ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/PPRC.38/2/Rev.1
October 20-21, 2026

Adaptation Fund Board
Project and Programme Review Committee
Thirty-Eighth Meeting
Bonn, Germany

Report of the Secretariat on Initial Screening/Technical Review of Project and Programme Proposals

Strategic Issues

- A large number of diverse proposals reflect a continued need for adaptation financing to respond to climate change and the value eligible Parties place on the Fund.
- Recent increases in the country cap and maximum project sizes set the Fund up to achieve a tripling of outflows by 2030.
- MTS III process presents an opportunity to further review country caps and maximum project sizes for the next strategic period.
- The Secretariat is closely monitoring IE and EE fees, and how project formulation grants are being used, noting in particular, requests from some MIES serving as EEs for clearer guidance on when exceptions are justified.
- AFB47 is being asked to consider US $ 146.8 million in approvals.

Table of Contents

Background 3
Funding status: country cap 3
Funding status: MIE cap 4
Funding status: annually-determined funding provisions 4
Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities 5
- Regular single-country proposals 5
- Regional proposals 6
- Single-country Locally-Led Adaptation proposals 7
- Regional Locally-Led Adaptation proposals 7
- Global Locally-Led Adaptation Aggregator Programme proposals 8
- Large Innovation Project proposals 8
- AFCIA Programme proposals 8
- Small Innovation Grant 9
- Learning Grant 9

Cofinancing in submitted proposals 9
Issues Identified during the review 9
ANNEX 1: Project Tables 11
ANNEX 2: Decisions of the Adaptation Fund Board Relevant to this Report 24

Official Use Only

Background

1. This document presents to the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC) of the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) an overview of the project/programme proposals submitted by Implementing Entities (IEs) for consideration at the Board's forty-seventh meeting and the process of screening and technical review undertaken by the secretariat. For the current meeting of the Board it covers the proposals submitted for funding under the following windows:

a. Single-country "Regular"

b. Regional "Regular"

c. Single-country Locally-led Adaptation (LLA)

d. Regional LLA

e. LLA Aggregator Programme (Global)

f. Large Innovation Projects

g. Adaptation Fund Climate Innovation Accelerator (AFCIA) Programme

h. Small Innovation Grant

i. Learning Grant

2. The analysis of submissions under each of the windows references in paragraph 1 are presented in the Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities section below which the a tabular presentation is presented in Annex 1. Details of decisions mentioned in this document are presented in Annex 2.

Funding status: country cap

3. At the thirty-sixth meeting, having considered the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, through Decision B.36/41 the Board decided to revise the country cap from US $10 million to US $20 million *all eligible developing country Parties* and to *set a maximum level of US $10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances*.

4. At its forty-fourth meeting, having considered document AFB/B.44/9, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to revise the country cap from US $20 million to US $40 million, increase the single-country project/programme size to US $25 million and increase the regional project/programme size to US $30 million, with the provision that the Secretariat reviews the caps at the commencement of the next Medium-Term Strategy (MTS) period, with a view to supporting the mandate to triple the outflows as per the New Collective Quantified Goal (NCQG) decision, paragraph 16, as captured in Decision B.44/4.

5. Out of the countries that have accessed the country cap, currently two countries have approximately US $10 million against the US $40 million cap remaining, 28 countries have approximately US $20 million remaining, 64 countries have approximately US $30 million remaining, and one country has approximately US $40 million remaining.

Funding status: MIE cap

6. At its twelfth meeting in December 2010, the Board instituted a cap of 50 per cent for cumulative project funds directed through Multilateral Implementing Entities (MIEs), as per Decision B.12/9 and Decision B.17/19.

7. According to the latest Financial Report prepared by the Trustee as of 30 June 2026, the cumulative funding decisions for all projects/programmes amounted to US$ 1,653.04 million and funds available to support AF Board funding decisions amounted to US$ 553.42 million. The cumulative funding decisions for projects/programmes submitted by MIEs amounted to US$ 1,012.12 million. MIE pilot projects had been excluded from counting towards the 50 per cent, and the cumulative funding decisions for MIE projects/programmes counted towards it amount to US$ 1,005.49 million. Therefore, the funds available below the cumulative 50 per cent cap currently amount to US$ 104.10 million.

Funding status: annually-determined funding provisions

8. Since its inception and until March 2017, the Adaptation Fund Board had only approved projects and programmes implemented in individual countries. The Adaptation Fund Board then approved a regional project and programme pilot with a cap of US$ 30 Million (Decision B.25/28).

9. The Board subsequently decided on various aspects related to programming outside of the country cap. These decisions included, invitation to Designated Authorities (DAs) of the Adaptation Fund to submit proposals under the regional pilot Decision B.25/28; awarding of project formulation grants Decision B.28/1 and Decision B.29/4 ; merging pipelines for regional proposals Decision B.28/1 (b)(ii) and determining a first come, first served' basis for funding regional proposals Decision B.31/3.

10. Since 2019 funding provisions for windows outside of the country cap have been annually approved. The annual funding provision for the following windows was approved most recently at the forty-fourth meeting, and the funding remaining is in Table 1. There are no regional projects or programmes currently on the waitlist.

Table 1. Annual funding provisions and funding remaining (FY26, US$)

Window	FY26 allocations per B.44/41	Utilization against 2026 allocation AFB45	Utilization against 2026 allocation AFB 46	Utilization against 2026 allocation AFB 46/47 intersessional	Funding remaining under FY26 provisions
Regional proposal	**60,000,000**	-			**22,759,164**
Regional Fully developed			36,640,836		
Regional PFG		119,000	600,000		
Large Innovation	**30,000,000**	19,998,000	5,000,000		**4,702,000**
Large Innovation PFG		-	300,000		
Small Innovation	**4,000,000**	250,000			
Learning		500,000	-		**3, 250,000**

Official Use Only

Scale Up		-			
LLA Single country	**26,500,000**	-	5,000,000		**19,822,262**
LLA Single country PFG		-	1,597,738	80,000	
LLA regional Aggregator	**30,000,000**	-	30,000,000	12,000,000	**(12,262,324)**
LLA regional PFG		-	262,324		

Project/programme proposals submitted by implementing entities

11. The secretariat presents below the details of projects and programmes submitted for this cycle, following the details of the pilot rolling-basis process as described AFB/PPRC.31/60 and approved in Decision B.40/59. This decision was confirmed by Decision B.41/21. The Secretariat received 97 eligible regular single country and regional submissions for the AFB47 review cycle. In addition, the Secretariat received 17 eligible LLA and 16 eligible innovation proposals, which includes three AFCIA proposals and one small innovation grant. One learning grant was also received by the Secretariat. In accordance with the operational policies and guidelines, the Secretariat screened and prepared technical reviews for these submissions.

12. The eligible submission was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. No public comments were received for any of the proposals.

13. In line with the details of the rolling-basis submission, the Secretariat shared the technical review findings with IEs that had submitted proposals and solicited their responses to specific items requiring clarification. IEs were given three weeks to respond to the comments of each round of the technical reviews. IEs were offered the opportunity to discuss the review findings with the Secretariat as per the usual practice.

14. The Secretariat reviewed IEs' responses to the clarification requests and undertook additional rounds of technical reviews as needed. As per the details of the rolling-basis submission process, the secretariat compiles comments and recommendations for technically cleared proposals or proposals that are recommended to be rejected (no proposals are recommended to be rejected at this time). These are presented in the addendum to this document (AFB/PPRC.37/2/Add.1).

15. Details of the proposals are contained in separate PPRC working documents. All eligible proposals are presented in Annex 1, Table 2. Details of the proposals that are not technically cleared are presented to the Board as information documents.

Regular single-country proposals

16. Accredited Entities submitted 68 single country project proposals to the secretariat, of which all 68 were eligible and for which technical reviews were carried out. Of the 69 eligible single country project proposals, 18 project proposals submitted to the secretariat were technically cleared. The total amount of funding requested by the eligible single country proposals is US $863,658,123 and includes US $67,118,749 (8.43%) in IEs' management fees and US $62,938,945 (7.86%) in execution costs. The total requested funding for PFGs is US $6,857,051.

17. The proposals were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Agence pour le Developpement Agricole (ADA); Banque Ouest

Official Use Only

Africaine de Developpement (BOAD); Bank of Industry (BOI); Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); Environmental Management Agency of Zimbabwe (EMA): The Interprofessional Fund for Agricultural Research (FIRCA); International Bank of Reconstruction and Development (IBRD); International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); Partnership for Governance Reform (Kemitraan) of Indonesia (Kemitraan); Partnership for Governance Reform (Kemitraan) of Indonesia (Kemitraan) Ministry of Water and Environment of Uganda (MWE); National Environment Management Council of Tanzania (NEMC); National Trust for Nature Conservation of Nepal (NTNC); Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS); United Nations Human Settlement Programme (UN-Habitat); United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); Palli Karma-Sahayak Foundation of Bangladesh (PKSF); SANBI; The Pacific Community (SPC); Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP); Ministry of Water and Environment of Uganda (MWE); Peruvian Trust Fund for National Parks and Protected Areas (PROFONANPE); Protected Areas Conservation Trust of Belize (PACT); National Environment Trust Fund of Kenya (NETFUND); United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); and World Health Organization (WHO).

18. Of the 18 projects that were technically cleared, three are fully developed project proposals and 15 are concept notes. The total requested funding of the fully developed proposals amounts to US $ 29,019,000. The total requested funding for PFGs is US $ 1,780,534.

19. All the technically cleared proposals are in compliance with Board Decision B.11/16 to cap management fees at 8.5%. In accordance with the same Decision B.11/16, all proponents of fully developed project documents provide a budget on fee use. All fully developed project/programme documents provide an explanation and a breakdown of their execution costs and other administrative costs, and are in compliance with Decision B.12/17. All the technically cleared proposals are in compliance with Board Decision B.13/17 to cap execution costs at 9.5% of the project/programme budget.

20. All submissions for single countries request funding below the cap available to each country, as per decision B.13/23 and Decision B.36/41 on the *Provision of financial resources between single country and regional concrete adaptation project and programmes (country cap)*. None of the proposals is a small size proposal (under US $1 million). Seven MIEs submitted multiple proposals. The 46 proposals are for 34 different countries.

21. The eligible submission was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for any of the proposals.

Regional proposals

22. Accredited entities submitted 29 eligible regional project proposals to the secretariat, which underwent technical review. These proposals are listed in Annex 1, Table 1. The total requested funding for the eligible regional proposals is US $792,648,079, which includes US $36,323,348 (4.80%) in implementing entities' management fees and US $28,199,119 (3.69%) in execution costs. The total requested funding for project formulation grants (PFGs) is US $2,041,958.

23. The proposals were submitted by the following Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund; Banque Ouest Africaine de Developpement (BOAD); Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS); International Center for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD); Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP); the United Nations Development Program (UNDP); the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP); United Nations Human Settlements

Program (UN-Habitat) United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); and World Meteorological Organization (WMO).

24. Of the 29 eligible regional proposals submitted to the secretariat, 11 proposals were technically cleared. The total requested funding for project formulation grants (PFGs) for the technically cleared proposals is US $ 488,958. Two of the eight proposals are fully developed projects, two are concept notes, and seven are pre-concepts. Four MIEs submitted multiple proposals. The 11 proposals are for 23 different countries. The total requested funding of the fully developed proposals amounts to US $ 55,250,000.

25. The eligible submissions were posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for any of the proposals.

Single-country Locally-Led Adaptation proposals

26. Accredited entities submitted 14 single-country LLA proposals to the secretariat, of which all 14 were eligible, and technical reviews were carried out and are presented in Annex 1, Table 1 below. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible single country proposals is US $69,965,029 and includes US $5,393,376 (average of 8.35%) in IEs' management fees and US $5,478,830 or (average of 8.50%) in execution costs. The total requested funding for PFGs is US $1,562,524.

27. The proposals were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Bhutan Trust Fund for Environmental Conservation (BTFEC), Caribbean Community Climate Change Centre (CCCCC), Environmental Management Agency (EMA), Ministry of Finance and Economic Management (MFEM), Ministry of Water and Environment, Uganda (MWE), National Fund for Environment and Climate (FNEC), Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS), UN Development Programme (UNDP), and United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat).

28. Of the 14 eligible single country LLA proposals submitted to the secretariat, eight proposals were technically cleared. Of the eight proposals, one proposal is a fully developed proposal and seven are concept-note proposals. The fully developed proposal amounts to US $4,974,725 and the concept-note proposals amounts to US $34,990,804 (excluding project formulation grants). The total PFG funding for the technically cleared concept-note proposals amounts to US $1,212,524.

29. The eligible submission was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for any of the proposals.

Regional Locally-Led Adaptation proposals

30. Accredited entities submitted two regional LLA proposals to the secretariat, and both were eligible and underwent technical reviews. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible regional proposals is US $56,417,350 and includes US $4,631,983 (average of 8.94%) in IEs' management fees and US $2,921,694 (average of 5.46%) in execution costs. The total requested funding for PFGs is US $272,000.

31. The proposals were submitted by the following Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Banque Ouest Africaine de Developpement (BOAD) and UN Development Programme (UNDP). Of the two proposals, one is a fully developed proposal and one is a concept

note proposal. Both proposals are not technically cleared. Details on the proposals that are not technically cleared are presented to the Board as information documents.

32. The eligible submission was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for any of the proposals.

Global Locally-Led Adaptation Aggregator Programme proposals

33. An accredited entity submitted one LLA Aggregator proposal to the secretariat, which was an eligible submission, and technical reviews were carried out. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible proposal is US $15,000,000 and includes US $1,363,636 (10%) in IEs' management fees and US $582,322 (4.04%) in execution costs.

34. The proposal was submitted by the following Multilateral Implementing Entity of the Fund: the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).

35. The proposal is technically cleared. The total requested funding is US $15,000,000.

36. The eligible submission was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for any of the proposals.

Large Innovation Project proposals

37. Accredited entities submitted twelve eligible large innovation project proposals to the secretariat for which technical reviews were carried out and are presented in Annex 1, Table 2 below. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible single country and regional proposals is US $58,255,200 and includes US $4,560,989 (average of 8.49%) in IEs' management fees and US $4,239,295 (average of 7.85%) in execution costs. The total requested funding for PFGs is US $1,311,125.

38. The proposals were submitted by the following National, Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Bank of Industry (BOI), Comisión Acción Social Menonita (CASM), Corporacion Nacional para el Desarrollo (CND), Environmental Project Implementation Unit (EPIU), UN Development Programme (UNDP), and United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat), UN Environment Programme (UNEP) and United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO).

39. Of the twelve eligible single country and regional proposals submitted to the secretariat, six proposals were technically cleared. Of the six proposals, one proposal is a fully developed proposal and five are concept-note proposals. The fully developed proposal amounts to US $5,000,000 and the concept-note proposals amounts to US $24,795,200 (excluding project formulation grants). The total PFG funding for the technically cleared concept notes amounts to US $599,730 (see Annex 1, Table 1).

40. The eligible submission was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for any of the proposals.

AFCIA Programme proposals

41. Accredited entities submitted three eligible proposals to the secretariat, technical reviews were carried out and are presented in Annex 1: Table 2 below. The total amount of funding being

Official Use Only

requested by the eligible proposals is US $33,000,000 and includes US $ 2,999,996 (average of 10%) in IEs' management fees and US $1,257,723 or (average of 3.96%) in execution costs.

42. The proposals were submitted by the following Regional and Multilateral Implementing Entities of the Fund: Development Bank of Latin America (CAF), International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) and the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP). The three proposals were technically cleared.

43. The eligible submission was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for any of the proposals.

Small Innovation Grant

44. An accredited entity submitted one eligible proposal to the secretariat, technical review was carried out and is presented in Annex 1: Table 2 below. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible proposal is US $248,465 and includes US $19,465 (average of 8.5%) in IEs' management fees and US $21,755 (average of 9.5%) in execution costs.

45. The proposal was submitted by the following National Implementing Entity of the Fund: National Environment Management Council (NEMC). The proposal was not technically cleared. Details of the proposals that are not technically cleared are presented to the Board as information documents.

46. The eligible submission was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for the proposal.

Learning Grant

47. An accredited National Implementing Entity submitted one eligible learning grant proposal to the secretariat, technical reviews were carried out and presented in Annex 1, Table 2 below. The total amount of funding being requested by the eligible proposals is US $500,000 and includes US $39,170 (8.5%) in Implementing Entities' management fees. The proposal was submitted by the National Implementing Entity of the Fund: National Environment Management Council (NEMC). The proposal is technically cleared and was posted online for public review, inviting comments within a specified time period. Public comments were not received for this proposal.

Cofinancing in submitted proposals

48. During the review period, one proposal included information about cofinancing. Additional clarifications have been sought through the review process to confirm if the financing requested from the Adaptation Fund could be justified on the basis of full cost of adaptation reasoning, since the contribution would be in-kind from the government. those proposals. The proposal is a concept note by UNEP for Cuba & Dominican Republic and has received six rounds of technical review and has been technically cleared. It contains a project formulation grant request of US $150,000.

Issues Identified during the review

Utilization and proper application of Costs and Fees by IEs and project formulation grants

Official Use Only

49. The Secretariat received requests from at least three MIEs for exceptions regarding the execution costs when IEs serve as EEs, as per Decision B.38/42. Although justifications are always provided by the MIEs, the amounts requested have been close to five percent and in some instances over that amount. In other instances, MIEs have requested a significant percentage from component costs for staff costs. The PPRC may consider requesting the Secretariat to review the cases received with a view to providing further guidance regarding the "exceptional basis" provisions related to MIEs serving as EEs.

Official Use Only

ANNEX 1: Project Tables

Table 1: Proposals technically cleared for consideration at forty seventh-meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*NIE funding, USD*	*RIE funding, USD*	*MIE funding, USD*	*Technical Recommendation*	*Funding set aside, USD*
1. Full Proposals: Single-country								
NIE								
	Tanzania (2)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/4	4,034,000			Approve	4,034,000
RIE								
	Tonga	SPC	AFB/PPRC.38/5		14,985,000		Approve	14,985,000
MIE								
	Viet Nam	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/6			10,000,000	Approve	10,000,000
Sub-total, USD				***4,034,000***	***14,985,000***	***10,000,000***		***29,019,000***
2. Concepts: Single-country								
NIE								
	Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.38/7	9,927,750			Endorse	0
	Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.38/8	5,500,000			Endorse	0
RIE								
	Bahamas	CAF	AFB/PPRC.38/9		15,000,000		Endorse	0
	Burundi	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/10		10,000,000		Endorse	0
	Colombia	CAF	AFB/PPRC.38/11		15,000,000		Endorse	0
	Papua New Guinea	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.38/12		3,469,287		Endorse	0
MIE								
	Albania	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/13			10,003,592	Endorse	0
	Burkina Faso	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/14			17,983,426	Endorse	0
	Lao PDR	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/15			22,438,870	Endorse	0
	Maldives	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/16			20,000,000	Endorse	0
	Mexico	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/17			25,000,000	Endorse	0
	Mongolia (2)	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/18			13,645,664	Endorse	0
	Sri Lanka	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/19			20,000,000	Endorse	0
	Tajikistan	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/20			10,000,000	Endorse	0
	Zimbabwe (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/21			12,000,000	Endorse	0
Sub-total, USD				***15,427,750***	***43,469,287***	***151,071,552***		-
3. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Single-country								
NIE								
	Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.38/7/Add.1	150,000			Approve	150,000
	Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.38/8/Add.1	126,864			Approve	126,864
RIE								
	Burundi	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/10/Add.1		150,000		Approve	150,000
	Colombia	CAF	AFB/PPRC.38/11/Add.1		133,455		Approve	133,455
MIE								
	Albania	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/13/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
	Burkina Faso	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/14/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
	Lao PDR	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/15/Add.1			90,000	Approve	90,000
	Maldives	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/16/Add.1			141,050	Approve	141,050
	Mexico	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/17/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
	Mongolia (2)	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/18/Add.1			89,165	Approve	89,165
	Sri Lanka	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/19/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000

	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Technical Recommendation	Funding set aside, USD
	Tajikistan	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/20/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
	Zimbabwe (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/21/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
Sub-total, USD				***276,864***	***283,455***	***1,220,215***		***1,780,534***
4. Full Proposals: Regional								
RIE								
	Chad, Togo	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/22		25,250,000		Approve	25,250,000
MIE								
	Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/23			30,000,000	Approve	30,000,000
Sub-total, USD					***25,250,000***	***30,000,000***		***55,250,000***
5. Concepts: Regional								
MIE								
	Cuba, Dominican Republic	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.38/24			30,000,000	Endorse	0
	Malawi, Zambia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/25			29,999,999	Endorse	0
Sub-total, USD						***59,999,999***		-
6. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Regional Concepts								
MIE								
	Cuba, Dominican Republic	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.38/24/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
	Malawi, Zambia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/25/Add.1			120,000	Approve	120,000
Sub-total, USD						***270,000***		***270,000***
7. Pre-concepts: Regional								
RIE								
	Burkina Faso, Mali	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.38/26		13,948,000		Endorse	0
	Bhutan, India, Nepal	ICIMOD	AFB/PPRC.38/27		30,000,000		Endorse	0
MIE								
	Botswana, Mozambique, Namibia, Zambia, Zimbabwe	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/28			28,721,000	Endorse	0
	Cambodia, Indonesia, Vietnam	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/29			30,000,000	Endorse	0
	Cambodia, Vietnam (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/30			30,000,000	Endorse	0
	Cambodia, Vietnam (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/31			30,000,000	Endorse	0
	Iraq, Lebanon	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/32			29,944,000	Endorse	0
Sub-total, USD					***43,948,000***	***148,665,000***		
8. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Pre-concepts: Regional								
RIE								
	Burkina Faso, Mali	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.38/26/Add.1		30,000		Approve	30,000
	Bhutan, India, Nepal	ICIMOD	AFB/PPRC.38/27/Add.1		32,958		Approve	32,958
MIE								
	Botswana, Mozambique, Namibia, Zambia, Zimbabwe	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/28/Add.1			36,000	Approve	36,000

Official Use Only

	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Technical Recommendation	Funding set aside, USD
	Cambodia, Indonesia, Vietnam	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/29/Add.1			30,000	Approve	30,000
	Cambodia, Vietnam (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/30/Add.1			30,000	Approve	30,000
	Cambodia, Vietnam (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/31/Add.1			30,000	Approve	30,000
	Iraq, Lebanon	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/32/Add.1			30,000	Approve	30,000
Sub-total, USD					***62,958***	***156,000***		***218,958***
GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8)				***19,738,614***	***127,998,700***	***401,382,766***		***86,538,492***
9.Full Proposal: Single Country LLA								
NIE								
	Rwanda	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/33			4,974,725	Approve	4,974,725
Sub-total, USD						***4,974,725***		***4,974,725***
10. Concept: Single Country LLA								
NIE								
	Benin	FNEC	AFB/PPRC.38/34	4,992,677			Endorse	
	Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.38/35	5,000,000			Endorse	
	Zimbabwe	EMA	AFB/PPRC.38/36	5,000,000			Endorse	
MIE								
	Cambodia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/37			4,999,420	Endorse	
	Mongolia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/38			5,000,000	Endorse	
	Nepal	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/39			4,998,707	Endorse	
	Sri Lanka	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/40			5,000,000	Endorse	
Sub-total, USD				**14,992,677**		**19,998,127**		**-**
11. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept: Single Country LLA								
NIE								
	Benin	FNEC	AFB/PPRC.38/34/Add.1	231,105			Approve	231,105
	Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.38/35/Add.1	248,849			Approve	248,849
	Zimbabwe	EMA	AFB/PPRC.38/36/Add.1	179,350			Approve	179,350
MIE								
	Cambodia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/37/Add.1			120,000	Approve	120,000
	Mongolia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/38/Add.1			150,000	Approve	150,000
	Nepal	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/39/Add.1			140,000	Approve	140,000
	Sri Lanka	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/40/Add.1			143,220	Approve	143,220
Sub-total, USD				**659,304**		**553,220**		**1,212,524**
17. LLA Aggregator								
MIE								
	Global	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/41			15,000,000	Approve	15,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***15,000,000***		***15,000,000***
GRAND TOTAL (9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16+17)				**15,651,981**		**40,526,072**		**21,187,249**
18. Full Proposals Single Country: Large Innovation Projects								
MIE								
	Burundi	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.38/42			5,000,000	Approve	5,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***5,000,000***		***5,000,000***
19. Concept Single Country: Large Innovation Projects								
NIE								
	Nigeria	BOI	AFB/PPRC.38/43	4,795,200			Endorse	0

Official Use Only

	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	NIE funding, USD	RIE funding, USD	MIE funding, USD	Technical Recommendation	Funding set aside, USD
MIE								
	Bhutan	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/44			5,000,000	Endorse	0
	Cuba	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/45			5,000,000	Endorse	0
	Thailand	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/46			5,000,000	Endorse	0
	Uzbekistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/47			5,000,000	Endorse	0
Sub-total, USD				***4,795,200***		***20,000,000***		**-**
20. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept Single Country: Large Innovation Projects								
MIE								
	Bhutan	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/44/Add.1			150,000	Endorse	150,000
	Cuba	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/45/Add.1			149,730	Endorse	149,730
	Thailand	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/46/Add.1			150,000	Endorse	150,000
	Uzbekistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/47/Add.1			150,000	Endorse	150,000
Sub-total, USD						**599,730**		**599,730**
GRAND TOTAL (18+19+20)				**4,795,200**		**25,599,730**		**5,599,730**
21. AFCIA Grants								
RIE								
	Global	CAF	AFB/PPRC.38/48		8,000,000		Approve	8,000,000
MIE								
	Global	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/49			10,000,000	Approve	10,000,000
	Global	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/50			15,000,000	Approve	15,000,000
Sub-total, USD					***8,000,000***	***25,000,000***		***33,000,000***
25. Learning Grants								
NIE								
	Tanzania	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/51	500,000				500,000
Sub-total, USD				***500,000***				***500,000***
GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16+17+18+19+20+21)				**40,685,795**	**135,998,700**	**492,508,568**		**146,825,471**

Official Use Only

Table 2: Project proposals reviewed ahead of the forty-seventh Adaptation Fund Board meeting

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*Number of Technical Reviews*	*FIF Platform Document ID*	*Grant Size, USD*
1. Full Proposals: Single-country						
NIE						
	Bangladesh	PKSF	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.4	4	AF00000481	20,000,000
	Cote d'Ivoire	FIRCA	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.5	1	AF00000581	10,000,000
	Indonesia (1)	Kemitraan	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.6	2	AF00000301	996,358
	Indonesia (2)	Kemitraan	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.7	4	AF00000307	998,739
	Indonesia (3)	Kemitraan	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.8	3	AF00000309	977,939
	Indonesia (4)	Kemitraan	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.9	4	AF00000310	999,226
	Tanzania (1)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.10	4	AF00000255	2,500,000
	Tanzania (2)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/4	6	AF00000256	4,034,000
	Tanzania (3)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.11	3	AF00000259	5,500,787
RIE						
	Chad	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.12	2	AF00000330	10,000,000
	Tonga	SPC	AFB/PPRC.38/5	5	AF00000450	14,985,000
MIE						
	Cambodia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.13	1	AF00000470	10,000,000
	Eswatini (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.14	1	AF00000589	10,000,000
	Malaysia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.15	1	AF00000501	10,000,000
	Nigeria	BOI	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.16	1	AF00000572	24,950,275
	North Macedonia	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.17	1	AF00000475	10,000,000
	Philippines	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.18	1	AF00000591	15,000,000
	Tunisia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.19	1	AF00000547	14,999,998
	Viet Nam	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/6	6	AF00000484	10,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***175,942,322***
2. Concepts: Single-country						
NIE						
	Morocco	ADA	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.20	4	AF00000432	20,000,000
	Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.38/7	7	AF00000474	9,927,750
	South Africa	SANBI	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.21	1	AF00000558	24,937,016
	Tanzania (4)	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.22	5	AF00000472	
	Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.38/8	5	AF00000471	5,500,000
	Zimbabwe	EMA	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.23	2	AF00000453	9,957,257
RIE						
	Bahamas	CAF	AFB/PPRC.38/9	3	AF00000556	15,000,000
	Burkina Faso	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.24	3	AF00000495	14,826,147
	Burundi	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/10	7	AF00000430	10,000,000

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*Number of Technical Reviews*	*FIF Platform Document ID*	*Grant Size, USD*
	Colombia	CAF	AFB/PPRC.38/11	7	AF00000499	15,000,000
	Fiji	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.25	3	AF00000427	10,056,905
	Palau	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.26	1	AF00000566	24,957,170
	Papua New Guinea	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.38/12	9	AF00000396	3,469,287
MIE						
	Albania	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/13	6	AF00000500	10,003,592
	Argentina	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.27	1	AF00000561	19,792,772
	Bolivia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.28	1	AF00000549	10,000,000
	Bosnia & Herzegovina	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.29	1	AF00000563	12,000,000
	Burkina Faso	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/14	6	AF00000497	17,983,426
	Cambodia	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.30	1	AF00000588	4,866,553
	Chad	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.31	1	AF00000565	10,000,000
	Cuba	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.32	2	AF00000534	3,900,000
	Eswatini (2)	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.33	2	AF00000532	10,000,000
	Gambia, The	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.34	1	AF00000545	15,000,000
	Guatemala	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.35	1	AF00000552	17,880,529
	Guinea	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.36	1	AF00000592	21,700,000
	India	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.37	1	AF00000582	15,000,000
	Indonesia (5)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.38	1	AF00000595	6,000,000
	Kazakhstan	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.39	1	AF00000583	10,000,000
	Kyrgyz Republic	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.40	2	AF00000546	20,000,000
	Lao PDR	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/15	8	AF00000502	22,438,870
	Liberia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.41	1	AF00000573	25,000,000
	Libya	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.42	2	AF00000519	5,000,000
	Madagascar	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.43	1	AF00000570	25,000,000
	Malawi	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.44	1	AF00000574	10,000,000
	Maldives	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/16	5	AF00000514	20,000,000
	Mexico	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/17	5	AF00000527	25,000,000
	Mongolia (1)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.45	2	AF00000512	15,000,000
	Mongolia (2)	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/18	6	AF00000525	13,645,664
	Montenegro	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.46	2	AF00000533	15,000,000
	Mozambique	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.47	1	AF00000567	25,000,000
	Pakistan	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.48	3	AF00000526	10,000,000
	Philippines	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.49	1	AF00000555	11,872,863
	Serbia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.50	1	AF00000564	10,000,000

Official Use Only

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*Number of Technical Reviews*	*FIF Platform Document ID*	*Grant Size, USD*
	Somalia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.51	2	AF00000498	10,000,000
	Sri Lanka	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/19	4	AF00000507	20,000,000
	Tajikistan	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/20	3	AF00000535	10,000,000
	Thailand (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.52	2	AF00000516	10,000,000
	Thailand (2)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.53	2	AF00000518	10,000,000
	Zimbabwe (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/21	5	AF00000513	12,000,000
	Zimbabwe (2)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.54	1	AF00000569	15,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***687,715,801***
3. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Single-country						
NIE						
	Morocco	ADA	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.20	4	AF00000432	150,000
	Nepal	NTNC	AFB/PPRC.38/7/Add.1	7	AF00000474	150,000
	South Africa	SANBI	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.21	1	AF00000558	149,996
	Tanzania	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.22	5	AF00000472	150,000
	Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.38/8/Add.1	5	AF00000471	126,864
	Zimbabwe	EMA	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.23	2	AF00000453	150,000
RIE						
	Burkina Faso	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.24	3	AF00000495	111,459
	Burundi	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/10/Add.1	7	AF00000430	150,000
	Colombia	CAF	AFB/PPRC.38/11/Add.1	7	AF00000499	133,455
	Fiji	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.25	3	AF00000427	147,641
	Palau	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.26	1	AF00000566	149,227
MIE						
	Albania	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/13/Add.1	6	AF00000500	150,000
	Argentina	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.27	1	AF00000561	150,000
	Bolivia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.28	1	AF00000549	150,000
	Bosnia & Herzegovina	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.29	1	AF00000563	150,000
	Burkina Faso	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/14/Add.1	6	AF00000497	150,000
	Cambodia	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.30	1	AF00000588	99,278
	Chad	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.31	1	AF00000565	150,000
	Cuba	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.32	2	AF00000534	100,000
	Eswatini (2)	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.33	2	AF00000532	150,000
	Gambia, The	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.34	1	AF00000545	150,000
	Guatemala	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.35	1	AF00000552	150,000
	Guinea	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.36	1	AF00000592	150,000
	India	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.37	1	AF00000582	150,000

Official Use Only

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*Number of Technical Reviews*	*FIF Platform Document ID*	*Grant Size, USD*
	Indonesia (5)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.38	1	AF00000595	150,000
	Kazakhstan	UN Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.39	1	AF00000583	150,000
	Kyrgyz Republic	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.40	2	AF00000546	148,916
	Lao PDR	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/15/Add.1	8	AF00000502	90,000
	Liberia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.41	1	AF00000573	150,000
	Libya	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.42	2	AF00000519	150,000
	Madagascar	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.43	1	AF00000570	150,000
	Malawi	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.44	1	AF00000574	150,000
	Maldives	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/16/Add.1	5	AF00000514	141,050
	Mexico	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/17/Add.1	5	AF00000527	150,000
	Mongolia (1)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.45	2	AF00000512	150,000
	Mongolia (2)	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/18/Add.1	6	AF00000525	89,165
	Montenegro	IBRD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.46	2	AF00000533	150,000
	Mozambique	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.47	1	AF00000567	150,000
	Pakistan	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.48	3	AF00000526	120,000
	Philippines	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.49	1	AF00000555	150,000
	Serbia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.50	1	AF00000564	150,000
	Somalia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.51	2	AF00000498	150,000
	Sri Lanka	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/19/Add.1	4	AF00000507	150,000
	Tajikistan	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/20/Add.1	3	AF00000535	150,000
	Thailand (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.52	2	AF00000516	150,000
	Thailand (2)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.53	2	AF00000518	150,000
	Zimbabwe (1)	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/21/Add.1	5	AF00000513	150,000
	Zimbabwe (2)	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.54	1	AF00000569	150,000
Sub-total, USD						***6,857,051***
4. Full Proposals: Regional						
RIE						
	Bolivia, Brazil, Chile, Paraguay, Uruguay	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.55	1	AF00000488	30,000,000
	Chad, Togo	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/22	5	AF00000463	25,250,000
MIE						
	Armenia, Georgia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.56	4	AF00000163	19,701,000
	Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/23	5	AF00000508	30,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***104,951,000***
5. Concepts: Regional						
MIE						
	Angola, Mozambique	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.57	2	AF00000441	30,000,000

Official Use Only

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*Number of Technical Reviews*	*FIF Platform Document ID*	*Grant Size, USD*
	Bahamas, Barbados, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Grenada, Jamaica, Trinidad and Tobago, Mexico	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.58	1	AF00000528	29,996,869
	Burkina Faso, Mali	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.59	1	AF00000578	30,000,000
	Cambodia, Indonesia, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Thailand	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.60	2	AF00000164	19,965,000
	Costa Rica, Panama	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.61	2	AF00000398	22,859,155
	Cuba, Dominican Republic	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.38/24	6	AF00000464	30,000,000
	Ethiopia, Somalia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.62	1	AF00000557	30,000,000
	Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.63	1	AF00000529	29,324,647
	Jordan, Lebanon	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.64	1	AF00000503	29,996,557
	Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.65	2	AF00000420	24,200,000
	Malawi, Zambia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/25	5	AF00000422	29,999,999
Sub-total, USD						***306,342,227***
6. Project Formulation Grants (PFG): Regional Concepts						
MIE						
	Angola, Mozambique	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.57	2	AF00000441	120,000
	Bahamas, Barbados, Colombia, Dominican Republic, Grenada, Jamaica, Trinidad and Tobago, Mexico	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.58	1	AF00000528	225,000
	Burkina Faso, Mali	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.59	1	AF00000578	150,000
	Cambodia, Indonesia, Nepal, Pakistan, Philippines, Thailand	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.60	2	AF00000164	210,000
	Costa Rica, Panama	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.61	2	AF00000398	120,000
	Cuba, Dominican Republic	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.38/24/Add.1	6	AF00000464	150,000
	Ethiopia, Somalia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.62	1	AF00000557	150,000
	Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines and Thailand	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.63	1	AF00000529	150,000
	Jordan, Lebanon	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.64	1	AF00000503	120,000
	Kazakhstan, Tajikistan, Uzbekistan	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.65	2	AF00000420	111,000
	Malawi, Zambia	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/25/Add.1	5	AF00000422	120,000
Sub-total, USD						***1,626,000***
7. Pre-concepts: Regional						
RIE						
	Burkina Faso, Mali	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.38/26	6	AF00000505	13,948,000
	Bhutan, India, Nepal	ICIMOD	AFB/PPRC.38/27	5	AF00000548	30,000,000
	Papua New Guinea, Solomon Islands	SPREP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.66	2	AF00000537	28,270,277
MIE						
	Armenia, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Moldova	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.67	1	AF00000580	29,645,000

Official Use Only

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*Number of Technical Reviews*	*FIF Platform Document ID*	*Grant Size, USD*
	Botswana, Mozambique, Namibia, Zambia, Zimbabwe	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/28	4	AF00000536	28,721,000
	Cambodia, Indonesia, Vietnam	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/29	5	AF00000504	30,000,000
	Cambodia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Thailand, Vietnam	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.68	2	AF00000268	12,466,575
	Cambodia, Vietnam (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/30	5	AF00000485	30,000,000
	Cambodia, Vietnam (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/31	3	AF00000521	30,000,000
	Cameroon, Malawi	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.69	2	AF00000568	30,000,000
	El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.70	1	AF00000579	29,040,000
	Iraq, Lebanon	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/32	6	AF00000520	29,944,000
	Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Uganda, Zambia	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.71	2	AF00000541	29,320,000
	Nepal, Pakistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.72	1	AF00000587	30,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***381,354,852***
8. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Pre-concepts: Regional						
RIE						
	Burkina Faso, Mali	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.38/26/Add.1	6	AF00000505	30,000
	Bhutan, India, Nepal	ICIMOD	AFB/PPRC.38/27/Add.1	5	AF00000548	32,958
MIE						
	Armenia, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Moldova	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.67	1	AF00000580	33,000
	Botswana, Mozambique, Namibia, Zambia, Zimbabwe	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/28/Add.1	4	AF00000536	36,000
	Cambodia, Indonesia, Vietnam	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/29/Add.1	5	AF00000504	30,000
	Cambodia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Thailand, Vietnam	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.68	2	AF00000268	35,000
	Cambodia, Vietnam (1)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/30/Add.1	5	AF00000485	30,000
	Cambodia, Vietnam (2)	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/31/Add.1	3	AF00000521	30,000
	Cameroon, Malawi	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.69	2	AF00000568	30,000
	El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.70	1	AF00000579	30,000
	Iraq, Lebanon	WHO	AFB/PPRC.38/32/Add.1	6	AF00000520	30,000
	Kenya, Lesotho, Malawi, Uganda, Zambia	WMO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.71	2	AF00000541	39,000
	Nepal, Pakistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.72	1	AF00000587	30,000
Sub-total, USD						***415,958***
GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8)						***1,665,205,211***
9.Full Proposal: Single Country LLA						
NIE						
	Bhutan	BTFEC	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.73	1	AF00000584	5,000,000
	Cook Islands	MFEM	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.74	1	AF00000586	4,999,500

Official Use Only

	Country	IE	PPRC Document number	Number of Technical Reviews	FIF Platform Document ID	Grant Size, USD
MIE						
	Rwanda	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/33	5	AF00000438	4,974,725
Sub-total, USD						***14,974,225***
10. Concept: Single Country LLA						
NIE						
	Benin	FNEC	AFB/PPRC.38/34	4	AF00000539	4,992,677
	Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.38/35	5	AF00000530	5,000,000
	Zimbabwe	EMA	AFB/PPRC.38/36	4	AF00000466	5,000,000
RIE						
	Burundi	OSS	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.75	1	AF00000575	5,000,000
	Jamaica	CCCCC	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.76	2	AF00000576	5,000,000
MIE						
	Cambodia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/37	4	AF00000456	4,999,420
	Lebanon	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.77	1	AF00000446	5,000,000
	Libya	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.78	1	AF00000550	5,000,000
	Mongolia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/38	5	AF00000509	5,000,000
	Nepal	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/39	4	AF00000559	4,998,707
	Sri Lanka	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/40	3	AF00000510	5,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***54,990,804***
11. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept: Single Country LLA						
NIE						
	Benin	FNEC	AFB/PPRC.38/34/Add.1	4	AF00000539	231,105
	Uganda	MWE	AFB/PPRC.38/35/Add.1	5	AF00000530	248,849
	Zimbabwe	EMA	AFB/PPRC.38/36/Add.1	4	AF00000466	179,350
MIE						
	Cambodia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/37/Add.1	4	AF00000456	120,000
	Lebanon	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.77	1	AF00000446	200,000
	Libya	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.78	1	AF00000550	150,000
	Mongolia	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/38/Add.1	5	AF00000509	150,000
	Nepal	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/39/Add.1	4	AF00000559	140,000
	Sri Lanka	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/40/Add.1	3	AF00000510	143,220
Sub-total, USD						***1,562,524***
12. Full Proposal: Regional LLA						
RIE						
	Burkina Faso, Cote d'Ivoire, Mali, Niger	BOAD	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.79	1	AF00000524	26,417,350
Sub-total, USD						***26,417,350***
13.Concept: Regional LLA						
MIE						

Official Use Only

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*Number of Technical Reviews*	*FIF Platform Document ID*	*Grant Size, USD*
	Fiji, Malaysia, Maldives, Mongolia, Pakistan, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Viet Nam	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.80	2	AF00000522	30,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***30,000,000***
14. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept: Regional LLA						
MIE						
	Fiji, Malaysia, Maldives, Mongolia, Pakistan, Solomon Islands, Sri Lanka, Viet Nam	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.80	2	AF00000522	272,000
Sub-total, USD						***272,000***
15. LLA Aggregator						
MIE						
	Global	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/41	8	AF00000439	15,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***15,000,000***
GRAND TOTAL (9+10+11+12+13+14+15)						***143,216,903***
16. Full Proposals Single Country: Large Innovation Projects						
NIE						
	Honduras	CASM	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.81	8	AF00000417	4,000,000
MIE						
	Burundi	UNEP	AFB/PPRC.38/42	4	AF00000343	5,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***9,000,000***
17. Concept Single Country: Large Innovation Projects						
NIE						
	Armenia	EPIU	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.82	2	AF00000560	4,460,000
	Uruguay	CND	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.83	1	AF00000531	5,000,000
	Nigeria	BOI	AFB/PPRC.38/43	5	AF00000523	4,795,200
MIE						
	Bhutan	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/44	3	AF00000554	5,000,000
	Cuba	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/45	4	AF00000492	5,000,000
	Libya	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.84	2	AF00000551	5,000,000
	Sri Lanka	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.85	1	AF00000590	5,000,000
	Thailand	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/46	4	AF00000511	5,000,000
	Uzbekistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/47	3	AF00000542	5,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***44,255,200***
18. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept Single Country: Large Innovation Projects						
NIE						
	Armenia	EPIU	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.82	2	AF00000560	100,000
	Uruguay	CND	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.83	1	AF00000531	150,000
MIE						
	Bhutan	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/44/Add.1	3	AF00000554	150,000

Official Use Only

	Country	*IE*	*PPRC Document number*	*Number of Technical Reviews*	*FIF Platform Document ID*	*Grant Size, USD*
	Cuba	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/45/Add.1	4	AF00000492	149,730
	Libya	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.84	2	AF00000551	150,000
	Sri Lanka	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.85	1	AF00000590	146,475
	Thailand	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/46/Add.1	4	AF00000511	150,000
	Uzbekistan	UNIDO	AFB/PPRC.38/47/Add.1	3	AF00000542	150,000
Sub-total, USD						***996,475***
19. Concept: Regional Large Innovation Projects						
MIE						
	Global	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.86	1	AF00000593	5,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***5,000,000***
20. Project Formulation Grants (PFG) Concept: Regional Large Innovation Projects						
MIE						
	Global	UN-Habitat	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.86	1	AF00000593	164,920
Sub-total, USD						***164,920***
GRAND TOTAL (16+17+18+19+20)						**59,416,595**
21. AFCIA Grants						
RIE						
	Global	CAF	AFB/PPRC.38/48	5	AF00000506	8,000,000
MIE						
	Global	IFAD	AFB/PPRC.38/49	4	AF00000540	10,000,000
	Global	UNDP	AFB/PPRC.38/50	6	AF00000491	15,000,000
Sub-total, USD						***33,000,000***
22. Small Innovation Grants						
NIE						
	Tanzania	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/Inf.87	1	AFRDG00095	248,465
Sub-total, USD						***248,465***
23. Learning Grants						
NIE						
	Tanzania	NEMC	AFB/PPRC.38/51	3	AFRDG00100	500,000
Sub-total, USD						***500,000***
GRAND TOTAL (1+2+3+4+5+6+7+8+9+10+11+12+13+14+15+16+17+18+19+20+21+22+23)						**1,901,736,904**

Official Use Only

ANNEX 2: Decisions of the Adaptation Fund Board Relevant to this Report.

Decision B.36/41	**b) Provision of financial resources between single-country and regional concrete adaptation project and programmes (country cap)** para 41. Having considered the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided: a) To revise the cap per country established by decision B.13/23 from US$ 10 million to US$ 20 million for all eligible developing country Parties, so that any Party could access a total of up to US$ 20 million from the Adaptation Fund once it had accessed funding amounting to at least US$ 8 million for concrete single-country adaptation projects or programmes or once four years had passed since approval of the first concrete single-country adaptation project(s)/(programme(s) by the Board, whichever occurred earlier; b) To set a maximum level of US$ 10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances, such as in the case of national implementing entities accredited through the streamlined process; c) To maintain the processes already put in place for the allocation of funding for regional projects and programmes, i.e., the provision on an annual basis (fiscal year) of a specific amount for the funding of regional project and programme proposals and the pipeline established through decision B.31/3; d) To assess implications of decision B.36/41 three years after the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board, taking into consideration resource availability, equitable access to funds, accreditation progress and programmatic development of the Fund; e) To inform the designated authorities and accredited implementing entities of this decision.
Decision B.44/4	**Agenda item 14: Utilization of resource caps within the Adaptation Fund** 4. The Adaption Fund Board, having considered document AFB/B.44/9, refers to its decision B.43/33 requesting the Secretariat to undertake additional analysis and further elaborate options for caps and project and programme size, and decided: a) To increase the country cap to US$40 million; b) To increase the single-country project/programme size to US$25 million; c) To increase the regional project/programme size to US$30 million; d) To request the Secretariat, at the start of the next Medium-Term Strategy (MTS) period to review the caps, with a view to supporting the mandate to triple the outflows as per the NCQG decision, paragraph 16.
Decision B.12/9	**Para 45.** Following a discussion, during which the Board considered a number of options to promote and facilitate the access by NIEs to the resources of the Adaptation Fund, including the need to both cap the amount of funding allocated to MIEs and the need to also cap the funds allocated to each MIE within a general cap on all MIEs, as well as the need to keep any such decision under regular review, the Board decided: a) That the cumulative budget allocation for funding projects submitted by MIEs, should not exceed 50 per cent of the total funds available for funding decisions in the Adaptation Fund Trust Fund at the start of each session. That cumulative allocation would be subject to review by the Board on the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee at subsequent sessions; b) To request the Trustee to provide an update on the amount of funds that have been approved for projects implemented by NIEs and MIEs at each meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board; and c) To review the implementation of this decision at the fourteenth meeting of the Adaptation Fund Board.

Decision B.17/19	**Para 75.** Having considered the recommendation of the EFC the Board decided to: a) Maintain the 50 per cent cap on the funding of project/programmes implemented by MIEs established by decision B.12/9, and exclude project/programme concepts from the 50 per cent calculation; b) Establish a pipeline of fully developed projects/programmes that have been recommended by the PPRC for approval by the Board, but exceeding the 50 per cent cap; c) Prioritize the projects/programmes in the pipeline by sequentially applying the following criteria: i. Their date of recommendation by the PPRC; ii. Their submission date; and iii. The lower "net" cost. d) Consider fully developed projects/programmes in the pipeline for approval, subject to availability of resources and respecting the 50 per cent cap; and e) Request that the EFC consider at its 9th meeting the suspension of project/programme submissions as the last measure and elaborate on a clear threshold that indicates when the measure should be applied (e.g. 60 per cent excess of the cap).
Decision B.25/28	**Para 28. The Adaptation Fund Board decided to:** a) Approve the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, as contained in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2 b) Set a cap of US$ 30 million for the programme c) Request the secretariat to issue a call for regional project and programme proposals for consideration by the Board in its twenty-sixth meeting; and d) Request the secretariat to continue discussions with the Climate Technology Center and Network (CTCN) towards operationalizing, during the implementation of the pilot programme on regional projects and programmes, the Synergy Option 2 on knowledge management proposed by CTCN and included in Annex III of the document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2.
Decision B.26/3	**Para 3.** Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to request the secretariat to inform the Multilateral Implementing Entities and Regional Implementing Entities that the call for proposals under the Pilot programme for Regional Projects and Programmes is still open and to encourage them to submit proposals to the AFB at its 27th meeting, bearing in mind the cap established by
Decision B.27/5	**Para 35.** Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided to: a) Continue consideration of regional project and programme proposals under the pilot programme, while reminding the implementing entities that the amount set aside for the pilot programme is US$ 30 million b) Request the secretariat to prepare for consideration by the Project and Programme Review Committee at its nineteenth meeting, a proposal for prioritization among regional project/programme proposals, including for awarding project formulation grants, and for establishment of a pipeline; and c) Consider the matter of the pilot programme for regional projects and programmes at its twenty-eighth meeting.
Decision B.28/1	**Para 31.** Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee (PPRC), the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided: *(a) With regard to the pilot programme approved by decision B.25/28:* i. *To prioritize the four projects and 10 project formulation grants as follows:* ii. *If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do not exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, all those proposals would be submitted to the Board for funding* a. *If the proposals recommended to be funded in a given meeting of the PPRC do exceed the available slots under the pilot programme, the proposals to be funded under the pilot programme would be prioritized so that the total number of projects and project formulation grants (PFGs) under the programme maximizes the total diversity of projects/PFGs. This would be done using a three-tier prioritization system: so that the proposals in relatively less funded*

Official Use Only

	sectors would be prioritized as the first level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same sector: the proposals in relatively less funded regions are prioritized as the second level of prioritization. If there are more than one proposal in the same region, the proposals submitted by relatively less represented implementing entity would be prioritized as the third level of prioritization; *To request the secretariat to report on the progress and experiences of the pilot programme to the PPRC at its twenty-third meeting; and* *(b) With regards to financing regional proposals beyond the pilot programme referred to above:* *(i) To continue considering regional proposals for funding, within the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2: ones requesting up to US$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US$ 5 million, subject to review of the regional programme;* *(ii) To establish two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals: one for proposals up to US$ 14 million and the other for proposals up to US$ 5 million, and place any technically cleared regional proposals, in those pipelines, in the order described in decision B.17/19 (their date of recommendation by the PPRC, their submission date, their lower "net" cost); and* *(iii) To fund projects from the two pipelines, using funds available for the respective types of implementing entities, so that the maximum number of or maximum total funding for projects and project formulation grants to be approved each fiscal year will be outlined at the time of approving the annual work plan of the Board.*
Decision B.29/4	38. Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided: *(a) To include in its work plan for fiscal year 2018 a program of work amounting to US$ 30 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:* *(i) Up to three proposals requesting up to US$ 5 million for funding;* *(ii) One proposal requesting up to US$ 14 million of funding;* *(iii) Up to five project formulation grant (PFG) requests, of up to US$ 100,000 each, for preparing project and programme concepts or fully developed project documents requesting up to US$ 5 million of funding;* *(iv) Up to five project formulation grant (PFG) requests, of up to US$ 100,000 each, for preparing project and programme concepts or fully developed project documents requesting up to US$ 14 million of funding.*
Decision B.31/3	**Para 3.** Having considered the comments and recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided: a) *To merge the two pipelines for technically cleared regional proposals established in decision B.28/1(b)(ii), so that starting in fiscal year 2019 the provisional amount of*

Official Use Only

	funding for regional proposals would be allocated without distinction between the two categories originally described in document AFB/B.25/6/Rev.2, and that the funding of regional proposals would be established on a 'first come, first served' basis; and b) *To include in its work programme for fiscal year 2019 provision of an amount of US$ 60 million for the funding of regional project and programme proposals, as follows:* i. *Up to US$ 59 million to be used for funding regional project and programme proposals in the two categories of regional projects and programmes: ones requesting up to US$ 14 million, and others requesting up to US$ 5 million; and* ii. *Up to US$ 1 million for funding project formulation grant requests for preparing regional project and programme concepts or fully developed project and programme documents.*
Decision B.40/59	**Para 60.** Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided: a) To extend the role of intermediary in the delivery of support for the accreditation of an NIE via the readiness package grant to all accredited implementing entities of the Fund; b) To require that all accredited implementing entities of the Fund that wish to deliver support for accreditation of a national implementing entity via the readiness package grant meet the following eligibility requirements: i. Have an "active accreditation" status with the Adaptation Fund; ii. Have experience advising or organizing relevant accreditation or capacity building support for institutions, organizations or other entities in developing countries at the national, subnational or local level to receive climate finance for adaptation projects and programmes; iii. Have experience implementing an Adaptation Fund project or programme and have submitted at least one project performance report, thereby demonstrating its commitment to adhering to the Fund's fiduciary standards and operational policies and guidelines. c) To request the Adaptation Fund Board secretariat to update the website and notify all accredited implementing entities of the above decision by the Board.
Decision B.41/21	**Para 21.** Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to: a) Maintain the piloting of the rolling-basis submissions process for all funding windows, as described in document AFB/PPRC.31/60 and approved by decision B.40/59; b) Request the secretariat to: i. Present to the PPRC at its thirty-third meeting a report on the implementation of the pilot with any recommendations for improvement, as appropriate, and including a table comparing the number of proposals submitted at each cycle; ii. Undertake a comprehensive revision of the Operational Policies and Guidelines to reflect all necessary changes due to the revision of the Fund's policies to-date, and with a view of better accommodating future changes of the policies of the Fund; and iii. Present the proposed draft revisions under the paragraph (b)(ii) above for consideration by the Board in its forty-third meeting.
Decision B.11/16	**Para 86.** Following a discussion, and having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Board decided: a) To set a cap for a fee of 8.5 per cent for all projects/programmes funded by the Adaptation Fund; b) That implementing entities should provide a budget on fee use in project or programme proposals, which would be considered during project and programme review; and

Official Use Only

	c) That the fee policy could be reviewed and adjusted after three years, or more specifically at the meeting of the Board following the ninth session of the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol.
Decision B.12/7	**Para 36.** Having considered the comments and recommendations of the Projects and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided: a) To request the secretariat to prepare a desk study on how other funding sources had considered the issue of execution costs and present that study to the Ethics and Finance Committee for its consideration; and b) To request to the implementing entities that the project document included an explanation and a breakdown of all administrative costs associated with the project, including the execution costs.
Decision B.36.41	**Para 41**. Having considered the analysis contained in document AFB/B.36/5, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided: a) (a) To revise the cap per country established by decision B.13/23 from US$ 10 million to US$ 20 million for all eligible developing country Parties, so that any Party could access a total of up to US$ 20 million from the Adaptation Fund once it had accessed funding amounting to at least US$ 8 million for concrete single-country adaptation projects or programmes or once four years had passed since approval of the first concrete single-country adaptation project(s)/(programme(s) by the Board, whichever occurred earlier; b) To set a maximum level of US$ 10 million for an individual funding request for single country concrete adaptation projects, provided that lower maximum levels could be set by the Board in specific circumstances, such as in the case of national implementing entities accredited through the streamlined process; c) To maintain the processes already put in place for the allocation of funding for regional projects and programmes, i.e., the provision on an annual basis (fiscal year) of a specific amount for the funding of regional project and programme proposals and the pipeline established through decision B.31/3; d) To assess implications of decision B.36/41 three years after the thirty-sixth meeting of the Board, taking into consideration resource availability, equitable access to funds, accreditation progress and programmatic development of the Fund; e) To inform the designated authorities and accredited implementing entities of this decision.
Decision B.41/30	**Para 30**. Having considered the recommendation of the Ethics and Finance Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to: a) Take note of the report on the review of budget implications and options for evaluation policy implementation as contained in document AFB/EFC.32/10; b) Request the implementing entities to set the overall evaluation budget for proposed projects and programmes at 1 to 5 per cent of the project or programme budget, to be counted towards the implementing entity fee, starting from the forty-second meeting of the Board; c) Invite the implementing entities to consider “Proposed new structure of project evaluation cost” referred to in paragraph 30 of document AFB/EFC.32/10 as a guidance for allocating funding for evaluation activities; d) Request the secretariat to: i. Update the “Instructions for Preparing a Request for Project Funding” contained in Annex 5 to the Operational Policies and Guidelines accordingly and submit it to the forty-second meeting of the Board for consideration and approval; ii. Communicate the present decision to the implementing entities and ensure compliance during project reviews and monitoring; and iii. Report on the implementation of the new budget allocation for project evaluation budget to the Ethics and Finance Committee at its thirty-fourth meeting
Decision B.46/54	The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to request the secretariat to consult with legal counsel and provide options on the acceptable presentation of signatures and to report to the Board on the matter at its forty-seventh meeting.
Decision B.38/42	**Para 42:** Having considered the recommendation of the Project and Programme Review Committee, the Adaptation Fund Board decided:

	a) To set the upper limit for administrative costs of regional projects/programmes, at 10 per cent of the project/programme cost for implementing entity (IE) fees and at 10 per cent of the project/programme cost for execution costs; b) To request IEs, in the exceptional cases where an IE provides part or all of the execution services: i. To provide justifications to demonstrate its advantages compared to other entities, agencies or organizations that could provide the selected execution services, particularly for the execution of activities at national or subnational level; ii. To limit the execution costs of the IE to 1.5 per cent of the cost of the part of the project or programme executed by the implementing entity of the project/programme cost; iii. Where the actual execution costs of the IE exceed the 1.5 per cent cap, to require the IE to provide justification as part of its proposal submission if requesting costs beyond the cap on an exceptional case-by-case basis.

Official Use Only