Fund Board  
Project and Programme Review Committee  
Thirty-seventh Meeting  
Bonn, Germany, 7-8 April 2026

Agenda item 4

**REPORT OF THE SECRETARIAT ON INITIAL  
SCREENING/TECHNICAL REVIEW  
OF PROJECT AND PROGRAMME PROPOSALS**

## Table of Contents

Background.....	3
Funding status: country cap .....	3
Funding status: MIE cap .....	3
Funding status: annually-determined funding provisions .....	4
Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities .....	5
Regular single-country proposals .....	6
Regional proposals.....	7
Single-country Locally-Led Adaptation proposals .....	7
Regional Locally-Led Adaptation proposals .....	8
Global Locally-Led Adaptation Aggregator Programme proposals .....	8
Large Innovation Project proposals .....	8
AFCIA Programme proposals .....	9
Cofinancing in submitted proposals .....	9
Issues Identified during the review .....	9
ANNEX 1: Project Tables .....	11
ANNEX 2: Decisions of the Adaptation Fund Board Relevant to this report.....	27

## Background

1. This document presents to the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) of the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) an overview of the project/programme proposals submitted by Implementing Entities (IEs) to the current meeting of the Board, and the process of screening and technical review undertaken by the secretariat. For the current meeting of the Board it covers the proposals submitted for funding under the following windows:
  - a. Single-country “Regular”
  - b. Regional “Regular”
  - c. Single-country Locally-led Adaptation (LLA)
  - d. Regional LLA
  - e. LLA Aggregator Programme
  - f. Large Innovation Projects
  - g. Adaptation Fund Climate Innovation Accelerator (AFCIA) Programme
2. The analysis of the proposals mentioned above is contained in a separate addendum to this document.

### Funding status: country cap

3. At the thirty-sixth meeting, having considered the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, the Board decided to revise the country cap from US \$10 million to US \$20 million *all eligible developing country Parties*. It also decided *[t]o set a maximum level of US \$10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances* as captured in [Decision B.36/41](#).
4. At the forty-fourth meeting, having considered document AFB/B.44/9, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to revise the country cap from US \$20 million to US \$40 million, increase the single-country project/programme size to US \$25 million and increase the regional project/programme size to US \$30 million, with the provision that the secretariat reviews the caps at the commencement of the next Medium-Term Strategy (MTS) period, with a view to supporting the mandate to triple the outflows as per the New Collective Quantified Goal (NCQG) decision, paragraph 16, as captured in [Decision B.44/4](#).

### Funding status: MIE cap

5. At the twelfth meeting, the Board instituted a cap of 50 per cent for project funds directed through Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs), as per [Decision B.12/9](#) and [Decision B.17/19](#).
6. According to the latest Financial Report prepared by the Trustee as of 31 December 2025, the cumulative funding decisions for all projects/programmes amounted to US \$1,462.60 million and funds available to support AF Board funding decisions amounted to US \$715.20 million. The cumulative funding decisions for projects/programmes submitted by MIEs amounted to US \$896.66 million. The Board had instituted, through [Decision B.12/9](#), a cap of 50 per cent for projects/programmes submitted by MIEs. Some categories of proposals submitted by MIEs had been excluded from counting towards the 50 per cent, and the cumulative funding decisions for MIE projects/programmes that counted towards it amounted to US \$887.85 million. Therefore, the funds available below the 50 per cent cap currently amount to US \$202.35 million.

**Funding status: annually-determined funding provisions**

7. Since its inception and until March 2017, the Adaptation Fund Board had only approved projects and programmes implemented in individual countries. The Adaptation Fund Board then decided on a regional project and programme pilot with a cap of US \$30 Million as per [Decision B.25/28](#).
8. In accordance with the [Decision B.25/28](#) and the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, the secretariat had issued, in 2015, an invitation to submit project and programme proposals for funding under the pilot programme. The invitation was sent to Designated Authorities (DAs) of the Adaptation Fund, and to Multilateral and Regional Implementing Entities (RIEs) accredited by the Board.
9. The Board decided, at its twenty-sixth meeting invited Multilateral Implementing Entities and Regional Implementing Entities to submit proposals under the Pilot programme for Regional Projects and Programmes in response to a call through [Decision B.26/3](#).
10. The Board considered the prioritization of regional project/programme proposals, the awarding of project formulation grants, and the establishment of a pipeline through [Decision B.28/1](#) at its twenty eighth meeting.
11. At the twenty-ninth meeting, having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) continued the allocation for regional project and programmes and PFG requests to support projects and programmes them based on the size of the proposals through [Decision B.29/4](#).
12. At its thirty-first meeting, the Board subsequently decided to merge the two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals established in [Decision B.28/1](#) (b)(ii), from fiscal year 2019 commenced the provisional allocation for regional proposals without distinction between the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, and that the funding of regional proposals would be established on a 'first come, first served' basis, along with other specification as indicated in [Decision B.31/3](#).
13. For the fiscal year 2020, the decision was taken by the Board to approve the same amounts as for the year 2019 [Decision B.31/12](#). The annual funding provision for the following windows was approved most recently at the forty-fourth meeting, and the funding remaining is in Table 1. There are no regional projects or programmes currently on the waitlist.

Table 1. Annual funding provisions and funding remaining (FY26, US\$)

Window	FY26 allocations per B.44/41	Utilization against 2026 allocation AFB45	Funding remaining under FY26 provisions
Regional proposal	60,000,000	-	59,881,000
Regional PFG		119,000	
Large Innovation	30,000,000	19,998,000	10,002,000
Large Innovation PFG		-	
Small Innovation	4,000,000	250,000	3,250,000
Learning		500,000	
Scale Up		-	
LLA Single country		26,500,000	
LLA Single country PFG			
LLA regional	30,000,000	-	30,000,000
LLA regional PFG		-	

### Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities

14. The secretariat presents below the details of projects and programmes submitted for this cycle, following the details of the pilot rolling-basis process as described AFB/PPRC.31/60 and approved in [Decision B.40/59](#). This decision was confirmed by [Decision B.41/21](#). The secretariat received 46 eligible regular single country and 24 eligible regional submissions for the AFB46 review cycle. In addition, the secretariat received 16 eligible LLA and eight (8) eligible innovation proposals. In accordance with the operational policies and guidelines, the secretariat screened and prepared technical reviews for these submissions.

15. The eligible submissions were posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were received for one regular fully-developed proposal submitted for Nepal and one single country LLA proposal submitted by for Costa Rica.

16. In line with the details of the rolling-basis submission, the secretariat shared the technical review findings with the IEs that had submitted the proposals and solicited their responses to specific items requiring clarification. The IEs were given three weeks to respond to the comments of each round of the technical reviews. The IEs were offered the opportunity to discuss the review findings with the secretariat as per the usual practice.

17. The secretariat reviewed the IEs' responses to the clarification requests and undertook additional rounds of technical reviews as needed. As per the details of the rolling-basis submission process, the secretariat compiles comments and recommendations for technically cleared proposals or proposals that are recommended to be rejected (no proposals are recommended to be rejected at this time). These are presented in the addendum to this document (AFB/PPRC.37/2/Add.1).

18. Details of the proposals are contained in separate PPRC working documents. All eligible proposals are presented in Annex 1, Table 2. Details of the proposals that are not technically cleared are presented to the Board as information documents.

### Regular single-country proposals

19. Accredited Entities submitted 46 single country project proposals to the secretariat, of which all 46 were eligible and for which technical reviews were carried out. The total amount of funding requested by the eligible single country proposals is US \$546,879,985 and includes US \$41,460,802 (8.20%) in IEs' management fees and US \$40,760,534 (8.05%) in execution costs. The total requested funding for PFGs is US \$4,796,899. Of the 46 eligible single country project proposals, 17 project proposals submitted to the secretariat were technically cleared.

20. The proposals were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); International Bank of Reconstruction and Development (IBRD); Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS); United Nations Human Settlement Programme (UN-Habitat); United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); United Nations World Food Programme (WFP); National Environment Management Council of Tanzania (NEMC); Palli Karma-Sahayak Foundation of Bangladesh (PKSF); The Pacific Community (SPC); Ministry of Water and Environment of Uganda (MWE); National Trust for Nature Conservation of Nepal (NTNC); Peruvian Trust Fund for National Parks and Protected Areas (PROFONANPE); Protected Areas Conservation Trust of Belize (PACT); National Environment Trust Fund of Kenya (NETFUND); Agence pour le Developpement Agricole (ADA); Banque Ouest Africaine de Developpement (BOAD); Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP); United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); and World Health Organization (WHO).

21. Of the 17 projects that were technically cleared, four are fully developed project proposals and thirteen are concept notes. The total requested funding of the fully developed proposals amounts to US \$52,655,103. The total requested funding for PFGs is US \$1,775,000.

22. All the technically cleared proposals are in compliance with Board [Decision B.11/16](#) to cap management fees at 8.5%. In accordance with the same [Decision B.11/16](#), all proponents of fully developed project documents provide a budget on fee use. All fully developed project/programme documents provide an explanation and a breakdown of their execution costs and other administrative costs, and are in compliance with [Decision B.12/17](#). All the technically cleared proposals are in compliance with Board Decision B.13/17 to cap execution costs at 9.5% of the project/programme budget.

23. All submissions for single countries request funding below the cap available to each country, as per decision B.13/23 and [Decision B.36/41](#) on the *Provision of financial resources between single country and regional concrete adaptation project and programmes (country cap)*. None of the proposals is a small size proposal (under US \$1 million). Seven MIEs submitted multiple proposals. The 46 proposals are for 34 different countries.

24. Public comments were received for one single-country fully developed proposal for Nepal. The comments were submitted to the IE and, subsequently, responses on the comments were submitted to the Secretariat by the IEs. These are annexed to the project document.

### Regional proposals

25. Accredited entities submitted 24 eligible regional project proposals to the secretariat, which underwent technical review. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible regional proposals is US 563,491,350 and includes US 48,994,583 (9.52%) in IEs' management fees and US \$ 40,727,385 or (7.79 %) in execution costs. The total requested funding for project formulation grants (PFGs) is US \$2,270,000.

26. The proposals were submitted by the following Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund; the Development Bank of Latin America and the Caribbean (CAF); the International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP); the United Nations Development Program (UNDP); the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP); United Nations Human Settlements Program (UN-Habitat) United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); World Health Organization (WHO); and World Meteorological Organization (WMO).

27. Of the 24 eligible regional proposals submitted to the secretariat, nine proposals were technically cleared. The total requested funding of those proposals amounts to US \$196,406,724. The total requested funding for project formulation grants (PFGs) for the technically cleared proposals is US \$600,000. Two of the nine proposals are fully developed projects, four are concept notes, and three are pre-concepts. The nine proposals are for 25 different countries. The total requested funding of the fully developed proposals amounts to US \$36,640,836.

### Single-country Locally-Led Adaptation proposals

28. Accredited entities submitted 12 single-country LLA proposals to the secretariat, of which all 12 were eligible, and technical reviews were carried out. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible single country proposals is US \$59,925,587 and includes US \$4,696,952 (average of 8.50%) in IEs' management fees and US \$3,898,678 or (average of 7.06%) in execution costs. The total requested funding for PFGs is US \$1,890,958.

29. The proposals were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Fundecooperacion Para el Desarrollo Sostenible (Fundecooperacion), Ministry of Water and Environment, Uganda (MWE), National Environment Trust Fund (NETFUND), National Trust for Nature Conservation of Nepal (NTNC), National Environment Management Council (NEMC), Palli Karma-Sahayak Foundation (PKSF), Protected Areas Conservation Trust (PACT), South Africa National Biodiversity Institution (SANBI), The Pacific Community (SPC) and United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat).

30. Of the 12 eligible single country LLA proposals submitted to the secretariat, nine proposals were technically cleared. Of the nine proposals, one proposal is a fully-developed proposal and eight are concept-note proposals. The fully-developed proposal amounts to US \$5,000,000 and the concept-note proposals amounts to US \$39,925,587 (excluding project formulation grants). The total PFG funding for the technically cleared concept-note proposals amounts to US \$1,597,738.

31. The eligible submissions were posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. One public comment was received for a concept note for an LLA single country proposal for Costa Rica. The comment was provided to the IE and subsequently addressed by the IE in the revised proposal.

#### Regional Locally-Led Adaptation proposals

32. Accredited entities submitted three regional LLA proposals to the secretariat, all three were eligible and underwent technical reviews. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible regional proposals is US \$85,319,250 and includes US \$7,756,295 (average of 10%) in IEs' management fees and US \$5,637,176 (average of 7.36 %) in execution costs. The total requested funding for PFGs is US \$262,324.

33. The proposals were submitted by the Caribbean Development Bank (CDB) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

34. Of the three proposals, one proposal is a fully-developed proposal, one is a concept note proposal and one proposal is a pre-concept note proposal. All three proposals amounting to US \$85,319,250 are technically cleared, as are the two PFGs in the amount of US \$262,324. The fully-developed proposal amounts to US \$30,000,000, the concept-note proposal amounts to US \$25,319,250 (excluding project formulation grants), and the pre-concept note proposal amounts to US \$30,000,000 (excluding project formulation grants).

#### Global Locally-Led Adaptation Aggregator Programme proposals

35. One accredited entity submitted a LLA Aggregator proposal to the secretariat, which was an eligible submission, and technical reviews were carried out. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible proposal is US \$15,000,000 and includes US \$1,363,636 (10%) in IEs' management fees and US \$582,322 (4.27%) in execution costs.

36. The proposal was submitted by the following Multilateral Implementing Entity of the Fund: the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).

37. The proposal is not technically cleared. Details of the proposals that are not technically cleared are presented to the Board as information documents.

#### Large Innovation Project proposals

38. Accredited entities submitted six eligible large innovation project proposals to the secretariat for which technical reviews were carried out and are presented in Annex 1, Table 2 below. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible single country and regional proposals is US \$28,843,992 and includes US \$2,244,391 (average of 8.44%) in IEs' management fees and US \$2,001,163 (average of 7.58%) in execution costs. The total requested funding for PFGs is US \$599,730.

39. The proposals were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Comisión Acción Social Menonita (CASM), Corporación Nacional para el Desarrollo (CND), Fundecooperación Para el Desarrollo Sostenible (Fundecooperación), United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP), and United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).

40. Of the six eligible single country and regional proposals submitted to the secretariat, three proposals were technically cleared. Of the three proposals, one proposal is a fully-developed proposal and two are concept-note proposals. The fully-developed proposal amounts to US \$5,000,000 and the concept-note proposals amount to US \$10,000,000 (excluding project formulation grants). The total PFG funding for the technically cleared concept notes amounts to US \$300,000 (see Annex 1, Table 1).

#### AFCIA Programme proposals

41. Accredited entities submitted two eligible proposals to the secretariat; technical reviews were carried out and are presented in Annex 1: Table 2 below. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible proposals is US \$23,000,000 and includes US \$2,090,906 (average of 10%) in IEs' management fees and US \$1,136,360 or (average of 6.50%) in execution costs.

42. The proposals were submitted by the following Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP).

43. The proposals are not technically cleared. Details of the proposals that are not technically cleared are presented to the Board as information documents.

#### **Cofinancing in submitted proposals**

44. During the review period, two proposals included information about cofinancing. Additional clarifications have been sought through the review process to confirm if the financing requested from the Adaptation Fund could be justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning, where this was not clearly presented in those proposals. The first proposal is a concept note by UN-Habitat for Cambodia and has received three rounds of technical review and has been technically cleared. The second proposal is a concept note by PACT for Belize and has received five rounds of review and has been technically cleared. Both proposals contain project formulation grant requests of US \$150,000 each.

#### **Issues Identified during the review**

##### *Use of Implementing Entity Coordinator Signatures in Public Documents*

45. Implementing Entities (IEs) have raised concerns regarding the inclusion of IE Coordinator signatures in project and programme documents that are publicly disclosed. In particular, some IEs have noted that the appearance of a handwritten "wet" or digital signatures in publicly available

documents may create a risk that the signature could be digitally extracted and reused. As a result, at least one IE has requested that the signature be redacted prior to public disclosure.

## ANNEX 1: Project Tables

Table 1: Proposals technically cleared for consideration at AFB 46

Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, US \$	RIE funding, US \$	MIE funding, US\$	Technical Recommendation	Funding set aside, US \$
<b>1. Full Proposals: Single-country</b>							
<b>RIE</b>							
Mauritania	OSS	AFB/PPRC.37/6		10,000,000		Approve	10,000,000
<b>MIE</b>							
Malawi	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/7			20,000,000	Approve	20,000,000
Nepal	WFP	AFB/PPRC.37/8			10,000,000	Approve	10,000,000
Zambia (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/9			12,655,103	Approve	12,655,103
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	<b>10,000,000</b>	<b>42,655,103</b>		<b>52,655,103</b>
<b>2. Concepts: Single-country</b>							
<b>NIE</b>							
Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC.37/10	25,000,000			Endorse	0
Kenya	NETFUND	AFB/PPRC.37/11	10,000,000			Endorse	0
Peru (1)	Profonanpe	AFB/PPRC.37/12	10,000,000			Endorse	0
Peru (2)	Profonanpe	AFB/PPRC.37/13	10,000,000			Endorse	0
Tanzania (5)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/14	5,000,000			Endorse	0
<b>MIE</b>							
Bolivia	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.37/15			10,000,000	Endorse	0
Cambodia	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/16			10,000,000	Endorse	0
Ecuador	WFP	AFB/PPRC.37/17			10,000,000	Endorse	0
Jordan (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/18			9,998,356	Endorse	0
Jordan (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/19			7,000,000	Endorse	0
Malaysia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/20			10,000,000	Endorse	0
Sri Lanka (1)	WFP	AFB/PPRC.37/21			9,994,600	Endorse	0
Zambia (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/22			25,000,000	Endorse	0

Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, US \$	RIE funding, US \$	MIE funding, US\$	Technical Recommendation	Funding set aside, US \$
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			<b>60,000,000</b>	-	<b>91,992,956</b>		-
<b>3. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Single-country</b>							
<b>NIE</b>							
Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC.37/10/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
Kenya	NETFUND	AFB/PPRC.37/11/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
Peru (1)	Profonanpe	AFB/PPRC.37/12/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
Peru (2)	Profonanpe	AFB/PPRC.37/13/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
Tanzania (5)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/14/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
<b>MIE</b>							
Bolivia	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.37/15/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
Cambodia	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/16/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
Ecuador	WFP	AFB/PPRC.37/17/Add.1			125,000	Approve	125,000
Jordan (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/18/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
Jordan (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/19/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
Malaysia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/20/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
Zambia (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/22/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			<b>750,000</b>	-	<b>1,025,000</b>		<b>1,775,000</b>
<b>4. Full Proposals: Regional</b>							
<b>MIE</b>							
Angola, Namibia	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/23			24,550,836	Approve	24,550,836
Bangladesh, Nepal	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/24			12,090,000	Approve	12,090,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	-	<b>36,640,836</b>		<b>36,640,836</b>
<b>5. Concepts: Regional</b>							
<b>RIE</b>							
Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Uruguay	CAF	AFB/PPRC.37/25		30,000,000		Endorse	0
<b>MIE</b>							
Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/26			19,360,000	Endorse	0

Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, US \$	RIE funding, US \$	MIE funding, US\$	Technical Recommendation	Funding set aside, US \$
Ethiopia, Tanzania	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/27			30,000,000	Endorse	0
Malaysia, Philippines	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/28			13,651,000	Endorse	0
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	<b>30,000,000</b>	<b>63,011,000</b>		-
<b>6. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Regional Concepts</b>							
<b>RIE</b>							
Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Uruguay	CAF	AFB/PPRC.37/25/Add.1		121,000		Approve	121,000
<b>MIE</b>							
Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/26/Add.1			100,000	Approve	100,000
Ethiopia, Tanzania	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/27/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
Malaysia, Philippines	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/28/Add.1			130,000	Approve	130,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	<b>121,000</b>	<b>380,000</b>		<b>501,000</b>
<b>7. Pre-concepts: Regional</b>							
<b>MIE</b>							
Bangladesh, Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/29			29,766,000	Endorse	0
Jordan, Lebanon	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/30			16,438,888	Endorse	0
Mongolia, Pakistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/31			20,550,000	Endorse	0
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	-	<b>66,754,888</b>		-
<b>8. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Pre-concepts: Regional</b>							
<b>MIE</b>							
Bangladesh, Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/29/Add.1			39,000	Approve	39,000
Jordan, Lebanon	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/30/Add.1			30,000	Approve	30,000
Mongolia, Pakistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/31/Add.1			30,000	Approve	30,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	-	<b>99,000</b>		<b>99,000</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8)</b>			<b>60,750,000</b>	<b>40,121,000</b>	<b>302,558,783</b>		<b>91,670,939</b>
<b>9.Full Proposal: Single Country LLA</b>							

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>NIE funding, US \$</i>	<i>RIE funding, US \$</i>	<i>MIE funding, US\$</i>	<i>Technical Recommendation</i>	<i>Funding set aside, US \$</i>
<b>NIE</b>							
Bangladesh	PKSF	AFB/PPRC.37/32	5,000,000			Approve	5,000,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			<b>5,000,000</b>	-	-		<b>5,000,000</b>
<b>10. Concept: Single Country LLA</b>							
<b>NIE</b>							
Costa Rica (1)	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/33	5,000,000			Endorse	0
Costa Rica (2)	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/34	5,000,000			Endorse	0
Kenya	NETFUND	AFB/PPRC.37/35	5,000,000			Endorse	0
Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.37/36	5,000,000			Endorse	0
South Africa	SANBI	AFB/PPRC.37/37	4,999,717			Endorse	0
Tanzania	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/38	4,925,870			Endorse	0
Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.37/39	5,000,000			Endorse	0
<b>RIE</b>							
Vanuatu	SPC	AFB/PPRC.37/40		5,000,000		Endorse	0
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			<b>34,925,587</b>	<b>5,000,000</b>	-		-
<b>11. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept: Single Country LLA</b>							
<b>NIE</b>							
Costa Rica (1)	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/33/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
Costa Rica (2)	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/34/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
Kenya	NETFUND	AFB/PPRC.37/35/Add.1	204,250			Approve	204,250
Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.37/36/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
South Africa	SANBI	AFB/PPRC.37/37/Add.1	248,568			Approve	248,568
Tanzania	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/38/Add.1	220,000			Approve	220,000
Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.37/39/Add.1	249,920			Approve	249,920
<b>RIE</b>							
Vanuatu	SPC	AFB/PPRC.37/40/Add.1		225,000		Approve	225,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			<b>1,372,738</b>	<b>225,000</b>	-		<b>1,597,738</b>

Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, US \$	RIE funding, US \$	MIE funding, US\$	Technical Recommendation	Funding set aside, US \$
<b>12. Full Proposal: Regional LLA</b>							
<b>MIE</b>							
Eswatini, Zambia, Zimbabwe	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/41			30,000,000	Approve	30,000,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	-	<b>30,000,000</b>		<b>30,000,000</b>
<b>13. Concept: Regional LLA</b>							
<b>RIE</b>							
Antigua and Barbuda, Belize, Grenada, Jamaica, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	CDB	AFB/PPRC.37/42		25,319,250		Endorse	0
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	<b>25,319,250</b>	-		-
<b>14. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept: Regional LLA</b>							
<b>RIE</b>							
Antigua and Barbuda, Belize, Grenada, Jamaica, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	CDB	AFB/PPRC.37/42/Add.1		194,324		Approve	194,324
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	<b>194,324</b>	-		<b>194,324</b>
<b>15. Pre-Concept: Regional LLA</b>							
<b>MIE</b>							
Fiji, Malaysia, Maldives, Mongolia, Pakistan, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Viet Nam	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/43			30,000,000	Endorse	0
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	-	<b>30,000,000</b>		-
<b>16. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Pre-Concept: Regional LLA</b>							
<b>RIE</b>							
Fiji, Malaysia, Maldives, Mongolia, Pakistan, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Viet Nam	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/43/Add.1			68,000	Approve	68,000

Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, US \$	RIE funding, US \$	MIE funding, US\$	Technical Recommendation	Funding set aside, US \$
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	-	<b>68,000</b>		<b>68,000</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL (9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16)</b>			<b>41,298,325</b>	<b>30,738,574</b>	<b>60,068,000</b>		<b>36,860,062</b>
<b>17. Full Proposals Single Country: Large Innovation Projects</b>							
<b>MIE</b>							
Somalia	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.37/44			5,000,000	Approve	5,000,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			-	-	<b>5,000,000</b>		<b>5,000,000</b>
<b>18. Concept Single Country: Large Innovation Projects</b>							
<b>NIE</b>							
Costa Rica	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/45	5,000,000			Endorse	0
Uruguay	CND	AFB/PPRC.37/46	5,000,000			Endorse	0
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			<b>10,000,000</b>	-	-		-
<b>19. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept Single Country: Large Innovation Projects</b>							
<b>NIE</b>							
Costa Rica	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/45/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
Uruguay	CND	AFB/PPRC.37/46/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>			<b>300,000</b>	-	-		<b>300,000</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL (17+18+19)</b>			<b>10,300,000</b>	-	<b>5,000,000</b>		<b>5,300,000</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16+17+18+19)</b>			<b>112,348,325</b>	<b>70,859,574</b>	<b>367,626,783</b>		<b>133,831,001</b>

Table 2: Project proposals reviewed ahead of the forty-sixth Adaptation Fund Board meeting

Country	IE	PPRC Document number	Number of Technical Reviews	FIF Platform Document ID	Grant Size, US \$
<b>1. Full Proposals: Single-country</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Bangladesh	PKSF	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.3	3	AF00000481	20,000,000
Tanzania (1)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.4	3	AF00000255	2,500,000
Tanzania (2)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.5	3	AF00000256	4,034,000
Tanzania (3)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.6	2	AF00000259	5,500,787
<b>RIE</b>					
Mauritania	OSS	AFB/PPRC.37/6	5	AF00000332	10,000,000
Tonga	SPC	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.7	2	AF00000450	14,888,200
<b>MIE</b>					
Malawi	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/7	3	AF00000380	20,000,000
Nepal	WFP	AFB/PPRC.37/8	3	AF00000407	10,000,000
Vietnam	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.8	1	AF00000484	10,000,000
Zambia (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/9	8	AF00000280	12,655,103
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>109,578,090</b>
<b>2. Concepts: Single-country</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC.37/10	5	AF00000465	25,000,000
Kenya	NETFUND	AFB/PPRC.37/11	4	AF00000482	10,000,000
Morocco	ADA	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.9	1	AF00000432	20,000,000
Peru (1)	Profonanpe	AFB/PPRC.37/12	4	AF00000451	10,000,000
Peru (2)	Profonanpe	AFB/PPRC.37/13	6	AF00000452	10,000,000
Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.10	3	AF00000474	10,000,000
Uganda	MoWE	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.11	1	AF00000471	5,000,000
Tanzania (4)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.12	4	AF00000472	13,000,000
Tanzania (5)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/14	5	AF00000473	5,000,000

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>Number of Technical Reviews</i>	<i>FIF Platform Document ID</i>	<i>Grant Size, US \$</i>
<b>RIE</b>					
Burkina Faso (1)	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.13	2	AF00000495	14,826,147
Colombia	CAF	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.14	2	AF00000499	15,000,000
Fiji	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.15	1	AF00000427	10,056,905
<b>MIE</b>					
Albania	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.16	2	AF00000500	10,003,592
Angola	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.17	2	AF00000496	10,000,000
Bolivia	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.37/15	3	AF00000494	10,000,000
Burkina Faso (2)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.18	1	AF00000497	17,983,426
Cambodia	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/16	3	AF00000470	10,000,000
Ecuador	WFP	AFB/PPRC.37/17	6	AF00000413	10,000,000
Guinea Bissau	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.19	1	AF00000515	10,000,000
Jordan (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/18	3	AF00000487	9,998,356
Jordan (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/19	4	AF00000460	7,000,000
Lao PDR	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.20	2	AF00000502	22,438,869
Libya	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.21	1	AF00000519	5,000,000
Malaysia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/20	4	AF00000501	10,000,000
Maldives	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.22	1	AF00000514	20,000,000
Mongolia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.23	1	AF00000512	15,000,000
North Macedonia	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.24	2	AF00000475	10,000,000
Somalia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.25	1	AF00000498	10,000,000
Sri Lanka (1)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.26	1	AF00000507	20,000,000
Sri Lanka (2)	WFP	AFB/PPRC.37/21	5	AF00000435	9,994,600
Thailand (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.27	1	AF00000516	10,000,000
Thailand (2)	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.28	1	AF00000517	10,000,000
Thailand (3)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.29	1	AF00000518	10,000,000
Uzbekistan	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.30	2	AF00000462	5,000,000
Zambia (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/22	3	AF00000486	25,000,000
Zimbabwe	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.31	1	AF00000513	12,000,000

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>Number of Technical Reviews</i>	<i>FIF Platform Document ID</i>	<i>Grant Size, US \$</i>
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>437,301,895</b>
<b>3. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Single-country</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC.37/10/Add.1		AF00000465	150,000
Kenya	NETFUND	AFB/PPRC.37/11/Add.1		AF00000482	150,000
Morocco	ADA	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.9		AF00000432	150,000
Peru (1)	Profonanpe	AFB/PPRC.37/12/Add.1		AF00000451	150,000
Peru (2)	Profonanpe	AFB/PPRC.37/13/Add.1		AF00000452	150,000
Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.10		AF00000474	150,000
Uganda	MoWE	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.11		AF00000471	86,800
Tanzania (4)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.12		AF00000472	150,000
Tanzania (5)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/14/Add.1		AF00000473	150,000
<b>RIE</b>					
Burkina Faso (1)	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.13		AF00000495	111,459
Colombia	CAF	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.14		AF00000499	133,455
Fiji	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.15		AF00000427	149,947
<b>MIE</b>					
Albania	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.16		AF00000500	150,000
Angola	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.17		AF00000496	150,000
Bolivia	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.37/15/Add.1		AF00000494	150,000
Burkina Faso (2)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.18		AF00000497	150,000
Cambodia	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/16/Add.1		AF00000470	150,000
Ecuador	WFP	AFB/PPRC.37/17/Add.1		AF00000413	125,000
Guinea Bissau	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.19		AF00000515	149,188
Jordan (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/18/Add.1		AF00000487	150,000
Jordan (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/19/Add.1		AF00000460	150,000
Libya	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.20		AF00000519	150,000
Malaysia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/20/Add.1		AF00000501	150,000

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>Number of Technical Reviews</i>	<i>FIF Platform Document ID</i>	<i>Grant Size, US \$</i>
Maldives	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.22		AF00000514	141,050
Mongolia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.23		AF00000512	150,000
North Macedonia	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.24		AF00000475	150,000
Somalia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.25		AF00000498	150,000
Sri Lanka	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.26		AF00000507	150,000
Thailand (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.27		AF00000516	150,000
Thailand (2)	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.28		AF00000517	150,000
Thailand (3)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.29		AF00000518	150,000
Zambia (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/22/Add.1		AF00000486	150,000
Zimbabwe	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.31		AF00000513	150,000
<b><i>Sub-total, US \$</i></b>					<b><i>4,796,899</i></b>
<b>4. Full Proposals: Regional</b>					
<b>MIE</b>					
Angola, Namibia	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.37/23	4	AF00000336	24,550,836
Armenia, Georgia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.32	2	AF00000163	19,701,000
Bangladesh, Nepal	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/24	4	AF00000337	12,090,000
Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.33	1	AF00000508	30,000,000
<b><i>Sub-total, US \$</i></b>					<b><i>86,341,836</i></b>
<b>5. Concepts: Regional</b>					
<b>RIE</b>					
Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Uruguay	CAF	AFB/PPRC.37/25	3	AF00000488	30,000,000
Niue, Tonga, Tuvalu	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.34	1	AF00000434	26,956,626
<b>MIE</b>					
Angola, Mozambique	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.35	1	AF00000441	30,000,000
Bangladesh, Cambodia, Indonesia, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.36	1	AF00000164	19,965,000
Benin, Burkina Faso, Uganda, Zimbabwe	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.37	1	AF00000414	13,924,000
Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/26	5	AF00000440	19,360,000
Cuba, Dominican Republic	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.38	3	AF00000464	30,000,000

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>Number of Technical Reviews</i>	<i>FIF Platform Document ID</i>	<i>Grant Size, US \$</i>
Ethiopia, Tanzania	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/27	5	AF00000442	30,000,000
Guinea, Kenya, São Tomé and Príncipe	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.39	2	AF00000384	14,000,000
Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia, Zimbabwe	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.40	1	AF00000419	18,644,000
Malawi, Zambia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.41	2	AF00000422	30,000,000
Malaysia, Philippines	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/28	5	AF00000354	13,651,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>276,500,626</b>
<b>6. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Regional Concepts</b>					
<b>RIE</b>					
Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Uruguay	CAF	AFB/PPRC.37/25/Add.1		AF00000488	121,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Angola, Mozambique	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.35		AF00000441	120,000
Bangladesh, Cambodia, Indonesia, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.36		AF00000164	230,000
Benin, Burkina Faso, Uganda, Zimbabwe	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.37		AF00000414	160,000
Côte d'Ivoire, Ghana	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/26/Add.1		AF00000440	100,000
Cuba, Dominican Republic	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.38		AF00000464	150,000
Ethiopia, Tanzania	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/27/Add.1		AF00000442	150,000
Guinea, Kenya, São Tomé and Príncipe	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.39		AF00000384	160,000
Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia, Zimbabwe	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.40		AF00000419	160,000
Malawi, Zambia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.41		AF00000422	120,000
Malaysia, Philippines	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/28/Add.1		AF00000354	130,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>1,601,000</b>
<b>7. Pre-concepts: Regional</b>					
<b>RIE</b>					
Mali, Burkina Faso	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.42	1	AF00000505	13,948,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Bangladesh, Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/29	3	AF00000483	29,766,000
Cambodia, Indonesia, Vietnam	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.43	1	AF00000504	30,000,000

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>Number of Technical Reviews</i>	<i>FIF Platform Document ID</i>	<i>Grant Size, US \$</i>
Cambodia, Viet Nam (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.44	1	AF00000485	30,000,000
Cambodia, Viet Nam (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.45	1	AF00000521	30,000,000
Iraq, Lebanon	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.46	1	AF00000520	29,946,000
Jordan, Lebanon	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/30	4	AF00000503	16,438,888
Mongolia, Pakistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/31	3	AF00000476	20,550,000
<b><i>Sub-total, US \$</i></b>					<b>200,648,888</b>
<b>8. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Pre-concepts: Regional</b>					
<b>RIE</b>					
Mali, Burkina Faso	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.42		AF00000505	450,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Bangladesh, Bhutan, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka	WMO	AFB/PPRC.37/29/Add.1		AF00000483	39,000
Cambodia, Indonesia, Vietnam	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.43		AF00000504	30,000
Cambodia, Viet Nam (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.44		AF00000485	30,000
Cambodia, Viet Nam (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.45		AF00000521	30,000
Iraq, Lebanon	WHO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.46		AF00000520	30,000
Jordan, Lebanon	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/30/Add.1		AF00000503	30,000
Mongolia, Pakistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/31/Add.1		AF00000476	30,000
<b><i>Sub-total, US \$</i></b>					<b>669,000</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8)</b>					<b>1,117,438,234</b>
<b>9.Full Proposal: Single Country LLA</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Bangladesh	PKSF	AFB/PPRC.37/32	5	AF00000478	5,000,000
<b><i>Sub-total, US \$</i></b>					<b>5,000,000</b>
<b>10. Concept: Single Country LLA</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.47	2	AF00000490	5,000,000
Costa Rica (1)	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/33	4	AF00000445	5,000,000

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>Number of Technical Reviews</i>	<i>FIF Platform Document ID</i>	<i>Grant Size, US \$</i>
Costa Rica (2)	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/34	4	AF00000444	5,000,000
Kenya	NETFUND	AFB/PPRC.37/35	4	AF00000468	5,000,000
Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.37/36	4	AF00000469	5,000,000
South Africa	SANBI	AFB/PPRC.37/37	3	AF00000489	4,999,717
Tanzania	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/38	6	AF00000284	4,925,870
Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.37/39	4	AF00000467	5,000,000
<b>RIE</b>					
Vanuatu	SPC	AFB/PPRC.37/40	5	AF00000455	5,000,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Mongolia	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.48	1	AF00000509	5,000,000
Sri Lanka	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.49	1	AF00000510	5,000,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>54,925,587</b>
<b>11. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept: Single Country LLA</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Belize	PACT	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.47		AF00000490	150,000
Costa Rica (1)	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/33/Add.1		AF00000445	150,000
Costa Rica (2)	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/34/Add.1		AF00000444	150,000
Kenya	NETFUND	AFB/PPRC.37/35/Add.1		AF00000468	204,250
Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.37/36/Add.1		AF00000469	150,000
South Africa	SANBI	AFB/PPRC.37/37/Add.1		AF00000489	248,568
Tanzania	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.37/38/Add.1		AF00000284	220,000
Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.37/39/Add.1		AF00000467	249,920
<b>RIE</b>					
Vanuatu	SPC	AFB/PPRC.37/40/Add.1		AF00000455	225,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Sri Lanka	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.49		AF00000510	143,220
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>1,890,958</b>

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>Number of Technical Reviews</i>	<i>FIF Platform Document ID</i>	<i>Grant Size, US \$</i>
<b>12. Full Proposal: Regional LLA</b>					
<b>MIE</b>					
Eswatini, Zambia, Zimbabwe	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/41	3	AF00000493	30,000,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>30,000,000</b>
<b>13. Concept: Regional LLA</b>					
<b>RIE</b>					
Antigua and Barbuda, Belize, Grenada, Jamaica, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	CDB	AFB/PPRC.37/42	4	AF00000458	25,319,250
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>25,319,250</b>
<b>14. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept: Regional LLA</b>					
<b>RIE</b>					
Antigua and Barbuda, Belize, Grenada, Jamaica, Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	CDB	AFB/PPRC.37/42/Add.1		AF00000458	194,324
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>194,324</b>
<b>15. Pre-Concept: Regional LLA</b>					
<b>MIE</b>					
Fiji, Malaysia, Maldives, Mongolia, Pakistan, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Viet Nam	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/43	2	AF00000522	30,000,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>30,000,000</b>
<b>16. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Pre-Concept: Regional LLA</b>					
<b>RIE</b>					
Fiji, Malaysia, Maldives, Mongolia, Pakistan, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Viet Nam	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/43/Add.1		AF00000522	68,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>68,000</b>
<b>17. LLA Aggregator</b>					
<b>MIE</b>					
Global	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.50	4	AF00000439	15,000,000

Country	IE	PPRC Document number	Number of Technical Reviews	FIF Platform Document ID	Grant Size, US \$
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>15,000,000</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL (9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16+17)</b>					<b>162,398,119</b>
<b>18. Full Proposals Single Country: Large Innovation Projects</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Honduras	CASM	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.51	7	AF00000417	4,000,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Somalia	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.37/44	5	AF00000275	5,000,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>9,000,000</b>
<b>19. Concept Single Country: Large Innovation Projects</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Costa Rica	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/45	3	AF00000443	5,000,000
Uruguay	CND	AFB/PPRC.37/46	3	AF00000477	5,000,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Cuba	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.52	1	AF00000492	4,843,992
Thailand	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.53	1	AF00000511	5,000,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>19,843,992</b>
<b>20. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept Single Country: Large Innovation Projects</b>					
<b>NIE</b>					
Costa Rica	FDSCR	AFB/PPRC.37/45/Add.1		AF00000443	150,000
Uruguay	CND	AFB/PPRC.37/46/Add.1		AF00000477	150,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Cuba	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.52		AF00000492	149,730
Thailand	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.53		AF00000511	150,000
<b>Sub-total, US \$</b>					<b>599,730</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL (17+18+19+20)</b>					<b>29,443,722</b>
<b>21. AFCIA Grants</b>					

<i>Country</i>	<i>IE</i>	<i>PPRC Document number</i>	<i>Number of Technical Reviews</i>	<i>FIF Platform Document ID</i>	<i>Grant Size, US \$</i>
<b>RIE</b>					
Global	CAF	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.54	1	AF00000506	8,000,000
<b>MIE</b>					
Global	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.37/Inf.55	2	AF00000491	15,000,000
<b><i>Sub-total, US \$</i></b>					<b>23,000,000</b>
<b>GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16+17+18+19+20+21)</b>					<b>1,332,280,075</b>

## ANNEX 2: Decisions of the Adaptation Fund Board Relevant to this report.

<p><b>Decision B.36/41</b></p>	<p><b>b) Provision of financial resources between single-country and regional concrete adaptation project and programmes (country cap)</b></p> <p>para 41. Having considered the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) To revise the cap per country established by decision B.13/23 from US\$ 10 million to US\$ 20 million for all eligible developing country Parties, so that any Party could access a total of up to US\$ 20 million from the Adaptation Fund once it had accessed funding amounting to at least US\$ 8 million for concrete single-country adaptation projects or programmes or once four years had passed since approval of the first concrete single-country adaptation project(s)/(programme(s) by the Board, whichever occurred earlier;</li> <li>b) To set a maximum level of US\$ 10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances, such as in the case of national implementing entities accredited through the streamlined process;</li> <li>c) To maintain the processes already put in place for the allocation of funding for regional projects and programmes, i.e., the provision on an annual basis (fiscal year) of a specific amount for the funding of regional project and programme proposals and the pipeline established through decision B.31/3;</li> <li>d) To assess implications of decision B.36/41 three years after the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board, taking into consideration resource availability, equitable access to funds, accreditation progress and programmatic development of the Fund;</li> <li>e) To inform the designated authorities and accredited implementing entities of this decision.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Decision B.44/4</b></p>	<p><b>Agenda item 14: Utilization of resource caps within the Adaptation Fund</b></p> <p>4. The Adaption Fund Board, having considered document AFB/B.44/9, refers to its decision B.43/33 requesting the Secretariat to undertake additional analysis and further elaborate options for caps and project and programme size, and decided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) To increase the country cap to US\$40 million;</li> <li>b) To increase the single-country project/programme size to US\$25 million;</li> <li>c) To increase the regional project/programme size to US\$30 million;</li> <li>d) To request the Secretariat, at the start of the next Medium-Term Strategy (MTS) period to review the caps, with a view to supporting the mandate to triple the outflows as per the NCQG decision, paragraph 16.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Decision B.12/9</b></p>	<p><b>Para 45.</b> Following a discussion, during which the Board considered a number of options to promote and facilitate the access by NIEs to the resources of the Adaptation Fund, including the need to both cap the amount of funding allocated to MIEs and the need to also cap the funds allocated to each MIE within a general cap on all MIEs, as well as the need to keep any such decision under regular review, the Board decided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) That the cumulative budget allocation for funding projects submitted by MIEs, should not exceed 50 per cent of the total funds available for funding decisions in the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund at the start of each session. That cumulative allocation would be subject to review by the Board on the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee at subsequent sessions;</li> <li>b) To request the Trustee to provide an update on the amount of funds that have been approved for projects implemented by NIEs and MIEs at each meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board; and</li> <li>c) To review the implementation of this decision at the fourteenth meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board.</li> </ul>

<b>Decision B.17/19</b>	<p><b>Para 75.</b> Having considered the recommendation of the EFC the Board decided to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Maintain the 50 per cent cap on the funding of project/programmes implemented by MIEs established by decision B.12/9, and exclude project/programme concepts from the 50 per cent calculation;</li> <li>b) Establish a pipeline of fully developed projects/programmes that have been recommended by the PPRC for approval by the Board, but exceeding the 50 per cent cap;</li> <li>c) Prioritize the projects/programmes in the pipeline by sequentially applying the following criteria: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Their date of recommendation by the PPRC;</li> <li>ii. Their submission date; and</li> <li>iii. The lower “net” cost.</li> </ul> </li> <li>d) Consider fully developed projects/programmes in the pipeline for approval, subject to availability of resources and respecting the 50 per cent cap; and</li> <li>e) Request that the EFC consider at its 9th meeting the suspension of project/programme submissions as the last measure and elaborate on a clear threshold that indicates when the measure should be applied (e.g. 60 per cent excess of the cap).</li> </ul>
<b>Decision B.25/28</b>	<p><b>Para 28. The Adaptation Fund Board decided to:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2</li> <li>b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme</li> <li>c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and</li> <li>d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.</li> </ul>
<b>Decision B.26/3</b>	<p><b>Para 3.</b> Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to request the secretariat to inform the Multilateral Implementing Entities and Regional Implementing Entities that the call for proposals under the Pilot programme for Regional Projects and Programmes is still open and to encourage them to submit proposals to the AFB at its 27th meeting, bearing in mind the cap established by</p>
<b>Decision B.27/5</b>	<p><b>Para 35.</b> Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Continue consideration of regional project and programme proposals under the pilot programme, while reminding the implementing entities that the amount set aside for the pilot programme is US\$ 30 million</li> <li>b) Request the secretariat to prepare for consideration by the Project and Programme Review Committee at its nineteenth meeting, a proposal for prioritization among regional project/programme proposals, including for awarding project formulation grants, and for establishment of a pipeline; and</li> <li>c) Consider the matter of the pilot programme for regional projects and programmes at its twenty-eighth meeting.</li> </ul>
<b>Decision B.28/1</b>	<p><b>Para 31.</b> Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC), the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:</p> <p><i>(a) With regard to the pilot programme approved by decision B.25/28:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <i>To prioritize the four projects and 10 project formulation grants as follows:</i></li> <li>ii. <i>If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do not exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, all those proposals would be submitted to the Board for funding</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. <i>If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, the proposals to be funded under the pilot programme would be prioritized so that the total number of projects and project formulation grants (PFGs) under the programme maximizes the total diversity of projects/PFGs. This would be done using a</i></li> </ul> </li> </ul>

	<p><i>three-tier prioritization system: so that the proposals in relatively less funded sectors would be prioritized as the first level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same sector: the proposals in relatively less funded regions are prioritized as the second level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same region, the proposals submitted by relatively less represented implementing entity would be prioritized as the third level of prioritization;</i></p> <p><i>To request the secretariat to report on the progress and experiences of the pilot programme to the PPRC at its twenty-third meeting; and</i></p> <p><i>(b) With regards to financing regional proposals beyond the pilot programme referred to above:</i></p> <p><i>(i) To continue considering regional proposals for funding, within the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2: ones requesting up to US\$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US\$ 5 million, subject to review of the regional programme;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) To establish two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals: one for proposals up to US\$ 14 million and the other for proposals up to US\$ 5 million, and place any technically cleared regional proposals, in those pipelines, in the order described in decision B.17/19 (their date of recommendation by the PPRC, their submission date, their lower “net” cost); and</i></p> <p><i>(iii) To fund projects from the two pipelines, using funds available for the respective types of implementing entities, so that the maximum number of or maximum total funding for projects and project formulation grants to be approved each fiscal year will be outlined at the time of approving the annual work plan of the Board.</i></p>
<p><b>Decision B.29/4</b></p>	<p>38. Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:</p> <p><i>(a) To include in its work plan for fiscal year 2018 a program of work amounting to US\$ 30 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:</i></p> <p><i>(i) Up to three proposals requesting up to US\$ 5 million for funding;</i></p> <p><i>(ii) One proposal requesting up to US\$ 14 million of funding;</i></p> <p><i>(iii) Up to five project formulation grant (PFG) requests, of up to US\$ 100,000 each, for preparing project and programme concepts or fully developed project documents requesting up to US\$ 5 million of funding;</i></p> <p><i>(iv) Up to five project formulation grant (PFG) requests, of up to US\$ 100,000 each, for preparing project and programme concepts or fully developed project documents requesting up to US\$ 14 million of funding.</i></p>
<p><b>Decision B.31/3</b></p>	<p><b>Para 3.</b> Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:</p>

	<p>a) <i>To merge the two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals established in decision B.28/1(b)(ii), so that starting in fiscal year 2019 the provisional amount of funding for regional proposals would be allocated without distinction between the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, and that the funding of regional proposals would be established on a ‘first come, first served’ basis; and</i></p> <p>b) <i>To include in its work programme for fiscal year 2019 provision of an amount of US\$ 60 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:</i></p> <p>i. <i>Up to US\$ 59 million to be used for funding regional project and programme proposals in the two categories of regional projects and programmes: ones requesting up to US\$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US\$ 5 million; and</i></p> <p>ii. <i>Up to US\$ 1 million for funding project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concepts or fully developed project and programme documents.</i></p>
<b>Decision B.40/59</b>	<p><b>Para 60.</b> Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:</p> <p>a) To extend the role of intermediary in the delivery of support for the accreditation of an NIE via the readiness package grant to all accredited implementing entities of the Fund;</p> <p>b) To require that all accredited implementing entities of the Fund that wish to deliver support for accreditation of a national implementing entity via the readiness package grant meet the following eligibility requirements:</p> <p>i. Have an “active accreditation” status with the Adaptation Fund;</p> <p>ii. Have experience advising or organizing relevant accreditation or capacity building support for institutions, organizations or other entities in developing countries at the national, subnational or local level to receive climate finance for adaptation projects and programmes;</p> <p>iii. Have experience implementing an Adaptation Fund project or programme and have submitted at least one project performance report, thereby demonstrating its commitment to adhering to the Fund’s fiduciary standards and operational policies and guidelines.</p> <p>c) To request the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat to update the website and notify all accredited implementing entities of the above decision by the Board.</p>
<b>Decision B.41/21</b>	<p><b>Para 21.</b> Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:</p> <p>a) Maintain the piloting of the rolling-basis submissions process for all funding windows, as described in document AFB/PPRC.31/60 and approved by decision B.40/59;</p> <p>b) Request the secretariat to:</p> <p>i. Present to the PPRC at its thirty-third meeting a report on the implementation of the pilot with any recommendations for improvement, as appropriate, and including a table comparing the number of proposals submitted at each cycle;</p> <p>ii. Undertake a comprehensive revision of the Operational Policies and Guidelines to reflect all necessary changes due to the revision of the Fund’s policies to-date, and with a view of better accommodating future changes of the policies of the Fund; and</p> <p>iii. Present the proposed draft revisions under the paragraph (b)(ii) above for consideration by the Board in its forty-third meeting.</p>
<b>Decision B.11/16</b>	<p><b>Para 86.</b> Following a discussion, and having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board decided:</p> <p>a) To set a cap for a fee of 8.5 per cent for all projects/programmes funded by the Adaptation Fund;</p>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>b) That implementing entities should provide a budget on fee use in project or programme proposals, which would be considered during project and programme review; and</li> <li>c) That the fee policy could be reviewed and adjusted after three years, or more specifically at the meeting of the Board following the ninth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol.</li> </ul>
<b>Decision B.12/7</b>	<p><b>Para 36.</b> Having considered the comments and recommendations of the Projects and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) To request the secretariat to prepare a desk study on how other funding sources had considered the issue of execution costs and present that study to the Ethics and Finance Committee for its consideration; and</li> <li>b) To request to the implementing entities that the project document included an explanation and a breakdown of all administrative costs associated with the project, including the execution costs.</li> </ul>
<b>Decision B.36.41</b>	<p><b>Para 41.</b> Having considered the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) (a) To revise the cap per country established by decision B.13/23 from US\$ 10 million to US\$ 20 million for all eligible developing country Parties, so that any Party could access a total of up to US\$ 20 million from the Adaptation Fund once it had accessed funding amounting to at least US\$ 8 million for concrete single-country adaptation projects or programmes or once four years had passed since approval of the first concrete single-country adaptation project(s)/(programme(s) by the Board, whichever occurred earlier;</li> <li>b) To set a maximum level of US\$ 10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances, such as in the case of national implementing entities accredited through the streamlined process;</li> <li>c) To maintain the processes already put in place for the allocation of funding for regional projects and programmes, i.e., the provision on an annual basis (fiscal year) of a specific amount for the funding of regional project and programme proposals and the pipeline established through decision B.31/3;</li> <li>d) To assess implications of decision B.36/41 three years after the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board, taking into consideration resource availability, equitable access to funds, accreditation progress and programmatic development of the Fund;</li> <li>e) To inform the designated authorities and accredited implementing entities of this decision.</li> </ul>
<b>Decision B.41/30</b>	<p><b>Para 30.</b> Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Take note of the report on the review of budget implications and options for evaluation policy implementation as contained in document AFB/EFC.32/10;</li> <li>b) Request the implementing entities to set the overall evaluation budget for proposed projects and programmes at 1 to 5 per cent of the project or programme budget, to be counted towards the implementing entity fee, starting from the forty-second meeting of the Board;</li> <li>c) Invite the implementing entities to consider "Proposed new structure of project evaluation cost" referred to in paragraph 30 of document AFB/EFC.32/10 as a guidance for allocating funding for evaluation activities;</li> <li>d) Request the secretariat to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Update the "Instructions for Preparing a Request for Project Funding" contained in Annex 5 to the Operational Policies and Guidelines accordingly and submit it to the forty-second meeting of the Board for consideration and approval;</li> <li>ii. Communicate the present decision to the implementing entities and ensure compliance during project reviews and monitoring; and</li> <li>iii. Report on the implementation of the new budget allocation for project evaluation budget to the Ethics and Finance Committee at its thirty-fourth meeting</li> </ul> </li> </ul>