ADAPTATION FUND

AFB/B.47/16
October 27, 2026

Adaptation Fund Board
Forty-seventh meeting
Bonn, Germany

DECISIONS ADOPTED BY THE ADAPTATION FUND BOARD AT ITS FORTY-SEVENTH MEETING

Item 3. Appointment of member and election of officers

1. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to elect:

(a) Blanca Yáñez Minondo (Spain, Western European and Others Group) as the Vice-Chair of the Board,

(b) Blanca Yáñez Minondo (Spain, Western European and Others Group) as the Vice-Chair of the Project and Programme Review Committee.

Decision B.47/1

Item 5. Report on the activities of the secretariat

2. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/B.47/3, decided to:

(a) Take note of the report of the secretariat for the period of April to October 2026;

(b) Request the secretariat to continue consultation with Board members regarding the required signing level of authority for changing a designated authority;

(c) Delegate to the Manager of the secretariat authority, in that capacity, to sign amendments to legal agreements for projects, including by way of exchange of letters, to reflect minor changes in projects and programmes under the policy on project post-approval requests for changes in projects/programmes (amended in April 2025) as contained in document AFB/EFC.35/5, annex A, appendix I, approved under decision B.44/44, on the understanding that: (i) a copy of each signed amendment will be sent to the Chair; (ii) the secretariat will report to the Board, at each Board meeting, every amendment signed under this delegation; and (iii) the Board will review the delegation at its fifty-second meeting;

(d) To take note of the decision B.7/D.6 of the Board of the Fund for responding to Loss and Damage (FRLD) to rely on the accreditation processes of other funds, including the Adaptation Fund, for access under the Barbados Implementation Modalities of the FRLD, as well as the communication from the FRLD secretariat to the Adaptation Fund secretariat requesting support in partnering with the FRLD in setting up arrangements for country-level assessments to be shared with FRLD Secretariat on a confidential basis;

(e) Request the secretariat to conduct, in consultation with the secretariat of the FRLD where relevant, an analysis of the implications of the decision by the FRLD Board referred to in subparagraph (d) above, for the Adaptation Fund and its Board, as well as of the implications of the accreditation assessment support requested by the FRLD secretariat, and present the analysis and a proposal for possible next steps for consideration by the Board at its forty-eighth meeting. No information on the accreditation of any implementing entity shall be shared with the secretariat of the FRLD without the prior written consent of that entity and of the designated authority of the Party concerned.

Decision B.47/2

3. The Adaption Fund Board (the Board) decided:

(a) To request the secretariat to include an annex to the report of its forty-seventh meeting report, containing a schedule of all documents requested by the Board for consideration for each following meeting with planned transmittal dates;

(b) To request the secretariat to submit documents in accordance with rule 43 of the Rules of Procedure.

Decision B.47/3

Item 7. Status of transition of the Adaptation Fund to serve the Paris Agreement

4. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/B.47/5 and its addenda, decided to:

(a) Take note of the updates from the secretariat and the trustee regarding the ongoing work in support of the arrangements for the transition of the Adaptation Fund to exclusively serve the Paris Agreement;

(b) Acknowledge the letter, contained in document AFB/B.47/.5, annex A, sent to the Board by the Chair of the Article 6.4 Supervisory Body (SBM);

(c) Take note of the presentation made by the UNFCCC secretariat on behalf of the SBM regarding matters raised by the Chair of the SBM in his letter contained in document AFB/B.47/.5, annex A;

(d) Request the secretariat, with the support of the trustee and the UNFCCC secretariat, to prepare an analysis of the matters referred to in subparagraph (c) above, including key issues, solution options and implications of those options presented in the concept note contained in document AFB/B.47/.5, annex A, with the intent to ensure that the Adaptation Fund is in no way disadvantaged by any Article 6 transaction, subject to any further guidance from the Conference of the Parties serving as the meeting of the Parties to the Paris Agreement (CMA), for the Board's consideration at its forty-eighth meeting;

(e) To express its intention to further revise and finalize the draft terms and conditions for trustee services and the draft memorandum of understanding regarding secretariat services, taking into account any additional guidance that may be provided by the Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) and the CMA, as applicable, regarding the Adaptation Fund's transition to exclusively serving the Paris Agreement;

Official Use Only

(f) To underscore the urgency at COP 31 of the Fund's transition to exclusively serve the Paris Agreement, in order to ensure the Fund can fully benefit from revenue generated from the monetization of Article 6 shares of proceeds in order to support developing country Parties that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change.

Decision B.47/4

Item 8. Preparing the next medium-term strategy of the Adaptation Fund

5. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/B.47/6, decided to:

(a) Launch a process to develop a third medium-term strategy (MTS III) for the Fund and to establish a Board task force to provide guidance for the process and drafting of the MTS III, and to elect the following members to the task force:

(i) Abdulaziz Albutti;

(ii) Bertha Argueta Tejeda;

(iii) Diann C. Black-Layne;

(iv) Payai Manyok John;

(v) Antonio Navarra;

(vi) Aram Ter-Zakaryan;

(b) Request the secretariat, under the guidance of the task force, to draft the MTS III, taking into account guidance received at the Board's forty-seventh meeting and recognizing that document AFB/B.47/6 does not represent an agreed position of the Board on the content of MTS III, and to undertake structured consultations with the Adaptation Fund's key stakeholders in the drafting process;

(c) Request the secretariat to present a first draft of MTS III for the Board's consideration at its forty-eighth meeting and submit a final draft for consideration and approval at its forty-ninth meeting.

Decision B.47/5

Item 9. Update to the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy

6. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/B.47/10, decided to:

(a) Continue considering the matter of the update to the Adaptation Fund's Environmental and Social Policy at its forty-eighth meeting;

(b) Request the informal working group, established during the Board's forty-seventh meeting and comprising the members and alternate members listed below, to continue working intersessionally to reconcile the proposed edits and comments made by members and alternate members and contained in document AFB/B.47/10:

(i) Abdulaziz Albutti;

Official Use Only

(ii) Bertha Argueta Tejeda;

(iii) Diann C. Black-Layne;

(iv) Chantal Felder

(v) Maesela John Kekana

(vi) Rosa Morales Saravia

(c) To request the secretariat to submit the document, reconciled as described in subparagraph (b) above, to the Board for consideration at its forty-eighth meeting.

Decision B.47/6

Item 11. Resource mobilization

7. The Adaptation Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the resource mobilization action plan for the period 2026 to 2029 (the action plan), as contained in Annex I to document AFB/B.47/7/Rev.1, to support the implementation of the resource mobilization strategy approved under decision B.46/3;

(b) Request the secretariat to support the Board's implementation of the action plan, including through annual implementation progress reports;

(c) Request the secretariat to assess additional capacity needs for the implementation of the action plan and to present its assessment to the Board for consideration at the Board's forty-eighth meeting as part of its regular budget and workplan process;

(d) Approve a cumulative resource mobilization target range for the period 2026–2029 of at least US$ 1.2 billion, with the ambition of reaching up to US$ 1.5 billion, as set out in the action plan, while continuing to encourage contributors to make multi-year contributions to the Fund, complemented by annual resource mobilization targets from a floor of US$300 million.

(e) Request the resource mobilization task force established under decisions B.19/29 and B.24/28 to guide the implementation of the resource mobilization strategy and action plan.

Decision B.47/7

Item 12. Readiness strategy and action plan

8. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to request the secretariat to collect further comments and edits from members and alternate members on the draft readiness strategy and action plan and advance work to address comments and edits, for further consideration by the Board at its forty-eighth meeting.

Decision B.47/8

Item 14. Enhancing civil society observer engagement

9. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to request the secretariat to collect further comments and edits on the draft Fund Vision and Guidelines on Enhanced Civil Society Engagement and the Guidelines for Participation of Active Civil Society Observers from members and alternate members and advance work to address comments and edits, for further consideration by the Board at its forty-eighth meeting.

Decision B.47/9

Item 15. Signatures on publicly available documentation

10. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/B.47/12, decided to:

(a) Continue the current practice of posting on the Adaptation Fund's website pre-concept, concept, proposal and project formulation grant application documentation containing the implementing entity coordinator's certifying signature, as well as posting letters of endorsement containing the signature of a designated authority;

(b) Request the secretariat to monitor and report, as appropriate, any incidents related to signatures posted on the Fund's website.

Decision B.47/10

Item 18. Report of the thirty-eighth meeting of the Project and Programme Review Committee

Utilization of costs and fees

11. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Request the secretariat to prepare a document on costs and fees which includes an assessment of the requests for exception to exceed execution cost limits where implementing entities intend to serve as executing entities of projects and programmes, as per decision B.38/42;

(b) Present the document to the Project and Programme Review Committee at its thirty-ninth meeting.

Decision B.47/11

Utilization of Adaptation Fund resources by multilateral implementing entities

12. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Request the secretariat, starting from the thirty-ninth meeting of the Project and Programme Review Committee, to present as part of its report on initial screening/technical review of projects and programmes a section on:

Official Use Only

(i) the utilization of the Fund's resources across multilateral implementing entities ;

(ii) the concentration of regular single-country and regional projects and programmes, and the associated potential impact on the multilateral implementing entity cap.

Decision B.47/12

Reporting on Adaptation Fund Climate Innovation Accelerator activities and achievements

13. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Request the secretariat to prepare a report on Adaptation Fund Climate Innovation Accelerator activities and achievements, including the status of implementation, milestones achieved, countries receiving support, evidence of country-drivenness and results towards achieving the Fund's primary objectives;

(b) Circulate the report under paragraph (a) above to the Board in the intersessional period between Board's forty-seventh and forty-eighth meetings;

(c) Present the report under paragraph (a) to the Board at its forty-eighth meeting.

Decision B.47/13

Single country projects

Fully developed proposals

Proposals from national implementing entities

United Republic of Tanzania: Restoration of Lake Babati for enhanced climate change adaptation in Babati District (fully developed project; National Environment Management Council (NEMC); AF00000256; US$ 4,034,000)

14. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by National Environment Management Council (NEMC) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Approve the funding of US$ 4,034,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by NEMC;

(c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with NEMC as the national implementing entity for the project.

Decision B.47/14

Official Use Only

Proposals from regional implementing entities

Tonga: Strengthening physical adaptation and community resilience through the Tonga Climate Change Fund (fully developed project; The Pacific Community (SPC); AF00000450; US$ 14,985,000)

15. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by The Pacific Community (SPC) to the request made in the context of the technical review;

(b) Approve the funding of US$ 14,985,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by SPC;

(c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with SPC as the regional implementing entity for the project.

Decision B.47/15

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Viet Nam: Climate-resilient and inclusive water infrastructure for rural smallholders in Thanh Hoa and Nghe An provinces (CRWIS) (fully developed project; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); AF00000484; US$ 10,000,000)

16. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) to the request made in the context of the technical review;

(b) Approve funding of US$ 10,000,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by IFAD;

(c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with IFAD in its capacity as the multilateral implementing entity for the project.

Decision B.47/16

Concept notes

Proposals from national implementing entities

Nepal: GREEN-Koshi: Grounding resilience and ecosystem enhancement through nature-based solutions (concept note; National Trust for Nature Conservation of Nepal (NTNC); AF00000474; US$ 9,927,750)

17. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the National Trust for Nature Conservation of Nepal (NTNC) to the request made by the technical review;

Official Use Only

(b) Request the secretariat to notify NTNC of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should further elaborate on the mechanisms to avoid duplication throughout project implementation;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request NTNC to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Nepal;

(e) Encourage the Government of Nepal to submit, through NTNC, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/17

Uganda: Strengthening the resilience of women to climate risks in rural communities of Uganda (Concept note; Ministry of Water and Environment of Uganda (MWE); AF00000471; US$ 5,500,000)

18. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by Ministry of Water and Environment of Uganda (MWE) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify MWE of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should define the outcomes and indicators as per the Update to the Adaptation Fund Strategic Results Framework (AFB/B45/8);

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 126,864;

(d) Request MWE to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Uganda;

(e) Encourage the Government of Uganda to submit, through MWE, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/18

Proposals from regional implementing entities

Bahamas: R4R-02: Resilient food island – Enhancing local adaptive capacity of Andros Island for climate-resilient and sustainable food systems (concept note; Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); AF00000556; US$ 15,000,000)

19. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

Official Use Only

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify CAF of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should indicate the unidentified sub-projects mentioned and elaborate on the proposed management approach;

(c) Request CAF to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of the Bahamas;

(d) Encourage the Government of the Bahamas to submit, through CAF, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/19

Burundi: Strengthening resilience to extreme weather events (drought and flooding) for smallholder farmers in the rural regions of Burundi (concept note; Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS); AF00000430; US$ 10,000,000)

20. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify OSS of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request OSS to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Burundi;

(e) Encourage the Government of Burundi to submit, through OSS, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/20

Colombia: Comprehensive strategy for climate resilience and peacebuilding in the Colombian Pacific, through the implementation of nature-based solutions, the strengthening of livelihoods, and environmental governance (concept note, Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); AF00000499; US$ 15,000,000)

21. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify CAF of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The concept note title and content should be revised in order to bring it into line with commonly used UNFCCC terminology and the Adaptation Fund mandate;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 133,455;

(d) Request CAF to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Colombia;

(e) Encourage the Government of Colombia to submit, through CAF, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/21

Papua New Guinea: Enhancing climate resilient water security in remote vulnerable region of New Ireland, Papua New Guinea (concept note; Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP); AF00000396; US$ 3,469,287)

22. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by Secretariat of the Pacific Regional Environment Programme (SPREP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify SPREP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should re-visit the checklist of the Fund's environmental and social policy and consider and, as appropriate, address cultural heritage in the risks table associated with the environmental and social policy;

(c) Request SPREP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Papua New Guinea;

(d) Encourage the Government of Papua New Guinea to submit, through SPREP, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/22

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Albania: Enhancing drought resilience through the implementation of Albania's national and local adaptation plans (concept note; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); AF00000500; US$ 10,003,592)

23. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNDP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request UNDP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Albania;

(e) Encourage the Government of Albania to submit, through UNDP, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/23

Burkina Faso: Strengthening the climate resilience of vulnerable communities in the Bankui, Sourou, Guiriko, Nando and Yaadga regions of Burkina Faso (concept note; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); AF00000497; US$ 17,983,426)

24. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNDP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$150,000;

(d) Request UNDP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Burkina Faso;

(e) Encourage the Government of Burkina Faso to submit, through UNDP, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/24

Lao People's Democratic Republic: Safeguarding lives and livelihoods in Lao People's Democratic Republic: Enhancing urban flood and food systems resilience (concept note; United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat); AF00000502; US$ 22,438,870)

25. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UN-Habitat of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should strengthen the sustainability aspects of the proposal, including the long-term financing arrangements, institutional ownership and environmental sustainability;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 90,000;

(d) Request UN-Habitat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Lao People's Democratic Republic;

(e) Encourage the Government of Lao People's Democratic Republic to submit, through UN-Habitat, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/25

Maldives: Secure futures: Enhancing climate and socio-economic resilience integrating nature-based solutions for island communities in Maldives (concept note; United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat); AF00000514; US$ 20,000,000)

26. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UN-Habitat of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should strengthen the cost effectiveness analysis to include project-specific information based on the project outputs and activities, including a quantitative comparison of the cost effectiveness of the proposed measures with alternative adaptation measures;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 141,050;

(d) Request UN-Habitat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Maldives;

(e) Encourage the Government of Maldives to submit, through UN-Habitat, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/26

Mexico: Programme for the resilience of vulnerable smallholder coffee producers in Mexico – Adaptcafé (concept note; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); AF00000527; US$ 25,000,000)

27. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify IFAD of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request IFAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Mexico;

(e) Encourage the Government of Mexico to submit, through IFAD, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/27

Mongolia: Transitioning to healthy and climate-resilient sanitation in ger areas of Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia (concept note; World Health Organization (WHO); AF00000525; US$ 13,645,664)

28. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the World Health Organization (WHO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify WHO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue;

(i) The implementing entity is requested to explore the engagement of national partners as executing entities for the project;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 89,165;

(d) Request WHO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Mongolia;

(e) Encourage the Government of Mongolia to submit, through WHO, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/28

Sri Lanka: Integrating community-led adaptation and climate risk reduction for resilience-building at the local level in Sri Lanka (concept note; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); AF00000507; US$ 20,000,000)

29. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNDP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request UNDP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Sri Lanka;

(e) Encourage the Government of Sri Lanka to submit, through UNDP, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/29

Tajikistan: Adaptation measures for agro-pastoral livelihoods in Tajikistan (AMAL-Adapt) (concept note; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); AF00000535; US$ 10,000,000)

30. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify IFAD of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should provide more details on the consultative process including participation disaggregated by gender;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request IFAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Tajikistan;

(e) Encourage the Government of Tajikistan to submit, through IFAD, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/30

Zimbabwe: Zimbabwe adaptation for drought and agro-production transformation in semi-arid regions (Z-ADAPT) (concept note; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); AF00000513; US$ 12,000,000)

31. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify IFAD of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request IFAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Zimbabwe;

(e) Encourage the Government of Zimbabwe to submit, through IFAD, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/31

Regional project proposals

Fully developed proposals

Proposals from regional implementing entities

Chad, Togo: ECOVERSE – Enhancing community resilience to climate extremes across diverse African landscapes in Chad and Togo (fully developed project; Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS); AF00000463; US$ 25,250,000)

32. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Sahara and Sahel Observatory (OSS) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Approve the funding of US$ 25,250,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by OSS;

(c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with OSS as the regional implementing entity for the project.

Decision B.47/32

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Ethiopia, Kenya, Somalia: Enhancing the adaptive capacity and community resilience to climate extremes and fragility in pastoralist and agro-pastoralist communities in the Mandera Triangle (fully developed project; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); AF00000508; US$ 30,000,000)

33. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Approve the funding of US$ 30,000,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by UNDP;

(c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with UNDP as the multilateral implementing entity for the project. Prior to signing the project agreement, UNDP should resubmit a revised proposal with a revised title, component 2 and related content in alignment with the Fund's mandate and with commonly used UNFCCC terminology.

Decision B.47/33

Concept notes

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Cuba, Dominican Republic: Nature-based adaptation in urban and riverine areas of Cuba and the Dominican Republic (concept note; United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP); AF00000464; US$ 30,000,000)

34. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNEP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should further define target areas and geographic scope of the project;

(ii) The fully developed project proposal should ensure compliance with the full cost of adaptation principle;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request UNEP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Cuba and the Dominican Republic;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Cuba and the Dominican Republic to submit, through UNEP, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/34

Malawi, Zambia: Supporting resilient agricultural value chains and livelihoods of climate vulnerable border communities in Zambia and Malawi (concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000422; US$ 29,999,999)

35. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNIDO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 120,000;

(d) Request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Malawi and Zambia;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Malawi and Zambia to submit, through UNIDO, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/35

Pre-concept note proposals

Proposals from regional implementing entities

Burkina Faso, Mali: Strengthening the resilience of vulnerable communities and ecosystems in fragile territories of Burkina Faso and Mali through a nature-based solutions approach (pre-concept note; Banque Ouest Africaine de Developpement (BOAD); AF00000505; US$ 13,948,000)

36. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by Banque Ouest Africaine de Developpement (BOAD) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify BOAD of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(i) The concept note should provide updated consultation main findings and how the findings contributed to the design of the project;

(ii) The concept note should show how each project component corresponds to the key national priorities of each country;

(iii) The concept note should ensure complementarity with other related initiatives, including those in advanced stages of preparation in the target countries;

(iv) The concept note title should be revised in order to bring it into line with commonly used UNFCCC terminology;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 30,000;

(d) Request BOAD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Burkina Faso and Mali;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Burkina Faso and Mali to submit, through BOAD, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/36

Bhutan, India, Nepal: Strengthening adaptation and flood and landslide early warning for the HKH (SAFE NIB) (pre-concept note; International Center for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD); AF00000548; US$ 30,000,000)

37. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by International Center for Integrated Mountain Development (ICIMOD) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify ICIMOD of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 32,958;

(d) Request ICIMOD to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Bhutan, India and Nepal;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Bhutan, India and Nepal to submit, through ICIMOD, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/37

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Botswana, Mozambique, Namibia, Zambia, Zimbabwe: Integrated flood and drought management in the Zambezi basin countries (pre-concept note; World Meteorological Organization (WMO); AF00000536; US$ 28,721,000)

38. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by World Meteorological Organization (WMO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify WMO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 36,000;

(d) Request WMO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Botswana, Mozambique, Namibia, Zambia and Zimbabwe;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Botswana, Mozambique, Namibia, Zambia and Zimbabwe to submit, through WMO, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/38

Cambodia, Indonesia, Viet Nam: Building climate resilience of small-scale fisheries and aquaculture in Southeast Asia (Pre-concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000504; US$ 30,000,000)

39. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNIDO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The concept note and fully developed project proposal should further elaborate on the mechanisms to ensure effective knowledge management and coordination and reduce the duplication throughout implementation of the two regional projects which UNIDO has presented for Cambodia and Viet Nam and the regional project for Cambodia, Indonesia and Viet Nam;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 30,000;

(d) Request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Cambodia, Indonesia and Viet Nam;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Cambodia, Indonesia and Viet Nam to submit, through UNIDO, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/39

Cambodia, Viet Nam: Building climate resilience through regional innovation empowering tourism, light industry and creative micro-, small and medium-sized enterprise value chains in Cambodia and Viet Nam (pre-concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000485; US$ 30,000,000)

40. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNIDO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The concept note should provide disaggregated climate risk evidence

(ii) The concept note should strengthen the country-specific and evidence-based linkage between climate hazards, impacts on micro-, small and medium-sized enterprises in the targeted value chains, impacts on vulnerable communities, and the proposed project solutions. Cambodia and Viet Nam should be treated more distinctly before drawing out the regional rationale;

(iii) The concept note and fully developed project proposal should further elaborate on the mechanisms to ensure effective knowledge management and coordination, and reduce the duplication throughout implementation of the two regional projects that UNIDO has presented for Cambodia and Viet Nam and the regional project for Cambodia, Indonesia and Viet Nam;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 30,000;

(d) Request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Cambodia and Viet Nam;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Cambodia and Viet Nam to submit, through UNIDO, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/40

Cambodia, Viet Nam: Regional climate adaptation initiative on sustainable and equitable rice-fish systems in Cambodia and Viet Nam (Pre-concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000521; US$ 30,000,000)

41. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNIDO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue;

(i) The concept note and fully developed project proposal should further elaborate on the mechanisms to ensure effective knowledge management and coordination, and reduce the duplication throughout implementation of the two regional projects that UNIDO has presented for Cambodia and Viet Nam and the regional project for Cambodia, Indonesia and Viet Nam;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 30,000;

(d) Request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Cambodia and Viet Nam;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Cambodia and Viet Nam to submit, through UNIDO, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/41

Iraq, Lebanon: Building climate-resilient, migrant-inclusive health systems in Iraq and Lebanon (pre-concept note; World Health Organization (WHO); AF00000520; US$ 29,944,000)

42. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the pre-concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the World Health Organization (WHO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify WHO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 30,000;

(d) Request WHO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Governments of Iraq and Lebanon;

(e) Encourage the Governments of Iraq and Lebanon to submit, through WHO, a concept note that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/42

Single country locally led adaptation proposals

Fully developed proposals

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Rwanda: Strengthening local adaptation actions for climate resilience in drought-prone food basket sites in Rwanda (fully developed proposal; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); AF00000438; US$ 4,974,725)

43. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Approve the funding of US$ 4,974,725 for the implementation of the project, as requested by UNDP;

(c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with UNDP as the multilateral implementing entity for the project.

Decision B.47/43

Concept notes

Proposals from national implementing entities

Benin: Locally led adaptation to strengthen the climate resilience of vulnerable communities in Benin's coastal lagoon inter-municipal community (concept note; National Fund for Environment and Climate (FNEC); AF00000539; US$ 4,992,677)

44. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the National Fund for Environment and Climate (FNEC) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify FNEC of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed proposal should further develop the targeting approach and selection criteria to demonstrate how the specific structural needs affecting these communities will be addressed by individual sub-projects;

(ii) The fully developed proposal should provide further details on the practical coordination arrangements, including how the steering committee, georeferenced database management and application of the exclusion rule will function in overlapping locations, activities, and beneficiary groups;

(iii) The fully developed proposal should develop a detailed protocol for collecting, documenting, attributing, and protecting traditional and local knowledge. It should also establish an explicit learning agenda and learning questions, make

knowledge products accessible in relevant local languages and identify linkages with regional and global locally led adaptation learning networks;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 231,105;

(d) Request FNEC to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Benin;

(e) Encourage the Government of Benin to submit, through FNEC, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/44

Uganda: PACT: Enhancing climate resilience in Busoga subregion through locally led adaptation strategies (concept note; Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE); AF00000530; US$ 5,000,000)

45. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Ministry of Water and Environment (MWE) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify the MWE of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed proposal should include a detailed environmental and social management plan with a comprehensive risk analysis and categorization, outlining procedures for screening, mitigation and monitoring of sub-projects under the unidentified sub-project approach;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 248,849;

(d) Request the MWE to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Uganda;

(e) Encourage the Government of Uganda to submit, through the MWE, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/45

Zimbabwe: Locally led resilience: Enhancing community-based disaster preparedness and climate adaptation in Manicaland and Masvingo (concept note; Environmental Management Agency (EMA); AF00000466; US$ 5,000,000)

46. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Environmental Management Agency (EMA) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify EMA of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed proposal should ensure that the final operational guidelines and governance structure of the small grants mechanism will be co-designed with local stakeholders to ensure that the system is responsive to local financial realities and barriers;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 179,350;

(d) Request EMA to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Zimbabwe;

(e) Encourage the Government of Zimbabwe to submit, through EMA, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/46

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Cambodia: Strengthening community-led climate adaptation and resilient livelihoods in the Angkor-Phnom Kulen heritage landscape, Cambodia (concept note; United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat); AF00000456; US$ 4,999,420)

47. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UN-Habitat of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed proposal should include dedicate screening for Indigenous Peoples to better understand specific vulnerabilities, expected benefits and ensure meaningful engagement;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 120,000;

(d) Request UN-Habitat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Cambodia;

(e) Encourage the Government of Cambodia to submit, through UN-Habitat, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/47

Mongolia: Healthy homes, resilient communities: enhancing housing safety and climate resilience in Mongolian ger areas through community leadership (concept note; United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat); AF00000509; US$ 5,000,000)

48. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UN-Habitat of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed proposal should include a unit-cost comparative analysis when assessing the most cost-effective measures;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request UN-Habitat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Mongolia;

(e) Encourage the Government of Mongolia to submit, through UN-Habitat, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/48

Nepal: Building resilient communities through locally led nature-based climate action in Lumbini Province, Nepal (concept note; United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat); AF00000559; US$ 4,998,707)

49. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UN-Habitat of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed proposal should include a detailed fund-flow mechanism and implementation diagram showing the respective delivery modalities, institutional responsibilities, fiduciary arrangements and accountability mechanisms for both community-based and municipal-level investments;

(ii) The fully developed proposal should include an assessment of the existing hydro-meteorological monitoring and early warning coverage in the target municipalities as part of the basis and rationale for the number and siting of the proposed stations and the discharge measurement system;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 140,000;

(d) Request UN-Habitat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Nepal;

(e) Encourage the Government of Nepal to submit, through UN-Habitat, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/49

Sri Lanka: Batticaloa climate-smart adaptation and resilience through ecosystems (B-CARE) (concept note; United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat); AF00000510; US$ 5,000,000)

50. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Human Settlements Programme (UN-Habitat) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UN-Habitat of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issue:

(i) The fully developed proposal should include detailed sub-project screening procedures;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 143,220;

(d) Request UN-Habitat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Sri Lanka;

(e) Encourage the Government of Sri Lanka to submit, through UN-Habitat, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/50

Locally led adaptation aggregator programme proposals

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Global: Scaling locally led adaptation solutions (SLLAS) (fully developed proposal; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000439; US$ 15,000,000)

51. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Not approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Suggest that UNIDO reformulate the proposal, taking into account the following observations:

(i) The proposal should provide more clarity on the target countries;

(ii) The proposal should be reformulated to increase the proportion of financing for the implementation of concrete adaptation interventions;

(c) Request the secretariat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to UNIDO.

Decision B.47/51

Official Use Only

Large innovation single country programme proposals

Fully developed proposals

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Burundi: Enhancing resilience to flood and drought through a unique combination of innovative climate adaptation tools, technologies and practices in Burundi (fully developed proposal; United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP); AF00000343; US$ 5,000,000)

52. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Approve the funding of US$ 5,000,000 for the implementation of the project, as requested by UNEP;

(c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with UNEP as the multilateral implementing entity for the project.

Decision B.47/52

Concept notes

Proposals from national implementing entities

Nigeria: Partially repayable project preparation and adaptation investment readiness facility (concept note; Bank of Industry (BOI); AF00000523; US$ 4,795,200)

53. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the Bank of Industry (BOI) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify BOI of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should demonstrate how the lessons from the six precedent schemes in Annex 4 are applied;

(ii) The fully developed project proposal should present the detailed scoring criteria, weighting and minimum thresholds referenced as forthcoming in the facility operational manual;

(c) Request BOI to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Nigeria;

(d) Encourage the Government of Nigeria to submit, through BOI, a fully developed proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/53

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Bhutan: Climate-resilient wildfire prevention and adaptive landscape management in Bhutan

(concept note; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); AF00000554; US$ 5,000,000]

54. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNDP of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should provide an update on relevant lessons from the project Innovative Adaptation Financing to Build the Resilience and Adaptive Capacity of Smallholder Farmers in Bhutan (InAF-Bhutan) and explain how those lessons have informed the proposed mechanism, particularly regarding community engagement, verification, benefit-sharing and performance-based adaptation financing;

(ii) The fully developed project proposal should identify the precise locations and designs of the prescribed-burning, thinning, fire-line, reservoir and related infrastructure activities and include the corresponding risk assessments and management measures. If any locations remain insufficiently defined at submission, the relevant activities should be classified as partially unidentified sub-projects and addressed in accordance with the Adaptation Fund's updated unidentified sub-project guidance;

(iii) The fully developed project proposal should confirm and cost the specific direct project services to be provided by UNDP and clearly separate them from implementing entity oversight functions of UNDP and the execution responsibilities of the Department of Forests and Park Services under the Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources of Bhutan;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request UNDP to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Bhutan;

(e) Encourage the Government of Bhutan to submit, through UNDP, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/54

Cuba: Innovative blue finance for coastal and aquaculture resilience in Cuba (concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000492; US$ 5,000,000)

55. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNIDO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should provide area-specific preliminary data on vulnerable group presence in Holguín;

(ii) The fully developed project proposal should address the post-grant period by providing a concrete bridging strategy for the pre-credit gap;

(iii) The fully developed project proposal should include a strengthened cost-effectiveness analysis;

(iv) The fully developed project proposal should include quantitative targets and indicators for key knowledge sharing and documentation activities in the project results framework;

(v) The fully developed project proposal should confirm whether any national regulation formally recognizes or adopts the referenced international standards, and specify which regulatory bodies, in addition to the Ministry of Science, Technology and Development (CITMA), hold certification and enforcement responsibilities across the different project components;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 149,730;

(d) Request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Cuba;

(e) Encourage the Government of Cuba to submit, through UNIDO, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/55

Thailand: Microclimate–energy nexus platform for climate adaptation in remote areas in Thailand
(concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000511
US$ 5,000,000)

56. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNIDO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed proposal should harmonize the remaining reference to "proprietary predictive models" and provide written confirmation from the Malaysian technology owner of the proposed licensing terms. It should also clarify any direct procurement or training-related cost savings;

(ii) The fully developed proposal should provide the estimated proportions or numbers for each named vulnerable sub-group and incorporate the coverage targets into the results framework and monitoring and evaluation plan, including verification through sex-, age-, disability- and income-disaggregated household surveys;

(iii) The fully developed proposal should clarify engagement with key regional and technical partners, including whether outreach to the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN) Climate Resilience Network and the ASEAN Centre for Energy has occurred and the response or level of interest in the project's regional learning objectives, and confirm the role of Hydro-informatics Institute (HII), data-sharing arrangements and participation in project governance;

(iv) The fully developed proposal should clearly explain the implementation arrangements and refine the remaining Fund outcome/output mapping;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Thailand;

(e) Encourage the Government of Thailand to submit, through UNIDO, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/56

Uzbekistan: Resilience innovation and scaling ecosystem (RISE) Uzbekistan: climate intelligence, adaptation solutions and finance for resilient communities (concept note; United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO); AF00000542; US$ 5,000,000)

57. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Endorse the concept note as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Request the secretariat to notify UNIDO of the observations in the review sheet annexed to the notification of the Board's decision, as well as the following issues:

(i) The fully developed project proposal should clarify how the adaptation increment in section L is reflected in the budget, distinguishing adaptation-specific resources from those that a baseline enterprise or financial-sector programme would also fund;

(ii) The fully developed project proposal should clearly demonstrate that no capable alternative executing entity exists and that providing UNIDO technical assistance through a separate partner was not a viable option;

(c) Approve the project formulation grant of US$ 150,000;

(d) Request UNIDO to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b), above to the Government of Uzbekistan;

(e) Encourage the Government of Uzbekistan to submit, through UNIDO, a fully developed project proposal that would also address the observations under subparagraph (b), above.

Decision B.47/57

Adaptation Fund Climate Innovation Accelerator programme proposals

Proposals from regional implementing entities

Fully developed proposals

Latin America and the Caribbean: Agroclimatic innovation accelerator for adaptation through science community partnerships in Latin America and the Caribbean (fully developed proposal; Development Bank of Latin America (CAF); AF00000506; US$ 8,000,000)

58. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Not approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by Development Bank of Latin America (CAF) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Suggest that CAF reformulate the proposal, taking into account the following observations:

(i) The proposal should provide more clarity, including on the country-drivenness and the impact at country level, and provide additional details on implementation measures focusing on concrete adaptation actions;

(c) Request the secretariat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to CAF.

Decision B.47/58

Proposals from multilateral implementing entities

Global: ASAP+ Adaptation Innovation Centre (fully developed proposal; International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD); AF00000540; US$ 10,000,000)

59. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Not approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by International Fund for Agricultural Development (IFAD) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Suggest that IFAD reformulate the proposal, taking into account the following observations:

(i) The proposal should provide more clarity including on the country-drivenness and the impact at country level, and provide additional details on implementation measures focusing on concrete adaptation actions;

(c) Request the secretariat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to IFAD.

Decision B.47/59

Global: UNDP- Adaptation Fund Climate Innovation Accelerator phase 2 (fully developed proposal; United Nations Development Programme (UNDP); AF00000491; US$ 15,000,000)

60. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Not approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to the request made by the technical review;

(b) Suggest that UNDP reformulate the proposal, taking into account the following observations:

(i) The proposal should provide more clarity including on the country-drivenness and the impact at country level, and provide additional details on implementation measures focussing on concrete adaptation actions;

(c) Request the secretariat to transmit the observations under subparagraph (b) to UNDP.

Decision B.47/60

Learning grant proposals

Fully developed proposals

Proposals from national implementing entities

United Republic of Tanzania: Learning from SWAHAT: Capturing and sharing good practices in climate resilient water harvesting for semi-arid communities (fully developed proposal; National Environment Management Council (NEMC); AFRDG00100; US$ 500,000)

61. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board) decided to:

(a) Approve the fully developed project proposal, as supplemented by the clarification responses provided by the National Environment Management Council (NEMC) to the requests made during the technical review;

(b) Approve funding of US$ 500,000 for implementation of the project, as requested by NEMC;

(c) Request the secretariat to draft an agreement with NEMC as the national implementing entity for the project.

Decision B.47/61

Decommitment of unutilized project formulation grants

62. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/PPRC.38/3 and recalling decision B.30/30, decided to:

(a) Decommit the project formulation grant of US$ 20,000 approved through decision B.30/30 for the project "Increasing local communities' adaptive capacity and resilience to climate change through forest landscape restoration" for Côte d'Ivoire and Guinea (AF00000120, African Development Bank (AFDB));

(b) Decommit the project formulation grant of US$ 49,000 approved through decision B.39/23 for the project "Climate-Resilient Agriculture Chains in Niger (CRAC-Niger)" for Niger (AF00000299, Banque Agricole du Niger (BAGRI)).

Decision B.47/62

Item 19. Report of the thirty-eighth meeting of the Ethics and Finance Committee

Annual performance report for fiscal year 2026

63. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/EFC.38/2, decided to:

(a) Approve the Adaptation Fund's annual performance report for fiscal year 2026, as contained in annex I to document AFB/EFC.38/2;

(b) Request the secretariat to complete a summary version of the annual performance report for the general public in a reader-friendly and graphically designed format.

Decision B.47/63

Financial status of the Trust Fund and certified emission reduction monetization

64. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/EFC.38/3, decided to:

(a) Take note of the report of the trustee on the financial status of the Trust Fund and certified emission reduction (CER) monetization;

(b) Invite the Conference of the Parties serving as the Meeting of the Parties to the Kyoto Protocol (CMP) at its twenty-first session, consistent with decision 1/CMP.14, paragraph 3, to extend the operation of the clean development mechanism registry until the trustee of the Adaptation Fund has completed to the extent possible the monetization of CERs and other units held as the share of proceeds for the Adaptation Fund.

Decision B.47/64

Official Use Only

Update on the implementation of the Evaluation Policy and Management Action Tracker and Action Plan for Management Response to the Thematic Evaluation of the Readiness Programme

65. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/EFC.38/8, decided to:

(a) Take note of the second evaluation policy implementation progress report;

(b) Request the secretariat to continue strengthening the monitoring and follow-up of the timely submission of mid-term reviews and final evaluations, including by identifying the underlying causes of any delays, and to continue working with implementing entities to address them;

(c) Request the secretariat to further strengthen the systematic tracking of the submission of management responses to project and programme mid-term reviews and final evaluations, as mandated by the Evaluation Policy, as well as follow-up action on evaluation recommendations arising from mid-term reviews, with a view to facilitating organizational learning and supporting adaptive management and improved performance;

(d) Request the secretariat to undertake further analysis of the application of the 1–5 per cent evaluation budget requirement introduced through decision B.41/30, as part of the review of the Evaluation Policy.

Decision B.47/65

Work programme update of the Technical Evaluation Reference Group of the Adaptation Fund

66. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having reviewed document AFB/EFC.38.9, decided to:

(a) Request the Technical Evaluation Reference Group of the Adaptation Fund(AF-TERG) and the secretariat to continue working towards the finalization of the Comprehensive Evaluation of the Adaptation Fund and the management response to the Evaluation and submit them together to the Board no later than sixty days prior to the forty-eighth meeting of the Board;

(b) Request the AF-TERG to develop a draft annual workplan and an associated budget for fiscal year 2028, and present them to the Ethics and Finance at its thirty-ninth meeting;

(c) Defer the review of the Evaluation Policy to take place after the forty-ninth meeting of the Board, so that it is sequenced to take place after the independent performance review of the AF-TERG;

(d) Welcome the continued engagement of the AF-TERG in the United Nations Evaluation Group and agree to its application to move from observer status to membership.

Decision B.47/66

Evaluation of processes and systems supporting governance

67. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered the evaluation of processes and systems supporting governance contained in document AFB/EFC.38/10, decided to:

(a) Take note of the recommendation of the evaluation and the accompanying management response prepared by the secretariat;

(b) Take note of the management response to the recommendation and prepare an action plan based on the management responses to the recommendations arising from the evaluation for consideration by the Ethics and Finance Committee at its thirty-ninth meeting;

(c) Request the secretariat to make the evaluation publicly available on the Adaptation Fund website.

Decision B.47/67

Independent review of the Technical Evaluation Reference Group of the Adaptation Fund

68. The Adaptation Fund Board (the Board), having considered document AFB/EFC.38/12 taking note of the options paper prepared jointly by the secretariat and the Technical Evaluation Reference Group of the Adaptation Fund (AF-TERG) for undertaking the independent performance review of AF-TERG, decided to:

(a) Approve option 2 of the options paper as the preferred modality for undertaking the performance review;

(b) Establish a review oversight task force consisting of the following 4 members and alternate members of the Board, to provide oversight and guidance to the review as outlined in document AFB/EFC.38/12:

(i) Nina Alsen;

(ii) Rosa Morales Saravia;

(iii) John Adrian M. Narag;

(iv) Aram Ter-Zakaryan;

(c) Request the Manager of the secretariat with the inputs, as appropriate, from the Chair of the Technical Evaluation Reference Group of the Adaptation Fund (AF-TERG) to operationalize the selected option;

(d) Request the Manager of the secretariat, in consultation with the oversight task force mentioned above, to submit the final review report, with a response from the Chair of the AF-TERG as an annex, to the Ethics and Finance Committee for consideration at its fortieth meeting. The performance review, together with the comprehensive evaluation of the Adaptation Fund, will inform future considerations of the model of the AF-TERG.

Decision B.47/68