



ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.38/11/Add.1
10 September 2026

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Thirty eighth Meeting
Bonn, Germany, 19-23 October 2026

Agenda Item 4 (h)

PROJECT FORMULATION GRANT FOR COLOMBIA

I. Background

1. The Board at its eleventh meeting discussed the document “Funding for Project Formulation Costs” (AFB/11/6) and agreed, in its Decision B.11/18, that:

- i. project formulation grants (PFG) should be given once a project concept has been approved
- ii. consideration should be given in terms of differentiating between NIEs and MIEs, since some NIEs might have financial difficulties in trying to formulate project or programme proposals;
- iii. a flat rate should be given for project formulation costs;
- iv. a list of eligible activities and items still needed to be prepared; v. the grant should be additional to the project cost; and
- v. the fate of funds if the final project document was rejected should be determined.

2. There was consensus that a three-tiered system should be considered for project formulation grants: endorse a project concept with a PFG amount, endorse a project concept without a PFG amount, or reject the project concept.

3. Following the discussion, the Board decided:

To request the secretariat to reformulate the document, to include a comparison of eligible activities provided by other funds for project formulation grants, to take into account guidance provided by the Board at the present meeting, and to submit the document to the Board at its twelfth meeting, through the EFC. The EFC should review and finalize the process and policy of the project formulation grant focusing, in particular, on: the issue of unspent project funds; the procedures followed by other funds in that regard; and the determination of a flat-rate.

4. A document was prepared by the secretariat in response to the above mandate and presented at the third EFC meeting, which made specific recommendations to the Board at its twelfth meeting. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board, in its Decision B.12/28, decided that:

(a) Project Formulation Grants (PFGs) will only be made available for projects submitted through NIEs. The Board would continue reviewing the question of PFGs for projects submitted through MIEs and would solicit comments from members and alternate members by February 14, 2011; the views would be compiled by the secretariat for presentation to the Board at its March 2011 meeting;

(b) If a country required a project formulation grant, a request should be made at the same time as the submission of a project concept to the secretariat. The secretariat will review and forward it to the PPRC for a final recommendation to the Board. A PFG could only be awarded when a project concept was presented and endorsed;

(c) A PFG form, reproduced in Annex V, should be submitted;

- (d) Only activities related to country costs would be eligible for PFG funding;*
 - (e) A flat rate of up to US\$30,000 shall be provided, inclusive of the management fee, which cannot exceed 8.5 per cent of the grant amount. The flat fee would be reviewed by the Board at its thirteenth and all subsequent meetings;*
 - (f) If the final project document is rejected, any unused funds shall be returned to the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund;*
 - (g) Once a project/programme formulation grant is disbursed, a fully developed project document should come to the Board for approval within 12 months. No additional grants for project preparation can be received by a country until the fully developed project/programme document has been submitted to the Board; and*
 - (h) The Trustee was instructed to remove the set-aside of US\$100,000 for project preparation that had been decided at the June 2010 meeting, as project preparation would be approved on a project-by-project basis.*
5. In its twenty-fourth meeting, the Board had initiated steps to launch a pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, not to exceed US\$ 30 million and had requested the secretariat to prepare for the consideration of the Board a proposal for such a pilot programme (Decision B.24/30). In its twenty-fifth meeting, the secretariat submitted such document and the Board decided to:
- (a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2;*
 - (b) Set a cap of US\$ 30 million for the programme;*
 - (c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and*
 - (d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.*
- (Decision B.25/28)*
6. The approved document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2 contained provisions for the approval of project formulated grants for regional project and programme proposals, at different development stages, as follows:
- "It is proposed that the Board open a structured call for MIEs and RIEs to submit pre-concepts for regional projects and programmes. The optional pre-concepts would be very brief proposals of maximum 5 pages that would explain the proposed regional adaptation project/programme. The pre-concepts would be screened and technically reviewed by the*

secretariat, and subsequently reviewed by the PPRC. Together with the pre-concept, the proponent could submit a Phase I PFG request, up to the maximum level of US\$ 20,000. While endorsing the pre-concept, the Board could also approve the Phase I PFG request. The endorsement of the pre-concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding. As the next step, the proponent would submit a concept, and with it the proponent could submit a Phase II PFG request. The maximum AFB/PPRC.18/25/Add.1 level of the Phase II PFG would be US\$ 80,000 for proposals that had been previously granted Phase I PFG, and US\$ 100,000 for proposals that bypassed the optional pre-concept stage. While endorsing the concept, the Board could also approve the Phase II PFG request. The endorsement of the concept would not create an obligation for the Board for later funding, as it is the case for the national projects. The final stage of the proposal process would be the submission of the fully-developed regional project document”.

II. The Project Formulation Grant Request

7. This addendum to the document AFB/PPRC.38/11 “Proposal for Colombia” includes a request for a Project Formulation Grant, requesting a budget of US\$ 133,455, which was received by the secretariat along with the concept for the project AF00000499 “Comprehensive strategy for climate resilience and peacebuilding in the Colombian Pacific, through the implementation of nature-based solutions, the strengthening of livelihoods, and environmental governance”. This proposal was submitted by the Development Bank of Latin America (CAF), which is a Regional Implementing Entity of the Adaptation Fund, in time for consideration by the Adaptation Fund Board at its forty-seventh Board meeting.

8. In accordance with Decision B.12/28, paragraph (b), the secretariat carried out an initial review of the PFG request and found that the document provided detailed information on the use of the requested funds. The proposed activities were aligned with the goal of the project and would support comprehensive consultation process; preparatory studies and project activities design and development of full proposal.

9. Therefore, the PPRC may want to consider and recommend to the Board to approve the PFG Request, provided that the related concept proposal is endorsed.



ADAPTATION FUND

ADAPTATION FUND BOARD SECRETARIAT
TECHNICAL REVIEW OF PROJECT PROPOSAL FOR
"COMPREHENSIVE STRATEGY FOR CLIMATE RESILIENCE AND PEACEBUILDING IN THE
COLOMBIAN PACIFIC, THROUGH THE IMPLEMENTATION OF NATURE-BASED SOLUTIONS,
THE STRENGTHENING OF LIVELIHOODS, AND ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE"

Project Title	Comprehensive strategy for climate resilience and peacebuilding in the Colombian Pacific, through the implementation of nature-based solutions, the strengthening of livelihoods, and environmental governance
----------------------	--

Project ID	AF00000499
-------------------	------------

Category	Regular size project (over \$1 million)
-----------------	---

Type	Single country project
-------------	------------------------

Stage	Concept
--------------	---------

Country	Colombia
----------------	----------

Implementing Entity	CAFVE
----------------------------	-------

Financing Requested	USD 15,000,000.00
----------------------------	-------------------

Reviewer	Ahmad Ghosn
-----------------	-------------

Co-reviewer(s)

IE Contact Person

Angelica Pino,Erick Castro,Martha Castillo,Oscar Guevara

Secretariat's Overall Comment

The project “Comprehensive strategy for climate resilience and peacebuilding in the Colombian Pacific, through the implementation of nature-based solutions, the strengthening of livelihoods, and environmental governance” aims to develop, implement, and integrate climate change adaptation strategies in vulnerable areas of the Colombian Pacific, strengthening the conservation of biocultural diversity to support local livelihoods and generate sustainable socio-environmental benefits at the local, regional, and global levels.

The proposal includes a request for a project formulation grant of USD 133,455.

The initial technical review raised several issues such as the lack of an Initial Gender Analysis, compliance with national standards, amendments to the Theory of Change, Cost effectiveness, alignment with AF Results Framework, EE and IE fees and the PFG budget, among others as is discussed in the number of Clarification Requests (CRs) and Corrective Action Requests (CARs) raised in the review.

The second technical review raised several issues such as the lack of an Initial Gender Analysis as part of the CN, the need for more detailed information on the steps required to comply with national standards, amendments to the alignment with the AF Results Framework, a strengthened justification for the absence of duplication with other projects, among others as is discussed in the number of Clarification Requests (CRs) and Corrective Action Requests (CARs) raised in the review.

The third technical review raised some issues such as the need for more details and figures in the Initial Gender Analysis, amendments in the Theory of Change, the need for quantitative cost estimates to demonstrate the full cost of adaptation reasoning, among others, as is discussed in the number of Clarification Requests (CRs) and Corrective Action Requests (CARs) raised in the review.

The fourth technical review raises some issues such as the length of proposal, financial justification, mechanism for long-term sustainability of the project, among others, as is discussed in the number of Clarification Requests (CRs) and Corrective Action Requests (CARs) raised in the review.

The fifth technical review finds that most of the fourth technical review are addressed. However, few others warrant further clarification such as, updating the last submission date, providing allocated amounts at project output level, indicating include dates of relevant national plans/ strategies, providing start and end dates of the listed related projects, further revision of the AF RF alignment table, among others as indicated in the review.

The sixth technical review finds that most of the fifth technical review are addressed. However, few others warrant further revision such as, updating the PFG start date, further revision of the AF RF alignment table, among others as indicated in the review.

The seventh technical review finds that all the issues raised have been fully addressed.

Country Eligibility

Is the country party to the Kyoto Protocol, or the Paris Agreement?

Response: Yes

Secretariat: Yes. The country has ratified both Kyoto Protocol (30 November 2001) and Paris Agreement (12 July 2018).
(21 January 2026)

Is the country a developing country particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change?

Response: Yes

Secretariat: Yes. Colombia is considered highly vulnerable to the effects of climate change, as pointed out by the Institute of Hydrology, Meteorology, and Environmental Studies (IDEAM) in the second National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, estimating an average temperature increase of 1.4°C and a greater reduction in precipitation (-30 to -10%), in 20% of the national territory for the period 2011–2040. The National Climate Change Adaptation Plan (NCAAP) also highlights that sea-level rise, changing rainfall patterns and temperature variability pose serious threats to coastal ecosystems and dependent communities.
(21 January 2026)

Project Eligibility

Has the designated government authority for the Adaptation Fund endorsed the project/programme?

Response: Yes

Secretariat: Yes. As per endorsement letter dated July 2025.

Does the length of the proposal amount to no more than Fifty pages for the project/programme concept, including its annexes?

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. The total pages of the proposal, including the annexes, is 50 pages.

CR1: Kindly ensure that every table is properly labelled with a caption to facilitate reference and review.

CR2: Please ensure that all acronyms are introduced with their full term upon first mention. Afterward, use only the acronym consistently throughout the proposal. For example, “protected areas (PAs),” appears in paragraph 62, while in paragraph 61 there is a reference to PAs.

(21 January 2026)

CR1(NEW): Please amend the proposal to a maximum of 50 pages in total (including annexes).

CR1: Cleared.

All tables throughout the CN are properly labelled with captions.

CR2: Not cleared.

Please ensure that all acronyms are introduced with their full term upon first mention. Thereafter, use only the acronym consistently throughout the proposal. For example, “THRA” appears several times in the CN as “Territories Towards Resilience and Adaptation (THRA)” instead of simply “THRA” after the initial definition.

(03 March 2026)

Please note that the track-changes version of the CN should show the changes in comparison with the last version sent, not the changes made in each review, but only those introduced in the last one.

CR1(NEW): Cleared.

The proposal is 52 pages in total (including annexes).

CR2: Cleared.

Acronyms have been amended throughout the document.

CAR2 (NEW): Please update the re-submission to the latest date of submission at the submission section on the over page of the proposal.

(08 May 2026)

CR1(NEW): Not cleared/ re-opened

The proposal is now 54 pgs long. Please ensure that the proposal has a max of 50 pages (including annexes)

CAR2 (NEW): Cleared

Correct date was added. See page 1.

(22 June 2026)

CR1 (NEW): Not fully cleared. Please address the following:

1. Reduce proposal length to 50 pages.
2. Update the last submission date on p. 1.
3. In Table 2 “Project components and financing”, in addition to component level, also include allocated amounts at output level.
4. Please address the editorial notes below.

Editorial notes:

To improve the quality and navigation of the document, please the following:

1. Add table of contents, and lists of tables and figures/ maps (will not be counted towards the AF proposal document 50-page length page limit).
2. Include the table number and heading of the “Project Calendar” and alignment with AF RF table, etc.
3. Ensure that abbreviations are spelled out when first use, and refer to tables, figures, annexes at related discussions.
4. Revise para 138 (Clean version) as no Annex 2 is attached and the Preliminary Gender Analysis is now presented in Part I, pp. 9-10 (clean version).
5. Conduct a quick round of proofreading/ editing after addressing the review comments.

(24 July 2026)

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: CR22(NEW): Not fully cleared: Please note that Total Project Cost = Total Components + Execution Cost. Kindly revise the Total Project Cost in Table 2, Financing Components Table. Refer to <https://www.adaptation-fund.org/document/ie-and-ee-fees-calculator/> for additional guidance. Kindly revise in both Tracked and Clean versions.
Other editorial notes are cleared.

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: Total project cost should be \$13, 825,885 not \$12,511,525 which is the total components cost.

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: CR22(NEW) - Cleared: as per revision of Table 2. Project components and financing table.

Does the project / programme support concrete adaptation actions to assist the country in addressing adaptive capacity to the adverse effects of climate change and build in climate resilience?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. However, further information is needed.

The proposed project includes four specific objectives, including outcomes, outputs and activities related to each one. The activities aim to address climate change in five Colombian territories, including activities such as coordination strategies, a gender plan to integrate into the existing climate change instruments, and nature-based solutions.

In addition, it includes the diagram of the Theory of Change and its explanation in Part II (Figure 1, and pages 15-29), that shows how the activities will lead to substantial outcomes. However, both output and outcomes should be revised and the Adaptation Fund Strategic Objectives supported by this program should be included. The date of the final evaluation is stated as April 2032 which is 3 months prior to the completion date of the project. The final evaluation should be scheduled within 9 months of the completion date of the project.

CR3: Please define the tangible outputs and outcomes, including measurable indicators where applicable. For each output, explicitly indicate a target. Additionally, for activities aimed at defining areas of intervention and communities, please specify the estimated number of each.

CR4: Kindly indicate explicitly how the project supports one or more of the Adaptation Fund Strategic Objectives at Part II Section B at para 118.

CR5: Kindly review the structure of the Theory of Change diagram to ensure it is presented as follows:

- (i) the main problem first;
- (ii) the overall objective;
- (iii) components;
- (iv) outcomes, outputs, and activities.
- (v) Finally, assumptions and risks should be presented as transversal elements across all of the above.

CR6: Please revise the assumptions in the Theory of Change. For example:

- While it is included that the program requires the political support and ownership of partner institutions and ethnic communities, it is important to include as an assumption the participation of the communities in the execution of the programme.
- The second assumption, namely “Climate change adaption strategies are effectively integrated into planning and land management instruments” seems more as an outcome than an assumption.

CR7: Please provide specific details for each activity. For example, who would be involved, only governmental actors or also additional stakeholders.

CR8: Kindly include in the Theory of Change diagram the outputs and main activities to achieve each outcome of the proposed project.

CAR1: Please adjust the proposed date for the Final Evaluation which should be within 9 months of the completion date of the project.

(21 January 2026)

CR3: Cleared.

As per revised Part II.B. Tangible targets have been included for all output indicators of the proposed project.

CR4: Cleared.

As per revised paragraph 125 under Part II.B. The proposed project supports the Adaptation Fund Strategic Objectives 5, 6 and 7.

CR5: Cleared.

As per revised Theory of Change diagram. The diagram follows the suggested structure.

CR6: Not cleared.

While the assumption of “Community participation in project implementation” has been included in the diagram, please remove the assumption of “Climate change adaption strategies are effectively integrated into planning and land management instruments” as corresponds to an outcome.

CR7: Cleared.

As per revised Part II.B. The actors/stakeholders involved for each activity have been included, as well as some minor specifications.

CR8: Cleared.

As per revised Theory of Change diagram.

CAR1: Cleared.

As per revised Table 3. The date for the Final Evaluation has been amended to August 2032.

(03 March 2026)

CR6: Not cleared.

Please remove the assumption of “Climate change adaption strategies are effectively integrated into planning and land management instruments” as corresponds to an outcome.

(08 May 2026)

CR6: Cleared

The assumption was removed from Figure 1, page 16

(22 June 2026)

Does the project / programme provide economic, social and environmental benefits, particularly to vulnerable communities, including gender considerations, while avoiding or mitigating negative impacts, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?

Response: **Cleared**

Secretariat: No. The proposal outlines broad economic, social, and environmental benefits of the project in paragraphs 118 to 121. It also links these benefits to the corresponding outputs of the proposed project for easier review. However, the proposal does not include quantitative estimates of these benefits, nor does it clearly define how the benefits will be equitably for specific vulnerable groups. In addition, the proposal does not provide an initial gender analysis.

CR9: Kindly quantify the economic, social and environmental benefits in Part II.B, whenever possible, including a brief explanation of the method used for these calculations. In cases where exact figures are not available, please provide estimations or qualitative proxies to support the impact of the proposed project.

CAR2: Please include an Initial Gender Analysis, in line with the Adaptation Fund Gender Policy requirements, to assess at least the following:

- (i) the distinct needs, capacities, roles, and knowledge resources of women and men at the national level and in the areas of intervention;
- (ii) to identify how evolving gender dynamics may influence potential changes.
- (iii) qualitative and quantitative data, in order to clarify the opportunities and challenges/risks for men and women.

CR10: Kindly state the estimated direct and indirect beneficiaries (gender-disaggregated) for the overall project. Also, please identify the specific vulnerable groups (e.g., women, indigenous peoples) who will benefit from the project.

CR11: Please describe how the benefits will be equitably distributed. In addition, provide specific information on which benefits each vulnerable community (including women) will receive.

CR12: Please indicate whether there are any negative concerns or risks of maladaptation related to the proposed project.

(21 January 2026)

CR9: Cleared.

As per information provided in paragraph 131. The proposal states that: “the quantification of benefits in the different dimensions considered in the analysis presented above will be addressed in detail in the project formulation phase”.

CAR2: Not cleared.

While a preliminary gender analysis is included as an additional document, please remember to include this as summary as part of the CN. Please include an Initial Gender Analysis, in line with the Adaptation Fund Gender Policy requirements, including at least:

- (i) the distinct needs, capacities, roles, and knowledge resources of women and men at the national level and in the areas of intervention.
- (ii) to identify how evolving gender dynamics may influence potential changes.
- (iii) qualitative and quantitative data, to clarify the opportunities and challenges/risks for men and women.

CR10: Not cleared.

Kindly provide the estimated number of direct and indirect beneficiaries (disaggregated by gender) for the overall project. In addition, please outline the specific vulnerable groups (e.g., women, Indigenous peoples) who will benefit from the project.

CR11: Cleared.

As per paragraph 129. The proposal states that, while gender inequalities can limit equitable access to the benefits, the proposed project will mitigate these risks “by strengthening women's leadership in environmental governance processes, promoting resilient livelihoods led by women and young people, and recognizing traditional knowledge as part of adaptation solutions.”

CR12: Not cleared.

Please indicate whether there are any negative concerns or risks of maladaptation related to the proposed project.

(03 March 2026)

CAR2: Not cleared.

Please note that the Initial Gender Analysis is the one included in the CN (paragraphs 40-47 and 134-135), and not the information provided as an additional document. If this refers to Annex II, this annex should be part of the CN (i.e. included within the 50-page limit). In this regard, the information provided highlights the role of women, together with general statistics at the national level. In addition, it explains how the project

implementation will affect gender dynamics.

However, please strengthen the narrative by providing information on the distinct needs, capacities, and knowledge resources of women and men at the national level and in the areas of intervention. This should be accompanied by figures to demonstrate the gaps (e.g. literacy rates, educational level achieved).

CR10: Cleared.

As per information provided in paragraph 138. It is stated that: “approximately 15,000 people would benefit from the implementation of the project, of which: 85% belong to Black communities (that is 12,750 people), and 15% correspond to Indigenous Peoples (that is 2,250 people); and 40% are women and 60% are men”. The CN establishes that these figures will be refined during the Full Proposal Stage. Please note that, at the Full Proposal Stage, the proposal should also include the number of indirect beneficiaries.

CR12: Cleared.

As per information provided in paragraph 132. It is stated that: “inadequate adaptation could occur if adaptation actions are developed without adequate territorial planning, without considering ecosystem dynamics, or without the participation of local actors”.

(08 May 2026)

CAR2: Cleared

The proposal includes an initial gender analysis with national and regional statistics and how the project will affect gender dynamics, paragraph 40-61, pg. 9-12.

NOTE1:

I suggest streamlining the narrative of the gender analysis. There is repetition, and since the proposal is already 54 pages long, this might be one of the places to decrease the number of words.

CAR3 (NEW):

Please clarify

i. The meaning of the acronym ICT, paragraph 45, page 10

ii. Number “50’ in paragraph 53, pg.11

(22 June 2026)

NOTE1. Cleared.

However, please Revise para 138 (Clean version) as no Annex 2 is attached and the Preliminary Gender Analysis is now presented in Part I, pp. 9-10 (clean version)

CAR3 (NEW). Cleared. See pages 10 and 12 (TC version).

(24 July 2026)

Is the project / programme cost effective?

Secretariat: Yes. However, further information is required. In Part II.C (paragraphs 122-130), the proposal includes a broad explanation of the cost-effectiveness of the project, emphasizing its comprehensive approach and highlighting the role of ethnic and rural communities as partners rather than beneficiaries. For long term sustainability, the project proposes to focus on strengthening existing institutional and community capacities in territorial planning and technical management. This approach boosts ongoing adaptation capacity, supports informed decision-making, and helps avoid future costs from mistakes or duplicated efforts. In addition, restoring natural capital, such as forests and water sources, reduces the need for future investments in rehabilitation or disaster mitigation. However, other aspects of long-term sustainability such as co-financing and blended finance are not mentioned. In addition, the selected scope and approach are not stated.

CR13: Kindly include:

- (i) the selected scope and approach of the project's cost-effectiveness; and
- (ii) the plans for co-financing and blended finance to improve the long-term sustainability of the proposed interventions.
- (iii) quantitative estimates or proxies or qualitative justifications to support the comparison between the selected measures and alternative options.

(21 January 2026)

CR13: Not cleared.

Kindly include:

- (i) a sound and coherent cost-effectiveness narrative explaining how this approach is more cost-effective than others, for each component.
- (ii) quantitative estimates, proxies, or qualitative justifications to support the comparison between the selected measures and alternative options.

(03 March 2026)

CR13: Not cleared.

The CN now includes a coherent cost-effectiveness narrative for each component, comparing two scenarios: one with the project and another without it.

However, please note that paragraph 144 is in Spanish. Please provide this information in English.

(08 May 2026)

CR13: Cleared

Former paragraph 144, paragraph 158 in the current version is in English.

(22 June 2026)

Is the project / programme consistent with national or sub-national sustainable development strategies, national or sub-national development plans, poverty reduction strategies, national communications and adaptation programs of action and other relevant instruments?

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. However, some details are required. Part II.D (paragraphs 131-1415) outlines 8 national plans (including the National Climate Change Adaptation Plan, the Colombian Low Carbon Development Strategy, and the National Circular Economy and Bioeconomy Strategy); 1 law (Law 2169) and the contribution to multilateral commitments such as the Sustainable Development Goals and the Paris Agreement. However, more details of each identified plan are required.

CAR3: Kindly provide the details of the identified plans and strategies in a table format, including:

- (i) Specific name of the plan/strategy and years of implementation,
- (ii) Main objective(s),
- (iii) Explain the relevance to the proposed project, including its alignment with the existing plan or strategy. A suggested structure could be: 'The proposed project is aligned with [Plan XX], under [Specific Action XX], contributing to [Outcome XX].''

CAR4: Please ensure that the table listing is comprehensive and includes all relevant plans and strategies related to adaptation (for example, the NDC), as well as sectoral plans (such as those related to biodiversity, water and food security if they exist).

(21 January 2026)

CAR3: Cleared.

As per Table 4. The proposal includes nine policy instruments/strategies, including the NDC, the National Development Plan 2022-2026, the National Climate Change Adaptation Plan, the Climate Action Law, among others.

CAR4: Cleared.

As per Table 4. See CAR3.

(03 March 2026)

CAR3 (re-opened): Please address the following:

1. In Table 5, include dates of the listed instruments/ plans/ strategies.
2. Revise "Ley 1931 de 2018" to English text.
3. Move "National Climate Change Adaptation Plan (PNACC)" and Colombia's "NDC (3.0)" from Table 6 to Table 5 along with dates and delete in Table 6.

(24 July 2026)

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: CAR3: Not fully cleared. revisions reflected in Tables 5 and 6 but NDC 3.0 is still yet to be moved from Table 6 to Table 5

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: CAR3: Cleared. as per revisions reflected in Tables 5 and 6.

Does the project / programme meet the relevant national technical standards, where applicable, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy of the Fund?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Unsure. In Part II.E (paragraphs 142–148), the proposal identifies some Environmental and Social Standards from a broad perspective. However, it does not provide any information regarding the national standards with which the proposed project will comply.

CAR5: Kindly include a table listing with all national standards applicable to the activities of the proposed project. For each identified standard, please include the following information:

- (i) The scope and relevance should be described specifically. For example, by clarifying whether these are minimum requirements or standards for construction.
- (ii) How it relates to the proposed project, clearly identifying the outputs/activities that will require compliance with the identified standard.
- (iii) The project's compliance status. In the case that compliance is required, please include the steps needed to comply with it.

In addition, please ensure that the table listing is comprehensive and includes building codes, water quality regulations, and any other sector-specific regulations.

(21 January 2026)

CAR5: Not cleared.

Please amend Table 5 to include the project's compliance status. In the case that compliance is required, please include the specific steps required to comply with it in each case.

(03 March 2026)

CAR5: Cleared.

As per information provided in Table 5. Table 5 have been strengthened and reflects the specific steps required to comply with each standard, if applicable.

(08 May 2026)

Note: See CAR3 (re-opened) above.
(24 July 2026)

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: Pending clearance of CAR3 above

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Cleared. as per CAR3

Is there duplication of project / programme with other funding sources?

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: No. However, further information is required. Part II.F (paragraphs 149–155). The concept note provides information about six projects in Colombia that are related to this proposed project. It states their name, budget, leading institutions, among other details. It stated no duplication of funding with other funds. However, more comprehensive information is required as well as a sound justification for non-duplication. In addition, the projects listed are mainly national in scope.

CR14: Kindly include the information in a table listing format for easier visualization and review, including all projects that are or have been implemented in Colombia and are related to the proposed project. For each, please include:

- (i) Project title, Timeline and specific Location within the country,
- (ii) Main project interventions, and Target population,
- (iii) Implementing entity,
- (iv) Lessons learned
- (v) Overlaps and synergies with the proposed project.

The no duplication statement should be clearly justified (e.g. by indicating the distinct geographic locations and/or types of interventions) for each related project identified.

CAR6: Please include any relevant regional projects if they exist.
(21 January 2026)

CR14: Not cleared.

Please amend Table 6 to:

- (i) expand the description of each lesson learned, as the current formulations are too broad.
- (ii) strengthen the statement on the absence of duplication, providing more specific and substantive justification.

CAR6: Cleared.

As per Tables 7 and 8. The CN now includes seven regional projects related to the proposed project at the regional level.

(03 March 2026)

CR14: Cleared.

As per information provided in Table 6. The lessons learned section has been strengthened, as well as the statements on no duplication.

(08 May 2026)

CAR6 (Re-opened):

In Tables 7, 8 and 9, please provide the duration (start - end dates) of the listed projects). Note: These tables can be combined in one table to save space/ shorten document length.

(24 July 2026)

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: CAR6: Cleared as per revision of Table 7 and consolidation of Tables 7 - 9

Does the project / programme have a learning and knowledge management component to capture and feedback lessons?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. However, some details are required. Part II.G (paragraphs 156–162). The proposed project includes a component exclusively (Component 4) for learning and knowledge management to capture, organize, systematize, and disseminate different knowledge products. It also includes learning activities in other components such as Component 1. The proposal also states the implementation of a monitoring, evaluation, and learning system and a Training and Capacity Building Plan. However, more details are needed.

CR15: Kindly include the following details:

- More details of the Learning and Knowledge Management activities, including institutions involved, specific activities, and main goals.
- who will be responsible for tracking the experiences gained, how this will be done, and when the tracking will take place.
- how the knowledge generated will be sustained after the project concludes and what arrangements are needed to support these actions.
- What feedback measures are put in place to evaluate and refine the training materials and capacity building activities to ensure that they are more effective and impactful.

(21 January 2026)

CR15: Not cleared.

Please amend:

1. While additional information has been provided regarding specific KM activities, these products do not appear to be included among the outputs of Component 4.
2. Please clarify which universities or institutions will be responsible for overseeing the KM activities. Additionally, specify which institutions will constitute the academic committee.
3. Please explain how the knowledge generated will be sustained after the project concludes and what arrangements will be required to support these actions.
4. Please indicate which entity will be responsible for implementing feedback mechanisms to evaluate and refine the capacity-building activities and materials.

(03 March 2026)

CR15: Cleared.

As per information provided in Part II.G, paragraphs 148-154. Activities are coherent with outputs of Component 4. In addition, it has been clarified that the academic committee: “composed of institutional partners (National Parks, Regional Autonomous Corporations, IIAP, INVEMAR), the selected university(ies) (initially, priority has been given to the Javeriana University in Cali, but during the implementation phase a call for tenders will be launched to select the university or universities with which to proceed.”

Regarding sustainability, it is stated that: “these training and capacity-strengthening initiatives, and depending on the training modality, in the case of permanent training schools, participants will be encouraged to replicate the knowledge and tools acquired within their communities. This process will be supported through technical guidance from the selected educational institution or organization, as well as financial resources to facilitate these replication activities.”

Finally, the academic committee will be in charge of feedback mechanisms and refine capacity-building activities and materials.

(08 May 2026)

Has a consultative process taken place, and has it involved all key stakeholders, and vulnerable groups, including gender considerations in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. However, some details are required. Part II.G (paragraphs 156–162). The proposal broadly refers to 11 consultations carried out to prepare the concept note, along with a list of identified partner institutions and communities, such as the Ministry of Environment and Sustainable Development and the Territorial Renewal Agency. However, it is unclear how gender considerations and the concerns of vulnerable groups and indigenous peoples have been addressed. An Initial Gender Analysis is not included.

CR16: Kindly include the following detailed information in Table 4:

- (i) The total number of attendees, disaggregated by sex, with background information to verify whether marginalized and vulnerable groups have been consulted.
- (ii) A summary of the subjects/issues discussed and any agreements reached.
- (iii) Indicate how their interests have been incorporated, including how gender and other vulnerable group

considerations were addressed.

CR17: Please ensure that the Initial Gender Analysis requested at CAR2 above highlights gender dynamics and differentiated impacts between men and women from a national or sector-wide perspective in areas such as education, employment, health, income, and political participation.

(21 January 2026)

CR16: Not cleared.

Kindly include for all tables under Part II.G:

- (i) The total number of attendees, disaggregated by sex, with background information to verify whether marginalized and vulnerable groups have been consulted.
- (ii) Provide a substantive summary of the subjects and issues discussed, as well as any agreements reached. Presenting more than a heading or brief reference; it must include a concise but informative description that reflects the depth of the discussions held.
- (iii) Indicate how the stakeholders' interests have been incorporated into the project design, including specific measures to address gender considerations and the needs of other vulnerable groups. Please also specify how these elements have been integrated into the relevant project outputs.

CR17: Not cleared.

Please ensure that the Initial Gender Analysis requested in CAR2 above clearly highlights gender dynamics and the differentiated impacts on men and women from a national or sector-wide perspective. While a preliminary gender analysis is included as an additional document, please remember to include this information as part of the CN.

(03 March 2026)

CR16: Cleared.

As per information provided in Part II.H. Table 10 includes the information about: (i) event type, (ii) date, (iii) place, (iv) topics covered, (v) agreements reached, (v) institutions/organizations participants, and (vi) participants by gender; for each consultation. In addition, in paragraph 160 it is established that an output from component 2 is a result from the consultation discussions.

CR17: Not cleared.

Please refer to CAR2.

Kindly highlight gender dynamics and the differentiated impacts on men and women from a national or sector-wide perspective.

(08 May 2026)

CR17: Cleared

The proposal highlights gender dynamics, and differential impact on men and women, see paragraphs 40-61, pp. 9-12.

(22 June 2026)

Is the requested financing justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Unsure. Part II.I (paragraphs 165 – 170). The concept note outlines the rationale for the benefits and costs of each component in a broad manner. However, it does not provide clear information regarding additional funding sources, and further details are needed.

CR18: Kindly indicate whether the project requires co-financing. If so, explain how all outputs and outcomes will be achieved regardless of the availability of such co-financing.

CR19: Please include information on the costs of the proposed activities, with reference to alternative options.
(21 January 2026)

CR18: Not cleared.

Please clarify whether the project will achieve its outcomes solely with the AF resources. Regarding the in-kind co-financing currently indicated, please disregard it if its value is marginal compared to the AF requested funding.

CR19: Not cleared.

Please provide quantitative information on the costs of the proposed activities, including a comparison with alternative options to demonstrate the differences.

(03 March 2026)

CR18: Cleared.

As per information provided in paragraph 170. The project will achieve the expected results with Adaptation Fund resources.

CR19: Not cleared.

Please provide quantitative cost estimates for the proposed activities, including comparisons with alternative options to illustrate the differences to the extent possible at this stage.

(08 May 2026)

CR19: Not cleared

Quantitative costs per component are provided in paragraph 166-169, pg. 48.

Scenarios without the project are provided in paragraphs 154-167, pg. 33-35.

However, cost comparison with alternative option is not provided

Please provide cost comparison.

(22 June 2026)

CR19: Cleared. See Table 4 of Part IIC, pp. 34-35 (TC version).
(24 July 2024)

Is the project / program aligned with AF's results framework?

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. However, some amendments are required. Part III.A (pages 46-48). The alignment of the project with the Adaptation Fund Results Framework is presented considering outcome and outputs for each component. However, some amendments in content and format are required.

CR20: Please ensure the following information is included in the table at Part III.A:

- All project's outputs and outcomes indicators are SMART.
- Please ensure consistency between the AF outcome, outcome indicators, output and output indicators according to the Adaptation Fund Strategic Results Framework outlined in the updated AF Results Framework (Nov 2025). For example, in the AF alignment table in the proposal, the column on AF Indicator, it states: "6.2. Percentage of the target population with sustainable and climate-resilient livelihoods", while in the AF Results Framework Outcome 6.2 corresponds to an outcome indicator, which is worded: "Percentage of targeted population with sustained climate-resilient alternative livelihoods".
- Update the table using the format provided in the updated AF Results Framework, and complete it following the instructions in the same document. Ensure that the grant amount sum for the project outcomes for each component aligns with the corresponding amounts for each component in the Table of Project Components and financing.

(21 January 2026)

CR20: Not cleared.

In Table at Part III.A:

- Please ensure that the Project Objective Indicators are impact indicators as they represent high-level expected results. In addition, Project Outcome Indicators should measure results rather than products. For example, Project Outcome Indicator 1: "Number of ethnic communities with planning instruments incorporating climate change and adaptation strategies" cannot guarantee long-term results solely based on the existence of planning instruments.
- The wording and numbering of all AF indicators should be exactly the same as the ones in the updated AF Results Framework (Nov 2025). For example, AF Output Indicator 7.2 should be "No. of targeted development strategies with incorporated climate change priorities enforced" rather than "Number of development strategies incorporating climate change priorities".
- According to the instructions in the updated AF Results Framework, the Table should contain the lines for "Total outcome level grant amount" in the upper part, and "Total output level grant amount " in the lower part.

(03 March 2026)

CR20: Not Cleared.

As per information provided in Part III.A. Project Objective Indicators have been revised. The wording and numbering of all AF indicators is exactly the same as the ones in the updated AF Results Framework (Nov 2025). However, the format is incorrect. The table should have two sections a top and a bottom, please comply with the template requirements.

(08 May 2026)

CR20: Not Cleared

Table in Part III A did not comply with the template requirements. Please ensure that the grant amount for each output or outcome indicator is separate.

CAR1(NEW): At Part II section B after para 142 please insert a line indicating that the AF strategic outcome areas of the AF to which the project is aligned as per Part IIIA.

(22 June 2026)

CAR20: Not cleared.

1. In Part IIIA, add table number and heading for the "Alignment with AF results framework.
2. In the upper part of the table distribute allocated grant amount of objective1 at AF outcome indicator level for outcomes 7 and 3.

CAR1 (NEW): Cleared. See Part IIA, para 131, p. 31 (TC version).

(24 July 2026)

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: CAR20: Not cleared.

1. Cleared
2. Not Cleared: In the upper part of the table distribute allocated grant amount of objective1 at AF outcome indicator level for outcomes 7 and 3.

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: CAR20: Cleared.

2. Cleared: As per revision of Objective 1, Table 11. page 53

Has the sustainability of the project/programme outcomes been taken into account when designing the project?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. However, additional information is needed. Part II.J (paragraphs 171 – 183). The concept note states that the benefits will be sustained over time through training, the involvement of local communities, and other measures. In addition, it identifies a series of elements to ensure the long-term sustainability of the results. However, the arrangements needed to maintain these benefits are unclear, as is whether they can be replicated or scaled up.

CR21: Please identify the adaptation benefits that will remain after the project concludes and clarify whether these benefits can be replicated and/or scaled up.

CR22: Ensure that all critical dimensions of sustainability are addressed, including economic, social, environmental, institutional, and financial aspects.

CR23: Kindly indicate the arrangements, such as financial, social, regulatory, institutional, economic, and environmental measures required to guarantee the project's sustainability and long-term maintenance.
(21 January 2026)

CR21: Not cleared.

Please identify the adaptation benefits that will remain after the project concludes and clarify whether these benefits can be replicated and/or scaled up, along with the mechanisms for doing so.

CR22: Not cleared.

Please restructure the section to provide a specific narrative on how outcome sustainability will be achieved for each dimension: (i) economic, (ii) social, (iii) environmental, (iv) institutional, and (v) financial.

CR23: Not cleared.

Kindly clarify in the CN the arrangements that will be required from an institutional and financial perspective, in addition to the voluntary agreement signatures used to formalize the commitment of local communities.
(03 March 2026)

CR21: Not cleared.

While paragraph 179 establishes that it is expected to have replication and scalability of the benefits, the statement is too broad. Please outline specifically what benefits can be replicated and/or scaled up, along with the mechanisms for that.

CR22: Not cleared.

The section has been restructured (paragraphs 171-174) according to the following dimensions: (i) economic, (ii)

environmental, and (iii) financial. However, please include both the social and financial dimensions.

CR23: Not cleared.

Kindly clarify in the CN the institutional and financial arrangements that will be required. Please include this information either as part of each dimension or in one consolidated paragraph.

(08 May 2026)

CR21: Not cleared

The connection between knowledge systems and systematization of lessons learned and replication of successful practices is clear in paragraph 176. However, the benefits and mechanism to ensure long-term sustainability are still broad.

Please clarify

- i. what benefits can be replicated and/or scaled up.
- ii. The mechanism

CR22: Not cleared

Social sustainability was added to the narrative, but the financial dimension is still missing. Please include the financial dimension in the narrative

CR23: Not cleared

Please indicate the institutional and financial arrangement to ensure sustainability (e.g. the company xx has made a commitment to ensuring the sustainability of the project by taking full financial responsibility for the operation and maintenance of xx).

(22 June 2026)

CR21: Cleared. Sufficient for CN stage. See paragraphs 180-182, p. 52 (TC version).

CR22: Cleared. See para 180, p. 52 (TC version).

CR23: Cleared. See para 182, p. 52 (TC version).

(24 July 2026)

Does the project / programme provide an overview of environmental and social impacts / risks identified, in compliance with the Environmental and Social Policy and Gender Policy of the Fund?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. however further information is needed. Part II.K (pages 44 – 45). The proposed project will align with the AF's Environmental and Social Policy as well as the FAO's Environmental and Social Safeguards. It highlights the project classification as Category B in the screening process. However, additional information is

required.

CR24: Please note for the checklist that Adaptation Fund Principles 1, 4 and 6 always apply. For more information, please visit: AF's ESP guidance and Environmental and Social Policy .

CAR7: Please revise the Table in Part II.K to ensure the following:

- Describe all potential impacts (direct, indirect, transboundary and cumulative) and risks that could result from the project.
- Identify the magnitude of the risks and impacts. Risk should describe as: "There is a risk" and should be accompanied by mitigation plans.
- Kindly leave a check mark in the second column 'No further assessment required for compliance' if no further assessment and leave blank if an assessment is to be conducted. No text should be included in the second column.

(21 January 2026)

CR24: Not cleared.

Please note for the checklist that Adaptation Fund Principles 1, 4 and 6 always apply. Currently, AF principle 4 is marked as "No additional assessment required". Please amend.

CAR7: Not cleared.

Please amend the Table to:

1. Describe all potential impacts (direct, indirect, transboundary and cumulative) and risks that could result from the project. Descriptions must be specific rather than generic.
2. Revise the magnitude of the risks and impacts (low, moderate, high). Risks should describe as: "There is a risk" and must be accompanied by mitigation plans as: "Mitigation measures are" or "To mitigate this risk, the project"
3. Kindly leave a check mark in the second column 'No further assessment required for compliance' if no further assessment is needed and leave blank if an assessment is to be conducted. No text should be included in the second column.
4. If no risk is identified for a given principle, a sound justification must be provided.

(03 March 2026)

CR24: Not cleared.

Please amend Table 11 as Fund Principles 1, 4 and 6 always apply (currently, principle 6 is marked as "no additional assessment required"). In addition, please consider the format explained in CAR7, as no wording should be added to the second column of Table 2.

CAR7: Not cleared.

Please amend the Table to:

1. Kindly leave a check mark in the second column 'No further assessment required for compliance' if no further

assessment is needed and leave blank if an assessment is to be conducted. No text should be included in the second column.

(08 May 2026)

CR24: Cleared

Table 11 was amended. Phrase “No additional assessment” was erased from Principle 6.

CAR7: Not cleared

Please replace “no additional assessment required” in column 2 with a checkmark (✓)

(22 June 2026)

CAR7: Cleared. See Part IIK, Table 12, pp. 53-55 (TC version).

(24 July 2026)

Resource Availability

Is the requested project / programme funding within the cap of the country?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. The project is USD15,000,000.

(21 January 2026)

Is the Implementing Entity Management Fee at or below 8.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget before the fee?

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: No.

While figures are rounded to whole numbers, the IE Fee (USD 1,275,000) exceeds the cap of 8.5 %.

Consequently, the IE and EE fees as well as the Amount of Financing Requested should be revised to ensure the total budget is USD 15,000,000.

The title page of the Concept Note indicates that a PFG is included with an amount of USD150,000. However, this amount is not consistent with the total in the PFG request submitted on December 12, 2025, of USD 123,000.

CAR8: Please ensure the table follows the template provided by the Adaptation Fund. Please avoid changing titles/subtitles, format and order. For example, in Table of Project Components and Financing, the Fees for FAO are the “Project/Programme Execution cost” as FAO is indicated as the Executing Entity not the Project

Implementation Cost.

CR25: Kindly ensure that all costs in Table of Project Components and Financing are:

- Well calculated according to the Adaptation Fund definitions. For example, Total Project Cost should be Project activities cost (the cost of all components) + Project execution cost.
- For IE and EE Fees, please use the Adaptation Fund Calculator.
- Revise the Amount of Financing Request and amend accordingly.

CR26: Please revise the PFG request form and include the PFG fee maximum 8,5% of the total PFG amount requested).

(21 January 2026)

CAR8: Cleared.

As per revised Table 2. Titles/subtitles have been amended according to the AF template.

CR25: Cleared.

As per revised Table 2. All costs and fees have been amended according to the AF definitions and the AF calculator. The Project Execution Cost has been amended to USD 1,313,360, and the IE Fee to USD 1,175,115.

CR26: Cleared.

As per revised PFG request form. Total PFG has been amended to USD 133,455, and its Fee to USD 10,455 (8.5% of the total PFG).

(03 March 2026)

CR25 (re-opened): Please present the budget in the component and financing table at the output level.

CR26: (re-opened):

1. Please clarify if it is expected to FAO to also execute the PFG and amend the PFG form if needed.
2. Please update the start date of the PFG to a date post AFB47.

(08 May 2026)

CR25: Not cleared

Correction to Table 2 was made and the budget is presented at the output level.

However, table 2 is missing now label for the Project Execution Cost, Total Project Cost, and Project cycle management fee charged by the implementing entity. It has only the values. Please add missing information to the table.

CR26: Not cleared.

1. Cleared. FAO is the executing agency for the PFG. This is clear in the PFG
2. Not cleared. Starting date for project implementation is April 2027 (per project proposal, pg. 15); PFG starting date is Nov 2026, completion date June 2027 (per PGF). Please update the start date of the project as it cannot start prior to the completion of the PFG since the PFG will deliver key components for the fully developed proposal to be submitted.
(22 June 2026)

CR25: Not cleared. In Table 2, Total Project Cost should be equal to components costs + execution cost (\$12,511,525+\$1,313,360= \$13,824,885). Please revise.

CR26: Not cleared. See PFG, p. 1. The start date of PFG is indicated as April 2026, which is already past, please adjust date.
(24 July 2026)

Response: Not Cleared

Secretariat: CR25: Not cleared. In Table 2, Total Project Cost should be equal to components costs + execution cost (\$12,511,525+\$1,313,360= \$13,824,885). Please revise.

CR26: Not cleared. See PFG, p. 1. The start date of PFG is indicated as April 2026, which is already past, please adjust date.

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: CR25: Cleared. Table 2 financial figures revised.

CR26: Cleared. as per revision of PFG start date.

Are the Project/Programme Execution Costs at or below 9.5 per cent of the total project/programme budget (including the fee)?

Response: Cleared

Secretariat: Yes. However, some amendments are required. While figures are rounded to whole numbers, and the Execution cost is at 9.5%, the budget and the IE and EE fees as well as the Amount of Financing Requested should be revised.

CR28: Kindly ensure that the Total Project/Programme Cost as defined in the Adaptation Fund definitions given the revision of all budget (see CR25).
(21 January 2026)

CR28: Cleared.

As per revised Table 2. See CR25.

(03 March 2026)

Eligibility of IE

Is the project/programme submitted through an eligible Implementing Entity that has been accredited by the Board?

Response: **Cleared**

Secretariat: No. The project is submitted through an eligible Regional Implementing Entity – Development Bank of Latin America (CAF). Accreditation Expiration Date: 14 September 2025.

Please be advised that the findings of the AFB Secretariat's review of the funding proposal(s) do not reflect, indicate, or prejudice the outcome of the reaccreditation process currently underway. The Implementing Entity (IE) shall acknowledge that the funding proposal will not be approved by the Board if the IE's accreditation has expired, and reaccreditation has not been achieved at the time of the Board's decision. Notwithstanding this potential risk, the IE has elected to proceed with the development of the funding proposal.

(21 January 2026)

No. The project is submitted through an eligible Regional Implementing Entity –Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) in Re-accreditation Process. Last accreditation Expiration Date: 14 September 2025.

Please be advised that the findings of the AFB Secretariat's review of the funding proposal(s) do not reflect, indicate, or prejudice the outcome of the reaccreditation process currently underway. The Implementing Entity (IE) shall acknowledge that the funding proposal will not be approved by the Board if the IE's accreditation has expired, and reaccreditation has not been achieved at the time of the Board's decision. Notwithstanding this potential risk, the IE has elected to proceed with the development of the funding proposal.

CAR10 (NEW): Please ensure that the re-submitted concept note.

(03 March 2026)

Yes. As per decision B.46/9.

CAR10 (NEW): Cleared.

(08 May 2026)



ADAPTATION FUND

Project Formulation Grant (PFG)

Submission Date: July 8th ne 24, 2026

Formatted: Superscript

Adaptation Fund Project ID : -
Country/ies : Colombia
Title of Project/Programme : Comprehensive strategy for climate resilience and peacebuilding in the Colombian Pacific through the implementation of nature-based solutions, livelihood strengthening, and environmental governance.
Type of IE (NIE/MIE) : RIE
Implementing Entity : Corporación Andina de Fomento - CAF (Development Bank of Latin America and the Caribbean)
Executing Entity/ies : Corporación Andina de Fomento - CAF (Development Bank of Latin America and the Caribbean) regarding this PFG request.

A. Project Preparation Timeframe

Start date of PFG	November 2026
Completion date of PFG	March 2027

B. Proposed Project Preparation Activities (\$)

Describe the PFG activities and justifications:

List of Proposed Project Preparation Activities	Output of the PFG Activities	USD Amount
Consultative process planning: - Consultation general methodology (data collection and systematization) - Planning and implementation of field work. - Preparation of the general work plan - Data collection on the field - Systematization - Report preparation	▪ Consultative process plan	USD 40,000 Fieldwork expenses (flight, tickets, local transportation, food, lodging, meals and workshop materials for workshop specialists and experts)
Activities design: - Detailed logical framework - Detailed budget - Detailed environmental and social assessment and gender - Final drafting of full proposal	▪ Logical Framework and Budget Environmental and Social Assessment Report ▪ Write Full proposal	USD 70,000 Salaries: 5 Experts (Formulation Leader and Green Financing, Governance & Climate Change Specialist, Gender Specialist, SAS Specialist & by 4months)
Preparatory Studies:	▪ Free, Prior and Informed Consent Baseline Assessments	USD 13,000
Project Formulation Grant		USD 123,000
PFG Fee CAF (8.5%)		USD 10,455

Official Use Only

List of Proposed Project Preparation Activities	Output of the PFG Activities	USD Amount
Total PFG		USD 133,455

C. Implementing Entity

This request has been prepared in accordance with the Adaptation Fund Board's procedures and meets the Adaptation Fund's criteria for project identification and formulation

Implementing Entity Coordinator, IE Name	Signature	Date (Month, day, year)	Project Contact Person	Email Address
Ignacio Lorenzo Arana <i>Director for Technical Advisory on Climate and Biodiversity Climate Action and Positive Biodiversity Department AF CAF Coordinator</i>		~~0607/0108~~ /2026	Erick Castro <i>Principal Executive Technical Advisory on Climate and Biodiversity Climate Action and Positive Biodiversity Department</i> Martha Castillo <i>Senior Executive Technical Advisory on Climate and Biodiversity Climate Action and Positive Biodiversity Department</i>	+598.29173111 ilorenzo@caf.com +57 (1) 743-7362 ecastro@caf.com +57 (1) 743-7352 mcastillo@caf.com



Al responder por favor cite este número 14002026E2025210	
Fecha Radicado: 2026-07-10 07:56:12	Folios: 1
Código de Verificación: c6858	Anexos: 0
Radicador: Ventanilla Minambiente	
Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible	

Letter of Endorsement by Government

Ministry Of Environment and Sustainable Development

Bogotá, D. C. July of 2026

To: The Adaptation Fund Board
c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
Email: afbsec@adaptation-fund.org
Fax: 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement Letter - Comprehensive strategy for climate resilience and peacebuilding in the Colombian Pacific, through the implementation of nature-based solutions, the strengthening of livelihoods, and environmental governance.

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in Colombia, I confirm that the above national project proposal is in accordance with the government's national and regional priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Colombia.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project will be implemented by the Development Bank of Latin America and the Caribbean (CAF) and the project executing partner, FAO - Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Sincerely,

ANA MARIA GARCIA RIVERA
Primary Designated Authority – Adaptation Fund
Specialized Professional of the International Cooperation Office
Ministry Of Environment and Sustainable Development
Prepared by: Natalia Cáceres Triana- Secondary Designate Authority OAI

Los arriba firmantes declaramos que hemos revisado el presente documento y lo encontramos ajustado a las normas y disposiciones legales y/o técnicas vigentes y, por lo tanto, bajo nuestra responsabilidad lo presentamos para la firma del Remitente

Ministerio de Ambiente y Desarrollo Sostenible
Dirección: Calle 37 #8 - 40, Bogotá D.C., Colombia
www.minambiente.gov.co

Página 1 | 1

F-E-SIG-26: V8 22-06-2026



Letter of Endorsement by Government

Ministry Of Environment and Sustainable Development

Bogotá, D. C. July of 2026

To: The Adaptation Fund Board
c/o Adaptation Fund Board Secretariat
Email: afbsec@adaptation-fund.org
Fax: 202 522 3240/5

Subject: Endorsement Letter - Comprehensive strategy for climate resilience and peacebuilding in the Colombian Pacific, through the implementation of nature-based solutions, the strengthening of livelihoods, and environmental governance.

In my capacity as designated authority for the Adaptation Fund in Colombia, I confirm that the above national project proposal is in accordance with the government's national and regional priorities in implementing adaptation activities to reduce adverse impacts of, and risks, posed by climate change in Colombia.

Accordingly, I am pleased to endorse the above project proposal with support from the Adaptation Fund. If approved, the project will be implemented by the Development Bank of Latin America and the Caribbean (CAF) and the project executing partner, FAO - Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Sincerely,



ANA MARIA GARCIA RIVERA

Primary Designated Authority – Adaptation Fund
Specialized Professional of the International Cooperation Office
Ministry Of Environment and Sustainable Development

Prepared by: Natalia Cáceres Triana- Secondary Designate Authority OAI

Los arriba firmantes declaramos que hemos revisado el presente documento y lo encontramos ajustado a las normas y disposiciones legales y/o técnicas vigentes y, por lo tanto, bajo nuestra responsabilidad lo presentamos para la firma del Remitente