



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.37/39/Add.1
April 7-8, 2026

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Thirty-seventh meeting
Bonn, Germany

Agenda Item 7 h)

PROJECT FORMULATION GRANT FOR LLA SINGLE COUNTRY PROPOSAL FOR UGANDA

I. Background

1. The Board at its eleventh meeting discussed the document "Funding for Project Formulation Costs" (AFB/11/6) and agreed, in its Decision B.11/18, that:

- i. project formulation grants (PFG) should be given once a project concept has been approved
- ii. consideration should be given in terms of differentiating between NIEs and MIEs, since some NIEs might have financial difficulties in trying to formulate project or programme proposals;
- iii. a flat rate should be given for project formulation costs;
- iv. a list of eligible activities and items still needed to be prepared; v. the grant should be additional to the project cost; and
- v. the fate of funds if the final project document was rejected should be determined.

2. There was consensus that a three-tiered system should be considered for project formulation grants: endorse a project concept with a PFG amount, endorse a project concept without a PFG amount, or reject the project concept.

3. Following the discussion, the Board decided:

To request the secretariat to reformulate the document, to include a comparison of eligible activities provided by other funds for project formulation grants, to take into account guidance provided by the Board at the present meeting, and to submit the document to the Board at its twelfth meeting, through the EFC. The EFC should review and finalize the process and policy of the project formulation grant focusing, in particular, on: the issue of unspent project funds; the procedures followed by other funds in that regard; and the determination of a flat-rate.

4. A document was prepared by the secretariat in response to the above mandate and presented at the third EFC meeting, which made specific recommendations to the Board at its twelfth meeting. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board, in its Decision B.12/28, decided that:

(a) Project Formulation Grants (PFGs) will only be made available for projects submitted through NIEs. The Board would continue reviewing the question of PFGs for projects submitted through MIEs and would solicit comments from members and alternate members by February 14, 2011; the views would be compiled by the secretariat for presentation to the Board at its March 2011 meeting;

(b) If a country required a project formulation grant, a request should be made at the same time as the submission of a project concept to the secretariat. The secretariat will review and forward it to the PPRC for a final recommendation to the Board. A PFG could only be awarded when a project concept was presented and endorsed;

(c) A PFG form, reproduced in Annex V, should be submitted;

- (d) Only activities related to country costs would be eligible for PFG funding;*
- (e) A flat rate of up to US\$30,000 shall be provided, inclusive of the management fee, which cannot exceed 8.5 per cent of the grant amount. The flat fee would be reviewed by the Board at its thirteenth and all subsequent meetings;*
- (f) If the final project document is rejected, any unused funds shall be returned to the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund;*
- (g) Once a project/programme formulation grant is disbursed, a fully developed project document should come to the Board for approval within 12 months. No additional grants for project preparation can be received by a country until the fully developed project/programme document has been submitted to the Board; and*
- (h) The Trustee was instructed to remove the set-aside of US\$100,000 for project preparation that had been decided at the June 2010 meeting, as project preparation would be approved on a project-by-project basis.*

5. In its twenty-fourth meeting, the Board had initiated steps to launch a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, not to exceed US\$ 30 million and had requested the secretariat to prepare for the consideration of the Board a proposal for such a pilot programme (Decision B.24/30). In its twenty-fifth meeting, the secretariat submitted such document and the Board decided to:

- (a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;*
- (b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;*
- (c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and*
- (d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.*

(Decision B.25/28)

6. The approved document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2 contained provisions for the approval of project formulated grants for regional project and programme proposals, at different development stages, as follows:

“It is proposed that the Board open a structured call for MIEs and RIEs to submit pre-concepts for regional projects and programmes. The optional pre-concepts would be very brief proposals of maximum 5 pages that would explain the proposed regional adaptation project/programme. The pre-concepts would be screened and technically reviewed by the

secretariat, and subsequently reviewed by the PPRC. Together with the pre-concept, the proponent could submit a Phase I PFG request, up to the maximum level of US\$ 20,000. While endorsing the pre-concept, the Board could also approve the Phase I PFG request. The endorsement of the pre-concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding. As the next step, the proponent would submit a concept, and with it the proponent could submit a Phase II PFG request. The maximum AFB/PPRC.18/25/Add.1 level of the Phase II PFG would be US\$ 80,000 for proposals that had been previously granted Phase I PFG, and US\$ 100,000 for proposals that bypassed the optional pre-concept stage. While endorsing the concept, the Board could also approve the Phase II PFG request. The endorsement of the concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding, as it is the case for the national projects. The final stage of the proposal process would be the submission of the fully-developed regional project document”.

7. At its forty-second meeting the Board approved modifications to project formulation grants contained in document AFB/PPRC.33/40. Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

- a) *That project formulation grants (PFGs) be made available for projects submitted through national, regional and multilateral implementing entities;*
- b) *That the maximum size of the PFG for single country projects for all windows, inclusive of the management fee, be set as follows:*
 - (i) For projects below US\$ 2,000,000, the limit is set at US\$ 50,000;*
 - (ii) For projects at or above US\$ 2,000,000 and below US\$ 5,000,000, the limit is set at US\$ 100,000;*
 - (iii) For projects at or above US\$ 5,000,000, the limit is set at US\$ 150,000;*
- c) *That an additional PFG amount (inclusive of the management fee) can be provided on a case-by-case basis for locally-led adaptation projects up to a maximum of US\$ 100,000, and that such amount should be dedicated to support activities that enable decision-making by local actors over how adaptation actions are defined, prioritized, designed and implemented;*
- d) *That the maximum size of the PFG for all regional projects, inclusive of the management fee, is set as follows:*
 - (i) For regional projects of US\$ 5,000,000 and above, and with less than three countries, the limit is set at US\$ 150,000;*
 - (ii) That this limit increase by US\$ 15,000 for each additional country involved, up to a maximum of US\$ 250,000;*
- e) *That requests for a PFG should be made at the same time as the submission of a project concept or pre-concept to the secretariat using the revised PFG form in annex I to document AFB/PPRC.33/40;*

- f) *That, for a PFG at the pre-concept stage, up to 20 percent of the maximum amount of the PFG set in out in subparagraphs (b), (c) and (d) above could be granted;*
- g) *That only activities that support project preparation and formulation would be eligible for PFG funding, as per paragraph 32 of document AFB/PPRC.33/40.*

(Decision B.42/37)

II. The Project Formulation Grant Request

8. This addendum to the document, AFB/PPRC.37/29 “LLA Single Country Proposal for Uganda” includes a request for a Project Formulation Grant (PFG), requesting a total budget of US\$ 249,920, comprising a PFG of US\$ 150,000 plus an additional amount of US\$ 99,920, which was received by the secretariat along with the concept note proposal for the project AF00000467 “*Enhancing Locally Led Adaptation through Devolved Climate Financing for Resilient Communities in Uganda*”. This proposal was submitted by Ministry of Water and Environment (MoWE), which is a National Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund, in time for consideration by the Adaptation Fund Board at its forty-sixth Board meeting.

9. In accordance with Decision B.12/28, paragraph (b), the secretariat carried out an initial review of the PFG request and found that the document provided detailed information on the use of the requested funds. The proposed activities were aligned with the goal of the project. The US\$ 150,000 Project Formulation Grant (PFG) will support the development of an Environmental and Social Management Framework with an in-depth gender analysis and Gender Action Plan, enhancement of the (MEL) framework to embed indicators for tracking local government service delivery and USP progress, and the development of a fully developed proposal. The additional US\$ 99,920 PFG amount will support the production of a Gender Equality and Social Inclusion (GESI) Manual and capacity development to operationalise the ESMF and GAP and strengthen safeguards compliance, as well as local community/stakeholder consultations to gather input on devolved climate financing and AF requirements across the targeted districts and sub-counties, alongside related project cycle management oversight.

10. Therefore, the PPRC may want to consider and recommend to the Board to approve the PFG Request, provided that the related concept note proposal is endorsed.



Revised PFG Submission Form¹ (additions in red)

Project Formulation Grant (PFG)

Submission Date:

Adaptation Fund Project ID:

Country/ies: Uganda

Title of Project/Programme: Enhancing Locally Led Adaptation Through Devolved Climate Financing for Resilient Communities in Uganda

Type of IE (NIE/RIE/MIE): National Implementation Entity (NIE)

Implementing Entity: Ministry of Water and Environment, Uganda.

Executing Entity/ies: Ministry of Water and Environment and District Local Governments

A. Project Preparation Timeframe

| | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|
| Start date of PFG | March 2026 |
| Completion date of PFG | October 2026 |

B. Proposed Project Preparation Activities (\$)

| List of Proposed Project Preparation Activities | Output of the PFG Activities | US\$ Amount | Budget note² |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|

¹ As presented in AFB/PPRC.33/40 Annex 1.

² The proposal should include a detailed budget with budget notes indicating the break-down of costs at the activity level. It should also include a budget on the Implementing Entity management fee use.

| | | | |
|--|--|-----------------|--|
| <p>1.Environmental and Social Management Framework and Gender Action Plan.</p> | <p>Develop an Environmental and Social Management Framework, with an in-depth gender analysis and Gender Action Plan.</p> <p>GESI specific Capacity Strengthening conducted</p> <p>Adapt the ESIA tool to include the USP requirements for use by PCCCslocal governance structures (risk screening, risk management)</p> <p>Development of training module as referenced in Component 2 on use of USPs for sub-national government</p> | <p>\$85,900</p> | <p>Hire a local consultant to undertake Environmental and Social Assessments and participatory Gender Needs Assessment/ Gender Analysis, and develop an Environmental and Social Management Framework and a Gender Action Plan.</p> <p>Environmental Expert (team leader, with expertise in occupational health and safety) \$15,000 (\$300 x 50 days)</p> <p>Gender and Social Development Expert \$ 12900 (300 x 43 days spent across 23 sites)</p> <p>Car Hire Costs \$ 8,000 (\$ 200 X 2 vehicles X 20 days)</p> <p>Verification/ dissemination and production of the Environmental and Social Management Framework and dissemination \$10,000</p> <p>Develop a Training Materials for ESMP and USPs and under capacity Strengthening on ESMP at District and Sub-county level (\$40,000)</p> |
| <p>2. Enhancement of MEL framework to support Local Government Service Delivery tracking</p> | <p>Update of the MEL framework to embed indicators for tracking Local Government Service Delivery, USP progress contract performance, environmental and social risk status, safeguards compliance, gender actions, and community feedback for each USP from screening through implementation.</p> | <p>\$10,050</p> | <p>Hire of a local consultant to update the MEL framework (inclusive stakeholder consultation) \$10,050</p> |

| | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------|---|
| 3. Development of a consolidated National Project Proposal | Project Proposal developed | \$42,305 | Professional expertise for proposal writing \$18,900 (\$300 X 63 days) Travel facilitation to the field. \$6,000 Workshop with technical team and Project validation workshop with local and national stakeholders \$15,000 Communication, printing and stationery \$2,405 |
| Total project Cost | | \$138255 | |
| Project/Programme Cycle Management Fee charged by the Implementing Entity (Maximum of 8.5%) | | \$11745 | |
| Total Project Formulation Grant | | \$150,000 | |

The management fee will be used to manage and execute the following activities.

| Activity | Budget USD |
|----------------------------|--------------|
| Coordination meetings | 3915 |
| Supervision and Monitoring | 4900 |
| Reviewing and Reporting | 980 |
| Communication | 980 |
| Office Supply | 970 |
| Total | 11745 |

Please describe below each of the PFG activities and provide justifications for their need and for the amount of funding required:

All aspects of the PFG (both the main PFG and LLA specific parts) are designed to work together, rather than exist as independent pieces of work. The overarching themes of work to be done using PFG are:

- a. Soliciting expert support to assist with the production of the full LLA Application
- b. Improving existing MEL frameworks to align with the AF's results framework and include the various measures needed to track quality standards and USP progress.
- c. Full consultation on the AF proposal and initiating awareness raising on the use of USPs within this proposed programme
- d. Preparing suitable environment and social frameworks assessments where possible ahead of Proposal submission and preparing tools for assessment of environment and social risks when soliciting USPs during the programme
- e. Conducting a full gender analysis, production of an action plan and manual with which to train stakeholders involved once the programme begins to ensure capacity to enable equitable decision making at the local level.

Activity 12: Environmental and Social Management Framework and Gender Action Plan. An Environmental and Social Management Framework (ESMF), a full gender analysis and a Gender Action Plan (GAP) shall be developed during the project formulation period.

~~The ESMF will incorporate AF's USP guidance to ensure all unidentified sub-projects undergo rigorous environmental and social risk screening, gender analysis and stakeholder engagement before approval. GAP will provide technical guidance on environmental and social assessments, management, and gender inclusion during the preparation and implementation of the selected priority sub-projects and activities.~~

The ESMF is selected because the project portfolio remains uncertain regarding its size and design. Furthermore, the exact locations of all sites are not yet fully confirmed, and a detailed site-specific assessment of potential environmental and social impacts, along with appropriate mitigation measures, must be carefully guided, in line with the AF's USP guidance. The ESMF will incorporate AF's USP guidance to ensure all unidentified sub-projects undergo rigorous environmental and social risk screening, gender analysis and stakeholder engagement before approval. The ESMF will be prepared following international best practices, incorporating the AF guidelines and relevant national legislation.

~~Justification for having USP integrated in the ESIA, and development of a training module for USP required.~~

Key activities proposed for the consultants to develop a comprehensive project ESMF include the following:

- (i) Provide clear procedures and methodologies for environmental and social assessments, E&S risk assessment tools, stakeholder engagement reviews, and approvals to be adopted for investments under the project.
- (ii) Specify the appropriate roles and responsibilities of stakeholders, and outline the necessary reporting procedures for managing and monitoring environmental and social requirements related to project investments.

- (iii) Identify training needs, capacity building, and technical assistance required to effectively implement the provisions of the ESMF ([which is in line with USP guidance](#)), as well as the subsequent ESIA/ESMPs and stakeholder engagements where applicable. [This produces the output of a training module as referenced in Component 2 on use of USPs for sub-national government](#)
- (iv) Prepare a costed Environment and Social Management Plan (ESMP) for the project.
- (v) Prepare samples of site-specific Terms of Reference for additional or detailed studies, such as Environmental and Social Impact Studies and project briefs.

Key activities for the Gender Action Plan shall include the following:

- a) Conduct a gender analysis to identify gender gaps, inequalities, and barriers in the proposed project area.
- b) Define objectives, activities, and strategies for the GAP.
- c) Establish indicators and targets for the GAP.
- d) Provide cost estimates for implementing the GAP.
- e) Define and assign roles and responsibilities of stakeholders in the GAP

[The output of this work will be picked up by the gender related work in the LLA part of the PFG, listed below.](#)

Besides having a detailed project ESMF and GAP, the project proposal will include a comprehensive description of the key costed processes and actions that will be implemented during the project to ensure compliance with the ESMF and GAP and Capacity Strengthening for the same.

A total of \$85,900 is estimated to cover hiring professionals, facilitate the field teams, and support the verification and dissemination of the developed project ESMF and GAP. It will be buttressed by additional work for LLA projects that requires extra PFG investment as outlined in the table below.

Activity 32. Enhancement of the MEL Framework to support tracking of Local Government Service Delivery Standards.

[To suitably update the existing MEL framework used for LIFE-AR/DCF to also track the detailed required by AF and mentioned in the Concept Note, a MEL expert is required to assist the process of developing indicators for tracking the utilization of the DCF mechanism as an approach to enhance service delivery by the Local Government. Additionally, they will ensure the framework is in line with the AF Results Framework and is capable of tracking USP progress, contract performance, safeguarding compliance etc, as outlined in the 'output' section of the table above. ~~is necessary and missing component of the existing MEL framework.~~](#)

A total of \$10,0500 is estimated to engage a consultant/ professional to improve the MEL framework with tracking indicators for Local Government Service Delivery.

Activity 43: Development of a consolidated National Project Proposal. This activity includes the professional services fees for hiring an [expert/expert team](#) to develop a comprehensive, final project proposal. The professional(s) will [ensure the comments from AF reviewers are taken onboard in the full programme](#)

proposal, detail the USP screening process including templates for site-specific ESMPs and gender-responsive safeguards, ensuring compliance with AF standards. review relevant national frameworks, reports, project documents, and reports prepared during the project formulation process (Stakeholder Consultations, designs, ESMF and GAP), conduct national and regional consultations, develop a draft project proposal, organise and facilitate validation workshops, ~~and prepare for the submission of~~ a detailed proposal.
~~The consolidated proposal will detail the USP screening process including templates for site-specific ESMPs and gender-responsive safeguards, ensuring compliance with AF standards.~~

A project management fee is applied to these activities, as listed in the small table above.

A total cost of \$~~421,340~~150,000 is estimated for the above activities.

For LLA Projects only:

If requesting additional funding for LLA projects to enable devolving decision making to the local level, please specify the activities that would directly serve to enable devolving decision making to the lowest appropriate level and enable local actors to make informed decisions on how adaptation actions are defined, prioritized, designed, and implemented:

Please provide justifications for their need and for the amount of additional funding required:

| List of proposed project preparation activities | Output of the PFG activities | Amount (US\$) | Budget note |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|---|
| <u>1. Production of GESI Manual and Capacity development to support use of Environmental and Social Management Framework and Gender Action Plan and Safeguard compliance.</u> | <u>GESI manual developed and validated to guide application of ESMF and GAP</u> <u>Enhanced institutional capacity at national and sub-national levels to implement GESI-responsiveness and environmental and social risk management</u> <u>GESI Capacity Strengthening at the National and Sub-National (including development of a GESI Manual) officials</u> | 50,000 <u>\$50,000</u> | \$50,000 <u>Hiring of a local consultant \$ 14,100 (\$300 x 47 days). 21 days for developing a GESI manual; 23 days for Sub-national training, 3 days for national level training</u> <u>DSA for district & Subcounty officials \$7,820 (\$17 x 20 officials' x 23 days)</u> <u>Car hire for consultant \$ 5,000 (\$200 x 1 vehicle x 25 days</u> |

| | | | |
|---|---|-----------|--|
| | | | <p><u>Venue for meetings \$ 2,600 (\$100 x 26 days)</u></p> <p><u>Refreshments sub-national \$ 16,100 (\$14 x 50 participants x 23sub-counties)</u></p> <p><u>DSA for National officials \$3,384 (\$47 x 24 officials' x 3 days)</u></p> <p><u>Refreshments National \$1,004 (\$14 x 24 participants x 3 days)</u></p> |
| <p><u>24</u>. Local Community/stakeholder Consultations</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Obtain stakeholder input, views and concerns on the Devolved Climate Financing (DCF) and implementation modalities particular to the AF at both the National and Sub-National level • Obtain stakeholder input on the 23 targeted sub_counties • Obtain information on proposed investments, outputs • Stakeholder awareness on the AF USP requirements • Stakeholder Engagement Plan <p>Gender and Social inclusion (GESI) support during the consultations to facilitate constructive engagement of vulnerable and marginalized groups.</p> | \$ 42,095 | <p>Consultations in 4 districts (Kalungu, Kaabong, Pader and Kibaale) with 23parishes targeted.</p> <p>27 consultation meetings in 4 districts and 23 sub-counties</p> <p>Hiring of a local consultant \$ 13500 (\$300 x 45 days)</p> <p>DSA for district officials \$2295 (\$17 x 5 officials' x 27 days)</p> <p>Car hire for consultant \$ 7000 (\$200 x 1 vehicle x 35 days)</p> <p>Venue for meetings \$ 2700 (\$100 x 27 days)</p> |

| | | | |
|---|--|----------|---|
| | | | Refreshments \$ 5,600 (\$14 x 100 participant's x 4 districts) National workshop to disseminate findings (including validation of stakeholder consultation report) \$ 3,000 GESI draw down to facilitate constructive engagement of vulnerable and marginalized groups. (\$8,000) |
| Total project Cost | | \$92095 | |
| Project/Programme Cycle Management Fee charged by the Implementing Entity (Maximum of 8.5%) | | \$7825 | |
| Total Project Formulation Grant | | \$99,920 | |

The management fee will be used to manage and execute the following activities.

| Activity | Budget USD |
|----------------------------|-------------------|
| Coordination meetings | 2600 |
| Supervision and Monitoring | 3260 |
| Reviewing and Reporting | 660 |
| Communication | 655 |
| Office Supply | 650 |
| Total | 7825 |

Please describe below each of the PFG activities and provide justifications for their need and for the amount of funding required:

Activity 1: GESI Manual, Institutional strengthening and Safeguards Compliance~~Production of GESI Manual and capacity development to support use Gender Action Plan.~~

~~xxx~~

This activity will institutionalise gender equality, social inclusion and environmental and social safeguards within devolved climate finance mechanism by equipping national and sub-national actors with practical tools to operationalise the Gender Action Plan and the ESMF in decision-making, resource allocation and monitoring.

A GESI and Safeguards Manual will be developed as a mandatory reference for all locally led adaptation investments under the programme. The manual will provide step by step procedures for:

- Integrating gender equality and social inclusion considerations into sub-project identification, appraisal and approval processes
- Applying environmental and social screening tools and risk categorisation in line with the ESMF
- Designing and implementing appropriate mitigation measures for identified environmental and social risks
- Ensuring meaningful participation of women, youth, persons with disabilities and other marginalized groups in local adaptation decision-making
- Operationalising grievance redress, incident reporting and accountability mechanisms at community and local government level

Capacity strengthening activities will focus on embedding these practices within existing national and sub-national institutions/structures to consistently apply the safeguards and inclusion standards in practice. Targeted training will be provided to technical officers and local oversight structures on:

- Compliance with the ESMF and site-specific ESMPs
- Gender-responsive planning, budgeting and monitoring
- Safeguards supervision, reporting and adaptive management

Activity 24. Local Community Stakeholder Consultations. Programme beneficiaries and interested entities must be actively engaged consulted to gather their views on the purpose of the LLA Programme proposed here, the benefits of it, any potential impacts and any other concerns related to the structure and approach of the proposed programme. Stakeholders at the district, subcounty and parish levels will be active decision makers in the DCF approach and so it is necessary to meet them to introduce the initiative, to ensure parish and sub-county stakeholders can identify the people responsible at the district and national levels, and to learn about the principles by which the initiative is governed and their responsibilities in participation. Stakeholder consultations will therefore be conducted in the proposed programme areas, specifically in the districts of Kalungu, Pader, Kaabong, and Kibaale, as well as within the 23 target sub-counties. At the district level, officials are already well aware of DCF, LIFE-AR, and locally led adaptation, having been leaders of the LIFE-AR work to date. The

purpose of visiting them will be to hear how any implications of using AF funding to expand the DCF approach to climate action in their districts might impact them (in comparison to using instead of the financing from the LIFE-AR pooled fund, as they have been using so far). The use of AF funding requires compliance with USP guidance, ES Policy, Gender Policy, and other aspects beholden to AF operating guidelines. This will require changes to the way they have been working and the consultation provides an opportunity to unpack those changes and plan for how they will be facilitated to enable devolving decision making to the lowest appropriate level and enable local actors to make informed decisions on how adaptation actions are defined, prioritized, designed, and implemented.

The consultation will also involve visits to participating sub-counties. The consultations shall identify and map key project stakeholders (including vulnerable and marginalized groups, including women, youth, and persons with disabilities); obtain input, views, and concerns on the DCF and implementation modalities; and collect any nascent information on proposed programme activities and outputs. The information gathered will provide valuable insights into local contexts that help shape the project’s objectives and scope, ensuring that adaptation investments address the needs of women, youth, people with disabilities, and other marginalised groups. This will enhance both the effectiveness and sustainability of interventions and will support the development of a Stakeholder Engagement Plan to be utilized during project formulation and implementation. The budget includes reference to the GESI drawdown budget line to facilitate the participation of marginalised groups through means that best suit their needs. This is for the direct purpose of making these consultations as inclusive as possible, in line with the principled approach of LLA and LIFE-AR.

A total of \$ 43,5952,095 is estimated for this activity and the costs includes; professional fees for the consultant facilitation for district officials and refreshments for community members and costs to meet venues and meeting materials.

A project management fee is applied to these activities, as listed in the small table above.

C. Implementing Entity

This request has been prepared in accordance with the Adaptation Fund Board’s procedures and meets the Adaptation Fund’s criteria for project identification and formulation

| Implementing Entity Coordinator, IE Name | Signature | Date (Month, day, year) | Project Contact Person | Telephone | Email Address |
|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------|
|--|-----------|-------------------------|------------------------|-----------|---------------|

| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|

Telephone : 256 41 4341305/230487
Fax : 256 41 4233524
Email : finance@finance.go.ug
Website : www.finance.go.ug
Plot No. 2-8 Apollo Kaggwa Road
In any correspondence on
This subject please quote No.DARC 158/550/04



Ministry of Finance,
Planning & Economic
Development,
P.O Box 8147
Kampala, Uganda

25th August, 2025

The Adaptation Fund Board,
C/O Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat,
Email: Secretariat@Adaptation-Fund.org,
Fax: 202 522 3240/5

**ENDORSEMENT LETTER FOR THE PROJECT TITLED “ENHANCING
LOCALLY LED ADAPTATION THROUGH DEVOLVED CLIMATE
FINANCING PROJECT”**

In my capacity as the National Designated Authority for the Adaptation Fund in Uganda, I confirm that the above regional project/ programme is in line with Uganda’s priorities in implementing adaptation actions to reduce the adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Uganda.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above national project/programme proposal to be supported by the Adaptation Fund.

If approved, the project/ programme will be implemented and executed by Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE).

Ramathan Ggoobi

PERMANENT SECRETARY/SECRETARY TO THE TREASURY

Copy to: Hon. Minister of Finance, Planning and Economic
Development
Permanent Secretary, Ministry of Water and Environment

Mission

“To formulate sound economic policies, maximize revenue mobilization, ensure efficient allocation and accountability for public resources so as to achieve the most rapid and sustainable economic growth and development”